

U.S. Department of Energy

Grand Junction Office 2597 B¾ Road Grand Junction, CO 81503

DEC 0 1 1999

Mr. Bill Von Till U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Two White Flint North 11545 Rockville Pike Rockville, Maryland 20852-2747

Subject: Monument Valley Pilot Well Field Study Results

Dear Mr. Von Till:

Per your request, in support of the Monument Valley Final Site Observation Work Plan, enclosed is the above-referenced document.

If you have any questions, please call me at 970/248-7612.

Singere

Donald R. Metzler Technical/Project Manager

Enclosure

cc w/o enclosure: Project File GWMON3.3 (R. Burrows)

drm\12-11tr.doc





Final Site Observational Work Plan for the UMTRA Project Site at Monument Valley, Arizona

April 1999

Prepared by the U.S. Department of Energy Grand Junction Office





Final Site Observational Work Plan for the UMTRA Project Site at Monument Valley, Arizona

April 1999

Prepared by U.S. Department of Energy Grand Junction Office Grand Junction, Colorado

Project Number UGW-511-0015-07-000 Document Number U0018101

Work Performed under DOE Contract No. DE-AC13-96GJ87335

Th

This page intentionally blank

×

Contents

Page
Acronyms and Abbreviations
Executive Summary xvii
1.0 Introduction
1.1 UMTR & Project Programmatic Documents
1.2 Relationship to Site-Specific Documents
1.2 1 SOWP Revisions
2.0 Regulatory Framework
2.1 Uranium Mill Tailings Radiation Control Act
2.1.1 EPA Ground Water Protection Standards
2.1.1.1 Subpart B: Standards for Cleanup of Land and Buildings
Natural Flushing Standards
2.1.1.2 Subpart C: Implementation
Supplemental Standards
2.1.2 Cooperative Agreements
2.2 National Environmental Policy Act
2.3 Other Regulations
2.4 State/Tribal Regulations
2.5 DOE Orders
2.6 Agreements
2.0 Site Declarround
3.0 Site Background
3.1 Flysical Setting and Chillate $\dots $ $3-1$
3.2 She fillstory
3.2.1 Sources of Orbund Water Containmation from the Winning Operation
3 2 2 Previous Investigations 3-5
4.0 Field Investigation Results
4.1 Surface Geophysical Surveys 4–1
4.1.1 Seismic Refraction Survey 4–1
4.1.1.1 Seismic Refraction Procedure
4.1.1.2 Seismic Refraction Results
4.1.2 TEM Survey
4.1.2.1 TEM Survey Procedure
4.1.2.2 TEM Results
4.1.3 Resistivity/IP Survey
4.1.3.1 Resistivity/IP Procedure
4.1.3.2 Resistivity/IP Results

	4.2 Direct-Push and Hand-Auger Ground Water Sampling	
	4.2.1 Hydropunch Sampling Procedure	
	4.2.2 Hydropunch Sampling Results 4–13	
	4.3 Ground Water Well Installations	
	4.3.1 Installation Procedures	
	4.3.2 Alluvial Monitor Well Network 4–17	
	4.3.3 Alluvial Monitor and Extraction Well	
	4.3.4 Bedrock Monitor Wells 4–18	
	4.4 Sediment and Bedrock Sampling	
	4.4.1 Sediment and Bedrock Sampling Procedures	
	4.4.2 Sediment and Bedrock Sampling Results	
	4.5 Subpile Soil Sampling	
	4.5.1 Subpile Soil Sampling Procedures	
	4.5.2 Sample Preparation Methods	
	4.5.2.1 Chemical Extraction Methods	
	4.5.3 Subpile Soil Sampling Results	
	4.6 Hydrologic and Soil Tests	
	4.6.1 Surface Soil Permeability Tests	
	4.6.1.1 Surface Soil Permeability Test Results	,
. ·	4.6.2 Soil Particle-Size Distribution	
	4.6.2.1 Particle-Size Analysis Procedure	
	4.6.2.2 Soil Texture Results	
	4.6.3 Aquifer Tests	
	4.6.3.1 Previous Investigations	
	1985 Investigation	
	$\frac{1992 \text{ Investigation}}{4-37}$	
	4.6.3.2 1997 Investigation Results	
	Summary of the De Chelly, Aquifer Tests	
	4.7 Plant Ecology Investigation	
	4.7 Flain Ecology Investigation	
	4.7.1 Plant Species Survey	
	4.7.2 Phreatophyte Cover and Age Structure	
	4.7.2.1 Black Greasewood Age Structure $4.7.2$	
	4.7.2.2 Diack Ofeasewood Age Structure	
	4.7.5 Flant Associations and Vegetation Mapping	
	4.7.3.2 Indirect Gradient Analysis Results 4.53	
	4 7 3 3 Vegetation Manning Results 4-53	
	4.81 and Surveys	
	4 9 Ground Water Sampling and Analysis 4-56	
	4 9 1 Ground Water Sampling Procedures 4-56	
	4 9 2 GIO Analytical Laboratory Sample Analysis Results 4-59	
	$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}$	
5.0) Site Conceptual Model	
	5.1 Geology	
	5.1.1 Regional Setting	

.

5.1.2 Stratigraphy	. 5–1
5.1.2.1 De Chelly Sandstone Member of Cutler Formation	. 5-2
5.1.2.2 Hoskinnini Member of Moenkopi Formation	. 5–2
5.1.2.3 Moenkopi Formation (Main or Upper Member)	. 5–8
5.1.2.4 Shinarump Member of Chinle Formation	. 5–8
5.1.2.5 Monitor Butte Member of Chinle Formation	5-10
5.1.2.6 Petrified Forest Member of Chinle Formation	5–10
5.1.2.7 Quaternary Material	5-10
5.1.3 Structure	5-12
5.1.4 Bedrock Topography and Geomorphology	5-13
5.2 Hydrology	5-14
5.2.1 Alluvial Aguifer	5-15
5.2.2 Shinarump Aguifer	5-19
5.2.3 De Chelly Aquifer	5-19
5.2.4 Aguifer Interaction	5-20
5.2.4.1 Vertical Gradients	5-20
Results	5-26
5.2.4.2 Ground Water Flow Vertical Velocities	5-27
Results	5-28
5 2 5 Water Balance	5-28
5.2.6 Water Balance Calculations	5-31
5.2.6.1 Ground Water Flow Across the Southern and Northern Boundaries	5-31
5.2.6.2 Ground Water Flow Across the Eastern and Western Boundaries	5-31
5.2.7 Flux Across the Water Table Boundary – Recharge from Precipitation	5-32
5.2.8 Flux Across the Water Table Boundary – Flow from the De Chelly	5_32
5.2.9 Evanotranspiration	5-32
5.2.9 Discussion and Results	5-39
5 2 9 2 Conclusions	5-40
5.3 Geochemistry	5-40
5.3.1 Natural Background	5_40
5.3.1.1 Background Surface Water	5_40
5.3.1.2 Background Sediment and Soil Chemistry	5_43
5.3.1.3 Background Water Quality in the Alluvial Aquifer	5 15
5.3.1.4 Background Water Quality in the Shinarium Member of the Chinle	. 5-45
Formation	5 16
5.2.1.5 Packground Water Quality in the De Chally Sandstone Member of the	. 5–40
S.S.I.S Background water Quanty in the De Cheffy Sandstone Member of the	5 51
	5 51
5.2.2 Source Areas	5 51
5.2.2.1 Former Tailing Files and Evaporation Fond	5 51
5.5.2.2 Subplie Solls	. 5-54
	.)-))
	. 2-28
Sultate	. 3–58
Strontium	5-61
Uranium	5-61

Vanadium5-61Subpile Soil Summary5-655.3.3 Nature and Extent of Contamination5-655.3.3.1 Impact to the Alluvial Aquifer5-66Areal Extent of Contamination in the Alluvial Aquifer5-68Vertical Extent of Contamination in the Alluvial Aquifer5-70Plume Migration Trends5-97Volume of Contaminated Alluvial Ground Water5-975.3.3.2 Impact to the Shinarump Bedrock Aquifer5-995.3.3.3 Impact to the De Chelly Bedrock Aquifer5-1015.3.4 Impacts to Cane Valley Sediments5-1045.3.4 Fate and Transport of Ground Water Contaminants5-1185.4 Ecology5-1195.4.1 Feasibility of Phytoremediation and Native Plant Farming5-119
5.4.1.1 Background Information
Plant Extraction and Fate of Nitrogen in Ground Water and Soil 5–119
6.0 Risk Assessment 6-1 6.1 Human Health Risk Assessment 6-1 6.1.1 Contaminants of Potential Concern 6-1 6.1.2 Potential Public Health Impacts 6-3 6.1.3 BLRA Update 6-3 6.1.4 Impacts on the COPC List 6-5 6.1.5 Updated Impacts on Risks from Ingestion of Ground Water 6-5 6.1.6 Ingestion of Contaminated Plants 6-6 6.1.6.1 Ingestion of Contaminated Produce 6-6 6.1.6.2 Update on Potential Exposure to Garden Produce 6-7 6.1.6.3 Cultural Uses of Native Plants 6-8 6.1.6.4 Update on Potential Exposure to Cultural-Use Plants 6-12
6.2 Ecological Risk Assessment
7.0 Ground Water Compliance Strategy7–17.1 Compliance Strategy Selection Process7–17.2 Monument Valley Compliance Strategy7–37.2.1 Alluvial Aquifer Compliance Strategy7–37.2.2 Shinarump Aquifer Compliance Strategy7–47.2.3 De Chelly Aquifer Compliance Strategy7–57.3 Future Ground Water Monitoring Activities7–67.3.1 Monitoring Requirements for the Alluvial Aquifer7–67.3.2 Monitoring Requirements for Surface Water7–107.3.3 Monitoring Requirements for the Shinarump Aquifer7–107.3.4 Monitoring Requirements for the De Chelly Aquifer7–10
 8.0 Development and Evaluation of Active Remediation Alternatives

8.1.1	Overview of the Process	8-1
8.1.2	Evaluation Criteria	8-2
	8.1.2.1 Effectiveness	8-2
	Remediation Time Frame	8-2
	Conformance with Aquifer-Restoration Standards and Goals	8-2
	Short-Term Effects	84
	Disposal of Treatment Residuals	8-4
	8.1.2.2 Implementability	8–7
	Construction	8–7
	Expected Reliability	8–7
	Ability to Handle Changes in Influent Composition	8-8
	Ability to Handle Increases in Extraction Capacity	8-8
·	Cost	88
8.2 Evalu	uation of Technologies	8–9
8.2.1	Technologies Considered for Remediation	8–9
8.2.2	Extraction Technologies	8–9
	8.2.2.1 Conventional Vertical Wells	8–9
	8.2.2.2 Horizontal Wells	8–9
8.2.3	Effluent Discharge Technologies	3–10
	8.2.3.1 Native Plant Farming	8-10
	8.2.3.2 Evaporation	3–10
	8.2.3.3 Discharge to Surface Water	3–10
) 11
	8.2.3.4 Injection wells	5-11
	8.2.3.4 Injection wells	5-11
	8.2.3.4 Injection wells	8–11 8–11
8.2.4	8.2.3.4 Injection wells	8–11 8–11 8–11
8.2.4	 8.2.3.4 Injection wells 8.2.3.5 Effluent Discharge Technologies Recommended for Detailed Evaluation Treatment Technologies 8.2.4.1 Phytoremediation and Native Plant Farming 	8–11 8–11 8–11
8.2.4	8.2.3.4 Injection wells 8 8.2.3.5 Effluent Discharge Technologies Recommended for Detailed 8 Evaluation 8 Treatment Technologies 8 8.2.4.1 Phytoremediation and Native Plant Farming 8 8.2.4.2 Evaporation Systems 8	8–11 8–11 8–11 8–12 8–15
8.2.4	8.2.3.4 Injection wells 8 8.2.3.5 Effluent Discharge Technologies Recommended for Detailed 8 Evaluation 8 Treatment Technologies 8 8.2.4.1 Phytoremediation and Native Plant Farming 8 8.2.4.2 Evaporation Systems 8 8.2.4.3 Distillation Systems 8	8–11 8–11 8–12 8–15 8–15
8.2.4	8.2.3.4 Injection wells 8 8.2.3.5 Effluent Discharge Technologies Recommended for Detailed 8 Evaluation 8 Treatment Technologies 8 8.2.4.1 Phytoremediation and Native Plant Farming 8 8.2.4.2 Evaporation Systems 8 8.2.4.3 Distillation Systems 8 8.2.4.4 Through-Medium Processes 8	3-11 3-11 3-12 3-15 3-15 3-16
8.2.4	8.2.3.4 Injection wells 8 8.2.3.5 Effluent Discharge Technologies Recommended for Detailed 8 Evaluation 8 Treatment Technologies 8 8.2.4.1 Phytoremediation and Native Plant Farming 8 8.2.4.2 Evaporation Systems 8 8.2.4.3 Distillation Systems 8 8.2.4.4 Through-Medium Processes 8 8.2.4.5 Biological Processes 8	3-11 3-11 3-12 3-15 3-15 3-15 3-16 3-17
8.2.4	8.2.3.4 Injection wells 8 8.2.3.5 Effluent Discharge Technologies Recommended for Detailed 8 Evaluation 8 Treatment Technologies 8 8.2.4.1 Phytoremediation and Native Plant Farming 8 8.2.4.2 Evaporation Systems 8 8.2.4.3 Distillation Systems 8 8.2.4.4 Through-Medium Processes 8 8.2.4.5 Biological Processes 8 8.2.4.6 Chemical Treatment 8	3-11 3-11 3-12 3-15 3-15 3-15 3-16 3-17 3-18
8.2.4	8.2.3.4 Injection wells 8 8.2.3.5 Effluent Discharge Technologies Recommended for Detailed 8 Evaluation 8 Treatment Technologies 8 8.2.4.1 Phytoremediation and Native Plant Farming 8 8.2.4.2 Evaporation Systems 8 8.2.4.3 Distillation Systems 8 8.2.4.4 Through-Medium Processes 8 8.2.4.5 Biological Processes 8 8.2.4.6 Chemical Treatment 8 8.2.4.7 Membrane Separation Processes 8	 3-11 3-11 3-12 3-15 3-15 3-16 3-17 3-18 3-19
8.2.4 8.3 Evalu	8.2.3.4 Injection wells 8 8.2.3.5 Effluent Discharge Technologies Recommended for Detailed 8 Evaluation 8 Treatment Technologies 8 8.2.4.1 Phytoremediation and Native Plant Farming 8 8.2.4.2 Evaporation Systems 8 8.2.4.3 Distillation Systems 8 8.2.4.4 Through-Medium Processes 8 8.2.4.5 Biological Processes 8 8.2.4.6 Chemical Treatment 8 8.2.4.7 Membrane Separation Processes 8 9 9	3-11 3-11 3-11 3-12 3-15 3-15 3-16 3-17 3-18 3-19 3-20
8.2.4 8.3 Evalu 8.3.1	8.2.3.4 Injection wells 8 8.2.3.5 Effluent Discharge Technologies Recommended for Detailed 8 Evaluation 8 Treatment Technologies 8 8.2.4.1 Phytoremediation and Native Plant Farming 8 8.2.4.2 Evaporation Systems 8 8.2.4.3 Distillation Systems 8 8.2.4.4 Through-Medium Processes 8 8.2.4.5 Biological Processes 8 8.2.4.6 Chemical Treatment 8 8.2.4.7 Membrane Separation Processes 8 Pumping Alternatives 8	3-11 3-11 3-12 3-15 3-15 3-15 3-16 3-17 3-18 3-19 3-20 3-20
8.2.4 8.3 Evalu 8.3.1	8.2.3.4 Injection wells 8 8.2.3.5 Effluent Discharge Technologies Recommended for Detailed 8 Evaluation 8 Treatment Technologies 8 8.2.4.1 Phytoremediation and Native Plant Farming 8 8.2.4.2 Evaporation Systems 8 8.2.4.3 Distillation Systems 8 8.2.4.4 Through-Medium Processes 8 8.2.4.5 Biological Processes 8 8.2.4.6 Chemical Treatment 8 8.2.4.7 Membrane Separation Processes 8 9 unping Alternatives 8 8.3.1.1 Pumping Alternative 1—Plume-Focused Extraction Wells 8	3-11 3-11 3-12 3-15 3-15 3-16 3-17 3-18 3-19 3-20 3-20
8.2.4 8.3 Evalu 8.3.1	8.2.3.4 Injection wells 8 8.2.3.5 Effluent Discharge Technologies Recommended for Detailed 8 Evaluation 8 Treatment Technologies 8 8.2.4.1 Phytoremediation and Native Plant Farming 8 8.2.4.2 Evaporation Systems 8 8.2.4.3 Distillation Systems 8 8.2.4.4 Through-Medium Processes 8 8.2.4.5 Biological Processes 8 8.2.4.6 Chemical Treatment 8 8.2.4.7 Membrane Separation Processes 8 9 9	3-11 3-11 3-12 3-15 3-15 3-15 3-16 3-17 3-18 3-19 3-20 3-20
8.2.4 8.3 Evalu 8.3.1	8.2.3.4 Injection wells 8 8.2.3.5 Effluent Discharge Technologies Recommended for Detailed 8 Evaluation 8 Treatment Technologies 8 8.2.4.1 Phytoremediation and Native Plant Farming 8 8.2.4.2 Evaporation Systems 8 8.2.4.3 Distillation Systems 8 8.2.4.4 Through-Medium Processes 8 8.2.4.5 Biological Processes 8 8.2.4.6 Chemical Treatment 8 8.2.4.7 Membrane Separation Processes 8 1 ation of Alternatives 8 8.3.1.1 Pumping Alternative 1—Plume-Focused Extraction Wells 8 without Injection 8 8.3.1.1 Pumping Alternative 1—Plume-Focused Extraction Wells 8 8.3.1.1 Pumping Alternative 1—Plume-Focused Extraction We	3-11 3-11 3-12 3-12 3-15 3-15 3-16 3-17 3-18 3-19 3-20 3-20 3-20 3-20
8.2.4 8.3 Evalu 8.3.1	8.2.3.4 Injection wells 8 8.2.3.5 Effluent Discharge Technologies Recommended for Detailed 8 Evaluation 8 Treatment Technologies 8 8.2.4.1 Phytoremediation and Native Plant Farming 8 8.2.4.2 Evaporation Systems 8 8.2.4.3 Distillation Systems 8 8.2.4.4 Through-Medium Processes 8 8.2.4.5 Biological Processes 8 8.2.4.6 Chemical Treatment 8 8.2.4.7 Membrane Separation Processes 8 Jation of Alternatives 8 Pumping Alternatives 8 8.3.1.1 Pumping Alternative 1—Plume-Focused Extraction Wells 8 without Injection 8 Effectiveness 8 Implementability 8	3-11 3-11 3-12 3-12 3-12 3-12 3-12 3-12 3-12 3-12 3-12 3-12 3-12 3-12 3-12 3-12 3-12 3-12 3-12 3-20 3-20 3-21 3-21
8.2.4 8.3 Evalu 8.3.1	8.2.3.4 Injection wells 8 8.2.3.5 Effluent Discharge Technologies Recommended for Detailed Evaluation Freatment Technologies 8 8.2.4.1 Phytoremediation and Native Plant Farming 8 8.2.4.2 Evaporation Systems 8 8.2.4.3 Distillation Systems 8 8.2.4.4 Through-Medium Processes 8 8.2.4.5 Biological Processes 8 8.2.4.6 Chemical Treatment 8 8.2.4.7 Membrane Separation Processes 8 9 9	3-11 3-11 3-12 3-12 3-15 3-15 3-16 3-17 3-18 3-19 3-20 3-20 3-20 3-20 3-21 3-21 3-21 3-22
8.2.4 8.3 Evalu 8.3.1	8.2.3.4 Injection weils 8 8.2.3.5 Effluent Discharge Technologies Recommended for Detailed 8 Evaluation 8 Treatment Technologies 8 8.2.4.1 Phytoremediation and Native Plant Farming 8 8.2.4.2 Evaporation Systems 8 8.2.4.3 Distillation Systems 8 8.2.4.4 Through-Medium Processes 8 8.2.4.5 Biological Processes 8 8.2.4.6 Chemical Treatment 8 8.2.4.7 Membrane Separation Processes 8 9 umping Alternatives 8 9 umping Alternatives 8 8.3.1.1 Pumping Alternative 1—Plume-Focused Extraction Wells 8 8.3.1.2 Pumping Alternative 2—Extraction and Injection Wells 8	3-11 3-11 3-12 3-15 3-15 3-16 3-17 3-18 3-19 3-20 3-20 3-20 3-21 3-21 3-22 3-22
8.2.4 8.3 Evalu 8.3.1	8.2.3.4 Injection wens 8 8.2.3.5 Effluent Discharge Technologies Recommended for Detailed 5 Evaluation 8 Treatment Technologies 8 8.2.4.1 Phytoremediation and Native Plant Farming 8 8.2.4.2 Evaporation Systems 8 8.2.4.3 Distillation Systems 8 8.2.4.4 Through-Medium Processes 8 8.2.4.5 Biological Processes 8 8.2.4.6 Chemical Treatment 8 8.2.4.7 Membrane Separation Processes 8 9 umping Alternatives 8 8.3.1.1 Pumping Alternative 1—Plume-Focused Extraction Wells 8 without Injection 8 8.3.1.2 Pumping Alternative 2—Extraction and Injection Wells 8 8.3.1.2 Pumping Alternative 2—Extraction and Injection Wells 8	3-11 3-11 3-12 3-13 3-15 3-15 3-16 3-17 3-18 3-19 3-20 3-20 3-20 3-20 3-21 3-22 3-21 3-22 3-22 3-22 3-22 3-22
8.2.4 8.3 Evalu 8.3.1	8.2.3.4 Injection wells 8 8.2.3.5 Effluent Discharge Technologies Recommended for Detailed Evaluation Freatment Technologies 8 8.2.4.1 Phytoremediation and Native Plant Farming 8 8.2.4.2 Evaporation Systems 8 8.2.4.3 Distillation Systems 8 8.2.4.4 Through-Medium Processes 8 8.2.4.5 Biological Processes 8 8.2.4.6 Chemical Treatment 8 8.2.4.7 Membrane Separation Processes 8 9 Pumping Alternatives 8 8.3.1.1 Pumping Alternative 1—Plume-Focused Extraction Wells 8 8.3.1.2 Pumping Alternative 2—Extraction and Injection Wells 8 8.3.1.2 Pumping Alternative 2—Extraction and Injection Wells 8 9 Implementability 8 9 Supplementability	3-11 3-11 3-12 3-13 3-15 3-15 3-16 3-17 3-18 3-19 3-20 3-20 3-20 3-20 3-21 3-22 3-22 3-22 3-22 3-22 3-22 3-22 3-22 3-22 3-22 3-22 3-22
8.2.4 8.3 Evalu 8.3.1	8.2.3.4 Injection wells 8 8.2.3.5 Effluent Discharge Technologies Recommended for Detailed Evaluation Freatment Technologies 8 8.2.4.1 Phytoremediation and Native Plant Farming 8 8.2.4.2 Evaporation Systems 8 8.2.4.3 Distillation Systems 8 8.2.4.4 Through-Medium Processes 8 8.2.4.5 Biological Processes 8 8.2.4.6 Chemical Treatment 8 8.2.4.7 Membrane Separation Processes 8 9 Pumping Alternatives 8 8.3.1.1 Pumping Alternative 1—Plume-Focused Extraction Wells 8 8.3.1.2 Pumping Alternative 2—Extraction and Injection Wells 8 9 Effectiveness 8 9 Implementability 8 9 Cost 8	3-11 3-11 3-12 3-15 3-15 3-16 3-17 3-18 3-19 3-20 3-20 3-20 3-20 3-20 3-20 3-20 3-20 3-20 3-20 3-20 3-20 3-20 3-20 3-20 3-20 3-20 3-20 3-20 3-21 3-22 <t< td=""></t<>

.

8.3.2 Treatment Alternatives	3
8.3.2.1 Treatment Alternative 1—Native Plant Farming	4
Effectiveness	4
Implementability	5
Cost	5
8.3.2.2 Treatment Alternative 2—Chemical Treatment with Biological	
Denitrification	6
Effectiveness	6
Implementability	7
Cost	9
8.3.2.3 Treatment Alternative 3 — Distillation	0
Effectiveness	0
Implementability	0
Cost	1
8.3.2.4 Treatment Alternative 4—Reverse Osmosis	2
Effectiveness	2
Implementability	3
Ćost	4
8.4 Comparative Evaluation of Alternatives	4
8.4.1 Comparative Effectiveness	4
8.4.1.1 Conformance with Project Treatment Standards (40 CFR 192)	
and Goals	4
8.4.1.2 Effect on the Aquifer	5
8.4.1.3 Ease of Residual Disposal	6
8.4.2 Comparative Implementability	7
8.4.2.1 Constructability	7
8.4.2.2 Ease of Operation and Maintenance	7
8.4.2.3 Expected Reliability	8
8.4.2.4 Ability to Handle Changes in Influent Composition	9
8.4.2.5 Ability to Handle Increases in Extraction Capacity	9.
8.4.3 Comparative Cost	0
8.4.4 Comparative Summary	1
8.4.4.1 Determination of Proposed Treatment Process	2
8.5 Proposed Remediation Processes	4
8.5.1 Description of Proposed Remediation Process	4
8.5.1.1 Proposed Extraction System	4
8.5.1.2 Proposed Distillation Treatment System	4
8.5.1.3 Proposed Injection System	5
8.5.2 Summary	5
8.5.3 Limitations of Proposed Alternative	5
.0 References	1

ł

Figures

Figure 1-1.	Location of the Monument Valley Site	1–2
Figure 3–1.	Former Mill and Ore-Storage Area, Tailings Piles, Heap-Leach Pads, and	
	Evaporation Pond	3–3
Figure 4–1.	Locations of Seismic Lines	43
Figure 4–2.	Seismic Interpretation for Line 1	4-4
Figure 4–3.	Seismic Interpretation for Line 2	4-4
Figure 4-4.	Seismic Interpretation for Line 3	4–5
Figure 4–5.	Location of TEM Traverses and IP Lines	4-7
Figure 4–6.	Resistivity Contours	4–9
Figure 4–7.	IP-4 Resistivity/IP Field Data Curves	I-12 ⁻
Figure 4–8.	Hydropunch, Hand Auger, and Monitor Well Locations	1-14
Figure 4–9.	Subpile Soil Sample Locations4	1-23
Figure 4–10.	Lithologic Logs for Subpile Soil Investigation	1-25
Figure 4–11.	Indirect Gradient Analysis of Monument Valley Plume Vegetation4	1-55
Figure 4–12.	Plant Associations in the Millsite	1-57
Figure 5–1.	Regional Setting of Monument Valley, Arizona, Site	5–3
Figure 5–2.	Schematic Stratigraphic Section of Bedrock Formations in Site Area	5–5
Figure 5–3.	Regional Geologic Cross Section	5-7
Figure 5–4.	Alluvial Aquifer Potentiometric Surface Contour Map	5–17
Figure 5–5.	Shinarump Aquifer Potentiometric Surface Contour Map	5-21
Figure 5–6.	De Chelly Aquifer Potentiometric Surface Contour Map	5–23
Figure 5–7.	Flow Net for the Monument Valley Site	5-29
Figure 5–8.	Saturated Thickness	5-33
Figure 5–9.	Ground water Flow Model Boundary Cross Sections	535
Figure 5–10.	Monument Valley Site Regional Water Sheds	5–36
Figure 5–11.	Flow Components of the Conceptual Model	537
Figure 5–12.	Background Surface Water and Soil Sample Locations	5-41
Figure 5–13.	Piper Diagram of Background-Water Chemistry	543
Figure 5–14.	Background Monitor Well Locations	5-49
Figure 5–15.	Manganese	5-56
Figure 5–16.	Ammonium	5-57
Figure 5–17.	Nitrate	5-59
Figure 5–18.	Sulfate	5-60
Figure 5–19.	Strontium	5-62
Figure 5–20.	Uranium	5-63
Figure 5–21.	Vanadium	5-64
Figure 5–22.	Piper Diagram of Contaminated Alluvial Ground-Water Chemistry5	568
Figure 5–23a.	Distribution of Nitrate Concentrations in the Alluvial Aquifer - Data Through	
-	September 1997	5-71
Figure 5–23b.	. Distribution of Nitrate Concentrations in the Alluvial Aquifer - August 1998 5	5–73
Figure 5–24a.	Distribution of Sulfate Concentrations in the Alluvial Aquifer - Data Through	
	September 1997	5–75
Figure 5–24b.	. Distribution of Sulfate Concentrations in the Alluvial Aquifer - August 1998 5	5-77

Figure 5-26. Distribution of Calcium Concentrations in the Alluvial Aquifer - Data through September 1997 5-81 Figure 5-27. Distribution of Strontium Concentrations in the Alluvial Aquifer - Data through September 1997 5-83 Figure 5-28. Distribution of Manganese Concentrations in the Alluvial Aquifer - Data through September 1997 5-85 Figure 5-29. Distribution of Manganese Concentrations in the Alluvial Aquifer - Data through September 1997 5-87 Figure 5-30. Distribution of Vanadium Concentrations in the Alluvial Aquifer - Data through September 1997 5-89 Figure 5-31. Alluvial Plume Cross Section A to A' 5-91 Figure 5-32. Alluvial Plume Cross Section C to C' 5-93 Figure 5-33. Alluvial Plume Cross Section C to C' 5-95 Figure 5-34. Nitrate Concentration Versus Time for Selected Monitor Wells Located Along the Longitudinal Axis of the Alluvial Plume 5-98 Figure 5-36. Distribution of Uranium Concentrations in the De Chelly Aquifer - September 1997 5-105 Figure 5-37. Uranium Concentration Versus Time for De Chelly Bedrock Wells Located Near the Former Old Tailings Area 5-107 Figure 5-38. Distributions of Uranium Concentrations in the De Chelly Aquifer - August 1998 5-109 Figure 5-39. Distribution of Sulfate-to-Chlorid	Figure 5–25.	Distribution of Uranium Concentrations in the Alluvial Aquifer - Data through September 1997 5–79
Data through September 1997 5-81 Figure 5-27. Distribution of Strontium Concentrations in the Alluvial Aquifer - Data through September 1997 5-83 Figure 5-28. Distribution of Ammonium Concentrations in the Alluvial Aquifer - Data through September 1997 5-85 Figure 5-29. Distribution of Manganese Concentrations in the Alluvial Aquifer - Data through September 1997 5-87 Figure 5-30. Distribution of Vanadium Concentrations in the Alluvial Aquifer - Data through September 1997 5-87 Figure 5-31. Alluvial Plume Cross Section A to A' Figure 5-32. Alluvial Plume Cross Section B to B' Figure 5-33. Alluvial Plume Cross Section C to C' Figure 5-34. Nitrate Concentration Versus Time for Selected Monitor Wells Located Along the Longitudinal Axis of the Alluvial Plume 5-98 Figure 5-36. Distribution of Uranium Concentrations in the De Chelly Aquifer - September 1997 5-105 Figure 5-37. Uranium Concentration Versus Time for De Chelly Bedrock Wells Located Near the Former Old Tailings Area 5-107 Figure 5-38. Distributions of Uranium Concentrations in the De Chelly Aquifer - August 1998	Figure 5-26.	Distribution of Calcium Concentrations in the Alluvial Aquifer -
Figure 5-27. Distribution of Strontum Concentrations in the Alluvial Aquifer - Data through September 1997		Data through September 1997
Figure 5-28. Distribution of Ammonium Concentrations in the Alluvial Aquifer - Data through September 1997 5-85 Figure 5-29. Distribution of Manganese Concentrations in the Alluvial Aquifer - Data through September 1997 5-87 Figure 5-30. Distribution of Vanadium Concentrations in the Alluvial Aquifer - Data through September 1997 5-89 Figure 5-31. Alluvial Plume Cross Section A to A' 5-91 Figure 5-32. Alluvial Plume Cross Section C to C' 5-93 Figure 5-33. Nitrate Concentration Versus Time for Selected Monitor Wells Located Along the Longitudinal Axis of the Alluvial Plume 5-98 Figure 5-35. Sulfate Concentration Versus Time for Selected Monitor Wells Located Along the Longitudinal Axis of the Alluvial Plume 5-98 Figure 5-36. Distribution of Uranium Concentrations in the De Chelly Aquifer - 5-9107 Figure 5-37. Uranium Concentration Versus Time for De Chelly Bedrock Wells Located Near the Former Old Tailings Area 5-107 Figure 5-38. Distribution of Sulfate-to-Chloride Ratio in the De Chelly Aquifer - August 1998 5-109 Figure 5-39. Downgradient Cane Valley Wash Soil and Surface Water Sample 5-111 5-1113 Figure 5-39.	Figure 5–27.	Distribution of Strontium Concentrations in the Alluvial Aquiter -
Figure 5-28 Distribution of Manganese Concentrations in the Alluvial Aquifer - Data through September 1997 5-85 Figure 5-29 Distribution of Manganese Concentrations in the Alluvial Aquifer - Data through September 1997 5-87 Figure 5-30 Distribution of Vanadium Concentrations in the Alluvial Aquifer - Data through September 1997 5-89 Figure 5-31 Alluvial Plume Cross Section A to A' Series -33 Alluvial Plume Cross Section C to C' Figure 5-33 Alluvial Plume Cross Section C to C' Series -33 Alluvial Plume Cross Section C to C' Figure 5-34 Nitrate Concentration Versus Time for Selected Monitor Wells Located Along the Longitudinal Axis of the Alluvial Plume Along the Longitudinal Axis of the Alluvial Plume 5-98 Figure 5-36 Distribution of Uranium Concentrations in the De Chelly Aquifer - September 1997 5-105 Figure 5-37 Uranium Concentration Versus Time for De Chelly Bedrock Wells Located Near the Former Old Tailings Area 5-107 Figure 5-38. Distributions of Uranium Concentrations in the De Chelly Aquifer - 4000000000000000000000000000000000000	T: 5 00	Data through September 1997
Figure 5-29. Distribution of Manganese Concentrations in the Alluvial Aquifer - Data through September 1997 5-87 Figure 5-30. Distribution of Vanadium Concentrations in the Alluvial Aquifer - Data through September 1997 5-89 Figure 5-31. Alluvial Plume Cross Section A to A' 5-91 Figure 5-32. Alluvial Plume Cross Section B to B' 5-93 Figure 5-33. Alluvial Plume Cross Section C to C' 5-95 Figure 5-34. Nitrate Concentration Versus Time for Selected Monitor Wells Located Along the Longitudinal Axis of the Alluvial Plume 5-98 Figure 5-35. Sulfate Concentration Versus Time for Selected Monitor Wells Located Along the Longitudinal Axis of the Alluvial Plume 5-98 Figure 5-36. Distribution of Uranium Concentrations in the De Chelly Aquifer - September 1997 5-105 Figure 5-37. Uranium Concentration Versus Time for De Chelly Bedrock Wells Located Near the Former Old Tailings Area 5-107 Figure 5-38. Distributions of Uranium Concentrations in the De Chelly Aquifer - August 1998 5-107 Figure 5-39. Downgradient Cane Valley Wash Soil and Surface Water Sample Locations 5-107 Figure 5-40. Distribution of Sulfate-to-Chloride Ratio in the Alluvial Aquifer and in Surface Water 5-113 Figure 5-41. Sulfate-to-Chloride Ratio <t< td=""><td>Figure 5–28.</td><td>Distribution of Altimonium Concentrations in the Altuvia Aquiter -</td></t<>	Figure 5–28.	Distribution of Altimonium Concentrations in the Altuvia Aquiter -
Figure 5-20 Distribution of Vanadium Concentrations in the Alluvial Aquifer - Data through September 1997 5-87 Figure 5-30 Distribution of Vanadium Concentrations in the Alluvial Aquifer - Data through September 1997 5-89 Figure 5-31 Alluvial Plume Cross Section A to A' 5-91 Figure 5-32 Alluvial Plume Cross Section B to B' 5-93 Figure 5-33 Alluvial Plume Cross Section C to C' 5-95 Figure 5-34 Nitrate Concentration Versus Time for Selected Monitor Wells Located Along the Longitudinal Axis of the Alluvial Plume 5-98 Figure 5-35 Sulfate Concentration Versus Time for Selected Monitor Wells Located Along the Longitudinal Axis of the Alluvial Plume 5-98 Figure 5-36 Distribution of Uranium Concentrations in the De Chelly Aquifer - September 1997 5-105 Figure 5-37 Uranium Concentration Versus Time for De Chelly Bedrock Wells Located Near the Former Old Tailings Area 5-107 Figure 5-38b Distributions of Uranium Concentrations in the De Chelly Aquifer - August 1998 5-109 Figure 5-40 Distribution of Sulfate-to-Chloride Ratio in the Alluvial Aquifer and in Surface Water 5-113 Figure 5-41 Sulfate-to-Chloride Ratio 5-115 Figure 5-43 Potential Phytoremediation and Native Plant Farming Areas with Respect to Plant Associations <td>Figure 5-29</td> <td>Distribution of Manganese Concentrations in the Alluvial Aquifer -</td>	Figure 5-29	Distribution of Manganese Concentrations in the Alluvial Aquifer -
Figure 5–30. Distribution of Vanadium Concentrations in the Alluvial Aquifer - Data through September 1997 5–89 Figure 5–31. Alluvial Plume Cross Section A to A' 5–91 Figure 5–32. Alluvial Plume Cross Section B to B' 5–93 Figure 5–33. Alluvial Plume Cross Section C to C' 5–95 Figure 5–34. Nitrate Concentration Versus Time for Selected Monitor Wells Located Along the Longitudinal Axis of the Alluvial Plume 5–98 Figure 5–35. Sulfate Concentration Versus Time for Selected Monitor Wells Located Along the Longitudinal Axis of the Alluvial Plume 5–98 Figure 5–36. Distribution of Uranium Concentrations in the De Chelly Aquifer - September 1997 5–105 Figure 5–37. Uranium Concentration Profile D to D' 5–107 Figure 5–38. Distributions of Uranium Concentrations in the De Chelly Bedrock Wells Located Near the Former Old Tailings Area 5–107 Figure 5–38. Distributions of Uranium Concentrations in the De Chelly Aquifer - August 1998 5–109 Figure 5–39. Downgradient Cane Valley Wash Soil and Surface Water Sample Locations 5–113 Figure 5–41. Sulfate-to-Chloride Ratio 5–113 Figure 5–42. Sulfate, Chloride, and Uranium Concentration Relationships at Surface Location MON–627 5–117 Figur	1 iguie 5*25.	Data through September 1997
Data through September 1997 5-89 Figure 5-31. Alluvial Plume Cross Section A to A' 5-91 Figure 5-32. Alluvial Plume Cross Section B to B' 5-93 Figure 5-33. Alluvial Plume Cross Section C to C' 5-95 Figure 5-34. Nitrate Concentration Versus Time for Selected Monitor Wells Located Along the Longitudinal Axis of the Alluvial Plume 5-98 Figure 5-35. Sulfate Concentration Versus Time for Selected Monitor Wells Located Along the Longitudinal Axis of the Alluvial Plume 5-98 Figure 5-36. Distribution of Uranium Concentrations in the De Chelly Aquifer - 5-98 Figure 5-37. Uranium Concentration Versus Time for De Chelly Bedrock Wells Located Near the Former Old Tailings Area 5-107 Figure 5-38b. Distributions of Uranium Concentrations in the De Chelly Aquifer - 4000000000000000000000000000000000000	Figure 5-30.	Distribution of Vanadium Concentrations in the Alluvial Aguifer -
Figure 5–31. Alluvial Plume Cross Section A to A' 5–91 Figure 5–32. Alluvial Plume Cross Section B to B' 5–93 Figure 5–33. Alluvial Plume Cross Section C to C' 5–95 Figure 5–34. Nitrate Concentration Versus Time for Selected Monitor Wells Located Along the Longitudinal Axis of the Alluvial Plume 5–98 Figure 5–35. Sulfate Concentration Versus Time for Selected Monitor Wells Located Along the Longitudinal Axis of the Alluvial Plume 5–98 Figure 5–36. Distribution of Uranium Concentrations in the De Chelly Aquifer - September 1997 5–105 Figure 5–37. Uranium Concentration Versus Time for De Chelly Bedrock Wells Located Near the Former Old Tailings Area 5–107 Figure 5–38. Distributions of Uranium Concentrations in the De Chelly Aquifer - August 1998 5–109 Figure 5–39. Downgradient Cane Valley Wash Soil and Surface Water Sample Locations 5–109 Figure 5–40. Distribution of Sulfate-to-Chloride Ratio in the Alluvial Aquifer and in Surface Water 5–113 Figure 5–41. Sulfate-to-Chloride Ratio 5–117 Figure 5–43. Sulfate, Chloride, and Uranium Concentration Relationships at Surface Location MON–627 5–117 Figure 5–43. Sulfate-to-Chloride Ratio 5–117 Figure 5–43. Pote		Data through September 1997
Figure 5–32. Alluvial Plume Cross Section B to B' 5–93 Figure 5–33. Alluvial Plume Cross Section C to C' 5–95 Figure 5–34. Nitrate Concentration Versus Time for Selected Monitor Wells Located Along the Longitudinal Axis of the Alluvial Plume 5–98 Figure 5–35. Sulfate Concentration Versus Time for Selected Monitor Wells Located Along the Longitudinal Axis of the Alluvial Plume 5–98 Figure 5–36. Distribution of Uranium Concentrations in the De Chelly Aquifer - September 1997 5–105 Figure 5–37. Uranium Concentration Versus Time for De Chelly Bedrock Wells Located Near the Former Old Tailings Area 5–107 Figure 5–38b. Distributions of Uranium Concentrations in the De Chelly Aquifer - August 1998 5–109 Figure 5–39. Downgradient Cane Valley Wash Soil and Surface Water Sample Locations 5–111 Figure 5–40. Distribution of Sulfate-to-Chloride Ratio in the Alluvial Aquifer and in Surface Water 5–113 Figure 5–41. Sulfate, Chloride, and Uranium Concentration Relationships at Surface Location MON–627 5–117 Figure 5–43. Potential Phytoremediation and Native Plant Farming Areas with Respect to Plant Associations 5–121 Figure 7–1. PEIS Compliance Strategy Selection Framework 7–2 Figure 8–1. Proposed Ground Water Monitor Well Sampl	Figure 5–31.	Alluvial Plume Cross Section A to A'
Figure 5-33. Alluvial Plume Cross Section C to C' 5-95 Figure 5-34. Nitrate Concentration Versus Time for Selected Monitor Wells Located Along the Longitudinal Axis of the Alluvial Plume 5-98 Figure 5-35. Sulfate Concentration Versus Time for Selected Monitor Wells Located Along the Longitudinal Axis of the Alluvial Plume 5-98 Figure 5-36. Distribution of Uranium Concentrations in the De Chelly Aquifer - September 1997 5-105 Figure 5-37. Uranium Concentration Profile D to D' 5-107 Figure 5-38. Distributions of Uranium Concentrations in the De Chelly Bedrock Wells Located Near the Former Old Tailings Area 5-107 Figure 5-38. Distributions of Uranium Concentrations in the De Chelly Aquifer - August 1998 5-107 Figure 5-39. Downgradient Cane Valley Wash Soil and Surface Water Sample Locations 5-111 Figure 5-40. Distribution of Sulfate-to-Chloride Ratio in the Alluvial Aquifer and in Surface Water 5-113 Figure 5-41. Sulfate, Chloride, and Uranium Concentration Relationships at Surface Location MON-627 5-117 Figure 5-43. Potential Phytoremediation and Native Plant Farming Areas with Respect to Plant Associations 5-121 Figure 7-1. PEIS Compliance Strategy Selection Framework 7-2 Figure 8-1. Proposed Area of Remediation </td <td>Figure 5–32.</td> <td>Alluvial Plume Cross Section B to B'</td>	Figure 5–32.	Alluvial Plume Cross Section B to B'
Figure 5–34. Nitrate Concentration Versus Time for Selected Monitor Wells Located Along the Longitudinal Axis of the Alluvial Plume 5–98 Figure 5–35. Sulfate Concentration Versus Time for Selected Monitor Wells Located Along the Longitudinal Axis of the Alluvial Plume 5–98 Figure 5–36. Distribution of Uranium Concentrations in the De Chelly Aquifer - September 1997 5–105 Figure 5–37. Uranium Concentration Profile D to D' Figure 5–38. Uranium Concentration Versus Time for De Chelly Bedrock Wells Located Near the Former Old Tailings Area 5–107 Figure 5–38. Distributions of Uranium Concentrations in the De Chelly Aquifer - August 1998 5–107 Figure 5–39. Downgradient Cane Valley Wash Soil and Surface Water Sample Locations 5–111 Figure 5–40. Distribution of Sulfate-to-Chloride Ratio in the Alluvial Aquifer and in Surface Water 5–113 Figure 5–43. Sulfate, Chloride, and Uranium Concentration Relationships at Surface Location MON–627 5–117 Figure 5–43. Potential Phytoremediation and Native Plant Farming Areas with Respect to Plant Associations 5–121 Figure 7–1. <t< td=""><td>Figure 5–33.</td><td>Alluvial Plume Cross Section C to C'</td></t<>	Figure 5–33.	Alluvial Plume Cross Section C to C'
Along the Longitudinal Axis of the Alluvial Plume 5–98 Figure 5–35. Sulfate Concentration Versus Time for Selected Monitor Wells Located Along the Longitudinal Axis of the Alluvial Plume 5–98 Figure 5–36. Distribution of Uranium Concentrations in the De Chelly Aquifer - September 1997 5–105 Figure 5–37. Uranium Concentration Profile D to D' 5–107 Figure 5–38a. Uranium Concentration Versus Time for De Chelly Bedrock Wells Located Near the Former Old Tailings Area 5–107 Figure 5–38b. Distributions of Uranium Concentrations in the De Chelly Aquifer - August 1998 5–109 Figure 5–39. Downgradient Cane Valley Wash Soil and Surface Water Sample Locations 5–111 Figure 5–40. Distribution of Sulfate-to-Chloride Ratio in the Alluvial Aquifer and in Surface Water 5–113 Figure 5–41. Sulfate, Chloride, and Uranium Concentration Relationships at Surface Location MON–627 5–117 Figure 5–43. Potential Phytoremediation and Native Plant Farming Areas with Respect to Plant Associations 5–121 Figure 7–1. PEIS Compliance Strategy Selection Framework 7–2 Figure 8–1. Proposed Ground Water Monitor Well Sample Locations 7–7 Figure 8–1. Proposed Area of Remediation 8–5	Figure 5–34.	Nitrate Concentration Versus Time for Selected Monitor Wells Located
Figure 5–35. Sulfate Concentration Versus Time for Selected Monitor Wells Located Along the Longitudinal Axis of the Alluvial Plume 5–98 Figure 5–36. Distribution of Uranium Concentrations in the De Chelly Aquifer - September 1997 5–105 Figure 5–37. Uranium Concentration Profile D to D' Figure 5–38a. Uranium Concentration Versus Time for De Chelly Bedrock Wells Located Near the Former Old Tailings Area 5–107 Figure 5–38b. Distributions of Uranium Concentrations in the De Chelly Aquifer - August 1998 5–109 Figure 5–39. Downgradient Cane Valley Wash Soil and Surface Water Sample Locations 5–111 Figure 5–40. Distribution of Sulfate-to-Chloride Ratio in the Alluvial Aquifer and in Surface Water 5–113 Figure 5–41. Sulfate, Chloride, and Uranium Concentration Relationships at Surface Location MON–627 5–117 Figure 5–43. Potential Phytoremediation and Native Plant Farming Areas with Respect to Plant Associations 5–121 Figure 7–1. PEIS Compliance Strategy Selection Framework 7–2 Figure 8–1. Proposed Ground Water Monitor Well Sample Locations 7–7 F		Along the Longitudinal Axis of the Alluvial Plume
Along the Longitudinal Axis of the Alluvial Plume5–98Figure 5–36.Distribution of Uranium Concentrations in the De Chelly Aquifer - September 19975–105Figure 5–37.Uranium Concentration Profile D to D'5–107Figure 5–38a.Uranium Concentration Versus Time for De Chelly Bedrock Wells Located Near the Former Old Tailings Area5–107Figure 5–38b.Distributions of Uranium Concentrations in the De Chelly Aquifer - August 19985–109Figure 5–39.Downgradient Cane Valley Wash Soil and Surface Water Sample Locations5–111Figure 5–40.Distribution of Sulfate-to-Chloride Ratio in the Alluvial Aquifer and in Surface Water5–113Figure 5–41.Sulfate-to-Chloride Ratio5–115Figure 5–42.Sulfate, Chloride, and Uranium Concentration Relationships at Surface Location MON–6275–117Figure 5–43.Potential Phytoremediation and Native Plant Farming Areas with Respect to Plant Associations5–121Figure 7–1.PEIS Compliance Strategy Selection Framework7–2Figure 8–1.Proposed Ground Water Monitor Well Sample Locations7–7Figure 8–1.Proposed Area of Remediation8–5	Figure 5–35.	Sulfate Concentration Versus Time for Selected Monitor Wells Located
Figure 5-36. Distribution of Uranium Concentrations in the De Chelly Aquifer - September 1997 5-105 Figure 5-37. Uranium Concentration Profile D to D' 5-107 Figure 5-38a. Uranium Concentration Versus Time for De Chelly Bedrock Wells Located Near the Former Old Tailings Area 5-107 Figure 5-38b. Distributions of Uranium Concentrations in the De Chelly Aquifer - August 1998 5-107 Figure 5-39. Downgradient Cane Valley Wash Soil and Surface Water Sample Locations 5-111 Figure 5-40. Distribution of Sulfate-to-Chloride Ratio in the Alluvial Aquifer and in Surface Water 5-113 Figure 5-41. Sulfate-to-Chloride Ratio 5-115 Figure 5-42. Sulfate, Chloride, and Uranium Concentration Relationships at Surface Location MON-627 5-117 Figure 5-43. Potential Phytoremediation and Native Plant Farming Areas with Respect to Plant Associations 5-121 Figure 7-1. PEIS Compliance Strategy Selection Framework 7-2 Figure 8-1. Proposed Ground Water Monitor Well Sample Locations 7-7 Figure 8-1. Proposed Area of Remediation 8-5		Along the Longitudinal Axis of the Alluvial Plume
September 19975–105Figure 5–37.Uranium Concentration Profile D to D'5–107Figure 5–38a.Uranium Concentration Versus Time for De Chelly Bedrock Wells Located Near the Former Old Tailings Area5–107Figure 5–38b.Distributions of Uranium Concentrations in the De Chelly Aquifer - August 19985–109Figure 5–39.Downgradient Cane Valley Wash Soil and Surface Water Sample Locations5–111Figure 5–40.Distribution of Sulfate-to-Chloride Ratio in the Alluvial Aquifer and in Surface Water5–113Figure 5–41.Sulfate-to-Chloride Ratio5–115Figure 5–42.Sulfate, Chloride, and Uranium Concentration Relationships at Surface Location MON–6275–117Figure 5–43.Potential Phytoremediation and Native Plant Farming Areas with Respect to Plant Associations5–121Figure 7–1.PEIS Compliance Strategy Selection Framework7–2Figure 8–1.Proposed Area of Remediation8–5	Figure 5–36.	Distribution of Uranium Concentrations in the De Chelly Aquifer -
Figure 5–37. Uranium Concentration Profile D to D' 5–107 Figure 5–38a. Uranium Concentration Versus Time for De Chelly Bedrock Wells Located Near the Former Old Tailings Area 5–107 Figure 5–38b. Distributions of Uranium Concentrations in the De Chelly Aquifer - August 1998 5–109 Figure 5–39. Downgradient Cane Valley Wash Soil and Surface Water Sample Locations 5–111 Figure 5–40. Distribution of Sulfate-to-Chloride Ratio in the Alluvial Aquifer and in Surface Water 5–113 Figure 5–41. Sulfate-to-Chloride Ratio 5–115 Figure 5–42. Sulfate, Chloride, and Uranium Concentration Relationships at Surface Location MON–627 5–117 Figure 5–43. Potential Phytoremediation and Native Plant Farming Areas with Respect to Plant Associations 5–121 Figure 7–1. PEIS Compliance Strategy Selection Framework 7–2 Figure 8–1. Proposed Ground Water Monitor Well Sample Locations 7–7 Figure 8–1. Proposed Area of Remediation 8–5		September 1997
Figure 5-38a. Oranium Concentration Versus Time for De Chelly Bedrock Wells Located Near the Former Old Tailings Area 5-107 Figure 5-38b. Distributions of Uranium Concentrations in the De Chelly Aquifer - August 1998 5-109 Figure 5-39. Downgradient Cane Valley Wash Soil and Surface Water Sample Locations 5-109 Figure 5-40. Distribution of Sulfate-to-Chloride Ratio in the Alluvial Aquifer and in Surface Water 5-113 Figure 5-41. Sulfate-to-Chloride Ratio 5-115 Figure 5-42. Sulfate, Chloride, and Uranium Concentration Relationships at Surface Location MON-627 5-117 Figure 5-43. Potential Phytoremediation and Native Plant Farming Areas with Respect to Plant Associations 5-121 Figure 7-1. PEIS Compliance Strategy Selection Framework 7-2 Figure 8-1. Proposed Area of Remediation 8-5	Figure 5–37.	Uranium Concentration Profile D to D'
Figure 5–38b. Distributions of Uranium Concentrations in the De Chelly Aquifer - August 1998	Figure 5–38a.	Uranium Concentration Versus Time for De Chelly Bedrock Wells Located
Figure 5–38b. Distributions of Oranium Concentrations in the De Chelly Aquifer - August 1998 5–109 Figure 5–39. Downgradient Cane Valley Wash Soil and Surface Water Sample 5–111 Figure 5–40. Distribution of Sulfate-to-Chloride Ratio in the Alluvial Aquifer and in 5–113 Figure 5–41. Sulfate-to-Chloride Ratio 5–115 Figure 5–42. Sulfate, Chloride, and Uranium Concentration Relationships at Surface 5–117 Figure 5–43. Potential Phytoremediation and Native Plant Farming Areas with Respect 5–121 Figure 7–1. PEIS Compliance Strategy Selection Framework 7–2 Figure 7–2. Proposed Ground Water Monitor Well Sample Locations 7–7 Figure 8–1. Proposed Area of Remediation 8–5	T: 5 201	Near the Former Old Tailings Area
Figure 5-39.Downgradient Cane Valley Wash Soil and Surface Water Sample Locations5-110Figure 5-40.Distribution of Sulfate-to-Chloride Ratio in the Alluvial Aquifer and in Surface Water5-113Figure 5-41.Sulfate-to-Chloride Ratio5-115Figure 5-42.Sulfate, Chloride, and Uranium Concentration Relationships at Surface Location MON-6275-117Figure 5-43.Potential Phytoremediation and Native Plant Farming Areas with Respect to Plant Associations5-121Figure 7-1.PEIS Compliance Strategy Selection Framework7-2Figure 7-2.Proposed Ground Water Monitor Well Sample Locations7-7Figure 8-1.Proposed Area of Remediation8-5	Figure 5–38b.	Distributions of Uranium Concentrations in the De Chelly Aquifer -
Figure 5–39. Downgradient Cane Valley wash son and Surface Water Sample Locations 5–111 Figure 5–40. Distribution of Sulfate-to-Chloride Ratio in the Alluvial Aquifer and in Surface Water Surface Water 5–113 Figure 5–41. Sulfate-to-Chloride Ratio Sulfate-to-Chloride Ratio 5–115 Figure 5–42. Sulfate, Chloride, and Uranium Concentration Relationships at Surface Location MON–627 Figure 5–43. Potential Phytoremediation and Native Plant Farming Areas with Respect to Plant Associations Figure 7–1. PEIS Compliance Strategy Selection Framework 7–2 Figure 7–2. Proposed Ground Water Monitor Well Sample Locations 7–7 Figure 8–1. Proposed Area of Remediation 8–5	Eigung 5 20	August 1998
Figure 5-40.Distribution of Sulfate-to-Chloride Ratio in the Alluvial Aquifer and in Surface Water5-113Figure 5-41.Sulfate-to-Chloride Ratio5-115Figure 5-42.Sulfate, Chloride, and Uranium Concentration Relationships at Surface Location MON-6275-117Figure 5-43.Potential Phytoremediation and Native Plant Farming Areas with Respect to Plant Associations5-121Figure 7-1.PEIS Compliance Strategy Selection Framework7-2Figure 7-2.Proposed Ground Water Monitor Well Sample Locations7-7Figure 8-1.Proposed Area of Remediation8-5	Figure 5-59.	Locations 5 111
Figure 5-40. Distribution of Sunac-to-Chloride Ratio Figure 5-41. Sulfate-to-Chloride Ratio Surface Water 5-113 Figure 5-42. Sulfate, Chloride, and Uranium Concentration Relationships at Surface Location MON-627 5-117 Figure 5-43. Potential Phytoremediation and Native Plant Farming Areas with Respect to Plant Associations 5-121 Figure 7-1. PEIS Compliance Strategy Selection Framework 7-2 Figure 7-2. Proposed Ground Water Monitor Well Sample Locations 7-7 Figure 8-1. Proposed Area of Remediation 8-5	Figure 5 40	Distribution of Sulfate to Chloride Patio in the Alluvial Aquifer and in
Figure 5-41.Sulfate-to-Chloride Ratio5-115Figure 5-42.Sulfate, Chloride, and Uranium Concentration Relationships at Surface Location MON-6275-117Figure 5-43.Potential Phytoremediation and Native Plant Farming Areas with Respect to Plant Associations5-121Figure 7-1.PEIS Compliance Strategy Selection Framework7-2Figure 7-2.Proposed Ground Water Monitor Well Sample Locations7-7Figure 8-1.Proposed Area of Remediation8-5	$\operatorname{Figure} J = 40.$	Surface Water 5-113
Figure 5-42. Sulfate, Chloride, and Uranium Concentration Relationships at Surface Location MON-627 5-117 Figure 5-43. Potential Phytoremediation and Native Plant Farming Areas with Respect to Plant Associations 5-121 Figure 7-1. PEIS Compliance Strategy Selection Framework 7-2 Figure 7-2. Proposed Ground Water Monitor Well Sample Locations 7-7 Figure 8-1. Proposed Area of Remediation 8-5	Figure 5_41	Sulfate-to-Chloride Ratio 5-115
Figure 5 - 43. Potential Phytoremediation and Native Plant Farming Areas with Respect to Plant Associations Figure 7-1. PEIS Compliance Strategy Selection Framework Figure 7-2. Proposed Ground Water Monitor Well Sample Locations Figure 8-1. Proposed Area of Remediation	Figure $5-47$	Sulfate Chloride and Uranium Concentration Relationships at Surface
Figure 5-43. Potential Phytoremediation and Native Plant Farming Areas with Respect to Plant Associations Figure 7-1. PEIS Compliance Strategy Selection Framework Figure 7-2. Proposed Ground Water Monitor Well Sample Locations Figure 8-1. Proposed Area of Remediation	inguio 5 12.	Location MON-627
to Plant Associations5–121Figure 7–1.PEIS Compliance Strategy Selection Framework7–2Figure 7–2.Proposed Ground Water Monitor Well Sample Locations7–7Figure 8–1.Proposed Area of Remediation8–5	Figure 5-43.	Potential Phytoremediation and Native Plant Farming Areas with Respect
Figure 7-1.PEIS Compliance Strategy Selection Framework7-2Figure 7-2.Proposed Ground Water Monitor Well Sample Locations7-7Figure 8-1.Proposed Area of Remediation8-5		to Plant Associations
Figure 7–2.Proposed Ground Water Monitor Well Sample Locations7–7Figure 8–1.Proposed Area of Remediation8–5	Figure 7–1.	PEIS Compliance Strategy Selection Framework
Figure 8–1. Proposed Area of Remediation	Figure 7–2.	Proposed Ground Water Monitor Well Sample Locations
	Figure 8–1.	Proposed Area of Remediation

Tables

Table 2–1.	Maximum Concentration Limits of Inorganic Constituents in Ground Water
	at UMTRA Project Sites
Table 4–1.	Hydropunch Data from the 1997 Field Investigation
Table 4-2.	Hand Auger Water Sample Results from the 1997 Field Investigation 4–16
Table 4–3.	Construction Summary Table—Existing and New Monitor Wells

Table 4-4.	Deionized Water Extraction
Table 4–5.	Ground Water Extraction
Table 4–6.	5-Percent HCL Extraction
Table 4–7.	Total Dissolution
Table 4–8.	Total Amount Extracted
Table 4–9.	Permeability Test Results
Table 4–10.	Summary of Permeability Test Area
Table 411.	Monument Valley Soil Particle-Size and Texture Classification
Table 4–12.	Summary of Hydraulic Conductivity from 1985 Aquifer and Slug Tests 4–38
Table 4–13.	Aquifer Test Well Construction Specifics for the 1992 Field Investigation 4–38
Table 4–14.	Ground Water Seepage Velocity Estimations Based on the 1985 Field
	Investigation
Table 4–15.	Summary of Pump Test Analyses 9/97—Alluvial Aquifer Monument Valley
	Field Investigation
Table 4–16.	Summary of Pump Test Analysis 12/97—Alluvial Aquifer Monument Valley
	Field Investigation Slug Tests
Table 4–17.	Summary of Aquifer Test Analyses – De Chelly Aquifer Monument Valley
	Field Investigation
Table 4–18.	Plants Growing on the Reclaimed Tailings and Plume Areas at the Monument
	Valley Site
Table $4-19$.	Black Greasewood Cover Estimates
Table $4-20$.	Canopy Measurements and Annual Growth Rings of Black Greasewood
T 11 (01	and Fourwing Saltbush
Table $4-21$.	Locations for Releve Sampling of Plant Stands at Monument Valley 4–52
1 able 4-22.	Differentiated Table of Releve Data Showing Species Groups or
T-1-1- 4 02	Associations
Table 4 -23 .	Vegetation Vegetation
Table 5 1	Vegetation
Table 5 -1 .	Ground Water Vertical Velocity Calculations, Monument Valley Field
1 able 5–2.	Investigation 5-25
Table 5-3	Results of the Steady-State Water Balance for Monument Valley 5–39
Table 5–4	Background-Water Quality for Permanent and Intermittent Surface Water 5-44
Table $5-5$.	Comparison of Background Concentrations of Selected Constituents in Soil
	and Sediment Samples at the Monument Valley Site to the Western
	United States
Table 5–6.	Background Ground Water Ouality for the Alluvial. Shinarump, and
	De Chelly Aquifers
Table 5–7.	Chemistry of Tailings Solutions and Leachates
Table 5–8.	Comparison of On-Site and Downgradient Ground Water to Natural
	Background Ground Water Quality in the Alluvial Aquifer
Table 5–9.	Estimated Volume of Contamination in the Alluvial Aquifer
Table 5–10.	Comparison of Downgradient Ground Water to Natural Background
	Ground Water Quality in the Shinarump Aquifer
Table 5-11.	Chemical and Water Level Measurements Obtained at Alluvial and
	Shinarump Monitor Well Pairs

Table 5-12	Comparison of On-Site and Downgradient Ground Water to Natural
	Background Ground Water Quality in the De Chelly Aguifer
Table 5–13.	Comparison of Selected Constituents in Sediments along Cane Valley
100100 101	Wash to Background Sediments
Table 5–14	COPC Concentrations Observed in Surface Water
Table 5-15	Dominant Solution Species in the Alluvial Ground Water
Table 6-1	Comparison of New Data with Data Used in the BLRA at Downgradient
14010 0 11	Wells (mg/L)
Table 6-2	Sampling Results Using All Available Wells (mg/L)
Table $6-3$.	Comparison of Toxicity Benchmarks for Noncarcinogens
Table 6–4.	Estimated Maximum COPC Concentrations in the Alluvial Aquifer and
	in Irrigated Produce for the Produce Ingestion Pathway at Monument Valley . 6–7
Table 6–5.	Navajo Cultural Uses of Plants Growing on the Reclaimed Tailings Area and
	Plume Area at the Monument Valley Site
Table 6-6.	Maximum Constituent Levels in the Alluvial Aquifer and Estimated
	Maximum Levels in Cultural-use Plants Growing over the Plume
Table 6–7.	Maximum Levels of Ecological COPCs in the Alluvial Aquifer, Estimated
	Maximum Levels in Crop and Range Plants, and Screening Benchmark
	Levels
Table 7–1.	Explanation of the Compliance Strategy Selection Process for the Alluvial
	Aquifer
Table 7–2.	Explanation of the Compliance Strategy Selection Process for the Shinarump
	Aquifer
Table 7–3.	Explanation of the Compliance Strategy Selection Process for the De Chelly
	Aquifer
Table 7–4.	Summary of Proposed Sample Locations, Analytical Requirements, and
	Monitoring Frequency for the Alluvial Aquifer
Table 7–5.	Summary of Proposed Sample Locations, Analytical Requirements, and
	Monitoring Frequency for the De Chelly Aquifer

Plates

Plate 1—Geologic Map of Monument Valley Site

Plate 2-Geologic Cross-Sections of Monument Valley Site

•

Appendices

Appendix A. Lithologic and Monitor Well Completion Logs	Vol. I
Appendix B. Surface Soil Permeability Test Procedures, Aquifer Test Procedures, Histori	cal
Water-Level Data, and Recharge from Precipitation Estimate	Vol. II
Appendix C. Ground Water Analytical Results	Vol. II
Appendix D. Surface Water Analytical Results	Vol. II
Appendix E. Irrigation Suitability Classification	Vol. II
Appendix F. Screening-Level Risk Analysis for Manganese, Strontium, and Vanadium.	Vol. II
Appendix G. Potential Risks Associated with the Ingestion of Garden Produce	Vol. II

This page intentionally blank

Acronyms and Abbreviations

ACL	alternate concentration limits
BIA	U.S. Bureau of Indian Affairs
BLRÁ	baseline risk assessment
cm	centimeters
cm/s	centimeters per second
cm/year	centimeters per year
COC	contaminants of concern
COPC	contaminants of potential concern
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
Eh	oxidation-reduction potential
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
ESC	expedited site characterization
ET	evapotranspiration
ET/P	evapotranspiration/precipitation
ft	foot (feet)
ft/day	foot (feet) per day
ft/year	feet per year
ft ²	square feet
ft²/min	square feet per minute
ft ³	cubic feet
ft ³ /day	cubic feet per day
gal	gallon(s)
GCAP	Ground Water Compliance Action Plan
GJỔ	Grand Junction Office
gpm	gallons per minute
GRM	generalized reciprocal method
HEW	U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare
HCl	hydrochloric acid
HQ	hazard quotient
Hz	Herz
ICP-AES	inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrophotemetry
ICP-MS	inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry
i.d.	inside diameter
in.	inch(es)
in./year	inches per year
IP	induced polarization
IRIS	Integrated Risk Information System
km	kilometers
L	liter(s)
m	meters
MAP	management action process
MCL	maximum concentration limits
mg/kg	milligrams per kilogram

Acronyms and Abbreviations (continued)

mg/L	milligrams per liter
mL	milliliters
μm	micrometers
μg/L	micrograms per liter
mm	millimeters
Mn	manganese
MSL	mean sea level
mV	millivolts
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NH₄	ammonium
NO ₃	nitrate
NRC	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
O&M	operating and maintenance
OMB	Office of Management and Budget
pCi/L	picocuries per liter
pCi/g	picocuries per gram
PEIS	Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement
ppb	parts per billion
ppm	parts per million
PVC	polyvinyl chloride
RAP	remedial action plan
Rfd	reference dose
RO	reverse osmosis
RRM	residual radioactive material
SO ₄	sulfate
SOWP	site observational work plan
Sr	strontium
TAGR	Technical Approach to Groundwater Restoration
TDS	total dissolved solids
TEM	transient electromagnetic
UMTRA	Uranium Mill Tailings Remedial Action (Project)
UMTRCA	Uranium Mill Tailings Radiation Control Act
U	uranium
USDA	U.S. Department of Agriculture
VCA	Vanadium Corporation of America

Executive Summary

Ground water beneath the Monument Valley site was contaminated by former uranium ore-processing operations that were ongoing from 1955 through 1968. Tailing piles, leach areas, an evaporation pond, and other associated contaminated surface materials were removed from the site by January 1994 in accord with 40 CFR Part 192 Subpart A as part of the Uranium Mill Tailings Remedial Action (UMTRA) Surface Project. However, the potential for infiltration of ground-water contaminants remained until that time. Currently, no one is drinking the contaminated ground water.

Site-specific field investigations reveal the alluvial ground water is the aquifer most effected by the former milling operations. Contaminants of concern (COCs) in the alluvial aquifer are identified as nitrate, sulfate, and uranium. Nitrate concentrations exceeding the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) maximum concentration limit (MCL) of 44.0 milligrams per liter (mg/L) are present in the alluvial aquifer up to a maximum of 4,500-feet (ft) downgradient from the site. Elevated concentrations of COCs are not present in the Shinarump bedrock aquifer. Uranium is present in the De Chelly bedrock aquifer at concentrations that slightly exceeds the 0.044 mg/L uranium MCL; however, the area of impact is small, isolated, and the concentrations appear to be decreasing with time.

DOE's goal is to implement a cost-effective strategy to remediate the ground water at the former Monument Valley mill site that complies with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) ground water standards and protects human health and the environment. The requirements for ground water compliance for UMTRA Project sites, including the Monument Valley site, are found in the Uranium Mill Tailings Radiation Control Act (42 USC §7901 *et seq.*) and EPA's Health and Environmental Protection Standards for Uranium and Thorium Mill Tailings (40 CFR Part 192; 60 FR 2854). The compliance framework was developed in the UMTRA Ground Water programmatic environmental impact statement (DOE 1996c).

The proposed compliance strategy to cleanup the alluvial ground water at the Monument Valley site is no ground water remediation of constituents that do not pose a potential risk and do not exceed EPA standards. For constituents that pose a potential risk or exceed EPA standards or both, the strategy is to perform active ground water remediation using phytoremediation of ammonia-contaminated soils and shallow portions of the aquifer, and distillation of deeper portions of the aquifer, in combination with natural flushing. Information presented in this final site observational work plan supports the proposed compliance strategy in a manner that is consistent with the regulatory compliance framework.

This page intentionally blank

1.0 Introduction

The Monument Valley Uranium Mill Tailings Remedial Action (UMTRA) Project site in northeastern Arizona (Figure 1–1) is the location of a former uranium mill. Ground water beneath the Monument Valley site was contaminated by milling operations that were ongoing from 1955 through 1968. Tailing piles, leach areas, an evaporation pond, and contaminated surface materials were completely removed from the site by January 1994 in accord with 40 CFR Part 192 Subpart A, as part of the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) UMTRA Surface Project. However, the potential for infiltration of ground-water contaminants remained until that time.

DOE's goal is to implement a cost-effective compliance strategy that is protective of human health and the environment by remediating contaminated ground water at the Monument Valley site. For site-related constituents that pose a potential risk or exceed the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) standards or both, the proposed strategy is to perform active ground water remediation in combination with natural flushing. The proposed compliance strategy is no ground water remediation of site-related constituents that do not pose a potential risk and do not exceed the EPA standards. Sulfate is the only exception to this strategy; details of the compliance strategy for sulfate are given in Section 8.1.2.1.

This final site observational work plan (SOWP) documents the site-specific strategy that will allow DOE to comply with EPA ground water standards at the Monument Valley UMTRA Project site and provides a mechanism for stakeholder participation, review, and acceptance of the recommended remedial alternative. Site-specific data are presented that support the proposed strategy.

Compliance requirements for meeting the regulatory standards at the Monument Valley site are presented in Section 2.0. An overview and history of the former milling operation are reviewed in Section 3.0. Results of field investigations conducted at the site in 1997 are presented in Section 4.0. Site-specific characterization of the geology, hydrology, geochemistry, and ecology are synthesized in the site conceptual model in Section 5.0. Potential human health and ecological risks associated with ground water contamination are summarized in Section 6.0. The proposed compliance strategy and an evaluation of potential remediation technologies to clean up the ground water are presented in Sections 7.0 and 8.0, respectively.

1.1 UMTRA Project Programmatic Documents

The programmatic documents that guide the SOWP include the UMTRA Groundwater Management Action Process (MAP) (DOE 1998b), the Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement for the Uranium Mill Tailing Remedial Action Ground Water Project (PEIS) (DOE 1996c), and the Technical Approach to Groundwater Restoration (TAGR) (DOE 1993c). The MAP states the mission and objectives of the UMTRA Ground Water Project and provides a technical and management approach for conducting the project. The PEIS is the programmatic decision-making framework for conducting the UMTRA Ground Water Project. DOE will follow PEIS guidelines to assess the potential programmatic impacts of the Ground Water Project, to determine site-specific ground water compliance strategies, and to prepare site-specific



Figure 1–1. Location of the Monument Valley Site

Site Observational Work Plan for Monument Valley, Arizona Page 1-2

environmental impact analyses more efficiently. Technical guidelines for conducting the ground-water program are presented in the TAGR.

1.2 Relationship to Site-Specific Documents

The surface remedial action plan (RAP) (DOE 1993b) provides site characterization information. This information was updated in developing the SOWP to strengthen the site conceptual model. If an active ground water compliance strategy requiring remedial action is selected for this site, a ground water draft and final Ground Water Compliance Action Plan (GCAP) will be prepared; otherwise, a modification to the surface RAP via a GCAP will suffice.

In 1996, a baseline risk assessment (BLRA) was prepared (DOE 1996b) that identified potential public health and environmental risks at the site. Potential risks identified in the risk assessment are considered and updated in this SOWP to ensure that the proposed compliance strategy is protective of human health and the environment.

After a proposed compliance strategy is identified in the SOWP and described in the GCAP, a site-specific National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) document (e.g., an environmental assessment) will be prepared to determine the potential effects, if any, of implementing the proposed compliance strategy.

1.2.1 SOWP Revisions

The SOWP is a multiyear process of sequenced document preparation and field data-collection activities consisting of two versions: Revision 0 (draft) and Revision 1 (final).

The draft SOWP was prepared in 1996 and included all previous information about the site, presented a proposed compliance strategy and possible remediation technologies, and defined additional data needs that were required to determine the most likely compliance strategy. Following stakeholder review and resolution of comments, fieldwork was conducted in 1997 to address the data gaps identified in the draft SOWP.

This final SOWP presents the additional data collected in 1997, correlates the data to previous information, updates the site conceptual model, and recommends a final compliance strategy based on the updated site conceptual model.

Т

· · · ·

This page intentionally blank

2.0 Regulatory Framework

This section identifies the regulatory framework to be applied to the selected ground-water compliance strategy at the former Monument Valley millsite to achieve compliance with Subpart B of EPA health and environmental protection standards for uranium and thorium mill tailings (40 CFR Part 192) and the final rule to the standards published in 60 FR 2854.

2.1 Uranium Mill Tailings Radiation Control Act

The United States Congress passed the Uranium Mill Tailings Radiation Control Act (UMTRCA) (42 USC §7901 *et seq.*) in 1978 in response to public concerns about potential health hazards from long-term exposure to uranium mill tailings. UMTRCA authorized DOE to stabilize, dispose of, and control uranium mill tailings and other contaminated materials at inactive uranium ore-processing sites.

Three UMTRCA titles apply to uranium ore-processing sites. Title I designates 24 inactive processing sites for remediation. It directs EPA to promulgate standards, mandates remedial action in accordance with these standards, stipulates that remedial action be selected and performed with the concurrence of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) and in consultation with the states and Indian tribes, directs NRC to license the disposal sites for long-term care, and directs DOE to enter into cooperative agreements with the affected states and Indian tribes. Title II applies to active uranium mills. Title III applies only to certain uranium mills in New Mexico. The UMTRA Project is responsible for administering only Title I of UMTRCA.

In 1988, Congress passed the Uranium Mill Tailings Remedial Action Amendments Act (42 USC §7922 *et seq.*), authorizing DOE to extend without limitation the time needed to complete ground water remediation activities at the processing sites.

2.1.1 EPA Ground Water Protection Standards

UMTRCA requires EPA to promulgate standards for protecting public health, safety, and the environment from radiological and nonradiological hazards associated with uranium ore processing and the resulting residual radioactive materials (RRM). On January 5, 1983, EPA published standards (40 CFR Part 192) for RRM disposal and cleanup. The standards were revised and a final rule was published January 11, 1995 (60 FR 2854).

The standards address two ground water contamination scenarios: (1) future ground water contamination that might occur from tailings material after disposal cell construction, and (2) the cleanup of residual contamination from the milling process at the processing sites that occurred before disposal of the tailings material (60 FR 2854). The UMTRA Surface Project is designed to control and stabilize tailings and contaminated soil. The UMTRA Ground Water Project addresses ground water contamination at the processing sites and is regulated by Subparts B and C of 40 CFR 192.

2.1.1.1 Subpart B: Standards for Cleanup of Land and Buildings

Subpart B, "Standards for Cleanup of Land and Buildings Contaminated with Residual Radioactive Materials from Inactive Uranium Processing Sites," requires documentation that action at the former ore-processing sites ensures that ground water contamination meets any of the following three criteria:

- Background levels, which are concentrations of constituents in nearby ground water not contaminated by ore-processing activities.
- Maximum concentration limits (MCLs), which are limits set by EPA for certain hazardous constituents in ground water and are specific to the UMTRA Project (Table 2–1).
- Alternate concentration limits (ACLs), which are concentration limits for hazardous constituents that do not pose a substantial hazard (present or potential) to human health or the environment as long as the limit is not exceeded.

Table 2–1. Maximum Concentration Limits of Inorganic Constituents in Ground Water at UMTRA Project Sites

Constituent	Maximum Concentration ^a
Arsenic	0.05
Barium	1.0
Cadmium	0.01
Chromium	0.05
Lead	0.05
Мегсигу	0.002
Molybdenum	0.1
Nitrate (as N)	10.0 ^b
Selenium	0.01
Silver	0.05
Combined radium-226 and radium-228	5 pCi/L
Combined uranium-234 and uranium-238	30 pCi/L ^c
Gross alpha-particle activity (excluding radon and uranium)	15 pCi/L

*Concentrations reported in milligrams per liter (mg/L) unless otherwise noted.

^bEquivalent to 44 mg/L nitrate as nitrate.

Equivalent to 0.044 mg/L, assuming secular equilibrium of uranium-234 and uranium-238.

pCi/L = picocuries per liter. Reference: 60 FR 2854.

Reference. OU FR 2004.

Natural Flushing Standards

Subpart B also allows natural flushing to meet EPA standards. Natural flushing allows natural ground water processes to reduce the contamination in ground water to acceptable standards (background levels, MCLs, or ACLs). Natural flushing must allow the standards to be met within

100 years. In addition, institutional controls and an adequate monitoring program must be established and maintained to protect human health during the period of natural flushing. Institutional controls would prohibit inappropriate uses of the contaminated ground water. The ground water also must not be a current or projected source of drinking water for a public water system during the period of natural flushing, and beneficial uses of ground water must be protected.

2.1.1.2 Subpart C: Implementation

Subpart C provides guidance for implementing methods and procedures to reasonably ensure that standards of Subpart B are met. Subpart C requires that the standards of Subpart B are met on a site-specific basis using information gathered during site characterization and monitoring. The plan to meet the standards of Subpart B must be stated in a site-specific GCAP. The plan must contain a compliance strategy and a monitoring program, if necessary.

Supplemental Standards

Under certain conditions, DOE may apply supplemental standards to contaminated ground water in lieu of background levels, MCLs, or ACLs (40 CFR Part 192). Supplemental standards may be applied if any of the following conditions are met:

- Remedial action necessary to implement Subpart A or B would pose a significant risk to workers or the public.
- Remedial action to meet the standards would directly produce environmental harm that is clearly excessive, compared to the health benefits of remediation, to persons living on or near the sites, now or in the future.
- The estimated cost of remedial action is unreasonably high relative to the long-term benefits, and the RRM does not pose a clear present or future hazard.
- There is no known remedial action.
- The restoration of ground water quality at any processing site is technically impractical from an engineering standpoint.
- The ground water is classified as limited-use ground water. Subpart B of 40 CFR 192 defines limited-use ground water as ground water that is not a current or potential source of drinking water because total dissolved solids (TDS) exceed 10,000 milligrams per liter (mg/L); there is widespread ambient contamination that cannot be cleaned up using treatment methods reasonably employed in public water supply systems; or the quantity of water available to a well is less than 150 gallons (gal) (570 liters [L]) per day. When limited-use ground water applies, supplemental standards ensure that current and reasonably projected uses of the ground water are preserved (40 CFR Part 192).

• Radiation from radionuclides other than radium-226 and its decay products is present in sufficient quantity and concentration to constitute a significant radiation hazard from RRM.

2.1.2 Cooperative Agreements

UMTRCA requires that remedial action include full participation of the states and Indian tribes that own land containing uranium mill tailings. UMTRCA also directs DOE to enter into cooperative agreements with the states and Indian tribes.

2.2 National Environmental Policy Act

UMTRCA is a major federal action that is subject to the requirements of NEPA (42 USC §4321 et seq.). Regulations of the Council on Environmental Quality (to implement NEPA) are codified in 40 CFR Part 1500; these regulations require each federal agency to develop its own implementing procedures (40 CFR §1507.3). DOE-related NEPA regulations are contained in 10 CFR Part 1021, National Environmental Policy Act Implementing Procedures. DOE guidance is provided in Recommendations for the Preparation of Environmental Assessments and Environmental Impact Statements (DOE 1993a).

Pursuant to NEPA, in 1994 DOE drafted a PEIS for the UMTRA Ground Water Project. The PEIS document was made final in October 1996. The purpose of the NEPA document was to analyze the potential impacts of implementing four programmatic alternatives for ground water compliance at the designated processing sites. The preferred alternative for the UMTRA Ground Water Project was published in a Record of Decision in 1997. All subsequent action on the UMTRA Ground Water Project will comply with the Record of Decision.

2.3 Other Regulations

In addition to UMTRCA EPA ground water standards and NEPA, DOE must also comply with other Federal regulations and executive orders that may be relevant to the UMTRA Project sites. Examples include regulations that require protection of wetlands and floodplains, threatened or endangered species, and cultural resources. Other regulations, for which the State may be delegated authority, include requirements for water discharge and waste management. Executive orders include those related to pollution prevention and environmental justice.

2.4 State/Tribal Regulations

State and tribal regulations must also be complied with where Federal authority has been delegated to the State or where the Navajo Nation exercised the right of sovereignty. Examples include the right of the Navajo Nation to require water-use permits and permits to drill wells.

2.5 DOE Orders

Several environmental, health and safety, and administrative DOE orders that apply to the work being conducted under the UMTRA Ground Water Project. DOE orders prescribe the manner in which DOE will comply with Federal and State laws, regulations, and guidance, and the manner in which DOE will conduct operations that are not prescribed by law. DOE guidance for complying with Federal, State, and tribal environmental regulations are contained in the DOE Order 5400.1 Series, partially superseded by DOE Order 231.1. DOE Order 5400.5 requires protection of the public from radiation hazards. DOE guidance pertaining to NEPA is contained in DOE Order 451.1, and specific guidance pertaining to environmental assessments (EAs) is provided in Recommendations for the Preparation of Environmental Assessments and Environmental Impact Statements (DOE 1993a).

2.6 Agreements

UMTRCA requires that compliance with the ground water standards be accomplished with the full participation of states that are paying part of the costs, and in consultation with Indian tribes on whose lands uranium mill tailings are located. UMTRCA also directs DOE to enter into cooperative agreements with the states and Indian tribes. DOE has negotiated an UMTRA Ground Water cooperative agreement with the Navajo Nation.

The Navajo Nation's proposed secondary cleanup levels for sulfate is 250 mg/L. In concert with the sulfate-to-chloride ratio, this will be adopted as a cleanup goal for the Monument Valley site. See Section 8.1.2.1 for additional information.

<u>ę</u>

This page intentionally blank

3.0 Site Background

The Monument Valley UMTRA Project site is on the Navajo Indian Reservation (Navajo Nation) in northeastern Arizona, approximately 15 miles south of Mexican Hat, Utah (Figure 1–1). The site, which is accessible by U.S. Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA) Navajo Service Route 6440, is the location of a former uranium mill that operated from 1955 through 1968. An overview of the site's physical setting and climate, a history of the former milling operation, and a summary of previous investigations is presented in the following sections.

3.1 Physical Setting and Climate

The former millsite is on the west side of Cane Valley, which is drained to the north by Cane Valley Wash. The elevation along Cane Valley Wash is approximately 4,800 ft above mean sea level. The valley is bordered on the east by Comb Ridge, a 600-ft-high escarpment of Navajo, Kayenta, and Wingate Sandstones. On the west side of the valley near the former millsite, the bedrock dips to the east at approximately 5 degrees and rises up to Yazzie Mesa at an elevation of over 5,300 ft. Cane Valley between Comb Ridge and Yazzie Mesa is filled with a reddish-yellow eolian sand and minor amounts of water-transported sand, gravel, and bedrock fragments.

The site is arid, receiving approximately 6.4 inches (in.) of annual precipitation. Most precipitation usually occurs during July through August and December through February. Rainfall during the summer commonly occurs in high-intensity, short-duration storms that are conducive to runoff. Precipitation during the winter, however, usually occurs during low-intensity, longer-duration storms (Cooley et al. 1969). Annual snowfall ranges between 10 and 40 in. The two driest months are generally May and June.

The weather station closest to the Monument Valley site is in Mexican Hat, Utah, about 16 miles north. Climatological data collected from the Mexican Hat weather station for the period 1951 through 1980 indicates an average annual pan evaporation rate of 84.4 in. (DOE 1993b). Pan evaporation rates exceed precipitation every month except January. The highest rates occur from May through August, when pan evaporation exceeds 10 in. per month.

Temperatures show considerable diurnal and seasonal variations. Winters are cold, with temperatures typically below freezing from November through March. Summers are hot, with highs ranging from 90 °F to the low 100s °F.

3.2 Site History

Uranium was discovered in 1942 by Luke Yazzie approximately one-half mile west of the former millsite (Chenoweth 1985). The deposit is a carnotite mineralization in and beneath a paleochannel in the Shinarump Member of the Chinle Formation incised into the underlying Moenkopi Formation and De Chelly Sandstone Member of the Cutler Formation. Vanadium Corporation of America (VCA) acquired mining rights for the deposit from the Office of Indian Affairs in 1943 and named the lease property Monument No. 2. VCA mined the property from 1943 to 1968. Total production was 767,166 tons of ore averaging 0.34 percent U_3O_8 and 1.42 percent V_2O_5 . Included in the production estimate are products from a mechanical upgrader,

a concentrator, and a heap leach that operated at various times at the site. The Monument No. 2 mine has produced more uranium than any other mine in Arizona (Chenoweth 1985).

Before 1955, there was no mill at the site. From 1943 to 1946, the ore was shipped to Metal Reserve at Monticello, Utah. From 1947 to 1952, low-grade ore from the mine was mechanically upgraded at a small plant on the bank of the San Juan River near the Mexican Hat bridge. This upgrader is believed to be the prototype for the plant that was built at the Monument Valley site in 1955 (Chenoweth 1985). Ore concentrated from the upgrader was hauled to a mill at Naturita, Colorado.

The upgrader constructed at the Monument Valley site in 1955 consisted of a mechanical separator. In this operation, ore was crushed and sorted by grain size using large amounts of water from two on-site wells (MON–618 and MON–619) in the De Chelly Sandstone. The finer grained material, which was higher in uranium content, was shipped off site for chemical concentration at the Durango, Colorado, mill before March 1963 and later at the VCA mill at Shiprock, New Mexico. No chemicals were used except minor amounts of flocculants (Albrethson 1982). The coarser grained material remained on the site and was piled in the areas identified as the former mill and old tailings pile (Figure 3–1). The mechanical milling operations at the Monument Valley site continued from 1955 to 1964.

In October 1964, batch-leaching equipment was installed at the mill. Batch leaching continued for approximately 3 years, during which approximately 1,000,000 tons of sandy tailings were processed (925 tons per day) in large steel tanks. A separate heap-leaching operation was used on an additional 100,000 tons of low-grade ore in 1966 and 1967.

The millsite was leased from the Navajo Nation until 1968, when the mill closed and the lease expired. Control of the site, structures, and materials reverted to the Navajo Nation at that time.

The mill buildings and milling equipment were removed after 1968. Beginning in 1992, the tailings piles, windblown tailings, contaminated radioactive materials, concrete foundations, and debris were removed and placed in the Mexican Hat UMTRA Project disposal cell, approximately 10 mi north of the former millsite. Relocation of these materials was completed in January 1994.

3.2.1 Sources of Ground Water Contamination from the Milling Operation

Some ground water contamination probably occurred during the mechanical processing period (1955 to 1964) as a result of water draining from stockpiles of the finer grained material prior to shipment off-site for chemical separation and from the coarser material that remained on-site. The primary contaminants would have been relatively soluble components of the ore, such as uranium, calcium, and sulfate (the source of calcium and sulfate would have been gypsum, which was part of the ore body). Infiltration of the contaminated water would have occurred at the former mill and old tailings pile areas designated on Figure 3–1.

Document Number U0018101

 De Chelly Production Well Former Source Area Road Fence Geologic Units Alluvial and eolian material, undifferentiated U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY 	618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618 618	Area New Tailings Pile
Sand dunes GRAND JUNCTION OFFICE, COLORADO Shinarump Member of Chinle Formation Former Mill and Ore Storage Area, Tailings Piles, Heap Leach Pads, and Evaporation Pond	 De Chelly Production Well Former Source Area Road Fence Geologic Units Alluvial and eolian material, undifferentiated Sand dunes Shinarump Member of Chinle Formation 	N 300 0 300 600 Feet Sources U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY GRAND JUNCTION OFFICE, COLORADO Former Mill and Ore Storage Area, Tailings Piles, Heap Leach Pads, and Evaporation Pond

Figure 3–1. Former Mill and Ore-Storage Area, Tailings Piles, Heap-Leach Pads, and Evaporation Pond

Document Number U0018101

Process chemicals were an additional source of sulfate, nitrate, calcium, and ammonium. Both the batch- and heap-leaching operations used sulfuric acid to leach out uranium and vanadium. The sulfuric acid heap- and batch-leaching solutions were adjusted to pH 4 with ammonia. Quicklime (calcium oxide) was then added to neutralize the pH and produce a bulk precipitate. Later, this bulk precipitate was shipped to the mill at Shiprock, New Mexico, where the uranium and vanadium were extracted. The spent neutralization solution was probably discharged to the new tailings pile and the heap- and batch-leach material was slurried to the new tailing pile (Merritt 1971, DOE 1982) where infiltration of contaminated water would have occurred (Figure 3–1).

3.2.1.1 Quantity Estimates of Process Water and Chemicals

The amount of process water and chemicals (sulfuric acid, ammonia, and nitrate) used at the Monument Valley site from 1964 to 1967 is estimated on the basis of typical usage in uranium mills (Merritt 1971, HEW 1962). The U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare (HEW) report suggests that the amount of water used was approximately 850 gal per ton of reprocessed tailings. The amount of chemical used per ton of ore processed, based on the HEW report and site-specific data compiled by Merritt, is 25 pounds of sulfuric acid, 1 to 30 pounds of ammonia, and 15 to 20 pounds of ammonium nitrate.

3.2.2 Previous Investigations

Merritt (1971) provides detailed descriptions of the uranium concentration process, mill by-products, and process waste streams. Albrethsen and McGinley (1982) summarizes the history of the domestic uranium procurement policies and practices under the Atomic Energy Commission. Chenoweth (1985) documents the history of mining in Monument Valley.

Early geologic and hydrologic studies conducted near the site are reported in Witkind and Thaden (1963), Cooley et al. (1969), Irwin et al. (1971), and James (1973).

Site-specific hydrogeologic and geochemical investigations are described in an engineering assessment (DOE 1981), an Environmental Assessment (DOE 1989), a RAP (DOE 1993b), a water sampling and analysis plan (DOE 1994), a BLRA (DOE 1996b), and the draft SOWP (DOE 1996d).

This page intentionally blank

4.0 Field Investigation Results

The draft SOWP (DOE 1996d) included all previous information about the site, proposed possible remediation technologies, and defined additional data needs that were required to determine the most likely compliance strategy. Following stakeholder review and resolution of comments, an expedited site characterization (ESC) field investigation was conducted in 1997 to address the data gaps identified in the draft SOWP. Additional field characterization data presented in the following sections were collected to reduce system uncertainties by enhancing the understanding of the site characteristics and thereby ensuring that the appropriate ground water compliance strategy is selected.

Field investigations were optimized by sequencing the activities to achieve a more logical sampling approach. The first activities were based on nonintrusive methods to obtain a more complete and comprehensive understanding of the subsurface environment before more direct characterization methods were employed. The field activities were sequenced as follows: (1) surface geophysical surveys, (2) direct-push ground water sampling and analysis, (3) drilling, soil sampling, and installation of monitor wells, (4) aquifer tests and surface infiltration tests, (5) land surveys of new borings/wells, and (6) ecological and ground water sampling and analyses. Information obtained from each activity was integrated with existing data to revise the site conceptual model and to refine the data collection needs. This integration was performed either concurrently with or before proceeding to the next characterization activity.

Results of the 1997 field investigation are presented in the following sections. All fieldwork and data quality objectives applied to the data collection activities were performed in accordance with the *Work Plan for Characterization Activities at the UMTRA Monument Valley Project Site* (DOE 1997c).

4.1 Surface Geophysical Surveys

Surface geophysical surveys provide a nonintrusive means to rapidly characterize subsurface conditions at the site before more direct sampling methods are employed. Geophysical methods applied to this investigation include seismic refraction, transient electromagnetic (TEM) soundings, and induced polarization (IP) and resistivity soundings. Each method measures a different characteristic physical property. Because some physical properties are interrelated, a combination of methods, such as TEM, IP, and resistivity soundings can be helpful in discriminating a target signal in a noise-field matrix.

Specifics regarding geophysical calculations and modeling, sounding curves, and raw data that support the interpretation of the seismic and electrical methods used are presented in the Monument Valley Geophysical Report (Rogers and Sandberg 1998). Sections 4.1.1 through 4.1.3 provide summaries of the more significant findings.

4.1.1 Seismic Refraction Survey

The seismic refraction method refers to a geophysical technique in which acoustic (sound) waves are used to map subsurface lithologic layers. A source of seismic energy, such as the impact of a
sledge hammer on a metal plate resting on the ground surface, produces acoustic waves that travel in spherical wavefronts down into the subsurface. These seismic waves reflect from, and refract along, boundaries between layers of differing density and seismic velocity. For seismic refraction to detect the top of a layer, the seismic velocity of that layer must be greater than that in the overlying layer. Therefore, the seismic refraction method is suited for determining the depth to, and seismic velocity of, the bedrock surface underlying unconsolidated alluvial deposits.

A buried paleovalley, which may influence the downgradient extent of contamination in both the alluvial and bedrock aquifers, exists beneath the northern part of the processing site. A detailed seismic refraction survey was conducted near and downgradient from the former millsite to provide subsurface information about the presence and extent of the buried paleovalley.

