

**From:** "Hsu, Steve (CDPH-DFDRS-RHB)" <Steve.Hsu@cdph.ca.gov>  
**To:** "John Hickman" <JBH@nrc.gov>  
**Date:** 07/03/2007 12:14:02 PM  
**Subject:** RE: Draft EA for Rancho Seco License Termination Plan

John,

Thank you for providing the following information regarding this EA!  
RHB does not have any comments at this time. I am sorry for being late  
in responding back to you. Have a great July 4th!

Steve

-----Original Message-----

From: John Hickman [mailto:JBH@nrc.gov]  
Sent: Friday, June 15, 2007 1:10 PM  
To: Hsu, Steve (DHS-DFDRS-RHB)  
Subject: RE: Draft EA for Rancho Seco License Termination Plan

Steve,

The amendment approving the LTP documents our approval of the licensee's proposed dose modeling, final status survey process, and DCGLs (or acceptable upper limit on measured radiation) which will demonstrate compliance with 20.1402 dose limits. Therefore the EA associated with the LTP is based on the assumption that the licensee will comply with the methodology in the LTP.

For the subsequent release of the site the licensee must demonstrate, primarily in their final status survey reports, that they performed the dose measurement in accordance with the agreed upon methodology and that the radiation levels measured, if any, complied with the limits.

Since this EA is predicated on the methodology and limits documented in the LTP and the release will not be approved unless the licensee complies with those methodology and limits, this EA bounds the conditions at the time of release.

I also discussed with my environmental reviewer the possibility of you choosing to not comment and that is fine with us if you want.

John Hickman

>>> "Hsu, Steve (DHS-DFDRS-RHB)" <SHsu@dhs.ca.gov> 06/14/2007 3:05 PM  
>>>

Is NRC ready to issue this draft EA prior to receiving the results of the FSS and the demonstration of compliance with 20.1402 dose criteria?

I can see the approval of the LTP based on EA or its subsequent EIS, if necessary. But, isn't it true that NRC will not issue approval to terminate SMUD's operating license using this EA, is it?

Could you outline the NRC's process or steps for approval of LTP and subsequent release of unrestricted release? Thanks!

-----Original Message-----

From: John Hickman [mailto:JBH@nrc.gov]  
Sent: Tuesday, June 05, 2007 5:53 AM  
To: Hsu, Steve (DHS-DFDRS-RHB)  
Subject: Draft EA for Rancho Seco License Termination Plan

Steve,

I originally sent you the attached Draft Environmental Assessment Related to the License Termination Plan for the Rancho Seco Nuclear Generating Station on April 27th. (A hard copy was sent to Ed Bailey dated April 25, 2007, requesting comments in 30 days.) Please let me know if you expect to have any comments and when you will let us know.

Thanks

John Hickman

CC: "Butner, Gary (CDPH-RHB)" <Gary.Butner@cdph.ca.gov>

**Mail Envelope Properties** (468A75BA.42B : 8 : 50219)

**Subject:** RE: Draft EA for Rancho Seco License Termination Plan  
**Creation Date** 07/03/2007 12:13:42 PM  
**From:** "Hsu, Steve (CDPH-DFDRS-RHB)" <[Steve.Hsu@cdph.ca.gov](mailto:Steve.Hsu@cdph.ca.gov)>  
**Created By:** [Steve.Hsu@cdph.ca.gov](mailto:Steve.Hsu@cdph.ca.gov)

**Recipients**

nrc.gov

OWGWPO04.HQGWDO01  
 JBH (John Hickman)

cdph.ca.gov

Gary.Butner CC (Gary (CDPH-RHB) Butner)

**Post Office**

OWGWPO04.HQGWDO01

**Route**

nrc.gov  
 cdph.ca.gov

<b>Files</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
MESSAGE	2566	07/03/2007 12:13:42 PM
Mime.822	4660	

**Options**

**Expiration Date:** None  
**Priority:** Standard  
**ReplyRequested:** No  
**Return Notification:** None

**Concealed Subject:** No  
**Security:** Standard

**Junk Mail Handling Evaluation Results**

Message is eligible for Junk Mail handling  
 This message was not classified as Junk Mail

**Junk Mail settings when this message was delivered**

Junk Mail handling disabled by User  
 Junk Mail handling disabled by Administrator  
 Junk List is not enabled  
 Junk Mail using personal address books is not enabled  
 Block List is not enabled