4.1.1.1 Seismic Refraction Procedure

Seismic refraction data were collected along the three parallel traverse lines shown in Figure 4–1; each line was established roughly across and perpendicular to the inferred axis of the buried paleovalley. The first line was near the former old tailings area and was 1,780 ft long. Line 2 was approximately 1,000 ft north from line 1 and was 1,330 ft long. The third line was 670 ft long and was approximately halfway between lines 1 and 2.

Geophones were spaced 10 ft apart along each line using an array (spread) of 24 geophones at a time with an overlap of 10 ft between each spread. A Geometrics model 2401 seismograph was used to record the seismic signals generated by the impact of a sledge hammer on an aluminum plate. The seismic data were processed using the GREMIXa (Interpex, Ltd.) generalized reciprocal method (GRM) computer software package. The GRM uses seismic arrival times at the surface geophones from opposing shots, surface hammer blows forward and reverse of the seismic spread, which travel along the same refractor, along with the reciprocal time between the shots, to calculate the time depth from a surface geophone to the refractor.

The seismic refraction survey was performed according to procedure GP-2(P), "Standard Practice for Acquisition, Reduction, and Display of Refraction Seismic Data" (GJO 1998).

4.1.1.2 Seismic Refraction Results

Seismic profiles for each of the three survey lines are presented in Figures 4–2, 4–3, and 4–4, respectively. The upper portion of each cross-section presents the travel-time curves generated from each shotpoint and geophone spread. The center portion presents the interpreted structure of the subsurface. The lower portion presents the interpreted velocities derived from the field data versus profile distance.

Document Number U0018101







Site Observational Work Plan for Monument Valley, Arizona Page 4-4



Figure 4–4. Seismic Interpretation for Line 3

The profile of the paleovalley is revealed in seismic line 1 (Figure 4–2). The eastern extent of the paleovalley is defined at the Shinarump outcrop just east of monitor well MON–657 and extends in width approximately 350 ft west to the dipping beds of the Shinarump Member. Examination of seismic lines 2 and 3, which are approximately 1,000 and 500 ft north of seismic line 1, respectively, does not indicate the presence of the buried paleovalley. Therefore, the seismic refraction survey results suggest that the buried paleovalley is not present in the area of lines 2 and 3.

4.1.2 TEM Survey

TEM is a geophysical technique in which a steady-state current within a large transmitting loop is abruptly terminated, causing eddy currents to flow within conductive strata below the loop. These currents decay away with time according to the conductivity (resistivity) and geometry of these strata. A receiver coil is placed at the center of the transmitting loop in a central loop configuration to detect and record the magnetic field resulting from these eddy currents. The data acquired can be mathematically modeled to produce thicknesses and conductivities (resistivities) of subsurface layering. A TEM survey was conducted at the former millsite to map the downgradient and lateral extent of the contaminant plume in the alluvial aquifer and, as a secondary objective, to provide subsurface information about the nature of the bedrock topography.

4.1.2.1 TEM Survey Procedure

TEM soundings (measurements) were obtained along the seven traverse lines shown in Figure 4–5 to map subsurface resistivity variation associated with changes in ground water ionic concentrations of contaminants and lateral changes in lithology. Data were obtained using the Geonics TEM-47 transmitter and the Geonics Digital PROTEM receiver in the central loop configuration with square transmitting loops 40 meters (m) on a side. Measurements were performed at 285-, 75-, and 30-Herz (Hz) base frequencies at each sounding location.

TEM data were processed initially to produce apparent resistivity versus sample time using the "all time" (ramp-corrected) apparent resistivity formulations provided by the RAMPRES2 (Sandberg 1990) computer software code. An approximate depth section was then created for each TEM traverse by plotting the apparent resistivity at the diffusion depth (Christensen 1995) and contouring the values. The resulting approximation yields a relatively sharp upper boundary for a conductive layer and a diffuse lower boundary.

To improve the depth resolution of the interpretations, one-dimensional layered-earth modeling was employed using a nonlinear least-squares iterative algorithm to fit field data with theoretical data calculated from specific layered-earth parameters using the EINVRT5 computer code (an updated version of EINVRT4, Sandberg 1990). Simultaneous inverse modeling of TEM data with resistivity and IP data was also used to improve layered-earth parameter resolution. EINVRT5 was also used for simultaneous inverse modeling.

4.1.2.2 TEM Results

Apparent resistivity values using the ramp-corrected formulations for gate 5 of the TEM sounding data sets and the 285 Hz base frequency are shown in Figure 4–6. The resulting logarithmic contours indicate ground water contamination in areas of low apparent resistivity. The low apparent resistivity trend appears to originate near the new tailings area near monitor well MON–606 and extends in a northerly direction for approximately 4,500 ft.

Modeling results for the resistivity values measured at sounding station TEM-14, located near alluvial monitor well MON-606, indicate that a low-resistivity layer begins at the top of the alluvial water surface and extends only to the top of the underlying Shinarump Member. This result indicates that ground water contamination is confined to the alluvial aquifer at this location (Rogers and Sandberg 1998). Similarly, modeling results for the resistivity values measured at sounding station TEM-50, located near alluvial monitor well MON-653, indicate that ground-water contamination is restricted to the alluvial aquifer at that location.





An an an Anna a Anna an بر می مرکز میکور در این میکور کارور مرکز میکور کارور

. .

Document Number U0018101





4.1.3 Resistivity/IP Survey

A Resistivity/IP survey was conducted at the former millsite to map the downgradient and lateral extent of the contaminant plume in the alluvial aquifer and, as a secondary objective, to provide subsurface information about the nature of the bedrock topography.

A resistivity survey is a geophysical technique of measuring the electrical resistivity of earth strata. Soundings (measurements) are usually performed using the Schlumberger resistivity array, which consists of a collinear four-electrode array in which current is injected and removed from the outer electrodes, and the resulting voltage (potential) is measured between the inner two electrodes. The separation of the outer electrodes is subsequently increased while the inner potential electrodes are held at a constant separation, which generates a data set of voltage versus current electrode separation. Larger current electrode separations result in a sampling of the electrical resistivity of deeper strata. These data are used to produce layer thickness and resistivities of strata below the sounding location by employing computer modeling methods to interpret the data.

An IP survey is a geophysical technique that measures the storage of electrical charge in strata. A steady-state transmitted electrical current is abruptly terminated. This results in a decay of stored charge over time to a neutral level. This effect produces a continued decaying voltage after current shutoff as a function of time. This IP effect is sampled versus time since transmitter turnoff to produce a reading of chargeability. IP and resistivity data are usually acquired simultaneously by using a bipolar transmitter waveform in which the current is on (+), off, on (-), off, and on (+) again. The receiver obtains the resistivity measurement while the transmitter is on and the IP measurement while the transmitter is off.

4.1.3.1 Resistivity/IP Procedure

Resistivity and IP soundings were obtained at four locations (IP-1 through IP-4) shown in Figure 4-5 using the Schlumberger array at current electrode half-separations ranging from 1.58 m to 100 m at logarithmic increments using 10 per decade. A Phoenix IPT-1 transmitter was used with a 3 kW generator for power. A Zonge GDP-32 general purpose receiver was used to collect the resistivity and IP data in the time domain. Data were obtained using an 8-second waveform.

Resistivity and IP data were plotted in the field for initial data quality inspection using calculated apparent resistivity and observed chargeability versus half-current electrode separation (AB/2). Simultaneous resistivity and IP modeling was performed using EINVRT5 (an updated version of EINVRT4, Sandberg 1990), a nonlinear least-squares inverse modeling computer code.

4.1.3.2 Resistivity/IP Results

The IP method, because of its ability to detect polarization effects due to clay mineralogy in the membrane polarization mechanism, can be used to distinguish conductive layers that result from an increase in ionic concentrations (ground water contamination), from those that result from the presence of clays.

Apparent resistivity and apparent chargeability versus current electrode half-spacing are shown in Figure 4–7 for data collected at location IP–4 near alluvial monitor well MON–653. The data indicate a conductive layer at depth bounded above and below by more resistive layers. This supports the interpretation that the vertical extent of alluvial ground water contamination is constrained by the top of the water table above and by the top of the Shinarump bedrock formation below. Similar curves and results are obtained for data collected at locations IP–1 and IP–3.



Figure 4–7. IP–4 Resistivity/IP Field Data Curves

4.2 Direct-Push and Hand-Auger Ground Water Sampling

The extent and nature of site-related ground water contamination was determined by delineating the vertical and horizontal distribution of nitrate and sulfate concentrations in the alluvial aquifer using a direct-push sampling device (Hydropunch). Information obtained from the Hydropunch water sampling was supplemented with water samples collected from shallow hand-augered borings located mostly to the east of the site along Cane Valley Wash. The water samples collected by the Hydropunch method and from the shallow hand-augered borings were analyzed for nitrate and sulfate in a mobile laboratory.

The Hydropunch sampling method allows rapid sampling of the ground water from a discrete 2-ft interval. Field analyses provide a quick turnaround time for nitrate and sulfate concentrations so that the site conceptual model can be updated daily and the choice of subsequent sampling locations can be optimized.

4.2.1 Hydropunch Sampling Procedure

A CME-850 track-mounted hollow-stem auger rig was employed to collect ground water samples with the Hydropunch device. With the track-mounted rig centered over the sample location, the

Document Number U0018101

auger was advanced down through the Quaternary alluvium to a depth approximately 2 to 5 ft above the desired sampling point. The Hydropunch device was then inserted into the hollow-stem auger and pressed into the sampling zone of interest. A discrete ground water sample was collected from the device's 2-ft screened interval using a small diameter bailer and analyzed in a mobile field laboratory for sulfate and nitrate by spectrophotometry. Multiple samples were collected at the same location by removing the Hydropunch device and advancing the auger to a depth approximately 2 to 5 ft above the next sampling point. A ground water sample was then collected and analyzed in the same manner as the previous one.

One to four alluvial water samples were collected with the Hydropunch device at each location to profile the contaminant plume as a function of depth. The location and number of samples were determined from results of the surface geophysical survey and from sulfate and nitrate concentrations in ground water samples obtained concurrent with the Hydropunch.

A hand auger was also used to collect ground water samples at selected locations along Cane Valley Wash and near the former source areas where the depth to water is relatively shallow. Samples were collected by first hand-augering a 4-in.-diameter borehole to a depth up to 8 ft below the ground surface. The auger was then removed and a small diameter bailer was used to collect water from the open borehole.

Analytical results of the water sampling were evaluated and integrated with existing data on a day-to-day basis to update the site conceptual model. The updated site conceptual model was used to guide the locations for the next day's sampling activities.

The following procedures were used for the collection and analyses of the water samples:

- LQ-11(P), "Standard Practice for Sampling Liquids," (GJO 1998).
- ESL Procedure 1.3, "Nitrate Analysis," Environmental Sciences Laboratory Procedure Manual (1992).
- ESL Procedure 1.5, "Sulfate Analysis," Environmental Sciences Laboratory Procedure Manual (1992).

4.2.2 Hydropunch Sampling Results

During the field investigation, 38 ground water grab samples were collected from 17 auger borings by using the Hydropunch. Eleven shallow ground water samples were collected from newly-drilled hand-augered borings. The Hydropunch and hand-auger sample locations are shown in Figure 4–8. In general, locations where only Hydropunch samples were collected are designated by the 600 series of numbers. The 700 series includes new monitor wells and Hydropunch borings that were also completed as monitor wells. The hand-auger locations are designated by the 800 series. The hand-auger locations shown include six historical hand-auger locations as well as eleven new locations where water samples were collected. The information in Figure 4–8 is shown in greater detail in Plate 1, which is provided in the envelope pocket of this SOWP.



Figure 4-8. Hydropunch, Hand Auger, and Monitor Well Locations

Hydropunch water sample results for nitrate and sulfate field analyses are summarized in Table 4–1. Hand-auger water sample results for nitrate and sulfate field analyses are summarized in Table 4–2.

Hydropunch	From Depth	To Depth	Nitrate (mg/l)	Sulfate (mg/l.)
Location	(10)	(11)	(iiig/L)	(IIIg/L)
MON-676		23	98	300
	40	50	9	152
	38	40	/92	1,500
	85	02 97	120	900
	20	22	473	2 250
MON678	60	52	073	2,250
MON-679	45	47	1210	2,000
101014-079	40	4/	1210	2,000
	18	20	30	1,250
MON-680	50	00 74	04	1,065
	80	01	2	70
	09		05	90
MON-681	43	45	95	630
	50	52	53	380
	38	40	1	195
MON-682	65	67	18	345
	90	92	18	370
	. 33	35	25	205
MON-683	63	65	37	195
	85	87	51	250
MON685	45	47	75	375
1	10	12	<1	1,175
MON-686	35	37	<1	300
	74.5	76.5	<1	225
MON-687	28		2	500
MON-688	9	10	<1	260
	13	15	3	140
MON-689	48	50	<1	125
	71	73	<1	75
MON-690	18	20	5	140
	27	28	10	120
MON-696	50	52	74	240
	70	72	38	150
	21	23	<1	1,000
MON-697	53	55	<1	190
·	73	75	<1	150
	18	20	<1	450
	45	47	. <1	400

Table 4–1. Hydropunch Data from the 1997 Field Investigation

Location Code	Depth (ft)	Nitrate (mg/L)	Sulfate (mg/L)
0851	7	220	600
0852	5	< 1	290
0853	5	1.76	126
0854	5	< 1	420
0855	4	12.1	1,500
0856	4	< 1	70
0857	5	< 1	95
0858	5	5.72 ·	225
0859	4.	10.34	470
0860	7	< 1	215
0861	3	<1	70

Table 4–2. Hand Auger Water Sample Results from the 1997 Field Investigation

At the conclusion of the Hydropunch and shallow ground water sampling, all the newly acquired data were evaluated and integrated with the most recent site conceptual model to determine the optimum location to establish the alluvial monitor well network and to place a monitor-extraction well and a bedrock monitor well.

4.3 Ground Water Well Installations

Information regarding the nature and extent of the alluvial contaminant plume, based on the results of Hydropunch sampling and field analyses, was used to optimize the design of the alluvial monitor well network (see Figure 4–8). The areal extent of the most contaminated portion of the alluvial aquifer, as defined by water samples containing nitrate concentrations exceeding 500 mg/L (Table 4–1), was used to guide the location for a 4-in. monitor-extraction well MON–765. Hydropunch sampling results were also used in combination with the results of the surface geophysical surveys and existing depth-to-bedrock well control to establish the optimum location for a paleovalley bedrock monitor well.

4.3.1 Installation Procedures

Alluvial monitor wells (MON-760 to -762, -764, -766 to -772, -774, and -777) were constructed using 2-in. i.d., flush-joint, threaded polyvinyl chloride (PVC) casing, and slotted PVC screen. The annular space around each casing was filled with sand from the bottom of the borehole to a level 2 ft above the top of the screen. A 3-ft bentonite seal was installed above the filter pack, and the remaining annular space was filled to 2 ft below ground level with an expanding grout mixture. Concrete was used to fill the remaining annulus to ground level and to install the well-cover pad.

The alluvial monitor-extraction well (MON-765) installed near the center of the contaminant plume was constructed using 4-in. i.d., flush-joint, threaded PVC casing and a 30-ft slotted PVC screen. The bottom of the well screen was installed at the bedrock and alluvium contact. The top of the well screen is approximately 15 ft below the alluvial water level. Sand was placed in the annular space from the bottom of the borehole to a depth of 2 ft above the top of the well screen.

A 3-ft bentonite seal was installed above the filter sand pack, and the remaining annular space was filled to a depth of 2 ft below the ground surface with an expanding grout mixture. Concrete was used to fill the remaining annulus to the ground surface and to install the well-cover pad.

A new bedrock monitor well (MON–775) was installed approximately 800 ft downgradient from the former old tailings pile/heap-leach area, along the northeast-trending axis of the paleovalley. This monitor well was constructed by advancing the borehole with a hollow-stem auger through 121 ft of Quaternary material and through several feet of the weathered portion of the Moenkopi Formation. When the auger reached competent Moenkopi Formation, a 5-in. diameter steel casing was cemented in place to prevent migration of contaminants from the alluvial aquifer into the lower De Chelly Sandstone aquifer. The cement was allowed to cure and was tested before the borehole was advanced downward by coring through the remaining section of the Moenkopi Formation and into the upper portion of the De Chelly Sandstone. After coring continued 40 ft into the De Chelly Sandstone the boring was completed with 2-in. i.d., flush-joint, threaded PVC casing and a 25-ft slotted screen.

A second bedrock monitor well (MON-776) was installed 50 ft south of existing production well MON-619 for use as an observation well during an aquifer test. Both the new bedrock boring and existing uncased production well MON-619 were completed using 6-in. i.d. flush-joint, threaded PVC casing and 50-ft slotted screens. The top of the screened interval for both wells was placed approximately 10 ft below the Moenkopi-De Chelly contact. The depth to the Moenkopi and De Chelly contact for well MON-619 was based on the core obtained from the boring for the new bedrock well MON-776. The boring for well MON-776 was advanced by coring to the desired depth, then reaming to the proper diameter to accommodate the well casing and protective well cover.

Detailed well construction procedures are available in the Drilling Statement of Work in the *Work Plan for Characterization Activities at the UMTRA Monument Valley Project Site* (DOE 1997c) and in the procedure that was used for the well installations: LQ-14(P), "Technical Comments on ASTM D 5092—Standard Practice for Design and Installation of Ground-Water Monitor Wells in Aquifers" (GJO 1998). Lithologic and monitor well completion logs are presented in Appendix A.

4.3.2 Alluvial Monitor Well Network

Thirteen 2-in. diameter water wells were installed during the 1997 fieldwork to monitor migration of the contaminant plume in the alluvial aquifer. The locations of the new alluvial aquifer wells are shown in Figure 4–8.

Six of the monitor wells (MON-760, -761, -762, -764, -767, and -768) were installed to monitor the downgradient and lateral extent of the plume boundary. These wells were constructed so that the screened intervals intersect the most likely zone where the highest contaminant concentrations at the plume boundary can be expected to occur. Depths for the screened intervals were based on results of the vertical concentration profiling obtained from the Hydropunch sampling, existing monitor well control, and lithologic information from auger cuttings and split-barrel sampling.

Field Investigation Results

Five monitor wells (MON-766, -769, -770, -771, and -777) were installed to monitor the vertical distribution of contaminants near the center of the plume where the highest nitrate concentrations were detected and for use as observation wells during aquifer tests.

Two monitor wells (MON-772 and MON-774) were installed near the center of the old tailings pile/heap-leach pads and near the eastern edge of the new tailings pile, respectively, to evaluate the potential for residual contaminants in the former source areas. Well MON-774 was also designed to serve as an observation well during an aquifer test.

Construction details such as the screen depth, screen length, total depth of the well, and the geologic formation in which the well is screened are summarized in Table 4–3. Results of the alluvial aquifer tests conducted at wells MON-766, -769, -770, -771, -774, and -777 are provided in Section 4.6. Results of ground water sampling and laboratory chemical analyses are provided in Appendix C.

4.3.3 Alluvial Monitor and Extraction Well

Well MON-765 was installed near the center of the alluvial plume where the highest nitrate concentrations were detected (Figure 4-8). This 4-in. diameter well is used (1) as a ground water sampling well to monitor the vertical distribution of plume contaminants in the middle to lower portion of the alluvial aquifer, (2) as an aquifer test well, and (3) as a potential extraction well during remedial action.

Construction details, such as the screen depth, screen length, total depth of the well, and the geologic formation in which the well is screened are summarized in Table 4–3 and in Appendix A. Results of the alluvial aquifer test conducted at well MON–765 are provided in Section 4.6 and in Appendix B. Results of ground water sampling and laboratory chemical analyses are provided in Appendix C.

4.3.4 Bedrock Monitor Wells

Diamond core holes were drilled approximately 50 ft into the De Chelly bedrock aquifer at two locations. The first location, well MON-775 (Figure 4-8), was drilled to obtain geologic information regarding the characteristics of the buried paleovalley and then completed as a monitor well to evaluate potential uranium contamination in the bedrock aquifer. The second location, well MON-776, was drilled to determine depths to geologic contacts. The core hole was subsequently completed as a bedrock monitor well and used as an observation well during the aquifer test in the De Chelly Sandstone.

Table 4–3. Construction Summary Table-Existing and New Monitor Wells

Logation	North Coord	East Coord	Ground Elay	Borehole	Borehole	Top of	Casing	Casing	Screen	Screen	E laur	Zone
Code	(State-Plane)	(State-Plane)	(ft)	Depth	Dia.	Casing Elev.	Length	Diameter	Depth	Length	Code	of
	(calle 1 1 400			(bls)*	(in.)	(ft)	(ft)	<u>(In.)</u>	(bls)	(ft) _		Compl. ^e
0760	2162653	590711	4812.3	77.0	76	4814 8	78.0	20	55.0	20.0		
0761	2162488	588611	4832.3	55.5	7.6	4835.0	57.2	2.0	39.0	10.0	D	Â
0762	2162865	589783	4818.1	90.0	7.6	4820.7	57.1	2.0	29.0	20.0	D	A
0764	2161265	588408	4848.7	·52,5	7.6	4851.5	55.3	2.0	47.0	5.0	D	AI
0765	2160368	589204	4845.6	89.0	10.5	4848.5	91.8	4.0	58.6	30.1	D	AI
0766	2160418	589211	4844.8	60.0	7.6	4848.0	60.7	2.0	47.2	10.0	D	Al
0767	2161713	591504	4805.5	65.0	7.6	4808.3	. 66.8	2.0	43.5	20.0	D	AI
0768	2160426	590931	4817.9	45.0	7.6	4820.7	47.8	2.0	24.4	20.0	D	AI .
0770	2159604	589141	4050.5 4854 A	44.U 65.5	7.0	4801.3	47.U 68.4	2.0	33.4 54 Q	10.0	D	AI
0771	2159742	588575	4860.8	79.0	7.6	4863.3	80.5	2.0	57.4	20.0	5	
0772	2158168	588854	4844.7	30.0	7.6	4847.6	30.9	2.0	7.4	20.0	ŏ	Ai
0774	2158901	587494	4877.4	55.5	7.6	4880.1	58.2	2.0	45.0	10.0	õ	AJ
0777	2160383	589206	4845.4	49.0	7.6	4848.2	50.1	2.0	31.8	15.0	D	A
0775	2159521	587965	4876.5	167.8	10.5	4879.7	170.7	2.0	142.0	25.0	D	Dc
0776	2158791	587590	4880.4	150.2	9.9	4883.3	152.9	6.0	99.5	50.0	0 '	Dc
Wells Insta	alled Before 199	7		,								
0200	2156826	589741	-	· -	-	-	-	-	-		U	AL
0400	21546/9	589333	4870.7	12.7	2.0	48/0.4	12.4	2.0	/.8	4.5	0	AL
0401	2157504	209332 500516	4070.7	10.1	2.0	40/0.4	0.5 0.9	2.0	. 4.0	2.0	0	AL
0403	2157637	590468	4836 6	83	2.0	4836 2	9.0 8.0	2.0 2.0	34	4.0 45	0 U	
0404	2157674	590435	4838.2	8.6	2.0	4837.7	8.0	2.0	3.7	4.5	U.	AL
0405	2157637	590468	4836.6	3.7	2.0	4836.5	3.6	2.0	0.7	2.3	Ŭ	AL
0407	2159626	590905	4820.4	11.8	2.0	4820.1	11.5	2.0	6.9	4.5	Ċ	AL
0408	2159070	591542	4823.7	8.8	2.0	4823.5	8.6	2.0	3.9	4.5	c	AL
0409	2159084	591495	4821.7	16.0	2.0	4821.5	15.8	2.0	11.1	4.5	С	· AL
0410	2159096	591442	4823.7	10.5	2.0	4823.4	10.3	2.0	5.6	4.5	С	AL
0411	2159083	591495	4821.7	4.7	2.0	4821.4	4.4	2.0	2.0	1.6	С	AL
0413	21635/3	592962	4/84.1	10.5	2.0	4/83.9	10.2	2.0	5.6	4.5	C	AL
0414	216354/	592893	4/82.4	15.7	2.0	4/82.0	15.3	2.0	10.8	4.5	C	AL
0415	2163523	592033	4785.7	9.4	. 2.0	4/03.0	9.0	2.0	4.5	4.5	Č.	AL
0417	2163546	592893	4782 4	50	2.0	4782.2	48	2.0	5.Z 1 A	4.5	č	
0602	2156378	588661	4862.1	35.0	6.6	4864.4	33.9	2.0	19.5	10.0	ŭ	AL .
0603	2157813	589037	4847.6	55.0	6.6	4849.4	56.8	2.0	43.0	10.0	ŭ	AL
0604	2158397	589424	4838.7	30.0	6.6	4840.4	31.7	2.0	13.0	15.0	č	AL
0605	2158708	590066	4832.6	32.0	6.6	4835.1	33.5	2.0	14.0	15.0	с	AL
0606	2159034	588634	4861.8	47.0	6.6	4864.7	50.0	2.0	32.0	10.0	D	AL
_0616	2156748	587988	4871,1	-	•	4869.5	6.5	•	-	-	υ	AL
0617	2152094	587098	4907.8	-	-	4909.1	-	•	-	-	U	AL
0640	2155769	589014	4875.0	-	-	-	· -	•		-	U	AL
0650	2164970	589923	4/91.3	99.5	7.9	4794.3	102.5	4.0	77.5	20.0	D	AL
0652	2163/09	592735	4704.0	62.0 56.0	7.9	4/6/.9	61.4	4.0	20.0	20.0	Č	AL
0653	2161250	589596	4834.3	78.0	7.9	4837 1	80.8	4.0	56.0	20.0	ň	
0654	2159351	591064	4821.6	79.0	7.9	4824.4	81.8	4.0	57.0	20.0	c	
0655	2159754	588624	4858.9	. 60.0	7.9	4862.1	63.2	4.0	38.0	20.0	Ď	AL
0656	2159545	589175	4853.5	60.0	7.9	4856.3	62.8	4.0	38.0	20.0	D	AL
0662	2159237	587577	4875.8	70.0	7.9	4878.6	72.3	4.0	37.5	30.0	Ď	AL
0669	2160145	588265	4864.1	56.0	7.9	4867.2	59.1	4.0	34.0	20.0	D	AL
0601	2154981	588018	4881.8	24.0	6.6	4884.9	27.1	2.0	12.0	10.0	U	SR-AL
0607	2159657	587519	4868.0	30.0	6.6	4871.4	30.9	2.0	12.5	10.0	D	SR
0609	2159053	587650	4877.0	15.0	6.6	4880.0	17.0	2.0	7.0	5.0	0	SR-AL
0610	2156339	588612	4862.2	130.5	6.6	4863.2	86.0	2.0	63.0	20.0	U	SR
0614	2160940	588094	4005,6	04.5	8.0	4856.8	/1.2	2.0	48.0	20.0	0	SR-AL
0658	215//90	588857	4040.0 4877 0	165.0	70	4000.2	91.0 160.0	2.U 4.0	135.0	20.0	0	5K (
0659	2159070	588670	4861 7	110.0	79	4865 0	1123	· 40	87.0	20.0	0 D	5K 5D
0660	2161303	589584	4833.6	155.0	. 7.9	4836 3	157.8	40 .	133.0	20.0	D D	SP
0611	2157811	589017	4848.2	185.0	6.6	4849.3	186.1	2.0	163.0	20.0	ũ	DC
0612	2158437	585615	5006.2	215.0	6.6	5007.8	216.6	2.0	175.0	20.0	ŭ	DC
0613	2156378	588644	4861.9	-160.0	6.6	4864.3	162.4	2.0	138.0	20.0	Ú	DC
0618	2158868	587017	4922.1	153.0	12.0	4924.8	155.7	12.0	-	-	0	DC
0619	2158877	587587	4886.3	154.4	12.0	4888.6	156.8	6.0	103.9	50.0	0	DC
0657	2159265	587597	4876.6	140.0	7.9	4879.0	140.4	4.0	121.0	15.0	0	DC
0663	2159070	588593	4862.4	217.0	7.9	4865.7	220.3	4.0	175.0	40.0	D	DC
0664	2161256	589537	4834.5	233.0	7.9	4837.4	235.8	4.0	211.0	20.0	D	DC
0668	21601/1	568287	4865.0	218.0	7.9	4867.8	217,8	4.0	180.0	20.0	0	DC

*bls = below land surface *Flow codes: C = Cross Gradient; D = Downgradient; O = On-site; U = Upgradient

*Zones of completion: AI = alluvium; Dc = De Chelly Sandstone Member of the Cutler Formation; Sr = Shinarump Member of the Chinle Formation

Elevated uranium concentrations have been detected in samples from monitor well MON–657, which is completed in the De Chelly Sandstone. Because the downgradient extent of potential contaminant migration in the De Chelly aquifer was unknown, a new bedrock well, MON–775, was installed northeast (downgradient) from well MON–657. The location, based on the results of the geophysical survey, surface geologic relationships, and existing depth-to-bedrock well control, is approximately 800 ft downgradient from the former old tailings pile/heap-leach area, along the northeast-trending axis of the paleovalley. Geologic information obtained from this boring also provides verification of the existence and nature of the paleovalley.

De Chelly ground water collected from production well MON–619 has also had elevated uranium concentrations—approximately 2.5 times the MCL of 0.044 mg/L. However, well MON–619 was an uncased and unscreened borehole that was used as a production well during operation of the mill. Because this well was not a properly installed monitor well, the source of uranium contamination in the De Chelly ground water could not be determined with reasonable certainty. To evaluate the potential extent of uranium contamination in the De Chelly aquifer, production well MON–619 was completed as a monitor well and a new well, MON–776, was installed approximately 50 ft upgradient (south) of existing well MON–619 (Figure 3–1). Well MON–776 is used as a monitor well to obtain samples for uranium analysis and as an observation well during an aquifer test.

Construction details, such as the screen depth, screen length, total depth of the well, and the geologic formation in which the well is screened are summarized in Table 4–3 and in Appendix A. Results of the bedrock aquifer tests at well MON–776 are provided in Section 4.6 and in Appendix B. Results of ground-water sampling and laboratory chemical analyses are provided in Appendix C.

4.4 Sediment and Bedrock Sampling

Both composite and discrete sediment samples were collected during the field investigation. The purpose of the sediment sampling was to obtain lithologic information on the nature of potential lacustrine deposits and alluvial sediments that may influence the migration of contaminants through the alluvial aquifer. Discrete and continuous core samples of bedrock formations were also collected to verify stratigraphy and geologic contacts.

4.4.1 Sediment and Bedrock Sampling Procedures

Composite samples of the auger cuttings were collected every 5 ft during the Hydropunch ground water sampling activities and monitor well installations. Lithologic descriptions of the material were recorded by the site geologist using Unified Soil Classification System terminology in Section SL-24(P) of the *Environmental Procedures Catalog* (GJO 1998).

Discrete subsurface sediment and bedrock samples were collected using a track-mounted hollow-stem auger rig and a split-barrel sampling device. With the track-mounted auger rig centered over the sample location, the auger was advanced down through the Quaternary material to the desired sampling depth. When the auger reached the desired sampling depth, a 3-in. o.d. by 18-in.-long split-barrel sampler was attached to the drive rod and lowered to the top of the

Document Number U0018101

Field Investigation Results

interval to be sampled. The barrel was then driven for the length of the sampler or until 6 in. or less of penetration was achieved after 50 blows with a 140-pound drop hammer having a 30-in. drop. After the split-barrel was full, or no further penetration was possible, the barrel was carefully removed from the borehole and separated from the drive-rod assembly. The barrel was then laid flat on an uncontaminated surface and the head and drive shoe were removed. One-half of the split barrel was removed to expose the sample. The uppermost portion of sample in the split barrel was inspected and the slough was discarded, if present. The remaining sample was considered representative and placed in a stainless steel or aluminum pan, if necessary. The material was described by the site geologist using Unified Soil Classification System terminology in Section SL-24(P) of the *Environmental Procedures Catalog* (GJO 1998).

Continuous core samples of the bedrock formations were collected using a nominal 5-ft long, double tube, swivel-type, NX diamond core barrel and wireline system. Clean water was used as the circulation medium. State-of-the-industry diamond coring practices were used to effect the highest core recovery possible. Recovered core was washed and then placed in boxes within the longitudinal separators, from left to right, as a book would be read, that is, core was placed starting with the shallowest portion of the hole at the upper left corner and ending with core from the deepest portion of the hole in the lower right corner. Spacer blocks were inserted between the cored sections within the longitudinal separators where no recovery was noted. All core boxes, including the lids, were permanently marked showing top and bottom and the beginning and ending depths for the core. All core was described by the site geologist.

All sediment and bedrock sampling was performed in accordance with the following procedures from the *Environmental Procedures Catalog* (GJO 1998):

- SL-6(P), "Technical Comments on ASTM D 1452-80(90)—Standard Practice for Soil Investigation and Sampling by Auger Borings"
- SL-7(P), "Technical Comments on ASTM D 1586–84(92)—Standard Test Method for Penetration Test and Split-Barrel Sampling of Soils"
- SL-19(P), "Technical Comments on ASTM D 2488-93—Standard Practice for Description and Identification of Soils"
- SL-9(P), "Technical Comments on ASTM D 2113-83-Standard Practice for Diamond Core Drilling for Site Investigation"

4.4.2 Sediment and Bedrock Sampling Results

Lithologic descriptions of composite samples of the auger cuttings collected at each Hydropunch and monitor well location shown in Figure 4–8 are presented in the field logs in Appendix A.

Split-barrel samples were collected at the three locations shown on Figure 4–8 that coincide with monitor well MON–760 near the northeast edge of the nitrate plume, monitor well MON–761 near the northwest edge of the nitrate plume, and monitor well MON–774 near the center of the

former old tailings/heap-leach area. Lithologic descriptions of the discrete samples collected with the split-barrel sampler are presented in the field logs in Appendix A.

Core samples were recovered from two new De Chelly monitor wells, MON-775 and MON-776, installed near the downgradient extent of the buried paleovalley and near the former old tailings area, respectively. The locations of the bedrock wells are shown on Figure 4-8. Lithologic descriptions of the core are provided in the field logs in Appendix A.

4.5 Subpile Soil Sampling

During the uranium milling operations at the Monument Valley site, several ponds were used for evaporation of milling fluids and for disposal of tailings. The radioactive material has been removed from the site. No radioactive materials exceeding 15 picocuries per gram (pCi/g) radium-226 were left. However, the potential exists for nonradionuclide contaminants to have seeped into the soils. Contaminated soils could contaminate infiltrating water as it passes through them and prolong the ground water cleanup effort.

Soil samples were collected and analyzed to evaluate the distribution of selected site-related constituents in the soils underlying the former tailings piles, heap leach pads, and evaporation ponds. Background soil samples were also collected and analyzed.

4.5.1 Subpile Soil Sampling Procedures

Twenty-six samples from nine soil borings were analyzed. Figure 4–9 shows the locations of the nine soil borings. Three soil borings were in the former location of the new tailings pile, and two each in the former heap-leach pads and evaporation pond. Two background soil borings were upgradient of the site. Each soil boring was hand augered to a depth of 3.5 to 8.5 ft. Samples were double bagged in clean plastic bags and placed in 5-gal plastic buckets for transport to the laboratory.

Lithologic logs of the soil were prepared in the field (Figure 4–10). The upper 1–2 ft was loose fill material that had been placed on the surface and graded after removal of the tailings and was not representative of the subpile soils. Samples were collected at approximately 1-ft intervals below the fill. Figure 4–10 shows the stratigraphic locations of the samples collected for this study.

4.5.2 Sample Preparation Methods

Samples were air-dried (no oven heat) and sieved to less than 2 millimeters (mm). Only a minor amount of material was excluded due to sieving. A petrographic thin section was made of each sample used in the extractions. The thin sections were examined to determine mineralogy and texture. Because some of the minerals of interest are water soluble (e.g., gypsum), the thin sections were cut and polished in oil. Two to three samples from each soil boring were analyzed.





DOE/Grand Junction Office April 1999

Field Investigation Results





4.5.2.1 Chemical Extraction Methods

Chemical extractions were used to determine the potential mobility of contaminants. Each sample was extracted by using three separate lixiviants, and the residue was completely digested and analyzed. The lixiviants were deionized water, alluvial ground water, and 5-percent hydrochloric acid. Extractions were performed sequentially on the same starting material to avoid variation due to sample heterogeneity. Each extraction was harsher than the preceding one.

Each chemical extraction was related to scenarios that could cause contaminant release at the site. Deionized water was used first to simulate rain water that could leach the subpile sediments through infiltration. Soluble phases, including gypsum, dissolve in deionized water. Alluvial ground water was then used to simulate the water-table rise that could cause ground water to contact contaminated soils. Additional bicarbonate present in the site ground water should release additional uranium that may be sorbed to oxides or silicates. Five-percent hydrochloric acid was then used to remove amorphous ferric and manganese oxyhydroxides. Metals and radionuclides are likely to reside in these oxyhydroxides. The acid treatment also dissolves carbonate minerals and releases any sorbed cations. Although oxyhydroxides are stable in most soils, irrigation practices or other land use could cause reducing conditions in the soils and lead to dissolution of the oxyhydroxides with release of their sorbed constituents. Finally, a complete digestion of the sample residue was performed to determine the total concentrations of the constituents in the soil. Any additional constituents that are contained in recalcitrant mineral phases will be released and analyzed by this method. The results of the subpile samples were compared to the results from the background samples to estimate the degree of contamination.

The extraction procedure consists of the following steps:

- Two grams of soil (accurately weighed) were placed in a centrifuge tube with 100 milliliters (mL) of deionized water, and the contents were shaken on an end-over-end shaker for 4 hours.
- Contents were centrifuged to remove particles less than 2 micrometers (μm) in diameter. Supernatant was decanted into a 200-mL volumetric flask.
- Additional deionized water (about 100 mL) was added. Contents were shaken for 15 minutes, centrifuged, and decanted into the same 200-mL flask.
- The 200-mL flask was filled to volume with deionized water and filtered (0.2 μm filter). Alkalinity, pH, and Eh were measured. The remaining water was preserved and sent to the analytical lab for analyses.
- 100 mL of site ground water were added to the residue in the 100-mL tube and shaken for 4 hours. Composition of the ground water is (micrograms per liter [μg/L]): Mn = 30, Sr = 330, U = 1, V = 10, NH₄ = 9.3, NO₃ = 50, and SO₄ = 35,700.
- Contents were centrifuged to remove particles less than 2 μm in diameter. Supernatant was decanted into a second 200-mL volumetric flask.

- Additional site ground water (about 100 mL) was added. Contents were shaken for 15 minutes, centrifuged, and decanted into the same 200-mL flask.
- The 200-mL flask was filled to volume with site ground water and filtered (0.2 µm filter). Alkalinity, pH, and Eh were measured. The remaining water was preserved and sent to the analytical lab for analyses.
- The procedure was repeated using 5-percent hydrochloric acid.
- The residue was dried, ground, completely digested (microwave digestion with concentrated nitric acid), and analyzed.
- All extracted samples were analyzed for Mn, NH₄, SO₄, NO₃, U, V, and Sr. Nitrate and sulfate were analyzed by ion chromatography. Ammonium was determined by spectrophotometry. Mn, V, and Sr were analyzed by ICP-AES, and U by ICP-MS. The total digestions were analyzed for Mn, U, V, and Sr (NO₃, NH₄, and SO₄ are considered too volatile to provide meaningful results).
- From these data, the amount of each constituent removed during each step was calculated. The total amount of each constituent was also calculated.

4.5.3 Subpile Soil Sampling Results

Lithologic logs for the nine sample locations shown are provided in Figure 4–10. The lithology consists of a red-brown, very fine grained sand. Thin section observations indicate the presence of ferric oxyhydroxides.

Raw data and calculations for the leach analyses are presented in Tables 4–4 through 4–7. Each table lists the data and calculations from the sequential extractions: Deionized water (Table 4–4), ground water (Table 4–5), 5-percent HCl (Table 4–6), and total dissolution (Table 4–7). Bold type in the tables indicates that a concentration was less than the detection limit; for those, the detection limit was used in the calculations.

The extraction of strontium in sample 851-2 is used to illustrate the calculations. The effluent from the deionized water extraction had a strontium concentration of $89.1 \mu g/L$ (column 5, Table 4-4).

Two grams of sample were extracted with 200 mL of deionized water:

200 mL x	<u>89.1 µg</u> x	x	<u>1,000 g</u> x	<u>mg</u> =8.91 mg/kg	(Column 6,
2 g [°]	L	1,000 mL [°]	kg ^	1,000 µg	Table 4-4)

The residuum was then extracted with 200 mL of ground water that had a strontium concentration of 330 μ g/L. After this extraction, 332 μ g/L of Sr is in the effluent (Column 6, Table 4–5).

Site Observational Work Plan for Monument Valley, Arizona Page 4-28 Table 4-4. Deionized Water Extraction

						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		_							
Sample	Area	Mn	Mn	Sr	Sr	U	U	V	V	NH₄	NH₄	NO ₃	NO ₃	SO₄	SO₄
ID	ID	μg/L	mg/kg	µg/L	mg/kg	µg/L	mg/kg	µg/L	mg/kg	µg/L	mg/kg	µg/L	mg/kg	µg/L	mg/kg
851-2	EP	N. 1.	0.1	89.1	8.91	west of the	0.1	1270	127	145	14.5	13100	1310	70500	7050
851-3	EP	7.7	0.77	52.5	5.25	1. S. 1.	0.1	877	87.7	41.6	4.16	7270	727	27700	2770
851-4	EP	23.1	2.31	29.2	2.92	合任 1 1.1。	0.1	544	54.4	178	17.8	1020	102	2120	212
863-2	EP	1.4	0.14	20.9	2.09	1. 1 .	0.1	103	10.3	46.3	4.63	4760	476	15500	1550
863-3	EP	or rivel in the	0.1	20.7	2.07	1. 1. 1. S	0.1	65.5	6.55	41.6	4.16	3800	380	12900	1290
863-4	EP	1	0.1	29.8	2.98	Jose of an	0.1	76.7	7.67	50.9	5.09	5190	519	16500	1650
864-2	NT	200 P.1	0.1	101	10.1	C. 🕄 🛯 🖂 💷	0.1	96.9	9.69	51.1	5.11	1030	103	91900	9190
864-3 ·	NT	3341 T.S.	0.1	29	2.9	S. S. 1.	0.1	89.5	8.95	27.7	2.77	6720	672	14200	1420
864-4	NT	3.7	0.37	14.7	1.47	3 28 1 St. 1	0.1	116	11.6	25.4	2.54	2200	220	3460	346
865-2	NT	1.1.	0.1	50.2	5.02	1810 -	0.1	244	24.4	30.1	3.01	4060	406	31600	3160
866-2	NT	s. 1 . 7	0.1	60.6	6.06	v**5 1 • • • •	0.1	353	35.3	1100	110	9730	973	18500	1850
866-3	NT	1.7	0.17	45.8	4.58		0.1	106	10.6	1360	136	8360	836	18000	1800
866-4	NT	6.3	0.63	24.8	2.48	Margarett 1 March	0.1	98.8	9.88	1840	184	6990	699	4000	400
866-5	NT	5.5	0.55	13.5	1.35	San Barris	0.1	54.3	5.43	2320	232	8240	824	2720	272
866-6	NT	2.8	0.28	11.7	1.17	<u>್ಷ 1</u>	0.1	15.5	1.55	2620	262	8140	814	2240	224
867-2	HL	. 1	0.1	12.5	1.25	1	0.1	13	1.3	37	3.7	616	61.6	255	25.5
867-3	HL	1.8	0.18	12.5	1.25	and the second	0.1	i 🖓 13 🖧	1.3	34.7	3.47	755	75.5	371	37.1
868-2	HL	Stat 1 Same	0.1	10.1	1.01	201 1 200	0.1	50.7	5.07	48.6	4.86	8410	841	471	47.1
868-3	HL	\$_ 1 00	0.1	9.2	0.92	3.3° 1	0.1	68	6.8	20.8	2.08	553	55.3	752	75.2
868-4	HL		0.1	15.9	1.59	. (5 1 .)	0.1	84.5	8.45	25.4	2.54	741	74.1	3470	347
869-2	BG		0.1	46.5	4.65	1	0.1	13	1.3	17.5	1.75	268	26.8	3870	387
869-3	BG	1. T	0.1	38.6	3.86	5	0.1	13	1.3	16.1	1.61	481	48.1	3430	343
869-4	BG	1	0.1	27.1	2.71	7.57. 1	0.1	10 . 13	1.3	37	3.7	8080	808	2430	243
870-2	BG	1.8	0.18	29.5	2.95	1 1	0.1	i 13	1.3	34.7	3.47	255	25.5	1280	128
870-3	BG	6.1	0.61	24.8	2.48	1.4.2. 1. 4.2.	0.1	3 13 🖓	1.3	23.1	2.31	384	38.4	1130	113
870-4	BG	5.9	0.59	23.8	2.38	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	0.1) 13	1.3	25.4	2.54	729	72.9	949	94.9

BG = Background area

EP = Evaporation ponds HL =. Heap-leach pads

NT = New tailings pile

(Shaded cells = detection limit; actual value was lower)

Table 4–5. Ground Water Extraction

			* 84-			t C-	<u> </u>		* ! !			# 1/			* NILL			TNO			100	للسيسي
			- Min			or Sr												NU ₃			-304	
Sample	Area	Mn	in Gw	•••Mn	Sr	in Gw	Sr	U	in Gw	U	V	In GW	V	NH4	IN GW	NH4	NO ₃	in GW	NO ₃	SO4	in GW	SO4
ID	<u>ID</u>	µg/L	µg/L	mg/kg	µg/L	µg/L	mg/kg	µg/L	µg/L	mg/kg	µg/L	µg/L	mg/kg	µg/L	µg/L	mg/kg	µg/L	µg/L_	mg/kg	∙µg/L	µg/L	mg/kg
851-2	EP	1.3	30	-2.9	332	330	0.2	15.9	1	1.49	148	10	13.80	64.2	9.3	5.49	4500	50	445	36400	35700	70
851-3	EP	1.2	30	-2.9	338	330	0.8	4	1	0.30	120	10	11.00	23.3	9.3	1.4	1730	50	168	35900	35700	20
851-4	EP	8.7	30	-2.1	346	330	1.6	5.6	1	0.46	48.1	10	3.81	37.4	9.3	2.81	1340	50	129	36300	35700	60
863-2	EP	1.5	30	-2.9	341	330	1.1	1.4	1	0.04	16	10	0.60	18.7	9.3	0.94	1290	50	124	36200	35700	50
863-3	EP	.	30	-2.9	339	330	0.9	1.6	1	0.06	ີ 13 ີ	10	0.30	18.7	9.3	0.94	631	50	58.1	35900	35700	20
863-4	EP	a1 30	30	-2.9	330	330	0.0	1.3	1	0.03	. 13	10	0.30	23.3	9.3	1.4	623	50	57.3	36100	35700	40
864-2	NT	2.9	30	-2.7	338	330	0.8	. 1	1	0.00	20.8	10	1.08	28	9.3	1.87	717	50	66.7	36100	35700	40
864-3	NT	1 .	30	-2.9	343	330	1.3	1	1	0.00	22.5	10	1.25	18.7	9.3	0.94	6610	50	656	36200	35700	50
864-4	NT	31 8	30	-2.9	333	330	0.3	1	1	0.00	18.6	10	0.86	21	9.3	1.17	810	50	76	35700	35700	0
865-2	NT	2 1 _	30	-2.9	340	330	1.0	1.1	1	0.01	38.2	10	2.82	21	9.3	1.17	4670	50	462	36400	35700	70
866-2	NT	4.3	30	-2.6	346	330	1.6	1.1	1	0.01	44.1	10	3.41	129	9.3	11.97	821	50	77.1	35900	35700	20
866-3	NT	2.9	30	-2.7	381	330	5.1	. 1	1	0.00	25.8	10	1.58	101	9.3	9.17	1790	50	174	36000	35700	30
866-4	NT	2.3	30	-2.8	361	330	3.1	_1_1	1	0.00	30.9	10	2.09	211	9.3	20.17	566	50	51.6	35700	35700	0
866-5	NT	7	30	-2.3	355	330	2.5	1	1	0.00	13 .	10	0.30	295	9.3	28.57	720	50	67	36000	35700	30
866-6	NT	6.5	-30	-2.4	360	330	3.0	1	1	0.00	13	10	0.30	377	9.3	36.77	1240	50	119	35800	35700	10
867-2	HL	1	30	-2.9 ·	351	330	2.1	1.1	1	0.01	13	10	0.30	16.3	9.3	0.7	515	50	46.5	35900	35700	20
867-3	HL	1	30	-2.9	345	330	1.5	1	1	0.00	<u></u>	10	0.30	21	9.3	1.17	583	50	53.3	36200	35700	50
868-2	HL [·]		30	-2.9	353	330	2.3	2.4	1	0.14	13.3	10	0.33	35.1	9.3	2.58	6590	50	654	36000	35700	30
868-3	HL	.	30	-2.9	344	330	1.4	2.8	1	0.18	18.4	10	0.84	9.3	9.3	0	562	50	51.2	35800	35700	10
868-4	HL	** 1. *	30	-2.9	341	330	1.1	3.5	1	0.25	22.2	10	1.22	14	9.3	0.47	549	50	49.9	35900	35700	20
869-2	BG	\$1 <	30	-2.9	404	330	7.4	1.4	1	0.04	13	10	0.30	17.5	9.3	0.82	1540	50	149	36000	35700	30
869-3	BG	%1 .,	30	-2.9	382	330	5.2	1.3	1	0.03	13	10	0.30	.21	9.3	1.17	539	50	48.9	36800	35700	110
869-4	BG	્રા	30	-2.9	360	330	3.0	1.1	1	0.01	13	10	0.30	18.7	9.3	0.94	1190	50	114	37000	35700	130
870-2	BG	3. 1	30	-2.9	364	330	3.4	2. 1 .)	1	0.00	13	10	0.30	23.3	9.3	1.4	451	50	40.1	36400	35700	70
870-3	BG	1	30	-2.9	360	330	3.0	ំ 1	1	0.00	13	10	0.30	25.7	9.3	1.64	505	50	.45.5	36400	35700	70
870-4	BG	19.5	30	-1.1	362	330	3.2	1.6	1	0.06	13	10	0.30	18.7	9.3	0.94	2910	50	286	36600	35700	90

* Assumes an average value for previous analyses of ground-water samples from well 654.

** Negative extraction indicates uptake. The uptake is subtracted from the HCI step.

BG = Background area

EP = Evaporation ponds

HL = Heap-leach pads

NT = New tailings pile

DOE/Grand Junction Office April 1999

(Shaded Cells = detection limit; actual value was lower than this value.)

DOE/Grand Junction Office April 1999

I		<u></u>				r	T	r	.	1	1	r	r	<u></u>		1
			" Mn			6-							NO		60	60
Sample	Area	MIN	Adsorbed	Min	_ ər	ər	U	U	V	v		NIT4	NU ₃	NO ₃	504	504
ID	ID	µg/L	mg/kg	mg/kg	hd\r	mg/kg	µg/L	mg/kg	µg/L	mg/kg	µg/L	mg/kg	hð\r	mg/kg	µg/L	mg/kg
851-2	EP	521	-2.9	49.23	167	16.7	16.2	1.62	459	45.9	72.5	7.25	208	20.8	1500	150
851-3	EP	306	2.9	27.72	90.3	9.03	4.7	0.47	291	29.1	52.5	5.25	477	47.7	760	76
851-4	EP	691	-2.1	66.97	155	15.5	7.9	0.79	200	20	99.9	9.99	219	21.9	1030	103
863-2	EP	468	-2.9	43.95	86.6	8.66	2.8	0.28	47.9	4.79	40.1	4.01	372	37.2	903	90.3
863-3	EP	342	-3.0	31.2	76.5	7.65	2.6	0.26	37.8	3.78	37.6	3.76	184	18.4	799	79.9
863-4	EP	463	-3.0	43.3	155	15.5	2.5	0.25	55.2	5.52	72.5	7.25	-566	56.6	781	78.1
864-2	NT	442	-2.7	41.49	244	24.4	1.3	0.13	64.2	6.42	67.5	6,75	1040	104	1070	107
864-3	NT	513	-3.0	48.3	122	12.2	1.3	0.13	61.1	6.11	65	6.5	794	79.4	1010	101
864-4	NT	549	-3.0	51.9	96.2	9.62	1.2	0.12	50.2	5.02	60	6	550	55	855	85.5
865-2	NT	434	-3.0	40.4	226	22.6	1.6	0.16	109	10.9	57.5	5.75	139	13.9	774	77.4
866-2	NT	843	-2.6	81.73	886	88.6	2.8	0.28	126	12.6	152	15.2	1070	107	1580	158
866-3	NT	190	-2.7	16.29	84.9	8.49	1	0.1	53.6	5.36	90	9	855	85.5	834	83.4
866-4	NT	105	-2.8	7.73	53.7	5.37	1.4	0.14	140	14	102	10.2	1320	132	710	71
866-5	NT	109	-2.3	8.6	48	4.8	18 S. 18 S.	0.1	21.6	2.16	97.4	9.74	232	23.2	949	94.9
866-6	NT	85.6	-2.4	6.21	48.4	4.84	1.2	0.12	13	1.3	117	11.7	230	23	748	74.8
867-2	HL	566	-3.0	53.6	77.8	7.78	1.4	0.14		1.3	35.1	3.51	1670	. 167	1230	123
867-3	HL	474	-3.0	44.4	69.6	6.96	1.3	0.13	13 💸	1.3	35.1	3.51	786	78.6	786	78.6
868-2	HL	577	-3.0	54.7	67.4	6.74	3.5	0.35	24.3	2.43	35.1	3.51	1170	117	1660	166
868-3	HL.	935	-3.0	90.5	89.8	8.98	5.1	0.51	64.8	6.48	40.1	4.01	472	47.2	1820	182
868-4	HL	2000	-3.0	197	164	16.4	9	0.9	118	11.8	47.6	4.76	372	37.2	1630	163
869-2	BG	1390	-3.0	136	898	89.8	2.6	0.26	22.1	2.21	47.6	4.76	554	55.4	1450	145
869-3	BG	921	-3.0	89.1	930	93	2.1	0.21	13	1.3	50.1	5.01	172	17.2	1580	158
869-4	BG	613	-3.0	58.3	224	22.4	1.5	0.15	13	1.3	35.1	3.51	193	19.3	1260	126
870-2	BG	877	-3.0	84.7	193	19.3	1.1	0.11	13	1.3	45.1	4.51	244	24.4	1130	113
870-3	BG	686	-3.0	65.6	182	18.2	1.3	0.13	13	1.3	52.5	5.25	2790	279	1120	112
870-4	BG	682	-1.1	67.15	207	20.7	2.3	0.23	13	1.3	35.1	3.51	133	13.3	1070	107

* Mn that was adsorbed during the ground-water extraction step is assumed to leach back out in this HCI step

BG = Background area

EP = Evaporation ponds

HL = Heap-leach pads

Site Observational Work Plan for Monument Valley, Arizona Page 4-31

NT = New tailings pile

(Shaded cells = detection limit; actual value was lower than this value.)

Sample ID	Area ID	Mn mg/kg	Sr mg/kg	U mg/kg	V mg/kg	NH4ª	NO ₃ •	SO₄ª
851–2	EP	85.6	7	0.27	15.7	NA	NA	NA
851–3	EP	94.4	4.3	0.24	14.3	NA	NA	NA
851–3	EP	119	4.9	0.22	10.9	NA	NA	NA
863-2	EP	52.1	1.8	0.13	4.4	NA	NA	NA
863–3	EP	38.7	1.6	0.13	4.3	NA	NA	NA
863-4	EP	108	5.5	0.24	7.8	NA	NA	NA
864–2	NT	62.1	3.8	0.18	5.9	NA	NA	NA
864–3	NT	61.6	3.8	0.16	5.7	NA	NA	NA
864-4	NT	73.6	3.9	0.16	5.1	NA	NA	NA
865–2	NT	45	5.3	0.27	9.7	NA	NA	NA
866–2	NT	52.7	2.1	0.15	4.9	NA	NA	NA
866–3	NT	. 32	2.4	0.13	3.9	NA	NA	NA
866-4	NT	32	5.8	0.18	7.6	NA	NA	NA
8,66-5	NT	25.7	2.5	0.12	3.4	NA	NA	NA
8666	NT	26.2	2.6	0.14	3.7	NA	NA	NA
867–2	HL	21.5	1.1	0.1	2.4	NA	NA	NA
867–3	HL	22.1	1.2	0.09	2.5	NA	NA	NA
868–2	HL.	47.2	2.4	0.11	3.3	NA	NA	NA
868–3	HL	99.2	1.7	0.13	4.1	NA	NA	NA
868-4	HL	131	4.4	0.72	13	NA	NA	NA
869–2	BG	88.5	9.8	.0.38	12.7	NA	NA	ŇA
869–3	BG	50.6	4.4	0.24	6.1	NA	NA	NA
869_4	BG	39.8	3.8	0.18	4.8	NA	NA	NA
870–2	BG	23.5	1.7	0.12	2.8	NA	NA	NA
870–3	BG	20.4	2.1	0.13	2.8	NA	NA	NA
870-4	BG	17.1	3.1	0.17	3.3	NA	NA	NA

Table 4-7. Total Dissolution

* Total digestions were not performed for NO₃, NH₄, and SO₄ due to probability of volatilization.

BG = Background area

EP = Evaporation ponds

HL = Heap-leach pads

NT = New tailings pile

After subtracting out the concentration already in the ground water, the concentration of Sr extracted from the residuum can be calculated:

$$\frac{200 \text{ mL}}{2 \text{ g}} \times \frac{(332-330) \mu g}{L} \times \frac{L}{1,000 \text{ mL}} \times \frac{1,000 \text{ g}}{\text{kg}} \times \frac{\text{mg}}{1,000 \mu g} = 0.2 \text{ mg/kg}$$
(Column 8, Table 4–5)

For the HCl extraction:

 $\frac{200 \text{ mL}}{2 \text{ g}} \times \frac{167 \text{ }\mu\text{g}}{\text{L}} \times \frac{\text{L}}{1,000 \text{ mL}} \times \frac{1,000 \text{ g}}{\text{kg}} \times \frac{\text{mg}}{1,000 \text{ }\mu\text{g}} = 16.7 \text{ mg/kg}$ (Column 7, Table 4–6)

The results of the total digestion (based on 2 grams of sample) are listed on Table 4-7.

Manganese concentrations in the extract decreased when ground water was used as the extractant (columns 3 through 5, Table 4–5). In this case, Mn was transferred to the sediment, which resulted in a negative value for the amount extracted. It was assumed that this Mn adsorbed to the soil and was then desorbed by the subsequent 5-percent HCl extractant. Thus, this amount was subtracted from the mass extracted by HCl (Column 4, Table 4–6), and the values reflect only the amount of Mn present in the original sediment.

On Table 4–8, the concentrations from all four extractions are summed, resulting in the total amount of each constituent that was present in the original sample. Average concentrations of the selected site-related constituents occurring naturally in the earth's crust are provided for reference.

4.6 Hydrologic and Soil Tests

Estimates of the aquifer parameters for both the alluvial and bedrock systems are required to develop a design for a pump-and-treat remedial action and to better understand the hydrogeologic characteristics of the site that could influence migration of contaminants in the ground water. Surface soil permeability, hydraulic conductivity, storage, and specific yield were measured during the field investigation. Results of the measurements are provided in the following sections.

4.6.1 Surface Soil Permeability Tests

Surface permeability tests were conducted on surface and near-surface soils to estimate recharge to the alluvial aquifer through precipitation and to evaluate technologies that rely on land application methods. Details of the test locations, procedures, and data analyses are provided in Appendix B. A map showing the location where each test was performed is also provided in Appendix B.

Sample ID	Area	Mn mg/kg	Sr mg/kg	U mg/kg	V mg/kg	NH₄ mg/kg	NO ₃ mg/kg	SO₄ mg/kg
851–2	EP	134.93	32.81	3.48	202.4	27.24	1775.8	7270
851–3	EP	122.89	19.38	1.11	142.1	10.81	942.7	2866
851-4	EP	188.28	24.92	1.57	89.11	30.6	252.9	375
863–2	EP	96.19	13.65	0.55	20.09	9.58	637.2	1690.3
863–3	EP	70	12.22	0.55	14.93	8.86	456.5	1389.9
863-4	EP	151.4	23.98	0.62	21.29	13.74	632. 9	1768.1
864–2	NT	103.69	39.1	0.41	23.09	13.73	273.7	9337
864–3	NT	110	20.2	0.39	22.01	. 10.21	1407.4	1571
864-4	NT	125.87	15.29	0.38	22.58	9.71	351	431.5
865–2	NT	85.5	33.92	0.54	47.82	9.93	881.9	3307.4
866–2	NT	134.53	98.36	0.54	56.21	137.17	1157.1	2028
866–3	NT	48.46	20.57	0.33	21.44	154.17	1095.5	1913.4
866-4	NT	40.36	16.75	0.42	33.57	214.37	882.6	471
866–5	NT	34.85	11.15	0.32	11.29	270.31	914.2	396.9
866-6	NT	32.69	11.61	0.36	6.85	310.47	956	308.8
867–2	HL	75.2	12.23	0.35	5.3	7.91	275.1	168.5
867–3	HL	66.68	10.91	0.32	5.4	8.15	207.4	165.7
868–2	HL	102	12.45	0.7	11.13	10.95	1612	243.1
868–3	HL	189.8	13	0.92	18.22	6.09	153.7	267.2
868–4	HL	328.1	23.49	1.97	34.47	7.77	161.2	530
869–2	BG	224.6	111.65	0.78	16.51	7.33	231.2	562
869–3	BG	139.8	106.46	0.58	9	7.79	114.2	611
869-4	BG	98.2	31.91	0.44	7.7	8.15	941.3	499
870–2	BG	108.38	27.35	0.33	5.7	9.38	90	311
870–3	BG	86.61	25.78	0.36	5.7	9.2	362.9	295
870-4	BG	84.84	29.38	0.56	6.2	6.99	372.2	291.9
Crustal Avera	geª	950	375	1.8	135	26 ^b	89°	780 ^d

Table	4-8	Total	Amount	Extracted
1 4010	- U.	10101	<i>mount</i>	

* From Mason and Moore 1982.

^b Crustal average composition of nitrogen cast as NH_e.

^e Crustal average composition of nitrogen cast as NO₃.

^d Crustal average composition of sulfur cast as SQ.

EP = Evaporation ponds

NT = New tailings pile

HL = Heap-leach pads

BG = Background area

4.6.1.1 Surface Soil Permeability Test Results

Hydraulic conductivities (ft/year) calculated from both the E–19 nomographs and the Glover equation are presented in Table 4–9. In most cases, the values are within 10 percent of each other.

Table 4–9. Permeability Test Results

Test No.	Area No.	Nomograph K, (ft/year)	Glover Eqn K, (ft/year)
MON-301	2	500.00	392.13
MON-302	2	575.00	427.31
MON-303	1	150.00	127.26
MON-304	1	70.00	72.11
MON-305	· 1	9.00	8.02
MON-306	1	650.00	677.69
MON-307	3	115.00	126.23
MON-308	3	195.00	199.69
MON-308 DUP	3	160.00	157.27
MON-309	3	150.00	155.20
MON-310	4	65.00	40.56
MON-312	4	165.00	137.61
MON-313	5	160.00	169.68

The test results indicate:

- Saturated conductivity values across the site varied by almost two orders of magnitude. Testing locations MON-305 and MON-306 (both in Area 1) contained the lowest and highest conductivities, respectively.
- The duplicate tests were performed in different boreholes approximately 10 ft apart at location MON-308. As the results indicate, the values are within 20 percent of each other.
- Table 4–10 includes the geometric mean of the surface soil conductivity for the site and for individual areas. The geometric mean for the entire site was 145.8 ft/year based on the nomograph and 131.8 ft/year based on the Glover solution. Area 4 has the lowest permeability rates (geometric mean of 68.92 ft/year), and Area 2 has the highest (geometric mean of 404.97 ft/year). As expected, Area 4 conductivities appear to have been affected by the compacted silty sand layer (probable hard pan layer) approximately 2 ft below the ground surface.

Area	No. of Tests	Test Result	Nomograph K _s (ft/year)	Glover Eqn K, (ft/year)
Site	13ª	geomean	145.81	131.80
Area 1	4	geomean	88.53	84.04
Area 2	2	geomean	536.19	409.34
Area 3	4ª	geomean	144.97	151.42
Area 4	2	geomean	103.56	74.71
Area 5	1	NA ^b	160.00	169.68

Table 4–10. Summary of Permeability Test Area

Includes one duplicate

^b Not Applicable, only one test was conducted in Area 5.

The results do not appear to be affected by the equipment used for the test. This was determined by ranking the conductivities in descending order and noting the infiltrometer used to collect the data at that location. There did not appear to be a trend (i.e., there was no evidence that higher or lower conductivities were associated with one of the infiltrometers).

4.6.2 Soil Particle-Size Distribution

Soil particle-size distribution, or soil texture, was characterized as part of a feasibility study of the surface application alternative for ground water remediation. Specifically, the classification of irrigation suitability of regraded areas and rangelands at the Monument Valley site required soil texture data. Soil texture greatly influences the movement and storage of soil water (Hillel 1980).

4.6.2.1 Particle-Size Analysis Procedure

Composite soil samples were collected from a subset of the boreholes that were excavated for the surface soil infiltration tests (Figure B-1): four samples from Area 1 (MON-303 through MON-306), two samples from Area 2 (MON-301 and MON-302), and one sample each from Area 3 (MON-307) and Area 4 (MON-310). Composites consisted of evenly mixed samples taken incrementally from a soil profile to the bottom of the borehole, approximately 4 ft deep.

Soil particle-size fractions were determined using mechanical grain-size analysis (ASTM D-2487) followed by hydrometer analysis of fines (Gee and Bauder 1986). The sand fractions were separated using ASTM sieve sizes 10, 20, 100, and 200. Silt and clay fractions were determined using a 152H hydrometer with slurry temperatures controlled in a water bath. Soil aliquots weighing between 4 and 60 grams were mixed with a 4 percent, 125-mL sodium hexametaphosphate dispersing solution using a blender.

4.6.2.2 Soil Texture Results

Soil texture results are summarized in Table 4–11. In most sampling locations, soil profiles consisted of uniform, reddish-brown coppice dune sand with over 80 percent fine sand. According to the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) (Soil Survey Staff 1975) system, these soils are classified as sand. Two exceptions were MON–301 and MON–310. MON–301, a subsoil in the pond area (Area 2) contained 23 percent silt and is classified as a loamy sand.

MON-310 was sampled in the Cane Wash area (Area 4). The upper 2 ft of the profile consisted of reddish-brown sand. A light grey compacted sand was observed from approximately 2 ft below the surface to the bottom of the borehole.

4.6.3 Aquifer Tests

Aquifer tests were conducted to determine the hydraulic parameters of the alluvial aquifer. An aquifer test was also completed in the De Chelly aquifer to define the hydraulic parameters and determine if the alluvial aquifer is hydraulically connected to the De Chelly near the paleochannel in the southwest portion of the site. Details of the test procedures and data analyses are provided in Appendix B.

Sample Location	Soil Texture ⁴ and Classification ^b						
	coarse sand (%)	medium sand (%)	fine sand (%)	silt (%)	clay (%)	USDA	ASTM
Area 1: Soil Borrow						· ·	
MON-303	1	2	95	2	0	sand	SP
MON-304	1	6	92	1	0	sand	SP
MON-305	2	3	92	3	0	sand	SP
MON-306	2	1	97	0	0	sand	SP
Area 2: Tailings and Ponds	/						
MON-301	1	2	72 ·	23	2	loamy sand	SM
MON-302	0	1	87	11	1	sand	SP
Area 3: Undisturbed North							
MON-307	. 0	0	100	0	0	sand	SP
Area 4: Cane Wash							
MON-310	0	2	96	2	0	sand	SP

Table 4–11. Monument Valley Soil Particle-Size and Texture Classification

Mechanical grain-size analysis using ASTM sieve sizes 10, 20, 100, and 200, followed by hydrometer analysis of fines (ASTM D-2487, SSSA 1986).

^b Soils are classified by both USDA (1975) and Unified (ASTM D–2487) systems. Within the Unified system, SM = silty sands, poorly graded sand-silt mixtures, and SP = poorly graded sands, gravely sands, little or no fines.

4.6.3.1 Previous Investigations

1985 Investigation

Slug tests were conducted in eight wells screened in the alluvial aquifer, four wells screened in the Shinarump aquifer, and seven wells screened in the De Chelly aquifer during 1985. Table 4–12 lists the methods used to analyze the slug test data and presents results from those tests.

In addition to the slug tests, one aquifer test was performed at well MON-651, which is screened in the alluvium. For this aquifer test, a well point was installed to collect water-level data 21 ft from pumping well MON-651. MON-651 is in Cane Wash (predominantly fluvial deposits) and is not considered representative of the alluvial aquifer near the nitrate plume (predominantly eolian deposits).

A flow rate of 13.6 gallons per minute (gpm) was sustained over an 11-hour time period during the test. Water level in the observation well actually increased during the test and provided inconclusive results after data analysis. Hydraulic conductivities were estimated using drawdown and recovery data from the pumping well. Table 4–12 contains results of data analysis from this aquifer test. Data collected from pumping wells during aquifer tests may not provide representative estimates of hydraulic parameters of an aquifer, because a number of the assumptions that are associated with the analytical methods are not met.

1992 Investigation

Notes in the technical notebook reference indicate aquifer tests in 1992 were conducted using wells MON-619 and MON-668 as pumping wells, both of which are screened in the De Chelly aquifer. Table 4–13 lists the observation wells, screened elevations, and distances to the pumping wells for both tests.

Formation	Well I.D.	Bouwer– Rice Method	Hvorslev Method	Ferris-Knowles Method	CBP Method	Arithmetic Mean K	Geometric Mean K
AL	MON-602	4.3 x 10 ⁻⁶	3.3 x 10 ⁻⁶	NA	NA	3.8 x 10 ⁻⁶	NA
AL	MON-604	1.7 x 10⁻⁵	NA	NA	NA	1.7 x 10 ⁻⁵	NA
AL	MON-605	3.6 x 10⁻⁵	4.6 x 10 ⁻⁵	NA	NA	4.1 x 10 ⁻⁵	NA
AL	MON-651	NA	NA	NA	NA	2.2 x 10 ^{-4a}	NA
AL	MON-653	3.3 x 10 ⁻⁵	4.5 x 10 ⁻⁵	NA	NA	3.9 x 10⁻⁵	NA
AL 🥤	MON-655	3.9 x 10⁻ ⁸	4.6 x 10 ⁻⁶	NA	NA	4.3 x 10 ⁻⁶	NA
AL	MON-656	2.8 x 10⁻⁵	3.2 x 10⁻⁵	NA	NA	3.0 x 10 ⁻⁵	NA
AL	MON-662	2.0 x 10⁻⁵	2.9 x 10 ⁻⁵	NA	NA	2.5 x 10 ⁻⁵	NA
AL	· MON-669	5.4 x 10 ⁻⁸	9.5 x 10 ⁻⁸	NA	NA	7.5 x 10 ⁻⁶	NA
DC :	MON-610	2.2 x 10 ⁻⁶	2.7 x 10 ⁻⁶	9.5 x 10 ⁻⁷	3.1 x 10 ⁻⁶	2.2 x 10 ⁻⁶	2.0 x 10 ⁻⁶
DC	MON-612	NA	NA	1.8 x 10 ⁻⁶	2.9 x 10 ⁻⁶	2.4 x 10 ⁻⁶	NA
DC .	MON-657	· NA	NA	1.1 x 10 ⁻⁶	4.1 x 10 ⁻⁶	2.6 x 10 ^{−6}	NA
DC	MON-661	NA	NA	NA	2.1 x 10 ⁻⁸	2.1 x 10 ^{−6}	NA
DC	MON-663	NA	NA	2.1 x 10 ⁻⁷	3.2 x 10 ⁻⁷	2.7 x 10 ⁻⁷	NA
DC ·	MON-667	NA	NA	3.2 x 10 ⁻⁵	6.0 x 10 ⁻⁶	1.9 x 10 ⁻⁵	1.4 x 10 ⁻⁵
DC	MON668	NA	NA	4.4 x 10 ⁻⁷	1.7 x 10 ⁻⁸	1.1 x 10 ^{−6}	8.6 x 10 ⁻⁷
					•		
SR	MON-601	5.5 x 10 ⁻⁶	NA	NA	NA	5.5 x 10 ⁻⁶	NA
SR	MON-658	1.4 x 10 ⁻⁵	NA	4.9 x 10 ⁻⁶	2.3 x 10⁻⁵	1.4 x 10 ⁻⁵	1.2 x 10 ⁻⁵
SR	MON-659	1.2 x 10 ⁻⁵	NA	4.5 x 10 ⁻⁶	2.1 x 10⁻⁵	1.3 x 10⁻⁵	1.0 x 10 ⁻⁵
SR	MON-660	9. <u>4 x 10^{−5}</u>	NA	7.2 x 10 ⁻⁵	NA	8.3 x 10 ⁻⁸	NA

Table 4–12. Summary of Hydraulic Conductivity from 1985 Aquifer and Slug Tests

K calculated using the Chow and Theis Recovery method based on a single aquifer test in well MON-651.

AL = alluvium

CBP = Cooper-Bredehoeft-Papadopulos method

DC = De Chelly Member of the Cutler Formation

K = Hydraulic conductivity (ft/s)

SR = Shinarump Member of the Chinle Formation

Source: Monument Valley RAP, Appendix F, 1993b.

Table 4-13. Aquifer Test Well Construction Specifics for the 1992 Field Investigation

Well No.	Well Type	Aquifer	Top of Screen Elevation (ft above MSL)	Bottom of Screen Elevation (ft above MSL)	Approx. Dist. from Pumping Well (ft)
MON-619	Pumping	De Chelly	NA	NA	NA
MON-657	Observation	De Chelly	4,762.21	4,747.21	. 40
MON-662	Observation	Alluvial	4,842.31	4,812.31	40
MON-668	Pumping	De Chelly	4,686.71	4,666.71	NA
MON669	Observation	Alluvial	4,831.78	4,811.78	10
MON-655	Observation	Alluvial	4,822.39	4,802.39	550
MON-663	Observation	De Chelly	4,689.41	4,649.41	1,150

MSL = Mean Sea Level.

NA - Not applicable, well not screened at time of 1992 test.

The well MON-619 test included a step test run at pumping rates of 9 gpm and 14 to 16 gpm. A graph indicates the pumping well water level was lowered only 0.2 and 0.5 ft, respectively, at these pumping rates. During the actual aquifer test, which was run at a pumping rate of 39 gpm, questionable drawdown was monitored in observation wells MON-657 and MON-662. The water level initially increased less than 0.5 ft once the pump was started, returned to the static water level, and was followed by a fluctuation in the water level that resulted in less than 0.2 and 0.3 ft of drawdown during the test period in wells MON-657 and MON-662, respectively.

Questionable drawdown data were also collected from wells MON-669, -655, and -663 during the aquifer test in which well MON-668 was pumped at a rate of 15 gpm. The water levels in wells MON-663 and MON-669 both increased (0.8 and 0.06 ft above the static water level, respectively) during the initial pumping period and, as a result, no drawdown was detected. At the third observation well, MON-655, there was no response to pumping (i.e., the water level did not fluctuate from the static level).

The lack of valid drawdown data from observation wells during these two tests did not allow for the calculation of representative aquifer parameters. The site technical notebook did not contain any calculations using the data from well MON–619 and well MON–668 tests. Ground water seepage velocity calculations presented in Appendix F of the RAP (DOE 1993b) used data generated from the 1985 tests only.

Table 4–14 provides the seepage velocity results for the alluvial, Shinarump, and De Chelly aquifers. The velocities are based on hydraulic conductivity ranges from the 1985 test data only. Effective porosity and hydraulic gradient estimates were based on site conditions during the 1985 field effort, as presented in Appendix F of the RAP.

Aquifer	Estimated Effective Porosity	Hydraulic Gradient	Hydraulic Conductivity Range (ft/day)	Seepage Velocity Range (ft/day)	
Alluvial	0.25	0.011	0.28 to 19	0.01 to 0.84	
Shinarump	0.10	0.010	0.39 to 8.1	0.04 to 0.80	
De Chelly	0.10	0.011	0.018 to 2.8	0.002 to 0.3	

Table 4–14. Ground Water Seepage Velocity Estimations Based on the 1985 Field Investigation

Source: Monument Valley RAP, Appendix F, 1993b.

4.6.3.2 1997 Investigation Results

655 Alluvial Test

The initial data at well MON-655 indicated that a pumping rate over 0.6 gpm could not be sustained for an extended (greater than 24 hours) period of time. The first aquifer test lasted 70.7 hours and resulted in 12 ft of drawdown in the pumping well and only 0.1 ft of drawdown in observation well MON-769 and no response to pumping was measured in observation well MON-771 (both wells are approximately 50 ft from the pumping well).

Analysis of the data from observation well MON–769 provided inconclusive results. Recovery data were analyzed to estimate the hydraulic conductivity of the alluvial aquifer in the vicinity of well 655 (Table 4–15). Analysis of the step test data resulted in a pumping well specific capacity of 0.009 square feet per minute (ft^2/min).

Fine-grained sand was observed in the water discharging from the pump during the first test. A screen slot size of 0.051 in. had been selected according to the information provided in the completion record for this well. However, the aquifer material at this location consists of a fine-grained sand in which the grain diameters range from 0.002 to 0.01 in. Consequently, there is the potential for the aquifer material to pass through the well screen and reduce well efficiency. The well was redeveloped in an attempt to increase the efficiency and the flow potential.

Additional development did not increase the efficiency of the well, and a flow greater than 0.6 gpm was not sustainable for an extended time. A second test was completed to compare the hydraulic conductivity from the initial test. A slug test was also completed at this well to compare to the hydraulic conductivity estimated from the aquifer tests and the slug test performed on the same well in 1985. Since the sustainable flow rate could not be increased, it is expected that the specific capacity calculated from the first step test (0.009 ft²/min) would not change significantly.

During the second aquifer test, approximately 0.25 ft of drawdown was measured in observation well MON-771, with insignificant drawdown detected in well MON-769 (which is opposite of the response in the first test). In the pumping well, there was approximately 18 ft of drawdown, which suggests the development attempt may have actually decreased the well efficiency. Table 4–16 provides results from the analyses of data collected during the December 1997 aquifer tests and slug tests. Analysis of data collected from the observation well during the aquifer test indicate that the hydraulic conductivity ranges from 1.7 to 3.2 ft/day (geometric mean of 2.4 ft/day); the analysis of data collected during the recovery of the pumping well suggested a value of 0.06 ft/day. Analysis of the data provided a specific yield estimate of 0.001.

Analyses of the slug test data indicated that hydraulic conductivity ranged from 0.20 to 0.32 ft/day. The 1985 data analyses suggested a hydraulic conductivity ranging from 0.34 to 0.40 ft/day for well MON-655.

The seepage velocity, which represents the rate at which water actually moves through the aquifer pore spaces, can be calculated using the following formula:

$$V_{\rm S} = \frac{K}{n_{\rm e}} \times \frac{dh}{dl}$$

where v_s is the seepage velocity (ft/day), K is the hydraulic conductivity (ft/day), n_e is the effective porosity (dimensionless), and dh/dl is the horizontal hydraulic gradient (dimensionless). If the hydraulic conductivity ranges from 1.7 to 3.2 ft/day (using aquifer test results), the seepage velocity for the alluvial aquifer in the vicinity of well MON-655 ranges from 0.075 to 0.141 ft/day. This value is based on an estimated effective porosity of the alluvial aquifer of 0.25 (DOE 1993b) and average horizontal hydraulic gradient of 0.011 (September 1997 data).

Site Observational Work Plan for Monument Valley, Arizona Page 4-40

DOE/Grand Junction Office April 1999
Document Number U0018101

DOE/Grand Junction Office April 1999

Site Observational Work Plan

for Monument Valley, Arizona Page 4-41

Cooper/Jacob **Theis Analysis** Neuman Semi-Log Theis/Jacob Rec Test Well Location Type AQ T (ft²/min) T (ft²/min) T (ft²/min) K (ft/day) T (ft²/min) K (ft/day) K (ft/day) Sy K (ft/day) PUMP NA NA NA 3.17E--04 0.011 Alluv NA NA NA NA Well 655 PP PUMP NA NA NA NA NA NA 9.70E--04 0.025 Well 765 Alluv NA PP 0.461 OBS 11.9 Alluv 0.302 7.8 0.322 8.3 0.71 INC INC Well 777 PP OBS 0.411 10.6 0.057 INC Alluv 0.486 12.5 0.581 14.9 INC Well 766 FP

Hydraulic Conductivity Geometric Mean Summary

Well 777	Well 766	Well 765 Cluster*		
K (ft/day)	K (ft/day)	K (ft/day)		
9.2	12.5	10.7		

AQ = The aquifer the well is screened in FP = Well fully penetrating K = Hydraulic conductivity INC = Data provided inconclusive results NA = Not applicable **OBS = Observation Well** PP = Well partially penetrating PUMP = Pumping well Sy = Specific yield T = Transmissivity * = Well 765 recovery data not included

Table 4-15. Summary of Pump Test Analyses 9/97-Alluvial Aquifer Monument Valley Field Investigation

	Well		Flow	Thois A	nalveie	Cooper		Noum	an Sami I	00	Theis/Jacob Rec		Slug T	ests
Test Location	Type	AQ	Rate	· IIICIS A	naiysis	Coopen	Jacob	Neum	an Senn-L	JUY	Theis/Jan	COD Rec	Bower/Rice	Hvorsiev
	1900		Mate	T (ft²/min)	K (ft/day)	T (ft²/min)	K (ft/day)	T (ft²/min)	K (ft/day)	Sy	T (ft²/min)	K (ft/day)	K (ft/day)	K (ft/day)
Well 655 Cluster														
Well 771	OBS	Allunz	0.55 CDM	0.093	3.2	0.075	26	0.051	17	0.001	INC	INC	· NA	NIA
_	PP	Alluv	0.55 GFM	0.093	5.2	0.075	2.0	0.051	1.7	0.001	INC			INA
Well 655	PUMP	Allund	0.55 CDM	NA	NA	NA.	NIA		NA	NIA	0.000	0.060	0.22	0.20
	PP	Alluv	0.55 GPW	INA -	INA.	INA		INA		INA	0.002	0.000	0.32	0.20
Well 765 Cluster														
Well 777	OBS	Allenz	3 CPM	0.450	11.6	0.445	11.4	0.492	12.4	0.41	INC	INC	NIA	NA
	PP	Paluv	3 Grivi	0.450	11.0	0.445	11.4	0.402	12.4	0.41	INC			N/A
Well 777	OBS	Alluny	2 25 CDM	0.969	22.2	0 752	10.2	1.062	27.2	0.27	0.691	474	NA	NIA
	PP	Alluv	3.23 GF W	0.000	22.2	0.752	19.5	1.002	27.3	0.37	0.001	17.4	N/A	na.
Well 766	OBS	Allenz	2 CDM	1 1 2 0	28.0	0 717	10.2	0.704	20.4	0.015	INC	INC	NIA	NIA
	PP	Alluv	3 6 14	1.150	20.9	0.717	10.3	0.794	20.4	0.015				NA
Well 766	OBS	Allund	2 25 CDM	1 270	25.2	1 220	24.0	0.010	22.4	0.047	0.003	25.5	NIA	NIA
	PP .	Anuv	3.25 GPW	1.370	55.5	1.320	34.0	0.910	23.4	0.017	0.993	20.5		n/A
Well 765	PUMP	Allenz	2 25 CDM	NΙΔ	NA	NIA	NIA.	NIA	NA	NA	0.002	0.077	NA	NIA
	PP	Alluv	3.25 GPW	INA	11/4	NA 	AVI	INA	INA	INA	0.003	0.077	NA .	NA

Table 4-16. Summary of Pump Test Analysis 12/97-Alluvial Aquifer Monument Valley Field Investigation Slug Tests

Hydraulic Conductivity Geometric Mean Summary

	Well 771	Well 655	Well 777	Well 766	Well 765	Well 765	
Flow Rate	AQ Test	Slug Test	AQ Test	AQ Test	Rec Test	Cluster*	
	K (ft/day)						
0.55 GPM	2.4	0.25	· NA	NA	NA	NA	
3.0 GPM	NA	NA	11.8	22.1	NA	16.1	
3.25 GPM	NA	NA	21.2	29.1	0.077	24.9	
3 & 3.25 GPM	NA	NA	16.5	25.9	0.077	21.5	

AQ = The aquifer the well is screened in INC = Result inconclusive K = Hydraulic conductivity NA = Not applicable OBS = Observation well PP = Well partially penetrating well PUMP = Pumping well Sy = Specific yield T = Transmissivity

T = Transmissivity

* = Values do not include pumping well recovery data or slug test data

ite Observational Work Plan for Monument Valley, Arizona age 4-4

765 Alluvial Test

Results of short-term tests indicated that a flow of 1.1 gpm could be sustained from well MON-765 over an extended period of time. As a result, this flow rate was used during the first aquifer test (which lasted 60.6 hours) at the MON-765 location. In response to this flow rate, approximately 0.1 ft of drawdown was observed in the observation well (MON-777) located 15 ft from the pumping well, which had a drawdown of more than 35 ft. This suggests a very steep cone of depression was created during the testing period. Less than 0.1 ft of drawdown was noted in the observation well 52.5 ft away (well MON-766).

Results of the analysis of data collected from the aquifer tests completed in September 1997 are presented in Table 4–15. Based on the analysis of data collected from the observation wells, the hydraulic conductivity ranged from 7.8 to 14.9 ft/day (geometric mean of 10.7 ft/day). A hydraulic conductivity of 0.025 ft/day was calculated from the recovery data collected from the pumping well. A specific capacity of 0.004 ft²/min was calculated from analysis of the data collected during the step test.

Well MON–765 was further developed in an attempt to increase its efficiency and corresponding flow rate. This well was installed with 0.010 in. screen slot, which is better suited for the particle size in the subsurface material compared to the well MON–655 design. A step test conducted after development suggested a flow rate of 3.0 gpm could be sustained for an extended period of time. The specific capacity increased to 0.0155 ft²/min after well development.

The 3 gpm test lasted 19.9 hours. During that time, a drawdown of approximately 38 ft was observed in the pumping well, and approximately 0.2 ft of drawdown was measured in observation wells MON-777 and MON-766. Time allowed for another short-term (less than 24 hours) test, this time using a flow rate of 3.25 gpm. This 20.8-hour test resulted in approximately 43 ft of drawdown in the pumping well, and again approximately 0.2 ft of drawdown was measured in both observation wells.

Table 4–16 shows the results of the analysis of data collected during the December 1997 aquifer tests. Analyses of the data collected from the observation wells during the 3 gpm test indicate the hydraulic conductivity ranges from 11.4 to 28.9 ft/day (geometric mean of 16.1 ft/day); the analyses of data collected during the 3.25 gpm indicate a range of 19.3 to 35.3 ft/day (geometric mean of 24.9 ft/day). Data collected from the pumping well during the recovery phase of the 3.25 gpm test suggest a hydraulic conductivity of 0.077 ft/day.

When a hydraulic conductivity range of 11.4 to 35.3 ft/day is used, the seepage velocity for the alluvial aquifer in the vicinity of well MON–765 ranges from 0.50 to 1.55 ft/day. These calculations are based on an effective porosity of 0.25 and a horizontal hydraulic gradient of 0.011.

De Chelly Test

As previously mentioned, hydraulic conditions in the De Chelly aquifer near the site are believed to range from confined to semiconfined, depending on the location. Based on the step test

Field Investigation Results

completed at well MON-619, a pumping rate of 70 gpm could be maintained during a multiday aquifer test. Step-test data indicated a specific capacity of 0.79 ft²/min.

During a 90-hour test, approximately 12 ft of drawdown was measured in the pumping well. In observation wells MON-776, -774, and -668, approximately 8 ft, 5 ft, and 3 ft of drawdown were measured, respectively. The fact that 3 ft of drawdown was measured 1,450 ft from the pumping well in another well screened in the De Chelly suggests the aquifer is confined outside of the paleochannel.

Another significant finding during this test was the rapid response to pumping in well MON–774 (located in the paleochannel and screened in the alluvial aquifer) water levels. This response verifies the hydraulic connection between the alluvial aquifer and the underlying De Chelly within the boundaries of the paleochannel.

During analysis of the data from observation well MON-776, a break in the slope of the drawdown versus time (log) data suggests the effect of a hydrologic boundary. A similar trend was noted in drawdown data from the pumping well and observation well MON-774. This break in the slope of the data may represent the time when the cone of depression reached the far (western) boundary of the paleochannel. Data from observation well MON-668 showed only a slight break in the slope.

Table 4–17 shows the hydraulic conductivity values that resulted from analysis of data collected during the De Chelly test. Analysis of data collected from the two observation wells screened in the De Chelly resulted in a hydraulic conductivity ranging from 0.6 to 4.3 ft/day. Data collected during the recovery test suggested a hydraulic conductivity ranging from 1.2 to 2 ft/day. Taking into account all the results, the hydraulic conductivity geometric mean for the De Chelly aquifer near well MON–619 is 1.6 ft/day. Storativity estimates ranged from 8.3×10^{-5} to 4.7×10^{-4} .

A hydraulic conductivity range of 0.6 to 4.3 ft/day, an assumed effective porosity of 0.15 (DOE 1993b), and a measured horizontal hydraulic gradient of 0.014 result in a seepage velocity that ranges from 0.06 to 0.4 ft/day. This range is comparable to the range determined by the 1985 slug test data (0.002 to 0.3 ft/day).

Summary of the Alluvial Aquifer Tests

- Tests were initially completed on the alluvial aquifer in September 1997. Analysis of the step test data resulted in very low well efficiencies for the two pumping wells. After additional well development, aquifer tests were re-run in December 1997 at those two locations.
- Data collected during the December 1997 aquifer tests suggest that the hydraulic conductivity ranges from 1.7 to 3.2 ft/day in the vicinity of well MON-655. Based on this hydraulic conductivity range, the seepage velocity ranges from 0.075 to 0.141 ft/day.

Test	Well		T	heis Analys	sis	J	acob/Coope	r		Hantush		Theis Ja	acob Rec
Location	Туре	AQ	T (ft²/min)	K (ft/day)	S	T (ft²/min)	K (ft/day)	S	T (ft²/min)	K (ft/day)	S	T (ft²/min)	K (ft/day)
Well 619 PUMP Det	DeC	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NĂ	NA	0.69	2.00	
Mall 770	OBS	DeC	1.05	3.00	4.72E-04	1.51	4.30	8.36E-05	0.33	1.00	227E-04	0.70	2.00
AAGII 110	PP												
W-11 CCO	OBS	DeC	0.37	1.10	4.20E-04	0.63	1.80	3.22E04	0.21	0.60	3.17E-04	0.40	1.20
AAGII 009	PP												
	OBS	Alluv	2.35	58.50	NA	0.69	17.10	NA	NA	NA	NA	0.83	14.80
Well 774	FP						· .						

Table 4–17. Summary of Aquifer Test Analyses – De Chelly Aquifer Monument Valley Field Investigation

Hydraulic Conductivity Geometric Mean Summary

Well 619	Well 776	Well 668	Well 774*	Well 619 Cluster**
K (ft/day)				
2.00	2/25	1.09	15.91	1.61

Values in bold italics generated from data in which there was a questionable fit

AQ = The aquifer the well is screened in

All graphs contained in Appendix B

FP = Well fully penetrating INC = Result inconclusive

K = Hydraulic conductivity

- NA = Not applicable
- OBS = Observation well

PP = Well partially penetrating

PUMP = Pumping well

S = Storativity

T = Transmissivity

* = Well 774 values did not include Theis data ** = Data did not include alluvial (774) results

DeChelly Aquifer assumed to be 500 ft thick in the vicinity of well 619 Wells 619, 776, and 668 corrected for partial penetration, well approx. 150 ft deep Well 774 completed in alluvium, pumping well completed in De Chelly (directly underlying alluvium at this location)

DOE/Grand Junction Office April 1999

- In the vicinity of well MON-765, data indicate the hydraulic conductivity ranges from 11.4 to 35.3 ft/day (geometric mean of 21.5 ft/day). Based on the analyses of data collected during the December 1997 tests, the seepage velocity ranges from 0.50 to 1.55 ft/day.
- The specific yield, based on December 1997 test data, ranges from 0.015 to 0.41.
- The specific capacities of wells MON-655 and MON-765 are 0.009 and 0.016 ft²/min, respectively. The specific capacity of well MON-655 appears to have been influenced by improper well construction (i.e., slot openings too large), which may be responsible for its low efficiency. As a result, hydraulic parameter estimates based on data collected at this location may not be representative.

Summary of the De Chelly Aquifer Tests

- Analysis of data from the well MON-619 test resulted in a hydraulic conductivity range of 0.6 to 4.3 ft/day (geometric mean of 1.6 ft/day). Using this conductivity range, the seepage velocity ranges from 0.06 to 0.4 ft/day.
- Analyses of data suggest the storativity ranges from 8.3×10^{-5} to 4.7×10^{-4} . According to the step test data, the specific capacity of well MON-619 is 0.79 ft²/min.
- During the De Chelly test, drawdown was noted in the observation well located in the paleochannel and screened in the alluvial aquifer. This response indicates a direct hydrologic connection between the alluvial and De Chelly aquifers in this region of the site.
- The De Chelly aquifer appears to be unconfined to semiconfined in the vicinity of the paleochannel and mostly confined in other regions of the site.

4.7 Plant Ecology Investigation

Plant ecology plays an important role in surface and ground water remediation at the Monument Valley site. Successful revegetation of the millsite and tailings areas can control soil loss and improve the value of the land resource (Munshower 1996). By applying a technique called phytoremediation, plants may be used to extract and treat ground water and soil contaminants such as ammonium and nitrate for a fraction of the cost of traditional pump-and-treat techniques (Kim and Ondrey 1996; Kim 1996). Because of high evapotranspiration/precipitation (ET/P) ratios in desert ecosystems, revegetation can also prevent leaching of soil contaminants and thus help contain ground water contamination sources (Weand and Hauser 1997). By pumping nitrate-contaminated ground water for irrigation of revegetation areas, the land application alternative (Baumgartner et al. 1996) may accelerate plant establishment, plant productivity, evapotranspiration (ET), and nitrogen extraction. Conversely, plants that root into the plume or are irrigated with plume water are potential exposure pathways for humans and ecological receptors.

The plant ecology of the former millsite, tailings area, and surrounding areas was characterized to address the following issues:

- Human health and ecological risks associated with site-related contaminated ground water.
- Soil water balance effects on recharge and discharge components of the hydrological system.
- Feasibility of the phytoremediation alternative.
- Feasibility of the land application alternative for ground water remediation.

The plant ecology investigation consisted of

- A plant species survey.
- Estimates of the percent cover and age structure of phreatophyte populations.
- Evaluations of the composition, relative abundance, and distribution of plant associations.
- Vegetation mapping.

4.7.1 Plant Species Survey

The former millsite, tailings area, pond area, and the area delineated by the extent of the nitrate and sulfate plumes (Section 5.3) were traversed on June 24, 1997, to identify plant species. The results of the plant species survey (Table 4–18) became the foundation for the plant ecology investigation; all succeeding ecological characterization and applications build on interpretations of the species composition and associations. The occurrence and relative abundance of certain plant species provide a measure of the health of the ecosystem. Knowing the species and their physiological and ecological tolerances provides evidence of environmental conditions that are of importance for understanding the site hydrology, potential human health and ecological risks, and the feasibility of phytoremediation and land application alternatives.

4.7.2 Phreatophyte Cover and Age Structure

Phreatophytes (literally "well plants") at the Monument Valley site may act as natural pump-and-treat systems for ground water nitrates. Two phreatophyte populations grow over the plume area: black greasewood and fourwing saltbush. Black greasewood (*Sarcobatus vermiculatus*) is an obligate phreatophyte; it requires a permanent ground water supply. Black greasewood can transpire water from aquifers as deep as <u>(18 m)</u> below the land surface (Nichols 1993). Fourwing saltbush (*Atriplex canescens*) is a facultative phreatophyte; it takes advantage of ground water when present but can tolerate periods of low water availability. The rooting depth of fourwing saltbush may exceed 8 m (Foxx et al. 1984). This section describes methods and results of sampling to determine the percent cover and age of black greasewood populations potentially growing into the nitrate plume. Cover estimates for fourwing saltbush are presented in Section 4.7.3.

Table 4-18. Plants Growing on the Reclaimed Tailings and Plume Areas at the Monument Valley Site

Scientific Name*	Acronym ^b	Common Names ^c
S	hrubs	
Artemisia filifolia Torr	ARFI	sand sagebrush, old-man sagebrush
Atriplex canescens (Pursh) Nutt	ATCA	fourwing saltbush, cenizo, chamizo
Atriplex confertifolia (Torr. & Frem.) Wats.	ATCO	shadscale, spiny saltbush, sheep fat
Chrysothamnus nauseosus (Pall.) Britt.	CHNA	rubber rabbitbrush, chamisa
Enhedra torravana S. Wats	EPTO	joint fir. Mormon tea, Brigham tea
Gutierrezia sarothrae (Pursh) Britt. & Rusby	GUSA	broom snakeweed
Hanlopappus pluriflorus (Gray) Hall	HAPL	iimmyweed, iimmy goldenbush
l voium pallidium Miers	LYPA	tomatillo, desert wolfberry
Opuntia phaeacantha Engelm.	ОРРН	prickly pear, many-spined cactus
Poliomintha incana (Torr.) Grav	POIN	bush mint, rosemary-mint, purple sage
Sarcobatus vermiculatus (Hook.) Torr.	SAVE	black greasewood, chico, chicobush
Senecio douglasii DC.	SEDO	threadleaf groundsel, creek senecio
Tamarix ramosissima Ledeb.	TARA	tamarisk, salt cedar, tamarisco
Yucca angustissima Engelm.	YUAN	narrowleaf vucca, fineleaf vucca
G	asses	
Aristida purpurea Nutt.	ARPU	Purple threeawn, wiregrass
Bromus tectorum L	BRTE	cheatgrass brome, downy brome
Festuca microstacvs Nutt.	FEMI	small fescue, vulpia
Hilaria iamesii (Torr.) Benth.	HIJA	galleta, curly grass
Orvzopsis hymenoides (R. & S.) Ricker	ORHY	Indian ricegrass, sand bunchgrass
Sporabolis airoides (Torr.) Torr.	SPAI	alkali saccaton
Sporabolis cryptandrus (Torr.) Gray	SPCR	sand dropseed
Sporabolus contractus A.S. Hitchc.	SPCO-1	spike dropseed
Sporabolus giganteous Nash	SPGI	giant dropseed
	orbs	
Tripterocalyx carneus (Greene) Galloway	TRCA	wooton sandverbena
Chenopodium album L.	CHAL	common lambsquarter, goosefoot
Ambrosia acanthacarpa Hook.	AMAC	bur ragweed
Amsinkia tessellata Gray	AMTE	rough fiddleneck
Arabis L. species	AR sp.	rockcress mustard
Astragalus L. species	AS sp.	milkvetch, locoweed
Datura wrightii Regel	DAWR	sacred datura, angels trumpet
Descurainia pinnata (Walter) Britt.	DEPI	pinnate tansey-mustard
Erigeron L. species	ER sp1.	daisy
Eriogonum Michx. species	ER sp2.	wild buckwheat, skeletonweed
Kochia scoparía (L.) Schrader	KOSC	kochia, summer cypress
Lepidium L. species	LE sp.	pepperweed, peppergrass
Lupinus L. species	LU sp.	lupine
Machaeranthera Nees. species	MA sp.	aster
Oenothera albicaulis Pursh	OEAL	white-stemmed evening primrose
Plantago patagonica Jacq.	PLPA	wooly plantain
Salsola iberica Sennen & Pau	SAIB	Russian thistle, tumbleweed
Sphaeralcea coccinea (Pursh) Rydb.	SPCO-2	scarlet globemailow, falsemailow
Sphaeralcea parvifolia A. Nels	SPPA	Nelson globernallow

*The scientific nomenclature for genera, species, and authorities is consistent with Voss (1983) and the choices of Welsh et al. (1987).

Acronyms combine the first two letters of the genus and species names.

^eEnglish and Spanish common names are from a variety of sources (Mayes and Lacy 1989; Dodge 1985; Elmore and Janish 1976; Dunmire and Tierney 1995; and Whitson 1992).

4.7.2.1 Black Greasewood Cover

A line intercept method (Bonham 1989) and high-resolution aerial photography were used to estimate black greasewood cover. Field measurement methods were abandoned because of widespread injury and mortality in the black greasewood population, apparently as a consequence of herbicide spraying during surface remediation of the site. The potential greasewood cover as represented in a February 1995 photograph, and not the current condition, was needed for water balance and phytoremediation evaluations.

Line transects equivalent to 30 m long were located on the photograph using a baseline and transect sampling scheme. A baseline equivalent to 177 m long was placed along a road northwest to southeast through the center of the greasewood population. Starting points were randomly selected for transects extending both north and south of the baseline. Random numbers were also used to select starting points along each transect for the 30-m intercept lines and to select an azimuth for the direction of each line. The distance *d* of greasewood canopy intercepted by a randomly placed 30-m line was measured and percent cover for that line was estimated (Bonham 1989):

Percent Cover = $\frac{\Sigma d}{30\text{m}} \times 100$

High-precision measurement of intercept distances on the photograph was achieved using a sliding table assembly with a lead-screw motion and a binocular microscope with 10-to-70 power zoom and a cross-hair eyepiece. The photograph was attached to the sliding table assembly. The assembly was connected to a digital position readout with a glass linear encoder. The lead screw moves the 10 by 30 centimeter sliding table 1.0 centimeter per 10 revolutions. The encoder transducer provided a digital output of the sliding table position at a resolution of 0.001 mm. Such high resolution encoders are typically used for machining tools. The digital position readout has an LED display that changes instantaneously to indicate the exact position of the encoder.

Five transects on the north side of the baseline and three on the south side fell within the boundaries of the greasewood population (Table 4–19). A total of n = 29 lines extending from these eight transects fell within the population boundaries. The mean percent canopy cover for the greasewood stand was 37.1 with a standard error of 2.8 (Table 4–19). Because the 1995 photograph was taken before the population was sprayed with herbicides, these values are considered to be reasonable estimates of the potential cover of black greasewood for purposes of evaluating the site water balance and the phytoremediation alternative.

4.7.2.2 Black Greasewood Age Structure

Black greasewood is considered to be a good candidate for phytoremediation of ground water nitrates at the Monument Valley site. However, because the greasewood has been decimated by herbicide spraying and heavy grazing, the population will have to be restored in the plume area to achieve acceptable nitrate uptake rates. Therefore, the feasibility of the phytoremediation alternative is dependent on rapid establishment and growth of greasewood transplants in

Tran	sect ^a				Line Int	ntercept ^o					
				Transects North of Baseline				Transects South of Baseline			
•	Starting	Starting		Intercept Distances		Starting		Intercept Distances			
Number	Point (m)	Point (m)	Azimuth ^c	Canopy Measurements (m) ^d	Total (m)	Point (m)	Azimuth	Canopy Measurêments (m) ^d	Total (m)		
1	20.49	2.23	99.51	1.25, 2.57, 2.33, 1.97	8.12	26.61	207.46	2.69, 2.74, 6.50	11.93		
		57.13	16.13	3.58, 4.36, 3.04, 1.55	12.53	43.95	8.26	5.43, 3.58, 3.40, 6.56	18.98		
		62.73	354.94	1.73, 1.37, 1.43, 3.70	8.23	64.77	318.32	2.74, 1.31, 0.54, 1.91	6.50		
· · ·	66 80	6.64	64 27	1 55 0 42 4 12 3 88 6 62 0 66	17.24	. 15.11	254.02	1 73 1 25 3 51 3 76 3 29 3 93 3 64	10.00		
<u>~</u>	00.03	37 38	310 33	1 91 1 13 0 60	3.64	30.38	205.02	1 25 0 66 2 03 2 02 0 54 4 65 1 37	13.33		
		77.37	344.66	1.97, 2.09	4.06	84.59	122.81	2.57. 0.66. 0.48. 1.73	5.43		
		119.09	287.92	4.06, 0.95, 1.25, 1.91, 3.64	11.81						
		142.59	170.33	1.43, 1.37, 1.61, 1.73	6.15	······································					
		. <u></u>									
3	98.02	6.66	325.14	1.85, 4.83	6.68	23.40	46.60	1.19, 2.57, 7.88, 1.73	13.37		
		36.86	215.38	1.79, 4.48, 2.74, 1.01, 2.33, 1.61	13.96	271.25	228.17	0.54, 0.24, 1.73, 1.07, 2.09	5.67		
		85.77	4.27	3.58, 0.66, 2.21, 0.30, 2.63	9.37	•					
		91.61	244.86	2.03, 3.76, 0.90, 0.54, 5.01	12.23		·				
4	143.04	27.17	240.95	1.73. 0.18. 1.07. 1.31. 1.19. 2.69. 0.54	8.71	·	· ·				
		59.26	274.68	1.49, 1.07, 0.48, 9.79, 1.07	13.90						
		72.69	197.38	2.74, 3.46, 3.76, 2.09, 1.13, 1.85, 0.42	15.45			Percent Cover Summary Statistics:			
		111.40	182.71	2.45, 1.31, 1.49, 1.91, 2.74, 0.42	10.32			mean	37.14		
				· · · · ·				variance	231.27		
5	162.15	12.59	337.01	1.49, 0.84, 2.57, 7.64	12.53			std. dev.	15.21		
		51.28	10.55	3.70, 3.58, 3.28, 2.57, 3.58, 1.13	17.84			s.e.(mean)	2.82		
		77.77	253.84	2.15, 0.72, 1.01, 1.97	5.85			95% C.I.	5.78		
		94.46	247.85	0.60, 5.13, 1.07, 3.58, 1.79, 1.91	14.08		•	95% LCL	31.36		
		147.02	169.11	2.74, 2.09, 0.78, 0.95, 2.92, 3.88	13.37			95% UCL	42.93		

Table 4–19. Black Greasewood Cover Estimates

Field Investigation Results

^a Transect starting points were random distances along a 177-m baseline through the center of the stand. Transects extended both north and south of starting points. ^b Lines for measuring black greasewood canopy intercept started at random distances and extended at random azimuths from transects.

^c Degrees clockwise from north.

^d Each measurement represents the length of canopy of an individual black greasewood "contacted" by the intercept line.

overgrazed and denuded areas overlying the plume. A few volunteer greasewood plants have established in the tailings area. The age and size of these volunteer plants were evaluated as an indication of growth rates.

Three black greasewood plants and two fourwing saltbush plants that volunteered in the tailings subpile soils were sampled (Table 4–20). For all five plants, plant height, the long diameter of the canopy, and the short diameter of the canopy were measured. Cross sections of the primary stem of each plant were cut and prepared for analysis using the methods of Fritts and Swetnam (1989). Stem sections cut at an oblique angle in the field were recut at a transverse angle. Specimens were polished with a power sander using sequentially finer grades of sandpaper until vascular cells were discernible under magnification. Entire cross sections were examined for locally absent and double rings and then the rings were counted.

Once greasewood plants become established in disturbed areas, reproduction occurs primarily as sprouting from underground stems that spread laterally from mature plants. This cloning of nurse plants was observed in the subpile soil area. The density of new greasewood plants (Table 4–20), mostly likely clones, were counted within a 6-m radius of the three larger nurse plants.

Table 4–20. Canopy measurements and	Annual Growth Rings of Black Greasewood
and Fourwing Saltbush	

Plant Number*	Height (m)	Long Diameter (m)	Short Diameter (m)	Canopy Volume ^b (m ³)	Clone Density ^c (100 m²)	Annual Growth Rings
SAVE1	1.35	2.64	2.03	• 5.68	1.8	4
SAVE2	1.47	2.31	2.16	5.76	3.5	• 4
SAVE3	1.45	2.97	1.83	6.19	14.2	4
ATCA1	1.02	1.47	1.32	1.55	NA	4
ATCA2	0.89	1.52	1.01	1.07	NA	4

*Plant numbers include the genus/species acronyms given in Table 4–18.

^bCanopy volume was calculated as the area of an ellipse—pi × (long diameter/2) × (short diameter/2)—multiplied by plant height. This overestimate of the volume suffices for comparative purposes.

"Seedlings within a 6-m radius of nurse plants were assumed to be clones.

m² = square meters.

NA = Not applicable.

4.7.3 Plant Associations and Vegetation Mapping

A plant association is a unit of classification that defines a particular type of plant community. An association generally has a consistent floristic composition, a uniform appearance, and a distribution that reflects a certain mix of environmental factors that can be shown to be different from other associations. Classifying and mapping plant associations helped to delineate land management units at the Monument Valley site with respect to (1) ecological condition; (2) potential for applying the phytoremediation alternative; (3) revegetation potential; (4) irrigation suitability; and (5) likely vegetation response to irrigation with plume water.

The association is a synthesis of local examples of vegetation called stands. For the purpose of defining plant associations at the Monument Valley site, a modified relevé method was used to characterize stands, and then stands were grouped into associations using simple ordination and gradient analysis techniques (e.g., Barbour et al. 1987).

4.7.3.1 Relevé Sampling and Results

The sampling unit, or stand, was defined as an area of approximately 1 hectare (2.5 acres). Most sampling units were well locations within in the plume area (Table 4–21). Several well locations were subjectively selected for sampling because, as a group, they appeared to represent the range of vegetation types in the area. This semiquantitative sampling method consisted of walking through the stand and compiling a list of all plant species present, then walking through the stand again and assigning species to cover classes. Percent cover was not measured precisely. A species was placed in one of six cover classes: less than 1 percent, 1 to 5 percent, 5 to 25 percent, 25 to 50 percent, 50 to 75 percent, and 75 to 100 percent.

Stand Number	Location of Hectare-Size Stand
606E	East of well MON-606
655	Centered at well MON-655
656	Centered at well MON-656
662	Centered at well MON-662
663W	West of well MON-663
664	Centered at well MON-664
676	Centered at well MON-676
678	Centered at well MON678
684	Centered at well MON-684
694	Centered at well MON694
695NE	Northeast of well MON-695
766E	East of well MON-766
766W	West of well MON-766
DR	Crest of a dune ridge between wells MON-764 and MON-664
MSNE	Within the northeast corner of the mill site fence

Table 4–21. Locations for Relevé Sampling of Plant Stands at Monument Valley

Relevé data were first organized in a primary data table; stands and species were listed in the order in which they were observed and sampled. A second, differentiated table was generated (Table 4–22) where species were grouped according to growth form (shrubs, forbs, and grasses), and stands were grouped with similar species composition and species abundance. Rows (species) were shifted and columns (stands) were also shifted until groupings of characteristic species emerged. *Sarcobatus vermiculatus* (black greasewood), *Atriplex canescens* (fourwing saltbush), *Haplopappus pluriflorus* (jimmyweed), and *Poliomintha inicana* (bush mint) were considered to be characteristic species for defining associations because they dominate some stands but are nonexistent in others. Some species occurred rarely in only one or two stands (e.g., *Chrysothamnus nauseosus* [rabbitbrush]), and others occurred so often (e.g., *Sporabolus cryptandrus* [sand dropseed]) as to be of little value in differentiating stands.

4.7.3.2 Indirect Gradient Analysis Results

No clear breaks between groups of stands were apparent in the differentiated table that could be used to define associations (Table 4–22). In contrast, the ordering of stands suggests that the importance of species varies along a continuum. The continuum view of plant associations holds that changes in the abundance of species from stand to stand reflect the physiological tolerance of species to changes in environmental gradients. A simple indirect gradient analysis technique was used to help identify possible environmental drivers, or trigger factors, that may be of overriding importance in controlling spatial distributions of plant associations.

In Figure 4–11 the importance (measured as percent cover) of the most abundant species is plotted by stand in the same order as in Table 4–22. A subset of stands from Table 4–22 that appeared to best capture changes in species abundance was subjectively selected for inclusion in Figure 4–11. Most of the cover data are based on the relevé results. The only exception is that line intercept results were used for cover of black greasewood at stand 606E. Some artistic license was used to draw the species abundance curves from discrete cover data.

An analysis of Figure 4–11 leads to the following inferences:

- The indirect gradient analysis supports the view that associations of species vary across the Monument Valley site as a continuum rather than as discrete units.
- A small subset of species dominate the continuum.
- The abundance curves suggest that some dominant species are associated—have similar distributions—in Monument Valley plant communities.
- Segments of the continuum represented by peaks in associated species can be used to delineate plant associations for the purpose of mapping vegetation units.

4.7.3.3 Vegetation Mapping Results

The relevé results and inferences from the indirect gradient analysis provided a means for delineating plant associations that were used as vegetation mapping units (Table 4–23). Mapping unit names contain the two most dominant species in the plant association. Associations overlap—a given stand may occur in more than one association—because there are no discrete boundaries between associations. Russian thistle and bur ragweed occur in all associations. Over much of the site, the presence of these species is indicative of a history of overgrazing. Highly disturbed areas that were regraded during surface remediation activities and are dominated by Russian thistle and bur ragweed were placed in a separate mapping unit. Remediated areas that remain denuded were also placed in a separate mapping unit.

	Rejevé Number														
Genus Species	606E	656	676	678	766E	684	DR	766W	664	695NE	694	655	663W	MSNE	662
Shrubs															
Artemisia filifolia	1	1	+												I
Sarcobatus vermiculatus	2	2	1	1	+	}								. +	
Atriplex confertifolia	1	1	+	1										+	
Senecio douglasii	+	+	1												
Atriplex canescens	······	2	• 1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	+	+	+	+	+
Haplopappus plurifloris		1.	2	1	2	2	2	1	1	1		1	+		
Ephedra torreyana				+		+	+	+	+	1	1				
Poliomintha incana						+	+		1	2	1	+			
Gutierrezia sarothrae			+	+	+	· +		+				+	+		
Yucca angustissima		+			+		÷		+				+		
Opuntia phaeacantha		+		······	+	+			· · · · · ·				+		
Chrysothamnus nauseosus													+		
Tamarix ramosissima					· · · ·										
Lycium pallidium															
Grasses	_														
Bromus tectorum	+	+	1	+ .	+	1		+			+	+	+	+	
Oryzopsis hymenoides	· +		+	+	+	+	+	+	1	1	1	+	+	+	
Sporabolis cryptandrus	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	1		+	+		
Festuca microstacys	+											+			
Sporabolus contractus		+		+	+			+	+	+			+		
Sporabolis airoides				+	.+	+		+	+				+		
Hilaria jamesii				+											
Sporabolus giganteous										+	+	ſ			+
Aristida purpurea												·			
Forbs															
Salsola iberica	2	2	1		+	1	2	1	+	+	1	2	2	2	1
Ambrosia acanthacarpa	1	1			+	+	•	1	1	+	+	1	1		· _ + _]
Descurainia pinnata	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		+			
Sphaeralcea coccinea		+		+		+						+		+	
Plantago patagonica		+	+	+		+						+ .	+		
Amsinkia tessellata		+	+		+	+	·				+	+	· · · ·		
Astragalus sp.			+	+	+										
Arabis sp.			+	+	+		+	+	+	1					+
Eriogonum sp.				+	+	+									
Erigeron sp.				+	+								L		
Machaeranthera sp.				+									+		
Lepidium sp.															
Kochia scoparia					_							L	·		
Sphaeralcea parvifolia												· ·			+
Datura wrightii											+				
Lupinus sp.									+						
Oenothera albicaulis				•								l			

Table 4-22. Differentiated Table of Relevé Data Showing Species Groups or Associations

DOE/Grand Junction Office April 1999

Cover Classes: (+) <1 percent, (1) 1 to 5 percent, (2) 5 to 25 percent, (3) 25 to 50 percent, (4) 50 to 75 percent, (5) 75 to 100 percent

Field Investigation Results



Figure 4–11. Indirect Gradient Analysis of Monument Valley Plume Vegetation

Production of the vegetation map (Figure 4-12) involved

- (1) Mapping stand (well) locations on a 1995 aerial photograph.
- (2) Identifying vegetation patterns in the photograph, under magnification, that were consistent with the plant associations (Table 4–23).
- (3) Outlining mapping unit boundaries using a combination of stand locations and vegetation patterns.
- (4) Returning to the field to check the reliability of the photograph interpretation.

Table 4–23. Plant Associations Used as Mapping Units for Monument Valley Site Vegetation

Map Unit	Plant Association	Dominant Species	Stands
SAVE/ ATCO	Greasewood / Shadscale	Sarcobatus vermiculatus / Atriplex confertifolia	606E, 656, 676, 681
ATCA/ HAPL	Fourwing saltbush / Jimmyweed	Atriplex canescens / Haplopappus pluriflorus	656, 676, 678, 766E, 684, DR, 766W, 664, 695NE
POIN/ EPTO	Bush mint / Joint fir	Poliomintha inicana / Ephedra torreyana	664, 695NE, 694
SAIB/ AMAC	Russian thistle / Bur ragweed	Salsola iberica / Ambrosia acanthacarpa	655, 663W, MSNE, 662
Bare	Denuded	NA	NA

The SAVE/ATCO unit enclosed two distinctly different vegetation patterns when examined on the aerial photograph and thus was split. The two new units differ with respect to the size and abundance of Sarcobatus vermiculatus and not the species composition. NA = Not applicable

4.8 Land Surveys

At the conclusion of the site investigation fieldwork, physical coordinates and elevations for each new monitor well, Hydropunch location, surface infiltration test location, and hand-auger soil and water sample location were determined by a registered land surveyor. The survey team followed standard contractor survey practices and procedures.

4.9 Ground Water Sampling and Analysis

Each new monitor well was allowed to sit undisturbed for at least 40 hours after final completion before it was developed. Development was performed according to the *Work Plan for Characterization Activities at the UMTRA Monument Valley Project Site* (DOE 1997c). After the wells were properly developed, ground water samples were collected from the new monitor well network and selected existing wells and submitted to the Grand Junction Office (GJO) Analytical Laboratory for analyses.

4.9.1 Ground Water Sampling Procedures

Ground water sampling was performed in accordance with the Addendum to the Sampling and Analysis Plan for the UMTRA Ground Water Project (DOE 1996a) and the Environmental Procedures Catalog (GJO 1998). The following specific procedures from the Environmental Procedures Catalog (GJO 1998) were used for ground water sampling:

- GN-8(P), "Standard Practice for Sample Labeling."
- GN-9(P), "Standard Practice for Chain-of-Sample-Custody and Physical Security of Samples."
- GN-13(P), "Standard Practice for Equipment Decontamination."
- LQ-3(P), "Standard Practice for Purging Monitor wells."
- LQ-11(P), "Standard Practice for Sampling Liquids."
- LQ-12(P), "Standard Practice for the Collection, Filtration, and Preservation of Liquid Samples."
- LQ-2(T), "Standard Test Method for the Measurement of Water Levels in Ground Water Monitor Wells."
- LQ-4(T), "Standard Test Method for the Field Measurement of pH."
- LQ-5(T), "Standard Test Method for the Field Measurement of Specific Conductance."
- LQ-6(T), "Standard Test Method for the Field Measurement of the Oxidation-Reduction Potential (Eh)."





- LQ-7(T), "Standard Test Method for the Field Measurement of Alkalinity."
- LQ-8(T), "Standard Test Method for the Field Measurement of Temperature."
- LQ-9(T), "Standard Test Method for the Field Measurement of Dissolved Oxygen."
- LQ-10(T), "Standard Test Method for Turbidity in Water."

4.9.2 GJO Analytical Laboratory Sample Analysis Results

A minimum of 10 percent of the samples collected and analyzed were field quality-control samples. Field quality-control samples included equipment blanks, trip blanks, check samples, and duplicates. These samples were submitted for the same analyses as the other field samples.

Analyses of ground water samples submitted to the GJO Analytical Laboratory were also checked for accuracy through internal laboratory quality-control checks, such as blind duplicates, splits, and known standards as specified in relevant EPA guidelines or the contractor's *Handbook* of Analytical and Sample-Preparation Procedures Volumes I, II, and III (Rust Geotech, undated).

Final analytical results were entered into the SEE_UMTRA database and an independent data validation assessment was performed (DOE 1997a). Results of the analyses are presented in Appendix C.

This page intentionally blank

Ĵ

5.0 Site Conceptual Model

This section presents an interpretation of the site characterization data collected in 1997, correlates the data to previous information, and provides the most current understanding of the extent and magnitude of contamination, exposure pathways, and risk to public health and the environment. These data are integrated into the following site conceptual model to support the proposed ground water compliance strategy and remediation objectives.

5.1 Geology

5.1.1 Regional Setting

The Monument Valley site is in Cane Valley, which is in the eastern part of the larger feature known as Monument Valley that straddles the Monument Upwarp in northeastern Arizona and southeastern Utah. The regional setting of the site is shown in Figure 5–1. Comb Ridge, about 1.5 miles (2.5 kilometers [km]) east of the site, flanks the east side of Cane Valley and is the expression of Comb Monocline where rocks of Triassic and Jurassic age dip 10 to 20 degrees eastward off the Monument Upwarp. Cane Valley, drained by the north-flowing Cane Valley Wash, is floored by unconsolidated material of Quaternary age that consists of dune sand, alluvial material (sand and gravel), and fine-grained sediments that are probably lake-bed deposits (clay or sandy clay). Resistant, gray to tan sandstone that dips eastward at about 4 to 6 degrees flanks the west side of Cane Valley. Several canyons have been incised through the sandstone exposing older reddish siltstones and sandstones of Triassic and Permian age.

Cane Valley is at an elevation of about 4,800 ft (1,500 m) in the area of the site. To the east, Comb Ridge rises abruptly to an elevation of about 5,600 ft (1,700 m). The slopes that gradually rise to the west to elevations of about 5,400 ft (1,650 m) are, from north to south, Yazzie Mesa, Main Ridge, and South Ridge (Figure 5–1).

5.1.2 Stratigraphy

Rocks of Permian to Jurassic age crop out on and within 2 miles (3 km) of the Monument Valley site. Below the unconsolidated Quaternary deposits, the principal bedrock formations affecting the site ground water are, from oldest to youngest: Permian Cutler Formation, Triassic Moenkopi Formation, and Triassic Chinle Formation. These formations, with several of their members, are shown in the schematic stratigraphic section for the site in Figure 5–2. The same formations and several overlying formations exposed in Comb Ridge are shown in Figure 5–3, which is a west-to-east cross section through the site region.

Characteristics of the principal rock units, from oldest to youngest, that are exposed or penetrated by boreholes at the site are described below. Following the description of rock units is a description of unconsolidated Quaternary material that covers much of the site and fills Cane Valley. A detailed geologic map of the site and immediately surrounding area that was investigated in this characterization is shown in Plate 1. Four geologic cross sections (Plate 2) of the site area show stratigraphic relations from west to east across the west part of Cane Valley in profiles from north (A to A') to south (D to D'). Lithologic description of the bedrock and Quaternary material penetrated by the boreholes drilled for monitor wells and Hydropunch sampling are presented in Appendix A. Included in the borehole lithologic descriptions in Appendix A are descriptions of lithology from the eight boreholes (608B, 610 through 614, 775, and 776) that were cored.

5.1.2.1 De Chelly Sandstone Member of Cutler Formation

The De Chelly Sandstone Member is about 500 ft (150 m) thick and is the uppermost member of the Cutler Formation. The De Chelly is underlain by the low-permeability siltstones of the Organ Rock Tongue. Sandstone of the De Chelly is light reddish brown (5YR 6/4), fine-grained, quartzose, and poorly sorted. Grains range in diameter from 0.06 to 0.50 mm and are subrounded to round, with a few larger grains that are angular because of authigenic quartz overgrowths (Witkind and Thaden 1963). Most of the grains are colorless quartz with a thin iron oxide film coating each grain imparting the reddish color. Small amounts of microcline, plagioclase feldspar, chalcedony, muscovite, biotite, and zircon are scattered at random throughout the sandstone. The sandstone is friable and weakly cemented by chalcedony, calcium carbonate, and iron oxide. Massive trough crossbedding is characteristic of this eolian sandstone. Crossbed orientation shows little variation and the strike and dip typically is N70°E and 25°SE, respectively.

A prominent and distinct disconformity with almost no relief marks the top of the De Chelly Sandstone. Above the disconformity is the dark red sandstone and siltstone of the Hoskinnini Member of the Moenkopi Formation. The disconformity is widespread and readily identified in core from deep holes (boreholes 608B, 611, 612, 613, 775, and 776) in the site area and in outcrops in canyons west of the site.

5.1.2.2 Hoskinnini Member of Moenkopi Formation

The Hoskinnini Member is only about 10 to 15 ft (3 m) thick in the site area and is the lower member of the Moenkopi Formation (Figure 5–2). Originally named as an uppermost member of the Cutler Formation, the Hoskinnini Member was reassigned as the basal member of the Moenkopi Formation by Stewart (1959). The Hoskinnini Member sediments are generally coarser grained than the overlying main body of the Moenkopi Formation. The top of the Hoskinnini Member was placed at the top of a tan to gray-green, medium-grained sandstone bed about 1.5 ft (45 centimeters [cm]) thick that is overlain by about 11.5 ft (3.5 m) of reddish-brown siltstone and very fine-grained sandstone. Core from borehole 776 penetrated a 12- to 13-ft thickness of the Hoskinnini Member.

Basal Hoskinnini strata are considered to be a reworked zone composed partly of the underlying De Chelly sediments (Witkind and Thaden 1963). The bottom 2 to 5 ft (0.6 to 1.5 m) of Hoskinnini Member consists of a medium- to coarse-grained, massive- to even-bedded sandstone of light reddish brown (2.5YR 6/4) to reddish brown (5YR 4/3) in color that is mottled in places. Sand grains consist of quartz, chert, and plagioclase feldspar that are stained with a light coating of iron oxide and cemented mainly by white calcium carbonate. Grains range from subangular to subrounded with the coarser grains being more angular. The basal mottled sandstone grades



Modified from the USGS 15' Dennehotso, Arizona, topographic map, 1952 ed.





H * PETRIFIED FOREST MEMBER Variegated claystone OF CHINLE FORMATION and siltstone. Lenses of mudpebble conglomerate. Dark gray, fluvial * MONITOR BUTTE MEMBER crossbedded, medium-OF CHINLE FORMATION to coarse-grained sandstone, and claystone lenses Light gray, crossbedded conglomerate SHINARUMP MEMBER and sandstone, with minor gray-green TRIASSIC OF CHINLE FORMATION mudstone lenses. Pebbles up to 2 in. diameter and silicified wood occur in conglomerate MOENKOPI FORMATION Upper siltstone is similar to lower (main or upper member) siltstone and has a shaly appearance Middle sandstone is reddish-tan, fine- to medium-grained Lower siltstone is reddish-brown and even-bedded with some very fine-grained sandstone HOSKINNINI MEMBER E OF MOENKOPI FORMATION 0 Basal part is medium- to coarse-grained, reddish-brown, mottled in places, massive- to even-bedded sandstone. Grades up to fine- to medium-grained sandstone. Top includes tan, DE CHELLY SANDSTONE medium-grained sandstone bed PERMIAN MEMBER OF CUTLER 10 FORMATION 500 Sandstone, light reddish brown, fine-grained, quartzose, eolian, crossbedded U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY GRAND JUNCTION OFFICE GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO mactec-er Schematic Stratigraphic Section of Bedrock Formations in Site Area DATE PREPARED: FILENAME: Monitor Butte and Petrified Forest Members subcrop below the Quaternary material in Cane Valley. February 3, 1998 U0021100 m:\ugw\511\0015\07\u00211\u0021100.dwg

Figure 5–2. Schematic Stratigraphic Section of Bedrock Formations in Site Area

Document Number U0018101

Site Observational Work Plan for Monument Valley, Arizona Page 5-5

Site Conceptual Model





Document Number U0018101

Site Conceptual Model

upward into a fine- to medium-grained sandstone 1 to 2 ft (0.3 to 0.6 m) thick that contains tan whorls as evidence of continued mixing and reworking. Above this zone of mixing, one or more thin, medium-grained, tan sandstone beds separated by thin red siltstone may be present. The top of the Hoskinnini Member was placed at the top of the highest tan sandstone bed. The thin Hoskinnini Member in the site area is near the eastern edge of occurrence of the member, which was laid down in a generally quiet tidal flat environment (Witkind and Thaden 1963). The distinctive mixing and reworked zone on the lower Hoskinnini occurs in core from borehole MON-775, which was drilled through Quaternary material into the immediately underlying bedrock consisting of 7 to 8 ft (2.1 to 2.5 m) of the lower part of the Hoskinnini Member.

5.1.2.3 Moenkopi Formation (Main or Upper Member)

The main part of the Moenkopi Formation conformably overlies the Hoskinnini Member and is about 40 to 45 ft (12 to 14 m) thick in the site area. Gray (1961) informally divided the main part of the Moenkopi Formation into three members: a lower siltstone, a middle sandstone, and an upper siltstone. This informal subdivision describes the Moenkopi Formation exposed southwest of the site along the south side of Main Ridge (Plate 1). In those outcrops, the lower siltstone member is about 11.5 ft (3.5 m) thick and consists of even-bedded reddish brown siltstone and very fine-grained sandstone. Cores from this interval (from site boreholes 608B, 610 through 614, and 776) are similar and consist of dark brown (7.5YR 4/4) to dark reddish brown (5YR 3/2) interbedded siltstones and sandstones. Distinctive features present in outcrop that indicate deposition in a nearshore mud flat environment include ripple marks, raindrop pits, and mud cracks.

A fine- to medium-grained, reddish-tan, fluvial sandstone bed about 2 ft (0.6 m) thick overlies the lower siltstone member. This ledge-forming sandstone is laterally continuous and probably correlates to the middle sandstone member as described by Gray (1961). Sand grains are subangular to angular, coated with a film of brown iron oxide, composed mainly of colorless quartz, cemented by calcium carbonate, and range in diameter from 0.1 to 0.3 mm (Witkind and Thaden 1963).

Approximately 29 ft (9 m) of even-bedded siltstone, very fine-grained sandstone, and silty shale beds constitute the upper siltstone member, which is similar in composition to the lower siltstone member. The thin, even-bedded character of this unit give a shaly appearance to this member. Present everywhere in the uppermost Moenkopi is a bleached zone 3 to 7 ft (1 to 2 m) thick immediately below the disconformity at the base of the Shinarump Member of the Chinle Formation. In both outcrop and in core from the site, the bleached zone varies in color from gray (5Y 6/1) to light gray (5Y 7/1). The bleaching was a result of humic acid, a reductant present in the ground water during or soon after the deposition of the Shinarump Member.

5.1.2.4 Shinarump Member of Chinle Formation

The basal member of the Chinle Formation, the Shinarump, is composed of a heterogeneous combination of mainly light gray (10YR 7/2), firmly cemented, crossbedded, conglomerate and sandstone and minor mudstone beds. These sediments were deposited in a series of meandering channels that trended to the northwest. In the site area, the resistant member is 50 to 90 ft (15 to

28 m) thick and forms an irregular, hummocky slope that dips eastward at approximately 4 to 6 degrees where it is exposed in the western part of the site. In the subsurface, in the central and eastern parts of the site, the eastward dip of the Shinarump becomes shallower at only 2 to 3 degrees. The Shinarump grades upward into alternating sandstone and claystone beds of the Monitor Butte Member.

Conglomerate generally defines the scoured base of the member and is composed of mostly rounded pebbles of less than 2 in. (5 cm) in diameter. Average pebble size is $\frac{3}{4}$ to 1 in. (2 to 2.5 cm). Pebbles are predominantly quartz with smaller amounts of quartzite and chert. Color of pebbles may be white, red, black, green, and yellow. Brown, silicified wood fragments several inches long are common; some parts of original tree trunks as large as 2 ft (0.6 m) in diameter and 5 ft (1.5 m) long are present. Conglomerate grades into medium- and coarse-grained sandstone, which forms the majority of the member. Fine-grained sandstone beds are rare, and a few lenses of gray-green mudstone up to 2 ft (0.6 m) thick are present throughout the Shinarump Member.

The basal fluvial channels of the Shinarump have contained important vanadium and uranium deposits in the Colorado Plateau area. This depositional environment hosted vanadium and uranium mineralization at the Monument No. 2 Mine just west of the site. The Shinarump at Monument No. 2 Mine is much thicker than normal for the area owing to scouring of the basal channel completely through the underlying Moenkopi Formation and into the top of the De Chelly Sandstone Member (Witkind and Thaden 1963).

Intensive exploration for similar thick areas in the Shinarump that denoted possible mineralized channels was conducted in the 1950s and 1960s north and south of the processing site in Cane Valley and along its west flank. One such area of intensive exploratory drilling occurred in the site area and apparently found a west-northwest trending mineralized channel. This exploration effort reportedly consisted of 81 boreholes in which a total of approximately 19,600 ft (6,000 m) was drilled (unpublished uranium exploration map of Oljeto-Monument Valley area). The narrow channel is about 1,000 ft (300 m) long and is about 500 ft (150 m) north of the frog pond area. Surface evidence of the intensive drilling that defined this channel is no longer apparent; however, the drilling likely occurred in an area several thousand feet across in the vicinity of the frog ponds. Depths of boreholes exploring for the basal Shinarump in this area were at least 200 ft (60 m) and could have been as much as 300 ft (90 m) in places where thick Shinarump channel(s) are located. It is likely that some of these boreholes were deep enough to have penetrated the upper part of the De Chelly Sandstone, particularly in the area of the Shinarump channel where scouring greatly reduced the thickness of the Moenkopi Formation.

The thickest Shinarump in the site area found during monitor well drilling was in well MON-664 where the member is approximately 90 ft (28 m) thick. In this borehole, the underlying Moenkopi Formation, which is typically about 50 ft (15 m) thick in this area, is only about 20 ft (6 m) thick. This indicates that a basal channel of the Shinarump has cut down about 30 ft (9 m) into the Moenkopi Formation.

5.1.2.5 Monitor Butte Member of Chinle Formation

The Monitor Butte Member is composed mainly of sandstone, which is fluvial, crossbedded, medium- to coarse-grained, and occurs in dark gray lenses. The thickness of the member is about 100 ft (30 m); however, it is not exposed at the site because it is covered by Quaternary alluvial and eolian material on the floor of Cane Valley.

Bedrock at total depth of monitor well MON-650 at the north end of the site may possibly be in the lower part of the Monitor Butte Member. Two other wells (MON-660 and MON-664) possibly may have penetrated the Monitor Butte Member; however, it is uncertain because lithologic information for these wells is scant and vague. One other well that could have penetrated the lowermost part of the Monitor Butte is well MON-625; however, the total depth of this hole is uncertain and borehole lithologic information is nonexistent. The uncertain location of the subcrop contact of the Shinarump Member and overlying Monitor Butte Member of the Chinle Formation is shown in Plate 1. This contact is inferred from the eastward dip (4 to 6 degrees) of the top of the Shinarump Member bedrock surface and the thickness of Quaternary material present in Cane Valley.

5.1.2.6 Petrified Forest Member of Chinle Formation

Variegated claystone and siltstone compose the bulk of the Petrified Forest Member, which is 500 to 700 ft (150 to 220 m) thick—more than half of the thickness of the Chinle Formation. Minor sandstone and mud-pebble conglomerate beds also are present in the member. The Petrified Forest Member also is not exposed at the site, but it subcrops in the east part of the site in the center of Cane Valley beneath Quaternary material.

Soft red sandstone bedrock at total depth of monitor well MON-652 just east of Cane Valley Wash in the northeast part of the site is in the lower part of the Petrified Forest Member. This well, shown in cross section A to A' (Plate 2), is the only one at the site that penetrates the Petrified Forest Member. The uncertain location of the subcrop contact of the Monitor Butte and Petrified Forest Members of the Chinle Formation is shown in Plate 1. This subcrop contact is inferred from the assumed eastward dip (2 to 6 degrees) and thickness (about 100 ft [30 m]) of the Monitor Butte Member.

The two members of the Chinle Formation overlying the Petrified Forest Member (in ascending order), Owl Rock and Church Rock Members, crop out east of the site on the east side of Cane Valley along the west-facing slope of Comb Ridge. These members and the overlying sandstones in the Wingate Sandstone, Kayenta Formation, and Navajo Sandstone that form Comb Ridge (Figure 5–3) are east and up-section from the site and do not affect site ground water.

5.1.2.7 Quaternary Material

Thick, unconsolidated Quaternary material consisting of alluvial (sand and minor gravel), eolian (fine- and very fine-grained sand), and minor lacustrine (sandy clay) deposits fill Cane Valley in the site area. Thickness of the Quaternary material in the site area is typically as much as 90 ft (28 m), as determined by borehole and geophysical data and shown on Plate 2 in cross sections

Document Number U0018101

A to A' and B to B' (both perpendicular to the strike of Cane Valley). The Quaternary thickness exceeds 100 ft (30 m) in several places: 102 ft (31 m) at well MON-650 at the north end of the site, and 120 and 122 ft (37 and 38 m) at wells MON-657 and MON-775, respectively, in a deep paleovalley in the southwest part of the site. This paleovalley, cut through the Shinarump Member and into the Moenkopi Formation, shown on Plate 2 in cross sections C to C' and D to D', appears to contain the thickest Quaternary deposits (possibly up to 130 ft [40 m]) in the site area. Except for the small area of the paleovalley that contains the thickest Quaternary material at the site, the axis of thickest Quaternary deposits in Cane Valley trends north-northeast and is about 2,000 ft (620 m) west of Cane Valley Wash.

7 A

The extent of Quaternary deposits is shown in the geologic map on Plate 1. Active and partly stabilized sand dunes that are as much as 15 ft (5 m) high cover much of the valley immediately north-northeast of the processing site. This area and other smaller areas of sand dunes are mapped separately.

The character and variability of the Quaternary material was determined by description of split barrel samples and auger cuttings from the boreholes drilled during the summer of 1997 and from lithologic descriptions of previous drilling included in the SOWP, Rev. 0 (DOE 1996d). Most commonly, the material is well sorted, fine- to very fine-grained, quartzose sand that was deposited by eolian processes. Color ranges from light tan to reddish brown, and typically is yellowish red (5YR 5/6) to reddish yellow (5YR 6/6). Descriptions of the material, generalized from the borehole lithologic logs in Appendix A, are shown on Plate 2 in the four cross sections (A to A' through D to D').

Less common constituents of the Quaternary material are coarse sand with pebbles, gravelly sand, coarse sandy gravel, clayey sand, clayey silt, and sandy clay. The coarser sand and gravelly material was deposited by fluvial processes in minor stream channels and in alluvial fans that occasionally spread into Cane Valley. Pebbles as large as 1 in. (2.5 cm) long occur in these fluvial deposits. At the base of the Quaternary material, coarse deposits up to several feet thick that contain fragments of underlying bedrock often occur. The narrow, upper end of the deep paleovalley contains the coarsest Quaternary material (well MON–657, Appendix A) found during drilling at the site. Elsewhere, the coarser material where it occurred above the base of the Quaternary is rare, only several inches thick, and its sporadic occurrence indicates that the thin layers are discontinuous.

The finer material consisting of sand and silt with varying amounts of clay was deposited in ponded water environments, such as that of a shallow lake and an abandoned stream channel. Occurrence of the clayey material (usually indicated by stickiness in samples) is sporadic and in thin layers (several inches to no more than several feet thick) scattered throughout the thickness of the Quaternary material. Clayey sand and silt usually has some gray or green coloration, but is typically light brownish gray, greenish olive, or pinkish gray. Distribution of the clayey layers coincides with the north-northeast trending wide band of thickest Quaternary deposits; boreholes along the east and west flanks of the valley, generally where the Quaternary thickness is less than 50 ft (15 m), did not pass through clayey layers. Clay layers penetrated by several boreholes are shown on Plate 2 in cross sections A to A' and B to B'. No thick, extensive layer of clay was found. Instead, thin clayey layers at various depths (most commonly from 40 to 60 ft [12 to

19 m]) were found that extend (can be correlated) for distances of hundreds of feet, but not on the order of thousands of feet.

In the vicinity of the fenced garden plot area just north of water supply well MON-625, a light gray clayey layer is present at a depth of less than 10 ft (3 m) in well MON-688 and hand auger hole 854. In the same area, at wells MON-686 and MON-605 (Plate 1) greenish-white water was noted in association with a gray clayey sand layer at a depth of about 31 ft (9.5 m). In this area and in others around the site where one or more clayey layers are present, the clay layers may locally perch ground water and may channel ground water movement between clay layers.

Quaternary material in large areas along the floor of Cane Valley adjacent to Cane Valley Wash are covered by a thin white crust. This crust is composed of gypsum (hydrous calcium sulfate) or gypsite (an earthy variety of gypsum containing sand and silt) that forms as an efflorescent deposit by evaporation of the shallow (within a few feet of the surface) ground water in this area and deposition (crystallization) of its contained salts.

Calcification (formation of hardpan composed mainly of calcium carbonate) has occurred in places just below the surface of the Quaternary material. One place in the site where this hardpan is exposed is along the east bank of the main tributary to Cane Valley Wash about 500 ft (150 m) north of well MON-654 (Plate 1). Here, the hardpan is white, well indurated, and about 3 ft (1 m) thick.

5.1.3 Structure

Bedrock units in the site area strike north to north-northwest, and their eastward dip varies from 2 to 6 degrees. The variation in angle of dip across the site area was determined by (1) field mapping and surveying the elevation of the basal contact of the Shinarump Member and (2) plotting the elevation of the basal Shinarump Member contact from deep boreholes. Contouring of these elevations results in a structure map of the base of the Shinarump Member. This map shows that the dip of bedrock in the west part of the site (generally west of the former new tailings pile) is 4 to 6 degrees and the dip becomes less steep (2 to 3 degrees) in the east part of the site. This relationship is shown on Plate 2 in the cross sections A to A' through D to D'.

A pervasive primary joint system is well exposed in the Shinarump Member and older rocks at the site and on the dip slope up Main Ridge to the west. Joints in this system are vertical, spaced about 3 ft (0.9 m) apart, and strike N50–60W. Calcite commonly coats the joint surfaces and minor slickensides occur sporadically.

A minor fault with a displacement of 2 ft (0.6 m) and the same orientation as the primary joint system was seen in the Moenkopi Formation just west of the processing site area. Strong joint control (and possibly a minor fault) occurs in the ridge along the east side of the paleovalley in the area of well MON-619. Just south of this well, the sandstone ridge of the Shinarump Member abruptly drops down about 10 ft (3 m). Quaternary material covers and obscures the contact between the two sandstone ridge segments (Plate 1). A joint surface on this contact just south of well MON-619 strikes N60W, but no slickensides were seen. Because no other

definitive evidence for displacement could be found, the displaced ridge may be only the result of differential erosion along the primary joint system.

A vertical system of secondary and tertiary joint systems are present that strike approximately due east and N40E, respectively. These systems along with the primary joint system and minor faults may channel ground water flow in bedrock in the site area.

5.1.4 Bedrock Topography and Geomorphology

A deep, narrow, northeast-trending paleovalley that presently is filled by Quaternary material over most of its length cuts through the south part of the site. The paleovalley was incised into bedrock by an ancestral tributary drainage to Cane Valley Wash in wetter climatic conditions that occurred during parts of the Pleistocene epoch. Additional boreholes drilled in 1997 immediately preceded by a geophysical seismic refraction survey resulted in a more complete understanding of the location and depth of the paleovalley in the site.

Drainages to the west of the site on Yazzie Mesa, Main Ridge, and South Ridge have incised narrow canyons up to 200 ft (60 m) deep (Figure 5–1). Topographic relief on the Shinarump Member sandstones on the dip slope between the incised Drainages is typically only 20 to 40 ft (6 to 12 m). The drainage canyon that separates Main Ridge from South Ridge and exposed the uranium ore body at the Monument No. 2 Mine continues eastward and northeastward to the site where it becomes a paleovalley (or paleodrainage) filled with Quaternary eolian and fluvial material. This paleovalley crosses the site where the old tailings pile and heap-leaching pads were constructed during milling operations (Figure 3–1). Southwest of this processing area, the paleovalley is filled by dune sand and obscured for a distance of about 1,000 ft (300 m) southwestward to the point where the paleovalley rejoins the present intermittent drainage.

Cross section D to D' in the vicinity of well MON-619 (Plate 2) and in the vicinity of well MON-657 (Plate 1 in the work plan [DOE 1997c]), indicate the steep-walled character of the paleovalley and the Quaternary fill thickness of between 60 and 100 ft (18 and 30 m). Seismic refraction survey line 1 (Figure 4-2) also shows the steep-walled paleovalley in the vicinity of well MON-657. Depth of incision in this segment of the paleovalley may have reached only into the lower part of the Moenkopi Formation, into the sandstone and siltstone of the Hoskinnini Member. The actual base of the paleovalley at well MON-657 is probably in the lower Moenkopi rather than the De Chelly Sandstone—previous rotary drilling of this borehole after passing through Quaternary sands and gravels drilled through at least 5 ft (1.5 m) of what was interpreted as Moenkopi rock fragments before entering the De Chelly Sandstone.

North of the well MON-657 area, the axis of the buried paleovalley bends slightly to the northeast and is near well MON-775, which passed through about 120 ft (37 m) of Quaternary material before penetrating the Hoskinnini Member. Here, as in the area of well MON-657, the base of the paleovalley is probably in more resistant sandstones in the lower part of the Hoskinnini Member. In this area, the depth of the paleovalley decreases and the valley walls are less steep, as shown on Plate 2 in cross section C to C' and in seismic refraction survey line 3 (Figure 4-4).

Site Conceptual Model

North of well MON-775, the paleovalley axis bends more easterly, and the north edge of the paleovalley appears to be in the area of the well cluster MON-655, -769, and -771. Bedrock is at a depth of 43 ft (13 m) in well MON-769 and at 79 ft (24 m) in well MON-771, which is only about 60 ft (19 m) to the south. These wells mark the steep north edge of the paleovalley, which probably extends 30 to 40 ft (9 to 12 m) deeper just to the south along its axis. Seismic refraction survey line 2 (Figure 4-3) is north and west of the paleovalley.

The position of the buried paleovalley north and east of well cluster MON-655, -769, and -771 is not known. It is likely that the paleovalley axis continues in an east-northeast direction and then bends northward to join the paleodrainage that drained the ancestral Cane Valley located 500 to 1,000 ft (150 to 300 m) west of the present position of Cane Valley Wash. The ancestral drainage of Cane Valley was at a base level much lower than at present. The current drainage, Cane Valley Wash, leaves Cane Valley about 3.5 miles (5.6 km) north of the site through a narrow valley cut in bedrock that drains northwestward and eventually into Gypsum Creek and the San Juan River. The ancestral drainage of Cane Valley Wash was probably located about 2.5 mi (4 km) farther north at the north end of Cane Valley. This drainage also drained into Gypsum Creek and could have provided a much lower base level for Cane Valley and its tributaries. The lower base level would allow for incision prior to filling the valley with alluvial and eolian material. The ancestral drainage of Cane Valley Wash could have been blocked by landslides from the west flank of Comb Ridge or by a combination of eolian deposition during a drying climate and landslide/alluvial fan processes. Blocking of this drainage outlet likely created short periods of internal drainage in Cane Valley resulting in brief formation of lakes and deposition of fine-grained lacustrine or clayey deposits.

The presence of active and partly stabilized sand dunes in and along the sides of Cane Valley indicates that wind erosion and deposition are the dominant geomorphic factors in the site area. Geomorphic factors of secondary importance are brief, infrequent episodes of heavy rainfall events associated with the summer and fall monsoonal period that spread alluvial material down and along the intermittent drainages.

Areas of active to partly stabilized dunes are typically oriented north-northeast, reflecting the prevailing wind direction from the south-southwest. The presence of coppice dunes up to 8 ft (2.5 m) high in several areas in the floor of Cane Valley indicate that active wind erosion by deflation is occurring. Calcified rhizoliths that stand up in relief frequently occur around the edges of stabilized dune deposits, also indicators of active deflation.

5.2 Hydrology

The three main aquifers onsite are the alluvial, Shinarump, and De Chelly aquifers (in descending order), with the Shinarump and De Chelly separated by the Moenkopi Formation and its lowermost Hoskinnini Member. The alluvium is predominantly an unconfined aquifer, which is underlain by the unconfined and leaky confined Shinarump. The main confining unit is the Upper Moenkopi, which overlies the leaky confined Hoskinnini and De Chelly. The Hoskinnini and De Chelly appear to be hydrologically connected, and are described as a single unit in some of the earlier boring logs. In the region of the site containing the quarternary paleochannel, the Shinarump and Upper Moenkopi Formation have been eroded away, providing a direct

hydrological connection between the alluvial and De Chelly aquifers. Each of the three main aquifers will be discussed separately in detail.

1.1.2.2.8.4

5.2.1 Alluvial Aquifer

The alluvial aquifer consists mainly of windblown fine- to medium-grained sand deposits which vary in thickness from 0 to 120 ft. The thickest deposits were encountered in the paleovalley area where the Shinarump and Moenkopi has been eroded away. Outside of the paleochannel region, the alluvial material is generally thicker near the axis of the valley, and tapers to very thin deposits near the western and eastern boundaries of the site where no alluvium is present adjacent to bedrock exposures.

There is a broad range of the depth to ground water in the alluvial aquifer across the site. One well (MON-654) screened in the alluvium appears to be under artesian conditions. Potential cause for this occurrence will be discussed in the ground water vertical gradient section. Excluding the well MON-654 location, the depth to alluvial ground water generally ranges from 8 ft (wells MON-602 and -604, located along Cane Wash) to 50 ft (wells MON-662 and -669) below the ground surface. In the area of the nitrate plume, alluvial ground water is encountered between 30 to 40 ft below the ground surface.

Figure 5–4 is the ground water elevation contour map for the alluvial aquifer based on August 1997 water levels. Alluvial ground water generally flows north in the site vicinity. The average horizontal gradient was calculated using water-level elevations measured in wells MON-603 and MON-653 (Table 5–1). These two wells were chosen because they are rather far apart (3,482 ft) and the direct distance between them trends parallel the direction of ground water flow. Historically (water levels have been measured since 1985) the horizontal gradient has been 0.011, which is the same as the gradient calculated using the August 1997 water-level data. The gradient is higher at the southern end of the site (0.012) than the northern portion (0.007) as evidenced by the closer contour spacing in Figure 5–4.

Work completed prior to 1997 suggested the alluvial aquifer hydraulic conductivity ranged from 0.28 to 19 ft/day. The 1997 field investigation, which was focused on the portion of the alluvial aquifer containing the nitrate plume, suggested an average hydraulic conductivity of 21.5 ft/day.

Assuming an effective porosity of 0.25 and a hydraulic gradient range of 0.007 to 0.012, the ground water velocity ranges from 0.6 to 1.0 ft/day. At these velocities the nitrate plume would have taken from 15 to 25 years to reach its present location (furthest extent is approximately 5,600 ft according to ground water quality data presented in Section 5.3.3.1). In the vicinity of the plume the average gradient is 0.0095, which results in a groundwater seepage velocity of 0.82 ft/day. At this velocity, it would take approximately 22 years for the above background nitrate plume to reach its present extent.

Recharge to the alluvial aquifer is the result of the infiltration of precipitation and from upward leakage from the underlying aquifers. This area receives approximately 6.4 in. of precipitation annually, with the majority of the precipitation resulting from isolated thunderstorms during the

	•							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Alluvial Aquifer				Shinarump Aquifer				De Chelly Aquifer			
Distance Between Wells MON-605 and				Distance Between Wells MON-601 and				Distance Between Wells MON-661 and			
MUN-653 = 3,482 ft				MON659 = 4,141 ft				MON-664 = 6,350 ft			
	603 GW	653 GW	Horizontal		601 GW	659 GW	Horizontal		661 GW	664 GW	Horizontal
Date	Elev (ft)	Elev (ft)	Gradient	Date	Elev (ft)	Elev(ft)	Gradient	Date	Elev (ft)	Elev (ft)	Gradient
10/9/86	4838.19	4799.69	0.011	10/9/86	4870.27	4828.20	0.010	10/19/85	4895.79	4805.01	0.014
3/26/87	4838.16	4798.87	0.011	3/26/87	4870.45	4827.92	0.010	4/27/86	4897.24	4805.54	0.014
5/8/87	4838.6	4799.95	0.011	5/8/87	4870.76	4828.67	0.010	10/9/86	4897.81	4805.49	0.015
4/22/88	4838.81	4800.01	0.011	11/22/92	4870.73	4824.90	0.011	3/26/87	4896.32	4804.16	0.015
11/21/92	4838.51	4799.76	0.011	2/18/93	4871.31	4828.70	0.010	5/8/87	4896.42	4805.53	0.014
2/18/93	4838.86	4799.82	0.011	6/29/93	4870.69	4828.89	0.010	12/9/93	4896.68	4801.04	0.015
6/29/93	4838.43	4800.19	0.011	12/9/93	4870.65	4828.31	0.010	4/20/94	4896.66	4814.67	0.013
12/9/93	4837.98	4800.27	0.011	4/21/94	4870.92	4828.68	0.010	12/14/94	4895.85	4806.52	0.014
4/20/94	4838.53	4800.31	0.011	12/7/94	4870.54	4828.62	0.010	4/19/95	4896.79	4806.71	0.014
12/7/94	4838.23	4800.2	0.011	12/14/94	4870.53	4828.33	0.010	11/15/95	4896.63	4806.44	0.014
4/19/95	4838.66	4800.22	0.011	4/19/95	4871.00	4828.74	0.010	8/19/97	4898.87	4807.35	0.014
11/15/95	4838.04	4800.12	0.011	11/15/95	4870.18	4828.48	0.010			AVG	0.014
1/14/97	4839.02	4802.2	0.011	1/12/97	4870.74	4829.85	0.010				
8/19/97	4838.61	4801.97	0.011	8/19/97	4870.47	4829.31	0.010				
		AVG	0.011			AVG	0.010				

Table 5–1. Horizontal Gradient Calculations—Monument Valley Field Investigation



Figure 5-4. Alluvial Aquifer Potentiometric Surface Contour Map

late summer and early fall. Using the Thornthwaite and Mather (1957) method, an estimated 1.6 in. of the annual 6.4 in. is available for recharge and runoff on a yearly basis. However, only a fraction of the annual precipitation actually enters the aquifer due to loss from evaporation and plant uptake.

Discharge from the alluvial aquifer is primarily the result of ET and evaporation. Pumping from the alluvial aquifer is limited because of the poor water quality and the lower yields when compared to the deeper aquifers.

5.2.2 Shinarump Aquifer

The Shinarump aquifer consists of lenticular deposits of sandstone and conglomerate with occasional thin mudstone layers. Consistent with most alluvial fan deposition, the conglomerate is near the base of the deposit that generally grades upward into the finer grained deposits. The Shinarump forms an exposured bedrock slope west of the site, and to the east the Shinarump aquifer underlies the alluvial aquifer. Thickness of the Shinarump ranges from 0 to 90 ft, and thins north of the site. In some areas where the Shinarump has been eroded, it has been replaced by alluvial material.

Shinarump ground water generally occurs under semiconfined conditions, with the finer-grained upper portions of the unit possibly acting as a confining unit. Ground water may also be under unconfined conditions in the few portions of the site where Shinarump crops out. Depth to ground water ranges from 7 ft (well MON-610) to 50 ft (well MON-614) below ground surface.

Ground-water flow is to the north-northeast according to the ground-water contour map generated using September 1997 water level data (Figure 5–5). As shown in Table 5–1, the average horizontal gradient historically has been 0.010, and the August 1997 water-level data revealed the gradient was the same. This gradient was calculated using water-level data collected from well MON–601 and well MON–659 (located 4,141 ft north of well MON–601). Historical water-level data is contained in Appendix B.

According to the analysis of slug test data, the hydraulic conductivity ranges from 0.4 to 8 ft/day. Assuming an effective porosity of 0.25 and using the horizontal gradient of 0.010, the ground-water seepage velocity ranges from 0.02 to 0.32 ft/day.

Recharge to the Shinarump aquifer is from the infiltration of precipitation in outcrop areas, and to a smaller extent leakage from the underlying De Chelly aquifer. Discharge from the Shinarump appears to be limited to the alluvial aquifer.

5.2.3 De Chelly Aquifer

The De Chelly aquifer consists of fine-grained sandstone that is approximately 500 ft thick in the site area. Ground water is generally semiconfined, and may be unconfined in areas where the main confining unit, the overlying Upper Moenkopi, has been eroded.

The potentiometric surface elevation of the De Chelly aquifer is higher compared to the ground surface elevation along portions of the eastern boundary, resulting in artesian conditions at wells MON-611, -613, and -625. The maximum depth to De Chelly ground water at other areas of the site is approximately 165 ft, in the vicinity of well MON-661.

Similar to the alluvial and Shinarump aquifers, the De Chelly ground water flow direction is towards the north. As shown on Figure 5–6, there is a higher hydraulic gradient to the south of the site (0.018) compared to the north of the site (0.011). Using water-level data collected from wells MON–661 and MON–664 (6,350 ft apart), the average horizontal gradient across the area historically has been 0.014. Water-level data (Appendix B) collected in August 1997 data suggests a horizontal gradient of 0.014 (Table 5–1).

Analysis of data collected from a 1985 aquifer test indicated a hydraulic conductivity of 6 ft/day. The subsequent test completed during the 1997 field investigation suggested a hydraulic conductivity on the order of 2 ft/day. Using these two values as the range, the ground water seepage velocity ranges from 0.19 to 0.56 ft/day. These calculations were based on an assumed effective porosity of 0.15 and the average hydraulic gradient of 0.014.

Recharge to the De Chelly is mainly a function of precipitation in the vicinity of the site. Outcrops of De Chelly Sandstone located to the west and south of the site tend to enhance recharge into the aquifer. Discharge is the result of vertical leakage into overlying units (to be discussed in the next section) and by domestic and stock use.

5.2.4 Aquifer Interaction

There are three well clusters (wells MON-606/663/659, MON-653/664/660, and MON-603/611/615) located at the site in which wells are screened in the alluvial, Shinarump, and De Chelly aquifers. Water-level data collected at these locations were used to calculate the vertical gradients and ground water flow velocities between the three aquifers.

5.2.4.1 Vertical Gradients

Gradients were calculated by taking the difference of the measured water levels and dividing that value by the difference between the mid-point elevations of the screened intervals for the respective wells. A negative value represents an upward flow direction. Table 5–2 provides the ground water elevations and resulting gradients for the three clusters at various times since 1985. Water-level measurements collected within 48 hours of each other at any cluster location were assumed to be valid and are included in the table.

It should be noted that these gradient calculations may underestimate the actual gradient. For instances where De Chelly wells were under artesian conditions, the ground water elevation was measured at the top of the well casing when, in fact, the water level was actually higher.


Figure 5–5. Shinarump Aquifer Potentiometric Surface Contour Map





٢

Table 5–2. Ground Water Vertical Velocity Calculations-Monument Valley Field Investigation

In the second second				Weil M	ON-60	6/663/65	9 Cluste	/	1		e an	
Served August 1 and 1 and 2	<u> </u>			Sc	m Mid	of	Screen Eley Difference					
Well	Aquifer	TOS Flev	BOS Flev	Flev				Sr/AI	Dc/Sr	· · · · ·		
MON-606	Al	4831.31	4821.31	4826 31			156.90	59.68	97 22		··· -···	
MON_659	Sr	4776.63	4756.63	4766 63			100.00	00.00	07.22			
MON_663		4689 41	4649 41	4669 41	·							
	Grou	nd Water El	evation	Head	Differe	Ince	Vert	ical Gra	dient	Vertical	Specific Di	scharge
	Well 606	Well 663	Well 659		Sr/AI	Dc/Sr		Sr/AI	Dc/Sr	Dc/Al	Sr/Al	Dc/Sr
Date	AI	Dc	Sr	Diff	Diff	Diff	Grad	Grad	Grad	ft/day	ft/day	ft/day
10/9/86	4828.13	4832.38	4828.20	-4.25	-0.07	-4.18	-0.027	-0.001	-0.043	5.31E-07	9.38E-05	5.23E-07
3/26/87	4828.42	4831.71	4827.92	-3.29	0.50	-3.79	-0.021	0.008	-0.039	4.11E-07	-6.70E-04	4.74E07
5/8/87	4828.49	4832.23	4828.67	-3.74	-0.18	-3.56	-0.024	-0.003	-0.037	4.67E-07	2.41E-04	4.45E07
12/1/89	4827.11	4831.08	4827.45	-3.97	-0.34	-3.63	-0.025	-0.006	-0.037	4.96E-07	4.56E-04	4.54E-07
1/27/91	4828.57	4832.21	4828.53	-3.64	0.04	-3.68	-0.023	0.001	-0.038	4.55E-07	-5.36E-05	4.60E-07
2/21/92	4828.25	4832.09	4828.35	-3.84	-0.10	-3.74	-0.024	-0.002	-0.038	4.80E-07	1.34E-04	4.67E-07
2/18/93	4828.58	4831.63	4828.70	-3.05	-0.12	-2.93	-0.019	-0.002	-0.030	3.81E-07	1.61E-04	3.66E07
6/29/93	4828.81	4826.52	4828.89	2.29	-0.08	2.37	0.015	-0.001	0.024	-2.86E-07	1.07E-04	-2.96E-07
12/9/93	4828.19	4829.27	4828.31	-1.08	-0.12	-0.96	-0.007	-0.002	-0.010	1.35E07	1.61E-04	1,20E-07
4/20/94	4828.55	4831.19	4828.68	-2.64	-0.13	-2.51	-0.017	-0.002	-0.026	3.30E-07	1.74E-04	3.14E-07
12/8/94	4828.50	4831.84	4828.62	-3.34	-0.12	-3.22	-0.021	-0.002	-0.033	4.18E-07	1.61E-04	4.03E-07
4/19/95	4828.69	4832.21	4828.74	-3.52	-0.05	-3.47	-0.022	-0.001	-0.036	4.40E-07	6.70E-05	4.34E07
11/15/95	4828.43	4832.29	4828.48	-3.86	-0.05	-3.81	-0.025	-0.001	-0.039	4.82E-07	6.70E-05	4.76E-07
8/19/97	4829.60	4832.28	4829.31	-2.68	0.29	-2.97	-0.017	0.005	-0.031	3.35E-07	-3.89E-04	3.71E-07
			Avg	-2.90	-0.04	-2.86	-0.018	-0.001	-0.029	3.63E-07	5.07E05	3.58E-07
		1.	1. A.	Well M	ON-65	3/664/66	0 Cluste					725
				Sc	m Mid	nf	Screen	Elev DH	foronce			
14/-11						~• I	0010011		n on on o q			
i weii	Aquifer	TOS Elev	BOS Elev	Elev			Dc/Al	Sr/Al	Dc/Sr			
MON-653	Aquifer Al	TOS Elev 4778.94	BOS Elev 4758.94	<i>Elev</i> 4768.94			Dc/Al 154.18	Sr/AI 77.78	Dc/Sr 76.40	· · ·		
MON-653 MON-660	Aquifer Al Sr	TOS Elev 4778.94 4701.16	BOS Elev 4758.94 4681.16	<i>Elev</i> 4768.94 4691.16			Dc/AI 154.18	Sr/Al 77.78	Dc/Sr 76.40	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
MON-653 MON-660 MON-664	Aquifer Al Sr Dc	TOS Elev 4778.94 4701.16 4624.76	BOS Elev 4758.94 4681.16 4604.76	<i>Elev</i> 4768.94 4691.16 4614.76			Dc/Al 154.18	Sr/AI 77.78	Dc/Sr 76.40			
MON-653 MON-660 MON-664	Aquifer Al Sr Dc Grou	TOS Elev 4778.94 4701.16 4624.76 ind Water El	BOS Elev 4758.94 4681.16 4604.76 evation	<i>Elev</i> 4768.94 4691.16 4614.76 Head	Differe	ence	Dc/Al 154.18	Sr/AI 77.78	Dc/Sr 76.40 dient	Vertical	Specific Di	scharge
MON-653 MON-660 MON-664	Aquifer Al Sr Dc Grou Well 653	TOS Elev 4778.94 4701.16 4624.76 Ind Water E Well 664	BOS Elev 4758.94 4681.16 4604.76 evation Well 660	Elev 4768.94 4691.16 4614.76 Head Dc/Al	Differe Sr/Al	ence Dc/Sr	Dc/Al 154.18 Verti Dc/Al	Sr/AI 77.78 ical Gra	Dc/Sr 76.40 dient Dc/Sr	Vertical Dc/Al	Specific Di Sr/Al	scharge Dc/Sr
MON-653 MON-660 MON-664	Aquifer Al Sr Dc Grou Well 653 Al	TOS Elev 4778.94 4701.16 4624.76 ind Water El Well 664 Dc	BOS Elev 4758.94 4681.16 4604.76 evation Well 660 Sr	Elev 4768.94 4691.16 4614.76 Head Dc/AI Diff	Differe Sr/Al Diff	ence Dc/Sr Diff	Dc/Al 154.18 Verti Dc/Al Grad	Sr/AI 77.78 ical Gra Sr/AI Grad	dient Dc/Sr Grad	Vertical Dc/Al ft/day	Specific Di Sr/Al ft/day	scharge Dc/Sr ft/day
MON-653 MON-660 MON-664 Date 10/19/85	Aquifer Al Sr Dc Grou Well 653 Al 4799.39	TOS Elev 4778.94 4701.16 4624.76 md Water El Well 664 Dc 4805.01	BOS Elev 4758.94 4681.16 4604.76 evation Well 660 Sr 4800.91	Elev 4768.94 4691.16 4614.76 Head Dc/AI Diff -5.62	Differe Sr/AI Diff -1.52	once Dc/Sr Diff -4.10	Dc/Al 154.18 Verti Dc/Al Grad -0.036	Sr/AI 77.78 ical Gra Sr/AI Grad -0.020	Dc/Sr 76.40 dient Dc/Sr Grad -0.054	Vertical Dc/Al ft/day 7.15E-07	Specific Di Sr/Al ft/day 1.56E–03	scharge Dc/Sr ft/day 5.13E-07
Well MON-653 MON-660 MON-664 Date 10/19/85 4/28/86	Aquifer Al Sr Dc Grou Well 653 Al 4799.39 4799.88	TOS Elev 4778.94 4701.16 4624.76 Ind Water El Well 664 Dc 4805.01 4805.54	BOS Elev 4758.94 4681.16 4604.76 evation Well 660 Sr 4800.91 4802.81	Elev 4768.94 4691.16 4614.76 Head Dc/AI Diff -5.62 -5.66	Differe Sr/AI Diff -1.52 -2.93	Dc/Sr Diff -4.10 -2.73	Dc/Al 154.18 Verti Dc/Al Grad -0.036 -0.037	Sr/AI 77.78 ical Grad Sr/AI Grad -0.020 -0.038	Dc/Sr 76.40 dient Dc/Sr Grad -0.054 -0.036	Vertical Dc/Al ft/day 7.15E-07 7.20E-07	Specific Di Sr/Al ft/day 1.56E-03 3.01E-03	scharge Dc/Sr ft/day 5.13E-07 3.41E-07
Well MON-653 MON-660 MON-664 Date 10/19/85 4/28/86 10/9/86	Aquifer Al Sr Dc Grou Well 653 Al 4799.39 4799.88 4799.69	TOS Elev 4778.94 4701.16 4624.76 Ind Water El Well 664 Dc 4805.01 4805.54 4805.49	BOS Elev 4758.94 4681.16 4604.76 evation Well 660 Sr 4800.91 4802.81 4802.62	<i>Elev</i> 4768.94 4691.16 4614.76 Head Dc/AI Diff -5.62 -5.66 -5.80	Differe Sr/AI Diff -1.52 -2.93 -2.93	Dc/Sr Dc/Sr Diff -4.10 -2.73 -2.87	Dc/Al 154.18 Verti Dc/Al Grad -0.036 -0.037 -0.038	Sr/AI 77.78 ical Gra Sr/AI Grad -0.020 -0.038 -0.038	Dc/Sr 76.40 dient Dc/Sr Grad -0.054 -0.036 -0.038	Vertical Dc/Al ft/day 7.15E-07 7.20E-07 7.38E-07	Specific Di Sr/Al ft/day 1.56E-03 3.01E-03 3.01E-03	scharge Dc/Sr ft/day 5.13E-07 3.41E-07 3.59E-07
Well MON-653 MON-660 MON-664 Date 10/19/85 4/28/86 10/9/86 3/26/87	Aquifer Al Sr Dc Grou Well 653 Al 4799.39 4799.88 4799.69 4798.87	TOS Elev 4778.94 4701.16 4624.76 Ind Water El Well 664 Dc 4805.01 4805.54 4804.16	BOS Elev 4758.94 4681.16 4604.76 evation Well 660 Sr 4800.91 4802.81 4802.62 4801.88	Elev 4768.94 4691.16 4614.76 Head Dc/AI Diff -5.62 -5.66 -5.80 -5.29	Differe Sr/AI Diff -1.52 -2.93 -2.93 -3.01	Dc/Sr Diff -4.10 -2.73 -2.87 -2.28	Dc/Al 154.18 Verti Dc/Al Grad -0.036 -0.037 -0.038 -0.034	Sr/AI 77.78 cal Grad -0.020 -0.038 -0.038 -0.039	dient Dc/Sr 76.40 Dc/Sr Grad -0.054 -0.036 -0.038	Vertical Dc/Al ft/day 7.15E-07 7.20E-07 7.38E-07 6.73E-07	Specific Di Sr/Al ft/day 1.56E-03 3.01E-03 3.01E-03 3.10E-03	scharge Dc/Sr ft/day 5.13E-07 3.41E-07 3.59E-07 2.85E-07
Well MON-653 MON-660 MON-664 10/19/85 4/28/86 10/9/86 3/26/87 5/8/87	Aquifer Al Sr Dc Grou Well 653 Al 4799.39 4799.88 4799.69 4798.87 4799.95	TOS Elev 4778.94 4701.16 4624.76 Ind Water El Well 664 Dc 4805.01 4805.54 4805.49 4805.53	BOS Elev 4758.94 4681.16 4604.76 evation Well 660 Sr 4800.91 4802.81 4802.62 4801.88 4802.86	Elev 4768.94 4691.16 4614.76 Head Dc/AI Diff -5.62 -5.66 -5.80 -5.29 -5.58	Differe Sr/AI Diff -1.52 -2.93 -2.93 -3.01 -2.91	Dc/Sr Dc/Sr Diff -4.10 -2.73 -2.87 -2.28 -2.67	Dc/Al 154.18 Verti Dc/Al Grad -0.036 -0.037 -0.038 -0.034 -0.036	Sr/AI 77.78 ical Grad Sr/AI Grad -0.020 -0.038 -0.038 -0.039 -0.037	Dc/Sr 76.40 dient Dc/Sr Grad -0.054 -0.036 -0.038 -0.030 -0.035	Vertical Dc/AI ft/day 7.15E-07 7.20E-07 7.38E-07 6.73E-07 7.10E-07	Specific Di Sr/Al ft/day 1.56E–03 3.01E–03 3.01E–03 3.10E–03 2.99E–03	scharge Dc/Sr ft/day 5.13E-07 3.41E-07 3.59E-07 2.85E-07 3.34E-07
Well MON-653 MON-660 MON-664 10/19/85 4/28/86 10/9/86 3/26/87 5/8/87 8/13/92	Aquifer Al Sr Dc Grot Well 653 Al 4799.39 4799.88 4799.69 4798.87 4799.95 4799.74	TOS Elev 4778.94 4701.16 4624.76 Ind Water E Well 664 Dc 4805.01 4805.54 4805.53 4805.66	BOS Elev 4758.94 4681.16 4604.76 evation Well 660 Sr 4800.91 4802.81 4802.62 4801.88 4802.86 4802.73	Elev 4768.94 4691.16 4614.76 Head Dc/AI Diff -5.62 -5.66 -5.80 -5.29 -5.58 -5.92	Differe Sr/AI Diff -1.52 -2.93 -2.93 -3.01 -2.91 -2.99	Dc/Sr Dc/Sr Diff -4.10 -2.73 -2.87 -2.28 -2.67 -2.93	Dc/Al 154.18 Verti Dc/Al Grad -0.036 -0.037 -0.038 -0.034 -0.036 -0.038	Sr/AI 77.78 ical Grad Sr/AI Grad -0.020 -0.038 -0.038 -0.039 -0.037 -0.038	Dc/Sr 76.40 dient Dc/Sr Grad -0.054 -0.036 -0.038 -0.030 -0.035 -0.038	Vertical Dc/Al ft/day 7.15E-07 7.20E-07 7.38E-07 6.73E-07 6.73E-07 7.10E-07 7.53E-07	Specific Di Sr/Al ft/day 1.56E-03 3.01E-03 3.01E-03 3.10E-03 2.99E-03 3.08E-03	scharge Dc/Sr ft/day 5.13E-07 3.41E-07 3.59E-07 2.85E-07 3.34E-07 3.34E-07 3.66E-07
Well MON-653 MON-660 MON-664 Date 10/19/85 4/28/86 10/9/86 3/26/87 5/8/87 8/13/92 11/19/92	Aquifer Al Sr Dc Grou Well 653 Al 4799.39 4799.88 4799.69 4798.87 4799.95 4799.74 4799.76	TOS Elev 4778.94 4701.16 4624.76 Ind Water E Well 664 Dc 4805.01 4805.54 4805.53 4805.66 4805.59	BOS Elev 4758.94 4681.16 4604.76 evation Well 660 Sr 4800.91 4802.81 4802.81 4802.62 4801.88 4802.86 4802.73 4802.76	Elev 4768.94 4691.16 4614.76 Head Dc/AI Diff -5.62 -5.66 -5.80 -5.29 -5.58 -5.92 -5.83	Differe Sr/AI Diff -1.52 -2.93 -2.93 -3.01 -2.91 -2.99 -3.00	Dc/Sr Dc/Sr Diff -4.10 -2.73 -2.87 -2.28 -2.67 -2.93 -2.83	Dc/Al 154.18 Verti Dc/Al Grad -0.036 -0.037 -0.038 -0.034 -0.036 -0.038 -0.038	Sr/AI 77.78 ical Gra Sr/AI Grad -0.020 -0.038 -0.038 -0.039 -0.037 -0.038 -0.039	Dc/Sr 76.40 Dc/Sr Grad -0.054 -0.036 -0.038 -0.030 -0.035 -0.038 -0.037	Vertical Dc/Al ft/day 7.15E-07 7.20E-07 7.38E-07 6.73E-07 7.10E-07 7.53E-07 7.42E-07	Specific Di Sr/Al ft/day 1.56E-03 3.01E-03 3.01E-03 3.10E-03 2.99E-03 3.08E-03 3.09E-03	scharge Dc/Sr ft/day 5.13E-07 3.59E-07 2.85E-07 3.34E-07 3.34E-07 3.66E-07 3.54E-07
Well MON-653 MON-660 MON-664 Date 10/19/85 4/28/86 10/9/86 3/26/87 5/8/87 8/13/92 11/19/92 2/18/93	Aquifer Al Sr Dc Grot Well 653 Al 4799.39 4799.88 4799.69 4798.87 4799.95 4799.74 4799.76 4799.76	TOS Elev 4778.94 4701.16 4624.76 md Water El Well 664 Dc 4805.01 4805.49 4805.53 4805.66 4805.59 4803.16	BOS Elev 4758.94 4681.16 4604.76 evation Well 660 Sr 4800.91 4802.81 4802.81 4802.82 4801.88 4802.86 4802.73 4802.76 4802.86	<i>Elev</i> 4768.94 4691.16 4614.76 Head Dc/AI Diff -5.62 -5.66 -5.80 -5.29 -5.58 -5.92 -5.83 -3.34	Differe Sr/AI Diff -1.52 -2.93 -3.01 -2.91 -2.99 -3.00 -3.04	DC/Sr DC/Sr DIff -4.10 -2.73 -2.87 -2.28 -2.67 -2.93 -2.83 -0.30	Dc/Al 154.18 Verti Dc/Al Grad -0.036 -0.037 -0.038 -0.034 -0.038 -0.038 -0.038 -0.038	Sr/AI 77.78 ical Grad Sr/AI Grad -0.020 -0.038 -0.038 -0.039 -0.037 -0.038 -0.039 -0.039 -0.039	Dc/Sr 76.40 Dc/Sr Grad -0.036 -0.038 -0.030 -0.035 -0.038 -0.037 -0.004	Vertical Dc/Al ft/day 7.15E-07 7.20E-07 7.38E-07 6.73E-07 7.10E-07 7.53E-07 7.42E-07 4.25E-07	Specific Di Sr/Al ft/day 1.56E-03 3.01E-03 3.01E-03 3.10E-03 3.08E-03 3.08E-03 3.09E-03 3.13E-03	scharge Dc/Sr ft/day 5.13E-07 3.59E-07 2.85E-07 3.34E-07 3.34E-07 3.66E-07 3.54E-07 3.54E-07 3.54E-08
Well MON-653 MON-660 MON-664 10/19/85 4/28/86 10/9/86 3/26/87 5/8/87 8/13/92 11/19/92 2/18/93 6/29/93	Aquifer Al Sr Dc Grou Well 653 Al 4799.39 4799.88 4799.69 4798.87 4799.74 4799.76 4799.76 4799.76 4799.82	TOS Elev 4778.94 4701.16 4624.76 Ind Water E Well 664 Dc 4805.01 4805.54 4805.53 4805.66 4805.59 4803.16 4801.44	BOS Elev 4758.94 4681.16 4604.76 evation Well 660 Sr 4800.91 4802.81 4802.82 4801.88 4802.86 4802.73 4802.76 4802.86 4802.90	<i>Elev</i> 4768.94 4691.16 4614.76 Head Dc/AI Diff -5.62 -5.66 -5.80 -5.29 -5.58 -5.92 -5.83 -3.34 -1.25	Differe Sr/AI Diff -1.52 -2.93 -3.01 -2.91 -2.99 -3.00 -3.04 -2.71	Diff -4.10 -2.73 -2.87 -2.28 -2.67 -2.93 -2.83 -0.30 1.46	Dc/Al 154.18 Vert Dc/Al Grad -0.036 -0.037 -0.038 -0.034 -0.038 -0.038 -0.038 -0.038 -0.022 -0.008	Sr/AI 77.78 Sr/AI Grad -0.020 -0.038 -0.038 -0.039 -0.039 -0.039 -0.039 -0.039 -0.039	Dc/Sr 76.40 dient Dc/Sr Grad -0.054 -0.036 -0.038 -0.035 -0.038 -0.037 -0.004 0.019	Vertical Dc/Al ft/day 7.15E-07 7.20E-07 7.38E-07 6.73E-07 7.10E-07 7.53E-07 7.42E-07 4.25E-07 1.59E-07	Specific Di Sr/Al ft/day 1.56E-03 3.01E-03 3.01E-03 3.01E-03 3.08E-03 3.08E-03 3.08E-03 3.09E-03 3.13E-03 2.79E-03	scharge Dc/Sr ft/day 5.13E-07 3.59E-07 3.59E-07 3.34E-07 3.66E-07 3.54E-07 3.54E-07 3.54E-07 3.75E-08 -1.83E-07
Well MON-653 MON-660 MON-664 10/19/85 4/28/86 10/9/86 3/26/87 5/8/87 8/13/92 11/19/92 2/18/93 6/29/93 12/9/93	Aquifer Al Sr Dc Grou Well 653 Al 4799.39 4799.88 4799.69 4798.87 4799.74 4799.75 4799.74 4799.76 4799.74 4799.76 4799.82 4800.19 4800.27	TOS Elev 4778.94 4701.16 4624.76 md Water E Well 664 Dc 4805.01 4805.54 4805.53 4805.66 4805.59 4803.16 4801.44 4801.04	BOS Elev 4758.94 4681.16 4604.76 evation Well 660 Sr 4800.91 4802.81 4802.62 4801.88 4802.73 4802.76 4802.76 4802.86 4802.90 4802.90	<i>Elev</i> 4768.94 4691.16 4614.76 Head Dc/AI Diff -5.62 -5.66 -5.80 -5.29 -5.58 -5.92 -5.58 -3.34 -1.25 -0.77	Differe Sr/AI Diff -1.52 -2.93 -2.93 -3.01 -2.99 -3.00 -3.04 -2.71 -2.69	Dc/Sr Diff -4.10 -2.73 -2.87 -2.28 -2.67 -2.93 -2.83 -0.30 1.46 1.92	Dc/Al 154.18 Verti Dc/Al Grad -0.036 -0.037 -0.038 -0.034 -0.038 -0.038 -0.038 -0.022 -0.008 -0.005	Sr/AI 77.78 cal Grad Sr/AI Grad -0.020 -0.038 -0.038 -0.039 -0.039 -0.039 -0.035	dient Dc/Sr 76.40 Dc/Sr Grad -0.054 -0.036 -0.038 -0.038 -0.038 -0.038 -0.038 -0.037 -0.004 0.019 0.025	Vertical Dc/Al ft/day 7.15E-07 7.20E-07 7.38E-07 6.73E-07 7.10E-07 7.53E-07 7.42E-07 4.25E-07 1.59E-07 9.80E-08	Specific Di Sr/Al ft/day 1.56E-03 3.01E-03 3.01E-03 3.08E-03 3.08E-03 3.08E-03 3.09E-03 3.13E-03 2.79E-03 2.77E-03	scharge Dc/Sr ft/day 5.13E-07 3.59E-07 3.59E-07 3.66E-07 3.66E-07 3.54E-07 3.54E-07 3.75E-08 -1.83E-07 -2.40E-07
VVel/ MON-653 MON-660 MON-664 10/19/85 4/28/86 10/9/86 3/26/87 5/8/87 8/13/92 11/19/92 2/18/93 6/29/93 12/9/93 4/20/94	Aquifer Al Sr Dc Grou Well 653 Al 4799.39 4799.88 4799.69 4798.87 4799.74 4799.74 4799.74 4799.74 4799.74 4799.74 4799.82 4800.19 4800.27 4800.31	TOS Elev 4778.94 4701.16 4624.76 Ind Water El Well 664 Dc 4805.01 4805.54 4805.53 4805.66 4805.59 4801.44 4801.04 4814.67	BOS Elev 4758.94 4681.16 4604.76 evation Well 660 Sr 4800.91 4802.81 4802.62 4802.86 4802.73 4802.76 4802.86 4802.76 4802.90 4802.90 4803.33	<i>Elev</i> 4768.94 4691.16 4614.76 Head Dc/AI Diff -5.62 -5.66 -5.80 -5.29 -5.58 -5.92 -5.58 -3.34 -1.25 -0.77 -14.36	Differe Sr/AI Diff -1.52 -2.93 -3.01 -2.99 -3.00 -3.00 -3.04 -2.71 -2.69 -3.02	Dc/Sr Diff -4.10 -2.73 -2.87 -2.28 -2.67 -2.93 -2.83 -0.30 1.46 1.92 -11.34	Dc/Al 154.18 Verti Dc/Al Grad -0.036 -0.037 -0.038 -0.038 -0.038 -0.038 -0.038 -0.022 -0.008 -0.005 -0.093	Sr/AI 77.78 cal Grad Sr/AI Grad -0.020 -0.038 -0.038 -0.039 -0.039 -0.035 -0.035	dient Dc/Sr 76.40 Dc/Sr Grad -0.054 -0.036 -0.038 -0.030 -0.038 -0.038 -0.038 -0.030 -0.038 -0.044 -	Vertical Dc/Al ft/day 7.15E-07 7.20E-07 7.38E-07 6.73E-07 7.10E-07 7.53E-07 7.42E-07 4.25E-07 1.59E-07 9.80E-08 1.83E-06	Specific Di Sr/Al ft/day 1.56E-03 3.01E-03 3.01E-03 3.10E-03 3.08E-03 3.09E-03 3.13E-03 2.79E-03 2.77E-03 3.11E-03	scharge Dc/Sr ft/day 5.13E-07 3.59E-07 3.59E-07 3.66E-07 3.66E-07 3.54E-07 3.75E-08 -1.83E-07 -2.40E-07 1.42E-06
Well MON-653 MON-660 MON-664 10/19/85 4/28/86 10/9/86 3/26/87 5/8/87 8/13/92 11/19/92 2/18/93 6/29/93 12/9/93 4/20/94 12/8/94	Aquifer Al Sr Dc Grou Well 653 Al 4799.39 4799.88 4799.69 4799.74 4799.76 4799.74 4799.76 4799.74 4799.74 4799.74 4800.19 4800.27	TOS Elev 4778.94 4701.16 4624.76 md Water El Well 664 Dc 4805.01 4805.54 4805.54 4805.53 4805.66 4805.59 4803.16 4801.44 4801.04 4814.67 4806.56	BOS Elev 4758.94 4681.16 4604.76 evation Well 660 Sr 4800.91 4802.81 4802.62 4801.88 4802.62 4801.88 4802.73 4802.73 4802.76 4802.86 4802.90 4802.96 4803.33 4803.22	<i>Elev</i> 4768.94 4691.16 4614.76 Head Dc/AI Diff -5.62 -5.66 -5.80 -5.29 -5.58 -5.92 -5.58 -5.92 -5.83 -3.34 -1.25 -0.77 -14.36 -6.36	Differe Sr/AI Diff -1.52 -2.93 -3.01 -2.91 -2.99 -3.00 -3.04 -2.71 -2.69 -3.02 -3.02 -3.02 -3.02	Dc/Sr Diff -4.10 -2.73 -2.87 -2.28 -2.67 -2.93 -2.83 -0.30 1.46 1.92 -11.34 -3.34	Dc/Al 154.18 Verti Dc/Al Grad -0.036 -0.037 -0.038 -0.034 -0.038 -0.038 -0.038 -0.038 -0.022 -0.008 -0.005 -0.093 -0.041	Sr/AI 77.78 cal Grad Sr/AI Grad -0.020 -0.038 -0.038 -0.039 -0.039 -0.039 -0.035 -0.039 -0.035 -0.039 -0.035	Dc/Sr 76.40 Dc/Sr 76.40 Ocolumna Grad -0.054 -0.036 -0.038 -0.038 -0.038 -0.037 -0.004 0.019 0.025 -0.148 -0.044	Vertical Dc/AI ft/day 7.15E-07 7.20E-07 7.38E-07 6.73E-07 7.10E-07 7.53E-07 7.42E-07 4.25E-07 1.59E-07 9.80E-08 1.83E-06 8.09E-07	Specific Di Sr/Al ft/day 1.56E-03 3.01E-03 3.01E-03 3.10E-03 3.08E-03 3.09E-03 3.09E-03 3.13E-03 2.79E-03 2.77E-03 3.11E-03 3.11E-03	scharge Dc/Sr ft/day 5.13E-07 3.59E-07 3.59E-07 3.34E-07 3.54E-07 3.54E-07 3.54E-07 3.75E-08 -1.83E-07 -2.40E-07 1.42E-06 4.18E-07
Well MON-653 MON-660 MON-664 10/19/85 4/28/86 10/9/86 3/26/87 5/8/87 8/13/92 11/19/92 2/18/93 6/29/93 12/9/93 4/20/94 12/8/94 4/19/95	Aquifer Al Sr Dc Grou Well 653 Al 4799.39 4799.88 4799.69 4799.74 4799.76 4799.74 4799.76 4799.74 4799.76 4799.74 4800.27 4800.31 4800.20 4800.22	TOS Elev 4778.94 4701.16 4624.76 Ind Water El Well 664 Dc 4805.01 4805.54 4805.53 4805.66 4805.59 4801.44 4801.04 4814.67 4806.56 4806.71	BOS Elev 4758.94 4681.16 4604.76 evation Well 660 Sr 4800.91 4802.81 4802.62 4801.88 4802.86 4802.73 4802.76 4802.86 4802.90 4802.90 4803.33 4803.22 4803.23	<i>Elev</i> 4768.94 4691.16 4614.76 Head Dc/AI Diff -5.62 -5.66 -5.80 -5.29 -5.58 -5.92 -5.58 -5.92 -5.83 -3.34 -1.25 -0.77 -14.36 -6.36 -6.49	Differe Sr/AI Diff -1.52 -2.93 -3.01 -2.91 -2.99 -3.00 -3.04 -2.71 -2.69 -3.02 -3.02 -3.02 -3.02 -3.01	Dc/Sr Dc/Sr Diff -4.10 -2.73 -2.28 -2.67 -2.93 -2.83 -0.30 1.46 1.92 -11.34 -3.34 -3.48	Dc/Al 154.18 Verti Dc/Al Grad -0.036 -0.037 -0.038 -0.034 -0.038 -0.038 -0.038 -0.038 -0.038 -0.038 -0.038 -0.038 -0.038 -0.038 -0.005 -0.005 -0.093 -0.041 -0.042	Sr/AI 77.78 ical Grad Sr/AI Grad -0.020 -0.038 -0.038 -0.039 -0.039 -0.039 -0.035 -0.039 -0.035 -0.039 -0.039	Dc/Sr 76.40 Dc/Sr 76.40 Dc/Sr Grad -0.054 -0.036 -0.038 -0.030 -0.035 -0.038 -0.037 -0.038 -0.037 -0.044 0.019 0.025 -0.148 -0.044	Vertical Dc/AI ft/day 7.15E-07 7.20E-07 7.38E-07 6.73E-07 7.10E-07 7.53E-07 7.42E-07 4.25E-07 1.59E-07 9.80E-08 1.83E-06 8.09E-07 8.26E-07	Specific Di Sr/Al ft/day 1.56E-03 3.01E-03 3.01E-03 3.10E-03 3.09E-03 3.09E-03 3.09E-03 3.13E-03 2.79E-03 3.11E-03 3.11E-03 3.11E-03 3.10E-03	scharge Dc/Sr ft/day 5.13E-07 3.59E-07 3.59E-07 3.54E-07 3.54E-07 3.54E-07 3.75E-08 -1.83E-07 -2.40E-07 1.42E-06 4.18E-07 4.35E-07
Well MON-653 MON-660 MON-664 10/19/85 4/28/86 10/9/86 3/26/87 5/8/87 8/13/92 11/19/92 2/18/93 6/29/93 12/9/93 12/9/93 4/20/94 12/8/94 4/19/95 11/15/95	Aquifer Al Sr Dc Grot Well 653 Al 4799.39 4799.88 4799.69 4799.88 4799.69 4799.74 4799.74 4799.76 4799.74 4799.74 4799.76 4799.82 4800.19 4800.27 4800.21	TOS Elev 4778.94 4701.16 4624.76 Ind Water E Well 664 Dc 4805.01 4805.54 4805.53 4805.66 4801.64 4801.04 4814.67 4806.56 4806.71 4806.44	BOS Elev 4758.94 4681.16 4604.76 evation Well 660 Sr 4800.91 4802.81 4802.62 4801.88 4802.86 4802.73 4802.76 4802.86 4802.90 4802.90 4803.33 4803.22 4803.23 4803.07	<i>Elev</i> 4768.94 4691.16 4614.76 Head Dc/AI Diff -5.62 -5.66 -5.80 -5.29 -5.58 -5.92 -5.83 -3.34 -1.25 -0.77 -14.36 -6.36 -6.49 -6.32	Different Sr/Al Diff -1.52 -2.93 -3.01 -2.91 -3.00 -3.04 -2.71 -2.69 -3.02 -3.02 -3.02 -3.01 -2.95	Dc/Sr Dc/Sr Diff -4.10 -2.73 -2.87 -2.28 -2.67 -2.93 -2.83 -0.30 1.46 1.92 -11.34 -3.34 -3.34 -3.37	Dc/Al 154.18 Verti Dc/Al Grad -0.036 -0.037 -0.038 -0.034 -0.036 -0.038 -0.038 -0.038 -0.038 -0.038 -0.022 -0.008 -0.005 -0.093 -0.041 -0.042 -0.041	Sr/AI 77.78 cal Grad Grad -0.020 -0.038 -0.038 -0.039	Dc/Sr 76.40 Dc/Sr 76.40 Dc/Sr Grad -0.054 -0.036 -0.038 -0.030 -0.035 -0.038 -0.037 -0.004 0.019 0.025 -0.148 -0.044 -0.044	Vertical Dc/Al ft/day 7.15E-07 7.20E-07 7.38E-07 6.73E-07 7.10E-07 7.53E-07 7.42E-07 4.25E-07 1.59E-07 9.80E-08 1.83E-06 8.09E-07 8.26E-07 8.04E-07	Specific Di Sr/Al ft/day 1.56E-03 3.01E-03 3.01E-03 3.10E-03 2.99E-03 3.08E-03 3.08E-03 3.13E-03 2.77E-03 3.11E-03 3.11E-03 3.11E-03 3.10E-03 3.03E-03	scharge Dc/Sr ft/day 5.13E-07 3.59E-07 3.59E-07 3.34E-07 3.66E-07 3.54E-07 3.75E-08 -1.83E-07 -2.40E-07 1.42E-06 4.18E-07 4.35E-07 4.21E-07
Vvei/ MON-653 MON-660 MON-664 10/19/85 4/28/86 10/9/86 3/26/87 5/8/87 8/13/92 11/19/92 2/18/93 6/29/93 12/9/93 4/20/94 12/8/94 4/19/95 11/15/95 1/14/97	Aquifer Al Sr Dc Grot Well 653 Al 4799.39 4799.88 4799.69 4798.87 4799.69 4798.87 4799.74 4799.74 4799.74 4799.74 4799.76 4799.82 4800.27 4800.27 4800.21 4800.22 4800.12	TOS Elev 4778.94 4701.16 4624.76 Ind Water E Well 664 Dc 4805.01 4805.54 4805.53 4805.66 4801.64 4801.44 4801.04 4814.67 4806.56 4806.71 4806.44 4807.56	BOS Elev 4758.94 4681.16 4604.76 evation Well 660 Sr 4800.91 4802.81 4802.82 4801.88 4802.86 4802.73 4802.73 4802.76 4802.86 4802.90 4802.96 4803.33 4803.22 4803.23 4803.07 4805.32	<i>Elev</i> 4768.94 4691.16 4614.76 Head Dc/AI Diff -5.62 -5.66 -5.80 -5.29 -5.58 -5.92 -5.83 -3.34 -1.25 -0.77 -14.36 -6.36 -6.49 -6.32 -5.36	Different Sr/Al Diff -1.52 -2.93 -3.01 -2.91 -2.99 -3.00 -3.04 -2.71 -2.69 -3.02 -3.02 -3.02 -3.01 -2.95 -3.12	Dc/Sr Dc/Sr Diff -4.10 -2.73 -2.87 -2.28 -2.67 -2.93 -2.83 -0.30 1.46 1.92 -11.34 -3.34 -3.34 -3.37 -2.24	Dc/Al 154.18 Verti Dc/Al Grad -0.036 -0.037 -0.038 -0.034 -0.036 -0.038 -0.038 -0.038 -0.022 -0.008 -0.005 -0.093 -0.041 -0.042 -0.041 -0.035	Sr/AI 77.78 ical Grad Sr/AI Grad -0.020 -0.038 -0.038 -0.039	Dc/Sr 76.40 Dc/Sr 76.40 Dc/Sr Grad -0.054 -0.036 -0.038 -0.030 -0.035 -0.038 -0.037 -0.038 -0.037 -0.044 0.019 0.025 -0.148 -0.044 -0.044 -0.044 -0.044	Vertical Dc/Al ft/day 7.15E-07 7.20E-07 7.38E-07 6.73E-07 7.10E-07 7.53E-07 7.42E-07 4.25E-07 4.25E-07 9.80E-08 1.83E-06 8.09E-07 8.26E-07 8.04E-07 6.82E-07	Specific Di Sr/Al ft/day 1.56E-03 3.01E-03 3.01E-03 3.10E-03 2.99E-03 3.08E-03 3.08E-03 3.08E-03 3.13E-03 2.79E-03 2.77E-03 3.11E-03 3.11E-03 3.10E-03 3.03E-03 3.21E-03	scharge Dc/Sr ft/day 5.13E-07 3.59E-07 3.59E-07 3.34E-07 3.66E-07 3.54E-07 3.54E-07 3.75E-08 -1.83E-07 -2.40E-07 1.42E-06 4.18E-07 4.35E-07 4.21E-07 2.80E-07
Vvei/ MON-653 MON-660 MON-664 10/19/85 4/28/86 10/9/86 3/26/87 5/8/87 8/13/92 11/19/92 2/18/93 6/29/93 12/9/93 4/20/94 12/8/94 4/19/95 11/15/95 1/14/97 8/19/97	Aquifer Al Sr Dc Grot Well 653 Al 4799.39 4799.88 4799.69 4798.87 4799.74 4799.74 4799.76 4799.74 4799.74 4799.76 4799.74 4800.27 4800.31 4800.22 4800.31 4800.22 4800.12 4800.12	TOS Elev 4778.94 4701.16 4624.76 md Water E Well 664 Dc 4805.01 4805.54 4805.49 4805.53 4805.59 4801.44 4801.04 4814.67 4806.56 4806.71 4806.44 4807.56 4807.35	BOS Elev 4758.94 4681.16 4604.76 evation Well 660 Sr 4800.91 4802.81 4802.81 4802.86 4802.73 4802.73 4802.76 4802.86 4802.90 4802.90 4803.33 4803.22 4803.23 4803.07 4805.32 4804.93	<i>Elev</i> 4768.94 4691.16 4614.76 Head Dc/AI Diff -5.62 -5.66 -5.80 -5.29 -5.58 -5.92 -5.58 -5.92 -5.83 -3.34 -1.25 -0.77 -14.36 -6.36 -6.36 -6.32 -5.36	Different Sr/AI Diff -1.52 -2.93 -3.01 -2.91 -2.99 -3.00 -3.04 -2.71 -2.69 -3.02 -3.02 -3.02 -3.01 -2.95 -3.12 -2.96	Dc/Sr Dc/Sr Diff -4.10 -2.73 -2.87 -2.28 -2.67 -2.93 -2.83 -0.30 1.46 1.92 -11.34 -3.34 -3.34 -3.37 -2.24 -2.42	Dc/Al 154.18 Verti Dc/Al Grad -0.036 -0.037 -0.038 -0.034 -0.036 -0.038 -0.038 -0.038 -0.038 -0.038 -0.038 -0.022 -0.008 -0.005 -0.005 -0.005 -0.005 -0.041 -0.042 -0.041 -0.035 -0.035	Sr/AI 77.78 ical Grad Sr/AI Grad -0.020 -0.038 -0.038 -0.039	Dc/Sr 76.40 Dc/Sr Grad -0.054 -0.036 -0.038 -0.030 -0.035 -0.038 -0.037 -0.038 -0.037 -0.044 -0.044 -0.044 -0.044 -0.044 -0.029 -0.032	Vertical Dc/Al ft/day 7.15E-07 7.20E-07 7.38E-07 6.73E-07 7.10E-07 7.53E-07 7.42E-07 4.25E-07 1.59E-07 9.80E-08 1.83E-06 8.09E-07 8.26E-07 8.04E-07 6.82E-07 6.85E-07	Specific Di Sr/Al ft/day 1.56E-03 3.01E-03 3.01E-03 3.10E-03 3.09E-03 3.09E-03 3.09E-03 3.13E-03 2.79E-03 3.11E-03 3.11E-03 3.11E-03 3.03E-03 3.03E-03 3.02E-03 3.04E-03	scharge Dc/Sr ft/day 5.13E-07 3.59E-07 3.59E-07 3.54E-07 3.54E-07 3.54E-07 3.54E-07 1.42E-06 4.18E-07 4.35E-07 4.21E-07 2.80E-07 3.03E-07

DOE/Grand Junction Office April 1999

ANT COL	Well MON-603/611/615 Cluster									10 A.		
				Sc	m Mid	pt	Screen	Elev Di	fference			
Well	Aquifer	TOS Elev	BOS Elev	Elev			Dc/AI	Sr/Al	Dc/Sr			·
MON-603	AI	4805.56	4795.56	4800.56			125.21	29.27	95.94			
MON-615	Sr	4781.29	4761.29	4771.29					•			
MON-611	Dc	4685.35	4665.35	4675.35								
	Grou	ind Water El	evation	Head	Differe	ence	Vert	ical Gra	dient	Vertical	Specific Di	scharge
•	Well 603	Well 611	Well 615	Dc/AI	Sr/Al	Dc/Sr	Dc/Al	Sr/Al	Dc/Sr	Dc/AI	Sr/AI	Dc/Sr
Date	AI	Dc	Sr	Diff	Diff	Diff	Grad	Grad	Grad	ft/day	ft/day	ft/day
4/23/85	4838.31	4849.31	4838.62	-11.00	-0.31	-10.69	-0.088	-0.011	-0.111	1.38E06	8.47E-04	1.34E-06
6/4/85	4838.25	4849.31	4838.58	-11.06	-0.33	-10.73	-0.088	-0.011	-0.112	1.38E-06	9.02E-04	1.34E-06
3/26/87	4838.16	4849.31	4838.23	-11.15	-0.07	-11.08	-0.089	-0.002	-0.115	1.39E06	1.91E-04	1.39E06
5/8/87	4838.60	4849.31	4838.96	-10.71	-0.36	-10.35	-0.086	-0.012	-0.108	1.34E06	9.84E04	1.29E06
11/22/92	4838.51	4849.31	4838.89	-10.80	-0.38	-10.42	-0.086	-0.013	-0.109	1.35E-06	1.04E-03	1.30E-06
6/29/93	4838.43	4849.31	4838.67	-10.88	-0.24	-10.64	-0.087	-0.008	-0.111	1.36E-06	6.56E-04	1.33E-06
12/9/93	4837.98	4849.31	4838.31	-11.33	-0.33	-11.00	-0.090	-0.011	-0.115	1.42E-06	9.02E04	1.38E-06
4/20/94	4838.53	4849.31	4838.85	-10.78	-0.32	-10.46	-0.086	-0.011	-0.109	1.35E-06	8.75E-04	1.31E06
12/7/94	4838.23	4849.31	4838.59	-11.08	-0.36	-10.72	-0.088	-0.012	-0.112	1.39E06	9.84E04	1.34E06
4/19/95	4838.66	4849.31	4839.01	-10.65	-0.35	-10.30	-0.085	-0.012	-0.107	1.33E-06	9.57E-04	1.29E-06
1/12/97	4839.02	4849.31	4839.43	-10.29	-0.41	-9.88	-0.082	-0.014	-0.103	1.29E06	1.12E-03	1.24E-06
8/19/97	4838.61	4849.31	4839.03	-10.70	-0.42	-10.28	-0.085	-0.014	-0.107	1.34E06	1.15E-03	1.29E-06
			Avg	-10.87	-0.32	-10.55	-0.087	-0.011	-0.110	1.36E-06	8.84E-04	1.32E-06
						Hydra	aulic Gra	adient	Vertical	Specific Di	scharge	
Site Wide Averages:							Dc/AI	Sr/Al	Dc/Sr	Dc/AI	Sr/Al	Dc/Sr
							ft/ft	ft/ft	ft/ft	ft/day	ft/day	ft/day
							-0.045	-0.017	-0.055	7.80E-07	1.39E-03	6.25E-07

Table 5–2. (continued) Ground Water Vertical Velocity Calculations–Monument Valley Field Investigation

Notes: Al

Sr

Alluvial Aquifer Bos Elev =

Bottom of screen elevation (MSL) **De Chelly Aquifer**

Dc . Grad Gradient =

Screen midpoint elevation (MSL) Scrn Midpt =

= Shinarump Aquifer

= Top of screen elevation (MSL) TOS Elev

Results

As Table 5–2 shows, ground water movement has historically been upward from the De Chelly, through the Shinarump, and into the alluvial aquifer at each of the well cluster locations. The average hydraulic gradient between the De Chelly and the Shinarump is -0.055; between the Shinarump and the alluvium the average gradient is -0.017; and between the De Chelly and the alluvium the average gradient is -0.045. A negative gradient value indicates the flow direction is vertically upwards.

Since 1985, the gradient appears to have reversed direction on an infrequent basis. These gradient reversals may have resulted from inaccurate water-level measurements, or the water levels may have been influenced by the pumping of water supply wells during mine reclamation work.

Recent data (collected during the 1997 field investigation) do not indicate a significant difference in hydraulic gradients compared to historical data for the well cluster locations. The data also indicate that hydraulic gradients do not fluctuate seasonally.

It should be noted that the report of a gradient reversal (Appendix F of the RAP) at the MON-603/611/615 cluster location after 1989 was in error. Wells MON-611 and MON-615 were mislabeled in the field at some point between 1987 and 1989, ultimately resulting in an apparent reversal of the gradient. This error has been corrected in the field and in the data base.

5.2.4.2 Ground Water Flow Vertical Velocities

Table 5–2 also provides the ground water vertical specific discharge estimates for ground water flow between the alluvial, Shinarump, and De Chelly aquifers. Specific discharge between the various aquifers was determined using different formulas based on either the presence or absence of a confining unit.

Ground water specific discharge between the De Chelly and the Shinarump aquifers was calculated using the following formula:

 $q = ((h_1 - h_2) / b) K$

where q = the groundwater vertical specific discharge, or flux (ft/day). The h₁ term is the hydraulic head measured in the Shinarump aquifer overlying the Moenkopi confining unit, while h₂ is the hydraulic head of the De Chelly aquifer below the confining unit. The b term refers to the thickness of the Moenkopi confining unit (estimated to be 40 ft) and K is the hydraulic conductivity of the Moenkopi, which has an estimated horizontal hydraulic conductivity range from 10⁻⁴ to 10⁻⁵ ft/day (Golyn 1995). Vertical hydraulic conductivity is generally an order of magnitude lower compared to the horizontal conductivity. As a result, the vertical hydraulic conductivity of the Moenkopi is estimated to range from 10⁻⁶ ft/day. Using the midpoint of this range, the Moenkopi vertical hydraulic conductivity is estimated to be 5x10⁻⁶ ft/day.

A different approach was used to determine the vertical specific discharge between the Shinarump and the alluvial aquifers since there is no confining unit between these two aquifers. The following formula was used:

q = (dh / dl) K

where the dh/dl term represents the hydraulic gradient between the Shinarump and the alluvial aquifers (values listed in Table 5–2), and K represents the hydraulic conductivity of the Shinarump aquifer. The horizontal hydraulic conductivity of the Shinarump ranges from 0.4 to 8 ft/day. Using the same method as described above to estimate the Moenkopi conductivity, the estimated vertical hydraulic conductivity of the Shinarump used to calculate the specific discharge is 0.08 ft/day.

The formula used to determine the vertical specific discharge between the alluvial and De Chelly aquifers is the same as described for vertical ground water flow between the De Chelly and the Shinarump; however, different values for the thickness and conductivity are used depending on the head loss between the Shinarump and the alluvial aquifers. If there was a small head loss through the Shinarump (less than 0.5 ft), then the thickness and conductivity of the Moenkopi

(40 ft and $5x10^{-6}$ ft/day, respectively) are used. Less than 0.5 ft of head loss was measured at both the 606/659/663 and 603/615/611 clusters.

At the 653/660/664 cluster, the head loss through the Shinarump was larger than 0.5 ft (an average of 2.9 ft), and the thickness and conductivity terms in the equation were estimated by calculating the total thickness of the units combined and the respective average vertical conductivity. Based on the field data, the average thickness of the Shinarump is 70 ft. To determine the vertical specific discharge between the alluvium and De Chelly aquifer for this location, a total thickness of 110 ft with a vertical conductivity of 1.4×10^{-5} ft/day were used for the b and K terms, respectively.

Results

Results are included in Table 5–2, with positive specific discharge values representing upwards flow. As shown in Table 5–2, ground water flows upward from the De Chelly towards the alluvial aquifer at all three locations where the data were collected.

In addition to the calculated vertical gradients and respective specific discharges there is additional evidence which supports vertical ground water flow from the De Chelly to the alluvium. Well MON-654, which is located along the eastern portion of the site and screened in the alluvial aquifer, has been observed to be under artesian conditions. The water contained in this well is of De Chelly type, suggesting the artesian flow conditions are a direct result of flow from the De Chelly aquifer (Section 5.3.1.1).

According to the geologic cross-sections in this region of the site the confining Moenkopi is present, and there does not appear to be a direct connection between the alluvial aquifer and the underlying De Chelly. One possible explanation for the influence from the De Chelly may be associated with past drilling activity in this immediate region of the site. Incomplete records from uranium exploration activity indicate potentially 80 boreholes were drilled in the immediate area of the present location of well MON–654. There are no details for the depth of each hole; however, on average each hole was approximately 180 ft deep and extended into the De Chelly aquifer. It is likely these boreholes were not properly abandoned, providing a number of conduits for the De Chelly ground water to vertically migrate into the alluvium over time.

5.2.5 Water Balance

Part of the characterization of the ground water flow system requires the development of a water balance which identifies the components of the flow system, presents the magnitudes and directions of the components, and provides a check for numerical modeling results. The focus of this water balance is the ground-water flow associated with the alluvial aquifer and represents one interpretation of the data collected from the site at this time.

Figure 5–7 shows the boundaries (which encompass a total area of 50,140,000 ft²) established for the water balance. The most upgradient head boundary is set equal to the average hydraulic head (4,850 ft MSL) measured in well MON–602, while the most downgradient head (4,775 ft MSL) is based on the average hydraulic head measured in well MON–650. The eastern and Document Number U0018101



가 사람을 줄을

. Figure 5–7. Flow Net for the Monument Valley Site

DOE/Grand Junction Office April 1999 western boundaries were established in the vicinity of the site where Comb Ridge to the east and Shinarump outcrops to the west start to influence the saturated thickness of the alluvial aquifer.

Flow through the alluvial aquifer is estimated by determining the ground water flow entering and exiting the system. Inflow includes flow across the southern boundary, flow across the eastern and western boundaries into the site, ground water migrating from the underlying De Chelly, and recharge from precipitation. Outflow includes flow through the northern boundary and ground water loss through ET.

With the exception of the frog ponds, there are no perennial surface water bodies onsite. The washes that trend north-south through the site transport water only during intense storm events, and the water quickly infiltrates into the alluvium. This surface runoff is not considered to be an additional component of recharge beyond the previously mentioned infiltration of precipitation. There are no direct measurements of natural recharge available for the site.

Ground water discharge is primarily a function of evaporation and ET. Loss due to evaporation is taken into account in the recharge determination. Only an estimated 10 to 20 percent of the annual precipitation is estimated to actually infiltrate and provide recharge to the alluvial aquifer (Stephens 1994).

ET, which is the major component of ground water discharge from the alluvial aquifer, has not been measured directly at the site. However, literature values are available for similar hydrologic systems and plant communities. An estimate of ET for this water balance is based on literature values and the dominant type of plant encountered at the site during a vegetation survey (Section 5.4.2).

Assumptions made in developing the water balance include:

- The flow system for the alluvial aquifer is assumed to be unconfined across the site, with a hydraulic conductivity within the flow system assumed to be one order of magnitude lower in the vertical direction compared to the horizontal direction.
- The total discharge is estimated for the entire thickness of the alluvial aquifer, where flow is assumed to be nearly horizontal. Upgradient and downgradient boundaries of the flow system are assumed to have fixed heads, with discharge through the aquifer assumed to be steady-state.
- Monument Valley is similar to other sites within the arid southwestern United States, therefore measured recharge rates in other parts of the southwest are similar to those at Monument Valley.
- Flow into the site along the east and west is dependent upon respective watershed areas.

5.2.6 Water Balance Calculations

5.2.6.1 Ground Water Flow Across the Southern and Northern Boundaries

1 33 00

Figure 5–7 is a flow net constructed to estimate the groundwater flow across the southern and northern boundaries of the site. Flowlines were drawn perpendicular to the groundwater contours which were used to construct the flowtubes. The hydraulic conductivity calculated in the vicinity of well 765, in conjunction with the hydraulic gradient and saturated thickness (Figures 5–4 and 5–8), were used to determine the flow rates for each flowtube. The resulting flow rates for these tubes ranged from 2661 to 6918 ft³/day. Based on the flow net, along the southern boundary the hydraulic conductivity estimates ranged from 23 to 44 ft/day, and along the northern boundary the conductivity estimates ranged from 20 to 46 ft/day. The saturated thickness at the northern and southern boundaries is shown by the cross-sections in Figure 5–9.

5.2.6.2 Ground Water Flow Across the Eastern and Western Boundaries

There are no direct measurements of ground-water flow across the east and west boundaries. To estimate flow from these regions, the surrounding areas upgradient of the site between the Shinarump outcrops to the west and Comb Ridge to the east are divided into six different watersheds (WS1 through WS6 as shown on Figure 5–10). Ground water flow entering the site across the southern boundary is a function of flow (in the form of ground water flow resulting from the infiltration of precipitation) predominantly from watershed area WS5 and partially from WS4. Flow associated with the remaining area of WS4, and all of WS1, WS2, and WS3 are contributors to ground water flow through the western boundary, while ground water flow coming into the site from the east is a function of flow originating from WS6.

Once the watersheds were established, a net recharge based on precipitation applied to each watershed was estimated. This was completed by comparing the ground water flow entering the site at the southern boundary and the ground water flow leaving the site at the northern boundary, and determining the flux necessary to provide the flow from the respective watershed areas. This value, which represents a net recharge flux, was then applied to the watershed areas which are responsible for contributing flow through the eastern and western boundaries of the site.

Along the eastern recharge boundary, it is estimated the flux would be consistent across the entire length of the boundary, since there does not appear to be any variation along this boundary. However, along the western boundary there appear to be three distinct recharge zones. It is estimated that one-half of the flow originating from the western boundary is the result of flow from the paleochannel. The remainder of the flow is split between the zones to the north and south of the paleochannel on the western boundary. A difference between the northern and southern zones is the result of the boundary's proximity to the bedrock outcrops, with the northern zone having a lower recharge flux (further distance away from the outcrops) compared to the southern zone's flux (directly adjacent to the bedrock outcrops).

As a result, the paleochannel recharge zone is assigned a flux which ranges from 0.049 to 0.058 ft/day, while the zones to the north and south fluxes range from 0.0036 to 0.0043 ft/day and 0.010 to 0.012 ft/day, respectively. The flux assigned to the eastern boundary is estimated to

be approximately the same as the flux assigned to the northern zone of the western boundary. The eastern boundary recharge flux is estimated to be between 0.0034 and 0.0040 ft/day.

5.2.7 Flux Across the Water Table Boundary – Recharge from Precipitation

No data have been collected to quantify the amount of recharge from precipitation at the site. As a result, this parameter is estimated from literature values. Stephens (1994) presents a comparison of field studies completed in basins in the semi-arid areas of the western United States. The Monument Valley site may be considered analogous to the sites described by Stephens (1994) because of the low annual precipitation measured at Monument Valley (approximately 6.4 inches per year [in./year]) in combination with a rather high annual evaporation rate (estimated to be 84.4 in./year [Cooley 1970]).

According to Thornthwaite and Mather (1957), of the 6.4 inches of annual precipitation measured near the vicinity of the site, only 1.6 inches is available for recharge to the alluvial aquifer and runoff (data contained in Appendix B). Of the 1.6 inches, it is assumed that one-half of this amount acts as runoff, leaving 0.8 inches of annual precipitation available as recharge. This value (0.00018 ft/day) represents the flux to apply to the site area.

5.2.8 Flux Across the Water Table Boundary – Flow from the De Chelly

Geochemical data collected from the frog ponds and samples from wells 654 and 767 indicate De Chelly-type water has migrated into the alluvial aquifer (Section 5–3). The area of the alluvial aquifer believed to be influenced by the De Chelly groundwater is shown on Figure 5–11.

In the vicinity of the ponds and these wells, subsurface data do not suggest the absence of any confining units which may explain the migration of the water from the De Chelly to the alluvial system (Cross-section B, Plate 2). One explanation is the possible presence of the improperly abandoned exploration boreholes, as previously discussed. Based on the information available at this time, there is insufficient data to estimate the flow rate of De Chelly groundwater into the alluvial aquifer.

5.2.9 Evapotranspiration

In deep, fine- to medium-textured soils, ET can account for almost all infiltration in upland arid areas where healthy, late-successional vegetation dominates. However, overgrazing of Cane Valley rangelands has greatly reduced leaf area; the removal of soil via transpiration is less than would be expected for healthy rangeland. Therefore, localized recharge (downward movement of soil water below the influence of plants) in the plume vicinity may exceed 10 percent of the precipitation volume.

Phreatophyte populations downgradient of the mill site are ground water discharge zones (Section 4.7.3.3). Development of a conceptual water balance model for the site requires estimates of ET rates for these phreatophyte communities. Given the depth to ground water and the presence of a top layer of dune sand that can act as a capillary barrier to vertical tension gradients, the evaporation component of discharge is likely insignificant (less than 0.00009 ft/day, less than 0.00009 vapor flow).





DOE/Grand Junction Office April 1999

Site Observational Work Plan for Monument Valley, Arizona Page 5-35



Figure 5–9. Ground Water Flow Model Boundary Cross Sections

Site Conceptual Model

Document Number U0018101

Site Conceptual Model

Document Number U0018101









DOE/Grand Junction Office April 1999 As shown on Figure 5–11, two distinct areas have been delineated (based on current plant communities) from which ground water is discharged via ET. Area ET1 is dominated by greasewood, which has a deep root system that taps directly into the ground water. Recent studies suggest that transpiration from healthy greasewood populations can range from 0.002 to 0.014 ft/day (Nichols 1993 and Branson et al. 1981). The greasewood community located northeast of the former location of the new tailings pile (Area ET1) has been subjected to over-grazing. As a result, it is believed that the greasewood in this region may be less efficient at transpiring ground water, with a flux more on the order of 0.0035 to 0.0045 ft/day.

Area ET2 is limited to areas adjacent to the washes which have plant communities with lower ET rates, with estimated fluxes ranging from 0.00089 and 0.0013 ft/day. The remainder of the site has been designated as Area ET3, which is not considered to be an area of ground water discharge. These fluxes assigned to areas ET1 and ET2 represent maximum potential losses of ground water from the system. The actual volume of ground water removed from the system is dependent upon plant root depth, and may be considerably lower compared to the estimated volume.

5.2.9.1 Discussion and Results

The goal of this water balance is to describe the various steady-state flow components which dictate the ground water flow in the vicinity of the Monument Valley site, based on the current field data. Table 5–3 presents the field water balance by summing the various components, while Figure 5–11 provides a conceptual model to graphically display the components.

Flow Component	Inflow (ft³/day)	Outflow (ft ³ /day)
Southern Boundary	44,379	0
Northern Boundary	0	57,363
Eastern Boundary	2,876	0
Western Boundary - Zone 1	2,112	0
Western Boundary - Zone 2	2,112	0
Western Boundary - Zone 3	4,423	0
Recharge from Precipitation	8,929	0
ET loss from Zone ET1*	0	4,480
ET loss from Zone ET2*	0	4,236
Flow from the De Chelly	Insufficient Data	0
TOTAL	64,831	66,079

Table 5–3. Results of the Steady-State Water Balance for Monument Valley

*These values represent the maximum potential losses

As Table 5–3 shows, there is a 1.9 percent mass balance error in the water balance, with the outflow exceeding the inflow by 1,248 ft³/day. This difference may be attributed to the inflow of groundwater to the alluvial aquifer from the underlying De Chelly.

5.2.9.2 Conclusions

- A steady-state field water balance was derived for the Monument Valley site. Inflows to the system include: 1) ground water inflow from the south, east, and west, and 2) recharge from precipitation over the entire site. Discharge from the flow system occurs as: 1) ET in areas dominated by the greasewood population and along the drainages, and 2) downgradient ground water discharge to the north.
- A number of the flow components (ground water flow from the east and west, precipitation recharge rates, and ET rates) were estimated because no direct measurements have been made. For some flow components, a flux range was established which represented the estimated minimum and maximum fluxes. Average values for one data set collected in August 1997 were used in preparing Table 5–3.

5.3 Geochemistry

DOE collected ground water quality data from the former processing site and vicinity from April 1985 through September 1998. These data are accessible in the SEE_UMTRA database. The most recent information available was used to assess surface-water and ground water quality. The nature and extent of site-related constituents occurring above natural background concentrations are evaluated and the fate and transport of the site-related constituents in the ground water are summarized in the following sections.

5.3.1 Natural Background

Background water quality is defined as the quality the water would have if uranium milling activities had not taken place. The water quality prior to the milling operations is inferred by characterizing the water quality in areas upgradient of the site that are unaffected by process contamination. Surface waters, soils, and ground water from the alluvium, Shinarump Member of the Chinle Formation, and the De Chelly Sandstone Member of the Cutler Formation were evaluated.

5.3.1.1 Background Surface Water

The only permanent surface water present in the vicinity of the project area occurs east of the former mill site in what is referred to as the Cane Valley frog ponds (Figure 5–12). The frog ponds consist of two man-made ponds constructed during the 1950s and 1960s when the mill was in operation (Hammack 1993). The ponds are situated roughly in a north to south direction along the drainage axis of Cane Valley Wash. Water was supplied by a concrete-lined cistern at the southern pond. The sides of the northern pond were lined with wooden planks braced by ore from the mines. The wooden planks, ore from in and around the northern pond, and evidence of the concrete cistern at the southern pond were subsequently removed during completion of the surface remediation activities at the former mill site in April 1994.

Presently, the southern pond is contained in a long, narrow, and deep bulldozer cut in a large sand dune. The bulldozer cut intersects the alluvial ground water which provides some recharge





DOE/Grand Junction Office April 1999 to both ponds throughout the year. Geochemical similarities between the pond water and ground water from the De Chelly bedrock aquifer water suggest the ponds may also be receiving recharge through former uranium exploration boreholes that penetrated the artesian bedrock aquifer in the immediate area. The exploration boreholes were probably not properly abandoned, thereby allowing artesian flow from the De Chelly aquifer into the alluvium.

Water quality analyses for permanent surface water samples collected from 1993 through 1997 at the southern frog pond (MON-623) are summarized in Table 5-4. The background surface water sample location is shown on Figure 5-12 and the analytical results are presented in Appendix D.

Background water quality results are interpreted using the Piper diagram (Piper 1944) presented in Figure 5–13. Permanent background surface water collected from the southern frog pond (well MON-623) is characterized by a predominance of calcium and magnesium cations with lesser amount of sodium (Figure 5–13). This calcium-magnesium-carbonate type water closely resembles the chemistry of water from the De Chelly aquifer (Section 5.3.1.5), suggesting that artesian flow from the bedrock aquifer may be providing local recharge to the pond.

TDS concentrations in the frog ponds average 332 mg/L and range from 255 to 420 mg/L. The average sulfate to chloride ratio is 4.4. Nitrate is present at an average concentration of 0.5 mg/L and ranges from 0.1 to 1.0 mg/L. Commonly detected trace constituents include iron, manganese, strontium, radium-226, uranium, and zinc. On average, the water pH is above neutral (pH 7.9) and the redox condition is oxidizing (oxidation-reduction potential 342 millivolts [mV]).

Most of the surface flow along Cane Valley Wash and other small drainage channels in the vicinity of the site is ephemeral (duration of flow less than one month) as a direct result of local precipitation. Natural scours created by ephemeral flow along Cane Valley Wash are common and many intersect the shallow ground water forming small pools which may contain standing water for prolonged periods of up to several weeks or more (intermittent). In response to evaporation and transpiration the pools get smaller and eventually go dry. These small intermittent pools have been observed to occur just upstream of the frog ponds and downstream for several miles.

Water quality analyses for surface water samples collected from 1995 through 1997 at three intermittent pools located upstream from the frog ponds (MON-631, -632, and -633) are summarized in Table 5-4. The background surface water sample locations are shown on Figure 5-12 and the analytical results are presented in Appendix D.

Evident in the background intermittent surface water results presented in the Piper diagram (Figure 5–13) is the predominance of the sodium cation with lesser amounts of magnesium and calcium. The predominant anion is carbonate (reported as alkalinity in Table 5–4) with lesser amounts of sulfate and chloride. This sodium-carbonate type water is also characterized by relatively high concentrations of TDS which average 1,951 mg/L and range from 890 to 2,230 mg/L. Water in these small intermittent pools is subject to severe effects from evaporation, which tends to increase the concentrations of trace and major elements while keeping their relative proportions constant. For example, while sulfate and chloride concentrations increase, the ration of sulfate to chloride concentration will remain approximately the same. Thus, surface



Figure 5–13. Piper Diagram of Background-Water Chemistry

water in the pools along Cane Valley Wash tend to have naturally occurring high concentrations ratio of sulfate to chloride concentration will remain approximately the same. Thus, surface of TDS and major ions including sulfate, chloride, magnesium, sodium, and alkalinity, as compared to the permanent background surface waters (Table 5–4). Commonly detected trace constituents in the background intermittent surface water include manganese, molybdenum, selenium, strontium, uranium, vanadium, and radium-226. On average, the water pH is above neutral (pH 8.6) and the redox condition is oxidizing (oxidation-reduction potential 317 mV). The average sulfate to chloride ratio is 8.1. Nitrate (expressed as NO₃) is present at an average concentration of 0.5 mg/L and ranges from 0.4 to less than 1.0 mg/L.

5.3.1.2 Background Sediment and Soil Chemistry

In the area of the frog ponds and Cane Valley Wash, the ground water in the alluvial aquifer is commonly within a few feet of the surface. Capillary action keeps the sediments in the bottom of the wash wet, and evaporation and transpiration by plants of the capillary water results in the precipitation and accumulation of a 1- to 3-mm-thick crust of salts over most of the surface of the wash.

Table 5-4. Background-Water Quality for Permanent and Intermittent Surface Water

		Perman	ent [*]		Intermittent ^b			
Analyte	FOD	Mean ^d	Range*	FOD	Mean ^d	Range*		
Major (mg/L)				H 				
Ammonium as NH₄	3/8	.0479	.0126–.1	1/2	.665	<.1-1.28		
Calcium	10/10	54.16	28.9-171	5/5	19.7	12.8–28		
Chioride	7/7	8.9643	5.915	5/5	155.13	3:2-238		
Magnesium	10/10	30.35	2276.1	5/5	92.9778	50.7-132		
Nitrate	3/8	.4716	.07441	1/5	.4836	.352<1		
Potassium	9/9	6.4956	2.2-29.7	1/1	27.7 ·	27.7-27.7		
Sodium	10/10	43.7	35-54.5	5/5	570.2	180–917		
Sulfate	8/8	39.2375	24.9–70	4/4	422.3	95–573		
Metal (mg/L)								
Arsenic	0/1	.0025	<.005<.005					
Iron (Filtered)	0/3	.015	<.03-<.03	0/4	.015	<.03<.03		
Iron (Unfiltered)	2/2	16.6	.1–33.1					
Manganese (Filtered)	5/5	.0602	.01–.16	2/4	.021	<.0105		
Manganese (Unfiltered)	4/4	.315	.02–.99					
Molybdenum	0/5	.005	<.01-<.01	4/4	.0728	.017–.12		
Selenium	0/2	.0003	<.0002-<.001	1/1	.0002	.0002400024		
Strontium	11/11	.6031	.407–1.35	2/2	.58	.38–.78		
Uranium	9/11	.0028	<.0010063	5/5	.0088	.002–.0274		
Vanadium	1/12	.0095	<.00406	4/5	.0136	<.0102		
Zinc (Filtered)	0/2	.025	<.05-<.05					
Zinc (Unfiltered)	2/3	.116	<.05213	1				
Other	i inciante Alignation de la composición de la composición de la composición de la composición de la composición Alignation de la composición de la comp	de éstari			se figeri			
Alkalinity as CaCO ₃ (mg/L)	8/8	261.625	197–371	3/3	795	629-911		
Redox Potential (mV)	4/4	341.5	146-438	2/2	316.5	198–435		
Silica (mg/L)	5/5	72.92	14. 9 –295					
Sulfate/Chloride	6/6	4.3558	3.0329-5.9322	4/4	8.05	1.5924-29.6875		
Total Dissolved Solids (mg/L)	.7/7	332.1429	255-420	5/5	1951	890-2230		
Total Phosphorus as PO ₄ (mg/L)	2/2	.29	.1–.48		·			
pH (s.u.)	8/8	7.865	7.28–9.05	4/4	8.61	8.22-9.32		
Radiologic (pCi/L)								
Lead-210 (Filtered)	0/2	.4175	<.75–<.92	0/1	.565	<1.13-<1.13		
Radium-226	1/2	.0775	<.07–.12	1/1	1.55	1.55-1.55		
Radium-228	0/2	.3	<.5-<.7	0/1	.35	<.7-<.7		

*Upstream permanent surface water at the southern end of the frog pond; sample location MON-623.

^bUpstream intermittent pools; sample locations MON-631, -632, and -633.

°Frequency of detection (number detected/number analyzed).

⁴Arithmetic mean based on averages from each location; one-half the detection limit used for values below detection.

*Minimum and maximum value detected; < indicates value below detection limit.

In some areas downstream of the frog ponds, the area covered by salts is more than 300 ft (100 m) wide. Field observations of these salts indicate that they are very soluble. Wind transport of the salts has been observed, inferring that wind ablation and dissolution of the salts during rains precludes the formation of thick salt deposits in the wash.

Background soils and sediments were collected at one surface location in 1993 and at two hand-auger locations in 1997 (Figure 5–12). The 1993 sample (MON–623) was collected near the southern frog pond, which is located upgradient from the former vicinity property site associated with the northern pond. Samples were collected in 1997 from background locations MON–869 and –870 which were established further upgradient from the site. Three samples were collected from each of these hand-auger locations at depths ranging from 1 to 5 ft below ground level. Lithologic logs and analytical results for these background samples are presented in Section 4.5.

Background concentrations of selected site-related constituents are summarized in Table 5–5 for soil and sediments samples collected at the three upgradient locations. For comparison, concentrations observed in background soils and sediments for the western United States are also presented (Shacklette and Boerngen 1984). Results indicate that average levels of manganese, strontium, uranium, and vanadium at the Monument Valley site are slightly low as compared to average concentrations in typical background soils and sediments for the western United States, possibly reflecting the sandy, well sorted nature of the sediments derived from windblown sands.

	Monu	ment Valley Si	teª (mg/kg)	Western United States (mg/kg)			
Constituent	Mean	Standard Deviation	Range	Mean	Standard Deviation	Range	
Manganese	123.7	53.3	84.8 - 224.6	. 380	1.98	30 - 5,000	
Nitrate	301.9	314.3	1.8 – 941.3	NA [♭] (89)	NA	NA	
Strontium	64.1	44.4	25.8 - 116.0	200	2.16	10 – 3,000	
Sulfate	477.3	185.8	291.9 – 771.0	NA (780)	NA	NA	
Uranium	0.5	0.2	0.3 - <1.0	2.5	1.45	0.68 - 7.9	
Vanadium	8.1	3.9	5.7 - 16.5	70	1.95	7 - 500	

Table 5–5. Comparison of Background Concentration	s of Selected Constituents in Soil and
Sediment Samples at the Monument Valle	y Site to the Western United States

Background locations MON-623, --869, and --870.

^bNA = not analyzed, value in parenthesis indicates average crustal abundance (Mason and Moore 1982).

5.3.1.3 Background Water Quality in the Alluvial Aquifer

Background water quality data for the alluvial aquifer near the former processing site is inferred by examining results of water samples collected from 1985 through 1997 at six upgradient monitor wells MON-400, -402, -403, -404, -602, and -603 and at four upgradient private wells MON-200, -616, -617, and -640). The ten background alluvial well locations are shown in Figure 5-14. Water quality results are presented in Appendix C and summarized in Table 5-6.

Site Conceptual Model

Document Number U0018101

Water quality results presented in the Piper diagram (Figure 5–13) indicate that the background alluvial water is either a sodium-carbonate type, sodium-sulfate type, or calcium-magnesium carbonate type. The areal distribution of the different types of natural background alluvial waters, upgradient of the site, is shown in Figure 5–14. The furthest upgradient waters are characterized by a predominance of the sodium cation, with one type dominated by the carbonate anion and the other type dominated by the sulfate anion. The sodium-carbonate type water is present in samples collected at monitor well locations MON–400, –602, –603, and private wells MON–616 and MON–617. Sodium-sulfate type water occurs at private wells MON–200 and MON–640 with relatively higher concentrations of sodium, chloride, calcium, and magnesium (Appendix C), which reflect the effects of a higher degree of local ET or reflect the effects of being in local contact with relatively higher concentrations of naturally occurring soluble salts in the alluvium.

Calcium-magnesium-carbonate type water occurring at monitor wells MON-402, -403, and -404, which are located just upgradient of the frog ponds (Figure 5–14), is geochemically similar to water from the De Chelly aquifer (Figure 5–13). This geochemical signature suggests that the alluvium in this area of the site may be receiving recharge through former uranium exploration boreholes that penetrated the artesian bedrock aquifer in the immediate area. A similar situation is believed responsible for the calcium-magnesium-carbonate type water in the frog ponds (Section 5.3.1.1).

The alluvial ground water is further characterized by an average sulfate-to-chloride ratio of 4.9 (Table 5–6). TDS concentrations average 627 mg/L and range from 294 to 1,590 mg/L. The highest TDS concentrations are associated with the sodium-sulfate type waters reflecting local ET effects. Nitrate is present at an average concentration of 6.4 mg/L and range from less than 0.04 to 47 mg/L. Commonly detected trace constituents include aluminum, arsenic barium, iron, molybdenum, selenium, strontium, uranium, vanadium, zinc, bromide, fluoride, lead-210, radium-226, radium-228, and thorium-230. On average, the water pH is above neutral (pH 8.0) and the redox condition is oxidizing (oxidation-reduction potential 299 mV).

5.3.1.4 Background Water Quality in the Shinarump Member of the Chinle Formation

Background water quality in the Shinarump Member can be evaluated by examining analytical results of water samples collected from 1985 through 1997 at upgradient monitor wells MON-615 and MON-658. Locations of the background monitor wells are shown in Figure 5-14. Monitor wells MON-601 and MON-610 are also upgradient, however monitor well MON-601 is screened across both the alluvium and Shinarump Member and therefore is not considered representative of background water quality in the Shinarump and monitor well MON-610 is dry. Available water quality results for monitor wells MON-615 and MON-658 are presented in Appendix C and summarized in Table 5-6.

Ground water in the Shinarump aquifer is characterized as a sodium-carbonate type (Figure 5–13). TDS concentrations average 325 mg/L and range from 301 to 370 mg/L, which are lower than observed in the alluvial aquifer (Table 5–6). The average pH of 8.1 is above neutral and the redox condition, on average, is oxidizing (oxidation-reduction potential 126 mV).

Table 5–6. Background Water Quality for the Alluvial, Shinarump, and De Chelly Aquifers

	Alluvium Aquifer			Shinarump Aquifer [®]			De Chelly Aquifer		
Analyte	FOD⁴	Mean ^e	Range	FOD	Mean ^e	Range	FÓD	Mean ^e	Range
Major (mg/L)	AND AND IN THE OWNER		18 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1						
Ammonium as NH₄	10/40	0.0941	.00536	2/8	0.0387	.0078–.1	4/29	0.0399	<.004-<.1
Calcium	61/61	33.9081	4.5-77.3	9/9	9.36	2.45-17.5	35/35	18.9542	6.34-28.6
Chloride	53/53	37.8947	9.7–125	8/8	8.936	7-11	30/30	7.4088	3.11-34.7
Magnesium	<u>°61/61</u>	48.3448	13-107	9/9	13.965	1.61-22.8	35/35	19.7379	8.37-31.9
Nitrate	49/54	6.4141	<.044-47	- 5/8	2.622	<.014-8.86	29/32	5.1136	.66–22
Potassium	56/56	1.7278	.5-3.2	9/9	1.9133	· .93–2.91	34/34 '	3.0978	1.82-5.29
Sodium	61/61	118.1984	34.2-299	9/9	91.35	64.1-119	35/35	39,586	7.15-92.1
Sulfate	57/57	185.0842	12.7-668	8/8	66.37	53.1-77	31/31	38.0292	11.6-66.3
Metal (mg/L)							<u> </u>	<u></u>	
Aluminum	8/27	0.1847	<.058	4/5	0.35	<.1–.7	8/16	0.3403	<.058
Arsenic	7/41	0.0043	<.00101	1/7	0.0048	<.005-<.01	4/25	0.0054	<.0015022
Barium	21/38	0.0906	.014	2/6	0.16	<.14	10/23	0.1131	.0365
Cadmium	3/39	0.0009	<.00013-<.005	0/7	0.0005	<.001-<.001	2/27	0.0007	<.00013-<.005
Iron (Filtered)	6/31	0.0251	<.0118	4/6	0.055	<.0313	5/20	0.0475	<.0325
Iron (Unfiltered)	10/12	0.1475	<.0151	1/1	0.08	.08–.08	3/3	1.5025	.04-2.95
Lead	2/26	0.003	<.0015-<.01	0/5	0.005	<.01-<.01	1/18	.004	<.0015-<.01
Manganese (Filtered)	8/38	0.0312	<.0015–.1	2/6	0.0375	<.0108	5/25	0.0255	<.0015–.21
Manganese (Unfiltered)	1/22	0.0043	<.0015-<.01	0/1	0.005	<.01-<.01	2/8	0.1746	<.001542
Mercury	1/10	0.0001	<.0002-<.0002	0/4	0.0001	<.0002-<.0002	0/11	0.0001	<.0002-<.0002
Molybdenum	17/50	0.0135	<.0049–.17	3/7	0.098	<.0117	11/30 .	0.0559	<.0049–.18
Selenium	15/40	0.0086	.000940318	0/7	0.0019	<.001-<.005	9/27	0.0021	.00033005
Strontium	47/55	0.6405	.05-1.65	5/8	0.143	.047135	28/35,	0.2796	<.1–.68
Uranium	59/66	0.0049	<.001021	7/9	0.0042	<.00030099	38/39	0.0036	.00040096
Vanadium	23/63	0.025	<.00197	2/8	0.1122	<.017	9/38	0.1304	<.00198
Zinc (Filtered)	11/26	0.1447	<.001-1.6	4/6	0.0293	<.005085	11/21	0.0467	<.001–.725
Zinc (Unfiltered)	12/14	0.0292	.004–.099	1/1	0.101	.101101	4/5	0.0248	.004–.05
Other						<u>) (k. 19</u> 16 – 19			
Alkalinity as CaCO ₃	51/51	322.2229	172–539	8/8	197.7667	168-220	31/31	164.7556	· 77–235
Bromide(mg/L)	2/8	0.6292	<.1-<2	0/1	1	<2-<2	0/5	0.7889	<.1-<2
Fluoride (mg/L)	14/15	0.435	.1–1	4/4	0.4333	.3–.5	13/13	0.3595	.2–.6
Redox Potential (mV)	9/9	299.2	96-462	3/3	126.25	-27-446	13/13	184.1875	108-466
Silica (mg/L)	27/27	25.8921	554	5/5	10.025	8–12	20/20	9.7139	5–11.5
Sulfate/Chloride	51/51	4.8551	1.0948-11.9841	8/8	7.6304	5.8127-10.4054	27/27	4.9404	1.7003-15.425
Sulfide (mg/L)	-0/14	0.1484	<.01-<1	0/4	0.0425	<.01-<.1	1/12	0.2408	<.01-4.9
Total Dissolved Solids (mg/L)	49/49	627.2409	294-1590	8/8	324.9667	301–370	30/30	232.7137	118-370
Total Phosphorus as PO₄ (mg/L)	9/15	0.1813	<.16	5/5	0.3875	.2–1	2/14	0.1143	<.13
pH (s.u.)	53/53	8.0179	6.9-9.04	8/8	8.0693	7.44-8.57	32/32	8.0523	7.37-9.36
Radiologic (pCi/L)									
Lead-210 (Filtered)	11/13	0.9218	0–5.8	5/5	0.7992	.1–1.3	10/14	0.4425	0-1.2
Lead-210 (Untiltered)	~ 4/6	2.276	<.77-5.7				3/3	5.3875	1.35-7.2
Radium-226	36/38	0.6084	0-5.7	6/6	1.0163	.2–2.17	23/25	0.2865	0-2.4
Radium-228	32/37	0.8815	0-7.7	4/6	0.375	.2–1.6	19/25	0.7	0-4.9
[1 horium-230	12/12	0.8896	0-6.3	3/3	0.1	03	9/9	0.2125	0-1.1

^aUpgradient alluvial monitor wells MON-400, -402, -403, -404, -602, -603, and upgradient alluvial private wells MON-200, -616, -617, and -640. ^bUpgradient Shinarump monitor wells MON-615 and -658. ^cUpgradient De Chelly monitor wells MON-611, -612, -613, and -661. ^d Frequency of detection; number of samples above detection limit/number of samples analyzed. ^sArithmetic mean based on averages from each location; one-half the detection limit used for values below detection. ^fMinimum to maximum value detected: < indicates value below detection limit.





DOE/Grand Junction Office April 1999

Document Number U0018101

Commonly detected trace constituents include aluminum, barium, iron, manganese, molybdenum, strontium, uranium, vanadium, zinc, fluoride, lead-210, radium-226, radium-228, and thorium-230. Nitrate is present at an average concentration of 2.6 mg/L with a range from less than 0.014 to 8.9 mg/L. The average sulfate-to-chloride ratio is 7.6, which is somewhat higher than that found in the alluvial and De Chelly background waters, although lower than in the contaminated portions of the alluvial aquifer.

5.3.1.5 Background Water Quality in the De Chelly Sandstone Member of the Cutler Formation

Background water quality for the De Chelly Sandstone aquifer can be evaluated by examining analytical results from water samples collected from 1985 through 1997 at upgradient monitor well locations MON-611, -613, and -661. The four upgradient De Chelly monitor well locations are shown in Figure 5-14. Water quality results are presented in Appendix C and summarized in Table 5-6.

Ground water in the De Chelly aquifer can be characterized as a calcium-magnesium-carbonate type (Figure 5–13). The water is chemically similar to that in portions of the alluvial and Shinarump aquifers, but has somewhat less sodium and is more dilute. TDS concentrations average 233 mg/L and range from 118 to 370 mg/L, which is lower than observed in the alluvial and Shinarump aquifers. On average, the water pH is above neutral (pH 8.1) and the redox condition is oxidizing (oxidation-reduction potential 184 mV).

Commonly detected trace constituents include aluminum, arsenic, barium, iron, manganese, molybdenum, selenium, strontium, uranium, vanadium, zinc, fluoride, lead-210, radium-226, radium-228, and thorium-230. Nitrate is present at an average concentration of 5.1 mg/L with a range from 0.7 to 22.0 mg/L. The average sulfate-to-chloride ratio is 4.9, which is consistent with other background waters.

5.3.2 Source Areas

Manganese, nitrate, strontium, sulfate, uranium, and vanadium were identified in the BLRA (DOE 1996b) as the most significant site-related constituents occurring in the alluvial aquifer. The nature of which these site-related constituents are associated with the former processing operations and the extent to which they are available to be dispersed in ground water downgradient from the site are evaluated in the following sections.

5.3.2.1 Former Tailing Piles and Evaporation Pond

Three former source areas of potential ground water contamination exist at the site: (1) the old tailings pile and heap-leach area, (2) the new tailings pile, and (3) the evaporation pond. The location of these former source areas are shown in (Figure 3–1). The old tailings pile was composed of the sand tailings that were a residual product of the mechanical upgrading of ore. The upgrading process used water that contained a minor amount of flocculents but no other processing chemicals. Thus, tailings solutions in the old pile basically were water-equilibrated to minerals in the ore. Heap leaching of these old tailings occurred in the area where they were

stored. Old tailings were placed on the heap-leach pad and sulfuric acid was added to the tailings. Heap-leach pads were lined to collect the leachate which contained sulfuric acid. By contrast, the new tailings pile contained sand tailings and processing solutions. The processing solutions contained sulfate, nitrate, and ammonium from the processing chemicals. The evaporation pond was probably used to retain seepage from the new tailings pile.

The degree of contamination in the former source areas can be estimated from analyses of pore fluids that were collected prior to the contaminated material being removed by the surface remediation project. Pore-fluid samples were collected at the new tailings pile and the different depths at each location. At the new tailings pile the lysimeters were installed at 15, and 20 ft (1.5, 3, 4.5, and 6.1 m) below the tailings pile the lysimeters were installed at 5, 10, installed at 15 and 20 ft (4.5 and 6.1 m) below the tailings pile the lysimeters were installed at berformed on tailing samples and the results provided an estimate of the pore-fluid composition. Analytical results for selected pore fluids and water-leachate samples are summarized in performed on tailing samples and the results provided an estimate of the pore-fluid composition. Table 5–7. Included in Table 5–7 is the range in concentrations of selected constituents in natural performed on tailing samples and the results provided an estimate of the pore-fluid composition. Table 5–7. Included in Table 5–7 is the range in concentrations of selected constituents in natural background alluvial ground water (Section 5.3.1.3) for comparison to the pore-fluid results.

Results of the leachate analyses presented in Table 5–7 demonstrate that water in contact with the former old tailing pile probably contained primarily calcium and sulfate and several metals and trace constituents including aluminum, arsenic, barium, cadmium, copper, iron, lead, manganese, uranium, and vanadium. These constituents were probably derived from the dissolution of ore-associated minerals in the tailings, including gypsum (calcium sulfate), uranyl vanadates, and minor amounts of copper-bearing minerals (Witkind and Thaden 1963). The dissolution of the mineral gypsum (hydrous calcium sulfate) may explain the predominance of dissolution of the mineral gypsum (hydrous calcium sulfate) may explain the predominance of both calcium and sulfate in the old tailings leachates.

Pore fluid from the new tailings pile can be characterized as an ammonium-nitrate and calcium-sulfate solution, reflecting the presence of gypsum in the ores, the dissolution of other the processing solutions. The new tailings fluids were also acidic as indicated by the relatively background ground waters. Metals and trace elements include aluminum, barium, chromium, these elements were derived from the dissolution of the ores. In general, concentrations of These elements were derived from the dissolution of the ores. In general, concentrations of with increasing depth in the pile, reflecting seepage of the solutions from the base of the pile, and infiltration of precipitation into the top of the pile.

Solutions beneath the evaporation pond area differ from those in the new tailings pile. Notably, the acidity of the solutions has been reduced by reactions with carbonate minerals in the subsoil. Also, the ammonium and sulfate concentrations decreased while the sodium concentrations increased. These changes reflect reactions of the tailings fluids with the subsoil resulting in a calcium-sodium-nitrate-sulfate solution. As with the tailing pore fluids the chloride calcing in a calcium-sodium-nitrate-sulfate solution. As with the tailing pore fluids the chloride

Analyte*	Leachate (old tailings pile) [♭]	Tailings solution (new tailings pile) ^c	Evaporation Pond Solution ^d (subsoil)	Alluvial Ground Water Background Range
Major	hoher See			
Ammonia as NH₄		1200	47	.00536
Calcium	1110	626	425	4.5-77.3
Chloride	6.16	20	. 45	9.7–125
Magnesium	96.5	78.3	135	13–107
Nitrate		530	570	<.044-47
Potassium	48.3	21.9	5.21	.5–3.2
Sodium	96.5	70.7	362	34.2-299
Sulfate	2890	4510	1610	12.7–668
Metal	ş kara de	est are des	h i de la comp etition de la competition de	esteppic Roman
Aluminum	11.7	5.5	0.9	<.05–.8
Antimony		<.003	<.003	<.003-<.06
Arsenic	0.308	<.01	<.01	<.00101
Barium	0.142	0.3	0.5	.01–.4
Cadmium	0.115	<.001	<.001	<.00013-<.005
Chromium	0.241	0.05	0.03	<.005304
Cobalt		1.41	0.11	<.0306
Copper	0.554	0.14	0.04	. <.0103
Iron	1.21	1.86	0.04	<.01–.18
Lead	0.431	<.01	<.01	<.0015-<.01
Manganese	35	3.94	0.77	<.0015–.1
Mercury		<.0002	<.0002	<.0002-<.0002
Molybdenum	<.0616	0.32	0.24	<.0049–.17
Nickel		1.1	0.15	<.0413
Selenium	<.0616	<.005	<.005	.00094–.0318
Silver		<.01	<.01	<.01-<.01
Strontium		0.7	1.6	.05–1.65
Tin		<. <u>005</u>	<.005	<.005-<.01
Uranium	0.739	0.0753	1.08	<.001021
Vanadium	6.16	1.08	0.91	<.00197
Zinc		3.86	0.129	<.001–1.6
Other States States	la prise de la sec		dia terre trade	
Alkalinity as CaCO3		2	44	172–539
Fluoride			1.7	.1–1
Redox Potential	535	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		96-462
Silicon	6.77			· ·
Total Dissolved Solids		6850	2900	294-1590
pH°	6.3	4.3	6.72	6.9-9.04
Radiologic			die Kaal in teas	y or the second
Radium-226		13	4.7	0-5.7

Table 5–7. Chemistry of Tailings Solutions and Leachates

*Leachate data in mg/kg except for pH (s.u.) and redox potential (mV); tailings and evaporation data in mg/L except for pH (s.u.), redox potential (mV), and radium-226 (pCi/L).

^bOld tailings pile leachate data are maximum values from location MON51-0504, sampled on 9/1/83.

^eNew tailings pile solution data are maximum values from locations MON01–0814 to –0817 sampled on 10/28/85 and 4/27/86. ^eEvaporation pond subpile soil solution data are maximum values from locations MON01–0804 to –0805 sampled on 10/28/83 and 4/27/86.

*pH data are minimum values.

concentrations are notably low (45 mg/L) and are also within the range observed in natural background alluvial ground water. The metals and trace elements that are present in the tailings solutions are also present in the evaporation pond area.

Overall, the former tailings and evaporation pond solutions contain much greater proportions of ammonium, calcium, nitrate, potassium, and sulfate than are present in background ground waters (Table 5–7). Trace elements including manganese, uranium, and vanadium are also present above background concentrations. Thus, these are the constituents most likely to be present in the subpile soils and dispersed in the ground water downgradient from the former source areas.

5.3.2.2 Subpile Soils

The Monument Valley site had several periods of uranium milling activities. During these activities, mill tailings, heap-leach residues, and various processing chemicals were stored in unlined ponds. Any tailings and residuals in the soils that exceeded 15 pCi/g radium-226 were removed from the site during the surface remediation which was completed in 1994. However, site-related inorganic constituents detected in relatively high concentrations in pore fluid samples collected from the former source areas (Section 5.3.2.1) suggest that some of these constituents may have leached into the soils below the storage ponds and gone undetected during the radiometric assessment for the tailings removal.

Samples of the soils directly beneath the former sources areas were collected and analyzed for manganese, nitrate, strontium, sulfate, uranium, and vanadium, all of which were identified in the BLRA (DOE 1996b) as the most significant site-related constituents occurring in the alluvial aquifer to determine if these areas are likely to be continuing sources of ground water contamination. Ammonium was also analyzed because it is present in ground water and will oxidize to NO₃. Seven on-site locations and two background locations were sampled (Figure 4-9). Selected soil samples were subjected to three sequential leachings in the laboratory (Section 4.5). Each leach represents a scenario that might cause the mobilization of contaminants from soils into the ground water. The first leach is deionized water which represents the effect that relatively clean rain or snow would have as it percolates through the soils. The second leach is uncontaminated ground water representing the effect that a high water table might have if it were to contact contaminated soils. The third leach is 5-percent hydrochloric acid (HCl), which will remove carbonate minerals and iron and manganese oxyhydroxides. These phases are believed to be the main metal and uranium scavengers in the soils. Although it is not likely that water of this acidity would ever contact the soils, the removal of oxyhydroxides might occur if land uses changed significantly (for example, agricultural use could cause changes in redox conditions that would influence mineral dissolution). The 5-percent HCl is considered a worstcase scenario. The residue after 5-percent HCl was completely digested to allow calculation of the total contaminant present in each soil sample.

The leachates were analyzed for the following site-related constituents: ammonium (NH_4) , manganese (Mn), nitrate (NO_3) , sulfate (SO_4) , strontium (Sr), uranium (U), and vanadium (V). Each site-related constituent is discussed separately below.

Manganese

Manganese ground water chemistry is controlled largely by oxidation state. More oxidized conditions lead to the stability of manganese oxyhydroxide solid phases which precipitate as coatings on sand particles. Less oxidized conditions, typically occurring in fetid swampy areas, anaerobic sediments as in marshes, wetlands, and boggy areas as described for much of the site with shallow ground water, will dissolve Mn-oxyhydroxide phases and mobilize Mn.

Little Mn was extracted from any of the subpile soil samples with deionized water (Figure 5–15). Manganese concentrations increased in the soil samples when treated with ground water suggesting that the soils may have oxidized the dissolved Mn to form Mn-oxide precipitates or that Mn was adsorbed (Table 4–5). Manganese was removed from all soils by 5-percent HCl and during total digestion. There are no obvious differences between the amounts of Mn leached from the on-site soils and those of the background areas (Figure 5–15). In fact, the shallowest sample in background boring 869 had the second highest HCl-leachable Mn.

The total amount of Mn in the on-site soils ranged from 32 to 328 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg) whereas the background soils contained 85 to 225 mg/kg (Table 4–8, Figure 5–15). All of the samples contain significantly less Mn than the earth's crustal average of 950 mg/kg (Table 4–8). It is concluded that concentrations of site-related Mn are not significant in the subpile soils and it is unlikely that there is a contribution to ground water.

Ammonium

Ammonium is typically found as a structural ion in feldspars and as an exchangeable ion in smectitic clays. In clays, it has a preference over most other cations for interlayer exchange sites.

Soil boring 866 from beneath the new tailings pile is anomalous in leachable NH_4 , most of which was leached by deionized water (Figure 5–16). The soils at 866 were strongly altered compared to any other borings. The alteration consisted of deep yellow and red-brown coloration probably due to the abundance of iron oxides and oxyhydroxides; clay minerals are also present. This alteration may be an artifact of interaction with mill processing fluids. No contaminants of potential concern (COPCs) were clearly associated with this altered zone.

The extractable NH_4 from boring 866 ranged from 137 to 310 mg/kg whereas the values from background ranged from 7 to 9 mg/kg (Table 4–8, Figure 5–16). These values suggest that a leachable source of ammonium exists beneath the former new tailings pile. This source, however, does not underlie the entire former new tailings pile as indicated by lower levels observed in borings 864 and 865. The evaporation pond may also be a source for some NH_4 as indicated by leachable concentrations up to 31 mg/kg.

In the altered soils of boring 866 the ammonium is probably either adsorbed to oxyhydroxide mineraloids or in ion-exchange sites on clay minerals. The high concentrations (up to 310 mg/kg) in 866 compared to background (9 mg/kg) and average crustal concentrations (less than 26 mg/kg; see Table 4–8), indicate that the NH_4 originated from mill processing fluids. This NH_4 may be oxidizing in the shallow soil environment and contributing NO_3 to ground water.



Document Number U0018101

Site Conceptual Model



Document Number U0018101

Site Observational Work Plan for Monument Valley, Arizona Page 5-57

Site Conceptual Model

During the uranium milling operation, fluids high in NH_4 content may have seeped into some of the soils underlying the tailings. Because of its ability to partition to mineral phase, NH_4 would have built up relatively high soils concentrations as it established an equilibrium distribution with this fluid. As fresh water percolates through the soils, it will leach the NH_4 . Concentrations will decline over time.

Nitrate

Nitrate is usually mobile in ground water systems. Nitrate can be produced by oxidation of reduced forms of nitrogen. Nitrate can also be reduced by the action of microbes.

Some nitrate was leached from all soil samples by deionized water (Figure 5–17). No distinct patterns were present. Boring 866, which had high NH_4 concentrations, also had relatively high NO_3 (up to 1,157 mg/kg); however, one of the background samples (869–4) had a similar concentration (941 mg/kg). Most of the NO_3 in 866 and in background sample 869–4 was leachable with deionized water.

Most of the NO₃ concentrations, including those in background samples, exceed the average concentration in the earth's crust (less than 89 mg/kg) suggesting that much of the nitrate is anthropogenic or due to shallow soil microbial processes. The amount of NO₃ leached by deionized water was higher on average in the soils beneath the site than in background soils indicating that some nitrate is probably due to the milling process. The nitrate may be the result of oxidation of NH₄ that has been fixed in cation exchange sites.

Sulfate

Sulfate is usually mobile in ground water systems. With high concentrations of Ca under high evaporation conditions, gypsum (CaSO₄ \cdot 2H₂O) can form. Gypsum is soluble and will readily redissolve upon contact with more dilute water.

Up to 9,190 mg/kg of SO₄ was leachable by deionized water from soils beneath the mill site (Figure 5–18). The soils under the evaporation ponds and the new tailings pile have higher concentrations of sulfate than the heap-leach or background areas. There appears to be higher SO₄ near the ground surface as illustrated by the depth profiler for borings 851, 864, and 866 (Figure 5–18).

The sulfate is probably due to the presence of gypsum as indicated by its ability to readily leach in deionized water. No gypsum was identified in thin sections despite an effort to retain gypsum by cutting the sections in oil. The small amount (less than 1 percent) of gypsum could have been missed, however. Alkali salt deposits containing gypsum appear as white crusts and are common in the desert environment near Monument Valley. While there appears to be an increased concentration of SO_4 in the soils near the mill, SO_4 concentrations of this magnitude are probably not uncommon in nearby uncontaminated areas.

Document Number U0018101

Site Conceptual Model



Figure 5–17. Nitrate

DOE/Grand Junction Office April 1999

Site Observational Work Plan for Monument Valley, Arizona Page 5-59



Figure 5–18. Sulfate

Document Number U0018101

Site Conceptual Model

DOE/Grand Junction Office April 1999

Strontium

Strontium is relatively mobile in ground water, however, it will substitute for calcium in carbonate and sulfate minerals. Sr^{+2} is the dominant ionic species present.

Strontium concentrations in samples from the millsite are similar to or lower than those from the background samples (Figure 5–19). The maximum concentration (112 mg/kg) was observed in background soil sample 869 and is lower than the average value for the earth's crust of 375 mg/kg (Table 4–8).

Little Sr was extracted by deionized water or ground water; most of the extracted Sr was during the HCl step. Strontium often substitutes for calcium in calcite which would first dissolve during the HCl leaching. Thus, it is reasonable that the Sr is contained in carbonate minerals.

The occurrence of Sr in a non-water leachable form and at higher concentrations in background than on site indicates that is it unlikely that subpile soils are contributing Sr contamination to ground water.

Uranium

Uranium is mobile in most ground water due to the presence of aqueous carbonate, a strong complexing agent. Uranium often is sequestered by adsorption to Fe oxyhydroxides contained in soils. Under strong reducing conditions it can precipitate as uraninite (UO_2) .

No U above the detection limit was extracted by deionized water (Figure 5–20, Table 4–4). The 5-percent HCl leach was the most effective at removing U. Only two samples (851–2 and 868–4) had U concentrations above the average crustal concentration of 1.8 mg/kg (Table 4–8). The extractability of U in the 5-percent HCl leach suggests an association with ferric oxyhydroxides.

With the exception of boring 851, and possibly 868, the millsite samples are comparable in U composition to background samples. While some subpile soil U may be millsite related, as suggested by the elevated concentration in boring 851, the concentrations are not appreciably higher than background. It is unlikely that the subpile soils are contributing significant amounts of U to ground water.

Vanadium

Vanadium is often adsorbed by iron and manganese oxyhydroxides under ground water conditions. It also substitutes for cations in clay minerals and in manganese oxides. Under strongly reducing conditions vanadium minerals will precipitate.

Vanadium concentrations in the subpile soils are elevated over the background samples (Figure 5-21). Two samples from a boring at the evaporation ponds have concentrations of 202 and 142 mg/kg which are slightly higher than the crustal average of 135 mg/kg; all other concentrations are below the crustal average (Table 4-8). Much of the V is leachable in deionized water.



Site Observational Work Plan for Monument Valley, Arizona Page 5–62

DOE/Grand Junction Office April 1999

*
Site Conceptual Model



irr,

Figure 5–20. Uranium

DOE/Grand Junction Office April 1999

U (mg/Kg)

Site Observational Work Plan for Monument Valley, Arizona Page 5–63

□ Total Digestion HCI Ground Water ∎ DI V (mg/Kg) ... · 1-2 1-3 1-4 3-2 3-3 3-4 4-2 4-3 4-4 5-2 6-2 6-3 6-4 6-5 6-6 7-2 7-3 8-2 8-3 8-4 9-2 9-3 9-4 0-2 0-3 0-4 **Evaporation Pond** New Tailings Pile Heap Leach Pads Background Figure 5–21. Vanadium

Site Observational Work Plan for Monument Valley, Arizona Page 5-64

DOE/Grand Junction Office April 1999 Document Number U0018101

Site Conceptual Model

Similar to U, boring 851 from the evaporation pond area has elevated V concentrations. Unlike U, however, the V leachable by deionized water in sample 851–2 (127 mg/kg) is over 98 times the highest background value, which is at the detection limit of 13 mg/kg (Table 4–4).

These data suggest that the subpile soils may be contributing some V to the ground water system. Since little V is present in the near field ground water system, the V contribution must either be small or the V is reabsorbing to the aquifer sediments.

Subpile Soil Summary

Leaching experiments on subpile and background soils indicate that Mn, Sr, and U are probably not being leached from subpile soils at concentrations that will contaminate ground water. Sulfate appears to have elevated concentrations in the subpile soils but this observation may result from an inadequate sampling of the background soils. Vanadium concentrations are elevated in the subpile soils but do not appear to be contaminating ground water.

Ammonium is anomalously high over at least a 6.5 ft interval at one location in soils beneath the northern portion of the former new tailings pile. NH_4 does not have an MCL, however, it can oxidize to NO_3 , which does have an MCL. NH_4 may have persisted at the millsite due to its strong affinity for ion exchange sites, while NO_3 would have readily flushed out. It is possible that the NH_4 -rich soils are generating NO_3 which then enters the ground water system. The sampling was too sparse to determine the lateral extent of the NH_4 -rich soils.

5.3.3 Nature and Extent of Contamination

Although some ground water contamination of the relatively soluble components of the ore probably occurred during the mechanical processing period from 1955 to 1964, the majority of ground water contamination probably resulted from discharged process chemicals used during operation of the mill from 1964 to 1967 (Section 3.2).

Ground water contamination from the mechanical processing would have occurred at the former mill and old tailings pile (Figure 3–1). The old tailings pile was composed of the sand tailings which were a residual product of the mechanical upgrading of ore. The upgrading process used water that contained a minor amount of flocculents but no other processing chemicals. Thus, tailings solutions in the old tailings pile basically were water-equilibrated to minerals in the ore. Old tailings were placed on the adjacent heap-leach pad and sulfuric acid added to the tailings. The heap-leach pads were lined to collect the leachate that contained sulfuric acid.

Process chemicals contributing sulfate, nitrate, and ammonium to the ground water contamination would have occurred at the new tailings pile. The new tailings pile contained both sand tailings and processing solutions. Immediately to the east of the new tailings pile was an evaporation pond. The specific purpose of the evaporation pond is unknown, but it may have been used to retain seepage from the new tailings pile (Figure 3–1).

5.3.3.1 Impact to the Alluvial Aquifer

The water quality results observed in 1997 are summarized in Table 5–8 for all on-site and downgradient alluvial monitor wells. The range in natural background for each constituent, based on the upgradient water quality results previously presented in Section 5.3.1.3, is included in Table 5–8 for comparison.

Ammonium, calcium, nitrate, sulfide, and manganese are the site-related constituents most prevalent in the alluvial aquifer as indicated by the relatively high frequency (greater than 50 percent) of samples that exceed the upper range in natural background (Table 5–8). Other siterelated constituents are present at concentrations above the upper range in natural background, however they occur less frequently. For example, sulfate exceeds the upper range in natural background in approximately 44 percent of samples, while magnesium exceeds 41 percent, potassium 35 percent, iron 33 percent, uranium 29 percent, strontium 24 percent, and gross alpha 12 percent.

Ammonium and nitrate also provide the greatest contrast to natural background concentrations in the alluvial ground water. That is, the maximum ammonium concentration of 254 mg/L detected in ground water collected at monitor well MON–606 is 423 times the upper range in natural background. The maximum nitrate concentration of 1,030 mg/L, also detected in ground water collected at MON–606, is 22 times the upper range in natural background.

Maximum concentrations of calcium, magnesium, and sulfate occur at moderate levels between 5 to 11 times the upper range in natural background. All of these maximum concentrations were detected in water collected from monitor well MON-771.

Maximum concentrations detected for potassium, strontium, uranium, and gross alpha occur at levels that are less than 5 times the upper range in natural background. The maximum potassium and strontium concentrations were detected in water from monitor well MON–771 while the maximum uranium and gross alpha concentrations were detected in water from monitor well MON–774.

Nitrate and uranium are the only site-related constituents that exceed a MCL. Nitrate frequently exceeds the 44 mg/L MCL while uranium only slightly exceeds the 0.044 mg/L MCL at one isolated location (MON-774).

Alluvial ground water collected from the two most contaminated locations, monitor wells MON-606 and MON-771, is chemically similar to the tailings pore fluids in that the water contains much greater proportions of calcium and sulfate than are present in background alluvial ground water (Figure 5-22). Other chemical similarities to the tailings pore fluids exist. For example, the chloride concentrations in the plume waters are also notably low (5 to 106 mg/L) and consistent with the range observed in natural background alluvial ground water (10 to 125 mg/L). This combination of relatively high sulfate concentrations that are consistent with the range in natural background, results in relatively high sulfate-to-chloride ratios for the

Table 5-8. Comparison of On-Site and Downgradient Ground	Water	to Natura	l Backg	round
Ground Water Quality in the Alluvial Aquifer	•		•	

		On-site and Do	owngradient	Percent Exceeding	Background Range ^c		
Analyte	FOD	Mean⁵	Bange ^c Max Well ^d				Upper Range in Background ^e
Major (mg/L)							
Ammonium as NH,	15/17	53.4558	<.007–254	0606	53	.0053–.6	
Calcium	17/17	142.5106	9.18-559	0771	59	4.5-77.3	
Chloride	17/17	24.55	5.24-106	0768	0	9.7–125	
Magnesium	17/17	115.2712	6.31-600	0771	41	13–107	
Nitrate	14/17	216.9482	<.014-1030	0606	65	<.044-47	
Potassium	17/17	8.4952	.959-50.1	0771	35	.5-3.2	
Sodium	17/17	115.2412	21-251	0653	0	34.2-299	
Sulfate	16/16	755.2625	26.7-3540	0771	44	12.7-668	
Metal (mg/L)		-				A MARCAN STATE	
Aluminum	0/7	0.0536	<.05<.2	0655	0	<.058	
Arsenic	1/13	0.003	<.005007	0606	0	<.00101	
Barium	1/13	0.0692	<.13	0606	0	.01–.4	
Cadmium	0/17	0.0005	·<.001-<.001	0774	0	<.00013-<.005	
Iron (Unfiltered)	5/6	1.8908	<.03-10.2	0606	33	<.0151	
Manganese (Filtered)	2/7	0.0364	<.0119	0606	14	<.00151	
Manganese (Unfiltered)	6/7	0.0536	<.0121	0606	86	<.0015-<.01	
Mercury	0/7	0.0001	<.0002-<.0002	0669	0	<.0002-<.0002	
Molvbdenum	0/11	0.005	<.01-<.01	0669	0	<.004917	
Selenium	10/17	0.0028	.0010107	0762	0	.000940318	
Strontium	17/17	1.2372	.0979-4.95	0771	24	.05-1.65	
Uranium	16/17	0.0165	<.001069	0774	29	<.001021	
Vanadium	4/17	0.0131	<.0130542	0772	0	<.00197	
Zinc (Filtered)	5/7	0.0314	.00607	0650	0	<.001-1.6	
Zinc (Unfiltered)	6/6	0.0348	.019057	0662	0	.004- 099	
Other the second s							
Alkalinity as CaCO ₂ (mg/L)	17/17	217 2353	161-312	0656	0	172-539	
Bromide (mg/L)	3/4	0.35	2-5	0655	0	< 1-<2	
Eluoride (mg/L)	4/7	0 1929	< 1-4	0650	0	1-1	
Redox Potential (mV)	17/17	95		0669	0	96-462	
Silica (mg/L)	8/8	30.675	12.4-131	0662	13	5-54	
Sulfate/Chloride	16/16	29.3	3.1-111.7	0771	63	1,1-12	
Sulfide (mg/L)	4/7	4 3243	< 1-11 7	0662	57	< 01-<1	
Total Dissolved Solids (mg/L)	17/17	1505,7059	232-5800	0771	35	294-1590	
Total Phosphorus as PO ₂ (mg/L)	6/7	0 3071	< 1-8	0606	14	< 1-6	
	17/17	7 5582	6.9-8.37	0650	0	69-9.04	
Badiologic (pCi/l)		1.0002	0.0 0.0	10000		0.0-0.04	
Gross Alpha	<u>4/17</u>	8 8725	<2 45_27 17	0774	12	<u> </u>	
Gross Alpha (Excluding Uranium)		0.0700	<u> </u>	0764			
Gross Reta	7/17	12 4504	<2 60_61 80	0771	18	<u> </u>	
Lead_210 (Filtered)	1/17	0 4721	< 63_1 1/	0662	<u> </u>	0_5.8	
Radium_226	15/17	0.4721	11_ 10	0767		<u> </u>	
Radium_228	2/17	0.2000	< 2_1 2 < 2_1 2	0762			
Thorium_230	717	0.4794	<u> </u>	0102	0	0.62	

*Frequency of detection; number of samples above detection/number of samples analyzed.

^bArithmetic mean based on most current sampling; one-half the detection limit used for values below detection.

"Minimum to maximum value detected; < indicates value below the detection limit.

^dMonitor well location containing the maximum observed concentration.

*Percent of on-site and downgradient sample results that exceed the upper range in natural background ground water.





portion of the alluvial aquifer that is contaminated. The maximum sulfate-to-chloride ratio of 112 detected in ground water collected at monitor well MON–771 is approximately 9 times the upper range in natural background. TDS concentrations in the alluvial plume average 1,506 mg/L and range from 232 to 5,800 mg/L; values which are higher on average than background waters. On average, the water pH is above neutral (pH 7.6) and the redox condition is oxidizing (oxidation-reduction potential 95 mV).

Areal Extent of Contamination in the Alluvial Aquifer

Nitrate is especially useful as an indicator chemical to discriminate site-related contaminated ground water from alluvial background waters because it occurs in relatively low concentrations in background ground water (Section 5.3.1.3), is associated in relatively high concentrations with the former tailings pore fluids (Table 5–7), and is highly mobile in alluvial ground water under almost all conditions, thus it is a conservative estimate of the extent of site-related contamination. The MCL allowable for nitrate contamination at a DOE facility of 44 mg/L is considered to be representative of the boundary of contamination and is considered sufficient for use in defining the maximum extent of site-related contamination in the alluvial aquifer______(DOE 1997c).

The maximum areal extent of contamination in the alluvial aquifer is revealed by examining nitrate results from ground water samples collected using the Hydropunch direct-sampling method during the 1997 ESC field investigation (Section 4–2). The highest nitrate concentrations obtained at locations where multiple Hydropunch samples were collected to vertically profile the plume are presented in Figure 5–23a in order to map the greatest lateral and longitudinal extent of contamination. Nitrate results obtained from water samples collected from several hand-auger borings and from the ground water sampling campaign in August and September 1997 are also presented in Figure 5–23a to obtain the most comprehensive coverage possible.

It is apparent from the 44 mg/L nitrate boundary delineated in Figure 5–23a, that the leading edge of the plume has migrated approximately 4,500 ft (0.85 miles) north of the former mill site. The northerly direction of plume migration is consistent with the direction of the ground water flow in the alluvial aquifer (Figure 5–4). A linear ground water flow velocity of 150 ft/year is estimated assuming nitrate contamination first entered the alluvial aquifer at the start of the 1967 milling operation (4,500 ft/30 years).

A mass of relatively high nitrate is delineated by concentrations greater than 500 mg/L which begins near the former new tailings pile and extends approximately 2,600 ft (0.5 miles) downgradient. Thus, the primary source of nitrate contamination in the alluvial aquifer appears to be related to process fluids draining from the former new tailings pile with lesser amounts of contamination contributed by leakage from the evaporation pond to the east and from the former old tailings pile and heap-leach areas to the west.

The boundary of the nitrate plume as defined by the most recent sampling data collected in August 1998 is shown in Figure 5–23b. The 1998 sampling did not include the temporary locations MON–680 and –678 that were sampled in 1997, therefore, the areal extent of the plume to the northeast does not appear as broad as that shown on Figure 5–23a. In general, nitrate concentrations appear to have decreased since 1997 by more than 150 mg/L near the former source area at wells MON–606 and –792 and increased by more than 10 mg/L at downgradient locations MON–656, –771, –669, and –764.

Sulfate concentrations in the alluvial aquifer exhibit a similar geochemical dispersion pattern as nitrate. The 1997 sulfate plume, revealed in Figure 5–24a by concentrations greater than 600 mg/L, also appears to originate near the downgradient edge of the former new tailings area. The sulfate plume as defined by the sampling results from August 1998 is shown in Figure 5–24b. As with the nitrate plume, the northeast boundary appears less extensive in 1998 because the temporary locations MON–680 and –678 were not available for sampling. Changes in sulfate concentrations also show a trend similar to the nitrate concentrations, with sulfate levels increasing downgradient and decreasing near the former source area.

Uranium, calcium, and strontium also tend to be mobile in the alluvial ground water under the conditions at the site, as indicated by their respective downgradient concentrations presented in Figures 5–25, 5–26, and 5–27, respectively. Similarly, ammonium concentrations (Figure 5–28) exhibit a downgradient dispersion pattern, however the dispersion is less extensive, reflecting the removal of ammonium from solution by adsorption on the aquifer matrix. Distribution of other

DOE/Grand Junction Office April 1999 Site Observational Work Plan for Monument Valley, Arizona Page 5-69 site-related constituents such as manganese and vanadium presented in Figures 5–29 and 5–30, respectively, do not exhibit a downgradient migration pattern in the alluvial aquifer.

Vertical Extent of Contamination in the Alluvial Aquifer

The vertical extent of contamination in the alluvial aquifer is best visualized by examining the concentration profiles presented in Figures 5–31, 5–32, and 5–33. Nitrate concentrations (Figure 5–23a) measured in ground water samples obtained by the Hydropunch method during the most recent site characterization and analytical results from the 1997 ground water sampling campaign were used to prepare the concentration profiles. Cross-section A to A' (Figure 5–31) starts at the former new tailings area and continues approximately 6,600-ft north to the most downgradient monitor well MON–650. The highest nitrate concentration of 1,030 mg/L occurs in alluvial ground water at monitor well MON–606 located near the former new tailings area. Nitrate concentrations decrease to the 44 mg/L MCL approximately 4,500 ft north (downgradient) near monitor well MON–762, defining the maximum downgradient longitudinal extent of the plume.

Nitrate concentrations tend to gradually increase as a function of depth in the most downgradient area of the plume. This is evident at locations MON–683 and MON–762 where the nitrate concentration of 25 mg/L detected near the top of the aquifer progressively increases first to 38 mg/L near the middle of the aquifer and then to 51 mg/L at the bottom of the aquifer. Conversely, closer to the former new tailings source area the nitrate concentrations tend to gradually decrease as a function of depth in the aquifer. For example, at MON–765 and MON–677 the highest nitrate concentration is 792 mg/L detected near the top of the aquifer. Concentrations progressively decrease first to 726 mg/L and 641 mg/L in water samples collected from the middle of the aquifer and then to 475 mg/L nitrate at the bottom of the aquifer. It is also apparent in the cross-section A to A' that the alluvial ground water from the entire saturated section located between the former new tailings area at MON–606 (approximately 10 ft in thickness) to downgradient monitor well MON–653 (approximately 50 ft in thickness) is contaminated above the 44 mg/L nitrate MCL.

Downgradient lateral dispersion of the nitrate plume to the west of the site is limited by the Shinarump sandstone where the alluvial water intersects the Shinarump in subcrop as shown in cross-section B to B' (Figure 5–32). Along this western edge of the plume, for example at location MON–669, the nitrate concentrations are close to the 44 MCL. Dilution of the plume water from surface recharge along the west margin of Cane Valley, where the eastward dipping Shinarump sandstone crops out, probably contributes to these relatively low concentrations.

The lateral downgradient extent of contamination in the alluvial aquifer to the east of the site is identified in cross-section B to B' by the non-detectable nitrate concentrations observed in water samples collected at locations MON-768 and MON-860. These non-detectable nitrate concentrations provide evidence that the plume does not extend under Cane Valley Wash. A similar relationship is observed near the downgradient leading edge of the plume as shown in cross-section C to C' (Figure 5-33) where non-detectable nitrate concentrations are associated with ground water samples collected at eastern locations MON-760, -698, and -697.











Figure 5–24a. Distribution of Sulfate Concentrations in the Alluvial Aquifer - Data Through September 1997



















Figure 5–28. Distribution of Ammonium Concentrations in the Alluvial Aquifer - Data through September 1997







Figure 5–30. Distribution of Vanadium Concentrations in the Alluvial Aquifer - Data through September 1997



m: \uge\511\0015\07\u00198\u0019800.deg

Figure 5–31. Alluvial Plume Cross Section A to A'





Site Conceptual Model

Document Number U0018101



Figure 5–33. Alluvial Plume Cross Section C to C'

Site Conceptual Model

Document Number U0018101

The maximum width of the plume defined by the nitrate concentrations profiled in cross-section B to B' is approximately 2,500 ft, as measured from just west of monitor well MON-669 to the mid-point between MON-678 and MON-768. In addition, the concentration profiles indicate that the saturated alluvium across the entire width of the plume is contaminated above the 44 mg/L nitrate MCL. Because the aquifer matrix consists mostly of stabilized eolian dune sands with only minor amounts of fluvial material, the distribution of the contaminant plume does not appear to be controlled by a specific lithologic horizon (e.g., clay unit). Similarly, a preferential contaminant flow path does not appear to be associated with the buried paleovalley to any great extent. For example, a faint trace of the western edge of the paleovalley is suggested by the shape of the bedrock surface between MON-679 and MON-765 (cross-section B to B'). Erosion of the Shinarump sandstone by the ancestral Cane Valley Wash drainage provides an alternate explanation for this discernable bedrock slope. In any case, the presence of a clearly defined erosional paleovalley controlling contaminant migration is not evident.

Plume Migration Trends

Downgradient migration of nitrate contamination in the alluvial system is evidenced by examining nitrate concentrations versus time for ground water samples collected at selected locations along the longitudinal axis of the plume. Nitrate concentrations, measured over a 10.3-year period (April 1988 to August 1998) in water samples from monitor wells MON–606, –655, and –653, are shown in Figure 5–34. Monitor well MON–606 is located near the downgradient edge of the former new tailings pile, MON–655 is located near the centroid of the nitrate plume, and monitor well MON–653 is located at the leading edge of the 500 mg/L nitrate boundary. Results for monitor well MON–606 located near the former source area, and monitor well MON–655 located near the centroid of the high nitrate concentrations, both indicate a decreasing trend in nitrate concentrations since 1988. Conversely, concentrations at the leading edge of the 500 mg/L nitrate boundary (MON–653) indicate an increase in nitrate concentrations; 31 mg/L in 1988 to 124 mg/L in 1998. This translates to an approximate historical rate of increase of 9 mg/L nitrate per year at location MON–653 ([124 – 31 mg/L] / 10.3 years).

Similarly, the sulfate plume appears to be migrating downgradient of the former new tailings area. This is evidenced by examining sulfate concentrations versus time for ground water samples collected at selected locations along the longitudinal axis of the plume. Sulfate analyses in water samples collected over a 10.3-year period (April 1988 to August 1998) from monitor wells MON–606, –655, and –653, are shown in Figure 5–35. Measurement results for monitor wells MON–606 and MON–655, located closest to the former source area both indicate a decreasing trend in sulfate concentrations since 1988. Conversely, concentrations at the downgradient monitor well MON–653 indicate an increase in sulfate concentrations; 1,060 mg/L in 1988 to 1,590 mg/L in 1998.

Volume of Contaminated Alluvial Ground Water

Estimates of the volume of contaminated ground water in the alluvial plume are based on the areal and vertical distribution of nitrate concentrations discussed previously. Separate estimates are presented for (1) the mass of relatively high nitrate concentrations delineated by the 500 mg/L boundary which begins near the former new tailings pile and extends approximately

Site Conceptual Model



Figure 5–34. Nitrate Concentration Versus Time for Selected Monitor Wells Located Along the Longitudinal Axis of the Alluvial Plume





Site Observational Work Plan for Monument Valley, Arizona Page 5–98

DOE/Grand Junction Office April 1999

2,600 ft (0.5 miles) downgradient and (2) nitrate concentrations between 500 mg/L and the 44 mg/L MCL. Assumptions used in the calculations are presented with the estimated contaminant volumes in Table 5–9.

Nitrate (mg/L)	Area (ft²)	Average saturated thickness (ft)	Contaminated saturated thickness (percent)	Estimated porosity (percent)	Estimated volume (gal)
44 to 500	8.8 × 10 ⁶	34	75	25	420 × 10 ⁶
500 and greater	2.4 × 10 ⁶	27	. 100	25	120 × 10 ^e
				Total	540 × 10 ⁶

Table 5–9. Estimated Volume of Contamination in the Alluvial Aquifer

5.3.3.2 Impact to the Shinarump Bedrock Aquifer

Ground water from the Shinarump aquifer is not significantly impacted by site-related contamination. Supporting evidence is provided by the 1997 ground water sampling results presented in Table 5–10 for downgradient Shinarump monitor wells MON–659 and MON–660. The range in natural background for each constituent, based on the upgradient water quality results previously presented in Section 5.3.1.4, is included in Table 5–10 for comparison. Results obtained at monitor well MON–614 are not included in Table 5–10 because the screen filter pack spans across both the alluvium and Shinarump sandstone and therefore water from this location may not be representative of the Shinarump Member. Results are not available for on-site monitor wells MON–607 and MON–609 because the wells are dry.

The 1997 sampling data presented in Table 5–10 demonstrate that concentrations of uranium and nitrate do not exceed the upper range in natural background at any location. Several other site-related constituents do exceed the upper range in natural background, however the maximum concentrations observed for these constituents are all relatively low; 0.5 mg/L ammonium, 25.9 mg/L calcium, 130 mg/L sulfate, and 5.9 pCi/L radium-226. No constituent occurs in concentrations that exceed any MCL or at concentrations that present a health risk (Section 6.0).

Further evidence supporting the unlikeliness that site-related contamination is significantly impacting the Shinarump aquifer is provided by water-level measurements and ground water sampling results obtained for monitor well pairs MON–606 (alluvium) and MON–659 (Shinarump) which are installed approximately 100-ft downgradient from the former new tailings area (Figure 5–31). A comparison of site-related contaminant concentrations and water elevations for the well pair is presented in Table 5–11. The data indicate that only ground water from the alluvial monitor well MON–606 contains site-related contamination; the highest levels of nitrate (1,030 mg/L) detected in the most contaminated portion of the plume. In addition, water-level measurements indicate a neutral to slight upward hydraulic gradient in the Shinarump Member, which would limit downward migration of contaminants from the alluvium.

DOE/Grand Junction Office April 1999

Table 5–10. Comparison of Downgradient Ground Water to Natural Background Ground Water Quality in the Shinarump Aquifer

	[Downg	Background Range [°]					
Analyte	FOD ^a Mean ^b Ran				Range ^c			
Major (mg/L)	1 Period							
Ammonia as NH₄	2/2	0.2664	.0247–.508	.0078–.1				
Calcium	2/2	14.355	2.81-25.9	2.45-17.5				
Chloride	2/2	8.835	7.92-9.75	.7–11				
Magnesium	2/2	15.635	1.57-29.7	1.61-22.8				
Nitrate	2/2	1.9875	.235–3.74	<.014-8.86				
Potassium	2/2	2.1735	.777–3.57	.93-2.91				
Sodium	2/2	99.05	84.1114	64.1–119				
Sulfate	2/2	76	22-130	53.1–77				
Metal (mg/L)	- 17 instalfun Lipp Sultan			n ha shekar sa hi				
Aluminum	0/2	0.075	<.1-<.2	<.1–.7				
Arsenic	3/4	0.0049	<.0050062	<.005-<.01				
Barium	2/4	0.046	.038046	<.14				
Cadmium	0/2	0.0005	<.001-<.001	<.001-<.001				
Iron (Filtered)	1/2	0.0975	<.03–.18	<.03–.13				
Manganese (Filtered)	1/2	0.0725	<.01–.14	<.0108				
Manganese (Unfiltered)	1/2	0.0675	· <.01–.13	<.01-<.01				
Mercury	0/2	0.0001	<.0002-<.0002	<.0002-<.0002				
Molybdenum	1/2	0.012	<.01–.019	<.01–.17				
Selenium	0/2	0.0001	<.0002-<.0002	<.001-<.005				
Strontium	2/2	0.1534	.0407–.266	.0471–.35				
Uranium	1/2	0.001	<.0010015	<.00030099				
Vanadium	0/2	0.002	<.004<.004	<.017				
Zinc (Filtered)	1/2	0.1225	<.0522	<.005085				
Zinc (Unfiltered)	2/2	0.007	.005009	.101–.101				
Other	Other							
Alkalinity as CaCO ₃ (mg/L)	2/2	240	196–284	168-220				
Bromide (mg/L)	0/2	0.05	<.1-<.1	<2-<2				
Fluoride (mg/L)	2/2	0.3	.24	.3–.5				
Redox Potential (mV)	2/2	-158.5	-25265	-27446				
Silica (mg/L)	2/2	13.5	12.5-14.5	8–12				
Sulfate/Chloride	2/2	7.8	2.6-13	5.8-10.4				
Sulfide (mg/L)	0/2	0.275	<.1<1	<.01-<.1				
Total Dissolved Solids (mg/L)	2/2	364	308-420	301–370				
Total Phosphorus as PO₄ (mg/L)	1/2	0.825	<.1-1.6	.2–1				
рН (s. u.)	2/2	7.975	7.28-8.67	7.44-8.57				
Radiologic (pCi/L)								
Gross Alpha	1/2	5.6525	<5.99-8.31	.5–13				
Gross Alpha (Excluding Uranium)	1/2		7.28-7.28					
Gross Beta	1/2	6.835	<5.64-10.85	<2.7-8				
Lead-210 (Filtered)	2/2	1.69	1.69-1.69	.1–1.3				
Radium-226	2/2	3.335	.75-5.92	.2–2.17				
Radium-228	1/2	0.8	<.4–1.4	.2–1.6				
Thorium-230	2/2	0.15	0-3	0-3				

*Frequency of detection; number of samples above detection/number of samples analyzed.

^bArithmetic mean based on most current sampling; one-half the detection limit used for values below detection. ^cMinimum to maximum value detected; < indicates value below the detection limit. Table 5–11. Chemical and Water Level Measurements Obtained at Alluvial and Shinarump Monitor Well Pairs

Monitor Well Pair	Completion Formation	Nitrate (mg/L)	Sulfate (mg/L)	Water Elevation (ft amsl)
MON-606	Alluvium	1,030 °	674 *	4,829.5 ^b
MON659	Shinarump	3.7 ^b	130 °	4,829.9 ^b
MON653	Alluvium	125 ª	1,630 *	4,802.2 ^b
MON-660	Shinarump	<1 b	22 °	4,805.3 ^b

*August 1997

^bJanuary 1997 ^cNovember 1995

A similar situation exists further downgradient at monitor well pair MON-653 (alluvium) and MON-660 (Shinarump) which are installed near the center of the leading edge of the most contaminated portion of the alluvial aquifer (Figure 5-31). At this paired location, water from the alluvial monitor well MON-653 contains 1,630 mg/L sulfate which is one of the highest sulfate levels detected in the plume (Figure 5-24a) while the underlying Shinarump ground water from monitor well MON-660 contains sulfate concentrations that are consistent with natural background. Water-level measurements at this well pair location also indicate an upward hydraulic gradient from the deeper Shinarump to the alluvium, further demonstrating the unlikeliness that site-related contamination is present in the Shinarump aquifer.

5.3.3.3 Impact to the De Chelly Bedrock Aquifer

Ground water samples collected from the De Chelly aquifer do not exhibit wide spread site-related contamination. This is evidenced by the 1997 sampling data presented in Table 5–12, which summarizes on-site and downgradient water quality. The data demonstrate that concentrations of site-related constituents such as ammonium, nitrate, potassium, strontium, vanadium, radium-226, and radium-228 do not exceed the upper range in natural background at any on-site or downgradient location. Other constituents such as magnesium and sulfate occur in one instance at concentrations only slightly above the upper limit of natural background.

Calcium and uranium are the only site-related constituents that occur frequently above natural background in the De Chelly aquifer. Calcium concentrations exceed the upper limit in natural background only in water collected from on-site monitor wells (MON-657, -618, -619, and -776) located near the former old tailings pile. The maximum calcium concentration of 56.5 mg/L, which is only twice the upper limit of natural background (28.6 mg/L), was detected in monitor well MON-657.

Table 5–12. Comparison of On-Site and Downgradient Ground Water to Natural Background Ground Water Quality in the De Chelly Aquifer

Analyte	FOD*	Mean⁵	Range ^e	Background Range ^e			
Major (mg/L)							
Ammonium as NH₄	3/8	0.0117	.0053–.0352	<.004<.1			
Calcium	8/8	27.4	11.7-56.5	6.34–28.6			
Chloride	8/8	7.0475	3.23-9.78	3.11–34.7			
Magnesium	8/8	20.4875	8.2-36.4	8.37–31.9			
Nitrate	8/8	5.5361	.0836–18.8	.66–22			
Potassium	8/8	2.6388	1.53-3.7	1.82-5.29			
Sodium	8/8	43.6863	6.89-82.1	7.15–92.1			
Sulfate	7/7	48.5714	13.1–103	11.6-66.3			
Metal (mg/L)							
Aluminum	4/7 ·	0.1714	<.1–.3	<.05–.8			
Arsenic	2/12	0.0051	<.005016	<.0015022			
Barium	8/12	0.1667	<.1–.4	.036–.5			
Cadmium	0/8	0.0005	<.001-<.001	<.00013-<.005			
Iron (Filtered)	4/7	0.05	<.03–.091	<.0325			
Iron (Unfiltered)	3/5	0.05	<.0313	.04–2.95			
Manganese (Filtered)	2/7 ·	0.0103	<.01034	<.001521			
Manganese (Unfiltered)	3/5	0.062	<.0125	<.0015–.42			
Mercury	1/7	0.0002	<.00020005	<.0002-<.0002			
Molybdenum	2/8	0.0288	<.01–.19	<.004918			
Selenium	6/8	0.0019	<.00020038	.00033005			
Strontium	8/8	0.3943	.183–.57	<.168			
Uranium	7/8	0.0222	<.0010673	.00040096			
Vanadium	4/8	0.0197	<.0040542	<.00198			
Zinc (Filtered)	2/7	0.0247	<.01048	< 001-725			
Zinc (Unfiltered)	5/5	0.0572	.007–.197	.00405			
Other							
Alkalinity as CaCO ₂ (mg/L)	8/8	168.75	106-273	77-235			
Bromide (ma/L)	0/5	0.62	< 1-<2	< 1-<2			
Fluoride (ma/L)	7/7	0.3286	.25	2-6			
Redox Potentia (mV)I	8/8	21.25	-212-204	108-466			
Silica (mg/L)	7/7	11.0143	8–14.1	5-11.5			
Sulfate/Chloride	7/7	7.1	4.1-14.6	1.7–15.4			
Sulfide (mg/L)	0/7	0.095	<.01-<1	< 01-4.9			
Total Dissolved Solids (mg/L)	8/8	270.625	160-373	118-370			
Total Phosphorus as PO, (mg/L)	0/7	0.05	< 1-< 1	< 1-3			
pH (s. u.)	8/8	7.8725	7.36-8.74	7.37-9.36			
Radiological (pCi/L)			y de la constitue de la constit				
Gross Alpha	3/8	8.935	<2.57-29.02	0–10			
Gross Alpha (Excluding Uranium)	3/8		0_0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Gross Beta	7/8	6.74	<2.7-13.55	<u> </u>			
Lead-210 (Filtered)	1/8	0.51	< 61-1.31	0_1 2			
Radium-226	8/8	0.1738	09-25	0_24			
Radium-228	0/8	0.4063	< 3_<1 3	0_4 9			
Thorium-230	7/7	0.2714	0-7	0-11			

*Frequency of detection; number of samples above detection/number of samples analyzed.

^bArithmetic mean based on most current sampling; one-half the detection limit used for values below detection. ^cMinimum to maximum value detected; < indicates value below the detection limit.

Uranium is present at concentrations above the upper limit in natural background in ground water collected in 1997 at MON-664, -657, -619, and -776 (Figure 5-36). The maximum uranium concentrations are present at monitor wells MON-657 (0.067 mg/L) and -619 (0.053 mg/L), however these maximum values only slightly exceed the 0.044 mg/L uranium MCL. Monitor wells MON-657 and -619 are located approximately 400-ft apart in an area once occupied by the old tailings pile. The western portion of the former old tailings area is underlain by a buried paleovalley approximately 120 ft deep where Quaternary material rests in direct hydrologic contact with the Hoskinnini Member of the lower Moenkopi Formation, as shown on the geologic cross-section D to D' (Figure 5-37). The medium- to coarse-grained Hoskinnini sandstone provides a hydrologic connection with the underlying De Chelly aquifer. A recent aquifer test confirmed the presence of a hydrologic connection between the alluvial and De Chelly aquifers in this region of the site (Section 4.6). Ground water samples collected from MON-657 and -619 which are completed in the De Chelly aquifer, while having slightly elevated uranium concentrations, have relatively low concentrations of mill-related constituents such as nitrate and sulfate. This suggests that the water used for size separation of the ores during the mechanical processing period from 1955 to 1964 may be the source for the elevated uranium in the De Chelly aquifer. Generally, the upward hydraulic gradient in the De Chelly aquifer has prevented downward migration of process waters. However, the hydraulic gradient has locally been reversed when production well MON-619 was pumped to supply water for the milling operation. Currently, the production wells are no longer in operation and the upward gradient has reestablished.

Cross-section D to D' graphically illustrates how elevated uranium in the alluvial aquifer may have been introduced into the De Chelly as a result of pumping production well MON–619. This model is supported by the aquifer test previously discussed in Section 4.6 and by examining the uranium concentrations observed in water samples collected from the surficial aquifer adjacent to MON–619. For example, monitor well MON–774 is installed in the alluvium approximately 95 ft west of MON–619. The uranium concentration detected in water from this alluvial well is consistent with the uranium concentration detected in water from the De Chelly well MON–619, suggesting the alluvial water is the source of the elevated uranium. It is notably important that the water level in the alluvium is below the contact between the Shinarump sandstone and the Moenkopi Formation, thereby eliminating the possibility that contaminated process water was also drawn into the Shinarump when MON–619 was in production.

Although the pumping of well MON–619 to supply processing water for the milling operations may have actively drawn contaminated ground water from the alluvial aquifer into the De Chelly Sandstone the area of impact is small, isolated, and the uranium concentrations are only slightly above the MCL. The limited areal extent and isolated nature of the slightly elevated uranium concentrations occurring in 1997 at MON–619 and –657 is shown in Figure 5–36. It is evident from the limited areal extent of the plume that the uranium concentrations are less than the MCL at monitor well MON–776, located 70 ft upgradient from well MON–619. Similarly, downgradient uranium concentrations decrease to natural background levels within a short distance from MON–657. This is evidenced by the low uranium concentration of 0.003 mg/L detected in ground water sampled from monitor well MON–775, which is located approximately 400 ft downgradient from monitor well MON–657.

Site Conceptual Model

Monitor well MON–775 is situated along the axis of the paleovalley, at the most downgradient extent where the Shinarump Member and most of the Moenkopi Formation have been eroded away, allowing water from the contaminated alluvial aquifer to be in direct hydrologic contact with the De Chelly Sandstone Member. However, concentrations of site-related constituents observed in the De Chelly aquifer at this downgradient location are consistent with natural background concentrations, indicating that the overlying alluvial water is not impacting the lower De Chelly aquifer. An upward hydraulic gradient in the De Chelly aquifer prevents downward migration of water from the alluvium to the De Chelly.

In summary, production well MON-619 can be considered an isolated point source for the elevated uranium concentrations observed in the De Chelly aquifer. As shown in Figure 5-38a, uranium concentrations in ground water collected at MON-619 during the period 1985 through 1998 have declined significantly since the well was pumped during an aquifer test in 1993, indicating that the De Chelly aquifer is diluting the concentrations by naturally flushing the uranium downgradient. Uranium observed in downgradient well MON-657 exhibit a similar pattern of decreasing uranium concentration versus time. Uranium concentrations in the De Chelly are expected to continue to decrease with time as the aquifer dilutes and flushes the uranium downgradient.

Continued impact to the De Chelly groundwater is not expected since well 619 is no longer being pumped for production. In addition, dedicated low-flow bladder pumps were installed at the end of the 1997 field characterization to prevent contaminated alluvial water to be actively drawn into the De Chelly through the erosional window located in the adjacent paleovalley when the well is sampled for water quality. The most recent sampling results obtained in 1998 suggest that natural flushing is working (Figure 5–38b). For example, uranium concentrations at well 657 have decreased to levels below the MCL, thereby reducing the areal extent of the plume. However, additional monitoring is required to verify this trend.

5.3.3.4 Impacts to Cane Valley Sediments

Chemical results for sediment samples collected along Cane Valley Wash and a tributary downgradient of the frog ponds at locations MON–620, -624, -626, and -627 (Figure 5–39) are presented in Table 5–13. For comparison, concentrations observed in background sediments and soils collected upgradient of the frog ponds and the former mill site (see Section 5.3.1.2) are also presented in Table 5–13. The data indicate that sediments along Cane Valley Wash have not been impacted by the milling activities. For example, examination of chemical results in Table 5–13 for sediments collected at Cane Valley Wash locations MON–624, -626, and -627, and at location MON–620 tributary drainage, indicates no notable differences in sediment chemistry from natural background. Sulfate concentrations are notable in the background samples and at the downstream sample collected at location MON–624, which reflect the accumulation of natural sulfate salts in the sediments due to evaporation and transpiration.







Figure 5–37. Uranium Concentration Profile D to D'



Figure 5–38a. Uranium Concentration Versus time for De Chelly Bedrock Wells Located Near the Former Old Tailings Area

DOE/Grand Junction Office April 1999

Table 5–13. Comparison of Selected Constituents in Sediments along Cane Valley Wash to Background Sediments

Constituent [*]	MON-620	MON-624	MON-626	MON-627	Range in Natural Background ^b
Manganese	NA°	NA	NA	NA	84.8 – 225
Nitrate	0.9	3.8	0.4	0.9	1.8 – 9.4
Strontium	28	46	26 .	54	25.8 – 116
Sulfate	48	935	42	35	292 – 771
Uranium	1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	0.3 - <1.0
Vanadium	9.0	3	Š	. 9.	5.7 – 16.5

*All units in mg/kg.

^bBackground locations MON-623, --869, and --870.

^cNA = not analyzed.

5.3.3.5 Impacts to Cane Valley Waters

Shallow Alluvial Ground Water: Nitrate and sulfate concentrations are elevated in an alluvial plume as a result of mill-related fluids that entered the ground water at the site and migrated northward. In addition to the mill-related sulfate plume, there are several wells in the alluvium in the nearby Cane Valley Wash area that have high sulfate concentrations. These include wells MON-200, -407, -605, -640, -687, and -855 with sulfate concentrations of 543; 1,210; 1,550; 686; 500; and 1,500 mg/L, respectively. The elevated sulfate concentrations in the Cane Valley Wash wells are believed to be due to effects of evaporation and are not related to the mill. Justification for this interpretation is provided below.

The ratios of sulfate-to-chloride concentrations vary depending on if the source is related to past millsite activities or if it occurs naturally. Tailings fluids were enriched in nitrate and sulfate but had relatively low chloride concentrations as exemplified by the chemistry of the tailings solutions collected from the new tailings pile and the evaporation pond (Table 5–7). New tailings pile water collected from a lysimeter contained 4,510; 530; and 20 mg/L of sulfate, nitrate, and chloride, respectively. Evaporation pond water contained 1,610; 570; and 45 mg/L of sulfate, nitrate, and chloride, respectively. Because of the high sulfate-to-chloride ratios in the tailings fluids, contamination caused sulfate concentrations to increase with little effect on the chloride concentration. This is shown in the recent characterization data. Sulfate-to-chloride ratios are distinctively different between the plume area and the Cane Valley Wash area (Figure 5–40). Sulfate-to-chloride values greater than 10 define an area similar to the sulfate and nitrate plumes. The ratios are higher in the plume area than in the Cane Valley Wash area.

The different ratios can easily be seen when sulfate concentrations are plotted against chloride concentrations for the most recent alluvial ground water samples (Figure 5-41). Plume-related ground water is distinguished on the Figure by having nitrate concentrations of over 44 mg/L. The plume-related ground water samples are clearly distinguishable from the background samples by their high sulfate and low chloride concentrations. Samples with elevated sulfate and chloride concentrations of less than 44 mg/L, can be explained by an evaporation model. Samples that have the lowest concentrations of sulfate and chloride are assumed to represent water that has been relatively unaffected by either evaporation or



Figure 5–38b. Distributions of Uranium Concentrations in the De Chelly Aquifer - August 1998



Figure 5–39. Downgradient Cane Valley Wash Soil and Surface Water Sample Locations

contamination from the mill site. Two dilute ground water compositions covering the range of sulfate-to-chloride ratios were selected to represent this unaltered water ("dilute waters 1 and 2" on Figure 5–41). Evaporation will cause both sulfate and chloride concentrations to increase. The evaporation of the two dilute waters is bracketed by a shaded area on Figure 5–41. All of the low nitrate ground waters fall within the range modeled by the evaporation trends. These waters are indicative of background conditions along Cane Valley Wash.

Because of the high sulfate-to-chloride ratio in tailings water, ground water that is contaminated by mill-tailings fluids plot above the evaporation trends (Figure 5–41). If tailings fluid from the new tailings pile is added to the dilute background water (the analysis is shown for "dilute water 1" but would be similar if "dilute water 2" were used), sulfate concentrations increase with very little increase in chloride concentration, and thus plot along a nearly vertical line. Because the sulfate-to-chloride ratio is lower for the evaporation pond fluid, the addition of this water to "dilute water 1" produces compositions that fall along a more inclined line but still distinct from the evaporation trends. All but two of the high-nitrate ground waters fall within the range modeled by the addition of contaminated water from the new tailings pile or evaporation pond. The high sulfate-to-chloride ratios are indicative of water that has been contaminated by the milling fluids.

Relatively high sulfate concentrations exist upgradient from the site where it could not have been contaminated by the mill fluids (for example, wells MON–200 and MON–640 have sulfate concentrations of 543 and 668 mg/L, respectively). These elevated background sulfate concentrations, together with the distinct evaporation signature, indicate that the elevated sulfate concentrations in Cane Valley Wash result from evaporation of uncontaminated water.

Surface Water: The uranium MCL was exceeded in at least one surface-water sample collected from locations MON-621, -622, -624, and -627 (Figure 5-39) from the most recent sampling (Table 5-14). The highest observed concentration of uranium is 0.0647 mg/L. No other COPCs exceeded MCL concentrations. For those COPCs that do not have MCLs, concentrations are generally low, except sulfate which had a concentration of 2,060 mg/L at sampling location MON-627 in 1996. Sulfate concentrations vary considerably in Cane Valley Wash. Sulfate has had elevated concentrations at some surface sampling locations sporadically during their sampling history. The elevated concentrations are attributed to evaporation.

The effects of evaporation are seen in the sulfate-to-chloride ratios which range from 1 to 5.7 in surface water (Figure 5-40). These ratios are consistent with the values (less than 10) for shallow alluvial ground water along Cane Valley Wash (Figure 5-40). The evaporation signature of the surface waters is readily observed on a sulfate-to-chloride diagram (Figure 5-41).

DOE/Grand Junction Office April 1999



Figure 5–40. Distribution of Sulfate-to-Chloride Ratio in the Alluvial Aquifer and in Surface Water


4000 ♦ Nitrate < 44 mg/L</p> 3500 □ Nitrate > 44 mg/L Addition of Lysimeter Water • Surface Water Tailing\$ Pile Conserved and a server of the 3000 2500 2 N Sulfate (mg/L) 2000 Dilute Water 1 1500 6 Range of 1000 **Evaporation Trends** ٥ 500 Dilute Water 2 ° 8 % Ô 0 200 250 150 50 100 0 Sulfate ≈ 20 Sulfate ≈ 100 Chloride (mg/L) Chloride ≈ 10 Chloride ≈ 10 Dilute Dilute Water 1 Water 2 Figure 5-41. Sulfate-to-Chloride Ratio

Site Conceptual Model

Site Observational Work Plan for Monument Valley, Arizona Page 5-115

		COPC (mg/L)					
Sample	Date	Mn	NO3	Sr	SO4	U	v
0620	6/27/93	1.53	<1.0	0.42	84	0.028	0.04
· .	1/12/97	NA	0.667	0.575	NA	0.0252	0.0052
0621	11/22/92	0.005	0.071	0.55	50	0.014	0.003
	1/11/97	NA	0.0735	0.696	NA	0.0572	<0.0044
0622	11/24/92	· 0.009	0.48	0.61	37	0.001	<0.0019
1	1/11/97	NA	0.0745	1.03	NA	0.0647	0,0102
0623	11/19/95	0.041	<1.0	0.49	30	0.002	<0.01
	1/11/97	' NA	0.0744	0.407	NA	0.0063	<0.004
	8/27/97	NA	0.198	0.537	24.9	<0.001	<0.013
0624	1/16/96	0.02	<1.0	NA	• 191	0.064	0.02
	1/13/97	NA	0.624	0.851	NA	0.0546	0.0042
0627	1/15/96	0.04	1.4	NA	2,060	0.062	0.12
	8/21/97	NA	0.105	0.159	217	0.0126	0.0285
0631	1/16/96	<0.01	<1.0	NA	573	0.020	0.01
	1/14/97	NA	0.352	0.38	NA	0.0274	0.0175
0633	1/19/96	<0.01	<1.0	NA	379	0.002	0.01
MCL		None	44	None	None	0.044	None

Table 5–14. COPC Concentrations Observed in Surface Water

The elevated uranium concentrations may also be due to evaporation as observed on a plot of uranium-to-chloride. With the exception of one point, the uranium-to-chloride ratio in surface location 627 parallels the sulfate-to-chloride ratios suggesting that evaporation is a cause of the uranium concentrations (Figure 5–42). However, the entire Cane Valley area was the scene of mining, milling, and uranium exploration activity for many decades and some uranium in surface water could have come from tailings or ore-related materials dispersed in the soils.

DOE/Grand Junction Office April 1999

> Site Observational Work Plan for Monument Valley, Arizona Page 5-117



Site Conceptual Model

Document Number U0018101

5.3.4 Fate and Transport of Ground Water Contaminants

Site-related contaminants are subject to dispersion and dilution by ground water transport and attenuation by radioactive decay and various chemical reactions, including oxidation/reduction reactions, precipitation and coprecipitation, adsorption onto the aquifer mineral surfaces, cation exchange, and biologically controlled oxidation/reduction reactions. The mobility or potential for attenuation depends on the chemical species of ions in the aqueous environment. The chemical species present in natural systems are a function of pH, Eh, and the concentrations of various anions and cations. The predominant species of the site-related constituents identified as occurring in the alluvial aquifer at concentrations above natural background were predicted using the geochemical model PHREEQE (Parkhurst et al. 1980, DOE 1996d). The dominant solution species are summarized in Table 5–15.

Constituent	Dominant Species	Common Name	Molar percent
Manganese	Mn²⁺ MnSO₄ _{AQ}	Manganese Manganese sulfate	64 31
Nitrate	NO ₃ -	Nitrate	100
Strontium	Sr ²⁺	Strontium	100
Sulfur	SO42-	Sulfate	100
Uranium	UO ₂ (CO ₃) ₃ ⁴⁻ UO ₂ (CO ₃) ₂ ²⁻	Uranyl tricarbonate	70 30
Vanadium	HV₂O7 ³⁻ H₂VO₄ ⁻	Pyrovanadic acid	83 15

Table 5–15. Dominant Solution Species in the Alluvial Ground Water

Ground water quality data provide insight into the fate and transport of site-related contaminants in ground water at the site. Several constituents identified in the tailings solutions are absent or occur at much lower levels in the contaminated ground water, due to reactions of these contaminants with the aquifer matrix. Contaminants present in tailings solutions, but absent (below detection) in ground water at the site, are trace metals including antimony, chromium, cobalt, copper, molybdenum, and nickel. Contaminants in tailings solutions that are greatly attenuated, but detectable above background, are ammonium, radium-226, uranium, and vanadium. Contaminants that are at levels in ground water similar to those in the tailings solutions are major cations and anions (calcium, nitrate, magnesium, chloride, potassium, strontium, and sulfate).

Dispersion and precipitation reactions control concentrations of the major cations and anions such as calcium, iron, magnesium, nitrate, potassium, silica, sodium, strontium, and sulfate. Precipitation/dissolution reactions will occur in the portion of the plumes closest to the former tailings piles. Precipitation reactions currently are active because the shallow ground water in this zone is oversaturated with gypsum. With the tailings piles removed, the ground water sulfate concentrations will decrease, allowing gypsum to redissolve. Dissolution will buffer sulfate and calcium concentrations until the gypsum is exhausted. At this point, dilution with background water will lower sulfate and calcium concentrations. Cation exchange reactions with clays and oxidation to nitrate perhaps, mediated by bacterial action, will decrease ammonium concentrations. Dispersion and adsorption mainly will decrease manganese, uranium, vanadium, and zinc concentrations.

1200253

5.4 Ecology

This section discusses the results of the ecological investigation (Section 4.7) with respect to the feasibility of phytoremediation and native plant farming.

5.4.1 Feasibility of Phytoremediation and Native Plant Farming

DOE plans active remediation of ground water constituents that exceed EPA standards or pose a risk to human health and the environment at Monument Valley. High nitrate levels, as high as 1,200 mg/L in the alluvial aquifer, exceed the EPA standard for nitrogen (equivalent to 44 mg/L nitrate). Residual ammonium in soils and substrates where the tailings piles were removed (subpile soils) may be a continuing source of ground water nitrate (Section 5.3.2.2). DOE is implementing phytoremediation of subpile soil ammonium and is evaluating phytoremediation of the shallow portions of the alluvial aquifer in an ongoing pilot study as a component of an active ground water remediation strategy for the site. In Section 8 of this SOWP, DOE evaluates the feasibility of a native plant farming alternative; pumping and treating high-nitrate ground water by using it to irrigate and fertilize revegetation plantings (Baumgartner et al. 1996).

This section provides background information concerning plant extraction and fate of ground water and soil nitrogen, and discusses the feasibility of phytoremediation and native plant farming alternatives.

5.4.1.1 Background Information

Plant Extraction and Fate of Nitrogen in Ground Water and Soil

Nitrogen (N) is an essential macronutrient for the growth of higher plants. Nitrate (NO_3^-) and ammonium (NH_4^+) in soils and ground water are the most common plant-available forms of N in arid and semiarid ecosystems (Coyne et al. 1995). Utilization of NO_3^- by higher plants involves the uptake, storage, translocation, and incorporation of N into organic forms. Most N uptake is through roots, although foliar uptake may also occur. N taken up from soil by the roots of terrestrial plants is either in the NO_3^- form or the NH_4^+ form. NO_3^- and NH_4^+ are taken in through the epidermis of plant roots and into the symplast of cortical and endodermal cells by way of a combination of passive diffusion and active transport which requires expenditure of energy.

Once in the plant, NO_3^- is reduced to ammonia (NH_3) or NH_4^+ either in the root or after it is transported up the xylem into the leaves. NO_3^- may be stored in cell vacuoles for a period of time before it is reduced. Reduction of NO_3^- is driven by photochemical energy captured through photosynthesis. The NH_3 or NH_4^+ is converted to amides and, through reactions catalyzed by transferases, amides are converted to amino acids. The amino acids are the building blocks for complex nitrogenous compounds in the plant protoplasm including proteins, chlorophyll, growth regulators, alkaloids, nucleosides, nucleotides, and nucleic acids.

Site Conceptual Model

-

Understanding the fate of N bound in live plant protoplasm is important for an evaluation of phytoremediation. Some N is lost as NH_3 directly from plants through stomates to the atmosphere. However, most N is returned to the soil either by death and decay of plant tissue or via grazing animals. Most N in terrestrial ecosystems resides in soil organic matter. Bacteria and fungi decay dead plant protoplasm (litter) producing amino acids and other soil organic residues. This soil organic matter is eventually converted to NH_4^+ and NH_3 by amnifying bacteria. N in plant biomass ingested by grazing animals is excreted in urine or feces and then rapidly hydrolyzed to NH_4^+ .

N that has been returned to the soil as NH_4^+ and NH_3 is either taken up again by higher plants, used as an energy source by nitrifying bacteria, forming NO_3^- , or lost through volatilization and leaching (Coyne et al. 1995; Barbour et al. 1987). A combination of high temperatures and dry soil, common at Monument Valley, can result in substantial volatilization of NH_3 . The potential for leaching of NH_4^+ , NH_3 , and NO_3^- is a function of the soil water balance which depends to a great degree on vegetation condition. Without plants, up to 50 percent of precipitation may be available to move N compounds back towards the ground water in arid and semiarid ecosystems (Gee et al. 1994). However, very little if any leaching would be expected where vegetation in good condition returns precipitation to the atmosphere via ET (evaporation from leaf and soil surfaces).

Phytoremediation Feasibility

Data to evaluate the feasibility of using phytoremediation as one possible component of the cleanup strategy were collected during 1997 (Section 4.7). Greasewood (*Sarcobatus vermiculatus*) and fourwing saltbush (*Atriplex canescens*) are both deep-rooted shrubs presently growing over most of the alluvial nitrate plume (Figure 5–43). Greasewood is an obligatory phreatophyte; its presence is evidence that it is rooted in the plume. In general, the gradient from a greasewood-dominated plant community to a fourwing saltbush-dominated community from southeast to northwest across the plume (see Figure 4–11) is correlated with increasing depth to ground water. However, the greasewood and fourwing saltbush stands are currently in poor condition because of historical overgrazing. Also, part of the greasewood stand may have been sprayed with herbicides during the surface remediation activity.

Evidence from rooting depth literature, photograph comparisons, and plant succession in disturbed areas all support the premise that by (1) increasing the abundance and expanding the distribution of greasewood and other phreatophytes; and (2) protecting phreatophyte populations from grazing, phytoremediation can contribute significantly to cleanup of nitrate in the alluvial aquifer. The greasewood and fourwing saltbush populations already cover a large portion of the plume area (Figure 5–43). A review of rooting-depth literature (e.g., Nichols 1993; Branson et al. 1981) indicates that the plume is potentially within reach of greasewood roots. A comparison of recent and old photographs shows that the greasewood population may be a consequence of milling activities, that the population has spread over the past 15 years, and that plants growing in the plume area are much larger than plants growing outside the plume area, apparently a response of nitrate fertilization. Greasewood and fourwing saltbush plants have been established and have grown rapidly within the fenced area that was disturbed during surface remediation only 3 years ago. This is evidence that (1) planting greasewood and fourwing

Site Observational Work Plan for Monument Valley, Arizona Page 5-120

DOE/Grand Junction Office April 1999



Figure 5–43. Potential Phytoremediation and Native Plant Farming Areas with Respect to Plant Associations

saltbush may accelerate population expansion into other disturbed (bladed and overgrazed) areas of the plume; and (2) relatively high productivity (and nitrate uptake) is possible if plants are protected from grazing.

Phytoremediation of ammonium and nitrate in subpile soil may also be feasible. High ammonium and nitrate occurred in one hand-augered soil boring (boring 866, Section 5.3.2.2) in the northern portion of the new tailings pile area (Section 4.5). While ammonium is not a COPC, ammonium in subpile soil may generate nitrate that could enter the ground water system. Successful revegetation of subpile soils with a diverse mixture of deeper-rooted shrubs and shallower-rooted grasses and forbs may control this potential source of ground water nitrate (Schnoor et al. 1995). Vegetation in good condition would extract ammonium and nitrate and create a favorable soil water balance. In arid ecosystems, ET from healthy vegetation can prevent leaching of contaminated soil (Weand and Hauser 1997).

Native Plant Farming Feasibility

DOE is also considering the feasibility of a native plant farming alternative as a component of the cleanup strategy for Monument Valley. The concept involves pumping the nitratecontaminated alluvial aquifer for a productive use, specifically, to irrigate planted areas. In this way, *nitrate the ground water contaminant* becomes *nitrate the fertilizer* as it is taken into the nitrogen biogeochemical cycle of the terrestrial ecosystem (see background information section above).

Arable land classification in arid regions is primarily concerned with soil depth, soil water retention, soil permeability, soil chemistry (salinity, sodicity, and alkalinity), percent coarse fragments, and topography. Soils overlying the plume and in revegetation areas at Monument Valley range from a loamy sand, with about 70 percent fine sand, 25 percent silt, and less than 5 percent clay, to dune sand with greater than 90 percent fine sand, less than 5 percent silt, and virtually no clay (Table 4–10). The "field capacity" of these soils should fall between about 7 and 12 percent volumetric water content (e.g., Brady 1974). The permeability of these soils averages about 1.0×10^{-4} cm/s (Table 4–9). These soils are deep, have very few coarse fragments, and slopes do not exceed 8 percent. Salinization would not be expected for these deep, coarse-textured soils under normal irrigation practices.

Overall, based on an arable land classification system used by the Navajo Nation and the BIA (Appendix E), the soils in the plume and revegetation areas do not fall into the highest class but are suitable for irrigation of a native forage crop (e.g., Glenn et al. 1998).

Before a native plant farming process could be implemented, however, a number of areas of uncertainty would have to be addressed. Uncertainties associated with well installation and production rates are addressed in Section 8.0. Ecological uncertainties which would have to be addressed before a native plant farming system could be implemented include the following:

• Soil salinization from high sulfate and other soluble salts in the plume water,

- Effects of high nitrate and sulfate levels on plant survival, species composition, species diversity, plant abundance, and productivity of planted areas, and
- Feasibility of managing the soil water balance and limiting deep leaching of nitrates and sulfates.

The economic and process feasibility of the native plant farming process are evaluated in Section 8 of this SOWP. No range improvements will be implemented without prior approval of the Navajo Nation and local residents of Cane Valley.

6.0 Risk Assessment

The 1996 BLRA evaluated the potential public health and environmental risks of ground water contamination caused by activities at the former Monument Valley processing site (DOE 1996b). Since the completion of this document, additional site characterization data, new toxicity data on human health, and results of a study on plant uptake are now available to update the BLRA. Results of the update indicate that nitrate, sulfate, and uranium should be retained as contaminants of concern (COCs) based on risks to human health due to ingestion of ground water. The update of the ecological risk assessment showed that no adverse effects to plants can be expected from use of plume water for irrigation. This section summarizes the results of the original BLRA and then provides the human health and ecological risk assessment updates based on the more recent information. More detailed discussion of the human health risk assessment update can be found in Appendix F.

6.1 Human Health Risk Assessment

As with other UMTRA ground water sites, the BLRA serves as the basis for risk information. Sections 6.1.1 and 6.1.2 summarize the results of the BLRA for Monument Valley. The BLRA was based on characterization data collected from 1988 to February 1993. More recent analytical results are available for this site, and they provide an opportunity to evaluate the effect of changing site conditions on risks. Section 6.1.3 updates the BLRA using the more recent analytical data.

Ground water is the sole source of domestic water (water used for drinking, bathing, and other household purposes) in the Monument Valley area. Domestic well users upgradient of the site and several domestic wells downgradient of the site have not been impacted by the contaminant plume. The depth to ground water is shallow in some areas near the site (i.e., Cane Valley Wash). In the areas where ground water reaches the surface, ponds form that are accessed by people and animals. However, these areas have not been impacted by the contaminated ground water; therefore, complete exposure pathways to contaminated ground water discharging to the surface water and sediment do not exist.

Currently, no one uses the contaminated ground water and, therefore, there are no complete exposure pathways or human health risks for ground water. However, future use of the contaminated ground water is possible. Evaluation of potential future use indicates certain health risks could occur if the contaminated ground water (i.e., ground water within the most contaminated portion of the plume) were ingested as drinking water.

6.1.1 Contaminants of Potential Concern

COPCs are contaminants that could cause adverse health effects if taken into the body. COPCs for human health were selected on the basis of comparison to background data, acceptable nutritional and dietary ranges, and toxicity benchmarks. A constituent was placed on the initial list if it was detected in concentrations that exceeded background levels in monitoring wells at the 0.05 level of significance and if the site is a likely source for the contaminant. Fifteen chemicals were identified in concentrations above background: ammonium, calcium, chloride,

iron, magnesium, manganese, nitrate, potassium, silica, sodium, strontium, sulfate, uranium, vanadium, and zinc.

This initial list of site-related constituents was further evaluated for toxicity to human health using the health-based criteria. Several constituents, although present above background, were screened from the list because their concentrations are within an acceptable nutritional rate or at a level of low toxicity and relatively high normal dietary intake compared to the value detected. Chloride, iron, potassium, and zinc were removed from the list because they are found at acceptable nutritional requirement levels. Constituents considered to be of low toxicity and/or high normal dietary intake that were eliminated from the list are ammonium, calcium, magnesium, silica, and sodium. The effect of these constituents on persons with diabetes was not evaluated separately, although this may be a sensitive subpopulation within the Navajo Nation.

All remaining constituents on the list were considered COPCs because of their potential toxic effects if ingested. These contaminants were evaluated quantitatively in the risk assessment: nitrate, sulfate, manganese, strontium, vanadium, and uranium. Of these contaminants, uranium is the only carcinogen.

Five exposure routes were evaluated for their potential adverse health effects: ground water ingestion and dermal contact, the ingestion of garden produce irrigated with contaminated ground water, and the ingestion of milk and meat from livestock watered with ground water from the contaminated aquifer. Toxicity due to exposure from these exposure routes was evaluated by calculating the exposure dose, using the upper 95 percent confidence limit of the mean concentration in ground water. The significant risk contributors by exposure route were identified by calculating a ratio of these exposure doses to the exposure dose from ground water ingestion (as drinking water). Ground water used as a sole source of drinking water was determined to contribute the most significant potential future risk relative to the other exposure pathways. Therefore, only the ingestion of contaminated ground water was further evaluated in the BLRA.

Probability distributions for contaminant concentrations and exposure variables were integrated to estimate the range of contaminant exposure doses people could ingest from a hypothetical well constructed in the most contaminated portion of the plume. Filtered water quality data from 1988 to 1993 from DOE monitor well MON-655 were used to evaluate on-site levels of contaminants in the alluvial aquifer. The estimated amounts of contaminants a child could ingest through drinking water were compared to toxic effects anticipated for each contaminant at these amounts. Children (1 to 10 years) were evaluated for these exposure scenarios because children consume relatively more water than adults and, consequently ingest a higher contaminant dose than adults. However, when a subpopulation was identified as more sensitive to exposure to certain contaminants, that population was evaluated. For the Monument Valley site, infants have been identified as the population most sensitive to sulfate and nitrate. Adults were evaluated for the carcinogen uranium, because carcinogens are evaluated over a lifetime of 70 years.

6.1.2 Potential Public Health Impacts

The most serious noncarcinogenic health risks from ingesting ground water at this site would result from nitrate. Some degree of methemoglobinemia (the interference of the oxygen-carrying capacity of the blood) could occur with any infant consumption of nitrate in ground water at this site. Severe diarrhea in infants could be expected from sulfate exposure. Manganese levels could cause mild neurological symptoms such as irritability and speech disturbances. More than 99 percent of the strontium and vanadium exposures fell below any levels where any noncarcinogenic toxic effects have been observed in humans. The entire range of uranium exposures fell below any level where noncarcinogenic toxic effects have been observed in humans.

6.1.3 BLRA Update

Risk is estimated by evaluating concentrations of the contaminants in ground water exposure (exposure factors such as the number of liters ingested per day of drinking water) and the toxicity of the COPCs. The purpose of this update is to evaluate changes in these areas (if any) and then evaluate if all the COPCs should be retained and the potential overall impact to human health.

Additional analytical results (contaminant concentrations) became available after the original BLRA (DOE 1996b) was completed. Table 6–1 presents a comparison of the analytical results used in the BLRA to the more recent analytical results.

	BLRA D		owngradient ata ^a	Most Downgra	t Recent adient Data ⁵	Ratio of Median of	
BLRA COPCs	UMTRA MCL	Median	Maximum	Median	Maximum	to Median BLRA Data	Trend of Median
Manganese	NA	0.13	0.17	0.19	0.31	1.5	Increase
Nitrate	44	1,140	1,600	1,190	1,590	1.04	Increase
Strontium	NA	3.0	3.2	2.55	2.88	0.85	Decrease
Sulfate ·	NA	2,660	3,540	1,450	2,140	0.73	Decrease
Uranium	0.044	0.028	0.031	0.026	0.027	0.93	Decrease
Vanadium	NA	0.01	0.08	0.01	0.013	1.0	No Change

Table 6-1. Comparison of New Data with Data Used in the BLRA at Downgradient Wells (mg/L)

*The BLRA used data from well MON-655 or MON-606.

^bNitrate, strontium, sulfate, and uranium are from well MON–655, while nitrate and manganese are from well MON–606. The most recent data includes any data collected after February 1993 (the date of the last sampling round used in the BLRA) to the present.

Table 6–1 shows that manganese and nitrate show an increasing trend in the median concentration, vanadium has not changed, and strontium, sulfate, and uranium are decreasing. However, concentrations for all COPCs using the more recent data have remained similar to the concentrations listed in the BLRA.

Since the completion of the BLRA, several new wells have been added to better characterize the plume. In some cases, new data from these new wells have yielded higher concentrations than the

data from the wells used in the BLRA. A comparison of the BLRA data and the most recent data from all wells (see Table 5–8 for a complete data summary) is presented in Table 6–2.

Table 6–2 shows higher maximum concentrations have occurred in the new wells for strontium, sulfate, uranium, and vanadium. In all cases, concentrations have increased by a factor of five or less. Maximum concentrations for manganese and nitrate are still occurring in well MON–606.

BLRA COPCs	Well used in BLRA	Maximum Concentration from Most Recent Data*	Well with Maximum Concentration	Maximum Concentration from Most Recent Sampling ^b
Manganese	MON-606	0.31	MON-606	0.21
Nitrate	MON-606	1,590	MON-606	1,030
Strontium	MON-655	2.88	MON-771	4.95
Sulfate	MON-655	2,140	MON-771	3,540
Uranium	MON-655	0.027	MON-774	0.069
Vanadium	MON-655	0.0130	MON-772	0.0540

Table 6–2. Sampling Results Using All Available Wells (mg/L)

*This is the maximum concentration from data collected between March 1993 and August 1997. *These are data collected from the most recent round of sampling (August 1997).

The BLRA used standard default assumptions. These same assumptions are still valid and are commonly used to evaluate risks to human health.

Some toxicity values have been updated since the BLRA was completed. Table 6–3 lists the toxicity values used in the BLRA vs. the recent data from the Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) (EPA 1998). IRIS, which is managed by EPA, provides the most up-to-date toxicity information. No changes have occurred for most COPCs; however, toxicity values for manganese and nitrate have been updated.

COPC	Target Organ or Effect	Reference Dose Used in Original BLRA	Most Recent Value from IRIS ^b	Impact on Risk
Manganese	Central nervous system	0.005	0.014	Decrease
Nitrate	Blood	7.0	7.0	No change
Strontium	Bone	0.6	0.6	No change
Sulfate	Gastroenteritis	NA	NA	NA
Uranium ^c	Body weight loss, moderate nephrotoxicity (kidney)	0.003	0.003	No change
Vanadium	Liver, kidney, nervous system, cardiovascular	0.007	NAª	Assumed no change

Table 6–3. Comparison of Toxicity Benchmarks for Noncarcinogens^a

^aThe toxicity benchmark for these compounds is the reference does or RfD. Risk (expressed as a hazard quotient) is estimated by dividing intake (mg/kg-day) by the RfD (mg/kg-day). Therefore, as the RfD increases, the risk decreases. ^bObtained from IRIS on February 24, 1998.

"This is for elemental uranium, which is a noncarcinogen (does not cause cancer). However, the isotopes of uranium cause cancer, which is not accounted for in this comparison of RfDs.

^dIRIS does not provide an updated value for vanadium.

6.1.4 Impacts on the COPC List

Because of the changes in contaminant concentrations and toxicity benchmarks, it is useful to evaluate if all the COPCs listed in the BLRA should be retained. After this final screening of COPCs they will be referred to as contaminants of concern (COCs). To provide a consistent update to the BLRA, only data comparisons from the same wells used in the BLRA are evaluated. However, the data from the more recent wells will also be evaluated when elimination of a COPC is being considered.

The compounds that show a decrease in downgradient concentration data from the wells used in the BLRA are sulfate, uranium, and strontium. IRIS does not list toxicity information for sulfate and it is found at relatively high concentrations; therefore, it must be retained as a COC. Uranium showed a minor decrease in median concentration, it is clearly associated with uranium milling, and causes both noncancer and cancer effects. For these reasons, uranium is retained as a COC. Strontium showed decreasing concentration and is not normally associated as a contaminant from uranium milling. Although the median concentration of vanadium did not change, the maximum concentration decreased. Therefore, this could possibly be eliminated as a COC. To evaluate if strontium and vanadium should be retained as COCs, risks associated with strontium were reevaluated using standard equations and assumptions from the BLRA and EPA (1989). Evaluations for strontium and vanadium are presented in Appendix F.

Manganese is the only COPC that had a change in toxicity that may result in it being eliminated from the final COC list. Appendix F evaluates if manganese should be retained as a COC.

Appendix F shows that the elimination of manganese, strontium, and vanadium from the COPC list will have little impact on the total site risks. Therefore, it is appropriate to eliminate those compounds from the final COC list. Therefore, the final COCs are nitrate, sulfate, and uranium.

6.1.5 Updated Impacts on Risks from Ingestion of Ground Water

Nitrate—The concentrations of nitrate in well MON-655 (defined as the plume in the original BLRA) have increased slightly, but are very similar to the concentrations listed in the BLRA.

However, EPA has revised the reference dose (RfD) to indicate that nitrate is more toxic than previously thought (at the time of the BLRA). The revised hazard quotient (HQ) for nitrate is 28.4, which is significantly greater that the other quantifiable COCs.

Sulfate—IRIS (EPA 1998) still does not list an RfD for sulfate. The Navajo Nation has proposed a standard of 250 mg/L for sulfate based on the EPA's guidelines for taste, odor, and color. This will be combined with the use of the sulfate-to-chloride ratio, to distinguish between areas of natural high sulfate levels and areas having elevated sulfate due to milling activities, as a cleanup goal for the Monument Valley project. See Section 8.1.2.1 for additional information.

Uranium—Uranium causes both noncarcinoginic and carcinogenic effects. The concentration in the plume (defined as well MON-655 in the BLRA) shows a decreasing trend. Using the recent maximum concentration, uranium has an HQ of 0.25.

Since the completion of risk assessment the carcinogenic toxicity factor for uranium has changed. Based on this change, the increased individual lifetime cancer risk for uranium in the alluvial aquifer is estimated to be 2E–05, or 2 chances in 100,000 of developing cancer. For the maximum concentration of uranium detected in the De Chelly Sandstone (0.13 mg/L), the estimated risk level is 1E–04, or 1 chance in 10,000 of developing cancer. The estimated risk levels fall into the upper end of EPA risk range for carcinogens of 1E–04 to 1E–06 (1 chance in 10,000 to 1 chance in 1,000,000 of developing cancer). EPA's risk range is used for Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act sites. Actions to mitigate risks within the risk range are generally within the discretion of the risk managers, based on site-specific factors (40 CFR Part 300).

6.1.6 Ingestion of Contaminated Plants

The BLRA recommended that the potential contaminant uptake by plants rooted into ground water or irrigated with ground water be investigated further. This corresponds to two potential human exposure pathways:

- (1) Ingestion of produce irrigated with water pumped from the contaminated aquifer, and
- (2) Cultural uses of plants rooted into or irrigated with contaminated ground water.

This subsection evaluates these pathways.

6.1.6.1 Ingestion of Contaminated Produce

Residents living near the Monument Valley site use well water to irrigate vegetable gardens. Currently, no irrigation wells access contaminated ground water. Plant uptake of contaminants from irrigation water and subsequent ingestion of contaminated produce is a potential future exposure pathway. The BLRA (DOE 1996b), lacking literature on contaminant uptake by garden vegetables, did not evaluate this pathway.

The University of Arizona's Environmental Research Laboratory conducted a 2-year study to acquire plant uptake data needed for risk assessments at UMTRA sites (Baumgartner et al. 1996). The study started with a synthesis of pertinent literature concerning uptake and interactive effects of metals, nitrates, and sulfates. Overall, the literature review found that water-to-plant and soil-to-plant concentration ratios for some UMTRA constituents are highly variable and dependent on plant species and soil and water chemistry. DOE funded greenhouse studies to evaluate concentration ratios for manganese, molybdenum, selenium, and uranium, and to test metal uptake responses to a range of nitrate concentrations. The greenhouse studies progressed through two phases: tests with simulated ground water contamination, and tests using actual ground water from the Tuba City, Arizona, site (Baumgartner et al. 1996). Some of the results were applicable to the Monument Valley risk assessment.

Table 6-4 summarizes an evaluation of the produce ingestion pathway at Monument Valley. The list of human health COPCs matches the BLRA (DOE 1996b), except for vanadium. The maximum background concentration exceeded the alluvial aquifer concentration, therefore,

vanadium was removed from the list. Estimates of maximum crop levels of COPCs are used for a screening assessment of the produce ingestion pathway.

COPC*	Max GW Level ^b (mg/L)	Max Level Well	Max Crop Level ^c (ppm)	Notes
Manganese	0.21	MON-606	<50.0	The maximum crop level is inferred from the response function for Mn uptake in a root crop (Baumgartner et al. 1996).
Nitrate	1,600	MON-606	<5,000	Baumgartner et al. (1996) indicate that crop tissue levels of NO ₃ peak at about 1,000 mg/L of NO ₃ in irrigation water; crop tissue levels dropped at about 2,000 mg/L NO ₃ in water. These crop levels are comparable to levels in fertilized produce (Brown and Smith 1966; Peck et al. 1971).
Strontium	4.95	MON-771	<5.0	The expected plant-to-soil concentration ratio for Sr is less than 1 (Kabata-Pendias and Pendias 1992).
Sulfate	3,540	MON-771	<5,000₫	The expected maximum crop level is for total S, not sulfate (Baumgartner et al. 1996).
Uranium	0.069	MON-774	<0.1	The maximum crop level is inferred from the literature search and the response function for U uptake in root crop derived in the greenhouse (Baumgartner et al. 1996).

Table 6–4. Estimated Maximum COPC Concentrations in the Alluvial Aquifer and in Irrigated Produce for the Produce Ingestion Pathway at Monument Valley

The list of COPCs, minus vanadium, is from the human health evaluation, Table 3.8 in DOE (1996b). On-site levels of vanadium did not exceed background level and it was removed from the COPC list.

^b Maximum ground water level for nitrate is from 1988–1993 data (Table 3.7 in DOE 1996b) all others from 1997 data (Table 5–8). ^c Maximum crop concentrations are estimates based on either the literature review or the plant uptake study by

Baumgartner et al. (1996).

^dTotal sulfur in crop tissue.

6.1.6.2 Update on Potential Exposure to Garden Produce

The original BLRA did not evaluate ingestion of contaminated garden vegetables because information on plant uptake was not available. However, based on the recent University of Arizona study (see Section 5.4.1.1) maximum contaminant concentrations in crops are now available (see Table 6–4). Using these data and standard exposure factors from the literature, a screening-level evaluation of potential risks from this pathway was conducted (see Appendix G). The results are summarized below:

<u>Contaminant</u>	Maximum Crop Concentration (mg/kg)	Hazard Quotient
Manganese	<50	<0.26
Nitrate	<5,000	<2.2
Strontium	<5.0	<0.006
Uranium	<0.1	<0.02

The ingestion of contaminated produce is a minor contributor to total risks compared to ingestion of contaminated ground water (risks from ingestion of contaminated produce are 2.5 percent of the risks from contaminated water using standard default exposure factors and assuming the same concentrations). However, the ingestion of garden produce can become important when contaminants bioconcentrate in the edible portion of produce. The only contaminant that appears to significantly bioconcentrate is manganese. This is the only contaminant that has a higher HQ from ingestion of garden produce than ingestion of ground water; however, the sum of these HQ's is still less than 1.0.

Of the contaminants that could be quantified as part of the food ingestion pathway, only nitrate exceeded 1.0. Nevertheless, the food ingestion pathway results in a HQ of less than 10 percent of the drinking water ingestion pathway (2.2 vs. 28.5). However, this serves to confirm the importance of nitrate as a dominant COC.

An HQ for sulfate could not be quantified. However, only limited bioconcentration is occurring

$\frac{5,000 \text{ mg/kg of plant tissue}}{3,540 \text{ mg/kg of water}} \text{ or } <1.4$

Therefore, the ingestion of food crops (using irrigation water from the contaminated aquifer) will not add significantly (less than 4 percent) to the total risks for sulfate (1,500 to 2,000 ppm). The risk range identified by EPA Region VIII did not include ingestion of garden vegetables irrigated with contaminated ground water. However, because of the safety factors associated with the EPA estimate and the limited contribution from ingestion of garden produce, the EPA Region VIII guideline will still be protective of human health.

6.1.6.3 Cultural Uses of Native Plants

The Navajo people traditionally gather wild plants for many purposes in everyday life; for food, for medicine, for religious ceremonies, and for tools. Many plant uses are prescribed through century-old rituals. Others are adaptations to more recent changes in the landscape and vegetation. Some wild plants of the semiarid plateau country, called phreatophytes, send their roots into shallow aquifers. If used for cultural purposes at Monument Valley, phreatophytes could potentially access and bioaccumulate ground water contaminants. Bioaccumulation could also occur in shallow-rooted wild plants if contaminated ground water were pumped for irrigation, inadvertently as part of a rangeland improvement project, or as a consequence of the native plant farming alternative. Traditional uses of phreatophytes or plants irrigated with plume water could potentially cause adverse human exposure. People who use contaminated plant tissues for food, medicine, and ceremonial burning could be exposed via ingestion, inhalation, and dermal pathways. The BLRA (DOE 1996b) did not evaluate these pathways because site-specific plant ecology and plant uptake data were not available.

Table 6–5 lists and describes cultural-use plants growing over contaminated ground water at the Monument Valley site. The list includes Navajo and English common names as well as scientific nomenclature. The list is a subset of the comprehensive plant list for the site (Table 4–18). The selection of species and descriptions of cultural uses were summarized from Mayes and Lacy (1989) and from discussions with the staff of the Navajo Nation's Historic Preservation

Document Number U0018101

Department in Window Rock, Arizona. Only two species are likely rooted into the contaminated alluvial aquifer: *Sarcobatus vermiculatus* and *Atriplex canescens*. The remaining species would be exposed to contaminants only if irrigated.

Table 6-5. Navajo Cultural Uses of Plants (Growing on the Reclaimed Tailings Area and Plume Area
at the Monument Valley Site	

Plant Name*	Cultural Uses ^b
Azee' ntl'ini (gummy medicine) Scarlet globemallow Sphaeralcea coccinea (Pursh) Rydb.	Medicinal: Azee' ntl'iní is one of the Navajo Life Medicines. Roots and leaves are pounded, mixed with water to form a sticky lotion and topically applied to stop bleeding sores on skin. Azee' ntl'iní is also used to make a tonic as a cure for colds and influenza.
	Way, Beauty Way and Night Way ceremonies.
	Other: The top of the plant has been used as a beverage and dried as a tobacco.
Azee' hááłdzid (rotten medicine) Threadleaf groundsel Senecio douglassii DC.	Medicinal: A medicine made from Azee' hááłdzid has been used as a tea, as a poultice, and as a steam treatment for arthritis, boils, and rheumatism.
Ch'il deeníní (sharp plant) Russian thistle	Medicinal: This adventive from Asia has been ashed to make a lotion and tonic for influenza and smallpox.
Salsola iberica Sennen and Pau	Ceremonial: The ashed plant is used as a blackening in the Enemy Way and Evil Way ceremonies.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Other: A green dye can be made from fermented young plants.
Ch'il diilyésiitoh (big dodge weed) Broome snakeweed <i>Gutierrezia sarothrae</i> (Pursh) Britt. and Rusby	Medicinal: Ch'il diilyésiitoh is one of the Navajo Life Medicines. The pulp of a plant that has been chewed is placed on insect bites and cuts. A medicine derived from the plant is given during childbirth. An ointment from ashes of the plant is rubbed on the forehead to cure headaches and fever. A tonic made from the root is used to treat stomach disorders and sometimes as an antidote for taking too much of other remedies.
	Ceremonial: Ch'il diilyésiitoh is a Life Way pollen. Ashes of the plant are used in almost all other ceremonies as a blackening. It is also used to make prayersticks and as a ceremonial fumigant and emetic.
	Other: Ch'il diilyésiitoh flowers are used to make a yellow dye.
Dá'ák'óózh deeníní (sharp saltbush) Shadscale <i>Atriplex confertifolia</i> (Torr. & Frem.) Wats.	Food: Leaves of Dá'ák'óózh deeníní are used to flavor corn roasted in a pit.
Dibéhaich'iidii (gray sheep scratch) Locoweed Astragalus species	Medicinal: As one of the Navajo Life Medicines, Dibéhaich'iidii is used as a diuretic, for stomach disorders, and to treat venereal disease.
	Ceremonial: Dibéhaich'iidii is a médicine used in the Bead Way ceremony.

Table 6–5 (continued). Navajo Cultural Uses of Plants Growing on the Reclaimed Tailings Area and Plume Area at the Monument Valley Site

Plant Name*	Cultural Uses ^b
Díwózhiiłbeii (gray greasewood) Fourwing saltbush <i>Atriplex canescens</i> (Pursh) Nutt.	Medicinal: The leaves of Díwózhiiłbeii are chewed to produce a poultice for insect bites. It is mixed with juniper mistletoe as a tonic for stomachaches and toothaches and to increase perspiration during sweatbaths. Leaves and roots are also used to produce cough medicine and a snuff for sinus pain.
· ·	Ceremonial: Díwózhiiłbeii is used as an emetic in Evil Way and Navajo Wind Way ceremonies.
	Other: Díwózhiiłbeii was once used for food seasoning. Leaves and twigs, when combined with other ingredients, are used to intensify color in dyes.
Díwózhiishzhiin (black bushy shrub) Black greasewood <i>Sarcobatus vermiculatus</i> (Hook.) Torr.	Medicinal: Like Dá'ák'óózh deeníní and Díwózhiiłbeii, the leaves of Díwózhiishzhiin are chewed to produce a poultice for insect bites and stings.
	Ceremonial: The hard wood of Díwózhiishzhiin is used to make ádístsiin, sticks used to stir mush during Navajo weddings and girls' puberty rituals. The wood is also used to make equipment for the Lightening Chant and Mountain Chant ceremonies.
	Other: The hard wood was ideal for planting sticks, awls, knitting needles, and traps. Because the wood burns long and hot, like oak, it is used for cooking and to burn out soft cottonwood logs to make boxes.
Dlóóbibé'ézhóó' (prairie-dog comb) Purple threeawn <i>Aristida purpurea</i> Nutt.	Ceremonial: Dlóóbibé'ézhóó' leaves are part of a medicine used in the Enemy Way ceremony.
Gad ni'ee l ii bílátah lichí'igíí (juniper with red flowers) Tamarisk <i>Tamarix ramosissim</i> a Ledeb.	Medicinal: Gad ni'eetii bílàtah tichí'ígíí is a naturalized adventive from Eurasia that looks like juniper and, although it is only remotely related, it is sometimes used as a substitute for juniper in certain healing tonics and smoke treatments.
Haashch'éédáá (god's food)	Food: Red Haashch'éédáá berries are eaten raw or cooked.
Tomatilla <i>Lycium pallidium</i> Miers	Medicinal: Dried Haashch'éédáá berries and roots are a Navajo Life Medicine. The ground root is used to relieve toothache.
	Ceremonial: Haashch'éédáá is used to form equipment and as an emetic in the Evil Way and Female Shooting Way ceremonies.
Hosh niteelí (broad cactus)	Food: Hosh niteelí fruit was eaten fresh, dried, or cooked in stews.
Prickly-pear <i>Opuntia phaeacantha</i> Engelm.	Medicinal: Peeled fleshy stems of Hosh niteeli are bound over cuts to stop bleeding.
	Ceremonial: The Navajo origin myth includes Cactus People, consequently Hosh niteelí is used in several ceremonies.
Nididlídii (scorched) Indian ricegrass Oryzopsis hymenoides (R. & S.) Ricker.	Food: In the past, Nididlídii was used to make mush or ash cakes. Seeds were scorched to remove the chaff, ground, mixed with milk or water and cooked.
Tłéé' íigahiits'óóz (white at night) Evening primrose <i>Oenothera albicaulis</i> Pursh	Medicinal: Tłéć' iigahiits'óóz is used to produce a lotion for boils, mixed with other herbs to treat kidney ailments, and ground to produce a dusting powder on sores. It is also an ingredient of a poultice for spider bites.
	Ceremonial: Tłéć' (igahiits'óóz is an ingredient of various medicines in Bead Way, Big Star Way, Red Ant Way, and Blessing Way ceremonies.

Table 6–5 (continued). Navajo Cultural Uses o	of Plant Growing on the Reclaimed Tailing An	эа
and Plume Area at the	e Monument Valley Site	

95

Plant Name*	Cultural Uses ^b
Tl'ochin nilchiin (smelly onion) Wooton sandverbena <i>Tripterocalyx carneus</i> (Greene) Galloway	 Medicinal: Tl'ochin nilchiin is used as part of a medicine to treat internal injuries. It is also made into a tea to treat gallbladder and gallstone problems. Other: Tl'ochin nilchiin was sometimes carried as a good luck charm for protection.
Třoh l igaii (grass white) White goosefoot <i>Chenopodium album</i> L.	Food: Young Tr'oh ligaii were traditionally eaten raw or cooked with other foods. Mature plants were threshed and the seed were ground and either mixed with corn meal or mixed with milk or water to make ash cakes.
Tł'oh łichi'i (red grass) Galleta <i>Hilaria jamesii</i> (Torr.) Benth.	Medicinal: A tea is made from Tr'oh łichi'i and given to babies to help them grow into strong adults.
Tł'oh azihii łibáhígíí (gray rubbing grass) Joint-fir <i>Ephedra torreyana</i> S. Wats.	 Food: Branches of Troh azihii łibáhígíí are roasted and boiled to produce a tea. Medicinal: Troh azihii łibáhígíí is used as a diuretic to treat kidney and bladder problems, venereal disease, and afterbirth pains
Tsá'ázi'ts'óóz (narrow yucca) Narrowleaf yucca <i>Yucca angustissima</i> Engelm	 Medicinal: Roots of Tsá'ázi'ts'óóz are soaked in water and the liquid is strained and given to women having a long labor. Ceremonial: Many parts of the Tsá'ázi'ts'óóz plant are used for ceremonies: a soap made from the roots is used to wash and purify ceremony participants, ceremony equipment is lashed using fiber from the leaves, and juice from heated leaves is a pigment base for paint. Other: Soap from Tsá'ázi'ts'óóz roots is also used to wash wool for
Zéé'iilwo'ii (god's plume) Cheatgrass brome <i>Bromus tectorum</i> L.	 weaving. Various parts of the plant are ingredients in dyes. Medicinal: Zéé'iilwo'ii, a native of Eurasia but now circumboreal, arrived in the Four Corners area in the late Nineteenth Century. It has since been incorporated in several ceremonies: as plumage in the Night Way chant, as a blackening in the Evil Way and Handling Trembling Way, and as a medicine in the Night Way and Plume Way.

^eThe Navajo name and English interpretation, in parentheses, are given first, followed by the English common name. The scientific nomenclature for genera, species, and authorities, given third, is consistent with Voss (1983) and the choices of Welsh et al. (1987). ^bDescriptions of cultural uses are summarized from Mayes and Lacy (1989) and discussions and correspondence with the staff of the Navajo Nation's Historic Preservation Department.

Table 6–6 summarizes estimates of maximum concentrations of COPCs in cultural-use plants. The estimates rely in part on the greenhouse study of contaminant uptake in *Atriplex canescens* (fourwing saltbush). *A. canescens*, as a halophyte (salt tolerant plant), accumulates salts to maintain favorable osmotic potentials and therefore would be expected to have elevated ion concentrations compared to other cultural-use species in the area; *A. canescens* is a conservative proxy for other cultural-use plants at the site. Estimates of maximum plant levels of COPCs given in Table 6–6 are used for a screening assessment of the cultural-use pathway (Section 6.1.6.4).

Table 6–6. Maximum Constituent Levels in the Alluvial Aquifer and Estimated Maximum Levels in Cultural-use Plants Growing over the Plume

COPC*	Max GW Level [⊳] (mg/L)	Max Level Well	Max Plant Level ^e (ppm)	Notes
Manganese	0.21	MON-606	<60.0	The maximum plant level of is inferred from the results of greenhouse tests of Mn uptake in fourwing saltbush (Baumgartner et al. 1996).
Nitrate	1,600	MON-606	<5000	The maximum plant level is inferred from the results of greenhouse tests of NO_3^- uptake in fourwing saltbush (Baumgartner et al. 1996). Tissues levels of NO_3^- were not significantly influenced by levels of NO_3^- in irrigation water.
Strontium	4.95	MON-771	<5.0	The expected plant-to-soil concentration ratio for Sr is less than 1 (Kabata-Pendias and Pendias 1992).
Sulfate	3,540	MON-771	<7000 ^d	The maximum plant tissue level is total S, not sulfate, for fourwing saltbush from the greenhouse study (Baumgartner et al. 1996).
Uranium	0.069	MON-774	<0.3	The maximum crop level is inferred from the literature search and the response function for U uptake in fourwing saltbush derived in the greenhouse (Baumgartner et al. 1996).

The list of COPCs, minus vanadium, is from the human health evaluation, Table 3.8 in DOE (1996b). On-site levels of vanadium did not exceed background level, so it was removed from the COPC list.

^b Maximum ground water level for nitrate is from 1988 to 1993 data (Table 3.7 in DOE 1996b); all others from 1997 data (Table 5–8).

^eMaximum crop concentrations are estimates based on either the literature review or the plant uptake study by Baumgartner et al. (1996).

^dTotal sulfur in crop tissue.

6.1.6.4 Update on Potential Exposure to Cultural-Use Plants

Potential exposures to cultural use plants can not be quantified without better understanding the exposure factors associated with their use (e.g., amount ingested, frequency of use). In this case, specific information from the actual users is needed because literature values or estimates are not available. A review of Table 6–6 indicates anticipated maximum concentrations in cultural-use plants are similar or slightly elevated over levels found in garden produce. Assuming the same route of exposure (ingestion) with the same quantity of ingestion (50 grams per day), this would result in similar or slightly higher risks. Other exposure routes (inhalation and dermal) would likely result in lower risks (although inhalation risk could become important depending on the circumstances [e.g., sweat baths, smoking]). Overall, it is anticipated that cultural uses will result in lower risks than ingestion of contaminated produce.

6.2 Ecological Risk Assessment

The Monument Valley BLRA (DOE 1996b) identified the following data needs to complete evaluations ecological risks associated with contaminated ground water:

lateral extent and magnitude of ground water contamination,

- composition and structure of plant communities growing over the contaminant plume, and
- potential contaminant uptake by plants rooted into ground water or irrigated with ground water.

Data from the 1997 site characterization (Section 4.0) and a plant uptake study conducted for DOE by the University of Arizona's Environmental research Laboratory (Baumgartner et al. 1996) were used to complete evaluations of two ecological exposure pathways:

- Toxicity to native plants rooted into contaminated ground water, and
- Toxicity to crop plants irrigated with contaminated ground water.

The BLRA (DOE 1996b) evaluated the toxicity of ecological COPCs to native plants by comparing concentrations in the Monument Valley plume with published screening-level benchmarks. Of the 14 COPCs considered, benchmark values were available for only 4. Irrigation of forage and garden plants is another hypothetical future use of contaminated ground water and was also incomplete in the BLRA.

Additional analytical results (Section 4.9) and the results of the University of Arizona's plant uptake study (Baumgartner et al. 1996) were used to develop a screening-level assessment of potential crop and range plant toxicity. Results of the 1997 ground water sampling were used to remove chloride, sodium, and vanadium from the list of ecological COPCs; maximum on-site levels of these constituents were lower than maximum background levels. The results of the University of Arizona's plant uptake study, coupled with site-specific data on soils, ground water quality, and agricultural species, help complete the evaluation of possible adverse effects to crop and range plants. Table 6–7 summarizes the phytotoxicity evaluation. Phytotoxic effects are often inferred from biomass productivity responses a range of contaminant concentrations. Overall, no adverse changes are expected in biomass productivity of crop and range plants that access or are irrigated with plume water containing the maximum measured contaminant levels.

Table 6–7. Maximum Levels of Ecological COPCs in the Alluvial Aquifer, Estimated Maximum Levels in Crop and Range Plants, and Screening Benchmark Levels

	Max GW Level ^b	Max Level	Screening Benchmark	
COPCª	(mg/L)	Well	(mg/L) ^c	Notes
Ammonium	360	MON-606		
Calcium	559	MON-771	NA	Although Ca is not phytotoxic, it inhibits or stimulates the absorption of other trace elements (Kabata- Pendias and Pendias 1992).
Iron (unfiltered)	10.2	MÖN-606	10	The screening benchmark is from Will and Suter (1995).
Magnesium	600	MON-771	NA	Mg is not phytotoxic but can either inhibit or stimulate the absorption of other trace elements (Kabata- Pendias and Pendias 1992).
Manganese (unfiltered)	0.21	MON-606	4.0	Will and Suter (1995) suggest 4.0 mg/L as a screening benchmark for Mn; Baumgartner et al. (1996) measured no change in crop or native plant biomass as high as 12.4 mg/L Mn.
Nitrate	1,600	MON-606	2,000	Baumgartner et al. (1996) measured a drop in crop (Sudan grass) and range plant (fourwing saltbush) biomass at GW NO_3^- levels >2,000 mg/L.
Potassium	50.1	MON-771	NA	K is not phytotoxic but it can inhibit absorption of other trace elements (Kabata-Pendias and Pendias 1992).
Strontium	4.95	MON-771	NA	Kabata-Pendias and Pendias (1992) report that no evidence exists suggesting that stable Sr in the biosphere (>1,000 mg/kg is some soils) are phytotoxic.
Sulfate	3,540	MON-771	NA	Sulfur toxicity in plants is caused by atmospheric sulfuric acid deposition and occasionally by acid sulfate soils (Pierzynski et al. 1993). Ground water pH levels indicate that acidity is not a problem.
TDS	5,800	MON-771	NA	
Uranium	0.069	MON774	40.0	The screening benchmark is from Will and Suter (1995).

* The list of COPCs is for constituents that exceeded background concentrations.

^b Maximum ground water levels for ammonium and nitrate are from 1988–1993 data (Table 3.7 in DOE 1996b) or 1997 data. °NA indicates that standard benchmark concentrations are not available or not applicable.

7.0 Ground Water Compliance Strategy

Selection of the final strategy to achieve compliance with the EPA ground water protection standards is governed by the framework defined in the final PEIS for the UMTRA Ground Water Project (DOE 1996c). Stakeholders review and acceptance of the final PEIS is documented and supported by the Record of Decision (DOE 1997). Presented below is a discussion of how the selection process was used to determine the final ground water compliance strategy at the Monument Valley site and a proposed future ground water sampling and analysis plan to monitor compliance and the effectiveness of the selected remedy.

7.1 Compliance Strategy Selection Process

The PEIS framework used to determine the appropriate ground water compliance strategy for the Monument Valley site is summarized in the flow chart provided as Figure 7–1. The framework takes into consideration human health and environmental risk, stakeholder input, and cost. A step-by-step approach is followed until one, or a combination of one or more, of three available compliance strategies is selected. The three compliance strategies are:

- No remediation—Compliance with the EPA ground water protection standards would be met without altering the ground water or cleaning it up in any way. This strategy could be applied at the Monument Valley site for those contaminants at or below MCLs or background levels or for those contaminants above MCLs or background levels that qualify for supplemental standards or ACLs as defined in Section 2.1.1.
- Natural flushing—Allows natural ground water movement and geochemical processes to decrease contaminant concentrations to regulatory limits within a period of 100 years. The natural flushing strategy could be applied at the Monument Valley site if ground water compliance can be achieved within a 100 years or less, where effective monitoring and institutional controls can be maintained, and where the ground water is not and is not projected to be a drinking water source.
- Active ground water remediation—Requires application of engineered ground water remediation methods such as gradient manipulation, ground water extraction, treatment, land application, phytoremediation, and in situ ground water treatment to achieve compliance with the standards.

7.2 Monument Valley Compliance Strategy

DOE is required by the PEIS to follow the ground water compliance selection framework summarized in Figure 7–1 in selecting the appropriate compliance strategy to clean up the ground water aquifers affected by former processing activities at the Monument Valley site. Three aquifers are known to exist at the site. Site-specific characterization data were used in combination with the PEIS flow chart presented in Figure 7–1 to select an appropriate compliance strategy for each aquifer. A discussion of the selected compliance strategy, and how



Figure 7–1. PEIS Compliance Strategy Selection Framework

Site Observational Work Plan for Monument Valley, Arizona Page 7–2

DOE/Grand Junction Office April 1999 the strategy was determined, is presented separately below for the alluvial, Shinarump, and De Chelly aquifer systems. Potential remediation technologies are evaluated in Section 8.0.

7.2.1 Alluvial Aquifer Compliance Strategy

DOE has determined that active remediation and natural flushing of the alluvial aquifer is the appropriate strategy for nitrate, sulfate, and uranium which are identified as COCs (Section 6.0). The proposed ground water compliance strategy is no remediation for ammonium, calcium, iron, magnesium, manganese, potassium, and strontium; constituents that do not pose a potential risk (Section 6.0) and do not exceed an EPA standard (Section 5.3.3.1). An explanation of how the targeted strategy was selected is presented in Table 7–1.

Box (Figure 7–1)	Action or Question	Result or Decision
1	Characterize plume and hydrological conditions.	See conceptual site model presented in Section 5.0. Move to Box 2.
2	Is ground water contamination present in excess of MCLs or background?	Nitrate and uranium exceed the MCL. Other site-related constituents (ammonium, calcium, iron, sulfate, magnesium, manganese, potassium, and strontium) exceed background levels. Move to Box 4.
4	Does contaminated ground water qualify for supplemental standards due to limited use ground water?	Alluvial ground water is not classified as limited use. Move to Box 6.
6	Does contaminated ground water qualify for ACLs based on acceptable human health and environmental risk and other factors?	Ammonium, calcium, iron, magnesium, manganese, potassium, and strontium qualify for ACLs because they are dietary components that are present at levels that fall within nutritional ranges or because they occur at concentrations that do not pose a risk (Section 6.0). Move to Box 7 - no remediation required - for these constituents. Uranium and nitrate occur at concentrations above the MCL. Sulfate does not have an MCL, however concentrations occurs at levels that potentially can cause
		adverse health effects. Move to Box 8 for these constituents.
8	Does contaminated ground water qualify for supplemental standards due to excessive environmental harm from remediation?	Although the applicability has not been formally assessed, it is unlikely that remedial action would cause excessive harm to the environment. Move to Box 10.

Table 7–1. Explanation of the Compliance Strategy Selection Process for the Alluvial Aquifer

Table 7–1 (continued). Explanation of the Compliance Strategy Selection Process for the Alluvial Aquifer

Box (Figure 7–1)	Action or Question	Result or Decision
10	Will natural flushing result in compliance with MCLs, background, or ACLs within 100 years?	Uranium occurs at one isolated location near the former source area at a concentration that only slightly exceeds the MCL. Because the source has been removed and the uranium concentration is near the MCL, natural flushing is expected to reduce uranium to the MCL within 100 years. Move to Box 11.
		Given the relatively high levels and broad distribution of nitrate, and the estimated ground water velocities, active remediation appears to be the only viable compliance strategy to reduce nitrate to the MCL within the most contaminated portion of the plume. Move to Box 14.
		Given the broad distribution of concentrations of sulfate that exceed the proposed treatment goal (Section 6.0, and the estimated ground water velocities, active remediation appears to be the only viable compliance strategy to reduce sulfate to the treatment goal within the most contaminated portion of the plume. Move to Box 14.
11 and 14	Can institutional controls be maintained during the flushing period and is the compliance strategy protective of human health and the environment?	Although the final compliance strategy is protective of human health and the environment, DOE is also considering additional approaches to risk management at the site. One approach is to provide an alternate source of drinking water for residents living near the former mill site, even though the ground water is not currently used for a public water supply. Move to Box 12 - implement natural flushing with active remediation.

7.2.2 Shinarump Aquifer Compliance Strategy

DOE has determined that no remediation strategy is appropriate for the Shinarump aquifer because ground water contamination is not present in excess of MCLs and no COCs were identified (Section 6.0). An explanation of how the targeted strategy was selected is presented in Table 7–2.

Box (Figure 7–1)	Action or Question	Result or Decision	
1	Characterize plume and hydrological conditions.	See conceptual site model presented in Section 5.0. Move to Box 2.	
2	Is ground water contamination present in excess of MCLs or background?	No constituent exceeds an MCL (Section 5.3.3.2). Site-related constituents ammonium, calcium, iron, magnesium, manganese, potassium, sulfate, and zinc occur at concentrations that only slightly exceed background levels. Move to Box 4.	

DOE/Grand Junction Office April 1999 Table 7–2 (continued). Explanation of the Compliance Strategy Selection Process for the Shinarump Aquifer

Box (Figure 7–1)	Action or Question	Result or Decision		
4	Does contaminated ground water qualify for supplemental standards due to limited use ground water?	Shinarump ground water is not classified as limited use. Move to Box 6.		
6	Does contaminated ground water qualify for ACLs based on acceptable human health and environmental risk and other factors?	No COCs are present in the Shinarump aquifer (Section 6.0). Calcium, iron, magnesium, manganese, potassium, sulfate, and zinc qualify for ACLs because they are dietary components that are present at levels that fall within nutritional ranges. Ammonium qualifies because it occurs at a concentration that does not pose risk (Section 6.0). Move to Box 7 - no remediation required.		

7.2.3 De Chelly Aquifer Compliance Strategy

DOE has determined that natural flushing to reduce uranium concentrations below the MCL is the appropriate strategy for the De Chelly aquifer. The proposed ground water compliance strategy is no remediation for magnesium and sulfate; constituents that do not pose a potential risk (Section 6.0). An explanation of how the targeted strategy was selected is presented in Table 7–3.

Table 7–3. Explanation of the Compliance Strategy Selection Process for the De Chelly Aquifer

Box (Figure 7–1)	Action or Question	Result or Decision	
1	Characterize plume and hydrological conditions.	See conceptual site model presented in Section 5.0. Move to Box 2.	
2	Is ground water contamination present in excess of MCLs or background?	Uranium slightly exceeds the MCL, however the area of impact is small, isolated, and the risk of uranium as a carcinogen is within EPA's risk range (Section 6.0). Site-related constituents magnesium and sulfate occur at concentrations that only slightly exceed background levels. Move to Box 4.	
4	Does contaminated ground water qualify for supplemental standards due to limited use ground water?	De Chelly ground water is not classified as limited use. Move to Box 6.	
6	Does contaminated ground water qualify for ACLs based on acceptable human health and environmental risk and other factors?	Magnesium qualifies for an ACL because it is a dietary component that is present at a level that falls within the nutritional range. Sulfate qualifies because it occurs at a concentration that does not pose a risk (Section 6.0). Move to Box 7 - no remediation required . Uranium occurs at one small and isolated location near the former source area at a concentration that only sligh	

Box (Figure 7–1)	Action or Question	Result or Decision	
8	Does contaminated ground water qualify for supplemental standards due to excessive environmental harm from remediation?	Although the applicability has not been formally assessed, it is unlikely that remedial action would cause excessive harm to the environment. Move to Box 10.	
10	Will natural flushing result in compliance with MCLs, background, or ACLs within 100 years?	Uranium occurs at one isolated location near the former source area at a concentration that only slightly exceeds the MCL (Sections 5.3 and 7.4.4). Because the source has been removed and the uranium concentration is near the MCL, natural flushing is expected to reduce uranium to the MCL within 100 years. Move to Box 11.	
11	Can institutional controls be maintained during the flushing period and is the compliance strategy protective of human health and the environment?	Although the areal extent of the uranium is confined within the fenced boundary at the site and the final compliance strategy is protective of human health and the environment, DOE is also considering additional approaches to risk management at the site. One approach is to provide an alternate source of drinking water for residents living near the former mill site, even though the ground water is not currently used for a public water supply. Move to Box 12 - implement natural flushing.	

Table 7–3 (continued). Explanation of the Compliance Strategy Selection Process for the De Chelly Aquifer

7.3 Future Ground Water Monitoring Activities

Monitor well locations, analytes, and sampling frequencies have been reviewed to ensure that data acquired from future ground water monitoring activities are appropriate and adequate to evaluate the effectiveness of the proposed compliance strategy. The proposed monitor well locations that will be sampled are shown in Figure 7–2. Ground water monitoring procedures specified in the *Sampling and Analysis Plan for the UMTRA Ground Water Project* (DOE 1997b) will be followed for sample collection, sample preservation and shipment, analytical procedures, and sample chain-of-custody. The selection rationale for the proposed sample locations, analytical requirements, and sampling frequency are discussed separately below for the surface water and the alluvial and bedrock aquifers.

7.3.1 Monitoring Requirements for the Alluvial Aquifer

Most of the future monitoring efforts will be concentrated on the alluvial aquifer because it is the ground-water system most affected by site-related contamination. A list of 25 proposed alluvial wells to be monitored, and the associated analytical and sampling frequency requirements, are summarized in Table 7–4. Uranium, nitrate, and sulfate are the only COCs present in the alluvial aquifer. Uranium will be monitored at the one location where an isolated uranium occurrence slightly exceeds the MCL. Nitrate, sulfate, and chloride will be monitored at all the proposed sample locations. Chloride is included as an analyte to calculate a sulfate-to-chloride ratio; relatively high values are indicators of site-related sulfate contamination. (See Section 8.1.2.1.)





DOE/Grand Junction Office April 1999 **.** .

· · · · · · ·

•

· · ·

•

:

• •

•

и 19.

Document Number U0018101

Ammonium will be monitored only at selected locations close to the former source areas where relatively high ammonium concentrations are present in the ground water and where phytoremediation of the former source area is planned (Section 8.0). All of the proposed on-site and downgradient monitor wells will be sampled annually. Three background locations will also be sampled concurrent with each routine annual sampling event.

Table 7–4. Summary of Proposed Sample Locations,	Analytical Requirements, a	and Monitoring Frequency
for the Alluvial Aquifer		•

Monitor Well	Monitoring Purpose	Analyte	Frequency
MON–604, –662, –669, –764, –767, and –768	lateral boundary of plume	nitrate, sulfate, chloride	annual
MON-760, -761, and -762	leading edge of plume	nitrate, sulfate, chloride	annual
MON-650	most downgradient location	nitrate, sulfate, chloride	annual
MON–655, –656, –770, –771, –765, and –777	vertical contaminant profile	ammonium, nitrate, sulfate, chloride	annual
MON-606, -772, -774	on and near site	ammonium, nitrate, sulfate, and chloride plus uranium at location MON-774	annual
Group I: MON–200, –400, and –402	natural background	nitrate, sulfate, chloride	biennialª
Group II: MON-403, -602, and -640	natural background	nitrate, sulfate, chloride	biennial ^a

"Group I and II will be alternated with each annual sampling event.

Some downgradient and lateral migration of site-related contaminants may continue until the proposed phytoremediation and active treatment technologies begin to contract the plume. Further expansion and eventual contraction of the plume will be monitored at six monitor well locations established at or near the lateral boundaries of the plume and at three locations established near the leading edge of the plume. The most downgradient location will also be monitored.

Three locations where paired well installations exist will be sampled to monitor the vertical concentration profile within the most contaminated portion of the alluvial plume. Additional or alternate sampling locations to monitor concentration reductions within the plume may be proposed at a later date when extraction wells are installed as part of the active remediation.

Three geochemical types of background water known to exist at the site will be monitored at six upgradient locations. For monitoring purposes, the six locations will be divided into two groups of three upgradient wells, designated as Group I and Group II in Table 7–4. Each group contains one location that is characteristic of the sodium-carbonate type (MON–400 and –602), the sodium-sulfate type (MON–200 and –640), and the calcium-magnesium-carbonate type water (MON–402 and –403). One group of upgradient wells will be selected for inclusion in each annual sampling event.

7.3.2 Monitoring Requirements for Surface Water

Surface water located east of the site along the Cane Valley Wash has not been impacted by the former milling operations (Section 5.3). In addition, it has been demonstrated that the lateral extent of the alluvial ground water plume does not extend cross-gradient to the east of the site under Cane Valley Wash. Continued monitoring of the alluvial ground water plume, as proposed above in Table 7–4, will provide sufficient notice if the contaminant plume begins to migrate under Cane Valley Wash. For these reasons, no additional surface-water sampling will be performed unless the future alluvial ground water monitoring results indicate the alluvial plume is expanding and migrating under Cane Valley Wash.

7.3.3 Monitoring Requirements for the Shinarump Aquifer

Sufficient data have been collected to determine that site-related constituents are not significantly impacting the water quality in the Shinarump aquifer (Section 5.3). No site-related constituents occur at concentrations that exceed a MCL or at concentrations that pose a health risk (Section 6.0). For this reason, it is recommended that no additional ground water monitoring of the Shinarump Member be performed. Existing Shinarump monitor wells should be abandoned.

7.3.4 Monitoring Requirements for the De Chelly Aquifer

Wide spread site-related contamination as a result of the former uranium processing operations is not evident in the De Chelly aquifer (Section 5.3). Uranium is present in a few ground water samples at concentrations that slightly exceed the 0.044 mg/L MCL, however the area of impact is small, isolated, and the concentrations appear to be decreasing with time. The slightly elevated uranium concentrations are associated with former production well MON–619 located in the area of the former old tailings pile. This De Chelly well, which is hydrologically connected to alluvial ground water in the adjacent paleovalley, was used to supply water for the milling operation. Pumping the well actively drew uranium contamination from the alluvium into the De Chelly. Uranium concentrations have declined significantly in ground water monitoring samples collected from MON–619 since the well was pumped during an aquifer test in 1993. Further decreases are expected since well 619 is no longer used as a production well and dedicated lowflow bladder pumps have been installed for water quality sampling purposes.

Future ground water monitoring will include MON-619 and three other De Chelly monitor wells located in the vicinity of the former old tailings pile. These locations are shown in Figure 7-2. The analytical and sampling frequency requirements are listed in Table 7-5. Uranium will be monitored at all the proposed sample locations on an annual basis for a period of 5 consecutive years. If at the end of the 5-year period of natural flaushing the uranium concentrations are not trending lower, an alternate remediation strategy will be applied as per the PEIS. If, however, the uranium concentrations decrease below the UMTRA standard, then an additional 3-years of monitoring will be conducted to verify that the concentrations remain low before the wells are abandoned.

 Table 7–5. Summary of Proposed Sample Locations, Analytical Requirements, and Monitoring

 Frequency for the De Chelly Aquifer

Monitor Well	Monitoring Purpose	Analyte	Frequency	
MON-619	location of point-source of uranium in De Chelly	uranium	annual	
MON-776	upgradient of point-source	uranium	annual	
MON-657	leading edge of uranium >MCL	uranium	annual	
MON-775	downgradient of leading edge	uranium	annual	

This page intentionally blank

8.0 Development and Evaluation of Active Remediation Alternatives

As presented in Section 7.0, active remediation is the selected alluvial ground water compliance strategy for the Monument Valley site. The purpose of this section is to develop and evaluate different active remediation alternatives and recommend an alternative for remediation of the alluvial aquifer.

Section 8.1 gives an overview of the process used to evaluate and screen technologies and alternatives, including a detailed explanation of the evaluation criteria. Section 8.2 develops a list of potential technologies that could be used for remediation of the site, evaluates the technologies, and screens out technologies that are not feasible. Section 8.3 lists technologies that passed the initial screening, combines the technologies into alternatives, and evaluates the alternatives. The proposed alternative for active remediation is presented in Section 8.4 along with a discussion of how the proposed method may be deployed and uncertainties and limitations of the proposed alternative.

8.1 Process for Development and Evaluation of Technologies and Alternatives

This section gives an overview of the process used to arrive at a proposed alternative for remediation of contaminated alluvial ground water at the Monument Valley site. It also includes a description of the criteria used to evaluate technologies and alternatives.

8.1.1 Overview of the Process

The process used to select a proposed alternative for remediation of the alluvial aquifer is to:

- Develop, evaluate, and screen technologies that could be used for remediation of the site.
- Combine the technologies into alternatives and evaluate the alternatives.
- Select an alternative as a proposed method to remediate the site.

A number of technologies were considered for remediation of the site. Technologies considered could be used for extraction of ground water, disposal of ground water, or treatment of ground water. The initial screening of technologies, generally qualitative in nature, considered whether the particular technology was appropriate for use at Monument Valley, given the types, quantities, and locations of the contaminated water, and the concentrations of contaminants at the site. This initial screening did not consider cost or implementability except in the most general sense. The technologies that were considered appropriate for detailed review, based on the initial screening, were then combined into alternatives for extraction, treatment, and disposal.

The next step in the process was the evaluation of the alternatives to determine the preferred alternatives for extraction, treatment, and disposal. The evaluation of alternatives used the same criteria as the evaluation of technologies (i.e., effectiveness, implementability, and cost) but was done in more detail and included a detailed cost estimate for each alternative. The final step in
the evaluation of alternatives was to do a comparative analysis of the alternatives considering the evaluation criteria.

The last part of the process was to propose an alternative for remediation of the site. Discussion of the proposed alternative includes a potential deployment schedule describing the phases of the remediation and limitations of the proposed approach.

8.1.2 Evaluation Criteria

Each remediation alternative was evaluated for its effectiveness, implementability, and cost. The proposed alternative is the one that represents the best mix of all three criteria. The evaluation criteria were developed from standard engineering practice for assessing the feasibility of any large-scale project. A detailed discussion of each evaluation criterion is provided in the following sections.

8.1.2.1 Effectiveness

The effectiveness evaluation criterion considers a number of factors, which include

- Remediation time frame.
- Conformance with aquifer restoration standards and goals.
- Short-term effects (i.e., effects of remediation on workers, the community, and the environment).
- Disposal of treatment residuals.

Remediation Time Frame

The remediation time frame is largely dependent on how quickly contaminated ground water is removed from the alluvial aquifer. Therefore, extraction technologies and alternatives will have the most influence on the remediation time frame. Shorter remediation time frames generally correspond to higher extraction rates. DOE has established 20 years as a goal for remediation of the alluvial aquifer, and extraction and disposal alternatives were developed considering this goal.

Conformance with Aquifer-Restoration Standards and Goals

The general requirements for contaminant levels in the ground water at UMTRA sites are specified as MCL's in 40 CFR 192.04, Table 1. The only constituents in the ground water at the Monument Valley site that exceed MCL's are nitrate and uranium. The regulation does not specify ground water restoration standards for other contaminants of concern (COCs) that exceed background concentrations.

Sulfate is the only COC that exceeds background concentrations but does not have an MCL. The Navajo Nation's proposed cleanup standard for sulfate is 250 mg/L. DOE has agreed to treat sulfate in the contaminant plume to 250 mg/L, or to background concentration, whichever is greater.

The plume boundary shown in Figure 5–40 represents the area having a sulfate-to-chloride ratio of 10 or greater. There is significant similarity between the sulfate-to-chloride "plume" in Figure 5–40 and the nitrate plume shown on Figure 5–23a. Since nitrate in the Monument Valley ground water is almost exclusively due to milling activities (the median nitrate concentration in the background water is 4 mg/L), this indicates that a sulfate-to-chloride ratio greater than 10 is also a good indication of ground water contamination resulting from milling activities.

Although the sulfate-to-chloride ratio is a useful indication of contamination, it is not by itself sufficient to indicate a location of contamination requiring remediation. For example, Well 760, based on the 1997 data (Figure 5–24a) had a sulfate-to-chloride ratio of 11.0 and a sulfate concentration of 126 mg/L. The sulfate-to-chloride ratio suggests that the sulfate level is elevated due to milling activities, but the sulfate concentration is already below the Navajo Nation sulfate standard, therefore additional remediation is not warranted. Conversely, the sulfate concentration at upgradient Well 640 was 668 mg/L, but the sulfate-to-chloride ratio was 5.9, which suggests that the high sulfate level in that area is natural and not a result of milling activities. Thus, neither sulfate concentration nor sulfate-to-chloride ratio is sufficient in itself to indicate an area of contamination. The proposed sulfate treatment goal for Monument Valley will incorporate both criteria. The treatment goal will be achieved when the sulfate concentration is less than 250 mg/L or the sulfate-to-chloride ratio is less than 10.0.

The proposed area of remediation is shown in Figure 8–1 which combines the 44 mg/L nitrate plume (Figure 5–23a), the sulfate concentrations exceeding 250 mg/L (Figure 5–24a), and the distribution of sulfate-to-chloride ratios greater than 10 (Figure 5–40). The area where the 250 mg/L sulfate concentrations and the sulfate-to-chloride ratio plume overlap has both a sulfate concentration greater than 250 mg/L and a sulfate-to-chloride ratio greater than 10. This "overlap plume" represents the area in which sulfate remediation would be required. The nitrate plume is also illustrated in Figure 8–1. The similarity of the nitrate plume and the overlap plume is apparent. The nitrate plume covers the larger area overall, and the overlap plume extends beyond the boundary of the nitrate plume in only a small area on the north edge. Thus, adoption of the proposed sulfate treatment goal will not result in a significant expansion of the volume of water to be treated beyond what is required for treatment of the nitrate plume alone.

The Monument Valley aquifer-restoration standards (requirements of 40 CFR 192) and aquifer-restoration goals (cleanup standards not required by 40 CFR 192) for the COCs in the alluvial aquifer are listed below. Extraction, disposal, and treatment technologies and alternatives were evaluated on whether they could meet these standards.

Development and Evaluation of Active Remediation Alternatives

Aquifer restoration standards (required by 40 CFR 192):

- Nitrate $10 \text{ mg/L as N} (44 \text{ mg/L as NO}_3)$
- Uranium 30 pCi/L combined U-234 and U-238 (0.044 mg/L assuming secular equilibrium)

Aquifer restoration goals (not required by 40 CFR 192)

Sulfate		250 mg/L	
OR			
	0.10 / 11.11	10.0	

Sulfate-to-chloride 10.0

Short-Term Effects

Short-term effects are a consideration of the potential effects to the community, workers, and the environment. The Monument Valley site is remote. Dinnehotso, Arizona, approximately 6.5 air miles away, with a population of 616, is the only community within 10 miles of the site, although the site and town are physically separated by the formidable barrier of Comb Ridge. Mexican Hat, Utah is 15 miles north of the site; Mexican Water, Arizona, is 14 miles to the east; and the town of Kayenta, Arizona, is about 24 air miles to the southwest. The land surrounding the site remains open and undeveloped. Thus, the community near the site is defined as the scattered farms, camps, and residences, and the temporary and permanent inhabitants of these areas and structures. The nearest residence is located within 1 mile of the site. Given the nature of the contaminants and the treatment processes being considered, it is unlikely that persons living in the area would be affected by the operation of the treatment facility.

The nearest highway is State Highway 160, which passes within about 6.5 miles to the south of the site adjacent to the hamlet of Dinnehotso. Users of the state highway cannot reasonably be considered as members of the community.

Evaluating the effects to workers entails considering the risks to persons employed to construct the treatment system and to those employed to operate and maintain the system during its operational life, as well as persons supporting the remedial action, such as samplers and equipment operators disposing of treatment residuals.

The evaluation of short-term effects also considers environmental effects. Environmental effects include potential environmental harm caused by deployment of a technology or alternative and whether the potential harm of remediation outweighs the benefits to be derived from restoration of the alluvial aquifer.

Disposal of Treatment Residuals

Active treatment processes, as well as many more passive systems such as evaporation, produce a significant amount of residual waste. This may include dissolved solids from the ground water, as well as the residuals from any other chemicals that may have been added during the treatment





process (e.g., antiscalants or softening agents). These residuals must be contained during the remediation process, and disposed of either during or at the end of remediation. The need to keep residuals contained during remediation, to minimize their volume, and to dispose of them as needed, may become a significant cost over the lifetime of the remediation process.

8.1.2.2 Implementability

Implementability is an assessment of the feasibility of building, operating, and maintaining a remediation system.

The following aspects of feasibility will be discussed in this SOWP:

- Ease of construction.
- Ease of operation and maintenance.
- Expected reliability.
- Ability to handle changes in influent composition.
- Ability to handle increases in extraction capacity.

Construction

The Monument Valley site is remote, and skilled construction labor may be limited in the immediate vicinity. Thus, treatment systems which are easier to construct are preferred. Treatment systems which might seem to be very difficult to construct may be relatively simple due to the fact that in many cases "off-the-shelf" treatment systems are used, and construction at the site consists largely of constructing influent and effluent piping and supplying electricity. A plant-farming system, on the other hand, requires construction of an extensive and elaborate water-distribution system which will cover many acres. While the construction of such a system does not require a high degree of technical skill, it does require more labor.

Consideration of construction also requires examining the uncertainty associated with construction, such as the potential for schedule delays caused by technical problems.

Expected Reliability

Reliability is defined as the probability that a system will meet required performance standards. This includes both the physical reliability of the equipment comprising the system, and the process reliability, which considers the potential for variability in process performance both on a day-to-day and on a year-to-year basis. Evaluation of the potential reliability of a treatment system must consider the technical and operational complexity and required level of training for operators.

Ability to Handle Changes in Influent Composition

The concentrations of contaminants in the alluvial aquifer are expected to decrease gradually as remediation progresses. Particularly during the early years of remediation, the composition of the feed to the treatment system may vary significantly depending on which wells are in operation at any given time, and on whether currently unknown "hot spots" are uncovered as remediation progresses. Some technologies are better suited to handle such variations than others, and this ability will be considered in evaluating whether specific technologies are suited for use at the Monument Valley site.

Ability to Handle Increases in Extraction Capacity

The volume of the contaminated plume in this SOWP is an estimate based on sampling from a number of wells at the site. The likelihood that the actual volume of the contaminant plume will eventually be found to be significantly higher than the present estimate is not considered high, but the possibility must be allowed for. Another possibility is that additional regulatory or other drivers may also emerge, during the time between the start and the completion of remediation, that will cause the timetable for completion of remediation to be accelerated beyond the current goal of 20 years. In either event, it might become necessary to increase the extraction capacity of the system to handle more water than is currently planned. The ability of a remediation system to handle such increases, and the incremental cost involved in doing so, must be considered in evaluating whether specific technologies are suited for use at the Monument Valley site.

Cost

During the initial screening of technologies, the potential cost of individual technologies is not considered. Cost estimates for extraction, treatment, and disposal processes which pass the initial screening process have been developed in some detail. Capital costs (both direct and indirect) and operating and maintenance (O&M) costs were calculated for each process. The accuracy of the cost estimates for evaluation of the alternatives is defined to a level of accuracy of +50 percent to -30 percent.

In evaluating cost, the most important consideration is not the direct capital cost (although the realities of project funding mean that capital cost cannot be totally disregarded), but rather the total cost of treatment over the life of the project. These costs were determined by combining the initial capital cost for the treatment system with the estimated O&M costs over the project duration, using a net present worth analysis. By discounting all costs to a common base year, the costs for expenditures in different years can be compared on the basis of a single figure (i.e., the net present worth). Guidance issued by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) was used to calculate net present worth. The guidance recommends using a real interest rate (i.e., a rate that does not consider inflation) to discount out-year costs that have not been adjusted for inflation.

Where possible, direct capital costs are developed from invoice costs of similar systems. If that information is not available, generic unit costs, vendor information, and conventional

cost-estimating guides have been used. O&M costs are based on labor costs, energy costs, material and equipment costs, and maintenance costs.

8.2 Evaluation of Technologies

8.2.1 Technologies Considered for Remediation

During the alternatives evaluation process for the Tuba City site, which will be remediated in parallel with the Monument Valley site, technologies for ground water extraction, effluent discharge, and treatment were evaluated for remediation of the Tuba City site. This process is described in detail in Section 8.2.1 of the Final SOWP for the Tuba City site (DOE 1998). Where applicable, the "lessons learned" during the alternatives evaluation process for the Tuba City site were also applied to the Monument Valley site.

The chemical composition of the contaminant plume at Monument Valley is different from that at Tuba City. In most cases, the plume concentrations at Monument Valley are significantly lower than the concentrations of the same contaminants in the plume at Tuba City. Thus, the screening process for treatment technologies at Monument Valley did involve giving a "second look" at processes which were deemed unsuitable for Tuba City during the initial screening process for that site, such as native plant farming.

8.2.2 Extraction Technologies

Because of its depth, the most downgradient extent of the ground water at the Monument Valley site can only be withdrawn effectively through a well. Two types of extraction-well systems were considered: Conventional vertical wells and horizontal wells.

8.2.2.1 Conventional Vertical Wells

Vertical wells are the most commonly used ground water extraction devices, so the bulk of field experience and knowledge relates to conventional vertical wells. Installation of vertical wells is relatively straightforward in most cases, and, when combined with proper well design, construction, and development, vertical wells may provide acceptable yields. Vertical extraction wells can be readily converted to injection wells as needed, or vice versa, and can also be easily decommissioned when necessary. Finally, the theoretical performance of a vertical well can be simulated analytically or numerically during the design process using readily available and accepted mathematical formulations, while no comparable knowledge base exists for other technologies. Thus, vertical wells were recommended for detailed evaluation.

8.2.2.2 Horizontal Wells

Detailed evaluation of horizontal wells indicated that the technology could produce unprecedented difficulties due to the flowing (unconsolidated) sands encountered during the field investigations. Additional concerns with this technology arise because the long lengths of well screen that are required increases the difficulties of well completion and development. Also, as the aquifer cleanup proceeds, few options are available for sealing off the restored parts of the alluvial aquifer. Because of these risks, horizontal wells were not recommended for further evaluation.

Therefore, conventional vertical wells were the only extraction process deemed viable for the Monument Valley extraction system.

8.2.3 Effluent Discharge Technologies

This section describes the various ways in which effluent from the treatment plant can be discharged. Discharge options that do not involve injection include native plant farming, evaporation, and discharge to surface water; these options result in a loss to the aquifer of origin. The injection scenarios, in which the effluent is returned to the aquifer of origin, are also investigated.

8.2.3.1 Native Plant Farming

Native plant farming treats the extracted ground water by using the nitrate in the water as a fertilizer for a field of native plant species, which then discharge the water by ET. The details of native plant farming as a treatment option are discussed in Section 8.2.4.

Native plant farming is a no-injection option with a seasonal and cyclic demand. The maximum withdrawal rate is set by the requirements of the irrigation system (see Section 8.2.4) to a maximum rate of about 257 gpm (seasonal) for about 23 years. Preliminary estimates suggest that the aquifer can sustain this extraction rate, but this must be confirmed through detailed numerical modeling of the well field during the design phase to verify sustainable extraction rates.

8.2.3.2 Evaporation

Evaporation treats extracted ground water by allowing the water to evaporate under conditions in which the nonvolatile contaminants are contained and allowed to concentrate for later disposal. The advantages and disadvantages of evaporation as a treatment option are discussed in Section 8.2.4. The hydrologic effects of evaporation are similar to those of native plant farming (see above), except that since water may be fed to an evaporation pond year-round (even though the evaporation process will not be particularly effective during the winter), the limitation on maximum withdrawal rate depends only on the recharge ability of the alluvial aquifer. Treatment of two pore volumes of the contaminant plume in a 20-year period would require a continuous extraction rate of 103 gpm.

8.2.3.3 Discharge to Surface Water

Under this option the extracted and treated ground water would be discharged to Cane Valley Wash at a rate of about 103 gpm or about 166 acre-feet per year. Cane Valley Wash is ephemeral and intermittent in the vicinity of the site, and the discharge from the treatment system would be absorbed relatively rapidly except in periods of flash flooding. After the remediation period, natural discharge to Cane Valley Wash from the pumped region would be less than what it is today until water levels recovered to the pre-pumping condition.

8.2.3.4 Injection Wells

With this option, injection wells would be used to conduct the treated effluent directly back into the alluvial aquifer. Injection would control migration of the plume, promote rinsing of the solid matrix, preserve the ground water resource, and improve yields in the withdrawal wells. Injection wells would be designed in accordance with specifications attributed to recovery wells, and considerable care would be required for all aspects of well completion. With injection wells, the suspended sediment concentration in particular would need to be very low to help prevent clogging. Other factors to consider with injection wells are the consequences of air entrainment and the entrance velocities for the treated effluent (Driscoll 1987). The entrance velocity for injection wells should not exceed 0.05 ft per second.

Injection wells could be deployed along the downgradient portion of the plume to control its migration, and within the body of the plume to enhance flushing. The benefit of using injection wells is that the treated ground water is returned to the same aquifer from which it was extracted and the ground water resource is conserved to the maximum extent practical.

8.2.3.5 Effluent Discharge Technologies Recommended for Detailed Evaluation

Technologies that do not rely on injection include evaporation, native plant farming, and discharge to surface water. These technologies are limited in their effectiveness because, without injection, the contaminated part of the alluvial aquifer will be limited in the amount of water it can deliver. If no injection is used, the only method to achieve greater drawdown is by adding more wells, increasing the capital and operating costs of the system. Evaporation and native plant farming are potential treatment technologies that are discussed in Section 8.2.4. Discharge of treated water to surface water, although technically possible, is not an appropriate use of an expensive resource since there is no flowing stream in the area, so much of the treated water would merely evaporate or be taken up in vadose soils.

The use of injection wells inside the plume area boosts the pumping rate that can be realized. Injection into the plume surcharges the hydraulic heads in the pumping zone and allows a higher rate of extraction. The greater extraction rates that stem from injection into the plume can therefore accelerate the ground water restoration. Injection well design incorporates many of the same considerations that apply to vertical pumping wells. These design considerations are addressed in Section 8.2.2.

8.2.4 Treatment Technologies

Many treatment processes were identified as potentially applicable for cleaning up the contaminated ground water at the Monument Valley site. The processes can be categorized as follows:

• Phytoremediation.

- Native plant farming (land/plant treatment process).
- Evaporation systems.
- Distillation systems.
- Through-medium processes such as continuous ion exchange.
- Biological processes.
- Chemical treatment processes.
- Membrane separation processes, including reverse osmosis and nanofiltration.

This Section will review the potential applicability of these treatment processes and will eliminate those which are obviously unsuitable. The processes which are not eliminated in this first screening will be evaluated in greater detail in Section 8.4.

8.2.4.1 Phytoremediation and Native Plant Farming

Phytoremediation and native plant farming are both types of phytoremediation processes, which rely on the natural affinity of plants for nitrates and other nitrogen species. Nitrate and ammonia in the water are taken up by the plant roots and assimilated into plant tissues. Nitrate is then reduced in the leaves and roots of the plant to ammonia or ammonium ion, which in turn is converted to amino acids. Amino acids are the building blocks for complex nitrogenous compounds, which are essential for maintenance and growth of plant cells.

In this document, "phytoremediation" will be used to refer to passive systems which depend solely on the action of plant root systems in the treatment of shallow contamination zones. "Native plant farming" will refer to systems which rely on extraction wells to draw water from deeper parts of the alluvial aquifer to the surface, where it is used in a slow-rate infiltration system to irrigate tolerant indigenous plants.

The phytoremediation and native plant farming systems proposed for the Monument Valley remedial action consists of a combination of passive phytoremediation and active native plant farming. The phytoremediation process will be used for treatment of the ammonia-contaminated soils in and around the former location of the tailings piles. The principal species that will be used for phytoremediation process of the subsurface ammonia-contaminated soils at Monument Valley is fourwing saltbush, *atriplex canescens*, which is a halophyte, or salt-tolerant plant.

Ammonium in subpile soils is not a ground water contaminant of concern (COC). However, DOE recognizes that subpile ammonium may constitute a continuing source of ground water nitrate, which is a COC. The Monument Valley remediation program will include a surface revegetation program to accelerate the removal of subpile soil ammonium.

Document Number U0018101

Phytoremediation can also be used for treatment of the uppermost, or shallowest, portion of the aquifer. In this mode, roots of phreatophyte plants extending down into the upper portion of the water table will draw contaminated water without the need for irrigation. (An irrigation system might be required for the first one or two growing seasons to allow the plants to establish themselves. But once the roots have extended into the aquifer, irrigation would no longer be required and the system could be shut down.) The principal phreatophyte species that will be used for aquifer phytoremediation at Monument Valley is black greasewood, *sarcobatus vermiculatus*.

The native plant-farming system would be used for treatment of the deeper sections of the plume, by pumping the water from the alluvial aquifer to the surface where it can be used for irrigation. Such a system would use drip, sprinkler, or ridge-and-furrow systems for distributing the extracted ground water. Application of contaminated water is made throughout the growing season. During the winter months when no growing takes place, the extraction system would be taken out of service. The principal species that would be used for native plant farming at Monument Valley is fourwing saltbush, *atriplex canescens*.

Fourwing saltbush has a high affinity for salts in general, so a significant portion of the sulfates and other non-nutritive dissolved solids will accumulate in the plant tissues. Dissolved solids that are not taken up by saltbush will build up in the soil. The ability of the soil to tolerate high loading of sulfate and other dissolved solids is uncertain, though preliminary data suggest that the drainage properties of the soil at the Monument Valley site are such that salts will not tend to crust on or at the soil surface to an appreciable extent. The ability to maintain sufficient control of the application rate to avoid deep percolation of contaminated water also is uncertain, and monitoring for deep percolation discharges from a land treatment system may be difficult.

The treatment residual which is produced by the native plant-farming treatment system is the plant material that is harvested for use as feedstock. As long as this material is considered to be a useful feedstock by area residents, the native plant-farming system will produce little or nothing in the way of wastes or residuals which must be disposed of as waste material. If the area residents reject any or all of the plant material, an alternative use will have to be found for it, or else it will have to be disposed of as a waste. Because of its considerable bulk, the cost of disposing of this material as waste could be significant. Cost estimates for the native plant-farming treatment system will assume that all of the plant material will be used as livestock feed throughout the duration of the project. But this important aspect of the system's viability is subject to a certain degree of uncertainty that is outside the control of the remediation program.

The nominal time frame for completion of remediation at Monument Valley is 20 years. The area available for native plant farming is 28 hectares or 69 acres. The planted area, based on plantings 3 m apart with a plant canopy of 1 m, is one-third of the total area or 9.33 hectares. The estimated annual ET for the fourwing saltbush crop is 1.97 cubic meters per year per square meter of area, for a total estimated maximum annual irrigation rate for high productivity of 48.5 million gal. Remediation of 1.08 billion gal using native plant farming, then, will require 22.2 years, which has been rounded off in this document as 23 years. This is slightly higher than the nominal goal of 20 years but is still acceptable, particularly considering that this calculation

makes no allowance for the possible contribution of a passive phytoremediation system for the shallow portion of the contaminant plume, and is therefore conservative.

The maximum irrigation rate at the Monument Valley site is approximately 15 liters per square meter of area per day. For the active irrigation area of 9.33 hectares, this gives a peak irrigation rate of 616 gpm, which is clearly too high a flow rate for the aquifer to sustain. Since the irrigation system operates for only about 10 hours per day even at peak demand, the actual rate can be reduced using a holding tank which will be filled during the hours that the irrigation system is out of service. Using such a holding tank, which must have a capacity of about 300,000 gal, reduces the peak demand on the extraction system to 257 gpm.

A system combining phytoremediation for ammonia-contaminated soil and for the shallow portion of the alluvial aquifer, and native plant farming for cleanup of the deeper parts of the alluvial aquifer, will be considered as a treatment alternative. Some issues remain to be resolved, however, before the phytoremediation/native plant-farming system could confidently be implemented as the sole treatment process at Monument Valley. These issues or areas of uncertainty include:

- The relative inefficiency of an extraction system that does not include any injection to the aquifer.
- Uncertainty as to the rate at which contaminated water can be absorbed and nitrate can be uptaken by the combination of species chosen for the phytoremediation/native plant-farming system. The estimates given in this SOWP are based on literature values for similar species.
- Uncertainty as to the effect on the soil of greatly increased concentration of non-nitrogenbearing species (e.g., sulfate) which could build up in the soil as a result of the irrigation process. The soil in this area is sandy and free-draining, so this buildup is not expected to be a problem, but the potential certainly exists given the high concentration of sulfate in the ground water.
- Uncertainty as to the effect on the alluvial aquifer of the loss of a volume of over 1 billion gal, since the native plant-farming system will not allow for any recharge of the aquifer.
- Uncertainty as to the effect on the alluvial aquifer, and on the contamination zones, of the cyclic nature of the extraction process, since the extraction system will have to be shut off during the winter months.
- Seasonal variability in the required irrigation rate. Due to high ET rates during the peak summer months at the Monument Valley site, the maximum irrigation rate will vary considerably from month to month, which introduces additional complications for the extraction system. The actual area which can be planted for the native plant-farming system, and thus the time required for remediation using the native plant-farming system, will likely be limited by the maximum practical extraction rate.

None of these factors rules out exclusive use of a combination of phytoremediation and native plant farming as the treatment system for the Monument Valley site, although they must be considered before exclusive implementation of such a system is undertaken. Detailed consideration of all factors is the function of the alternatives evaluation process.

8.2.4.2 Evaporation Systems

Solar evaporation, which consists of putting the water into large lined or unlined outdoor ponds at influent rates that match the rate of natural evaporation, is an established method for reducing the volume of contaminated surface or ground water, that does not contain volatile hazardous compounds, in arid and semiarid regions of the United States. Nonvolatile contaminants such as nitrates, sulfates, uranium, and other components of TDS will not evaporate, and instead will concentrate as a sludge that must be periodically removed for disposal. Solar evaporation systems are constrained by climatic effects, notably temperature (solar radiation), humidity, and wind.

Pan evaporation rates at the Mexican Hat weather station, which is the station closest to the Monument Valley site, average about 84 in./year, and exceed 10 in. per month from May through August. Precipitation at Monument Valley averages about 8 in./year. Evaporation rates exceed precipitation rates for all months except January. Thus, an evaporation system at Monument Valley would be expected to be very effective for most of the year. The surface area required to achieve complete evaporation could be considerable, however, preliminary calculations suggest that solar evaporation of a constant flow of 103 gpm would require a solar evaporation pond having an area of over 24 acres.

The effectiveness of solar evaporation systems can be enhanced by adding spray systems in which water is sprayed as a fine mist into the air above the solar pond. The fine mist droplets evaporate much more readily than does the bulk water at the pond surface. Use of a spray system can substantially reduce the size of the pond required. For instance, addition of a spray system could reduce the size of the evaporation pond for the Monument Valley site from 24 acres required for a simple solar evaporation pond to about two acres for a spray pond. However, addition of a spray system considerably increases the complexity of the system and requires more maintenance and operator attention than simple solar evaporation.

In general terms, evaporation is a very low-cost way to remediate large amounts of contaminated water in arid climates. However, an evaporation system would exacerbate any deleterious effects associated with treatment systems which do not recharge the alluvial aquifer. Also, evaporation results in a loss to the aquifer of all the contaminated ground water, without returning any value (such as the crops which would be produced in a native plant-farming system) in exchange. Therefore, evaporation was not selected for detailed evaluation as a treatment alternative.

8.2.4.3 Distillation Systems

In a simple distillation process, water is vaporized by heating it to its boiling point. The water vapors are then condensed and recovered as clean water. Nonvolatile contaminants such as nitrates, sulfates, uranium, and other components of TDS will not evaporate and will be left

behind in the evaporation chamber, where they will concentrate and must be bled off (removed at a slow rate) periodically. The condensed water can be injected into the alluvial aquifer, as described in Section 8.2.3.4. The concentrate, or brine, may be taken off site for disposal; alternately, it may be evaporated to dryness in a small solar pond or in another process (such as a brine crystallizer), and the residue can then be disposed of as a solid.

Distillation is one of the most expensive treatment technologies to implement, because of the significant capital costs of distillation systems. Historically, distillation has also been relatively expensive to operate because of the high energy requirement to boil large quantities of water. However, distillation does recover almost all of the water for injection into the aquifer and the product water is of very high quality. The treated water produced by treatment of the ground water at Monument Valley using a simple distillation process will contain virtually no dissolved or suspended solids. Since the Monument Valley ground water does not contain volatile contaminants, the condensate from a distillation system will exceed the project standards and goals by orders of magnitude.

Energy requirements for distillation units can be greatly reduced by the use of "vapor recompression," in which the heat that is given off by the condensation of the water vapor is recovered in a fan or compressor and used to preheat the feed water.. Evaporation of water using a standard boiler with no energy recovery requires almost 2,400 kW-hr of electricity per 1,000 gal of water evaporated. Commercial vapor recompression distillation systems can process 1,000 gal of water while consuming as little as 35 kW-hr. This low energy consumption makes distillation more nearly economically competitive with other treatment processes.

Distillation has already been chosen as the primary treatment technology at the Tuba City site and has been demonstrated at that site in a pilot study conducted in the fall of 1998. The knowledge gained during that study, which confirmed the applicability of distillation to cleanup of UMTRA ground waters, could be applied directly to the Monument Valley site. For this reason, and because of the high quality of the treated water produced by the distillation process, distillation was selected for detailed evaluation as a treatment alternative.

8.2.4.4 Through-Medium Processes

In a through-medium process, a flow stream is passed through a column or reactor containing an insoluble adsorptive or exchange medium. A through-medium process can be used to remove uranium before biological treatment or native plant farming. Synthetic ion exchange resins, which are manufactured to have high affinities for certain types of ions, are widely used in through-medium processes for removal of uranium and many other dissolved ionic contaminants.

Conventional ion exchange processes are generally impractical for liquids having dissolved solids loadings higher than about 1,500 mg/L, due to high elutriation rates at higher solids levels. The TDS level in the Monument Valley alluvial aquifer will average about 1,500 mg/L and may be several times this amount in "hot spots." A conventional ion exchange unit treating the Monument Valley ground water would require regeneration approximately every 30 to 50 bed volumes, and the regeneration would produce approximately 5 bed volumes of waste liquid with high salt content. Thus, the on-stream time would be poor, due to the need for frequent

regeneration; chemical consumption would be high; and the volume of regenerant liquid would be at least 10 percent of the total feed. Thus, conventional ion exchange processes appear to be a poor choice as a remediation technology for Monument Valley.

State-of-the-art continuous ion exchange processes could be applicable to Monument Valley. The best of these continuous systems offer greatly reduced waste streams, averaging as low as 2 percent of the total water treated, with very low utility requirements and minimal chemical consumption. Bench-scale testing conducted during the summer and fall of 1998 indicated that a continuous ion exchange process could be competitive with other processes such as distillation and reverse osmosis (RO) for treatment of nitrate-contaminated water. However, DOE's agreement to treat the Monument Valley ground water for sulfate significantly impacts the viability of any ion-exchange process. Vendor information suggests that treating for sulfate would require additional treatment modules and a significant increase in operating costs and waste generation over what would be required for nitrate removal alone. The advantage that such a system might offer over other processes, e.g., distillation, for treatment of nitrate-contaminated waters does not appear to remain viable for treatment of sulfate. The technology proposed, while technically intriguing, is unproven, and would probably provide treated water which would be of marginal quality at best, meeting or slightly exceeding the aquifer restoration standards, at a cost at least comparable to that of distillation which produces a much better quality treated water. Thus, continuous ion exchange was not chosen for detailed evaluation as a treatment alternative.

8.2.4.5 Biological Processes

Biological processes use bacteria to convert hazardous compounds to other forms which are less hazardous or more amenable to disposal. This may either be done in situ by injecting the bacteria and/or the carbon nutrient source into the aquifer, or ex situ by pumping the water into an aboveground treatment pond or reactor. This section will deal with ex-situ processes. In-situ biological processes were reviewed as part of the ITRD process and were rejected for further consideration in the UMTRA Ground Water Project

Nitrate, the principal regulated COC in the Monument Valley alluvial aquifer, is amenable to treatment with biological processes. Biological denitrification can eventually reduce nitrate levels in water to less than the MCL or to background levels. The primary byproduct of denitrification is nitrogen gas (N_2) , along with small amounts of nitrous oxide (N_2O) . Because nitrogen gas is relatively inert, denitrification generates a treatment residual that does not require handling and disposal, and it has no significant effect on the environment.

Denitrification may be done either in a pond, or in a biological reactor or series of reactors. Use of a pond will not be practical at the Monument Valley site, because the denitrification reaction loses effectiveness when the water temperature drops below about 50 °F. A pond-based denitrification process at Monument Valley could operate only seasonally, since it would be impractical to maintain the temperature of a large outdoor pond at 50 °F during the winter months. The treated water would be suitable for injection, but would only be available seasonally and would require pretreatment to remove residual organics before it would be suitable for injection. Therefore, at Monument Valley the biological denitrification process is best suited for indoor reactors, rather than an outdoor pond.

Development and Evaluation of Active Remediation Alternatives

The average sulfate concentration in the Monument Valley ground water is about 755 mg/L, which is above the proposed treatment goal of 250 mg/L. Bacteria which have an affinity for nitrate also have an affinity for sulfate, and desulfurization will tend to take place in parallel with denitrification. While biological denitrification generates nitrogen gas which does not require handling and disposal and has no significant effect on the environment, biological desulfurization produces hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) as a byproduct. Hydrogen sulfide is malodorous, explosive, and extremely toxic. Nitrogen gas can be freely discharged to the atmosphere, while the control, handling, and ultimate disposal of H_2S will require other unit processes, such as a scrubber or a flare stack, that are ancillary to the primary sulfate-reducing reactor.

Desulfurization, then, is undesirable and should be avoided if possible. From the bacteriological standpoint, denitrification is the preferred reaction path, but, given the relatively high sulfate levels present in the Monument Valley ground water, it is uncertain whether denitrification can proceed to the extent required to reduce nitrate levels to below 44 mg/L without inducing at least some level of desulfurization.

Biological processes cannot be regarded as a feasible "stand-alone" treatment technology for Monument Valley since they do not address uranium, and because concerns regarding desulfurization suggest that sulfur should be removed using some other process. However, biological denitrification is an attractive process which is in wide use for remediation of nitratecontaminated waters. Therefore, biological denitrification will be retained for detailed evaluation as part of a treatment process which utilizes one or more additional processes for removal of sulfate and uranium.

8.2.4.6 Chemical Treatment

Chemical treatment is typically defined as a system using precipitation, coagulation and flocculation, gravity settling, and filtration processes, generally including addition of chemicals for pH adjustment, formation of precipitates, and the like. Such systems are widely used for treatment of contaminated waters produced during remediation of former uranium mill sites. They are very effective for removal of COC's such as uranium, radium, and sulfate. However, conventional chemical treatment processes are not effective for removal of nitrate, which would have to be addressed by some other technology.

Nitrate could be removed using an ex-situ biological denitrification process downstream of the chemical process. The removal of sulfates in the chemical process by precipitation of barium sulfate obviates the need for a biological desulfurization step and thus also eliminates the need to dispose of hydrogen sulfide formed as a by-product of biological desulfurization.

Nitrate can also be removed using a native plant-farming process. However, coupling chemical treatment with native plant farming does not produce an improvement in the overall treatment process over what can be achieved with native plant farming alone, because the native plant-farming process is not expected to require removal of sulfate, or any other constituents which the chemical treatment process is designed to address, prior to being introduced into the irrigation system. Also, the native plant-farming process is seasonal, as described above, and will be shut down during the winter. So the treatment process would have to be shut down also during the

time that the native plant-farming process is out of service, or else the chemical treatment process would require some other denitrification process while the native plant-farming system is down.

The Alternatives Analysis performed during the preparation of the SOWP for the Tuba City remediation project included a detailed analysis of a combined process utilizing biological denitrification along with a chemical process for removal of sulfate and uranium. One potential advantage of such a process is that DOE owns a 100-gpm chemical treatment facility which is presently in operation at the Monticello, Utah Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) site. This equipment would be available for reuse by the time that the Monument Valley remediation begins. The cost analysis for this system at Tuba City concluded that it was a poor choice for that site due largely to high operating costs, which were driven by the high sulfate levels at Tuba City. The amount of sulfate which must be removed at Monument Valley is about one-fourth the amount that must be removed at Tuba City. Thus the use of such a process at Monument Valley appears at least feasible. This process would also permit reuse of existing government-owned equipment. Therefore, chemical treatment is retained for detailed evaluation as part of a treatment process combined with the biological process for removal of nitrate.

8.2.4.7 Membrane Separation Processes

Membrane separation includes all processes in which extremely fine or molecular-level filters are employed. The fine filter, operated under pressure, allows clean water to pass through the element as a clean stream, or permeate, on the downstream side of the element, while the contaminants collect as a concentrate, or brine, stream on the upstream side. The most commonly-employed membrane separation processes, in increasing order of effectiveness in removal of dissolved ionic species, are ultra-filtration, nanofiltration, and reverse osmosis (RO). As a general rule, the more completely a membrane separation process removes contaminants from an aqueous stream, the more brine is produced.

The most promising membrane separation process for the Monument Valley ground water is RO, which can remove sulfate ions at 98 to 99 percent efficiency, and nitrate ions at 70 to 90 percent efficiency. Nanofiltration and ultrafiltration, on the other hand, are effective for removal of sulfate ions, but are much less effective against nitrate. The nitrate removal efficiency of the RO process appears to be adequate for the requirements of the Monument Valley project. The cost differential between RO and the other processes which are not effective against nitrate is minimal compared with the additional cost that would be required for an auxiliary denitrification system for either of those processes. Therefore, neither nanofiltration nor ultrafiltration need to be considered further for Monument Valley.

The primary disadvantages of RO units are the relatively high capital costs and the large volume of brine that will be generated due to the high concentrations of dissolved solids in the Monument Valley ground water. In order for the concentration of nitrate in the permeate (treated water product) to remain below the treatment standard of 44 mg/L, the brine stream from a RO unit operated at Monument Valley must be about 20 percent of the influent. Disposal of this quantity of brine would be a significant logistical problem. The disposal costs for the brine in its liquid state would be prohibitive, and therefore construction of a solar evaporation pond would be required. No such pond exists at the Monument Valley site at the present time, so the cost of building one or more evaporation ponds must be included in the project capital costs. However, this is also true - if to a lesser extent - for other active treatment processes which produce a brine stream that must be concentrated prior to disposal.

DOE owns a state-of-the-art, highly automated RO system, with a maximum permeate flow of 150 gpm, which was purchased new in February, 1998 for installation at the Monticello, Utah, CERCLA site. Operation of this RO unit at Monticello will be complete by the time ground water remediation at Monument Valley gets underway, and the RO unit from Monticello will then be available for use at another site. Use of an existing RO system would significantly reduce the capital costs of the treatment system, as well as making possible reutilization of a significant government resource. RO is otherwise technically acceptable as a treatment process. Accordingly, the RO process was selected for detailed evaluation as a treatment alternative.

8.3 Evaluation of Alternatives

This section combines technologies evaluated in the previous section into pumping alternatives and treatment alternatives. The pumping alternatives make use of the extraction and disposal technologies retained for detailed evaluation. The treatment alternatives make use of the treatment technologies retained for detailed evaluation.

8.3.1 Pumping Alternatives

Pumping alternatives are a combination of extraction and injection technologies that are part of a comprehensive strategy. Two pumping alternatives are presented. The objective of each pumping alternative is to meet aquifer-restoration standards and goals within a specified time period. Each pumping alternative is evaluated on the basis of implementability, effectiveness, and cost. To optimize the likelihood of a successful remediation, the remediation program will be designed to treat two pore volumes of nitrate-contaminated water within a period of approximately 20 years. The volume of nitrate-contaminated water, as shown in Table 5–9, is 540 million gallons. Therefore, the system will be designed to treat twice this amount, or 1.08 billion gallons. Administrative issues associated with implementing either pumping alternative would be minimal, although a permit from the Navajo Nation will be required to extract the ground water.

8.3.1.1 Pumping Alternative 1-Plume-Focused Extraction Wells without Injection

Pumping Alternative 1 consists of a recovery-well field inside the plume area, with no injection wells. This pumping alternative would be used to supply irrigation water for the native plant-farming system. The best guide to the maximum sustainable extraction rates for wells when injection is not incorporated is the maximum sustainable flow rates for the existing wells at the site. The following table presents the data that has been developed on the maximum sustainable flows for a number of the existing wells. Sustainable flow rates for wells 765 and 655 are based on aquifer tests. Sustainable flow rates for the remaining wells are based on field information recorded when the wells were sampled for water quality.

1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 2. 2.

Well No.	Maximum Sustainable Flow Rate, gpm
MON-604	1
MON-605	2
MON-650	3
MON-653	3
MON-655	0.6
MON-760	1
MON-761	2
MON-762	1
MON765	3
MON-767	1
MON-768	1.5
MON-770	1
MON-772	_1
Average	1.6

Thus, an average flow rate of about 1.5 gpm per well appears reasonable for the Monument Valley site. Sustaining the required peak extraction rate of 257 gpm (see the discussion under "Phytoremediation and Native Plant Farming" in Section 8.2.4 for the derivation of this quantity), at an average flow rate of 1.5 gpm per well, will require 172 ground water recovery wells. This pumping alternative will operate seasonally, drawing an average of 48.5 million gal of water per year. Thus, removing two pore volumes of nitrate-contaminated water will require approximately 23 years using this pumping alternative.

Effectiveness

An average of 257 gpm must be extracted over a 24-hour period during the peak demand period. Estimated pumping rates indicate that the proposed well field could supply this quantity at an average extraction rate of 3 gpm per well. Actual pumping rates will be determined in the field after the wells are emplaced.

Implementability

The complete extraction system includes not only the extraction wells and associated piping, but also a holding tank, with a capacity of approximately 300,000 gal, and appropriate controls to operate the extraction pumps as needed. The function of the holding tank is to act as surge capacity to enable the maximum irrigation demand of 616 gpm to be met with an extraction system having a capacity of 257 gpm. Since irrigation is only required for 10 hours per day, the irrigation system will draw from the holding tank, which will be replenished during the night while the irrigation system is out of service. Construction of the tank and control system is straightforward.

Construction of the well field would be relatively straightforward and could be accomplished using readily available technology. The technical obstacles to constructing a remediation well field are relatively few. However, the fine-grained eolian sands present their own set of technical demands, including how to obtain the maximum possible ground water withdrawal rate from each well, how to control sand pumping, and how to control the pumping rates in a large well field. These obstacles can probably be overcome through careful well-design, construction, and development techniques.

Cost

The total capital cost for this pumping alternative, including all 172 wells, pumps, holding tank, controls, and piping to direct the water from the well field to the treatment system, is estimated at \$4.57 million. Annual O&M costs are estimated at \$0.42 million. The net present value for this pumping alternative, calculated over the 23-year project lifetime, is estimated at \$9.05 million.

8.3.1.2 Pumping Alternative 2—Extraction and Injection Wells

Pumping Alternative 2 consists of vertical extraction and injection wells. The objective of this pumping alternative is to achieve aquifer restoration without depleting the ground-water resource beyond treatment-plant losses. This pumping alternative would be used to supply water to the active treatment alternatives that employ treatment plants generating a clean water stream that would be used for injection.

The injected ground water would be pumped into the periphery of the plume to control its migration, similar to the "line-drive" approach used conventionally in the solution mining industry (Driscoll 1987, Roberts 1980). Returning the treated ground water to the plume would control drawdown, accelerate flushing within the plume, and accelerate aquifer restoration. Assuming that the extraction rate can be increased to 2.5 gpm per extraction well through the use of injection wells results in an estimate of 40 extraction wells for Monument Valley. The cost estimate will assume the same number of injection wells; the slightly lower efficiency of the injection wells will compensate for losses in the treatment plant.

Effectiveness

The combination of extraction and injection within the plume is the most expedient method to move water through the contaminated part of the alluvial aquifer. A system consisting of extraction and injection wells yields a balanced flow system that limits drawdown within the plume and expedites flushing. The pumping rate increases as the density of wells increases. However, as the number of wells increases, so does the cost of operation and maintenance.

Implementability

There are no technical or administrative issues that would preclude implementation of the extraction and injection wells associated with this pumping alternative. These are conventional technologies that would be relatively straightforward to implement and would use readily available technology. The fine-grained eolian sands in the alluvial aquifer will present some technical difficulties when installing the extraction and injection wells. Well design, construction, and development techniques to specifically control sand pumping would be

required. Also, operation of the system of 40 extraction wells and 40 injection wells will require oversight.

Cost

The total capital cost for this pumping alternative, including all extraction and injection wells, pumps, controls, and piping to direct the water from the well field to the treatment system and from the treatment system back to the injection wells, is estimated at \$2.31 million. Annual O&M costs are estimated at \$0.32 million. The net present value for this pumping alternative, calculated over the 20-year project lifetime, is estimated at \$5.56 million.

8.3.1.3 Recommended Pumping Alternative

Pumping Alternative 2—Extraction and Injection Wells, preserves the best technical options and combines them into one comprehensive pumping alternative, at a substantially lower cost than Pumping Alternative 1. Considering the extraction system in isolation, Pumping Alternative 2 would be the preferred pumping alternative. However, the extraction system does not stand alone and cannot be considered except as part of the entire treatment system. Pumping Alternative 1 is suitable for treatment processes which do not return treated water for injection, while Pumping Alternative 2 is suitable for processes that do; pumping alternatives cannot be "mixed and matched" freely with treatment alternatives for which they are inappropriate. Since both pumping alternatives are technically feasible, the "preferred" pumping alternative would be the alternative that serves the preferred complete treatment system.

8.3.2 Treatment Alternatives

The treatment alternatives evaluated in this section are:

- Treatment Alternative 1—Native plant farming
- Treatment Alternative 2—Chemical Treatment with Biological Denitrification
- Treatment Alternative 3 Distillation
- Treatment Alternative 4 Reverse Osmosis

All these treatment alternatives incorporate phytoremediation of the subsoil ammonia in the vicinity of the former tailings piles as well as phytoremediation of shallow portions of the aquifer using plantings of black greasewood.

There are two distinct types of alternative treatment processes. The native plant farming process will operate on a seasonal basis, with flow rates varying from month to month with the seasonal ET cycle and shutting off during the winter when no irrigation is possible. This process will use Pumping Alternative 1—Plume-Focused Extraction Wells without Injection, described in Section 8.3.1. The other three treatment alternatives operate continuously at a steady flow rate, and will use Pumping Alternative 2—Extraction and Injection Wells.

Development and Evaluation of Active Remediation Alternatives

The treatment system shall be designed to treat two pore volumes over an active life of approximately 20 years. As shown in Section 5.3.3.1, the estimated volume of the nitrate plume is 120,000,000 gal (120 million gal) of highly contaminated water containing more than 500 mg/L of nitrate, and 420,000,000 gal (420 million gal) of less contaminated water containing between 44 and 500 mg/L of nitrate. This gives a total volume of 540,000,000 gal (540 million gal). The total amount of water to be treated is two pore volumes, or a total volume of 1.08 billion gal.

Treatment of 1.08 billion gal in 20 years, assuming operation of the extraction and treatment system for 365 days per year, 24 hours per day, will require a flow capacity of 103 gpm. Cost estimates for the extraction, treatment, and injection systems for the processes utilizing distillation, chemical treatment with biological denitrification, and RO shall be designed for this capacity.

The native plant farming process will operate on a seasonal basis. As described in Section 8.2.4, the native plant-farming system will treat a total of 48.5 million gal per year. Thus, treatment of 1.08 billion gal will require a remediation period of 23 years. The peak extraction rate is 257 gpm. The cost estimate for the extraction systems for the native plant farming process shall be based on this capacity.

Cost estimates for all treatment processes will be compared based on a net present worth, calculated over the total project life (either 20 or 23 years), using the OMB standard discount rate of 7 percent.

8.3.2.1 Treatment Alternative 1—Native Plant Farming

Effectiveness

Phytoremediation will be used to treat soils contaminated with ammonium. Ammonium, which is readily converted to amino acids, is an ideal nutrient source for plant growth. Phytoremediation may also be used to treat shallow portions of the alluvial aquifer. In both cases, an initial period of irrigation, taking no longer than one or two growing seasons, will be required for the plants to establish their root systems. Once the plants are established, no further irrigation is required. Conversion of ammonium is expected to be essentially complete within the remediation time frame of 23 years.

The native plant-farming system will be used to treat nitrate-contaminated water. Nitrate is also an excellent nutrient source. The average nitrate concentration in the alluvial aquifer is nearly ideal for growth of the fourwing saltbush used in the native plant-farming system. The irrigation system will be controlled so that there is no migration of water from the vadose zone into the alluvial aquifer. Nitrate levels in the soil are expected to be at or below the treatment standard of 44 mg/L at the conclusion of the 23-year treatment duration.

Fourwing saltbush has a very high affinity for sulfate as well. The salt content of a mature fourwing saltbush can be as high as 12 percent, and since sulfate comprises almost exactly half of the TDS in the alluvial aquifer, the saltbush may reach a final sulfate concentration of as much

as 6 percent. The nitrogen content of the plant biomass will be about 1.6 percent, equivalent to a nitrate level of about 7.3 percent. Average sulfate levels are nearly four times as high as average nitrate levels (755 parts per million [ppm] versus 217 ppm). Therefore, the fourwing saltbush can be expected to take up nearly one-fourth of the sulfate in the water. The remainder will accumulate in the vadose zone.

One important concern regarding the effectiveness of the native plant-farming system is how well the plants will grow with less than optimal nitrate levels. The ideal nitrate concentration for growth of fourwing saltbush is about 230 mg/L, while the average nitrate concentration in the alluvial aquifer is 217 mg/L. Since production wells are placed in all areas of the alluvial aquifer and all are expected to be in operation simultaneously, the extraction system will deliver a mixture having very nearly average concentrations. At the outset of the project, the nitrate concentration will be essentially ideal for optimum growth of the saltbush. However, the concentration of nitrate and other TDS in the alluvial aquifer will decline over time as contaminated water is removed and replaced by natural dilution from background water. Under these conditions, the plant productivity may decline, which may cause a drop in system effectiveness as treatment progresses.

Implementability

There are a number of uncertainties associated with the implementability of the native plantfarming system. These were covered as part of the discussion of "Phytoremediation and Native Plant Farming" in Section 8.2.4. Another significant area of uncertainty regarding the native plant-farming system concerns the availability of water to supply the system. The extraction system must be able to sustain a flow rate of some 257 gpm continuously for several months. Preliminary estimates suggest that the aquifer will be capable of sustaining this flow rate, but this has not been confirmed by modeling or extensive testing.

Only two alluvial aquifer pump tests were done during the current investigations at the Monument Valley site (see Section 4.6.3.2). One of the wells (well MON-765) was able to sustain a flow rate of 3 gpm over about 20 hours before the test ended due to equipment failure, while the other (well MON-655) was able to sustain a flow rate of only 0.6 gpm even after significant development. However, neither of these tests was a long-term test. So the question of long-term sustainability of the required flow rate once the entire extraction system is in operation remains open. Furthermore, the preliminary modeling done so far is based on the very limited data currently available on the hydraulic properties of the alluvial aquifer. Thus, the number of wells provided in the design used for estimating the capital and installation costs of the extraction system is an approximation at best, and there is significant uncertainty regarding the actual count and number of extraction wells.

Cost

The cost estimate assumes that the entire available area of 28 hectares (69 acres) would be planted in fourwing saltbush. The capital cost for planting this acreage, and the irrigation system required to sustain it, is estimated at \$0.98 million. Annual operating costs assume that the labor requirement to maintain the fields will average 1.5 full-time equivalents (FTEs), although this will be distributed unevenly since the field work is seasonal rather than year-round. Total O&M costs then are estimated at about \$0.25 million. The present worth cost of this treatment alternative, projected over the total estimated time of 23 years, is \$3.69 million.

8.3.2.2 Treatment Alternative 2—Chemical Treatment with Biological Denitrification

Effectiveness

The average alkalinity of contaminated ground water at the Monument Valley site is 217 mg/L as $CaCO_3$, and the TDS of the influent water averages 1,506 mg/L. The practical limit of hardness removal using the lime-soda process is approximately 50 mg/L as $CaCO_3$ (15 mg/L of calcium and 3 mg/L of magnesium). For uranium, the removal efficiency of this process usually exceeds 95 percent. The average sulfate level in the Monument Valley contaminant plume is 755 mg/L, and the barium level is less than 1 mg/L. Addition of barium to remove sulfate will not significantly increase the barium level in the effluent since barium will not be added in stoichiometric excess.

Barium addition is an established method for removing sulfate from water by precipitation of barium sulfate. Typically, barium is added in the form of barium chloride, barium nitrate, or barium acetate. All these barium compounds are highly soluble, and although barium added to the contaminated water forms the insoluble barium sulfate precipitate, the dissociation of the barium compounds will add chloride, nitrate, or acetate ions to the water.

The average chloride level in the contaminant plume is 25 mg/L. The addition of barium chloride in the quantities required would increase the chloride levels in the effluent to at least 397 mg/L. Although chloride is not a regulated constituent, and there is no treatment goal for chloride for the Monument Valley remediation project, the chloride treatment goal for the Tuba City project is 250 mg/L. A chloride level of 400 mg/L or higher would undoubtedly be considered objectionable for the Monument Valley project. Also, the sulfate treatment goal for Monument Valley incorporates both the sulfate concentration and the sulfate-to-chloride ratio, and injection of treated water containing high chloride levels would greatly complicate the progress of the remediation.

The addition of barium nitrate to a water with existing high levels of nitrate will increase the nitrate loading for the biological denitrification system by at least 650 mg/L, or over threefold. Use of barium acetate avoids these problems and will also supply a potentially useful feed source for the bacteria used in the biological denitrification process. However, preliminary cost information suggests that barium acetate will be considerably more expensive than barium chloride or barium nitrate. Also, a supply source for barium acetate may be a problem because it is not currently manufactured in bulk quantities.

A possible treatment alternative is the use of barium hydroxide rather than one of the other barium compounds. Barium hydroxide appears to be comparable in price to barium chloride and less expensive than either barium nitrate or barium acetate. Also, the use of barium hydroxide does not add objectionable chloride, nitrate, or organics to the ground water. Barium hydroxide is a fairly strong base, and some data suggest that it could be useful as a supplement to, or a replacement for, lime soda in the uranium-removal process. Hydroxide in excess of what is required for the uranium-removal process, could be removed by bubbling carbon dioxide into the solution. This would generate carbonates, which would be an operating concern, because of TDS and alkalinity, but not a regulatory concern.

Extensive data have been gathered on the efficacy of the biological denitrification process at DOE's Weldon Springs facility near St. Louis, Missouri. The treatment cycle implemented at Weldon Springs produces an effluent containing less than 10 mg/L of nitrate as nitrogen (NO₃-N) from a feed containing about 500 mg/L NO₃-N, which is about ten times the average nitrate concentration in the Monument Valley contaminant plume. Biological denitrification is an anoxic process, but the Weldon Springs denitrification pond is open to the atmosphere. Oxygen penetration does not appear to be significant below the top few inches of the pond surface, and natural convection creates circulation within the pond that is adequate to allow complete conversion of nitrate.

This treatment alternative produces an effluent that meets or exceeds the requirements of 40 CFR 192 and is protective of human health and the environment. Chemical treatment and microfiltration can achieve nearly complete removal of uranium, sulfate, and other dissolved solids from the raw water. Biological denitrification can achieve removal of nitrate from the treatment plant effluent sufficient to meet or exceed the regulatory treatment standard.

Implementability

For typical applications, the stability, reliability, and process efficiency of the chemical treatment systems can be predicted with a high degree of certainty. Chemical treatment is an appropriate and typical approach for cleaning up a high-TDS water. Operational parameters for chemical addition systems, mixing systems, settlers, sludge removal equipment, and filters under a wide variety of conditions are well established.

Chemical treatment is an established method for treating water containing inorganic and radionuclide contaminants. The chemical treatment equipment that would be used for this system is DOE property and was used at the Monticello, Utah CERCLA site for treating ground water contaminated with high levels of uranium, radium, and selenium. After chemical treatment, the flow stream will undergo microfiltration (included with the system) to remove solids formed during the chemical reactions. The chemical treatment equipment is currently being operated as part of the waste-water treatment facility at Monticello, and is expected to be available for service before the Monument Valley remediation project begins.

A chemical system with chemical reactors and appurtenant processes will need constant maintenance and management. The level of maintenance is tied directly to the severity of the operating condition within the system. For example, very high or very low pH in the flow stream or use of corrosive chemicals such as iron coagulants can deteriorate equipment. Under adverse conditions, tanks, mixers, chemical feed systems, valves, instruments, piping, and pumps require continuous maintenance and frequent replacement.

Development and Evaluation of Active Remediation Alternatives

An especially critical element of chemical treatment plant operation is managing, handling, and disposing of chemical sludge. Chemical treatment produces much greater quantities of sludge than do the other treatment alternatives. Lime-soda softening produces a sludge consisting of calcium carbonate and magnesium oxide contaminated with uranium. Sulfate precipitation with barium produces a sludge of insoluble, chemically inert barium sulfate. The process described here does not attempt to segregate these sludges, so although the barium sulfate sludge will have little or no radioactive contamination, it will be combined with the contaminated lime-softening sludge. A moderate degree of uncertainty is associated with predicting the activity of the sludge; thus, identifying a suitable method and location for disposing of the sludge is moderately uncertain.

The proposed chemical treatment plant is a 100-gpm water treatment plant that has been in service for several years. It is modular and trailer-mounted for ease of movement and setup. Specialists will be needed to oversee setup of the system, but this is not expected to take a great deal of time.

The denitrification system consists of a pair of "sequencing batched reactors" (SBRs) in which the denitrification reaction will take place. The reactors will be operated in a "fill and draw" system in which one reactor is filling while the other is anoxically mixing for the denitrification process and preparing for discharge at the end of the treatment cycle. The system will require significant design work but will not be particularly difficult to construct.

Operation of the denitrification facility will take close operator attention. Denitrification is a batch process with a number of process steps that must be carefully controlled. For instance, the pH will drop rapidly once the denitrification process is underway and acidic ions are liberated. The pH of the ground water is around 6.5. If the pH in the ponds drops below about 6, the denitrification will stop, and once it has stopped, it cannot be restarted easily. Also, at the end of the nitrate treatment cycle, it may be necessary to aerate the treated water to get the pH into a neutral (7 to 8) range and to strip residual organics that contribute to chemical oxygen demand.

There is another potentially serious implementability concern with the biological denitrification process. The design presented in this SOWP is based on information from a system vendor who estimated that the denitrification process would require about 16 hours to reach completion. Based on this residence time, the SBRs must have a capacity of around 200,000 gallons each. However, sources at the Weldon Springs facility indicate that the ponds there require three to five days to complete denitrification. Such a residence time would require a capacity of over a million gallons at the flow rate of the Monument Valley site.

Further, the denitrification reaction loses effectiveness when the water temperature drops below about 50 °F. The ambient temperature at the Monument Valley site will be below 50 °F for extended periods, so some means will have to be provided for maintaining the temperature of the denitrification reactors.

The design upon which the cost estimate is based assumes that SBRs can be used. However, the treatment system should not be designed and installed without first testing this assumption on a laboratory or pilot scale. If biological denitrification were chosen as part of the remediation

technology at the Monument Valley site, a testing program should be completed before the final design is begun.

As with construction, specially trained persons will be needed to operate the system. Operators and managers are not available in the local area or on the reservation. An extensive training program will be needed if reservation residents are to operate this treatment alternative without extensive oversight by DOE contractors. The cost estimate assumes that two operators per shift will be required for continuous operation. One operator will work primarily on the chemical treatment process and the other will concentrate on the SBRs. A high degree of management oversight will be required to ensure that the plant operates safely and efficiently. The chemicals necessary for operation of the chemical treatment plant are not available near the site. The most probable source of commercial quantities of chemicals is Phoenix, Arizona—360 road miles from the Monument Valley site.

In addition to the large amount of chemical sludge produced by the chemical treatment process, the biological process generates a significant amount of biological sludge. The combination process generates approximately twice as much total sludge as does spray evaporation. A small amount of residual methanol will remain in the sludge from the SBRs after denitrification is complete. Although this methanol should evaporate during the sludge evaporation step, it should be kept in mind when permitting issues for the facility are discussed.

Improving the reliability of the chemical treatment system will require adding redundant reaction tanks, settlers, and membrane modules. Increasing the capacity of the biological denitrification system will require building additional treatment reactors.

Chemical treatment with biological denitrification will require tank capacity for feed, equalization and holding between the chemical treatment facility and the denitrification reactors, and evaporation ponds for sludge dewatering. Since there are no ponds in existence at the Monument Valley site, two double-lined ponds will be constructed for sludge dewatering. The denitrification process requires a holding tank of approximately the same capacity as the denitrification reactors for the treated effluent, and the reinjection system will draw from this tank.

Cost

The capital cost of this system is estimated at \$0.97 million, making it comparable to the native plant farming system as by far the least expensive systems to install. The low capital cost is due largely to the use of an existing chemical treatment facility. However, the annual O&M cost for the system is estimated at \$1.38 million. The major components of the high O&M cost are \$0.49 million for treatment chemicals, particularly barium, and \$0.46 million for operating labor for this manpower-intensive system. Due to the high O&M costs for this system, the estimated 20-year present worth cost of this treatment alternative is estimated at \$15.6 million.

8.3.2.3 Treatment Alternative 3 — Distillation

Effectiveness

Evaporation and water recovery using simple distillation is an established and proven technology for treatment of contaminated water. A distillation unit will consistently produce a product effluent containing less than 50 mg/L of dissolved solids, and will often meet or exceed drinking water standards with no further treatment required. The concentrated "brine," which contains essentially all of the dissolved solids, radionuclides, and other nonvolatile contaminants from the original feed, typically averages 5 percent or less of the total feed, depending on the concentration of contaminants in the feed.

The following data was developed during pilot testing, at the Tuba City site, of a distillation system similar to that which would be used at Monument Valley. All concentrations are given in mg/L.

Parameter	Influent	Effluent	
Sulfate	2,440	0.824	
Nitrate	819	2.48	
Ammonium	61.9	2.09	
TDS	4,900	37	
Uranium	146	<1.1	

Based on these data, the likelihood that the treated effluent from the distillation system will be able to meet or exceed the applicable treatment standards is extremely high.

Pretreatment for the feed water is expected to consist of addition of sulfuric acid for removal of carbonate, and an antiscalant to minimize fouling of the heat-transfer surfaces.

The distillation process will incorporate phytoremediation of subsoil ammonia in the vicinity of the former tailings pile. For a discussion of the effectiveness of this process, see the "Effectiveness" discussion under Section 8.3.2.1 "Treatment Alternative 1—Native Plant Farming."

Distillation meets the requirements of 40 CFR 192 and is protective of human health and the environment. The treated effluent is of high quality, while the volume of the concentrated brine is less than that produced by the other active processes.

Implementability

Commercial distillation units are self-contained and include all instrumentation required for monitoring and controlling the operation. The units are designed for outdoor operation with no building required. The operation of the unit can be monitored at a remote location using the instrumentation and computer software provided as part of the package. The electricity demand of the distillation unit is low. However, since no electric power is currently available at the Monument Valley site, additional electrical power equipment will be required at the site for the distillation system (or for any other treatment system, for that matter).

Commercial distillation systems are reliable and generally require a low level of oversight and only scheduled maintenance during their operating life. Installation of the distillation unit will be straightforward, and can be done by project construction personnel. Operation of the distillation system will require a minimum of managerial and technical supervision. The acid pretreatment system can operate unattended, although periodic replenishing of the acid will be required, as well as occasional maintenance. The cost estimate for the operation of the distillation system includes two full-time employees for operation and maintenance.

For optimal operation, the distillation system should be operated as nearly continuously as possible. However, it is expected that the flow rate produced by the extraction system will have a fair amount of variability. To dampen out variations in the extraction rate and produce a constant flow rate of feed to the distillation unit, a feed tank of approximately 20,000 gal capacity will be erected at the site immediately adjacent to the treatment unit. Water from the extraction system will flow into the feed tank, and the distillation unit will take its feed from the tank, whose level will be allowed to vary as needed.

Concentrated brine is continuously generated by the distillation process. The concentration of solids in the brine discharged from the distillation unit is low enough that disposal is impractical without further concentration. The brine must be evaporated further, perhaps to dryness, by dewatering via solar evaporation. Since the solar evaporation rate is relatively slow compared to the rate of brine production, a relatively large double-lined solar evaporation pond will be constructed for this purpose. For a discussion of the implementability of solar evaporation ponds, see the "Implementability" section under Section 8.3.2.4 "Treatment Alternative 4—Reverse Osmosis."

Commercial distillation units are modular in design. Increasing the capacity of the overall system above the current design capacity will require addition of more distillation units unless additional capacity is specified as a design requirement.

Cost

The capital cost of the distillation system, including the evaporation pond and required ancillary equipment, is estimated at \$3.01 million, and annual operating costs will be about \$0.55 million. The present worth cost of this treatment alternative, projected over the total estimated time of 20 years, is \$8.62 million. The most expensive capital item is the distillation unit itself, which will cost about \$2 million. The most expensive O&M line items are electricity to operate the unit, estimated at \$0.14 million per year, and operating manpower, which will average about \$0.125 million.

8.3.2.4 Treatment Alternative 4—Reverse Osmosis

Effectiveness

The RO system proposed for Monument Valley has been in service at a former uranium mill in Monticello, Utah since April, 1998. The following data were taken from two pilot testing runs of the RO process using Monticello treatment pond water. The concentrations shown are in micrograms per liter (μ g/L) or parts per billion (ppb) for the feed, permeate, and concentrate, respectively, while "Reduction" is the percent by which each component was reduced in the permeate compared to its concentration in the feed.

First Run						
Component	Feed	Permeate	Concentrate	Reduction		
Uranium	585	4.3	2,443	99.4%		
Chloride	169	3.74	669	98.3%		
Nitrate (as N)	2.84	0.28	9.9	92.8%		
Sulfate	762	25.2	3,010	97.5%		
	Ave	rage	• •	97.3%		

Second Run

Component	Feed	Permeate	Concentrate	Reduction
Uranium	551	3.6	4,370	99.4%
Chloride	162	2.72	1,270	98.5%
Nitrate (as N)	2.62	0.679	19.3	76.8%
Sulfate	750	9.36	5,910	98.9%
	95.9%			

The data above suggests that nitrate removal and reject water generation are interrelated. In the first run, the nitrate removal was almost 93%, and reject water generation was 25%. In the second run, the nitrate removal dropped to 77%, but the reject water generation was cut in half, to about 12.5%. The minimum nitrate removal required for the Monument Valley remediation is 79.7%, since the feed contains 217 mg/L of nitrate and the treated water must meet the standard of 44 mg/L. Assuming that this performance is representative of the performance of a full-scale system, will require about 14% reject water generation. The reject water will be sent to a separate pond, with an estimated surface area of 3.5 acres, for solar evaporation.

The RO process will incorporate phytoremediation of subsoil ammonia in the vicinity of the former tailings pile. For a discussion of the effectiveness of this process, see the "Effectiveness" discussion under Section 8.3.2.1 "Treatment Alternative 1—Native Plant Farming."

Implementability

As mentioned above, the RO process will utilize an existing DOE-owned facility currently in operation at Monticello, Utah. This unit will become available as soon as the Monticello repository is closed in the summer of 1999. The RO equipment will be relatively easy to install and operate. The system is very well instrumented although continuous operator attention is required. There is a low potential for schedule delays in the construction of the system at the Monument Valley site. However, specialists will be needed to oversee construction of the system.

The RO process can be modified and improved by replacing the filter elements with elements offering greater removal efficiency. The existing system has a capacity of 150 gpm of permeate, equivalent to about 173 gpm of influent at the predicted rate of reject water generation. The system consists of three parallel trains. Operation at the required Monument Valley flow rate will require operating two of these trains while the third is left in a stand-by mode.

As with construction, specially trained persons will be needed to operate the system. Operators and managers are not available in the local area. An extensive training program will be needed if local residents are to operate this treatment alternative without extensive oversight by DOE technical contractors. The cost estimate assumes that one operator per shift will be required for continuous operation of the complete treatment system. A moderate degree of management oversight will be required to ensure the plant operates safely and efficiently.

The RO process will generate little, if any, additional sludge compared with the distillation process. However, as noted above, it does generate a very large quantity of reject water. The large solar evaporation pond required for concentration of this quantity of reject water is a major operational consideration in itself.

Operating the evaporation pond will require the following principal functions: Embankment inspection and maintenance, liner inspection and repair, monitoring water levels, and monitoring for leaks. Given the high degree of automation in the RO system, it is anticipated that all of the pond operation functions can be performed by the reverse-osmosis system operator. The first three functions can be performed with periodic inspections by the operator working the day shift. The need for inspections can be minimized by installing and maintaining adequate fencing to keep livestock and wildlife away from the pond.

Monitoring for leaks will consist primarily of monitoring the water levels in the sump(s) of the leak detection system. This can be done remotely using a telemetry system. Leak detection pump status can also be monitored remotely using telemetry.

The principal environmental compliance issue associated with maintaining large, lined ponds is uncontrolled release through overflow, or leaks. Use of double-lined ponds and an interliner leak detection system will control subsurface releases. Such engineering controls are highly reliable. Overflow of the pond is unlikely because the levels change relatively slowly due to their size, and will be monitored on a regular basis by operating personnel. A large, open body of water in an arid region attracts birds and insects, creating a potential exposure pathway for contamination. Over time, the concentration of uranium, metals, and metalloids (e.g., selenium) in the pond water will increase. Birds and insects may be attracted to the ponds and exposed to high levels of contaminants. The risk increases with a spray system in which contaminants become airborne. Thus, the ability to control waterfowl and insect access to heavily contaminated water will be a concern for the system's operator.

Cost

The capital cost of the RO system is approximately \$1.19 million. The RO unit itself is surplus DOE-owned equipment from another site. The single largest direct capital cost item is the construction of the large solar evaporation pond for the reject water, which accounts for almost half of the total capital cost. The estimated annual O&M cost is \$1.0 million, of which the single largest item is unit operators, since it is assumed that 24-hour coverage will be required. Thus the 20-year present worth value for this process is \$1.7 million.

8.4 Comparative Evaluation of Alternatives

The following section compares the four treatment alternatives and recommends a proposed treatment alternative for implementation at the Monument Valley site. The treatment alternatives are compared with one another on the basis of each of the evaluation criteria presented in the introduction to this section. For purposes of this discussion, the treatment alternatives utilizing injection of treated effluent with either the distillation, RO, or chemical treatment/biological denitrification processes will be referred to as "active" systems. The extraction and irrigation aspects of the native plant farming system are active too, but the treatment process itself is passive.

8.4.1 Comparative Effectiveness

8.4.1.1 Conformance with Project Treatment Standards (40 CFR 192) and Goals

Of the active treatment alternative systems, distillation produces the highest-quality effluent (treated water), with a composition that will exceed the project standards and goals by one to two orders of magnitude and almost total removal of sulfate, nitrate, and radionuclides.

The RO process also will be able to meet or exceed the project standards and goals. However, since nitrate removal and reject water generation are interrelated, and there will naturally be a tendency to try to minimize reject water generation, the level of uncertainty regarding the nitrate removal efficiency of the RO process is higher than that for the distillation process. RO will also produce an effluent that will exceed the project treatment goal for sulfate.

The chemical treatment with biological denitrification process is also capable meeting or exceeding the aquifer restoration standards and goals. In view of the relatively low nitrate levels in the ground water, the denitrification process should easily meet or exceed the regulatory requirements. The system is also capable of easily meeting or exceeding the required levels of sulfate removal. However, the barium chemical used to remove sulfate will be the highest single

cost line item in the operating budget for the treatment facility, so there will naturally be pressure to minimize consumption. In view of this consideration, the ability of the facility to consistently meet or exceed the required sulfate removal levels must be considered as less certain than that of the other two active processes.

The native plant-farming system will consume nitrate <u>efficiently</u> and essentially completely as long as irrigation rates are kept low enough that no recharge to the aquifer takes place. The saltbush will also remove about 25 percent of the sulfate. The residual sulfate will concentrate in the vadose zone, and is not expected to pose a contamination concern for the soils in the remediation area.

Ultimately, however, the success or failure of the remediation process will be determined not by the quality of the effluent water from the treatment process, but by the quality of the ground water in the alluvial aquifer. Distillation, RO, and chemical treatment with biological denitrification all utilize injection of treated water back into the aquifer as an integral part of the remediation process. From the standpoint of ultimate aquifer cleanup, the injection process serves two useful functions. First, it provides a pressure gradient within the plume which will help to direct contaminated water towards the extraction wells; and second, it provides a pressure gradient at the perimeter of the plume which will contain the spread of contaminants beyond their present limits. Whether, in the long run, treatment standards and goal can be met by any pump-and-treat system is problematic (see "Limitations of the Proposed Treatment System" in Section 8.5.3), but if it is possible to meet the cleanup goals within the specified time frame, one of these three treatment systems provides the likeliest route.

The potential long-term effectiveness of the treatment system utilizing native plant farming is much more difficult to assess. Whereas the active systems operate on a continuous basis with steady flow rates of both extracted and injected water, the native plant treatment alternative does not use injection at all, and the extraction rates vary from month to month, and are shut down completely for several months each year. The effect of such cyclic extraction on the behavior of the contaminants which make up the plume is difficult to predict.

All of the treatment processes can be designed to provide optimal protection of health for the plant operators and persons living or working in the vicinity, as well as those who depend on the alluvial aquifer for part or all of their water supply.

8.4.1.2 Effect on the Aquifer

The native plant farming treatment alternative operates on a seasonal basis and does not utilize injection of treated water, so over the course of the remediation process, approximately 1.08 billion gal of water will be removed from the alluvial aquifer.

If one of the active remediation alternatives is employed, some loss of ground water will occur during the remedial action. Loss of ground water will be minimized by distillation, because the waste water stream from the distillation process is small. Chemical treatment with biological denitrification will generate somewhat more waste water than the distillation system. Reverse osmosis will have much higher water loss, because of the large amount of reject water generated by the RO process.

8.4.1.3 Ease of Residual Disposal

As noted in the discussion above, as long as the local population regards the plant material produced by the native plant-farming treatment alternative as a useful livestock feed, this alternative does not produce a treatment process residual. In addition, the extraction system will have to be maintained during the remediation operation and dismantled at its end. (This is also true of the active processes, but since the extraction and reinjection systems for those processes have less than half the number of wells required for the native plant-farming system, generation of this type of waste will be proportionately less.) These materials should be classified for free release and disposal at a commercial landfill operation. For this reason, estimates of the volume of such materials have not been made.

The principal treatment residual produced by the active treatment processes is the concentrated sludge that contains the dissolved and suspended solids which were removed from the ground water during treatment. As described in the detailed evaluation of the treatment alternatives, the three processes produce somewhat different amounts of this sludge. Sludge production will also vary over the lifetime of the project. The initial rate of sludge production will be relatively high because the concentrations of contaminants will be highest at the beginning of the remediation project, and it will decline towards the end of the remediation cycle as the concentration of contaminants in the plume declines. The ground water contains the equivalent of 339 tons of sludge per year, based on the average TDS concentration in the ground water. The following are average figures for sludge generation which can be used to compare the two treatment alternatives.

- Distillation will generate about 424 tons of sludge per year. The distillation process requires the addition of sulfuric acid, which will increase the sulfate concentration in the brine, as well as a small amount of antiscalant.
- RO will generate about 505 tons per year. The RO process requires a lime softening step prior to the RO step, which will add somewhat more chemicals than the chemical pretreatment of the distillation process.
- Chemical treatment with biological denitrification will generate about 552 tons of sludge per year; the major component which is added is the barium used to remove sulfate.

The other major treatment residual will be the pond liners, which will be disposed of at the end of the remediation program. This is a comparatively small quantity compared with 8,000 to 16,000 tons of chemical sludge. Treatment Alternative 4, RO, produces the greatest amount of this waste, because of the large solar evaporation pond required to handle the reject water from the RO process. Treatment Alternatives 2 (chemical treatment with biological denitrification) and 3 (distillation) require much smaller ponds and will generate proportionately much smaller quantities of this waste.

Used piping, process equipment, filter elements, etc. which are discarded during treatment or are left over from the treatment systems at the end of the remediation, should be able to be free-released and disposed of at any commercial landfill operation, or reused elsewhere if the need exists. For this reason, estimates of the volume of such materials have not been made.

8.4.2 Comparative Implementability

8.4.2.1 Constructability

The distillation treatment system is a self-contained unit and will be relatively simple to construct. The RO system or the chemical treatment system will be dismantled and shipped from Monticello to Monument Valley, and should be relatively simple to reconstruct also. The SBRs used for the biological denitrification process must be constructed at the site. The distillation system can be installed outdoors, and will require a concrete slab or slabs as a foundation, as well as piping and electrical connections. The RO unit or the SBRs, on the other hand, must be installed indoors in order to guard against freezing. Therefore, a permanent building will be required for the systems using these processes. The RO system may also require a feed heater in order to reduce the amount of reject water produced. The feed preheater is part of the existing installation, having already been installed at Monticello.

The solar evaporation pond for brine is not expected to be difficult to construct. The larger size of the pond required for the RO system will add cost but is not expected to add significantly to the difficulty of installation.

The native plant-farming systems utilizes extensive irrigation systems with many thousands of feet of piping. Installation of these systems will not require highly skilled labor, but will require a considerable amount of less-skilled labor, as will the seeding and/or planting necessary to establish the system.

The extraction and injection system for the active treatment alternatives will be easier to construct than the extraction system for the native plant-farming treatment alternatives because it requires many fewer wells (40 vs 86), does not require a holding tank with controls, and because the injection wells will not require pumps.

8.4.2.2 Ease of Operation and Maintenance

The distillation and RO systems are expected to be relatively easy to operate, because they are packaged systems designed to require minimal operator interface beyond routine monitoring. Both of these treatment systems will shut off automatically in the event of problems, and will relay the required information to the system monitor. The cost estimate for the distillation system assumes only a single day-shift operator for operations and maintenance, though the operator for the extraction and injection systems will be available to supplement this operator on the rare occasions that additional labor is expected to be needed. Based on experience at Monticello, it is considered unwise to allow the RO system to operate unattended for extended periods of time; among other things, cleaning of the osmosis elements, which must be done frequently, is a manual operation. So the cost estimate for the RO system includes 24-hour operator coverage,

with a single operator on-site during the daytime, and two operators at night when the extraction/injection system operator will not be available. Operation of the chemical treatment plant and the biological denitrification facility is expected to require the same level of manpower support as the RO unit. These positions are specialty jobs, and persons filling them will require extensive training.

The operation/maintenance personnel for the active treatment systems will require a relatively high degree of technical and mechanical competence. Maintenance of the distillation system is expected to be infrequent, but will not be inexpensive, since special parts and services which may only be available from the vendor or manufacturer will be required to repair and maintain these units. Maintenance of the RO system will be more frequent, as described above. The most onerous maintenance task on the RO system will be element change out and replacement, which it is hoped will be required relatively infrequently. Maintenance of the chemical treatment and biological denitrification systems is expected to be somewhat more frequent than that of the RO system, though not dramatically more so.

The native plant farming system is expected to be very labor-intensive. The extensive irrigation system will require continuous maintenance during the irrigation season, and there is expected to be a regular need for "gardening" duties such as harvesting excess saltbush growth and weeding. During the winter months, and at night during the summer, the system will probably be left unattended. The cost estimate assumes two operators working 12 hours per day for 240 days.

The type and skill level needed for operation and maintenance of the extraction and injection systems used for the active treatment alternatives is expected to be comparable to that for the simple extraction system required for the native plant-farming system. While the extraction system for the native plant-farming treatment alternatives is more extensive than that required for the active treatment alternatives, the simple extraction system is only operated seasonally, while the active treatment alternatives require year-round maintenance and operation. The cost estimates for the extraction and injection system assumes one employee full-time on day shift year-round, while the cost estimate for the seasonal extraction system assumes two employees on day shift for 240 days a year.

8.4.2.3 Expected Reliability

A less complex system is generally more reliable than a complex design. The distillation system is expected to require less than 10 percent down-time for routine maintenance. An estimated down-time of 15 to 20 percent or greater will be required to properly maintain the RO and chemical treatment/biological denitrification systems; the RO system is expected to be the more reliable of the two. The vast irrigation system required for the native plant-farming treatment alternative is expected to be relatively unreliable, but most problems are expected to be local in nature; failures affecting all or large portions of the irrigation system will be relatively uncommon. The most troublesome aspect of reliability of the irrigation system is expected to be the initial startup at the beginning of each irrigation season.

The extraction/injection system required for the active treatment alternatives is expected to be significantly more reliable than the extraction system required for the native plant-farming
systems, because of its smaller size and because it will be operated continuously, which is generally easier on equipment such as pumps than frequent and extensive shutdown.

傍日一

8.4.2.4 Ability to Handle Changes in Influent Composition

The native plant farming system is not expected to be significantly impacted by minor changes in influent composition. An increase in nitrate concentration will promote greater growth of vegetation, while a decrease will cause less vigorous growth. Major increases in nitrate concentration could exceed the nitrate uptake capacity of the existing plants. Changes in the concentration of other, inert constituents will not affect the quantity or the quality of the vegetation produced.

Changes in influent concentration will affect the rate of brine generation in the distillation system, but since the contaminants in the ground water are not volatile, this system is expected to be reasonably tolerant of changes in influent.

Under most circumstances, a change in influent composition would affect only the quantity of reject water produced by the RO system. However, since the nitrate concentration in the influent is already high, a significant increase in nitrate concentration could cause "breakthrough" of nitrate into the treated water at levels above the nitrate treatment standard.

In the case of the chemical treatment with biological denitrification process, changes in feed composition will require changes in chemical addition rates, so the system will have to be reviewed to insure that it provides adequate flexibility.

If it becomes necessary to meet treatment standards for additional constituents, the effectiveness of an active process will depend on the nature of the contaminant to be treated. Distillation removes a very high percentage of all nonvolatile contaminants, while RO removes a very high percentage of all contaminants having ion sizes larger than nitrate. RO would be ineffective for treatment of species having very small ions, while distillation would be ineffective against volatile species such as light organics. The chemical treatment system may lack the flexibility to be able to treat additional contaminants effectively, since the treatment required may be different than what is provided in the present design.

8.4.2.5 Ability to Handle Increases in Extraction Capacity

The native plant farming system is limited in capacity by the area available for planting, and by the maximum water available from the alluvial aquifer. The system proposed here stretches both of these factors approximately to their limits. It will probably not be possible to accelerate the remediation timetable using these processes beyond the 23 years currently projected. If it becomes necessary to treat more water than currently projected, additional treatment time will be required.

The RO system is designed for a permeate rate of up to 150 gpm if all three trains are in operation. Assuming a brine rate of 14 percent, two trains can handle a total feed flow of up to 115 gpm. Since the design extraction rate is 103 gpm, normal operation will require operating

Development and Evaluation of Active Remediation Alternatives

two of the trains while the third remains in stand-by mode, which is the arrangement recommended by the manufacturer. However, all three trains can be operated in parallel if required. Also, the maximum feed rate for a RO system is to an extent a function of the feed composition. If an increase in feed rate were accompanied by a decrease in contaminant concentration, such as if wells from less contaminated areas of the plume were put on-line, it would have little effect on the RO system.

The distillation and chemical treatment and biological denitrification units will be specified for a maximum capacity of about 100 gpm. Increasing the capacity beyond 100 gpm will require purchase and installation of additional unit(s). This will be expensive but, in the case of the distillation system, fairly easy to implement. Adding capacity to the chemical treatment unit will be more complicated because the existing system was custom-built, and a new unit would also have to be custom-built. Selection of the design and construction firms would require competitive bidding and monitoring, including some capabilities (e.g. electrical review) which the GJO site would have to subcontract.

8.4.3 Comparative Cost

The estimated capital cost, annual O&M cost, and present worth value for each of the individual treatment processes, as well as for the extraction and injection treatment alternatives, have been given in their respective articles in Section 8.3, and are summarized below. All costs are in millions of dollars. ("Phytoremediation of Subsoil Ammonia" refers to the planting of native species in the area of the former tailings pile to remediate ammonia-contaminated soil. "Phreatophyte Phytoremediation" refers to the planting of black greasewood over the area of the nitrate plume to clean the shallow portion of the alluvial aquifer.)

- Cost in Millions of \$ --

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		4. A second sec second second sec	
Process	Capital	O&M (annual)	Present Worth
Phytoremediation of Subsoil Ammonia	0.20	n/a	0.20
Phreatophyte Phytoremediation	0.25	n/a	0.25
Native Plant Farming	0.98	0.25	3.69
Chemical Treatment with Biological Denitrification	0.97	1.38	15.58
Distillation	3.01	0.55	8.62
Reverse Osmosis	1.19	1.00	11.72
Vertical Extraction Wells w/o Injection	4.57	0.42	9.05
Vertical Extraction Wells with Injection	2.31	0.32	5.56

The costs for the treatment alternatives are calculated by totaling the costs of the various processes which comprise them. All treatment alternatives include phytoremediation of subsoil

Document Number U0018101

ammonia and phreatophyte phytoremediation. The native plant farming alternative includes vertical extraction wells without injection, while the other three alternatives include vertical extraction wells with injection.

The costs of the complete treatment alternatives then are as follows.

		Cost in Millions of \$					
Treatment Alternative No.	Treatment Alternative Name	Capital	O&M (annual)	Present Worth			
1	Native Plant Farming	6.00	0.67	13.17			
2	Chemical Treatment with Biological Denitrification	3.73	1.71	21.56			
3	Distillation	5.77	0.87	14.61			
4	Reverse Osmosis	3.94	1.32	17.71			

8.4.4 Comparative Summary

The preceding discussion has presented ten evaluation criteria, and has compared the four treatment alternatives with regard to each of these criteria. The following table lists the treatment alternatives, in order of preference with 1 being the highest, for each of these ten evaluation criteria.

Criterien	Relative I	Ranking of T	reatment All	ternatives
Criterion	1	2	3	4
Conformance with Project Treatment Standards (40 CFR 192) and Goals	Dist	RO	Chem/Bio	NPF
Impact on the Aquifer	Dist	Chem/Bio	RO	NPF
Residual Disposal	NPF	Dist	RO	Chem/Bio
Constructability	Dist	RO	Chem/Bio	NPF
Ease of Operation and Maintenance	Dist	RO	Chem/Bio	NPF
Expected Reliability	Dist	RO	Chem/Bio	NPF
Ability to Handle Changes in Influent Composition	NPF	Dist	RO	Chem/Bio
Ability to Handle Increases in Extraction Capacity	RO	Dist	Chem/Bio	NPF
Comparative Cost—Initial Capital Outlay	Chem/Bio	RO	NPF	Dist
Comparative Cost—Present Worth	NPF	Dist	RO	Chem/Bio

Key: Dist = Distillation

Chem/Bio = Chemical Treatment with Biological Denitrification

RO = Reverse Osmosis

NPF = Native Plant Farming

8.4.4.1 Determination of Proposed Treatment Process

Treatment Alternative 1—Native Plant Farming offers the lowest total project cost by a significant margin. It also is the preferred technology from the standpoint of residual disposal - assuming, as noted above, that the market for the plant material remains viable - and ability to handle changes in influent composition. On the other hand, it is the least desirable treatment alternative for six of the ten criteria listed: Conformance with treatment standards and goals, impact on the alluvial aquifer, constructability, ease of operation and maintenance, expected reliability, and ability to handle increases in extraction capacity.

Treatment Alternative 2—Chemical Treatment with Biological Denitrification is the preferred technology only from the standpoint of lowest initial capital cost, because it utilizes existing DOE-owned equipment. This advantage evaporates when overall project cost is considered, the very high operating costs of this system giving it by far the highest total cost over the lifetime of the project. It is also the least-preferred technology for residual disposal and ability to handle changes in influent composition, and next-to-least for another five criteria.

Treatment Alternative 3—Distillation is the first choice in five criteria—conformance with treatment standards and goals, impact on the aquifer, constructability, ease of operation and maintenance, and expected reliability. It finished second in four of the other five criteria, by far the best showing of any technology in the process-related criteria. The only serious drawback to distillation is that it has the highest capital cost of any treatment alternative.

Treatment Alternative 4—Reverse Osmosis, is rated highest in ability to handle increases in extraction capacity; it is the only technology, in fact, that can absorb a significant increase in extraction capacity without either requiring additional costly treatment modules, or being overdesigned in the first place. (This is not an inherent feature of the RO technology, but instead is due to the fact that this treatment alternative utilizes an existing DOE-owned facility with a capacity of nearly 175 gpm.) RO is second choice in five criteria - constructability and initial capital outlay (because it utilizes existing equipment), conformance to treatment standards and goals, ease of operation and maintenance, and expected reliability. It did not rate last in any category - the only treatment alternative for which this was true.

Of the "active" processes, chemical treatment with biological denitrification is the poorest choice, rating third or fourth in eight of the ten criteria. The only attractive aspect of chemical treatment with biological denitrification is its low initial capital cost, but this is more than outweighed by its present-worth value, which is the highest of any of the treatment alternatives. Thus, there is no reason to consider this alternative further.

Reverse osmosis is considerably more attractive than chemical treatment with biological denitrification. It also offers a relatively low capital cost, while rating higher than chemical treatment with biological denitrification in almost all the process-related criteria. Compared to distillation, however, RO is definitely an inferior alternative. Although RO is less expensive to implement, since it utilizes existing DOE-owned equipment, it is more expensive to operate (primarily because it requires more operating manpower), which results in a total project cost which is 25% higher than the total cost for distillation. And while RO offers numerous process

DOE/Grand Junction Office April 1999 advantages compared with chemical treatment with biological denitrification, it trailed distillation in almost all of these same criteria. Since RO is less attractive from a process standpoint than distillation, and has a significantly higher total project cost, distillation is the preferred "active" alternative.

Although distillation is the least expensive "active" treatment alternative, its total project costs exceed those of the native plant farming alternative by almost \$1.5 million. However, many uncertainties are associated with implementation of the native plant farming option. These have been covered in detail elsewhere and will be briefly reiterated here.

- The inefficiency and possible ineffectiveness of an extraction system that does not include injection of treated water to the aquifer.
- Uncertainty as to the rate at which contaminated water can be absorbed and nitrate can be uptaken by the species chosen.
- Uncertainty as to the effect on the soil of sulfate build up as a result of the irrigation process.
- Uncertainty as to the effect on the alluvial aquifer of the loss of over 1 billion gallons of water.
- Uncertainty as to the effect on the alluvial aquifer, and on the contamination zones, of the cyclic nature of the extraction process.
- Uncertainty as to whether the plant material produced will be accepted as a viable feed. Even if initial acceptance is good, if there should be unexplained incidents of livestock death after eating this material, at any time during the twenty-plus year lifetime of the remediation project, it could immediately become impossible to give the material away, and what is now an asset would instantly become a major liability.

A pilot test of the native plant farming process had been proposed by the DOE which could have answered at least some of these questions. It could not, however, have addressed several of them, including the issue of long-term stakeholder acceptance of the plant material. So, even though native plant farming process it offers several attractive features, including low total project cost and beneficial reuse of a contaminant, the unresolved questions regarding the native plant farming process make its selection as the treatment of choice for the Monument Valley site very problematic.

No comparable uncertainties exist with the distillation process. Distillation is currently being implemented at the Tuba City site, so the DOE will have actual experience operating this process at an UMTRA Ground Water site by the time remediation begins at Monument Valley. Distillation more than satisfies the regulatory requirements of 40 CFR 192 and will produce a high-quality effluent that will recharge the aquifer with a minimum of loss while containing and preventing the spread of the contaminant plume. Whether any pump-and-treat process can successfully remediate the contaminated ground water at the Monument Valley site cannot be known at this time. What can be said with confidence, however, is that the distillation process will give a successful remediation if any pump-and-treat process can. DOE must also consider the stakeholders' desires to preserve the integrity of the aquifer if possible. Distillation preserves the water resource to the greatest extent of any of the treatment processes considered, while the native plant farming system does not preserve it at all. In consideration of all the above, then,

Treatment Alternative 3—Distillation, is the preferred treatment technology for the Monument Valley ground water remediation program.

8.5 Proposed Remediation Processes

The proposed remediation process incorporates phytoremediation of subpile ammonia using fourwing saltbush, phreatophyte phytoremediation of shallow portions of the aquifer using black greasewood, and active remediation of the deeper portions of the aquifer using distillation. The phytoremediation aspects of the remediations are discussed in Section 8.2.4.1. The active portion of the remediation program consists of three systems. This section discusses each of those systems.

8.5.1 Description of Proposed Remediation Process

8.5.1.1 Proposed Extraction System

The extraction system consists of a total of 40 extraction wells, varying in depth up to a maximum of approximately 90 ft., depending on the depth of the alluvial aquifer at the particular location. The expected flow rate per well is 3 gpm, giving the extraction system a peak capacity of 120 gpm once all wells are in service.

A typical extraction-well design for the Monument Valley site would consist of a 10-in. diameter borehole completed with 6-inch diameter wire-wrapped well screen, or pre-packed screen, and blank PVC. The section of the well containing the well screen will be completed with an appropriately-sized sand pack. The final design of the well and the size of the pump will be optimized based on field conditions.

The extraction wells will be installed across the nitrate plume, an area measuring approximately 11.2 million square feet or about 260 acres. The water pumped from these wells must be collected from across this substantial area and delivered to the treatment facility. Each pump will discharge into a 6 in. PVC outlet pipe. These outlet pipes will be directed into a series of headers, which in turn will connect to the main 6 in. PVC extraction system discharge pipe which is routed to the feed pond.

8.5.1.2 Proposed Distillation Treatment System

The water from the extraction system will be collected in a 20,000-gal feed tank. This tank will be equipped with a level control system with full instrumentation and controls. From the feed tank, contaminated water is pumped directly to the distillation system, which will consist of one self-contained unit with a feed capacity of between 100 and 120 gpm. The unit will be instrumented to permit continuous operation with remote monitoring capability.

The concentrated brine from the distillation unit, which is expected to average less than 2 percent of the total feed, will be pumped to a solar evaporation pond for final concentration. This pond will be sized to hold all of the sludge produced during the lifetime of the remediation project. The dry sludge from this pond will be removed at the end of the project. The treated water from the distillation system, expected to average 98 percent or more of the total feed, will be pumped to a distillate tank having a capacity of approximately 10,000 gal. This will provide holding capacity so that the injection system can continue to operate during minor upsets in the distillation system.

8.5.1.3 Proposed Injection System

Water from the distillate tank is pumped continuously to the injection system. This will consist of approximately 40 injection wells, varying in depth to a maximum of approximately 90 ft. The expected flow rate per well is 3 gpm, giving the injection system a peak capacity of 120 gpm once all wells are in service. The construction of the injection wells is similar to that of the extraction wells, except that no pump or discharge piping are used.

8.5.2 Summary

The proposed system meets or exceeds the requirements of 40 CFR 192, and is protective of human health and the environment. The products of the treatment system are a high-quality treated water, constituting about 98 percent of the mass of the water extracted from the alluvial aquifer, which will be injected into the alluvial aquifer; and a concentrated brine, containing essentially all the dissolved and suspended solids present in the untreated ground water, which will be concentrated on-site in a solar evaporation pond and removed for disposal at a remote location at the conclusion of the remediation project.

8.5.3 Limitations of Proposed Alternative

Although ground water extraction and ex-situ treatment, also known as pump and treat, was found to be the best method to meet cleanup goals in the aquifer, the effectiveness of pump-and-treat systems has been limited. Few sites with contaminated ground water have ever been restored to drinking water standards (Travis 1990; EPA 1996); however, the vast majority of sites where pump and treat is now being used are dealing with sources composed of non-aqueous-phase liquids. Nevertheless, although the constituents at the Monument Valley site are dissolved and expected to behave conservatively, the cleanup standard for nitrate has been set at the drinking water standard. Consequently, the effectiveness of the ground water extraction system is the primary factor that determines whether aquifer cleanup goals are met.

Technical criteria will need to be established to evaluate the success of the remediation. These criteria will be developed in the GCAP after discussion with stakeholders. The GCAP will define the logic that will be used to evaluate the success or failure of the remedial action. It will also propose the steps that might be taken if the concentrations indicate significant "tailing," that is, an absence of continued improvement in the ground water quality with time.

The main factors that influence the effectiveness of ground water extraction systems are hydraulic inefficiencies, heterogeneity of the aquifer, and sorption of contaminants to the aquifer material. Hydraulic inefficiencies account for the diffusion of contaminants into low-permeability sediments and hydrodynamic isolation (stagnation points) within a well field. Heterogeneities of the aquifer (e.g., changes in the hydraulic conductivity and effective porosity) will affect the ability to extract ground water from all areas of the aquifer. The sorption of contaminants to the aquifer material retards the movement of the contaminants in the ground water. The more a contaminant sorbs to the aquifer matrix the more ground water must be extracted to remove the contaminant.

If active remediation cannot achieve the cleanup levels, other methods of protecting human health might be pursued. A provision in 40 CFR 192 allows the use of ACLs that would be set at a higher concentration than the current cleanup goals but that would still be protective of human health. The use of ACLs may require that the area within the fence surrounding the formal site be extended to incorporate areas of the plume that could not be remediated to the cleanup levels. Use of ACLs and extending the fenced area would only be considered if active remediation could no longer effectively reduce contaminant levels in the aquifer.

9.0 References

40 CFR Part 192. "Health and Environmental Protection Standards for Uranium and Thorium Mill Tailings," U.S. Code of Federal Regulations, July 1, 1996.

40 CFR Part 300 "National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan; Final Rule," U.S. Code of Federal Regulations, March 8, 1990.

40 CFR Part 1507.3 "Agency Procedures," U.S. Code of Federal Regulations, July 1, 1997.

42 USC 4321 et. seq. "National Environmental Policy Act," Public Law 91-90, United States Code, January 1, 1970.

42 USC 7901 et seq., "Uranium Mill Tailings Radiation Control Act," United States Code, November 8, 1978.

42 USC §7922 et seq., Uranium Mill Tailings Remedial Action Amendments Act, November 5, 1988.

60 FR 2854, "Ground Water Standards for Remedial Actions at Inactive Uranium Processing Sites; Final Rule", January 11, 1995.

Albrethsen, Jr., H. and F.E. McGinley, 1982. Summary History of Domestic Uranium Procurement Under U.S. Atomic Energy Commission Contracts, Final Report, GJBX-220(82), prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy, Grand Junction Area Office, Grand Junction, Colorado, October.

Barbour, M.G., J.H. Burk, and W.D. Pitts, 1987. *Terrestrial Plant Ecology*, Second Edition. Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Company, Menelo Park, California.

Baumgartner, D.J., E.P. Glenn, R.O. Kuehl, T.L. Thompson, S.E. Menke, J.F. Artiola, M.A. Algharaibeh, and G.S. Moss, 1996. *Plant Response to Simulated and Actual Uranium Mill Tailings Contaminated Ground water: A Report to UMTRA Project, U.S. Department of Energy*, Environmental Research Laboratory, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona.

Bonham, C.D., 1989. *Measurements for Terrestrial Vegetation*, John Wiley and Sons, New York.

Brady, N.C., 1974. The Nature and Properties of Soils, 8th Edition, MacMillan Publishing Co., Inc., New York.

Branson, F.A., R.F. Miller, and I.S. McQueen, 1976. "Moisture Relationships in Twelve Northern Desert Shrub Communities Near Grand Junction, Colorado," *Ecology*, 57:1104–1124.

Branson, F. A., G. F. Gifford, K. G. Rennard, and R. F. Hadley, 1981. *Rangeland Hydrology*, Range Science Series, Kendall/Hunt Publishing Company, Dubuque, Iowa.

Brown, J.R., and G.E. Smith, 1966. "Soil Fertilization and Nitrate Accumulation in Garden Vegetables," *Agronomy Journal* 58:209–212.

Chenoweth, W.L., 1985. Early Vanadium-Uranium Mining in Monument Valley, Apache and Navajo Counties, Arizona, and San Juan County, Utah, Open-File Report 85–15, Arizona Bureau of Geology and Mineral Technology.

Christensen, N.B., 1995. "1D Imaging of Central Loop Transient Electromagnetic Soundings," *Journal of Environmental & Engineering Geophysics*, 0(1):53–66.

Cooley, M.E., J.W. Harshbarger, J.P. Akers, and W.F. Hardt, 1969. Regional Hydrogeology of the Navajo and Hopi Indian Reservations, Arizona, New Mexico, and Utah, U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 521–A: 61 pp.

Cooper, H.H., and C.E. Jacob, 1946. A generalized graphical method for evaluating formation constants and summarizing well field history, Am. Geophys. Union Trans., Vol. 27, pp. 526-534

Coyne, P.I., M.J. Trlica, and C.E. Owensby, 1995. "Carbon and Nitrogen Dynamics in Range Plants," pp 59–167. In: D.J Bedunah and R.E. Sosebee (Eds.), Wildland *Plants: Physiological Ecology and Developmental Morphology*, Society for Range Management, Denver.

Dodge, N.N., 1985. *Flowers of the Southwest Deserts*, Southwest Parks and Monuments Association, Tucson, Arizona, 136 pp.

Dunmire, W.W., and G.D. Tierney, 1995. *Wild Plants of the Pueblo Province: Exploring Ancient and Enduring Uses*, Museum of New Mexico Press, Santa Fe, New Mexico, 290 pp.

Elmore, F.H., and J.R. Janish, 1976. *Shrubs and Trees of the Southwest Uplands*, Southwest Parks and Monuments Association, Tucson, Arizona, 214 pp.

Foxx, T.S., G.D. Tierney, and J.M. Williams, 1984. *Rooting Depths of Plants on Low-Level Waste Disposal Sites*, LA-10253-MS, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico.

Fritts, H.C., and T.W. Swetnam, 1989. "Dendroecology: A Tool for Evaluating Variations in past and Present Forest Environments," *Advances in Ecological Research*, 19:111–118.

Gee, G. W., P.J. Wierenga, and B.J. Andraski, 1994. "Variations in Water Balance and Recharge Potential at Three Western Desert Sites," *Soil Scientific Soc. American Journal*, 58:63.

Gee and Bauder, 1986. "Particle-Size Analysis," Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 1: Physical and Mineralogical Methods, Second Edition, Agronomy Series No. 9, American Society of Agronomy, Soil Science Society of America, Madison, Wisconsin, pp. 383-411.

GJO, 1998. Grand Junction Office Environmental Procedures Catalog, GJO–6, continuously updated, prepared jointly by MACTEC–ERS and Wastren–Grand Junction for the U.S. Department of Energy, Grand Junction Office, Grand Junction, Colorado.

Glenn, E., R. Tanner, S. Miyamoto, K. Fitzsimmons, and J. Boyer, 1998. "Water Use, Productivity, and Forage Quality of the Halophyte *Atriplex nummularia* Grown on Saline Waste Water in a Desert Environment, *Journal of Arid Environments* 38:45–62.

Gray, I.B., 1961. Nature and Origin of the Moenkopi-Shinarump Hiatus in Monument Valley, Arizona and Utah, Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Arizona, Department of Geology, 94 p.

Hammack, L.C., 1993. Cane Valley Frog Ponds and Old Mill Site Haul Road, Monument Valley UMTRA Project, Navajo Nation, Apache County, Arizona, CASA 92–17, prepared for M-K Ferguson Company, Albuquerque, New Mexico, March.

Hantush, M.S., and C.E. Jacob, 1955. Non-steady radial flow in an infinite leaky aquifer, Am. Geophys. Union Trans., Vol. 36, pp.95 - 100.

Henningsen, G.M., 1997. "Proposed Toxicological Risk-Based Concentrations of Sulfate for eh Risk Assessment and as a Drinking Water Action Level at Kennecott Utah Copper," memo to Eva Hoffman, RDM Kennecott Site, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region VIII.

Hillel, D., 1980. Fundamentals of Soil Physics, Academic Press, San Diego, California.

Irwin, J.H., P.R. Stevens, and M.E. Cooley, 1971. Geology of the Paleozoic Rocks, Navajo and Hopi Indian Reservations, Arizona, New Mexico, and Utah, U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 521–C.

James, H.L., 1973. Guidebook of Monument Valley and Vicinity; Arizona and Utah, New Mexico Geological Society.

Kabata-Pendias, A., and H. Pendias, 1992. Trace *Elements in Soils and Plants*, 2nd Edition, CRC Press, Boca Raton.

Kim, I, and G. Ondrey, 1996. "Beyond Pump and Treat; New Remediation Technologies Save Money and Time, but the Word's Getting out Very Slowly," *Chemical Engineering* 103(3):30–35.

Kim, I., 1996. "Harnessing the Green Clean; Phytoremediation Is Taking Root in Contaminated Sites,." *Chemical Engineering* 103(12):39–41.

Kruseman, G.P. and N.A. de Ridder, 1976. Analysis and Evaluation of Pumping Test Data, International Institute for Land Reclamation and Improvement, Wageningen, Netherlands.

Mayes, V.O., and B.B. Lacy, 1989. Nanise', A Navajo Herbal: One Hundred Plants for the Navajo Reservation. Navajo Community College Press, Tsaile, Arizona, 153 pp.

Merritt, R.C., 1971. *The Extractive Metallurgy of Uranium*, Colorado School of Mines Research Institute, 576 pp.

Munshower, F.F., 1996. Practical Handbook of Disturbed Land Revegetation. Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton.

Nichols, W.D., 1993. "Estimating Discharge of Shallow Ground Water by Transpiration from Greasewood in the Northern Great Basin," *Water Resources Research* 29: 2771–2778.

Neuman, S.P., 1975. Analysis of pumping test data from anisotropic unconfined aquifers considering delayed yield, Water Resources Research, Vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 329-342.

Neuman, S.P., 1987. On Methods of Determining Specific Yield, Groundwater, Vol. 25, no. 6, pp. 679-684.

Parkhurst, D.L., D.C. Thorstenson, and N.L. Plummer, 1980. *PHREEQE - A Computer Program* For Geochemical Calculations, U.S. Geological Survey WRI 80–96.

Peck, N.H., A.V. Barker, G.E. MacDonald, and R.S. Shallenberger, 1971. "Nitrate Accumulation in Vegetables, II: Table Beets Grown in Upland Soils," *Agronomy Journal* 63:130–132.

Pierzynski, G.M., J.T. Simms, and G.F. Vance, 1993. Soils and Environmental Quality, Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton.

Piper, A.M., 1944. "A Graphic Procedure in the Geochemical Interpretation of Water Analyses," *American Geophysical Union Transactions*, 24:914–923.

Röhrich, T. and Waterloo Hydrogeologic, Inc., no date. User's Manual for AquiferTest.

Sandberg, S.K., 1990. Microcomputer Software for Individual or Simultaneous Inverse Modeling of Transient Electromagnetic, Resistivity, and Induced Polarization Soundings, New Jersey Geological Survey Open-File Report OFR 90–1, 160 p., 2 diskettes.

Schnoor, J.L., L.A. Licht, S.C. McCutcheon, N.L. Wolfe, and L.H. Carreira, 1995. "Phytoremediation of Organic and Nutrient Contaminants," *Environmental Science and Technology*, 29:318–323.

Shacklette, H.T. and J.G. Boerngen, 1984. Element Concentrations in Soils and Other Surficial Materials of the Conterminous United States, U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1270.

Soil Survey Staff, 1975. Soil Taxonomy: A Basic System of Soil Classification for Making and Interpreting Soil Surveys, Agricultural Handbook No. 436, Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, DC.

Stephens, D.B., 1994, "A Perspective on Diffuse Natural Recharge Mechanisms in Areas of Low Precipitation," *Soil Scientific Soc. American Journal*, 58.

Stewart, J.H., 1959. Stratigraphic Relations of Hoskinnini Member (Triassic) of Monekopi Formation on the Colorado Plateau, American Association of Petroleum Geologists bulletin, 43:8.

11.80

Theis, C.V., 1935. The relation between the lowering of the piezometric surface and the rate and duration of discharge of a well using groundwater storage, Am. Geophys. Union Trans., Vol. 16, pp. 519-524.

Thornthwaite, C.W., and J.R. Mather, 1957. Instructions and Tables for Computing Potential Evaporation and the Water Balance. Climatology, 10(3).

U.S. Department of Energy, 1998a. *Site Observational Work Plan for the UMTRA Project Site Near Tuba City, Arizona*, prepared by MACTEC Environmental Restoration Services, LLC for the U.S. Department of Energy, grand Junction Office, Grand Junction, Colorado, February.

——, 1998b. UMTRA Ground Water Project Management Action Process (MAP) Document, MAC-GWADM 1.1, Rev. 1, prepared by MACTEC Environmental Restoration Services, LLC for the U.S. Department of Energy, Grand Junction Office, Grand Junction, Colorado, May.

U.S. Department of Energy, 1997a. *Data Validation for the Monument Valley, AZ UMTRA Site:* January 1997 Water Sampling, prepared by U.S. Department of Energy Grand Junction Office, Grand Junction, Colorado.

———, 1997b. Sampling and Analysis Plan for the UMTRA Ground Water Project, MAC-GWADM 19.1–1 (P-GJPO-2353), Rev. 2, prepared by MACTEC Environmental Restoration Services, LLC for the U.S. Department of Energy, Grand Junction Office, Grand Junction, Colorado, May.

———, 1997c. Work Plan for Characterization Activities at the UMTRA Monument Valley Project Site, MAC–3012, prepared by MACTEC Environmental Restoration Services, LLC for the U.S. Department of Energy, Grand Junction Office, Grand Junction, Colorado, March.

———, 1996a. Addendum to the Sampling and Analysis Plan for the UMTRA Ground Water Project, P–GJPO–2353, Rev. 1, prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy Grand Junction Office, Grand Junction, Colorado, November.

———, 1996b. Baseline Risk Assessment of Ground Water Contamination at the Monument Valley Uranium Mill Tailings Site, Cane Valley, Arizona, DOE/AL/62350–43 Rev. 2, U.S. Department of Energy, Albuquerque, New Mexico.

------, 1996c. Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement for the Uranium Mill Tailings Remedial Action Ground Water Project, DOE/EIS-0198, prepared by the U.S. Department of Energy, UMTRA Project Office, Albuquerque Operations Office, Albuquerque, New Mexico, October. U.S. Department of Energy, 1996d. Site Observational Work Plan for the UMTRA Project Site at Monument Valley, Arizona, DOE/AL/62350-201, Rev. 0. prepared by the U.S. Department of Energy, Albuquerque, New Mexico.

———, 1994. UMTRA Project Water Sampling and Analysis Plan Monument Valley, Arizona, DOE/AL-62350-123, prepared by Jacobs Engineering Group Inc. for the U.S. Department of Energy, UMTRA Project Office, Albuquerque, New Mexico, March.

------, 1993a. Recommendations for the Preparation of Environmental Assessments and Environmental Impact Statements, U.S. Department of Energy, Office of NEPA Oversight, Washington D.C., May.

------, 1993b. Remedial Action Plan for the Codisposal and Stabilization of the Monument Valley and Mexican Hat Uranium Mill Tailings at Mexican Hat, Utah, prepared by U.S. Department of Energy, UMTRA Project Office, Albuquerque Operations Office, Albuquerque, New Mexico, February.

———, 1993c. *Technical Approach to Groundwater Restoration*, Final, DOE/AL/62350–20F, prepared by the U.S. Department of Energy, UMTRA Project Office, Albuquerque Operations Office, Albuquerque, New Mexico, November.

U.S. Department of Energy, 1989. Environmental Assessment of Remedial Action at the Monument Valley Uranium Mill Tailings Site, Monument Valley, Arizona, final, UMTRA-DOE/AL-0368, prepared by U.S. Department of Energy, UMTRA Project Office, Albuquerque Operations Office, Albuquerque, New Mexico.

———, 1981. Engineering Assessment of Inactive Uranium Mill Tailings, Monument Valley Site, Monument Valley, Arizona, prepared by Ford, Bacon, and Davis Utah, Inc. for the U.S. Department of Energy, Albuquerque Office, UMTRA Project Office, Albuquerque, New Mexico, October.

U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, 1962. *Waste Guide for the Uranium Milling Industry*, Technical Report W62–12 PB 226 362, U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Public Health Service.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1998. Integrated Risk Information System, February 23.

------, 1989. Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund, Volume 1: Health Evaluation Manual, Part A, EPA/540/1-89-002, Office of Emergency and Remedial Response, Washington, D.C.

USDI, 1980, Earth Manual - A Water Resources Technical Publication, Field Permeability Test (Well Permeameter Method), Designation E–19.

Voss, E.G. (ed.), 1983. International Code of Botanical Nomenclature. Adopted by the 13th International Botanical Congress, Sydney, August 1981, Bohn, Scheltema & Holkema, 472 pp. Weand, B.L., and V.L Hauser, 1997. "The Evapotranspiration Cover," *Environmental Protection* 8(11):40-42.

a contract and she

Welsh, S.L., N.D. Atwood, L.C. Higgins, and S. Goodrich, 1987. *A Utah Flora*. Great Basin Naturalist Memoirs No. 9, Brigham Young University, Provo, Utah, 894 pp.

Whitson, T.D. (ed.), 1992. Weeds of the West, Western Society of Weed Science, Newark, California, 630 pp.

Wiese, A.F., n.d., *Russian Thistle—Salsola iberica Sennen and Pau*, Texas Agriculture Experiment Station, Bushland, Texas.

Will, M.E., and G.W. Suter II, 1995. Toxicological Benchmarks for Screening Potential Contaminants of Concern for Effects on Terrestrial Plants: 1995 Revision, ES/ER/TM-85/R2, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.

Witkind, I.J., and R.E. Thaden, 1963, "Geology and Uranium-Vanadium Deposits of the Monument Valley Area, Apache and Navajo Counties, Arizona," with sections on Serpentine at Garnet Ridge, by H.E. Malde and R.E. Thaden, and "Mineralogy and Paragenesis of the Ore Deposit at the Monument No. 2 and Cato Sells Mines," by D.H. Johnson: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1103, 171 p.

This page intentionally blank

l

Appendix A Lithologic and Monitor Well Completion Logs

This page intentionally blank

	٩				Jt	ADVANCED SYS	rems d HOL	E LO		OPERATIONS	
						<u></u>			Manla		in the I Go
	110	. .	AP:	•	E Jam	IT PHENE		PPRO)	. SITE CO	ORDINATES (f	i.):
		.9	STOR F	25	Ē	We we have a second					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	:	SHALL LE	where we	يحبري	بر کی بھ		D	RILLIN	G METHO): <u>6''HSA</u>	1 24"SPT
	1		6	111	< X	بي الله	172	RILLER		TCATT S	<u>4</u> B
			ĒN	يسع	100	- Cpo upo	3P	ATE C	OMPLETED	: 10.24	
~					The second secon		₹₹F	IELD A	EP.:	SILVA	
ח	TT		0						GROU	NDWATER LEV	ELS
		4 00	1. 1. 1.					D	ATE •24-94	TIME	DEPTH (ft.)
	ኅ										
	NOTS	uk te	460						1		
LOCA	TIOI	N DI	ESC	RIP		APPEOX 300	500	N · Sw	OF OLD B	WE TRUCK CAB	AT FORK IN ROAD
BITE (CON	DIT	ION	-		LOW LYING AR	C'A	ICAR W	ASA U T GRAD I	ENT AF SITE	,
	25		" 0	U		81.040	Ē				
EPTH	ANU ANU	BANPI BANPI	BAMPL RETAIN	TYP	, BD	PER 6 in.	A A L U	USCS	v	ISUAL CLASSIFI	CATION
	\mathbb{V}	$\not\vdash$	Х	5	001	323B	6	SP	FINE BOAIN Se siert	TOUSAND LEONSAND	TRACE CED DEY F
	\square	Ţ	\mathbf{k}	5	2	3236	9		ALLE OF SIA	T#@ 4' CO	and antered
	ĔZ	ĽΖ	\bigtriangledown	5	3	9,13,20,12			inaver of		
5.5	K-,	K-,	$\left(\right)$	· c		12,8,5,5		SP 68	SAINDMAR	6 GLARELLY DR	Y, LTBROWN ROUNDED ORY
0	\checkmark	\checkmark	imes	5				58	7.5		
0									Moist 88'	REDGIOWN LOUS	FAND
	\overline{Z}	Z	\times	5	5	11,1,12,21	 		V MOUST TO M	er ell'	
			\mathfrak{A}		6					SANDER REDE	Ker LT BROWN
<u>в</u>	\checkmark		$(\)$	5		" <u>" و/بلاد ۲۹ رق ۵</u>		35	- WETCHE-13	DENSE DRILLINE	SAND STONE ALCES
5	N	Ŋ	M	Ą	8	ANGER Dence 13-15			SAND STONE		3×000 # 400 m (g 13
•	\checkmark			5		30 59/-			SAMPLES FAI		R 15-20'
						DRILL 5				TELLOW-BROW	T
						23			· _		· · ·
									- -		and interest
									EASY ZONE	FDERLAG - Poss	OLC VER SNUDY LAVER
1.5-21.9				·						*235	
2.5-2.9 25=79											
2.5-22.9 25-79											•
2.5-2.9 35=79											
2.5-21 2.5-72	NT	.	Mo	~, 7	dr we	LL SET TO Z	:4'			BAMPL	ETYPE
2.5-21 3.5=TP 	NT	s: _	Mo	~ , 17	dr we	LL SET DZ	24°			8AMPL A - Auger cutt 8 - 2° O.D. 1, U - 3° O.D. 2,	E TYPE ings 38° LD. drive sample 42° LD. tube sample

.

•



	هه								····
			OREHOLI	BS E E STS E / W	IGINEER TRAS DIVIS ELL C	ING GROUP INC ION, ALBUBIERAUE OF DINSTRUCTION	: BATIONS I LOG	•	
BITE I	D:	MONOT	LOCATIO	N ID:	MN	FIELD REF	. SILV.	¢.	
APPRO	DX. 8	BITE COO	RDINATES	(FT.)): N		E		
GROUN	ND EL	EVATION	FT. MSL):	انوا مینامد موجو	COMPLET	ION DATE	:_/0-20	7-84
	8	OREHOL	E SUMMAR	Y		CONST	RUCTION	TIME LOG	3
DRILLE	ER:	54B-	TED CA	<u>π /s</u>	INNA	ACTIVITY	8T/	ART	END
RIG TY	/PE:_	CM	ESS				DATE	TIME	
BIT TY	PE	HOLE DIA.	END DEPTH	F		DRILLING	10.24.84	Fp:00	
6114	<a td="" <=""><td>6 5/6</td><td>24'</td><td></td><td></td><th></th><td></td><td>$\sum_{i=1}^{n}$</td><td></td>	6 5/6	24'					$\sum_{i=1}^{n}$	
<i>Q</i> 713		je ip	<u>~</u> /					. V	10:20
		<u>`</u>				CASING		10:20	10:3
				<u> </u>					
CASING]		BUMMARY			FILTER PACK		10:35	10:3
TYPE		DESCRIP		(in.)	DEPTH	SEAL		10:40	10 .42
<u> 7 P</u>	STAL	LOCKIIJ6 B.A.	CAP LOCK	-	+ 3101	C CENEUMT			
		DIANK		7	6	BACKFILL	Ē	10,45	11:00
100	01	D. SLOTT	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3	72				ł
7' B.	BL.	ANIC 2'		2	24'	DEVELOPMENT			
			1	1					
	 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
	t	· · · · ·	f 1			UINEN		r a P	
* P-Prote	ctive	S-Screen	B-Blank O-	Open	N-None		V		
Depth fr	om To	op of Casing)				,		
	W	ELL CON	STRUCTIO	N		WE	LL DEVEL	OPMENT	
TYPE		DESCR	IPTION		DEPTH	6'STEEL	LOCKING C	AD	
UUUL	· · ·					No	DELELOP	EDED	
			· · ·			HOLE STAVIEDO	PENTO 24'	,	
	,								
									,
B	14:00	Content 1	ROUT BALV	SILL	12'-0				
S	25 10: V	OLCLAY PL	UCTS SEAL		10-12'	COMMENTS:	5.5# 1010	LAYTA BL	275
F	sen SA	ND FILTER	PACK SE	:): .j	12.24		"h SECKS	CEMERS	
							5 BALVE	TS ar Si	13 1
• 8-	Beckf	8 -	Seal F	- Filto	Pack		I P TORC	- • • • • • • • • • •	
• Dep	th from	m Ground Bi	rtace	. •			LIS POWDER	ED BERTY	MEWITZ

. •

.

Well Detail Report for: MON01 0601

5/6/99

GENERAL INFORMATION	SCREENING INFO	SCREENING INFORMATION					
SITE: MONUMENT	VALLEY (MON01)	Elev	Depth				
LOCATION CODE: 0601	TOP GRAVEL PACK:	4869.77	12.00				
DECOMMISSIONED: No	BOTTOM GRAVEL PACK:	4857.77	24.00				
DAMAGED: No	TOP OF SCREEN:	4869.77	12.00				
TOC ELEVATION: 4884.88	BOTTOM OF SCREEN:	4859.77	22.00				
SURFACE ELEVATION: 4881.77	GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:	12.0					
BOTTOM ELEVATION: 4857.77	SCREEN LENGTH:	10.0					
TOTAL DEPTH: 24.00	CASING LENGTH:	27.11					
ZONE OF COMPLETION: SHINARUMF MEMBER OF CHINLE FOF and ALLUVI	CASING DIAMETER (in.): F THE RMATION JM	2	· •				

Details				
TOP BOTT		ГОМ	USCS	
Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION
0.00	4875.37	6.40	POORLY GRADED SANDS	SAND, fine, tr. silt, lt. brown to reddish tan.
6.40	4869.77	12.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	ALLUVIUM: SAND, medium too fine, with fine gravel, dry, rounded, It. brown.Note: Moist. Layer of reddish brown eolian sand at 8 ft.Note: Very moist at 11 ft.
12.00	4868.77	13.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	SAND, fine, with frag. sandstone, It. brown.
13.00	4857.77	24.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	SHINARUMP MEM., CHINLE FM. SANDSTONE, yellowish brown to white.TD AT 24 FEET.
	<u>Details</u> <u>Depth</u> 0.00 6.40 12.00 13.00	Details BOTT Depth Elev 0.00 4875.37 6.40 4869.77 12.00 4868.77 13.00 4857.77	Details BOTTOM Depth Elev Depth 0.00 4875.37 6.40 6.40 4869.77 12.00 12.00 4868.77 13.00 13.00 4857.77 24.00	DetailsDepthElevDepthUSCSDepthElevDepthDESCRIPTION0.004875.376.40POORLY GRADED SANDS6.404869.7712.00POORLY GRADED SANDS12.004868.7713.00POORLY GRADED SANDS13.004857.7724.00POORLY GRADED SANDS

Note: Depths are feet below ground surface and elevations are feet above Mean Sea Level.





			JE ADMA	DBS ER CED SYS .E/W	IGNED	ANG GROUP INC	ERATIONS		3/3
SITE I	D: _//	ONOT	LOCATIC	DN ID:	MN-	FIELD REP	3120	/A	
APPR	DX. 81	TE COO	RDINATES	3 (FT.): N	NOT SUR VEYCI	E	10 7	1191
GROU	ND ELE	EVATION	I (FT. MS	<u></u>		COMPLET	ION DATE		Y .Y.
	BC	DREHOLI	E SUMMAF	RY		CONST	RUCTION	TIME LOO	3
DRILLE	:R:	SHB-7	EDCAT	T		ACTIVITY	8T.	ART	E
	/DE.	CME	55			ACTIVITY	DATE	TIME	Ť
RIGI		HOLE	END			DRULING			
BIT TY	'PE	DIA	DEPTH	' F	'LUID 'YPE	DRILLING	0-24.84	12:00	3
HCA		(0 ⁵ /0''	25	A/ 47	- 1/ 0				
II SM		6 18		10 11	$\pi_2 O$	┨ .			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				+	· · · · · · ·				
<u> </u>						CASING		5:00	5.1
		ASING		1					
<u></u>				Taxa		FILTER PACK		3'20	3
TYPE+			(in.)	DEPTH	SEAL		3:25	3	
P	<i></i>	ler i Li	a Marake C.C.P.		04	-			
	7	STEEL H		211	0-7	BACKFILL		3:30	3:
	20'	BLAN		211	2-07			· .	ļ
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	20	SLARS	1/ED	2 1	72-77	DEVELOPMENT		3:15	3:2
	21	RIANK			34-27	PLUSHING		· ·	
	-	DEANE			3/32		· · ·		
						OTHER		3:90	9.2
P-Prote	ctive f	-Screen (	B-Biank O	-Open	N-None	DECANOF			
Depth fr	om Top	of Casing				1			
	WE	LL CON	STRUCTIC	<u>N</u>		WEL	L DEVEL	OPMENT	
TYPE		DESCR	IPTION		DEPTH	PIPE FLU	SHEDW	ITH RIG .	VATO
CODE					(11.)	FROM S	ANJUA	WRIVER	-
			······		[			• • • •	-
		lunti	2			PATURAL	AND USE	D FOR FIL	Terr
R	2000/-		Hr LI 11º1.	REJAN	I FUT BELA	USC OF M	ue avi	• د م) ••	
	L' LET	ALLER.	TTS 7/0		4-2	<b>1</b>			
	NIDAL	V ACADO		د ه م	COMMENTE H	ble wa	S CAUIN	6	
	ITRIVKA	LEDDEL	WNARTVU S		<del>ه در</del>	KAGING MAG	DINED	MC.DE	111.5
					<u> </u>	TIAN I A	FLATLE !!	- JULEN	100
I		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			L	/HEN-AV	GER WA.	SWULLCH	001
	Sackfe	<b>5</b> -	UOAI F	- FE(0)					

:

# Well Detail Report for: MON01 0602

5/6/99

*

GENERAL INFO	ORMATION	SCREENING INFO	SCREENING INFORMATION						
SITE:		ALLEY (MON01)	Elev	<u>Depth</u>					
LOCATION CODE:	0602	TOP GRAVEL PACK:	4834.08	28.00					
DECOMMISSIONED:	No	BOTTOM GRAVEL PACK:	4806.08	56.00					
DAMAGED:	No	TOP OF SCREEN:	4842.58	19.50					
TOC ELEVATION:	4864.43	BOTTOM OF SCREEN:	4832.58	29.50					
SURFACE ELEVATION:	4862.08	GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:	28.0						
BOTTOM ELEVATION:	4827.08	SCREEN LENGTH:	10.0						
TOTAL DEPTH:	35.00	CASING LENGTH:	33.850						
ZONE OF COMPLETION:	ALLUVIUM	CASING DIAMETER (in.):	2						

.

Note: Depths are feet below ground surface and elevations are feet above Mean Sea Level.



SITE ID	MorJoi	DREHOL	BS EN E/W	HIGHEER		EATIONS LOG SIWF	ļ	2/3
ABBBO			н 10:, /ст [.]		NOT SUC USUS			
680UN	A. GITE VOUR D ELEVATION	VINAIEO	\F 1., \.				- 10- 2	5.94
GROON	BOBEHOLE	PULANAAD	<i></i>	فی الکی ان کر اسر کار بر کی این است است است است ا		IUN DATE	<u> </u>	
	BONEHOLE	OUMMAR	T		CONSI	RUCTION	TIME LO	<u>a</u>
DRILLE	R: TLATT SI	43			ACTIVITY	8T/	AT	END
DIG TVI	DE. CME	55			-	DATE	TIME	TIME
		END A						+
BIT TYP	YPE DIA DEPTH F		LUID	DRILLING	10-24-11	4:00	5.30	
ILCA F.	(In.)	<u>(11.)</u>	A 1 A 7			10.23-67	7.00	10.00
HON M	1647 0-18	22	1041	HEU	4			
			<u> </u>		4			
				, 	CASING	10.25-24	10:00	1015
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					•			
	CASING SI	UMMARY			FILTER PACK		10:15	1247
ASING	DESCRIPT	ION	DIA.	END			ť	
YPE •			(in.)	(11.)	SEAL		10:17	10:20
	•.			·				
04'	STEEL LOCKI	NGLAP	6"	02	BACKEN	· ·	10:20	10.40
3 51	ELANK		S, ,	-3	DACKFILL			. ·
20'	BLAN!C		Z'	23			auto	10:00
20'	BLFILL		2"	<b>9</b> 3	JET FLUSH PLUG		7.50	
510	DIO SLOTTE	SLREEN	2.	53	FROM AUBER			
22	2' BLAN	r	2"	55				
			<u>                                     </u>		UINEN			
P-Protect	tive S-Screen B	-Blenk O-	Open	N-None				
Depth fro	m Top of Casing	· .			·			
•	WELL CONS	TRUCTIO	N		WEL	L DEVELO	OPMENT	
YPE	DERCH	BTION		END O	12'OF AUGE	R PLUGE	WAS JE	FLUSHED
ODE*	DEGUNI			- (ft.)	OUT WHITH	HIGH PRE	SSURE He	0 I
					NATURAL .	sand used	AS FILTE	rc'
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				PACK - HOLE	CAVING /	CASIIIS SE THEN A	T UGER
B	LEMENT GR	OUT		8-0'	NSIDE OF Dilled OL	IT - CASINO	STAY SIN P	ACE
3	VOLLLAY 'S TABO	673 - 5		10-8	HOLE CAVED	@10'		، ۲
F	NATURAL SAND	FILTER		10'-55'				Ì
	·				COMMENTS:	25 ª Vall	per	
						2 SALKS C	سرص بح و يدر	
1						Ot or Dr	1.102	يورد الم
							, <b></b>	



## Well Detail Report for: MON01 0603

5/6/99

GENERAL INFO	RMATION	SCREENING INFO	SCREENING INFORMATION					
SITE:		/ALLEY (MON01)	Elev	Depth				
LOCATION CODE:	0603	TOP GRAVEL PACK:	4837.64	10.00				
DECOMMISSIONED:	No	BOTTOM GRAVEL PACK:	4792.64	55.00				
DAMAGED:	No	TOP OF SCREEN:	4804.64	43.00				
TOC ELEVATION:	4849.41	BOTTOM OF SCREEN:	4794.64	53.00				
SURFACE ELEVATION:	4847.64	GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:	45.0					
BOTTOM ELEVATION:	4792.64	SCREEN LENGTH:	10.0					
TOTAL DEPTH:	55.00	CASING LENGTH:	56.77					
ZONE OF COMPLETION:	ALLUVIUM	CASING DIAMETER (in.):	2					

Lithology Details

<u>T0</u>	TOP		OM	USCS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
<u>Elev</u>	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION
4847.64	0.00	4845.64	2.00	SILTY SANDS	SILTY SAND, fine, It. reddish brown.
4845.64	2.00	4838.64	9.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	SAND, medium to fine It reddish brown.
4838.64	9.00	4837.64	10.00	CLAYS	ALLUVIUM: CLAY, high plasticity, stiff, brown.
4837.64	10.00	4792.64	55.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	EOLIAN: SAND, fine.Note: Wet at 10.5 feet.Note: Water table at 11.5 feet.Note: trace of fine gravel at 27 feet.TD AT 55 FEET.

Note: Depths are feet below ground surface and elevations are feet above Mean Sea Level.





JEG-AL-ENG-3 (3/84)

		DREHOU	65 6 10 575 E/W	THIS DIVIS	ing Group Inc Ion Albumbert of DNSTRUCTION				
					604				
BITE IL		LUCATO BDINATER	N ID: /et '		NOTSUR VEVED	E			
APPAU	DELEVATION	I ST ME	\F I., }.	/: ••••••	CONPLET		•		
GROOM	BOREHOL	RIMMAR	<u></u>		CONST	BUCTION		2	
DRILLE	R: SHB	I CATT, W	ilia	 mn S		ART	T EN		
RIG TY	PE:	E 55			ACTIVITY	DATE	TIME		
BIT TY	PE HOLE	END DEPTH	F	LUID	DRILLING	10-25-84	IZ N	1:2	
6" H.	SA 65/8	30'	NA	THLO					
					CASING		1.20	1.Z	
	CASING E	BUMMARY	<u> </u>	······································	FILTER PACK		1:22	1:Z	
CASING TYPE +	DESCRIP	TION	DIA. (in.)	END DEPTH (ft.)	SEAL		1:24	1:5	
ШQ	As-r		E'	0-41					
5B	5 BLANK		2"	0-5	BACKFILL		130	1.30	
<i>10E</i> 55	10' BL MAK 5'SLOTTE	0.0,010	┠	5-15	DEVELOPMENT				
115 7' B	N' SLOTTE.	010, 00	<b>[</b>	20-30 30-32					
					OTHER				
Depth fro	m Top of Casing		open				•		
	WELL CON	STRUCTIO	N		WEL	L DEVEL	DPMENT	<b></b>	
TYPE DESCRIPTION			DEPTH	FASING PLALED INSIDE AUGER - AUGER THEN LEMOVED -					
			,			SAND CANE	7-709		
		<u></u>			F6 31 SAND	· · · ·		•	
B	coment	GROUT 7	8465	0-5	No	TETTER)			
3	1/2" S YOLCLA	1 PELLED		5.7'	NO	Æ		Arth Pro	
F	NASA	AD EILTER		7-30'	COMMENTS:	MOVED	VLSETINI	-7 <b>.X.A</b>	
	• <b>••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••</b>			1					
• 8-1	lackfill 8 -	Seat F	- File	Pack		<u> </u>		المالين وينه	

## Well Detail Report for: MON01 0604

5/6/99

GENERAL INFO	RMATION	SCREENING INFORMATION				
SITE:	MONUMENT	VALLEY (MON01)	Elev	<u>Depth</u>		
LOCATION CODE:	0604	TOP GRAVEL PACK:	4829.69	9.00		
DECOMMISSIONED:	No	BOTTOM GRAVEL PACK:	4808.69	30.00		
DAMAGED:	No	TOP OF SCREEN:	4825.69	13.00		
TOC ELEVATION:	4840.42	BOTTOM OF SCREEN:	4810.69	28.00		
SURFACE ELEVATION:	4838.69	GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:	21.0			
BOTTOM ELEVATION:	4808.69	SCREEN LENGTH:	15.0			
TOTAL DEPTH:	30.00	CASING LENGTH:	31.73			
ZONE OF COMPLETION:	ALLUVIUM	CASING DIAMETER (in.):	2			

Lithology Details

TOP		BOTTOM		USCS			
Elev	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION		
4838.69	0.00 -	4811.69	27.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	SAND, fine, little silt, lt. dry, reddish brown.Note: water table encountered at 11.5 feet.Note: change to lt. brown, no silt.		
4811.69	27.00	4808.69	30.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	ALLUVIUM: SANDY CLAY, medium plasticity, soft, wet, grey.TD AT 30 FEET.		

Note: Depths are feet below ground surface and elevations are feet above Mean Sea Level.



-	•		OREHOL	E/W			LOG		z/3
BITE	10: <b>1</b>	MONDI	LOCATIO	N ID:	MN-1-	S FIELD REP	514	<u>/}</u>	, 
APPR	ox. (	BITE COO	RDINATES	(FT.	): N				· · · · · ·
GROU	ND E	LEVATION	I (FT. MSL	.):		COMPLET	ION DATE		25 - 24
	1	OREHOLI	E SUMMAR	Y	ي و الما ما الما	CONST	RUCTION	TIME LO	<u>G</u>
DRILL	ER:	TCAT	r sub			ACTIVITY	START		END
RIG T'	IG TYPE: CME-55					ACTIVITY	DATE	TIME	TIME
BIT TY	YPE DIA DEPTH		LUID YPE	DRILLING	10 15 BH	2:00	3:00		
6"HS	4	640	l.	•					1 t a
	·								
						CASING		3:10	3:15
			UMMARY			FILTER PACK		3.15	3.25
CASING	DESCRIPTION		TION	DIA. (in.)	END DEPTH (f1.)	CE AL			7 30
						DEAL		3:25	3. 30
4P,	STE	EL Larin	ic Cap	6"	0.4	BACKELLI		3:30	3:50
ZB	2	BLANK		Z"	03	DAURFILL			
58	5' BLANK		↓ ↓ _	3.7	DEVELOPMENT				
108	· 10' BLANK		<mark>┃ ╢</mark> ー	7-17			• .		
55	<u></u>	SLOTT	50	$\left\{ \cdot \right\}_{-}$	17-2				
105		10" 520578	<u>&gt;</u>		2.32	OTHER		-	
ZB		2 BLATIN			32-34	acan ve	• •		
Depth fr	om To	op of Casing					· ·		ł
	W	ELL CON	STRUCTIO	N		WEL	L DEVEL	DPMENT	
ODE+		DESCR	IPTION		END O DEPTH	M	ONE	TD= 32'	
							•	6	-
	• .								
$-\frac{1}{R}$		Ismont	LADAR	7 0115	1.4	NOT JE	TTED		•
-2		UNSEA:	<b>DILUUI</b>	2.71.4	4-6		:		
F	460	PAL CELA	ID ENSTIT		6.1	COMMENTS:	Hole Cai	ING	
	<u> </u>	-HUJISAN	FFRICE			PUL SETIM	SIDE AU	ber the	N.
	Real *		Real		Bact	AUBER LEM	WD C	лисье6	
		Bround B.				· ·			

l


5/6/99

GENERAL INFO	RMATION	SCREENING INFO	RMATION	<u> </u>
SITE: N		LEY (MON01)	Elev	Depth
LOCATION CODE: 0	605	TOP GRAVEL PACK:	4826.59	6.00
DECOMMISSIONED: N	10	BOTTOM GRAVEL PACK:	4800.59	32.00
DAMAGED: N	10	TOP OF SCREEN:	4818.59	14.00
TOC ELEVATION:	4835.07	BOTTOM OF SCREEN:	4803.59	29.00
SURFACE ELEVATION:	4832.59	GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:	26.0	
BOTTOM ELEVATION:	4800.59	SCREEN LENGTH:	15.0	
TOTAL DEPTH:	32.00	CASING LENGTH:	33.48	
ZONE OF COMPLETION: A		CASING DIAMETER (in.):	2	

#### Lithology Details

TO	P	BOTT	OM	USCS	•
Elev	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION
4832.59	0.00	4800.59	32.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	SAND, fine, dry, loose, non-plastic, lt. reddish brown.Note: medium to fine grained from 3 feet.Note: Water table encountered at 13 feet.Note: Color change to grey, some clay at 31 feet.TD AT 32 FEET.



1 JE JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP INC. ADVANCED SYSTEMS DIVISION, ALSUQUERQUE OPERATIONS PageZotZ BOREHOLE LOG (SOIL) 606 SITE ID: MONO/ LOCATION ID: MN-1-6 **.OCATION MAP:** Ä APPROX. SITE COORDINATES (ft.): N. Ξ. GROUND ELEVATION (ft. MSL):_ DRILLING METHOD: DRILLER:_ See Pal 10.25 -1" DATE STARTED: 10.25.21 DATE COMPLETED: ___ SILVA FIELD REP .: _ GROUNDWATER LEVELS DATE TIME DEPTH (ft.) LOCATION DESCRIPTION _ SITE CONDITION N VALŬE BLOWS BAKPI BAKPI BAKPI BAKPI TYPI , D DEPTH USCS VISUAL CLASSIFICATION PER 6 In. 4,7,810 18 LSM MOST 5.6.69 10 S NET WATER THIS FOR SE SM STOP - FINISH HOLE ON 10/26/84 Hoce AMANILET 37-45 WEATHERED SANDSTONE 45-47 505 NR **17** TD=47' SANDSTONE 5 DENSE DRILLING SAMPLE TYPE A - Auger cuttings S - 2° D.D 1.35° I.D. grive sample U - 3° D.D 2.42° LD tube sample T - 3° D.D thm-walked Shelby tube JOMMENTS: JEG-AL-ENG-28 (3/84)

SITE I	D: MONOI		N ID:	MN-1-	6 FIELD REF	SILV	1	
GROUN	ID ELEVATION	I (FT. MSL	):		COMPLET		. 10.25	-74
	BOREHOLI		Y		CONST	RUCTION	TIME LOO	3
DRILLE	R: SHB -	TLATT				. 87	ART	END
RIG TY	PE:	55	11	7:24:3:3.		DATE	TIME	TIME
BIT TY	PE DIA .	END O DEPTH	F	LUID	DRILLING	10:25-84	4:00	5.15
6" HS	A 6518	47'	DRV			10-26-84	9:00m	9.50
					CASING		9 45	9:50
	CASING 8	BUMMARY	<u> </u>		FILTER PACK		9:50	10:00 .
ASING	DESCRIP	TION	DIA. (in.)	END DEPTH (f1.)	SEAL		10:00	10:15
P	4' STEELLO	DERINGEAP	6"	0.1	BACKFILL		10:15	10:20
B	5' BLANK		2"	0-5				
B	20' BLANK			15-35	DEVELOPMENT		+0-	
S B	10' SLOTTED 5' BLANK	© ,010		35-45 45 <b>5</b> 0	OTHER		· · ·	· · · ·
P-Protei	ctive S-Screen	B-Blank O-	Open	N-None			•	
Depth fr	om Top of Casing	9						
	WELL CON	STRUCTIO	N		WEI	LL DEVEL	OPMENT	
ODE®	DESCR			DEPTH	HOLE WAS CAV	everoped	U SET INS	DE
B	Lement GA	COUT 2BA	<del>6</del> 5	0-4	AUGER AND	AV6ER D	IAS REIMOV	~ <b>~</b>
B	AVGEREVITI	nos sisnio		4-25				
B	SAAD& BLAST	אית		2529				
3	YOLCLAY 7	UNEB SE	as -	29-31				
F	NAT SALD IN	IWATER THE	Æ	47-31	COMMENTS:			



5/6/99

GENERAL INFO	DRMATION	SCREENING INFO	RMATION	<u>/</u>
SITE:	MONUMENT VALLEY	(MON01)	Elev	Depth
LOCATION CODE:	0606	TOP GRAVEL PACK:	4830.77	31.00
DECOMMISSIONED:	No	BOTTOM GRAVEL PACK:	4814.77	47.00
DAMAGED:	No	TOP OF SCREEN:	4829.77	32.00
TOC ELEVATION:	4864.73	BOTTOM OF SCREEN:	4819.77	42.00
SURFACE ELEVATION:	4861.77	GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:	16.0	
BOTTOM ELEVATION:	4814.77	SCREEN LENGTH:	10.0	
TOTAL DEPTH:	47.00	CASING LENGTH:	49.96	
ZONE OF COMPLETION:	ALLUVIUM	CASING DIAMETER (in.):	2	

ŧ

#### Lithology Details

<u>T0</u>	P	BOTT	OM	USCS	
Elev	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION
4861.77	0.00	4859.77	<b>2.00</b>	SILTY SANDS	SILTY SAND, fine, slightly moist to dry, It. reddishbrown.
4859.77	2.00	4841.77	20.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	SAND, fine, medium dense, lt. reddish brown.
4841.77	20.00	4816.77	45.00	SILTY SANDS	SILTY SAND, med. dense, It. reddish brown.Note: Moist at 30 feet.Note: Water table encountered at 36 feet.
4816.77	45.00	4814.77	47.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	SHINARUMP MEM., CHINLE FM.: SANDSTONE, soft, weathered.TD AT 47 FEET.

### This page intentionally blank

ł





# BOREHOLE/WELL CONSTRUCTION LOG BITE ID: MONOL LOCATION ID: MN-1-7 FIELD REP: SILVA APPROX. SITE COORDINATES (ET.): N

计计算字 开始。

APPROX. SITE COORDINATES (FT.): N_____ GROUND ELEVATION (FT. MSL):_____

__COMPLETION DATE: __10-26-84

and the second

	e	OREHOL	E SUMMAR	IY		CONST	TRUCTION	TIME LOG	3
DRILL	ER:	SHB 7	CATT			ACTIVITY	8T/	ART	END
RIG T	YPE:	CME	55				DATE	TIME	TIME
BIT TI	PE	HOLE DIA. \ (in.)	END DEPTH (ft.)	) F T	LUID YPE	DRILLING	10/26/84	+++5 10:30	11.15
65/	's HSA	6518	30'	De	Y	· · ·			
				<b>†</b>		CASING		11:16	11:20
	I		L Bummary	<u> </u>				11.2.2	11:30
CASING TYPE .		DESCRIP	TION	DIA. (in.)	END DEPTH (ft.)			11.20	11.2
	· .					SEAL	1 - 116	11:50	11 32
4'P	Pe	LOAT TOR -	LOCICLAP	6	0-	BACKFILL	CGTACINI	H:32 11:35	11:35
5'B		5'BLAN,	Ľ	2"	0 - 2.5	DEVELOPMENT	NOT		
10'B	·	10		┨-/	2.5.125				
10'3		10' 5107			175225				
<u> </u>		<u> 3 864</u>		+	2210 2110	OTHER			t
* P-Prote	ctive	8-8creen	B-Blank O-	Open	N-None				
Depth fr	rom To	op of Casing	)						
	W	ELL CON	STRUCTIO	N		WE	LL DEVEL	OPMENT	
TYPE CODE*		DESCR	IPTION		DEPTH				
								•	•
B	20	ment 6	ROUT 28	ALS PAULOTAE	0-4				
6	AUG	COLCONII	DELLETS	BENTON	T-4-8				
	10	DUCUER CA	106 . 1.11	63 685	11-30	COMMENTS:	DRY HOLE	in ARK	LOYO!
			irs ricit	10	/ <u>//</u> ~~				
<u> </u>									
• •-	Backf	<b># 8</b> -	Seal F	- Fite	Pack			- 	
• Det	oth fro	m Ground B	urtace				۰.		

5/6/99

GENERAL INFO	DRMATION	SCREENING INFO	RMATION	I
SITE:	MONUMENT VALLEY (	MON01)	Elev	Depth
LOCATION CODE:	0607	TOP GRAVEL PACK:	4856.98	11.00
DECOMMISSIONED:	No	BOTTOM GRAVEL PACK:	4837.98	30.00
DAMAGED:	No	TOP OF SCREEN:	4855.48	12.50
TOC ELEVATION:	4871.39	BOTTOM OF SCREEN:	4845.48	22.50
SURFACE ELEVATION:	4867.98	GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:	19.0	•
BOTTOM ELEVATION:	4837.98	SCREEN LENGTH:	10.0	
TOTAL DEPTH:	30.00	CASING LENGTH:	30.91	
ZONE OF COMPLETION:	SHINARUMP MEMBER OF THE CHINLE FORMATION	CASING DIAMETER (in.):	2	• •

#### Lithology Details

TO	P	BOTT	ГОМ	USCS	
Elev	Depth	Elev	<u>Depth</u>	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION
4867.98	0.00	4860.98	7.00	SILTY SANDS	SILTY SAND, fine, moist, It. reddish brown.
4860.98	7.00	4837.98	30.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	SHINARUMP MEM., CHINLE FM.: SANDSTONE, It. brown to yellow.Note: Color change to It. yellow to grey.Note; Color change to yellow brown TD AT 30 FEET.



INTERFACE

,

· ·



JEG-AL-ENG-3 (\$/84)



	L		TIC	DN.	MA	P:	2P	Z -			Ż	-7		ROUI RILLI RILLI ATE ELD	D: DX. : ING ER: STAI COM REP DATI	MO SITE MET SAE RTE PLE  G			LOC DINA E (ft. I C/RS C/RS C/RS C/RS C/RS C/RS C/RS C/RS		ION ID: <u>608 B</u> (ft.): ): ZINE ZEREA TROAM 3/16/85 VERY  EVELS  DEPTH (ft.)
	LC SI	CA TE		ND	DE	SCR			<u> </u>												
	DEPTH (FT)	BORING OPERATION	S CORE	BOCK OHALST	ESIGNATION (ADD)	L DRILLING	PACKER TEST INTERVAL	WID	8P E —		ISC Ng CL(	DNT	HO	ORIZ.	S	ATIC - VE	ON ERT.	ONDITION	FATHERING	LITHOLOGY	ROCK TYPE & REMARKS
┨		NQ	101		lov	ت ات		1 X	2	3	4	5	H X		50*		V	Sn0	≥	5	same no above
			101	2	100			<b>.</b>												<b> </b> _ _	iron staining begining
ł			M	24	ID I			••••										<b>;</b>		┋╌╿╌	At 27.5 Hore 29.
ł	-	<b> </b> 	10(	4	Q Q	×			•••	•			•+•			****			<b>*</b> -	╈╋	29' consignerate
ł		┢┿╼╵		Ť	$\mathcal{O}$	$\mathcal{O}$		<b>•</b> ••••	X		•••••		-	<b></b>	<b>.</b>			Ko-4	<b>†</b>	- <b>Y</b> - Cq	dark yellowich oringe mod. yellowich brown
詐	5	$\uparrow$	10	T	0	6			X				Ť					Smo		Cg	app top of Moenkopi
ľ	•		10	24	50	Ũ		*					T					1		C/	grayish orange (10YR
			10	24	50	0		X												Sť	- 32.5 -34
		V	100	)/4	30	0		X					Y					*		st	grayish orange (104R sandy si Historie gra
		NQ	1/01	2	50	90		X			ļ	┞──╂	$\frac{\chi}{1}$		┞╌┨			Smo		↓	into high+ gray N7
ŀ		$\mathbb{H}^{-}$	$\mathbf{H}$	╀	17 72	╀┤		X				┞──┤	+		┝╌┥			$\parallel$	┨──	┟┼	- very heard, Top of Mo
$\mathbf{F}$		H	H		10	+				Y		┠──╉	╀╴		┝─┤			╟─		┟┼	34-39' ):alt
ł			†⊅	ť	10	$\mathbf{V}$				X			ł							$\downarrow$	gray (N7) sandy silt
	co 	мм	EN	rs	: 10	st ci	inc.d	^e dr	illing	An	ols o	# <u>2</u>	9'						H - C - GB - NX - NQ -	8081 8 1/2 1 4 1/2 C GEAR ( NX ROC NG WIR	NG OPERATION HOLLOW STEM ANGER HONTINUOUS FLIGHT ANGER HT EX CORING HELINE ROCK CORING
	JEG	i-Al	E	NG	-2 A	. (:	3/84	·) 		• , ··	•						·	<b></b>			
										•											

							E	OR	EHO	LEL	.00	G (R		<u>()</u>				Page 2 of 1
LO	CA	TION	I MA	NP:			4			S	TE	ID: ROX.	MC) Site				ATI	ON ID: <u>603 B</u> (ft.):
										G	RO	UND	ELE\	/AT	ION (	_ E . ft. N	ISL)	):
		_								D	RIL RIL	LING	ME1 SH1	гно З	D: _N(	2156	2 +	LINE PEREA 17
		5		202	•					D				D:	3/1	2/9	Fiel	TODAY 3 995
	•			•		•				FI	ELI	D RE	?.:	-14-	LEL	·/MC	EN	FRY
													G	ROL	JNDW	ATE	RL	EVELS
										ΙL		DAT	E			TIM	<u>E</u>	DEPTH (ff.)
						,	-			」┣								
0				SCF														
SI	TE (	CON	DITI	ON.														
:	2	>	Y N	G ER	E 3 T			D	18001	ITINU	JITI	E8				D N I	70	
	ATIO	OVER	OUAL 00110	ECOV	ERVA		8 P	ACI	NG		0	RIENT	ATI	ON	NOIL	HER	0 L O	ROCK TYPE
į	BOF	REC	F SIG	NO R	PACK	WID	)E		CLOS	EHO	RI	z.—	- vi	ERT	IIGNO	EAT	ITH	a nemarino
		ļ	¥ā.	1.5		1	2.	3	4	<u>5 н</u>	-	50 i	•	<u>v</u>	Ŭ	3		Change in color @ 301
	NQ	ND	100	90	<b>;</b> 	X.		 		<u> </u>	-		<b>!</b>	ļ	520			moderate reddish b
		100	30	90			<u> </u>		<b>••</b> -				<b> </b>	i +				to dark. reddish
		100	a0 20	10		 							<b>.</b>	<b>+</b> -	<b></b>		┋╌╂╴	siltstone (10 R 3/4)
		100	50 61	70 90			$\overline{\mathbf{X}}$					i 			<b>Å</b> =			kedding planes are
			70	10 10	<b>.</b>	   v	·		<b></b>				<b>†</b>	<b>.</b>				ruck becomes less
-	NV I	100	15	1 <u>40</u>			1		$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \right\}$	+	╋		+-	┼──	amu		30	competent from 45.5
	H	┝┼╴	00 00	╟┼╴	· 			-	╞╌┼	╉╋	╋	- <u> </u> .						clay, rock crumble
	┝┼╍	╟┼╌	70 00	┼┼─			V		$\left  - \right $	╉╋	+		$\vdash$	$\vdash$	$\left\{ \cdot \right\}$		$\left  \right $	fonch of tingen, son
	$\left  \right $	┟┼╴	170	╟					┝╌┼	+	╀		$\vdash$	┼─			┼┼	w/quartz + pyrite
	╟	┼┼	100	H				[	┝╼┿	┽┼	+	_		┝			┼┼	<b>4</b> •
	╟╋	┟┼╴	100	H			$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$		┼╌┼	-++	╀	+	<u> </u>	╂──	+		╂╂╴	4
•	┟┼╴	╂╋	20	┼┼			15		┨╴╴┨╴	+++	╋		+	┢	╂┤──	•	$\left  \right $	1
, aireang	╟╢╴	╟╋	20	┞┼			l∱-		┢╌╁	╶┼┼	+			+	╂┼──		╂	1
	╁	IJ	35	Ħ	┼──	┢──	Ŕ	$\vdash$	++		╉	+						4
			<u></u>		1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>1</u>		1		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>+</u>		808	I NG OPERATION
.U	MM		s: _												:	C - G8 -	4 1/2 GEAR 1	ONTINUOUS FLIGHT ANGER
				•					•						1	NX -	NX ROC	K CORING





.



							•		OR	<u>EHO</u>	LE	: LO	<u>G (</u>	R		<u>K)</u>					Page Zof Z
LC	C	<b>A</b> 1	101	N M	AP:		· · · · ·		4	<b>A</b>		SITI APP N GRC	e id Prox	: <u>/</u> x. D E	NO SIT	NOI E CO	, DOF		OC IAT E.	ATIO	ON ID: <u>608 B</u> (ft.):
•						*. <i>*</i>						DRII DRII DAT DAT FIEL	LLIN TES TEC .DF	IG R:_ TA ON REF	ME <u>SH</u> RTE IPLI ?.:_	THO + <u>B</u> ED: _ ETEL <u>M</u> 4	D: 1 3/1 2: 1 1	NU F C F S /8 7	_И и# 5 /18	); <u>P</u> 24 + 71 785	EUNE Renea DDAY 3/15/85
																ROI	JND	WA	TE	RL	EVELS
															<u> </u>		<u></u>		IMI	=	DEPTH (II.)
										•				_					<u> </u>	_	
LC SI	C TE	A1	101 ON	N DE DIT	ISCF			]											`		
Ľ.		z	×	À N	Ve R	E 3 T			D	1800	NT	TIUNI	IES						N N	٩Ý	
TH (F	DNIE	A 110	CORE OVER	NATION NO		ERVA		8P	ACIN	IG			DRIE	NT	ATI	ON	Į		HER	010	ROCK TYPE
DEP	801	OPER	R S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	ROCI BOCI	E O H	PACK	WII	DE		CLO	SE	HOR	12	<u> </u>	- v	ERT.	NONC		EAT	ITH	E REMARKS
	Ļ			*	<u> </u>	Ļ	1	2	3	4	5	H		50°	•	<u>v</u>		╇	Š		11 1 carls
	Ŋ	0	10D	100	0	i 	X	<u> </u>						Х {		<b>↓</b>	i am	6		<u> </u>	1 11the logy commune
	_			<b> </b>	0		<b>[</b> ]-							<b> </b>	ļ		<b>!</b>	-+-		ļ	non previous
****				<b>i</b>			ļļ.								 		┇┥╸			<b></b>	accupiun
-	Y	-	Ľ.	<u> </u>	10	ļ	V			-					 		ļĮ.			¥	
	N	3	100	100	0		X.						 	X.	 			.  . 		5	
	i.												ľ								
				Π										T			Π	Τ			color change
		Π	T		$\Pi$			1						T		1	$\square$	T			675 (5YR 4/
		Π		$\square$	$\ddagger$	1	11	T						1		1		Ť			In light brown
	-	Η	+			†	$\uparrow \uparrow$	+					-+	$\dagger$		1	d	+			154R6147 to an
	-		+	$\left  \right $	++-		H	-					$\rightarrow$	$\dagger$		+-	$\left\{ \uparrow \right\}$	+			more LIOYK 7/4
	Η	$\mathbb{H}$	+-	H	╂┼╌	<u> </u>	╢			┝─┤			-+	╀	┢─	╂──	H	+			buck to moderate
	Н		+	╂╂╴	╂╂─		╢			┝╌┨			-+	╀╴	┣	╂──	$\left  \cdot \right $	+			bom allas
	μ				╫	┨	₩.	╂—		┝──╂		-+	$\rightarrow$	┞	┨──	ŧ.	┞┤	+	_		
	Ļ	1	╁	╟	H		1			$\left  - \right $	-		-	-  -		+ -	Ӈ	╀		1	end of core.
				1							- 1			-	1				1		



JEG-AL-ENG-3 (3/84)

•••••	<del></del>								
			JE JACO	BS EN	IGINEE	RING GROUP INC	EATIONS		
		B	OREHOL	E/WI	ELL C	ONSTRUCTION	LOG		
SITE I	): _]	MON 01	LOCATIO	N ID:	6.08	B FIELD REP	:ME	ien	
APPRO	<b>X.</b>	BITE COO	RDINATES	(FT.)	): N		E	- alcolo	
GROUN	DE	LEVATION	FT. MSL	):		COMPLET	ION DATE	: <u>-71478</u>	3
		BOREHOLE	E SUMMAR	Y		CONST	RUCTION	TIME LOG	
DRILLE	R:					ACTIVITY	8T.	ART	END
RIG TY	PE:						DATE	TIME	TIME
		HOLE	END •	F		DRILLING		· · · · ·	
BIT TY	PE	(in.)	DEPTH (ft.)	Ť	YPE	CORING	3/16/85	900 Am	3/18/85
			114.5	H20+	revert	REAMING	3/18/85	2:30 pm	6.000
			120.0	<b> </b>					
			·	<u> </u>		CASING	3/19/85	1:30 pm	ZOVP
				1		-			
		CASING S	SUMMARY			FILTER PACK	3/19/85	3:00	5:15
CASING TYPE *		DESCRIP	TION	DIA. (in.)	DEPTH (ft.)	SEAL	3/19/85	3:15	3:20
D 6		· ·	····	·	12	-		3:20	5:30
5 0		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	120	BACKFILL	3/19/185		
- 17		<u> </u>			110				
				1		DEVELOPMENT			
						1	· · .		
						OTHER			
						]			
+ P-Protec	tive	8-8creen	B-Blank Ó-	Open	N-None				· .
Topth fro	om T VA	OP OF CASING	STRUCTIO	N		WE		OPMENT	<b>_</b> _
TYPE					END				
CODE*		DESCR			(ft.)	· ·			
F		Sand	-11.6		120	4			
5	. V	olclay 1	addets		88	-			
D	<u> </u>	Grout			00	4			
		······································		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u>.</u>		
						COMMENTS:	:	•	
·						1			
		· _ · ·						<u> </u>	
• 8 -	Back	f <b>ii 8</b> -	Seal F	- Fite	Pack				
• Dept	th fre	om Ground S	urtace						

5/6/99

GENERAL INFO	DRMATION	SCREENING INFO	RMATION	<u> </u>
SITE:	MONUMENT VALLEY (	MON01)	Elev	Depth
LOCATION CODE:	0608	TOP GRAVEL PACK:	4815.11	85.97
DECOMMISSIONED:	Yes	BOTTOM GRAVEL PACK:	4783.11	117.97
DAMAGED:	No	TOP OF SCREEN:	4805.11	95.97
TOC ELEVATION:	4903.11	BOTTOM OF SCREEN:	4785.11	115.97
SURFACE ELEVATION:	4901.08	GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:	32.0	
BOTTOM ELEVATION:	4781.08	SCREEN LENGTH:	20.0	
TOTAL DEPTH:	120.00	CASING LENGTH:	120	
ZONE OF COMPLETION:	DECHELLEY MEMBER OF THE CUTLER FORMATION	CASING DIAMETER (in.):	2	

#### Lithology Details

<u>T0</u>	P	BOTT	OM	USCS	
Elev	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION
4901.08	0.00	4891.68	9.40	POORLY GRADED SANDS	SANDSTONE, med. to coarse, yellowish grey.
4891.68	9.40	4890.68	10.40	CLAYS	SHALE, with fine sand, thnly laminated.
4890.68	10.40	.4874.68	26.40	POORLY GRADED SANDS	SANDSTONE, medium to coarse, mod. wellcemented,noncalcareous, occasional clayey matrix, mostlycross bedded, yellowish grey (5YR,5/4).
4874.68	26.40	4869.68	31.40		CONGLOMERATE, coarse sand to med. gravel, subrrounded,some clay matrix, sufficient porosity to lose circulation,medium yellowish brown (10YR,5/4).
4869.68	31.40	4868.68	32.40	CLAYS	MOENKOPI FORMATION: SHALE, with coarse sand, to silty, poorly indurated, very thinnly laminated, greyish orange (10YR,7/4).
4868.68	32.40	4857.68	43.40	SILTS & FINE SANDS	SILTSTONE, with very fine sand, occasional thincalcite stringers as fracture fillings, noncalcareous,thinly laminated, very hard, yellowish grey (5Y,7/2).Note: with inter bedded greyish orange from 34 feet. Somepyrite in the matrix.Note: co

TO	P	BOT	<u>MO</u>	USCS	· · ·
Elev	Depth	<u>Elev</u> .	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION
4857.68	43.40	4846.08	55.00	SILTS & FINE SANDS	SILTSTONE, dark reddish brown.MOENKOPI FM., Continued.Note: Becomes soft, more moist, from 54 feet.
4846.08	55.00	4826.68	74.40	SILTS & FINE SANDS	SILTSTONE AND SANDSTONE, interbedded, yellowish grey.Note: Increase in sand, sandstone is It. grey.Note: less siltstone interbeds from 72 ft.
4826.68	74.40	4810.08	91.00	WELL GRADED SANDS	SANDSTONE, coarse to fine, dark reddish brown.Note: Color change to very lt. grey, massive, from 78 ft.Note: Alternating color bands from very lt. grey to palered from 80 ft.
4810.08	91.00	4781.08	120.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	DeCHELLY SANDSTONE MEM., CUTLER FORMATION: SANDSTONE, coarse to med., dune deposit, cross bedded,lt. brown to med. reddish brown (10R,4/6).Note: Lost circulation at 91 ft. due to porosity of thisformation.Note: color change to variable

### This page intentionally blank





### JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP INC. ADVANCED SYSTEMS DIVISION, ALBUQUEBQUE OPERATIONS

BOREHOLE/WELL CONSTRUCTION LOG SITE ID: MON OI LOCATION ID: 609 FIELD REP: SILVA APPROX. SITE COORDINATES (FT.): N_ 59052. 132 E 87652.915 _COMPLETION DATE: ______ 4878.30 GROUND ELEVATION (FT. MSL):__ CONSTRUCTION TIME LOG BOREHOLE SUMMARY **START** BEAR SHB " DRILLER: END ACTIVITY TIME MOBILE B-80 DATE TIME RIG TYPE: HOLE DRILLING END • FLUID 1/3/85 11 AM 10 Am **BIT TYPE** DIA.S DEPTH TYPE (in.) Tit.5 151 6" G"HSA DRY 11.AM 11:30 CASING CASING SUMMARY 11 30 11.40 FILTER PACK END DEPTH (f1.) CASING DIA. DESCRIPTION TYPE • (in.) 11:45 11:40 SEAL 12:15 11:45 BACKFILL 0-2' 4' P 4" PROTECTIVE CASING DRY ¶'5 9' BLANK PUC 211 0-9 DEVELOPMENT NOT DEVELOPED 9-14 5'5 5' SLOTTED PIC 2" 2" 16 **z**' B 21 BLANK PUL OTHER P-Protective S-Screen S-Blenk O-Open N-None Depth from Top of Casing ľ WELL DEVELOPMENT WELL CONSTRUCTION HOLE NOT DEVELOPED TYPE DESCRIPTION CODE DRY ALLUVIUM HOLE В LEMENT GROUT TO 6,5, 0-4 4-6 5 VOLLIAN PELLETS COMMENTS:_ F 6-14 SILICASAND F - Filter Pack . 8 - Backfill 8 - Seal Depth from Ground Surface JEG-AL-ENG-1 (3/84)

5/6/99

GENERAL INFORMATION	SCREENING INFO	RMATION	V
SITE: MONUMENT VALLEY	(MON01)	Elev	Depth
LOCATION CODE: 0609	TOP GRAVEL PACK:	4870.95	6.00
DECOMMISSIONED: No	BOTTOM GRAVEL PACK:	4862.95	14.00
DAMAGED: No	TOP OF SCREEN:	4869.95	7.00
<b>TOC ELEVATION:</b> 4879.99	BOTTOM OF SCREEN:	4864.95	12.00
SURFACE ELEVATION: 4876.95	GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:	8.0	
BOTTOM ELEVATION: 4861.95	SCREEN LENGTH:	5.0	
<b>TOTAL DEPTH:</b> 15.00	<b>CASING LENGTH:</b>	17.04	
ZONE OF COMPLETION: SHINARUMP MEMBER OF THE CHINLE FORMATION and ALLUVIUM	CASING DIAMETER (in.):	2	

Litho	logy	Details
-------	------	---------

<u>то</u>	P	BOTT	<u>OM</u>	USCS	
<u>Elev</u>	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION
4876.95	0.00	4865.95	11.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	SAND, fine, slightly moist, med. dense, lt. reddishbrown.
4865.95	11.00	4861.95	15.00	WELL GRADED SANDS	SHINARUMP MEM. CHINLE FM.: SANDSTONE, coarse to fine, dry, yellowish olive green.TD AT 15 FEET.

	·	•				JE	JACOBS EN Advanced syst BOREI	GINE Ems di 10L1	ERING	GROUP IN ALBUQUERQUE G (SOIL)	VC. operations	7 Page / of <u>1</u> 2
			N M	AP:	0 60	2 June 2	Â.	SI A B D D D D F I	ITE ID: PPROX SG3 ROUNE RILLIN RILLER ATE ST ATE CO ELD R	MONO SELEVATIO G METHOD SA 8 BEA TARTED: OMPLETED EP.:	LOCATION ORDINATES (ft. E <b>BBG</b> ON (ft. MSL): : <u>6" 454 - NQ w</u> 2 : <u>12 - 3-87</u> : <u>12 - 7</u> STLFA	ID: <u>610</u> ): 49.506 4861.65
				<i>د ۲</i> ۰۰	7.		Jucuns.			GROUI	TIME	
							÷ []		12	-3-84	11111E //:00 Am	<i>B'</i>
							^					
	LOCA" SITE (			ESC	RIF	TION	Z5' SOU Adient in	т с <i>рие</i>	F "60 ECTION	02" Sou 05 1=201 4	ITH OF TAILING UNICH DRAINS VAL	S PILE LEY IN ADJALENT
	DEPTH	SAMPLE INTERVAL	SAMPLE RECOVERY	BAMPLE RETAINED	TYPE	1D	BLOWS PER 6 in.	N N N	USCS	VI	SUAL CLASSIFIC	CATION
									8'WT	FINE SAND 79.55 V MOIST - WATER TAR	F; G, DRY Se BLOWSAND"	RED BROWN 51R 5/3 5/4 MUNGMEL
										ORE TO S FIRS THE N	NED HOLE TO 29 TART CORING TORE RUN 29.5 JAULER TO 35	-30.5 -NO RELOVED TO START LORING
										AT Dens	35 - NA WIRELIN E DRILLING AT 32	5' 5'
											<b>ان</b> مرتبع ال	7 10 C
t											P:	CHT CONTRUL
Â			<u> </u>	L					1	L		

						BORE	HOL	E LOO	G(SOIL) Page Z of A
LOCA	TIO	N M	AP:	, ,		Ň	7 s	ITE ID:	MONOI LOCATION ID: 610
:			:	•		~	N N	563	60.765 E 88649.506
							G G		DELEVATION (11. MSL): <u>4861.65</u>
							D	RILLEF	SIHB-BEAR
								ATE S	TARTED:
							F	IELD R	EP.:
									GROUNDWATER LEVELS
					•		-	D	ATE TIME DEPTH (ft.)
						——————————			
LOCA	TIO	N DI	ESC	RIF	TION	South OF	602		<u></u>
SITE	CON	DIT	ION	·	<u></u>				
	PLE VAL	jen,	LE MED	m		BLOWS	_ ۳		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
EPTH	BAN	BAMP	SAMP RETAI	Ĭ	Ð	PER 6 in.	L N V N V	USCS	VISUAL CLASSIFICATION
							ĺ		
32.5	<b> </b>	┟──┤		$\left  - \right $					- DENSE DRILLING @ 32,5 in JAINARUMAJAWAJAWA
									Switch to Rock Coems Los Pg3034
				$\vdash$					
					· ·		ъ.		
·									
			$\square$						
ومعمد بالمحمد المحمد بليهين					·	•			
			$\square$	$\square$					
	_				·				
								<b>I</b> .	
			í	┝┤					
				<u> </u>					
							-		



	·	`	•				J		VANC	d) e #D \$y	ING Stem	S DIVI	KIN ISION	- (-) , ALBI	KOL	ieui Ir I	INC. I OPER		IS	7
•								. <u>E</u>	BOR	EHO	DLE	E LO	G (	RO	CK	2				Page 4 of 1
	LC	CA.	rioi	N MA	AP:		, ,			A	5		e ic Pro	): <u>/</u> X. S		01	ORD		ATI	DN ID: <u>610</u> (11.):
				5	TE P	51	0 K Z					GR( DRI		ID E		ATI	ON (	 ft. N	ASL)	: 4861.65
												DRI DA1	LLE TE S	R: STAF	RTED	): _		0 Æ AI 12-	L 11-84	·····
												DAT	re C Ld I	OMI REP.	PLET	ED				
÷.,				•				-						-	GR	OL	NDW		RL	EVELS
													۵	ATE	:	T		TIM	E	DEPTH (ft.)
									·	·						+				
											 	ــــــ مە								
	LO SI	CAT TE. C	CION CON	I DE DITI	SCF ON					VCX				- /-	<u> </u>					······································
	2			≿z	E B	10			D	ISCO	DNT	ווטאו	rie s		<u> </u>			U Z	Σ	
	H (FT	TION	ORE VERV		LING	R TE RVAL		SP	ACI	NG		(	ORIE	INTA	TIO	N	NO	ERI	L00	ROCK TYPE
	DIM NTCK RACK NTCK RACK NT					)E		CLC	)8E	HORIZ VERT.						ATH	ITHO	& REMARKS		
		•		*0	۲. ۲.		1	2	3	4	5	н		<u>50°</u>	<u> </u>	v	ទី	Ň	2	
					 				• •											REENTERCO HOLE AFTER INSTALLING 6 CASING TO 34'
																				USED REVERT & 40' STARTED COR WE @ 75
							•••••	 	   				+							IN SHALE
					REV	FAT										•				GREY SHALE
	/) ••••	74	/00	75	100		<b></b> .	<u>×</u> -			•••=	•••••	·				)mo	· /•	Sn	THIN BLACK STRIC HARD, SANDY
		1/2			0-				·				-+	-+	-+		<	- -		INNER BARFLL PLUGLEDOFF BGAIN
	./6	NG	~0	40	100			<u> </u>	-				$\dashv$			_	Umu		אכ	IN SOFT SHALE -LA ALTERNATIN & HARI
					10			<b></b>					-+							LAVERS OF GREYSA FISSURE & MIT
5	77	NG	100	50	KEY A-			· · · · ·		$\left  - \right $			590	, <b>-  </b>	-+	_	<u>C</u> .	ı. <i>1</i>	$\overline{c}$	77.5 TRANSITION
-	805	NQ	/00	100	RFL.	C~1			<u> </u>				Â	-+	-+		)~10	w _s	23	SHALE -SANDSTONE INTERMINED LAVE
												· ·		-+						SANDSTONE HARD
													$\dashv$	+	-+					RUSTSTAINED FIG
										┟╌┤			-+	+	-+					VORTIAL PRACTUR
								<u> </u>					-+							78.6-80.5
				L		I		<u>L</u>	I								T		BORIN	G OPERATION
			: INF 1 3	s:					•							_		GB - 0	1/2 CO	NTINUOUS FLIGHT ANGLA
			_									_						NX - 1	I ROCK	CORING

					•		· <u>8</u>	OR	EHO	LE I	<u>.0G</u>	(R(	DCK	)				Page Sof 12
LC	DCA	TION	N MA	NP: Se	E P.	3			<b>(</b>		ITE PPR ROU RILL RILL ATE ATE IELD	ID: ND E ING ER: _ STA COM REF	MON SITE D. 70 ELEV METI METI IPLET		ORD ON ( ):	LOC INAT E C ft. N	ATIO	ON ID: <u>610</u> (11,): 8649,506 : <u>4861,65</u> -SHB
								•		F		DAT	GF		NĎW		R L	
					•								<u> </u>	4		1 1141	<u> </u>	
					· · · ·													
LO				SCR	RIPT	ION			NEX	7 70	MN.	- /- 2	•					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
51					5				1800	NTIN		S				Ø	<b>×</b>	
H (FT)	NG	VERY		LING	R TES RVAL	<b></b>	8P	ACI	NG		OR	IENT	ATIO	N .	NO	ERIN	LOG.	ROCK TYPE
DEPT	BORIA BORIA BORIA BORIA BCOV RECOV RECOV		DRIL ID RE	ACKE	WID	E		CLO	BE H	ORIZ	.—	- VE	RT.	NDITI	ATH	ITHO	& REMARKS	
			<b>*</b> 0	בר מ <u>י</u>		1	2	3	4	<u>5                                     </u>	l 	50°	, 	v	ទ	Ň	3	6
7.6	NQ	100	95	REV		x					-	-			<u>Rh</u>	Ws	55	JANDSTONC WATILAL FRACTURES
																• • • • • •	<b> </b>	FIGT LAVERS
									••									BREYBANDS @ 846 TRALE CLAYEY IN F.S
••••											••₩===	   	<b>*</b> *					TRANSIMON 86-86.7 TO COARSE GRAINED &
						••••			*====#=		· ·	<b>.</b>	††	****			<b>*</b> (	IN F. GR SAND STON HAS ROUNDED 1/1"GRA
74	•									1								CONSLIKE CALING CONGLOMONANE PA 87.6 REDNODU
7.4	NQ	100	95	REN.	Ger		·									Sr	45	GREY CLAY STONE I
Π																	æ St	OFF AT 57.7 IN SO
																		88.5 SOFT CLAYSTONE
0.5	NQ	/00	<b>9</b> 5	100											Smo	Ws	(t	90.3 MUDSTONE
2.5	NR	100	97	100	· ·													Passible Mo CROSSBCDD
-												<b>_</b>						72.5 DARKER BRON SANDSTONE -MU
					<b> </b>				┡╌┦	$\perp$	4	<u> </u>						94.5 STRATIFICO ( SANDSTONE IN MUDITINE ASI
	10	100	15	(00												Ļ		FROM 96.5-97.5
0,5	NW			<b>.</b> .	_			8		-			_				00000 1/2 H	OPENATION

•

ļ								E	OF	EH	OLI	E L(	OG	<u>(R</u>		<u>()</u>				Page 6 ofte
ł	LO		017	NM								<u>SI</u> .	TFJ	D:	MO	NC	>/	LOC		ON 10. 610
										Ľ	5	AP	PR	)X.	SIT		ORC	DINA'	TES	(ft.):
ł		,								1		N. GE				<u>105</u> 1811		E (ft)	<u> </u>	· 4841.65
l									1		·	DF	RILL	NG	MET	ГНО	D:			
l			0	406A1	1	0	602	C	, i	7		DR		ER:_	DTE	<u></u>	1 <u>B</u>	EAR 12	<u>-51</u>	4 TD 044 /- 4/-
I						Ŧ	مترجبه		A.			DA		CON	IPLE	TED	):			
						25	,	ľ	2) /			FIE	ELD	REF	·: _		SIL	<u>VA</u>		
						0	610	11	•						G	ROL	INDW	VATE	RL	EVELS
			•			~ .		<u>  </u> _	Rof	<u>p</u>		-	1.2.	DAT	E	_			<u>E</u>	DEPTH (ft.)
l							1	/			1		1-9	-85				9:3	DAM	ARTESIANCE   GPM
ŀ	_											Ļ	1-5	-85				9:3	0	ARTESIAN 26A
l	LO	CAT	101	N DE	ESCF	RIPT	ION		VEXT	- 70	~	DN G	02		_					
l	SIT	re c	ON	DIT	ION .		60	<u> - 2</u>	LEA	<u>R</u>	LAS	SED	ALL	ia n	NTN .	3466	EL.A		-	
ſ	£		~	Èz	E B	1.0			C	ISC	ONT	INU	ITIE	S				N Z	2	
l	5	N0 1101	VER	NAL NAL		R T V	$\square$	SP	ACI	NG			ORI	ENT	ATI	DN	NO	E E	ľ	ROCK TYPE
	EPT	BORI ERA	ΰ Ω Ψ	NOC NOC	DAL	NTE	WID	)E		CLO	<b>08E</b>	но	RIZ.		- ve	ERT.	110	E	£	& REMARKS
		- °	ي. لواجه			6	1	2	3	4	5	н		50'	•	v	ð	WE	15	NEW DIAMONAS DN
1	<b>00</b> ,5	MQ	100	100	802	/	×				÷-			I	1		Rh	يح	Sta	SLOW DRILLING
ŀ			1			<b></b> .	+ x	· · · · · ·			<b>∔</b>	• ;	<b></b>	∲ !		<b></b>		÷		SANDSTONE - MUDSTOM REDDISH ROOMAKEEVST
ŀ				╈┿							<b></b> -	 	<b></b> -		ļ	+		<b>+</b>	i	TRANSINON INTO &
┝				┋╌┥╌╸	+	<b></b>				∔	<b>.</b>								25	M-LG SAMOS TOME MURSTONE NODULE
┝						<b>.</b>			; ; ; ; ;	; x	•			i +	) 			] +	 	SS W ANGULAR NE
L			<u> </u>			İ	X								ļ			.  -	 	OF MUDSTONE
	·		T	T T	T	T	×						, I							MUDSTONE ION-106
ŀ			╈	††	$\uparrow$	1	1	•	×				<u>├</u>				~			Filed Sandston E CF
ŀ			╋	┼┼╌	┼──	┼──			<u> </u>	$\vdash$	┢──		<u>×</u>							
ŀ			+-	╂╂		<u> </u>			ļ	×	×		<u> </u>	ļ	<b> </b>					-,
L				Ц						×	×		×							108.5 LTBADWA
			<b>↓</b>				1				x		×	ļ					, I	JATER MEED LAYERS
1	110.5		100	50	100					Î	X		X		Î			WM	5.	
ŀ			<u>,</u> T	100	1	+			1		<u>├</u>		Y		†			<u>, ".,</u>		Dusky red fine gr.
ŀ			+	+	+	╄──			ŀ€	5			$\frac{n}{1}$							greyish white
					<b> </b>	_	<b> </b>	-	┝	<b> ^</b> _	<u> </u>	<b>X</b>	ļ	ļ	. 			<b> </b>	<b> </b>	Gradational to
ļ				↓↓	<u> </u>				X	<u> </u>			X		<b> </b>		ļ		St	silts tone.
L		NQ	¥	ľ			l ·		X		ŀ.		X							
								E	OR	EHO	LEL	OG	(RO	<u>CK)</u>	·			Page Zof		
--------------	-------------	------------	--------------	----------	-----------------	------------	------	---------------	-------------	------------------	------------	-------	-------	------------	-----------------------------------------------	-----------	------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------		
$\mathbf{F}$	LO	CA		I M	AP:	-				٨	יוא [	TE II	. M	υN	0 j	LOC	ATIC	ON ID: 610		
					1	•					AF	PRC	X. SI	TE CO	ORD	INAT	TES .	(ft.);		
		ç	see	٩		<b>y</b>					GF	1001	ND EL	EVAT	ION (	<u> </u>	ASL)	4861.65		
													NG M	ETHO	D:	REI	0.0	K. Ila.		
												TES	STAR	TED:	<u>,                                     </u>	2-1	- 8	4		
														LETE		2.90		<u>}</u>		
													n£r		1100		-			
												1	DATE				<u>R LI</u> E	DEPTH (ft		
												1-5.	-85			m		ArtesianP		
						·					ΙĿ						<u> </u>			
	10	С А Т		י חב	SCE			,	JFX.	Tt	MON	6	02.							
	SIT	EC	ON	DITI	ON.	<u></u>	10 4	lope	+	a ge h	rush	+5	andy	501	•			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
F	_			<u>}</u>	Ē	31		•	D	ISCO	TINU	ITIE	3		<u> </u>	<b>D</b>	≻			
	E	NG	JAE VER V			ALE		SP	ACI	NG		ORI	ENTA	TION	Z		LOG	ROCK TYPE		
	EPT	ERA	ECO!	ROK O	DAIL	CKE	WID	E		CLOS	EHO	RIZ.		VERT	Ĩ	HI	ЮH	& REMARKS		
ן י		۳ <u>۵</u>	æ	₽ B G	*5	4		2	3	4	5 н		50*	v	CON	WE/				
11	5.5	NQ	100	100	100	   		X				X				ŴM	.Ss	Pale reddish b		
					• ! !	+   	X		•••••• 	┫╼╼╼╼╋╼ ┇		Y				<b>+</b>		and light g		
-		(			÷==== ! !	•	X		<b></b> -	•				••••	* ! !	╋╼╼╍ ╏		and sandst		
-	in na h				÷	<b>•</b>		•	X	<b>⊷</b> ∔- ¦	<u>+ ^</u>	X			<b></b>	• 	54	believed to be		
-					<b>.</b>	<b>.</b>			• • •						ļ			Rico Forme		
-	204				<b>•</b>			·		<b>  </b>					<b> </b>			Dust, and		
11/	~1	NQ		100				た			X					WM	ろ	reddish ora		
ľ											_			_	ļ			and light.		
									X			X						Ss. Massiv		
																		Slightly frie		
					<b>1</b>		1													
						1			1	F 1	I			-	<u>.                                    </u>	1	r 1			
								Y.								W/M	4	Roddinhora		
								X			X					WM	4	Reddishora Sandstone,		
								X			×					WM	4	Reddishora Sandstone, Medivmgr.		
						·		<u>х</u> х			×					WM	57	Reddishora Saudstone, Medium gr. Messive. S		
			V					X			×					WM	4	Reddishora Sandstone, Medivmgr. Messive. S Thin lightge		
	<b>3</b> .5	NQ	V 100	¥ 100	60			X X			×		*			WM 	57	Reddishora Sandstone, Medivmgr. Messive. Sa Thin lightge bands of S		

JEG-AL-ENG-2A (3	/84	ļ
------------------	-----	---

Ť



JEG-AL-ENG-3 (3/84)

			JE ADVA		NGINES	CING GROUP INC	ERATIONS		
			OREHO	LE/W	ELL C	ONSTRUCTION	N LOG		
SITE I	: <u>Mel</u>	<u>v-01</u>	LOCATI	ON ID:	610	FIELD REP	: <u>Ro</u> i	ger Holla	nd
APPRO	X. 81	tè coo	RDINATE	S (FT.	): N_5	6360.765	E _ <i></i>	649.506	
GROUN	DELE	VATION	I (FT. MS	3L): <u>4</u>	561.65	COMPLET	TION DATI	E: <u>3/27</u>	185
	BO	REHOL	E SUMMA	RY	·	CONS	TRUCTION	TIME LOG	}
DRILLE	R:	SH <u>;</u> B	(Ed Ao	lams	)	ACTIVITY	ST.	ART	END
RIG TY	PE:						DATE	TIME	TIM
BIT TY	PE	HOLE DIA.s (in.)	END DEPTH (ft.)	• F		DRILLING	3/26/85	12:00100	5/2)/ 12:00
Auger		50"	31 2	CL	ear HoD	1	]	2:00pm	1.0.
Triconc		4.5 *	87'		•)				
							ŀ		
				1					
	C	ASING 8	SUMMARY	1		FILTER PACK	3/17/04-	niasem	1.40
CASING		ESCRIP		DIA.	END		3/1/85	12.201	1007
TYPE*				(in.)	(11.)	SEAL		1:Born	مرتجز ا
Patt	- When	- <u>Pias</u>	he		12				
B	F	VC		2"	89.5	BACKEUI	11	130	2:30
5	PVC		010	2"	63.5		•		
В	PU	c		2"	+ 1.5'	DEVELOPMENT	unlar	1:05 pm	0:12
							9/0/00	·	
					ļ	4			
						OTHER			
					· · ·	1			
P-Protec	tive 6	-Screen	D-Blank C	-Open	N-None	4		) i	
Depth fro	Top WE	DT CASING	STRUCT			WE	I DEVEN	OPNENT	
TYPE	WEI				END				
ODE+		DESCR	IPTION		DEPTH				
B	Cem	nent G	rout		59'	]	•		
5	13 e	ntonit	^L e		63'	1 .			
F	51	ita · Sa	od		85.5	1			•
		, •				1 · · · ·			
		·				CONHENTS	water	Gligh His	
						milk.	/	- Jugardy	
	- <u></u>						~		
					<b>A b</b>	50-60	101		



• • • • • •			JE JACO ADVANC	BS EN ED SYS	IGINEER	NG GROUP NO	ERATIONS		9/12
SITE I	D:	MON OI	LOCATIO	N ID:	60	FIELD REF	:	VA	
APPRO	)X. 8	ITE COO	RDINATES	(FT.	): N	56360,765	EB	8649.50	06
GROUN		EVATION	ET ME	<b>.</b>	4861.	65 COMPLET		. 1-	7-85
		OREHOL	RIMMAR	<u></u>		CONS	TRUCTION		
		LA/AVINE	JENGE		F.D.		87/	ART	
	:п:	MABU	ER	<u>また</u>		ACTIVITY	DATE	TIME	TIME
RIGIT	PE:	HOLE							+
BIT TY	PE	DIA.	DEPTH	Ę		DRILLING	12-2-84	6: AM	5:30
		(in.)	(ft.)	<b>↓</b>	TPE		12-4-84	4.20	
6"1	SA	65/6	34'	NA	112	5	12-11-84	9:15	5:2
3"1 10	1166	3''	130'	F/A	REVER	• · ·	12.12.84	9:20	5:45
Human				-	TO		1-3-85	5 EN 08 34	14
TWRICER		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ļ	1	· C F.	CASING	1-4-85	7 3.	4:30
				1		<u>ا</u> ا	T1-5-85	10:45	5:50
			SUMMARY			EIL TEP BACK	-1-6-85	9:50	12:50
CASING			<b>TIO</b>	DIA	END	TILIER PAUK	NA		
TYPE+		DESCRIP	TION	(in.)	DEPTH	CE AL			
Ì	PRE	TEUNUE	ASENG INST	LED	AS OF 1/8	GY/	1-6:85	12:35 PM	1:30 PM
	6R	EENS ONY	HOSE	V"				11200	4.30 Au
B	1.	<u>من با</u>				BACKFILL	1-6-85	1 35 PM	1. 5077
0	2	LVE UIAC.IN	NI- 0.150	7/	71			PM	· ·
	2/	4" DEEY P	VERDER	-14		DEVELOPMENT	1-6.85	4:30	$\mathbf{z}$
SEAL A	PAC	KER SET	<u>vr</u>	3.	74		1-7-85		70:30m
					<u> </u>				1
		,				OTHER			
			:	f	1	VINER	1		
	Ļ			<u> </u>					}
P-Prote		W-Screen	-Slank O-	upen				•	
		FIL CON	STRUCTIO	N		WF		OPMENT	1
TYPE T					END				. 10 10 -
		DESCR	IPTION		DEPTH	Aetesian	CONDITION	is on i	1/3/85
		ىرىكىتابونى <u>تەرتىياتە.</u> 2			- <u></u>	DRILLED	TO 130'C	n $r$ $r$	85
						WATER ALL	web to A	eun our	RNIT
			ويتباعد المرحوم والمراجع		Į	AFTER GE	OUTING TOI	OFVICE	ER
B	LN	MENT G	ROUT BACK	4ic					
5	PAL	KER SEAT			71-74'				
+	 /	OT SLAP	ENGO BEL	VN PN	KIR				
+					1	COMMENTS:	ARTESIAN	WATER S	SOURCE_
+			<u></u>		1	FROM SHIDST	DNES & U	ARIOUS A	BUIFER
		استعداد المالية المالية			1				
					1	For DI	17-1		L. L.

5/6/99

Ν

GENERAL INFO	DRMATION	SCREENING INFO	RMATION	<b>I</b>
SITE:	MONUMENT VALLEY (	MON01)	Elev	Depth
LOCATION CODE:	0610	TOP GRAVEL PACK:	4799.2	63.00
DECOMMISSIONED:	No	BOTTOM GRAVEL PACK:	4775.2	87.00
DAMAGED:	No	TOP OF SCREEN:	4799.2	.63.00
TOC ELEVATION:	4863.21	BOTTOM OF SCREEN:	4779.2	83.00
SURFACE ELEVATION:	4862.2	GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:	24.0	
BOTTOM ELEVATION:	4731.7	SCREEN LENGTH:	20.0	
TOTAL DEPTH:	130.50	CASING LENGTH:	86.010	
ZONE OF COMPLETION:	SHINARUMP MEMBER OF THE CHINLE FORMATION	CASING DIAMETER (in.):	2	•

### Lithology Details

то	P	BOTT	OM	USCS	· ·
Elev	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION
4862.2	0.00	4830.2	32.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	SAND, fine, dry, med. dense, lt. reddish brown(5YR,5/3,5/4).Note: Very Moist at 6 feet.Note: Water table encoountered at 8 feet.
4830.2	32.00	4790.2	72.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	SHINARUMP MEM., CHINLE FM.: SANDSTONE, med. grain. mod. hard, mod. weathered.thick bedding, brownish red.Note: Med. grey lens at 39 .5 to 40 feet.Note: Color change to dk. brown (10YR,8/4).SHINARUMP MEM., Continued.Note: Occasional clas
4790.2	72.00	4788.8	73.40	CLAYS	SHALE, yellowish brown to grey.
4788.8	73.40	4784.8	77.40	POORLY GRADED SANDS	SANDSTONE, very fine grained, grey; With thininterbedded lenses of grey shale.
4784.8	77.40	4775.8	86.40	POORLY GRADED SANDS	SANDSTONE, very fine, greyish white.
4775.8	86.40	4775.2	87.00	WELL GRADED SANDS	CONGLOMERATE, with fine to coarse gravel, wellcemented.
4775.2	87.00	4771.8	90.40	CLAYS	SHALE, It. grey.

Note: Depths are feet below ground surface and elevations are feet above Mean Sea Level.

TC	P	BOTT	OM	USCS	
Elev	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION
4771.8	90.40	4748.2	114.00	CLAYS	MOENKOPI FORMATION: SHALE, reddish brown.
4748.2	114.00	4731.8	130.40	POORLY GRADED SANDS	SANDSTONE, very fine, well cemented, reddish brown.Note: Becomes moderately cemented at 120.5 feet.Note: thin shale bed, reddish brown at 122 feet.TD AT 130.5 FEET.

Note: Depths are feet below ground surface and elevations are feet above Mean Sea Level.

5/6/99

## This page intentionally blank

Î

ļ

į



JEG-AL-ENG-2A (3/84)

1	,					J		VANC	ed sy	ETEM		CICII N /15101				OPER	ATION	\$		•
l							8	OR	EHC	DLE	<u>E. L(</u>	OG	(R(		<u>()</u>	•				Page 2 of 3
LC	CA		i MA	P:						7	SI' Af	TE II PRC	):_∆ )x. ∶	AON SITE	) - ( E CC				ION 5 (ft.	ID: <u>6 (/-</u> ):
							•				GF	ROUN	ND E			ON (	_ E . (ft. N	ISL	.):	****
1		•	·								DR	ILLE	:R:_					, ,		
			•								DA	TE C	COM	IPLE	TED	):	/	47 		
		· ,	-					-			FIE	ELD	REP	·			<u>K.</u>	40	1140	d
			·			:					F		TAC	G	ROL	INDW		R	LEVI	ELS
1									•						_					
L							······				E									
10				SCR		ON .														
SI	TE C	ON	DITIO	ON_																
F	2	٨	À N	G R	E 8 T L			Ð	1800	DNT	NU	TIE	3				NO	VO		
E E	NING A TIO	ORE	QUAL 0110	ECOV	ERVA		8P	ACH	NG			ORI	ENT	ATIC	N	NOI	HER	) LO		ROCK TYPE
DEP	808 768	RECO	ROCK ROCK	L DRI	ACK	WID	E		CLC	)8E	но	RIZ.		- VE	RT.	LIQNO	EAT	ITH		& REMARKS
			*ā	E.		1	110	3	284	6	H	120	50*		×	ŏ	ž		<b></b>	·
	N9	160	65	5%					X							51	βm	. <u>5</u> r		
<b> </b>			45				<u> </u>	· ·				•		*****		500	wm		-	
			100				X									6m	NW.		-	
			100				X									511	<i>V</i> ,		-	
			0						X			70				5m	i.√//⊓ ₽-===			
ŀ.	Na	100	100	Plo				X				1				5m	12m	5		OUC. Grain Siz
		١	60			· ·		· .	X		1_					4		$\square$	ي ا	ell uniferim a
			40				<b></b>	<b> </b>	X		μ_							$\square$	50	re to med.
			100					X			Ц_							Ц	Fn	acture planes
			100					X			Ц_							$\square$	1	monite and
·			100	·				X										$\square$	17	Co Q 91.4
			100						1									Ц	0,	re is hard
			100					X											r.	xtim 15
			90					X											5	mc carbon
			100						X		1					1			ar •>	cous materia, rded
60	MM	ENT	S:													Τ	N - (	80f 1/2	NOLLON	RATION V STEM ANGER

JEG-AL-ENG-2A (3/84)

					٠		. 8	OR	EH	OLE	<u>: L</u>	OG	(R(		<u>()</u>				Page 3 of
LC	DCA	TIOP	N MA	NP:					Ľ		SI AF N.GF DF DA FII		D: DX. ING ER: STA CON REP	MON SITE ELEN MET RTE IPLE S.: G			LOC DINA (ft. N (ft. N Hat TIM	R LI	ON ID: <u>6//</u> (ft.): : : EVELS J DEPTH (ft
											F				-				
								_											
LC			DE ו עדור	SCR	IPT		و بر مستان												- <u>-</u>
51	1 E (			_ אט רביו	-							1712	8				0		
1 (FT)	NOI	RE ERV	UALIT TION	OVER	TE8		8P	ACII	NG	URT		ORI	ENT	ATIC		Z	RIN	1001	ROCK TYPE
DEPTH	PERAT		BION C	D REL	ACKEI	WID	E		CLC	)8E	но	RIZ.		- VE	RT.	NOT I	ATH	THOI	& REMARKS
	ō		<b>1</b> 0	101	ã	26' 1	<del>، ۲.</del> 2	8-14	2:5	<del>ک</del> رج 5	н		50*	, , ,	V	ŝ	Ň	ב	
•	NQ	83%	100	80%					X			<u>H.</u>	<b> </b>	·		5M	35	.56	Sameasabou To 102'
			100				X									<b>.</b>	╉╼┾╍	┋╌┼╼┥	a 102.0 55,17
	[		,00			<b>5-0 -</b>	<u> </u>			<b>.</b>	-+-	<b>_</b>	<b></b> -		Í 	┋╍╪╍	<b> </b>	╉╾┼╼┥	greenish group, v. Loothe at
		j i						•	: X	i i	. 1		I			a í			- $        -$
			0						· · · ·	<b>.</b>	┝┿╸		 			┋╼╍┽╸		╋╍┿╺	Poorly consolidate
			40			•••••	X				┝╺┿╍ ┍╺┿╍		• • • •				• •- •	╞╼╌┾╼ ┫╼╺┯┓╺	Poorly consolidate with pyrite an coal. Core is
ی م م م ان میں جا ان میں ا		••••	40 10 ^D			••••	X X												Poorly consolidate with pyrite an LOAL. Core is Soft
			40 100				XXX												Poorly consolidate with pyrite an coal. core is soft fracti Horiz to
			40 100 100 35				X X X		X										Poorly consolidate with pyrite an coal. core is soft fracti Horiz to 200
			40 100 100 35 35 2				XXX		XXX		2					*		~ ~	Poorly consolidate with pyrite an coal. core is soft fracti Horiz to 20° from 103.2 to 105.0 Lost
		A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	40 100 100 35 35 7				×××		X X ?		?					× 4 × 7.		~···	Poorly consolidate with pyrite an coal. core is soft fracti Horiz to 20° from 103.2 to 105.0 Lost Sus ab with some
	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	0 100 100 35 35 7 100	30%			×××		X X ?		?.					v Q V Smje	P. 125	₹. •	Poorly consolidate with pyrite an coal. core is soft fracti Horiz to 20° from 103.3 tu 105.0 Lost Stas ab with some congromerate, it fog ingray, pyrite con
	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		0 40 100 35 35 7 100 20	30%			×××		X X ?		?.					v d v ?. Smje	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	→ ~· 55 3	Poorly consolidate with pyrite an coal. core is soft fract. Horiz to 20° from 103.3 tu 105.0 Lost Stas ab with some congroments, it to 108 cong. peak basel onch
	₽ ₽	4 4 5 7 7 7 7 7	0 40 10 10 35 35 7 10 2 5 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10	30%			× × ×		X X ?:							v d v ? Smk v o	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	× ~	Poorly consolidate with pyrite an COAL. Core is Soft fract. Horiz to Joo from 103.3 tu 105.0 Lost Stasab with some Congroments, it tog Isn gray, gyrite Co. Don cale. D IDB Cong. pebb basal portion of Sh. Pobles are most Cnert sub m.
	2	ч ту 97%	0 40 100 35 35 7 100 70 100 70	30%			× × ×		X X ?		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	الله محد	Poorly consolidate with pyrite an COAL. Core is Soft fract. Horiz to Joo from 103.3 tu 185.0 Lost Stasab with some Congroments, it tog isn gray, pyrite cu Don cale. J 108 Cong. peak basal portion of Shi Pobles are mostly Chert, Sub to we rounded. DOL Marakas

<u></u>	•						5	BOR	EH	OLI	EL	<u>OG</u>	<u>(R(</u>	DCI	<u>()</u>			,	Page $\frac{4}{2}$ of $\frac{4}{2}$
LC	CAT	TION	I MA	NP:					Ľ	2.9.7	SI AF N GF DF	TE I PRO ROUI	D: DX. ND E	NON SITE	- 0 E C( /AT	DORE	LOC DINA E	ATIO	ON ID: <u>6 1/ -</u> (ft.):
							•			·	DR DA DA FIE	TE ( TE ( TE (	ER: _ STA CON REF	RTE IPLE	D:_ TEC	):	. Ho	11a	nd
														G	ROL	JNDV	VATE	RL	EVELS
				÷							$\vdash$	1	DAT	<u>E</u>	-		TIM	<u>E</u>	DEPTH (ft.)
											F				$\neg$				
											•								·
LC SI	TE C	ONI		SCH ON_															· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
-			2,	R	91			D	180	ONT	INU	TIE	8				Ş	2	
4 (FT	ND TION	JRE VERY	UALI 1 TIOI	LING	R TE		8 P	ACI	NG		1	ORI	ENT	ATIC	DN	Z		2	ROCK TYPE
DEPT	BOR! PERA	С С С С С С	NOCK SOCK	DRIL D RE	ACKE	WID	DE		CLO	08E	но	RIZ.		- 76	ERT.	Ĩ,	ATH	E	& REMARKS
	Ô		<b>#</b> 0	ברטי דרטי	<u>م</u>	>6' 1	2.6 2	5-31	2 5%	17.5 <b>5</b>	н		50°		. V	Ö	N N	5	
•	NQ	309.	80	·				X.							İ	R	ыm	51	a) 109.6 Silfston
			0					İ	X.		<u> </u>	+			<b>.</b>	R	wm 	51	argulaccous, slig
			65				1 		X		ļ				• •	5m	F	L16+-	CAIC . , 50F7 , Ca41 broken. D 112.0 f
			10						X	<b> </b>	 	<b> </b>				3-11	F	cist	12.4 yellow gray.
			0			•••••	 			X					[ 	5m	·F	<i>65</i>	dork red brown. 02 114.61 55, UF9, 1ml
	NQ		15	50%					X			<u> </u>				sm	Sm	얈	claystone, dark
			0	Ø					Χ`			Η				sm. R			reddish brown, sil with interbeds
			D						X			-					ΙΤ		of sufstanc,
			zb						X										Cross laminate
			35						X							V			claystone.
			45					X								5m			Core is highly
			116						X							52			Froctured,
			42	_				Γ	X							1			norizon tal dom Claystone cut
			90					-		÷	1				1				
			90 0						X						1		1 1		with A mite
			90 90 0 40						X X								V	N	Artesian Flow

							8	OR	EH	DLE	E L(	<u>OG</u>	(RC	)CK	<u>;</u>				Page 2 of 8
LC	CA	TIOP	N MA	AP:		•				E	SI'AF NGF DF DA DF DF DF		D: DX. S ND E ING ER: STAI COM REP	SITE LEV MET RTEI PLE 		2/ OORD ON ( D: ): R. /		ATIO	ON ID: (ft.): : : EVELS DEPTH (ft.)
											E			··········					
LC			I DE	SCF		ION				•				•					······
Sľ				ON.												-	-		r
(F T)		IE R Y	NOI	NG	TE 8T /AL			D	1800	DNT		ITIE	8				DNIL	097	BOCK TYPE
EPTH	ORIN( ERATI	COR	CK OU	RILLI	NTER	WID				)8E	но	RIZ.	ENT	- VE	RT.	01110	THE	HOL	& REMARKS
ō	8 d 0		DES	רקים	Ă,	76'	2-6'	8"-m'	2.5.4	(),-	н		. 50*		V	CON	WEA	5	
·.	NQ	100	100	50					X					1		5 <b>11^</b>	F	.61	Very clayey sill s
			10			<b></b> -		X											minor Sillston
			0						X			4						V	a) 128.6 Sundston
			60						X									51	If gray, upg to so with onterbeds e
			GD .						X								·	41 47	Silfy red brown Claystone. all
			100						X			F_						57	above cole. Lore mod. prove
		·	100				X											1	soft to hard
			100				X							1				$\prod$	MAC: 3.5 mm/Ff
			60				X											V	autorio Sillstone, red brown, very
			ąp			Ì		X					$\square$			V	V	55	unbroxen picce 134.8. A 134 55,
			35	-					X							52°	F	65	mottled red brown//
			( ₀ 0		<u> </u>		1	1	X									45	a 135 +6 145 Sandstanc, pink
	<b>+</b>		ab		<u> </u>			X										45	brown to green 9my, fto med g
			<u> </u>	┠───	<u>†</u>		<u> </u>	x		<u> </u>		$\square$						1	to very cale.
	-		160			_		<b></b>	<b> </b>	<b> </b>	t	1 20-				HH		┢╌┼──	Some red brown
			160 40					X				fĩ				•	<b>V</b>	1	Birached 139. 8- 14

JEG-AL-ENG-2A (3/84)

							E	OR	EHO	)LE	LOG	(RC	CK)	•	•		Page ( of B
LC	CA'	ΓΙΟΝ	N MA	P:			,		~ 2		SITE I APPRO	D: _^ OX. \$	NON-	<u>61</u> COOR	LOC DINA		ON ID: <u>6//</u> (ft.):
							۰.				GROU	ND E	LEVA	TION	(ft. 1	MSL)	:
											DRILL	ER:					
								•			DATE	COM	PLET	ED:			······································
					۰.						FIELD	REP			K · H	0/10	<u>no</u>
						•						DAT	GH		WATE TIM		EVELS
		•					•										· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
-			· .														
LC				SCR	IPT	ON											
51					-					-				••••••	To		······
(F1)	D N	RE ERV	TION	ING OVER	VAL		82		NG		OR	O IFNT		ŢĨ	- Ž	60	ROCK TYPE
)EPTH	BORIN ERAT	S COV	DCK O	DRILL	CKER	WID	E		CLO	8E 1	IORIZ		- VER	T.	H	HOL	& REMARKS
	ō		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	101	Vd .	1	2	3	4	6	H	, 50*		, ŝ	WE	5	
. •	NQ	/ <mark>0</mark> 0	Y00				X				1			-6V	n q	55	a 143 mottled
			100				X				<u>.</u>		·		╺╋╺┝╸		ss hard
			50				X			)   	₩ ₩	•		╺╌╃╌┥	╺╋╺┝╸		
	i i		100	*			X				÷	÷		╺╺╉╺╺┽	╺╋╍╇╸	╇┿	
•	ļ						X			. I.		1 1		- i V			
• 1-0-0			60						<u>  </u>			·				<b>↓</b>	
	NQ	1.00	100 100	50			-	y						51	E F	¥ 55	sandslone, red brown to
	NQ	100	30 100 20	50			• • • • •	Y	X					511	· · ·	<b>V</b> 55	Sandslone, red brown to pinkist brown
	Να	1.00	3 3 2 2 2 3 2 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	50				۶ ×	X					5rr	· •	¥ 55	Sandslone, red brown to pinkist brown Some motting greenist gray,
	λα	100	5 5 5 6 E	50			X	У Х	X		1	·		51		55	Sandslone, red brown to pinkist brown Some modiling greenist gray, Sondgrains coarsen downwa
	20	100		50			X X	Y X	X		1			5rf		55	Sandslone, red brown to pinkish brown Some modiling greenish gray, Sondgrains coarsen downwa Cale. ward to u. No.
	<u>N</u> Q			50			X X	× ×	X		1			5ď		¥ 55	Sandslone, red brown to pinkish brown Some motting greenish gray, Sondgrains coarsen downwa Cale. Hard to U. Han Foghle, from
	<u>N</u> Q			50			X	y x x	X					5rr		¥ 55	Sandslone, red brown to pinkist brown Some motting greenist gray, Sondgrains coarsen downwa Cale. Hard to U. Ha Fnable from 151 to 155
	10			50			X	Y X X X X	X					5rr		¥ 55	Sandslone, red brown to pinkish brown Some motting greenish gray, Sondgrains coarsen downwa cale. Hard to U. Ha Frable from 151 to 155 wist sondgrains
	100			50			X X -	y x x x x	X 					5m		✓ 5/3	Sandslone, red brown to pinkish brown Some motting greenish gray, Sondgrains coarsen downwa cale. Hard to U. Ha Frable from 151 to 155 wist sondgrain one well rounded and Frasted. clean quartzese
	20			50			X	У Х Х Х Х	X 					5rr		<ul> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li> <li>✓</li></ul>	Sandslone, red brown to pinkisk brown Some motting greenish gray, Sondgrains Coarsen downwa Cale. Hard to U. Ha Frable from 151 to 155 a) 151 sondfrom ore well rounded ond Frasted. clean quartesse Gandsdome.

							8	OR	EHO	.E L	<u>og</u>	RO	<u>CK)</u>				Page 2 of <u>B</u>
LC	CA.	TION	I MA	P:			,		Â.	SI'	te id Pro	: <u>///</u> X. Si	7) - 0			ATI Teș	ON ID: <u>6//</u> (ft.):
							•_			N . GF	ROUN	DEL	EVAT	ION	_ E . (ft. N	ASL)	:
										DF	HLLI	NG M R:	ETHO	D:		<u> </u>	
						-				DA	TE S			<u>) ·</u>			
									. *	FI		REP.:		<u> </u>	. 140	lle	nd
									•			ATE	GRO	JNDW		RL	EVELS
											L	AIE			IIM	<u> </u>	
								·····		JE							
LO		LION	DE	SCR	IPT	ON	_							•			
SI'				ON.													
	NO	л Ч	ALITY 10N	NG	TEBT AL			D	ISCON	UNIT	ITIE 8				NE NE	7007	
HIA	DRING	COVE	ROU BNAT ROD)	RILLI	KER TERV	WID				E HO	ORIE RIZ	NTA	VERT	I III	THE	Į Į	& REMARKS
ā	9 <b>6</b>	<b>*</b> #	S BO	ruio	A .	•						<b>50°</b>		CON	WEA	Ē	
	pa	001	/00	40		1				Ť		T.		5m	4	.65	
		 - -	-			-1-					•						Morn Kost PECK
						S S				Ň							a) 156-2 55, redd
1			-			2				R							brown to If redd brown, finc graine
						×.				-				•		<b>.</b>	well rounded, from
						1à				NV N					$\square$		Frieble on broke surface.
						ò				4					$\square$		Well developed
					ļ	\$				<u> </u>					μ		Ciasa bids are
							,			4					11		Cross laminac
			V			1				1				V	₩.	<u> </u>	concentrations.
	20	10p	100	P		1				11				6m	4	57	Gross bedding
			H		<b> </b>	1		<b> </b>	┠┈╂╴	13	┞──┨		<u> </u>	_	H		grain file chan
			$\square$	┞ <u></u> ┤─	<b> </b>	1				10	┠┈┨			┞┼╴	╀╋	┥	
				1		2				5	┞──┤			┟┼╴	╋	$\left  \right $	
				V •		Ϋ́.		j	] [	· .		-	-		- 1		F 7. —
				<b>v</b> 0		ì						ŀ		<u> </u>			LOST CITC. D 170

							BO	REHO	)LE I	.OG	(R(	DĆł	()				Page 8 of
LC		TIÓI	N MA	NP:				Â.	SANG		ID: OX.	Mo SITE		DORD	LOC DINA _ E (ft. )	ATIO	ON ID: <u>(, 1)⁻_</u> (ft.):
										RILL ATE ATE IELD	ER: STA CON REI			):	R. 1	olla	nd
						×				, ,		G	ROL	JNDW	ATE	RL	EVELS
			•	•	1	I					DAT	E		······	TIM	E	DEPTH (ft
									ļĘ								
LO SI			I DE DITI	SCR ON		)N _											
F1)	Z	3 4	UITY	VERY	LE 81			DISCO	NTIN	JITIE	8				DNI	97	
TH (1	RING ATIO	CORE	NAT (OD	ILLIN ILLIN	E B L		SPAC	CING		OR	IENT	ATIC	N	TION	HE	50	ROCK TYPE
<b>B</b>	BOI DPER	N CO	ROCH BIG	R D H	N IN I	NIDE		- CLO	SE H	) RI Z.	•	- VE	ERT.	IONO	EAT	Ē	E REMARKO
			¥ā.	1	-+	1	2	8 4	<u>5</u> H		<u>, 60'</u>	•	<u>v</u>	ŭ	Š		
· ·			100	0		1 ~~~					<b>_</b>		ļ	614		<b>.</b> 	
						<u>.</u>				<b> </b> . •• <b>•</b> ••	<b></b> .	<b>.</b>	<b> </b>		<b> </b>		
			<b>.</b>			Ś.				<b></b>		. 	<b> </b>			┝╌┝╍	
-						2			3		<b>.</b>	<b> </b>	<b> </b>	<b>.</b>			
			V	1		k				,				V	. 1	V	
	PG	001	100	10.15		<u> </u>			1	ΛŢ				6m	4	65	}
				1		$\mathbf{T}$				T					1	1	
-						才	1			J_	T	1					
	╞╼┥				<b>†</b> i	内	+	╡┤		1		1	<u> </u>				
				$\mathbf{v}$	┢╼┽	*+		┽┨	- <del> </del> t	4	1 -		<b> </b>		$\square$	╏╌┼╴	•
	۲ V				┝╼╼╋	<del>1</del> 4				<b></b>							-
			1   5	0	┝─┽	$ \rightarrow +$	<u> </u>	╶╂╶┨	-12	+-					H	┠╌┼┥	
					_				-44	1		<b> </b>	<b> </b>		┝╋		
				15 ^{.9}	┞──╂	┿╋	J.					1				. 1	
				15 ^{.9}		1		_	_#	+	╉──			┝╋┥	$\vdash$	┠╌┠┥	
				15 ^{.97}						<u> </u>							-0185
			N	15 ^{.9}										¥		~	TD 185

	···	SUBJECT			SHEET NO	
BY	_СНКД		•		JOB NO	
					αι απος τη της αποτο τος της της - της πους - της πους	
	Nor	Completion p Well Jo	g for	611	•	

Atel Casing set to 63 for coring to 185 then reamed

156 - 160160 - 185

163 - 183

-> 156

assert Seren Sand Sand Screen Sump

•

183 - 185 

**GENERAL INFORMATION** 

### SCREENING INFORMATION

SITE:	MONUMENT VALLEY (	MON01)	Elev	Depth
LOCATION CODE:	0611	TOP GRAVEL PACK:	. 0	4848.17
DECOMMISSIONED:	No	BOTTOM GRAVEL PACK:	• 0	4848.17
DAMAGED:	No	TOP OF SCREEN:	4685.17	163.00
TOC ELEVATION:	4849.31	BOTTOM OF SCREEN:	4665.17	183.00
SURFACE ELEVATION:	4848.17	GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:	0.0	
BOTTOM ELEVATION:	4663.17	SCREEN LENGTH:	20.0	
TOTAL DEPTH:	185.00	CASING LENGTH:	186.14	
ZONE OF COMPLETION:	DECHELLEY MEMBER OF THE CUTLER FORMATION	CASING DIAMETER (in.):	2	

Lithology	<u>Details</u>				
<u>TC</u>	<u>P</u>	BOTT	ом	USCS	
Elev	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION
4848.17	0.00	4785.17	63.00 '	POORLY GRADED SANDS	
4785.17	63.00	4746.17	102.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	SHINARUMP MEM., CHINLE FM. SANDSTONE, fine to med, with conglomeritic layers,soft, with hematite and limonite stain, It. grey to yellowto orange.Note: Less altered in fractures, less weathered, becomesmod. soft.Note: Uniform grain size
4746.17	102.00	4745.17	103.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	SANDSTONE, coarse to fine, with pyrite, some coal,soft, greenish grey.
4745.17	103.00	4743.17	105.00	CLAYEY SANDS	SANDSTONE, shaley (indicated by geophy. log). Note: core not recovered from this zone.
4743.17	105.00	4738.77	109.40	POORLY GRADED SANDS	SANDSTONE, with conglomeritic zones, pyrite common,slightly calcareous, it. green to grey.Note: Basal portion of Shinarump Member at 108 ft. asevidenced by pebbly chert conglomerate, rounded.

Note: Depths are feet below ground surface and elevations are feet above Mean Sea Level.

5/6/99

то	P	BOTT	ОМ	USCS	· · ·
Elev	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION
4738.77	109.40	4733.17	115.00	SILTS & FINE SANDS	MOENKOPI FM.: SILTSTONE, greenish grey, very clayey, slightlycalcareous, soft, greenish grey to 112, variable yellowgrey to dark reddish brown.
4733.17	115.00	4723.17	125.00	CLAYS	CLAYSTONE, silty, with interbeds of siltstone, crosslaminated, calcareous, mod. soft to mod. hard, dark reddishbrown.Note: artesian flow encountered as claystone aquitardpenetrated.
4723.17	125.00	<b>4719.77</b>	128.40	SILTS & FINE SANDS	SILTSTONE, clayey, with minor nonclayey lenses,reddish brown.
4719.77	128.40	4717.17	131.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	SANDSTONE, very fine, lt. grey, with interbeds ofreddish brown claystone; calcareous, mod. soft to mod hard.
4717,17	131.00	4713.17	135.00	SILTS & FINE SANDS	SILTSTONE, clayey, hard, mottled red-brown to It. grey.
4713.17	135.00	4692.17	156.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	SANDSTONE, fine to med. clayey, calcareous, pinkishbrown to greenish grey. Occasional variable seams ofreddish brown to bleached.Note: Mottled at 143, hard.Note: Becoming coarser from 145 ft., calcareous.
4692,17	156.00	4663.17	185.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	DeCHELLY MEM., CUTLER FM: SANDSTONE fine, cross bedded, mod. soft, reddishbrown.TD AT 185 FEET.

Note: Depths are feet below ground surface and elevations are feet above Mean Sea Level.

5/6/99

This page intentionally blank



GENERAL INFORMATION SCREENING INFORMATION SITE: MONUMENT VALLEY (MON01) Elev Depth LOCATION CODE: 0612 **TOP GRAVEL PACK:** 4962.92 43.30 DECOMMISSIONED: No BOTTOM GRAVEL PACK: 4791.22 215.00 DAMAGED: No TOP OF SCREEN: 4831.22 175.00 TOC ELEVATION: 5007.82 BOTTOM OF SCREEN: 4811.22 195.00 SURFACE ELEVATION: 5006.22 **GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:** 171.7 BOTTOM ELEVATION: 4791.22 **SCREEN LENGTH:** 20.0 **TOTAL DEPTH: 215.00** CASING LENGTH: 216.6 **ZONE OF COMPLETION: DECHELLEY** CASING DIAMETER (in.): 2 MEMBER OF THE **CUTLER FORMATION** 

#### Lithology Details

то	P	BOTT	OM	USCS	
Elev	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION
5006.22	0.00	4990.82	15.40	POORLY GRADED SANDS	SANDSTONE, little clay fraction, medium to coarse,friable, thinnly bedded, mod. spaced fractures, lt.yellowish brown, to yellowish grey(10YR-6/2;5Y-7/2).Note: Occasional lenses to 5-in. of dk. grey shale,Note: pinkish, with limonite stains
4990.82	15.40	4986.22	20.00	• •	CONGLOMERATE: coarse sand to 1-in. pebbles. subangularto subrounded, cemented, slightly calcareous, massivebedded, light grey (N7).Note: Possible fault/shear plane at 16.5 ft.
4986.22	20.00	4980.02	26.20	POORLY GRADED SANDS	SANDSTONE, very fine, very well cemented, slightlycalcareous, 2-6-in. beds. ripple laminations, alternatinglayers of yellowish grey to lt. grey.
4980.02	26.20	4969.82	36.40	SILTS & FINE SANDS	MOENKOPI FORMATION: SANDY SILTSTONE, with thin clayey lenses, clay binder,bioturbated layers, pale red to greyish red (10R-6/2;10R-4/2).
4969.82	36.40	4967.82	38.40	POORLY GRADED SANDS	SANDSTONE, very fine, well cemented, very calcareous, thin stratum cross bedded, slump structures, light grey(N7).

Note: Depths are feet below ground surface and elevations are feet above Mean Sea Level.

5/6/99

<u>T0</u>	P	BOTT	OM .	USCS	
Elev	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION
4967.82	38.40	4963.22	43.00	SILTS & FINE SANDS	SANDY SILTSTONE, little clay, cross bedded, thincontorted bedding, bioturbation, pale red to greyish red.Note: Medium to fine grained, limonite stained, from 41 ft.
4963.22	43.00	4957.22	49.00	SILTS & FINE SANDS	SILTSTONE TO SILTY SANDSTONE, laminated to very thinlaminated, rippled and cross bedded, bioturbation, minorsandstone lenses, greyish red.
4957.22	49.00	4952.82	53.40	SILTS & FINE SANDS	SANDY SILTSTONE, 50 % silt, 50% sand, cross bedded,very fine to fine sand, calcareous, greyish red.
4952.82	53.40	4952.02	54.20	SILTS & FINE SANDS	SILTSTONE, greyish red.
4952.02	54.20	4937.82	68.40	SILTY SANDS	SILTY SANDSTONE, medium to fine, abundant blackmineral grains, very limonite stained, well cemented,calcareous, intensly cross bedded, with clay clasts,greyish red.Note: becomes massive bedded at 63 ft, with bleached spots.
4937.82	68.40	4927.42	78.80	WELL GRADED SANDS	SANDSTONE, fine to coarse, arkosic with 50 % feldspar,very well cemented, very calcareous to noncalcareous,mostly massive with ripple and cross bedding, greyishorange-pink (5YR,7/2).
4927.42	78.80	4791.22	215.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	DeCHELLY SANDSTONE MEM.;CUTLER FORMATION: SANDSTONE,medium to fine, well cemented, slightly calcareous andferric cement, cross bedded, isotropic porosity, pale red(10R,6/2).DeCHELLY SANDSTONE MEM.;CUTLER FM., Continued.DeCHELLY SANDSTO

5/6/99

Note: Depths are feet below ground surface and elevations are feet above Mean Sea Level.

### This page intentionally blank

1



JEG-AL-ENG-2A (3/84)

•						JE			BS E ED SY	NG STEM	INE IS DIV	:RIN /15101	G G I, ALB		UP I Reve	NC. Oper/		15	•
								OR	EHC	DLE	: L(	DG	<u>(RC</u>	CK	<u>)</u>				Page 2 of 5
LC		LION	MA	P:	•				A		S17		):	non	-01		LOC	ATIC	DN ID: 613-
			· .	• •					ĥ	Ĺ	AP N_	PRC	)X. (	SITE	CO	ORD	INAT	TES	(ft.):
											GR				ATI	ON (	ft. N	ASL)	
											DR	ILLE	:R:_			·			
											DA DA	TE C	STA	RTE					
							۰.		•		FIE	LD	REP	·:-		<i>R</i>	<u>. н</u>	Max	, d
													DAT	G		NDW		R <u>L</u> E	EVELS
				•							F				_			-	
											L								
LC			I DE	SCR	IPT	ION	-				<u> </u>	•							
SI	TE C	ON																	·····
F1)	I	٩Y	LITY ON	VERY	rest Al			D	180	ONT	INU	TIE	8				DNI	A D	
PTH (	RING	CORI	K QUA	RECO	KER 1		8 F	ACI	NG			ORI	ENT	ATIC	N	LION	HE	010	ROCK TYPE & Remarks
	B0 OPEI	A R	L ROC DE SIG (f	10 <b>1</b>	PAC	740	4-4	9-5 ⁴	GLU	18E	HO	R12.		- VE	RT.	I QNO	/EA1	LITH	
<u> </u>							2	3	<u>*4</u>	5	H		. 60-					<b>م</b> ند ا	@ 89.4 Claystone
• <del></del>							i T !	÷~		i		i   .							becoming red braching highly fract. Slight
							• • • •	<b></b>		<b> </b>								<b>†</b>	to non calc.
		<b></b>				• 		<b>+</b> -		•				<b></b> -				\$	
	•																		
	NQ	379	╞╼┻╺	- 588 501				<u>.</u>		-8 X						6.11	 5	21	brown, micaceous, M
			0							*	┣					Sm	F	<i>CI</i>	minor fine qr. cale. If Prange micasode S6.
_		1003	•	59				-	F							6 m \	E C	5+~41 5+~41	@ 95.0 Sulfstans and claystome intersell
								+		<u>,</u>						Lm		ऽन्द \$न-दा	Haray to de brown, Cake, mighly fract.
			0					┼──	-	Ê						, <b>n</b>	Ċ	57 -	a 167 craystance de brown, with minor
_			<b></b>						-	17			<u> </u>			2		51-	and exp(?) and usions
			40.		<u> </u>	ŀ			X							sm	<u>F</u>	<u>e</u> ] 51-	nighly Fractured. Q103-2 Claystone as
			47					╂──	<b>I</b> ×	<b>▲</b>	F					300	4	<u>c1</u> (1-	1010 mtc. 62 0F 1/9 1012. 100 - 101 (5.5 mm
			0					┨		X	F			<b></b>		2 A	<u>}</u>	id 5+-	med to coarse gr. Ca bandstone.
			0							Ê						» <u>*</u>	14	2 61-	
	<u> </u>		Ľ		I	1	<u>L</u>	1	<u> </u>	1^				L	<u> </u>	T	٢	60AIN	G OPERATION
~~			<b>A</b> -																

JEG-AL-ENG-2A (3/84)

							B	OR	EHC	JLE	: L(	UG	(RC	JCK	L .				Page 2 of
LC	DCA	TION	MA	<b>P:</b>				··· .	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	5	SIT AP	TE II PRC	D: 1	<u>bn-</u> Site	000 COC	ORD		ATIO	ON ID: <u>6/3</u> (ft.):
											GR	ROUI	ND E	ELEV. METI	ATIC	ON (	ft. 1	ASL)	:
													ER: _ Stai	RTEC	<u>5H4</u> ):	<u>8 (/</u> 3	1218	<del>:†e1)</del> 5	
											DA			PLET		Ha	llan	2	
									·					GE		WOV		R I	EVELS
												1	DAT	E	Ţ		TIM	E	DEPTH (ft
	·										E								
								<u>.</u>		<u>.</u>	L							<del></del>	
	CATE (			SCR ON	IPT	ION	<del></del>			i									
	<u> </u>		<u>&gt;_</u>		E.			D	180	ONT		TIE	8				ō	1	
(FT)	<b>B</b> N <b>N</b> OI	JERY JERY	UALIT 110N	COVE	A TE8		8 P	ACI	NG			ORI	ENT	ATIO	N	NO	ERIN	LOG	ROCK TYPE
DEPTH	BORI	€ CO	OCK O BIGNA	DRIL D REC	CKE	WID	)E —		CLC	<b>)8</b> E	но	RIZ.		- VE	RT.	1110	ATH	Ē	& REMARKS
	ō	æ	DE	FLUI	14	1	2	3	3.9. 84	£2.5 5	н		, <b>50</b> *		v	CO	WE	5	
	Na	100	0	5%						X		į				2	F	59	
	Ne		20						X	,						57	F	61- 55-	
		98%	100					X								Sm	F	0	darx brown El,
			80					X								5~	F	<u>c1</u>	If gray 55., 55 Fg, calc . Clay 1
			95					X								5~	· F	<u> </u>	Dominates from
			35						X							60	f	61 - 55	claystone + 85 mott. affect. son
			95						X							5m	F	55- Cl	claystone frags as recosted.
•			50		•				X							R	F	54- CI	Note: 110-111 6.5m
			ЦD				ан. 		X			4				R	4	61- 55	112.5 Core hig
			35						X						. [	8- 5-m	ç	61- 55	Hole making art
	, i		15						X							sm	F	¢};	Flow. 1.6 gpm
			80					Ķ	Y							6-71 146	ç	61 - 55	
	NQ	99%	95		·			X								5m	F	55	Sandotone, dar brown to pinkish
			15					X				4				50	ŕ	54	Coarse gr., varying
	]		60					X								Sm	F	55	
co	мм	ENT	S: _							·							N • 6 •	80Rik 6 1/2 H	IG OPERATION OLLOW STEM ANGER DITINUOUS FLIGHT ANGER
																	68 - N1 -	GEAR B	IT K CORING
																1	NQ •	NO WIRI	ELINE ROCK CORING

•

								<u>B</u>	OR	EHO	DLE	<u>E L(</u>	DG	(RC	DCK	$\mathbf{S}$				Page 4 of 5
	LO	CAI	rion	I MA	P:		<u>, .</u>					SIT AP	re II PRC	D:	لممار SITE	- <u>0/</u> : CC	ORD		ATI	DN ID: <u>6/3</u> (ft.):
	• •		· · ·		. · ·					-	-	N_				/ A TI	ON (	_ E	101 1	•
		•	•									DR	ILLI	NG	MET	HOI	D:			•
											·	DR DA	ILLE	ER:_ Sta	RTE	D:		-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
												DA	TE (		PLE	TED	):	+ 11 /	<u> </u>	
									-			- T C			···				DI	EVELS
												E		DAT	E	HOL T	MDW	TIM	E	DEPTH (ft.)
										; .										
F				<u></u>																
Į	LO		ÍON	I DE	SCR	RIPT	ION													· 
	SIT		ON	DITI	ON _															
	<u>e</u> .	z	>	NU	G FR	E ST			D	180	ONT	INUI	TIE	3	<u> </u>			DNI	9	
		ATIO	DORE DVER	OUAL 0011C	ECOV	ER VA		8 P	ACI	NG			ORI	ENT	ATIC	N	NOL	HER	50	ROCK TYPE
		901 PER	REC	ROCK BIGU	E O E	ACK	WID	)E	o '	CLO	08E	но	RIZ.		- VE	RT.	LIQNO	EAT	H	G REMARNO
F			<u> </u>	*5		Ļ	1	62		54	<u>5</u>	н		50*	, .	V	ŏ	ž		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		,29	991	35		ļ	<b> </b>		; ; ;	X	<b> </b> <b> </b>						Sm	F.	. 55	
F	_			80		ļ	ļ		X		ļ					<b> </b>	5m	F	55	
L				100		ļ 		<u> </u>		<b>.</b>	<b>L</b>						Sm	6	55	fall y cliew
L				100				X				 					sm	F	55	@ 121.5" SS, dalk brown with varuine and
				100		 	 	X		 							sm	. ç	55 	of clay.
	_			100				X									5m	F	50	
Ł			104.4	100					X	*							6m	4	55	
Γ				100			1		X								50-	F	55	ss, reddan brown, med ar. clean:
T				10		1	1	1	X	1	<u> </u>						50%	c	55	sum sub to well rounded of Cont
ŀ				40			†		$\vdash$	x							۶m.	F	4	Calcarcous.
ŀ						+				+	┢─						K GM.	·	1 27	· .
_				100		+			$\vdash$	<u>↓</u>	┼─	· ·					r R smi	$\frac{r}{c}$	155	
ነት				45		╂	╂──	$\downarrow$	┢╌	$\uparrow \Delta$	╞	F	F	├				<u> -</u>	<b>)</b>	@ 130 54, yellowish
				100	<b> </b>		┨──	<u> ^</u>	┝	┨──	┨──	$\left  \right $					5m	r_	<b> </b> "	brown, Fine to UF 9% Cross bedded. X Lamm
			ļ	1100			╂	<u> </u> X−		╂──	╂—					┨──	5m	F	55	distinguished by slip change in grain size
			i i				-	-	-	-	-	-			1		- 6M	. /	1 . /	- must falle as sould have
				100	<u> </u>			X						<u> </u>	<u> </u>		7	1	55	AND FEEL COMPANY

						· .		-	OR	EHC	)LE	L	DG	(RC	CK	()				Page 5 of 5
	LC				P:							SIT		): _/	non	<u>) - 0</u>	·	LOC	ATIC	DN ID: 6/3
											7.	AP	PRC	X.	SITE	E CC	ORD	INAT	'ES (	(ft.):
												GR	OUI	ND E	LEV	/ATI	ON (	_ = . ft. N	ISL):	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
												DR	ILLI	NG :D·	MET	HOI	):			
												DA	TE	STA	RTE	D:_				· ·
												DA FIE	TE ( ELD	COM REP	IPLE '.:	TED	·	R. /	40110	a d
	•				·							<b></b>	·		0	PÓL	NDW	ATE	RIF	
								•					· (	DAT	E			TIM	E	DEPTH (ft.)
										·		$\vdash$				-+				
ł																				
	LO		ION	DE	SCR	IPTI	ON		_								•			
	SIT	TE C				•														· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	E	Z	>	UTY .	VERY	EST VL			D	1800	ТИС	NU	TIE	3		÷		DNI	٩Y	
	H	ATIO	CORE O VEF	ATT 0D)	ECO	ER 1 ERV/		8 P	ACI	NG			ORI	ENT	ATIC	DN -	NOL	HER	OLO	ROCK TYPE
	DEP	BOI DPER	REC	ROCK 8101 (R)	N OR	ACK	WID	E	<u> </u>	CLC	98E	HO	RIZ.		- VE	ERT.	IDNO	EAT	ITH	a nemanno
				≠ā			1	2	3	4	<b>6</b>	Н		. 50°	, i	V	ŏ	Ň	ت ا	
				100						<u> </u>							4m	8	.54	X
				-2						X						+		·	<u> 55</u>	
			40	0							NA 	-1				<b>+</b>		F	50 × 0	a) 134.8 Sand,
, <b> </b>				0							NA	-		<b>.</b>	 					cican, saturated
	-			0			<b> </b>				NA									with 120.
Ĩ				0							NA			<b>1</b>						A 10' run - Cace
'				0							٨لو							Π		recovery for sue Soft material.
				6		1	1	<u> </u>			NÅ			1	<u> </u>	1-				
				0		1	1		1	<u>†</u>	NA	·		<b>†</b>		†				
						<u> </u>	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	N.							┝╉──	$\left[ + + - \right]$	
	4 '					<u> </u>	<u>  .</u>				A14		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		4-		prease all
3					<b> </b>	<u> </u>	<b> </b>		<b>!</b>	<b> </b>			<u> </u>		<u> </u>	┨		┝╁╴		HO FISAL SOM
5						<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<b> </b>	<u> </u>	<b> </b>					<b> </b>	╞			Ĕ	TD 144.7
2 4 5						-		ŀ	1	1										10 20 20 20 20 20 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
														1	-	-	- 1	-	-	
2 4 5																				Note: Packer set
																				Note: Packerset 130.3' flow thro Packer: 5min/ga
2 3 4 5 1 7 1	co	MMI	ENT	s: _														и - 1	BORIN 6 1/2 MC	NOTE: Packerset 130.3' flow thru Packer: Smin/ga G OPERATION DLOW STEM ANGER



JEG-AL-ENG-3 (3/84)

<b>,</b>			JE JACO	BS B	GNEER	NG GROUP NC			
			OREHOLI	E/WI		ONSTRUCTION	LOG the	land	
	D: _	MON OF	LOCATIO	N ID:	613	FIELD REP	: # Mil	les Mier	eng
	)X. (	BITE COO	RDINATES	(FT.)	): N		E		J.
GROUN	ID E		(FT. MSL	):			ION DATE	3/18/8	
		BOREHOLE	E SUMMAR	Y		CONST	RUCTION	TIME LOG	1
DRILLER:						ACTIVITY	8T/	END	
RIG TY	PE:						DATE	TIME	TIME
BIT TY	PE	HOLE DIA (in.)	END • DEPTH (ft.)	F		DRILLING CORING			
			144.7			REAMING	Alalia	2:250	9/10/55
		5 5/8"	160				711/82	p	
						CASING	3/18/85-	2:45	3:00
		CASING 8	SUMMARY			FILTER PACK	3/18/85	3:00	3 20
CASING TYPE •			DIA. (in.)	DEPTH (11.)	SEAL	3/18/85	3:20	3.30	
				<u> </u>	160		alala	11:00	(30
3		· · ·	<u> </u>		140	BACKFILL	718/85	4.00	8.20
	ļ			· .		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	<u> </u>				<b> </b>				
					OTHER				
B P-Prote	<u>ctive</u>	B-Screen	B-Bienk O-	Open	N-None				
Depth fr	om T	op of Casing	)						
	M	ELL CON	STRUCTIO	N		WEL	L DEVEL	OPMENT	
TYPE Code+		DESCR			DEPTH		•		
F		SAND			13/60		-		•
5	VC	Lergy	TABLET	<u> </u>	136				
b		GROVT			154				
<b>P</b>									
┢───┼					<u> </u>				
┡╼──┼									
							<u>.</u>		
• •-	Back	fill 8 -	Seal F	- Fite	Pack	]			
• Dep	th fre	om Ground S	urface						

5/6/99

.

GENERAL INFO	AL INFORMATION SCREENING INFORMA		RMATION	TION	
SITE:	MONUMENT VALLEY (	MON01)	Elev	Depth	
LOCATION CODE:	0613	TOP GRAVEL PACK:	4725.92	136.00	
DECOMMISSIONED:	No	BOTTOM GRAVEL PACK:	. 4701.92	160.00	
DAMAGED:	No	TOP OF SCREEN:	4723.92	138.00	
TOC ELEVATION:	4864.28	BOTTOM OF SCREEN:	4703.92	158.00	
SURFACE ELEVATION:	4861.92	GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:	24.0		
BOTTOM ELEVATION:	4701.92	SCREEN LENGTH:	20.0		
TOTAL DEPTH:	160.00	CASING LENGTH:	162.36		
ZONE OF COMPLETION:	DECHELLEY MEMBER OF THE CUTLER FORMATION	CASING DIAMETER (in.):	2		

### Lithology Details

TOP		BOTTOM		USCS			
Elev	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION		
4861.92	0.00	4828.92	33.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	SAND, fine. It. reddish brown to tan.		
4828.92	33.00	4784.92	77.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	SHINARUMP MEM., CHINLE FM.: SANDSTONE, medium to coarse grained. See log of nearbywell 610 for description of materials to 78 feet. Notrecorded for this hole.SHINARUMP MEM.,Continued.		
4784.92	77.00	4775.32	86.60	POORLY GRADED SANDS	Note: No log until coring commenced at 77.8 feet. SANDSTONE, fine to medium grained, cross bedded withhematite and limonite common, noncalcareous, It. grey toyellowish brown.Note: Thin seam of black chert pebble cong. at base ofShinarum		
4775.32	86.60	4766.92	95.00	CLAYS	MOENKOPI FORMATION: CLAYSTONE, with finely disseminated pyrite, reductionenvironment, mod. spaced fractures, slightly calcareous,greenish grey.Note: Color change to reddish brown, closely spacedfractures.Note: Color change to dark brown		
4766.92	95.00	4765.32	96.60	SILTS & FINE SANDS	SILTSTONE AND CLAY STONE, interbedded, calcareous, It grey to dk. brown.		

Note: Depths are feet below ground surface and elevations are feet above Mean Sea Level.

TOP		BOTTOM		USCS	
Elev	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION
4765.32	96.60	4753.92	108.00	CLAYS	CLAYSTONE, with minor interbeds of siltstone, dk.brown.Note: One foot layer of sandstone at 100 feet.Note: Closely space fractures at 103 feet.Note: Thin seam of sandstone at 103 feet and at 104.5 ftMOENKOPI, Continued.
4753.92	108.00	4747.32	114.60	POORLY GRADED SANDS	CLAYSTONE/SANDSTONE, interbedded, mottled dark brownand It grey respectively.Note: Intensly fractured at 110 to 111 feet. Artesian flow from borehole, 1.6 gpm, during drilling.
4747.32	114.60	4731.92	130.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	SANDSTONE, fine to coarse, trace to little clay,calcareous, dk. brown to pinkish white.Note: Color change to pale yellow at 120 ft.Note: Color change to dark brown with varying amounts ofclay/shale seams from 122 feet.Note: Color change to
4731.92	130.00	4717.32	144.60	POORLY GRADED SANDS	DeCHELLY SANDSTONE MEM.,CUTLER FM. (Probable contact) SANDSTONE, fine to very fine, cross bedded, withlimonite cementation, yellowish brown.Note: Color change to tan.TD AT 144.7 FEET.Artesian flow at 2.0 gpm at completion of drillin

Note: Depths are feet below ground surface and elevations are feet above Mean Sea Level.

### This page intentionally blank

1

ļ

BNO. MO	NOI	DATE03/04/85	TOTAL	- TOTAL DEPTH 84.5 fee			
RFACE ELE Ρ ΟΓ ΓΠ ΤΓ	VATION R PACI	x 43.00	RIG TY	P <u>E(</u> 3 TYPF (	CORE/ ROTARY		
LL CASING	TYPE	2.0-IN.SCHED.40 PVC	LOCAT	LOCATION N 60940.50 E 87832.60			
MPLETION		MULNKUPI FM.	DATUL	//	ASL: GROUND SURFACE		
Depth	Well Con.	Remarks	Lithology	USCS	Visual Classification		
U		Installed 2-in PVC well		SP	EOLIAN:		
				011			
5		Protective steel casing					
	ata 1.	placed to 5 feet.			· · ·		
					4 · · ·		
10					1		
		Bentonite/ cement grout					
15							
					and the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second		
		· ·					
20							
					1		
25		· .		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
					· · · ·		
·					4		
30					1		
· · · · ·							
		•					
30					4 · ·		
<u>د </u>					. · · ·		
					1		
40							
		Bentonute pellet seal installed from 40.9 to			f .		
	38	43.1 feet.			1		
		No 20 Elizabethand					
45		placed from 43.1 to 70					
		feet.					
				SP			
		installed from 48 to 68		-sr	SANDSTONE, fine to medium		
50		feet.			grained, well cemented,		
	::B	<u> </u>	I	1	noncalcareous, massive with occ.		




5/6/99

GENERAL INFO	DRMATION	SCREENING INFORMATION						
SITE:	MONUMENT VALLEY (	MON01)	Elev	Depth				
LOCATION CODE:	0614	TOP GRAVEL PACK:	4812.53	43.10				
DECOMMISSIONED:	No	BOTTOM GRAVEL PACK:	4785.63	70.00				
DAMAGED:	No	TOP OF SCREEN:	4807.63	48.00				
TOC ELEVATION:	4856.81	BOTTOM OF SCREEN:	4787.63	68.00				
SURFACE ELEVATION:	4855.63	GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:	26.9					
BOTTOM ELEVATION:	4771.13	SCREEN LENGTH:	20.0					
TOTAL DEPTH:	84.50	CASING LENGTH:	71.180					
ZONE OF COMPLETION:	SHINARUMP MEMBER OF THE CHINLE FORMATION and ALLUVIUM	CASING DIAMETER (in.):	2					

Lithology Details	5
-------------------	---

то	<u>P</u>	BOTT	OM	USCS	
Elev	Depth	Elev	<u>Depth</u>	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION
4855.63	0.00	4807.63	48.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	SAND, fine, brown.
4807.63	48.00	4788.63	67.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	SHINARUMP MEM., CHINLE FM: SANDSTONE, fine to medium grained, well cemented,noncalcareous, massive with occ. traces of cross bedding,limonite stains, good porosity, It. grey to dark greyishorange.Note: Cross bedding becoming more promin
4788.63	67.00	4783.03	72.60	WELL GRADED SANDS	CONGLOMERATE, fine sand to pebbles, subangular tosubrounded, well cemented, noncalcareous, cross bedded,porous, pale yellowish brown 910YR,6/2).
4783.03 ⁻	72.60	4778.83	76.80	CLAYS	MOENKOPI FORMATION: CLAYSTONE, with siltstone interbeds, altered, bleached, micaceous with diseminated pyrite, brownishyellow.
4778.83	76.80	4771.23	84.40 _.	SILTS & FINE SANDS	SILTSTONE, with interbedded sandstone seams (15%),firmly cemented to friable, ripple laminations, occasionalclay lenses, yellowish brown.TD AT 84.5 FEET.



5/6/99

GENERAL INFO	DRMATION	SCREENING INFORMATION						
SITE:	MONUMENT VALLEY	′ (MON01)	Elev	Depth				
LOCATION CODE:	0615	TOP GRAVEL PACK:	. 0	4848.58				
DECOMMISSIONED:	No	BOTTOM GRAVEL PACK:	0	4848.58				
DAMAGED:	No	TOP OF SCREEN:	4780.58	68.00				
TOC ELEVATION:	4850.16	BOTTOM OF SCREEN:	4760.58	88.00				
SURFACE ELEVATION:	4848.58	GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:	0.0					
BOTTOM ELEVATION:	4738.58	SCREEN LENGTH:	20.0					
TOTAL DEPTH:	110.00	CASING LENGTH:	91.58					
ZONE OF COMPLETION:	SHINARUMP MEMBER OF THE CHINLE FORMATION	CASING DIAMETER (in.):	2					

•				· ·		· · · ·
MACTEC-ER	IS					Borehole Summa
2597 B 3/4 R	oad					Page _/ of/
Grand Junctic	on, Colorado	81502			r.	
acility <u>Grand</u>	Junction Of	fice Site	Monu	ment Valley,	Az	Project UMTRA Ground Wate
Soring/Well No.	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			Location"(N)	<u>2158877</u> (E) <u>587587</u>
Ground Elev. (Ft.	1 4886.27	7 Bit/Auger S	ize	NA	-	Hole Depth (Ft) <u>154.4</u>
	TYPE	Vol. (cf.	gal)	Interval (Ft.)	)	Stick-Up Height (Ft) 2.4
llank Casing	PVC Scd. 4	0 6"		<u>0</u> to <u>103.9</u>		Slot Size 0,020
Screen	Longear PI	<u>vc                                     </u>		103,9 to 153,9		Location Sketch
Sump/End Cap	PVC Sect. 4			<u>153,9</u> to <u>154,4</u>		Ň
Sealant	Bentente Pe	16-40		101.9 to 93.3		木 e G19 ~855 <del>0</del> 1
Frout PDS	/ Pure Guid ba	ntonite 105.6	ft.3	<u>97.9</u> to < 1.5>	•	¥ • 776
ocking Cover In	stalled 🕥/ N	Padlock No.		9		
Prilling Method _		Developed	A1 A	Samp	ling Method	NA
are Drilled <u>N</u> Sampler(s) <u>Larr</u>	A Dat	.e Developed	A	Remarks	ula Level/Date P <u>reviovsly dri</u> j	1) ed well, froctured, tout a lot of grout
Depth* Blows/ (FT) 6*	PID Sample No.; ppm Interval	WELL	GRAPHIC LOG	DESCRIPTION	Sumped 600 ga	Ilms of water in well-lost it all to his well is me ft. North ot Well 776
			<u> </u>	5	ee well # 7	176 for lithology.
			<u> </u>	Required Inform	nation:	
			<b>,</b>	to well); grain an	iunsell color; pe ioularity: indurat	freentage sand and gravel; sorting (poor tion or plasticity: moisture content (moist
				to saturated).	igularity, nicera	and of plastery, mostare content (most
0			1	0-34,3 Shinarun	np Membero	f Chinle Fm.
		V// Y//.				
20 -					-	
.				34.3-78,7 MoenH	lopi Fm.	
10				ι 		
		V// \//				
			•			
60 +			· ·			
		V / / ///				
E0		$\frac{1}{1}$		78.7-91.3 Hoski	nníni Memb	er of Moen Kopi Fm.
•				•		
100				91.3-154,4 DeCh	elly Sandston	e Member of Cutler Fm.
	7 -					
-						
20	-+ -					
140				,		
₽ ^{4,4} + 7,0,				·		
	+ -	-				
				•		
<b>-</b> } }				ж. 	•	· .
-+- I	1					

	۸ TTC	
WELLING. $019$ D	AIE_	<u>8-13-97</u> IIVIE <u>1615</u>
PLANNED INSTALLATION		FINAL INSTALLATION (Same as planne
CASING DIAMETER $\underline{G}^{"}\mathcal{I}.\mathcal{O}$	· .	
CASING STICKUP HEIGHT 2.4		CASING STICKUP HEIGHT
		· · · · · ·
TOP OF GROUT $(1.5 ft)$	-	TOP OF GROUT
GROUT TYPE "PDS" bentonite sloving		
E Pure Gold benzonite slurry		
TOP OF PELLETS <u>97.9</u>		TOP OF PELLETS
SIZE OF PELLETS <u>14 round</u>		
(Gallons)		
TOP OF FINE SAND $100,9$	—	TOP OF FINE SAND
TOP OF FILTER SAND $(01, 9)$		TOP OF FILTER SAND
FILTER SAND SIZE <u>10-20</u>		
TOP OF SCREEN <u>103,9</u>	_	TOP OF SCREEN
TOP OF SLOTS 104.3	82	
TYPE OF SCREEN 703, 3, 29, 5-13-37	==	
SLOT SIZE <u>0.020</u> "	== == ==	
BOTTOM OF SLOTS 153.8	8 E 2 E	
TOP OF SUMP/END CAP 153,9	, , , ,	TOP OF SUMP/END CAP
	· · .	
BOTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP 154.4		BOTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP
TOTAL DEP	тн <u>14</u>	54.4

5/6/99

GENERAL INFO	DRMATION	SCREENING INFORMATION							
SITE:	MONUMENT VALLEY (I	MON01)	Elev	Depth					
LOCATION CODE:	0619	TOP GRAVEL PACK:	4785.37	100.90					
DECOMMISSIONED:	No	BOTTOM GRAVEL PACK:	4731.87	154.40					
DAMAGED:	No	TOP OF SCREEN:	4782.37	103.90					
TOC ELEVATION:	4888.63	BOTTOM OF SCREEN:	4732.37	153.90					
SURFACE ELEVATION:	4886.27	GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:	53.5						
BOTTOM ELEVATION:	4731.87	SCREEN LENGTH:	50.0						
TOTAL DEPTH:	154.40	CASING LENGTH:	156.76						
ZONE OF COMPLETION:	DECHELLEY MEMBER OF THE CUTLER FORMATION	CASING DIAMETER (in.):	6						

#### This page intentionally blank

-

JE JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP INC. Advanced systems division, albuqueeque operations Page 1 of 1 **BOREHOLE LOG (SOIL)** SITE ID: Mon -01 LOCATION ID: 650 LOCATION MAP: SITE COORDINATES (ft.): 650 N. **GROUND ELEVATION (ft. MSL):** DRILLING METHOD: _ Rot Ary DRILLING CONTR .: Been Dillo Aistrip DATE STARTED: _ DATE COMPLETED: FIELD REP .: _ Rilian GROUNDWATER LEVELS DATE TIME DEPTH (ft.) (1.1.) Pile LOCATION DESCRIPTION AKO E + Alistin dor th ent 1500 SITE CONDITION _Flat. resetation Desit type VALUE SAMPLE RECOVER SAMPLE RETAINED TYPE BLOWS BAMPL INTERV DEPTH USCS VISUAL CLASSIFICATION Đ PER 6 in. SP Sond Some Sill, fred Fine - ned N. P., Red-Bin Cat'd COMMENTS: SAMPLE TYPE SAMPLE ITTE A - Auger cuttings S - 2° O.D. 1.38° J.D. drive sample U - 3° O.D. 2.42° I.D. tube sample T - 3° O.D. thir-walled Shelby tube JEG-AL-ENG-2S (4/85)

					JE		ENC	SIN MS D				C. PERATION	15	•		
						BOR	EH	OLI	ELO	<u>g (so</u>	DIL)			P	age <b>2</b> o	14
LOCATI	ON	MA	P:				Â	SITE ID: <u>Mox - 61</u> LOCATION ID: <u>656</u> SITE COORDINATES (ft.):								
			• • •		•			N G				E	ASL):			
					,	•		D	RILLIN	IG MET	HOD:	ho	tary	• • • •		
·			R	,	1			D D	RILLIN ATE S	IG CO TARTE	NTR.: . D:	<u>Neen</u> 9/3	<b>an 1</b> 10/85		<u> </u>	
	20 !		g					D			TED:	2/30	180			
		· i				•	. •				POLINI		DIEV			
		× .			•			E	D	ATE		TIM	E		DEPTH	(ft.)
			,					F								
		•			•	•		Ľ								
		N DI דותו		RI	PTION _										<u> </u>	
						1	T	444								
DEPTH	SAMPLE INTERVA	SAMPLE RECOVER	SAMPLE RETAINE	TYPE	Ð	BLOWS PER 6 II	n.   2	VALUE	<b>U</b> 8C8		VISL	AL CL	A 8 8 I F I	CATI	м	
20.0					•••				51	Smel	; Sene	· sili	, Pr		Fine -	nel
								• •	· ·	N. P.	Red	- Bin	•	•		
										· ·		.:		•		
· ·		_		-							•					
			•										·			•
														•		
								•								
											• •			· • · ·		
						,										
															•	
																۰ ۲
													÷			
														•	· · ·	
													-			· · .
	_		-													
	$\square$							ĺ			· .	ont'z	1			
10.0				l									s		TYPE	
COMMEN													1. · · · ·			
COMMEN		,										»	S - 2	er cuttii D.D. 1.3	igs 8° I.D. driv 2° I.D. tube	e sample

LOCATI	ON	MAF	 >:			<u> </u>		<u>10L</u> ] s	E LO	G (SOI : <u>Max - a</u>	<u>L)</u>	LOCAT		Pag D:	10201	
	<i>ze</i>	· ·	10		1		~	SN GDDDDDF LLLL	ITE CO ROUNI RILLIN RILLIN ATE S ATE CO IELD R	DORDIN/ DELEVA IG METHIG CON TARTED OMPLET EP.: GR ATE	ATES (f ATION ( IOD: TR.: ED: ED: B. ( OUNDW	t.): E ft. MSL Mot A 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/30/ 2/3/ 2/3	.): Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø Ø.	LS DE	<u>с</u> ,	1.)
			ESC	RIP		- 								:		
DEPTH	<b>BAMPLE</b> INTERVAL	SAMPLE RECOVERY	SAMPLE RETAINED	TYPE	łD	BL( PER	OWS 6 in.	VALUE	USCS		VISUAL	CLAS	BIFIC		4	
<del>2</del>						· · ·			SP	Smal	Some	5:11	1	el.	Fine	-
										red		Rest	<b>G</b> to			
·····																
	-											•		ç	• •	, <b>.</b> .
					·····					,		,		· · ·	· • •	
				-				,					2 -			
		_												•		
												·	۰. ۱			
					1											
													•			
901			•								<u>,                                     </u>	Cont	11			
COMMEN	ITS:									· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		^^	SAI - Auger - 2" 0.1	MPLE 1 cuttings D. 1.38	I.D. drive	sam

JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP INC. ADVANCED SYSTEMS DIVISION, ALBUQUERQUE OPERATIONS Page 4 of 4 **BOREHOLE LOG (SOIL)** SITE ID: Man - Of LOCATION ID: 650 **LOCATION MAP:** Ä SITE COORDINATES (ft.): N. E **GROUND ELEVATION (ft. MSL)**: Ruthey DRILLING METHOD: _ DRILLING CONTR .: _____ ALL. <u>9/7018</u> DATE STARTED: _ See by DATE COMPLETED: Q. FIELD REP .: __ GROUNDWATER LEVELS DEPTH (ft.) DATE TIME LOCATION DESCRIPTION SITE CONDITION N ALUE BAMPLE INTERVAL BAMPLE RECOVERY SAMPLE RETAINED TYPE BLOWS DEPTH USCS VISUAL CLASSIFICATION Đ PER 6 In. > 20.0 SP , some sill, bred Fine , N.P., Red -GIN **10**2,0 55 Smalsterne, sett, Rec HOH IC Arila COMMENTS: SAMPLE TYPE A - Auger cuttings S - 2° O.D. 1.38° I.D. drive sample U - 3° O.D. 2.42° I.D. tube sample - 3" O.D. thin-walled Shelby tube JEG-AL-ENG-2S (4/85)



<b>}</b> ₽R	0X. 8	ITE COO		(FT.	.): N		t		
BROU	ND EL	EVATION	E BUMMAN	<u>.):</u>			TION DAT	E: 7/30	<u>es</u>
		UNENUE				0040	INDC TION	ART	1
DRILL	ER:	CORN I	Orither C	2		- ACTIVITY		•	END TIME
RIG T	YPE:	HOLE	END C			DRILLING	B/2->/6C	IIME 9 kg	(8.00
BIT TI	PE	DIA. (in.)	DEPTH (11.)	{	TYPE		1/2010	1.00	10.00
Teleor	e	778	·107	Be	ert.				
		· · · · ·	•				6/2.	10 ⁻³⁰	1.20
	$\dashv$			1		CABING	71 30	<i>v. 3</i> 0	<i>n.</i> ,0
			UMMARY			FILTER PACK	2/30	11:30	1:00
ABING		DESCRIP	TION	DIA. (in.)	DEPTH				
B	Ste	e.(		848	20.0	SEAL	9/30	1:00	1:30
	Seh	80 PV	c	4	22.5	BACKFILL	9/36	1.30	9:00
5		4 A		4 4	97.5 ec.				
2		· · · ·			17,5	DEVELOPMENT	10/1	8,30	
							:		
					. :	OTHER -		· .	
Proto	tive (	-Baroon B	-Bienk O-I	Dpen	81-Mone				
apth in	WE	LL CONE	TRUCTIO			WEL	L DEVELO	PMENT	
YPE		DESCR	PTION		DEPTH				• • •
B	Cene,	st Gro	1				· .		
5	Bent	Pelle	B					·	
-+	Silie	<u>a San</u>	. <u>y</u>						
		·····							
1		· · ·				COMMENT8:			
		•	•						

÷.....

5/6/99

GENERAL INFO	ORMATION	SCREENING INFO	SCREENING INFORMATION							
SITE:	MONUMENT	VALLEY (MON01)	Elev	Depth						
LOCATION CODE:	0650	TOP GRAVEL PACK:	4718.31	[.] 73.00						
DECOMMISSIONED:	No	BOTTOM GRAVEL PACK:	4691.81	99.50						
DAMAGED:	No	TOP OF SCREEN:	4713.81	77.50						
TOC ELEVATION:	4794.28	BOTTOM OF SCREEN:	4693.81	97.50						
SURFACE ELEVATION:	4791.31	GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:	26.5							
BOTTOM ELEVATION:	4691.81	SCREEN LENGTH:	20.0							
TOTAL DEPTH:	99.50	CASING LENGTH:	102.47							
ZONE OF COMPLETION:	ALLUVIUM	CASING DIAMETER (in.):	4							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·										

Lithology Details

<u>T0</u>	P	BOTT	OM	USCS	· · ·
Elev	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION
4791.31	0.00	4689.31	102.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	SAND, silty, fine to medium, nonplastic, lt. reddishbrown.EOLIAN DEPOSIT,Continued.
4689.31	102.00	4687.31	104.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	SHINARUMP MEM., CHINLE FM.: SANDSTONE, soft, red.TD AT 104 FEET.

This page intentionally blank

						BORE	HOL	ELO	G (S(	JIL)	· .	raye.			
LOCATI	ON	MAI	P:	:			S	SITE ID: <u>Mart 201</u> LOCATION ID: <u>651</u> SITE COORDINATES (ft.):							
	*s .		./		Jul/65	( June L )	G	GROUND ELEVATION (ft. MSL):							
		,		•				RILLIN	IG ME	NTR.: <u>dee</u>	100	Ailling	<u>(a.</u>		
1						;		ATE S	TARTE OMPLE	D:	9/27/2	85 V K			
	,		•		· ·	· 1 · ·	F	IELD R	EP.:_		Carle	Ţ			
		•					╎┝	D	G ATE		ER LEV	ELS DEP1	[H (ft.)		
;		$\overline{\langle}$		5	1	8 porte	ļĘ		•				· · · · ·		
			~	_	)				·····				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
SITE (	TIOI CON		ESC ION	RIP	TION 	soution of	2	NAS.	has	sond d	horas				
<u></u>	LE VAL	LE ERY	LE NED	ш		BLOWS	щ								
DEPTH	8 AMI	BAMP	8AMP RETAI	ž	HD I	PER 6 in.	N N V N V	USCS		VISUAL CI	ASSIFIC	ATION			
								58	Sand,	Some Silt, 1	red F.	ve - no	d ,		
									A.K.	, Act-bin					
										· · ·	: . ·	•			
										•					
			·							•	· ·	· ·			
<u> </u>												· ·			
	-					· ·						• ,			
					·							•			
						•						•			
										• .	•				
										•					
•															
	£														
				Ŧ											
							•			Cat'el	·				
OMMEN	ITS						L				. s/	MPLE TYP	'E		
		•	-	····							S - 2° C U - 3° C	D. 1.38" I.D	drive sample tube sample		
													a oneroy cube		

			•			BORE	HOL	E LO	G (SOIL) Page 2 of 3					
LOCATI	ON	MAF				Â	] s s	SITE ID: Mar - 01 LOCATION ID: 651						
							N	ROUN	EEEEE					
					. ,		D		IG METHOD:					
· · ·	_			13	. 1		D	ATE S	TARTED: 9/09/86					
	Se	e	·	0		•	F	IELD R	IEP.: Cor le I					
								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	GROUNDWATER LEVELS					
				,	× %			D	ATE TIME DEPTH (ft.)					
· •						•	F							
LOCA	TIO	N DI	ESC	RIP	TION _									
SITE		DIT	ION				T							
DEPTH	BAMPLE INTERVAL	SAMPLE RECOVER1	SAMPLE RETAINED	TYPE	Đ	BLOWS PER 6 in.	N VALUE	USCS	VISUAL CLASSIFICATION					
0.0						· · · · · ·		3P	Smel, Some Silt, fel Fire - Maly					
······································								i.	N.P., Red Br.					
							ļ							
	· · · ·		·											
						· .								
•						. · ·								
42.0														
iel e						н		EL	Endy day, L.P. Tou					
								51	Sparel Some Silt Pred Fire - Red					
<u></u>									N.P. Red-brd					
							·	,						
···								-						
60			_						Conticl					
	NTS								SAMPLE TYPE					
OMME	••••								E A - Auger cuttings					

					 BOREH	10LI	E LO	G (SOIL	<u>)</u>		Page 2 of 2
LOCATIO				RIP	Â	SSN GDDDDF	ITE ID ITE CO ROUNI RILLIN RILLIN RILLIN ATE S ELD R ELD R	DORDINA DORDINA DELEVAT G METHO IG CONT TARTED: DMPLETE EP.: GRO ATE	7LO TES (ft.): E TION (ft. DD:E D:E UNDWAT TIM	CATION MSL): MSL): MSL): MSL): MSL): MSL): MSL): MSL): MSL): MSL): MSL): MSL): MSL): MSL): MSL): MSL): MSL): MSL): MSL): MSL): MSL): MSL): MSL): MSL): MSL): MSL): MSL): MSL): MSL): MSL): MSL): MSL): MSL): MSL): MSL): MSL): MSL): MSL): MSL): MSL): MSL): MSL): MSL MSL): MSL MSL): MSL MSL MSL MSL MSL MSL MSL MSL MSL MSL	ID: <u>63</u> Rui Ning Co. ILS DEPTH (ft.
	PLE RVAL	PLE VERV	I LE LE	ШШ	 BLOWS	, UE					
20.0						>	5P 6 <b>k</b>	Smel, N.P.	Some Site	14, Pre	I Five - Ac
COMMEN								Stop ec	Aihin	F @	BB-0
·					 					A - Auger S - 2° 0 U - 3° 0 T - 3° 0.	· cuttings .D. 1.38° I.D. drive sr .D. 2.42° I.D. tube sa D. thir-walled Shelby



			C. Maranows N LOG										
BITE	1D: ∠	New-01	LOCATIO	N ID	651	FIELD REP: A. Crochet							
,PPR	ox.	BITE COO	RDINATES	(FT.	): N	Ę							
GROU	ND E	LEVATION	I (FT. MBL	.):		COMPLETION DATE: _ ?/29/85-							
		DOREHOL	E SUMMAR	RY	, 	CONSTRUCTION TIME LOG							
DRILL	ER:	Beenn	Problem	<u>.</u> Co.		ACTIVITY	81	END					
RIG TY	PE:	GArdner	- aner	<u></u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	DATE	TIME					
BIT TY	PE	HOLE DIA (in.)	DEPTH	{	TLUID TYPE	DRILLING	9/29/85	8.00	9,30				
Tricon	<u> </u>	218	82.0	ße	1								
			· · ·	·		1		<b>.</b>					
						CABING	9/27	10:15	12:15				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		CABING		1			C/40	10.00	3:00				
CARING	· · · · ·				END	FILTER PACK	4/39	1,15	,,,,,,				
TYPE •		DESCRIP	TION	(in.)	DEPTH		9/29	2.40	2.2				
в	Ste	el Comi	- <u>-</u>	85/8	13.0	SEAL		3.00	3,30				
1	5h	80 8	10	4	20.0	BACKFILL	9/29	2.24	4.00				
5		~		4	80.0		1/01	5.50	7.00				
ß		٩		7	81.0	DEVELOPMENT							
			*	÷									
					•								
		<u></u>				OTHER .							
P-Protoc	tive	B-Barson D	Bienk 0-0	<b>Dpen</b>	8-None								
Dopth tra	m Ta	p of Casing						•					
	WE	ELL CONS	TRUCTION	N T	ENDO	WEL	L DEVELO	DPMENT					
CODE		DESCRI	PTION		DEPTH			,					
B	Cene	J Gro	A		13.0								
3	Bar	r Pelle	15		17.0								
F	Silic	a Store	l .		\$2.0								
		<u>.</u>				UMMEN 18:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
			· · · · · · · · · · · ·			·	·						
• • •	e ck fill	8 - 8	eal F-	Filer	Pack								
• Dopth	trom	Ground Burl	aco										

5/6/99

SITE: MONUMENT VALLEY (MON01)EILOCATION CODE:0651TOP GRAVEL PACK:4767.DECOMMISSIONED:NoBOTTOM GRAVEL PACK:4702.DAMAGED:NoTOP OF SCREEN:4764.TOC ELEVATION:4787.88BOTTOM OF SCREEN:4704.SURFACE ELEVATION:4784.64GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:65.0BOTTOM ELEVATION:4702.64SCREEN LENGTH:60.0	
LOCATION CODE:         0651         TOP GRAVEL PACK:         4767.           DECOMMISSIONED:         No         BOTTOM GRAVEL PACK:         4702.           DAMAGED:         No         TOP OF SCREEN:         4764.           TOC ELEVATION:         4787.88         BOTTOM OF SCREEN:         4704.           SURFACE ELEVATION:         4784.64         GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:         65.0           BOTTOM ELEVATION:         4702.64         SCREEN LENGTH:         60.0	v Depth
DECOMMISSIONED: No         BOTTOM GRAVEL PACK:         4702.           DAMAGED: No         TOP OF SCREEN:         4764.           TOC ELEVATION:         4787.88         BOTTOM OF SCREEN:         4704.           SURFACE ELEVATION:         4784.64         GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:         65.0           BOTTOM ELEVATION:         4702.64         SCREEN LENGTH:         60.0	4 17.00
DAMAGED: No         TOP OF SCREEN:         4764.           TOC ELEVATION:         4787.88         BOTTOM OF SCREEN:         4704.           SURFACE ELEVATION:         4784.64         GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:         65.0           BOTTOM ELEVATION:         4702.64         SCREEN LENGTH:         60.0	4 82.00
TOC ELEVATION:         4787.88         BOTTOM OF SCREEN:         4704.           SURFACE ELEVATION:         4784.64         GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:         65.0           BOTTOM ELEVATION:         4702.64         SCREEN LENGTH:         60.0	4 20.00
SURFACE ELEVATION:         4784.64         GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:         65.0           BOTTOM ELEVATION:         4702.64         SCREEN LENGTH:         60.0	4 80.00
BOTTOM ELEVATION: 4702.64 SCREEN LENGTH: 60.0	
TOTAL DEPTH:         82.00         CASING LENGTH:         85.24	
ZONE OF COMPLETION: ALLUVIUM CASING DIAMETER (in.): 4	

Lithology Details

<u>TC</u>	P	BOTT	OM	USCS	<i>i</i> .
Elev	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION
4784.64	0.00	4742.64	42.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	SAND, some silt, fine to medium, nonplastic, It.reddish brown.
4742.64	42.00	4740.64	44.00	CLAYS	ALLUVIUM: SANDY CLAY, low plasticity, tan.
4740.64	44.00	4714.64	70.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	EOLIAN: SAND, some silt, fine to medium, nonplastic, It reddish brown.
4714.64	70.00	4702.64	82.00	CLAYS	ALLUVIUM: SANDY CLAY, low plasticity, tan to lt. brown TD AT 82 FEET.

LOCATION MAP:       A         SITE ID: //m··ol       LOCATION ID:							BOR	EH	OLI	E LO	g (soil	.)		P	age 1	of
Image: State of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the state o	LOCATI	ON	MAI	P:			L.	~	SITE ID: <u>May of</u> LOCATION ID: <u>652</u> SITE COORDINATES (ft.):							
BRULING METHOD: <u>BUILING</u> BRULING METHOD: <u>BUILING</u> DATE STARTED: <u>JUB/BS</u> DATE COMPLETED: <u>JUB/BS</u> DATE COMPLETED: <u>JUB/BS</u> IDATE COMPLETED: <u>JUB/BS</u> IDATE TIME DEPTH (IT DATE TIME DEPTH (IT DATE TIME DEPTH (IT DEPTH VIEW W W D BLOWS PER 6 In. Z U USCS VIBUAL CLASSIFICATION STE CONDITION <u>Stat</u> <u>BLOWS</u> PER 6 In. Z U USCS VIBUAL CLASSIFICATION STE Stard Sone Stiff, And Fine - ITen N.P., Bul, Bru. Stat Sone Stiff, And Fine - ITen N.P., Bul, Bru. Stat Sone Stiff, And Fine - ITen N.P., Bul, Bru.							[*2	52	G	ROUN	DELEVA	TION (	_ E ft. MSL)	:		
GY     DATE STARTED:     ZIM/BS       DATE COMPLETED:     ZIM/BS       DATE COMPLETED:     ZIM/BS       FILD REP:     GROUNDWATER LEVELS       DATE CONDITION     SITE CONDITION       SITE CONDITION     SITE STARTED:       DEPTH     SITE CONDITION       SITE CONDITION     SITE STARTED:       DEPTH     SITE CONDITION       SITE CONDITION     SITE STARTED:       SITE STARTED:     SITE STARTED:	· .			,					D	RILLIN RILLIN	IG METHO	DD: 'R.:  #	Kotary leenm	Arille	in c	
						· .	65Y		D	ATE S	TARTED:	7	198   85		7	
GROUNDWATER LEVELS       DATE     TIME     DEPTH (It       ILOCATION DESCRIPTION     52500 Fr.     5 of Bookt       SITE CONDITION     Asa     5100 Fr.     5 of Bookt       DEPTH     VISUAL CLASSIFICATION     5000 Fr.     5000 Fr.       GO     SITE CONDITION     BLOWS     2 Howst       DEPTH     VISUAL CLASSIFICATION     5000 Fr.     5000 Fr.       GO     SITE CONDITION     BLOWS     2 Howst       DEPTH     VISUAL CLASSIFICATION     5000 Fr.     5000 Fr.       GO     SITE CONDITION     SITE CONDITION     5000 Fr.       GO     SITE CONDITION     SITE CONDITION     5000 Fr.       GO     SITE CONDITION     SITE CONDITION     5000 Fr.     SITE CONDITION       GO     SITE CONDITION     SITE CONDITION     SITE CONDITION     SITE CONDITION       GO     SITE CONDITION     SITE CONDITION     SITE CONDITION     SITE CONDITION       GO     SITE CONDITION     SITE CONDITION     SITE CONDITION     SITE CONDITION       GO     SITE CONDITION     SITE CONDITION     SITE CONDITION     SITE CONDITION       GO     SITE CONDITION     SITE CONDITION     SITE CONDITION     SITE CONDITION       GO     SITE CONDITION     SITE CONDITION     SITE CONDITION									FI	ELD R	EP.:	R.C	nchell			
DATE TIME DEPTH (II III) SANDE LOCATION DESCRIPTION SIJON FL S at Boold SITE CONDITION Sold Aca Eyr Vertextion DEPTH VEVENUE VEVENUE VISUAL CLASSIFICATION GO I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I							]				GRC	UNDW	ATER L	EVELS		
Image: Construction     Discrete Street     Sect.     Sect.     Bendst       SITE CONDITION     Stort     Sect.     Sect.     Sect.       DEPTH     Street     Street     Sect.     Sect.       Depth     Street     Sect.     Sect.     Sect.       Depth     Street     Sect.     Sect.     Sect.       Depth     Street     Sect.     Sect.     Sect.       Depth     Sect.     Sect.     Sect.     Sect.		_	~	_				. /		D	ATE		TIME		DEPTI	<u> (ft</u>
LOCATION DESCRIPTION - 3500 Ft. 5 of Books SITE CONDITION Bot - Bar Super Varthow DEPTH VIEW VIEW W D BLOWS PER 6 In. Z USCS VIBUAL CLASSIFICATION GO		Ċ	<u>[]</u>	'e	$\mathcal{)}$	•	Dr	net j				1				·····
SITE CONDITION <u>And</u> <u>Asn Eyr</u> <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretor</u> <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Veretotion</u> DEPTH <u>Vere</u>	LOCA	TIOI		ESC		TION	A 3500	FI	<b>ן ר</b>	5	at 0	longs				
DEPTH VY WARDER WARDER WARDER WARDER BLOWS PER 6 In. Z Z UBCS VISUAL CLASSIFICATION	SITE	CON	DIT	ION		Fla1	Asn .	5,1.	•	Yert	alion					
6.6 5 5 Smed Sone Sill, And Fine - Med 	DEPTH	8AMPLE Nterval	BAMPLE ECOVERY	8AMPLE RETAINED	TYPE	Đ	BLOWS PER 6 I	n.	N ALUE	USCS		VISUAL	L CLASS	FICATI	ON	
Image: Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second Second	8.6		-	Ē			<u> </u>	$\neg$		5P	Spirl S	one s	silt. Ac	I Fin	· · ·	nel
COMMENTS:					П	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ł				N.P.	k l · Ci	··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1
					Ħ		1 .					- /	.:			
							ł									
														•		
							1					<i></i>				
											-					
Comments:					$\left  - \right $		1									·,
20 x COMMENTS:					口		1.									
20.5 COMMENTS:			·		$\square$			ŀ								
COMMENTS:					$\left[ - \right]$											
COMMENTS:												· .				
Comments:												, ,				
Control Control Sample Type																
Cont'd Cont'd Cont'd SAMPLE TYPE					$\square$								•			
Conticular Conticular Sample Type																
Cont'd Cont'd Sample type																
20.7 I I I I I SAMPLE TYPE					Ħ								Con	rd		
				L			L						I.	SAMPL	ETYPE	

.

						BORE			<u>G</u> (SOIL	<u>)</u>				
LOCATI	QN	MAI	<b>P:</b>			Ä	S N G D D	SITE COORDINATES (ft.): NE GROUND ELEVATION (ft. MSL): DRILLING METHOD:						
	Se	9,0		Ø	5. 1.	/	D	ATE S	TARTED:	D; <u>1</u> /	28/85			
-	•						FI	ELD R	EP.:	RA	FR LEVE	rchell		
								D	ATE	TI	ME	DEPTH (ft.)		
							JE							
LOCA		N DI IDIT	ESC						·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
DEPTH	BAMPLE INTERVAL	SAMPLE Recovery	BAMPLE RETAINED	TYPE	łD	BLOWS PER 6 in.	VALUE	USCS		VISUAL C	LASSIFIC			
							·	3P	Sond 2	fonr Sil	4, Pred	Fire . Daly		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									<i>N.V.</i>	- <b>-</b>	(N.			
					· · · ·					·				
										•				
					L.									
						-						· .		
					·.									
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·														
						,								
												, .		
								,						
5616								55	Smilston	e, 5.4	, Red			
							·		Stope	Priking	C 58	/		
COMME	NTS								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<i>V</i>	SAN A - Auger S - 2° 0.1 U - 3° 0.0	APLE TYPE cuttings . 1.38° 1.D. drive sample . 2.42° 1.D. tube sample . thirmwalkad Shalky Ari		
		-	_								-			



GROU		N (FT. MBL E BUMMAR	.): Y		COMPLET CONS		E: 7/27	<u>/85</u> 0
DRILL	ED. Burgar	Rillin G				87	END	
RIG TI	TPE: <u>Gard</u>	re - Deme			ACTIVITY	DATE TIME		TIME
BIT TY	PE HOLE	END O DEPTH	Ę	LUID YPE	DRILLING	9/12/85	7:00	9,40
Tricore	· 748	. 58		ent	1			
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			CASING	2/28	10:70	12:00
ABING	CABING 1	UMMARY	DIA.	END	FILTER PACK	<del>१</del> / <b>२</b> १	12:00	12:50
B B	Jtes Steel	TION	(In.) 8%	DEPTH (IL) 20	SEAL	9/39	12.30	1:00
, 5	5.1. BO 1	VC	4.0 M	34 54	BACKFILL	9/89	1:00	1:30
B	6		n	56	DEVELOPMENT			
				•	OTHER			
P-Protoc	the B-Barson (	-Blank O-D	pen	St-None				
Depth tra	WELL CON	BTRUCTION			WEL	L DEVEL	DPMENT	
YPE DDE*	DESCR	IPTION						
13 3	levent Gron bents felle	ts		25 29		i ,		
F	Silica Sta	d.		560				

5/6/99

GENERA	۱L	INFO	RMA	TION

#### SCREENING INFORMATION

۴

SITE:	MONUMENT VALLEY	(MON01)	Elev	Depth
LOCATION CODE:	0652	, TOP GRAVEL PACK:	4775.54	30.00
DECOMMISSIONED:	No	BOTTOM GRAVEL PACK:	4749.54	56.00
DAMAGED:	No	TOP OF SCREEN:	4771.54	34.00
TOC ELEVATION:	4808.93	BOTTOM OF SCREEN:	4751.54	54.00
SURFACE ELEVATION:	4805.54	GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:	26.0	
BOTTOM ELEVATION:	4749.54	SCREEN LENGTH:	20.0	
TOTAL DEPTH:	56.00	CASING LENGTH:	61.390	
ZONE OF COMPLETION:	ALLUVIUM	CASING DIAMETER (in.):	4	

Lithology Details

<u>T0</u>	<u>P</u>	BOTT	OM	USCS	
Elev	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION
4805.54	0.00	4749.54	56.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	SAND, some silt, fine to medium, nonplastic, It.reddish brown.EOLIAN,Continued.
4749.54	56.00	4747.54	58.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	SHINARUMP MEM., CHINLE FM.: SANDSTONE, soft, It. red.TD AT 58 FEET.

This

· · · · ·

This page intentionally blank

						J	E		DBS	ENK Syste	SINEERING GROUP INC. MS DIVISION, ALBUQUERQUE OPERATIONS
							•	B	OR	EH(	DLE LOG (ROCK) Page 1 of 1
L			N M	AP: US	90		. 65	C	<u>,</u>	< <b>2</b> 7	SITE ID: <u>Man</u> LOCATION ID: <u>653</u> SITE COORDINATES (11.): NE GROUND ELEVATION (11. MSL): DRILLING METHOD: <u>Retary (Mup)</u> DRILLING CONTR.: <u>Bob Beeman</u> DATE STARTED: <u>Sept. 25, 85</u> DATE COMPLETED: <u>Sept. 25'85</u> FIELD REP.: <u>G. Miller</u>
		`.									GROUNDWATER LEVELS
			<u> </u>	7			~				DATE TIME DEPTH (11.)
				ET.	Ailin	ss)					
` <u> </u>		<u> </u>				2			·		
L S	OCA ITE		N DI	ESC ION				50+1	_ A	Per	ox .7 miles North of Tailings p d SAND
DEPTH (FT.)	BORING OPERATION	COVERY RECOVERY	<pre>% #0CK UHALITY UESIGNATION (ROD)</pre>	<pre>% UHILING FLUID AC COVERY</pre>	PACKER TEST INTERVAL	SPACING	ORIENTATION	CONDITION	WE A THERING	111401007	ROCK TYPE & REMARKS
											0'-78' REDDISH brown, SUNCO SolidAted QUATERNARY DUNE SAND - SEE log for WELL #657 - CAN NOT GET GOOD DEScriptions DUN
											to CAVING.
· ·											
		-									
3											
					<b> </b>						
<b>C</b> C	MM	ENT	S:								BCRING OFL-ATIC. H - & T/2 HOLLOW STEM AUGER C - 4 1/2 CONTINUOUS FLIGHT AUG GB - GEAR BIT
						_					INT - NY BOCK COBING



JEG-AL-ENG-3 (3/84)

5/6/99

GENERAL INFO	DRMATION	SCREENING INFORMATION							
SITE:	MONUMENT VAL	LEY (MON01)	Elev	Depth					
LOCATION CODE:	0653	TOP GRAVEL PACK:	.0	4834.26					
DECOMMISSIONED:	No	BOTTOM GRAVEL PACK:	. 0	4834.26					
DAMAGED:	No	TOP OF SCREEN:	4778.26	56.00					
TOC ELEVATION:	4837.08	BOTTOM OF SCREEN:	4758.26	76.00					
SURFACE ELEVATION:	4834.26	GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:	0.0						
BOTTOM ELEVATION:	4756.26	SCREEN LENGTH:	20.0						
TOTAL DEPTH:	78.00	CASING LENGTH:	80.82						
ZONE OF COMPLETION:	ALLUVIUM	CASING DIAMETER (in.):	4	;					

Lithology Details

<u>то</u>	P	BOTT	OM	USCS	. ·
Elev	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION
4834.26	0.00	4756.26	78.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	SAND, some silt, It. reddish brown.Note: undifferentiated to total depth.EOLIAN DEPOSITS, continued.TD AT 78 FEET.Note: Caving badly to TD.

#### This page intentionally blank

		MAI	<u>.</u>			BORE			a (SUIL Mod-01	LOCATION	ID:Y
LUUAIN	U14		•				S	ITE CO	ORDINA	TES (ft.):	
						1	G		D ELEVAT	ION (ft. MSL):_ D:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
						• 1	D	RILLIN	IG CONT	R.: <u>Becnow</u> 9/97/85	Perking Car
					Grift	7 <b>1</b>	D	ATE CO	OMPLETE	D:	
		• •				1		· .	GRO	UNDWATER LEV	ELS
		10		$\tilde{\mathbf{b}}$		D Ponds		D	ATE	TIME	DEPTH (ft.)
			_				ΓF				
LOCA [®] SITE (			ESC ION	RIF	TION	TRESA to	VE_	al ·	for Al		
	VAL	LE ERV	LE NED	, m		BLOWS	۳ ۳				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
DEPTH	8AMP INTER	BAMP	BAMP	TΥP	Ю	PER 6 In.	N LI V N	USCS		VISUAL CLASSIFI	CATION
					<del>I</del>			SP.	Sond, S	one silt, fre	Fine - Tel
					÷				N.T., R	ed -BIN.	
										2	
				•							
										·	· · · ·
·······											
										•	
				· ·							
											·
											. · ·
45.4											
20,0											
							C.			- 11	
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			•	Cont d	
JUMMEN		·						·····	•	S - Aug	AMPLE TYPE er cuttings

, .

Description     BLOWS     Z       JOCATION MAP:     Image: Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street Big Street							JACOBS E	<b>VGIN</b>	EERING	<b>GROU</b>	P INC.			
BOREHOLE LOG (SOIL)     Page 2 of 1       .OCATION MAP:     A     SITE ID: <u>dot of 1</u> , LOCATION ID: <u>65'4</u> SITE COMPUTATES (ft.):     B       BORDUND ELEVATION (ft. MSL):     D       DRILLING CONTR: <u>Mathematical Strates</u> DATE     STRE COMPUTATES (ft.):       DATE <u>Mathematical Strates</u> DATE <u>Mathematical Strates</u> DATE <u>Mathematical Strates</u> DATE <u>Mathematical Strates</u> DATE <u>Mathematical Strates</u> DATE <u>Mathematical Strates</u> DATE <u>Mathematical Strates</u> DATE <u>Mathematical Strates</u> DATE <u>Mathematical Strates</u> DATE <u>Mathematical Strates</u> DATE <u>Mathematical Strates</u> DATE <u>Mathematical Strates</u> DATE <u>Mathematical Strates</u> SITE CONDITION <u>Strates</u> SITE CONDITION <u>Strates</u> SITE <u>Mathematical Strates</u> <u>Mathematical Strates</u> <u>Mathematical Strates</u> <u>Mathematical Strates</u> <u>Mathematical Strates</u> <u>Mathematical Strates</u> <u>Mathematical Strates</u> <u>Mathematical Strates</u> <u>Mathematical Strates</u> <u>Mathematical Strates</u> <u>Mathematical Strates</u> <u></u>	<b>-</b> .					JĽ	ADVANCED SYS	TEMS E	VISION	ALBUQUER		NS	•	1.17
OCATION MAP:       SITE ID: Mac_2/LOCATION ID:65'4         March State Coordinates (n.):       N         STE CONDINATES (n.):       N         STE CONDINATES (n.):       Balance         STE COMPLETED:       Date         DRILLING METHOD:       Balance         DRILLING METHOD:       Balance         DATE STARTED:       TABLET         DATE COMPLETED:       TABLET         DATE CONDITION       STE CONDITION         SITE CONDITION       STE STARTED         DEPTH       Ste State         STE CONDITION       Ste State         DEPTH       Ste State         STE CONDITION       Ste State         Ste State       NR, State         Ste State       State         Ste State       State         State       State         State       State         State       State         State       State         State       State         State       State         State       State							BORE	HOL	E LO	g (soil	<u>.)</u>		Pag	یک 01 کے e
N     N     E       GROUND ELEVATION (ff. MSL):	.OCATI	ON	MAI	P:			Â N	S		<u>Max-</u>		CATION	ID:	654
GROUND ELEVATION (11. MSL):         DRILLING METHOD:         DATE STARTED:         1000000000000000000000000000000000000		•	•				$\sim$	N N	<u> </u>		ES (n.):			
See     Billing CONTR:     General Guler       DRILLING CONTR:     State       DATE STATED:     State       DATE COMPUTED:     State       DATE CONDUMWATER LEVELS       DATE       TIME       DEPTH       Stee       Stee       DEPTH       Stee       Stee       Stee       DEPTH       Stee       Stee   <					·			G			TION (ft. I	ASL):		
John Starte Competence     John Starte Competence     John Starte Competence       Image: Starte Competence     Image: Starte Competence     John Starte Competence       Image: Starte Competence     Image: Starte Competence     John Starte Competence       Image: Starte Competence     Image: Starte Competence     John Starte Competence       Image: Starte Competence     Image: Starte Competence     John Starte Competence       Image: Starte Competence     Image: Starte Competence     John Starte Competence       Image: Starte Competence     Image: Starte Competence     John Starte Competence       Image: Starte Competence     Image: Starte Competence     John Starte Competence       Image: Starte Competence     Image: Starte Competence     John Starte Competence       Image: Starte Competence     Image: Starte Competence     John Starte Competence       Image: Starte Competence     Image: Starte Competence     John Starte Competence       Image: Starte Competence     Image: Starte Competence     John Starte Competence       Image: Starte Competence     Image: Starte Competence     John Starte Competence       Image: Starte Competence     Image: Starte Competence     John Starte Competence       Image: Starte Competence     Image: Starte Competence     John Starte Competence       Image: Starte Competence     Image: Starte Competence     John Starte Competence       I		•	• •	•	۰.	••••••		D	RILLIN	IG CONT	R.:	nou f	A. King	- Cu
FIELD REP.:       A Concluit         GROUNDWATER LEVELS       DATE         DATE       TIME         DEPTH       DEPTH         USCS       VISUAL CLASSIFICATION         DEPTH       USCS         VISUAL CLASSIFICATION         DI       BLOWS         PER S IN.       Z         USCS       VISUAL CLASSIFICATION         DI       BLOWS         VISUAL CLASSIFICATION         DI       SI         SI       Sincl. Sincl. Sincl. Sincl. Find Find - Direct.         NLP.       MLP.         MLP.       MLP.	e	See		B	1				ATE S' ATE C	TARTED: OMPLETE	D: <u>1/4</u>	97786 177 85		·
GROUNDWATER LEVELS DATE TIME DEPTH (ft.)			•	0			· ·	F	ELD A	EP.:	R. Core	II_		
DATE     TIME     DEPTH (ft.)       SITE CONDITION										GRO	UNDWATE	R LEVI	ELS	
LOCATION DESCRIPTION			·				• •	╎┝	D	ATE		<u>E</u>	DE	<u>PTH (ft.)</u>
LOCATION DESCRIPTION SITE CONDITION DEPTH VIEWE USCS VISUAL CLASSIFICATION FR 6 In. SI SI SI SI SI SI SI SI SI SI		•				1					1			
BEPTH     BLOWS     Z Z     USCS     VISUAL CLASSIFICATION       DEPTH     VISUAL CLASSIFICATION     Stree Site     VISUAL CLASSIFICATION       DI     Stree Site     Stree Site     Net       DI     Stree Site     Stree Site     Stree Site       Stree Site     Stree Site     Stree Site     Stree Site					-			┛┖					I	
DEPTH VIEW VIEW VIEW VIEW VIEW VIEW VIEW VIEW	SITE	CON		ION					•	······	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH DEPTH		کار	E S	<b>0</b> 00	ш		BLOWS	Ш				·		· · ·
SPC Stree, Sene Silt, Pred Fine - Dred, N.P., B.JBru Gene Silt, Pred Fine - Dred, Sample Type A super culture - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dred, Sample - Dre	DEPTH	SAMPI INTERV	SAMPL RECOVI	SAMPL RETAIN	TΥΡ	· ID	PER 6 in.	VALU	USCS		VISUAL CL	ASSIFIC	ATION	1
ØNO       Image: Same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same intervention of the same interventintervention of the same									58	Smel to	ne silt, i	Ind F.	ie - ,	ned,
0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         0       0         0								· .		N.P.	I-Gas			
ØMMENTS:								· .		) "				
OMMENTS:												с ,		
OMMENTS:					_						•			
OMMENTS:														e .
Auger cuttings           SAMPLE TYPE           - Auger cuttings           S - Auger cuttings           S - Auger cuttings           S - Auger cuttings           S - Auger cuttings           S - Auger cuttings           S - Auger cuttings           S - Auger cuttings           S - Auger cuttings           S - Auger cuttings           S - Auger cuttings           S - Auger cuttings           S - Auger cuttings           S - Auger cuttings           S - Auger cuttings           S - Auger cuttings           S - Auger cuttings           S - Auger cuttings           S - Auger cuttings           S - Auger cuttings           S - Auger cuttings           S - Auger cuttings           S - Auger cuttings           S - Auger cuttings           S - Auger cuttings           S - Auger cuttings           S - Auger cuttings           S - Auger cuttings           S - Auger cuttings           S - Auger cuttings           S - Auger cuttings           S - Auger cuttings           S - Auger cuttings           S - Auger cuttings           S - Auger cuttings           S											•			
Image: Sample Type         Image: Sample Type         Image: Sample Type         Image: Sample Type         Image: Sample Type         Image: Sample Type         Image: Sample Type         Image: Sample Type         Image: Sample Type         Image: Sample Type         Image: Sample Type         Image: Sample Type         Image: Sample Type         Image: Sample Type         Image: Sample Type         Image: Sample Type         Image: Sample Type         Image: Sample Type         Image: Sample Type         Image: Sample Type         Image: Sample Type         Image: Sample Type         Image: Sample Type         Image: Sample Type         Image: Sample Type         Image: Sample Type         Image: Sample Type         Image: Sample Type         Image: Sample Type         Image: Sample Type         Image: Sample Type         Image: Sample Type         Image: Sample Type         Image: Sample Type         Image: Sample Type         Image: Sample Type         Image: Sample Type         Image: Sample Type         I														· ·
Image: Sample Type           Sample Type           Sample Type           Sample Type           Sample Type           Sample Type           Sample Type           Sample Type           Sample Type           Sample Type           Sample Type										•				•
ØMMENTS:														. · · ·
OMMENTS:		·												
OMMENTS:					·						:			
SAMPLE TYPE       A - Auger cuttings       S - 2° O.D. 1.38° I.D. drive sample												• . •		
FØ:0     Cont 'd       FØ:0     Cont 'd       OMMENTS:     SAMPLE TYPE       S - 2' O.D. 1.38' I.D. drive sample       U - 3' O.D. 2.42' I.D. drive sample			_										•	
OMMENTS:												а. 1914 г.		
OMMENTS:         SAMPLE TYPE														
OMMENTS:	0.0						· · ·					•		· .
OMMENTS:					-									
OMMENTS: SAMPLE TYPE A - Auger cuttings S - 2° O.D. 1.38° I.D. drive sample U - 3° O.D. 2.42° I.D. tuba sample												lost of		
A - Auger cuttings S - 2° O.D. 1.38° I.D. drive sample U - 3° O.D. 2.42° I.D. tube sample	OMMEN	ITS-									<u>د</u>			VDE
U = 3" 0.D. 2.42" I.D. tube cample							······································				· · · · · ·	A - Auger S - 2" 0	D. 1.38	I.D. drive sample
T - 3' O.D. thir-walled Shelby tube						· ·	<del></del>			<u> </u>		U - 3' 0. T - 3' 0.	.D. 2.42" D. thin-wa	I.D. tube sample lied Shelby tube

Ì

					VL	ADVANCED SYS	TEMS C	NVISION, E LO(	ALSUQUERQI G (SOIL'	JE OPERATIO	NS	Page 2 of 2	3
LOCATI	ON	MAI	P:		•					LO Es (# ):	CATION	I ID: <u>654</u>	
							N			EU (11.). E			
					. 1		D	RILLIN	IG METHO	D:	Rotary	<u> </u>	
•		٢	0		ky i		D	ATE S	IG CONTI TARTED:	R.: <u> </u>	12/26	Victing Can	
	J	Je ⁻	-		•		D	ATE CO		D: <u>4</u> 18	y DE	<i>y</i>	
							Г		GRO		ER LEV	ELS	
					•			D	ATE	TIN	AE	DEPTH (ft	.)
					. •								
		ים א	FSC	RIP				<u></u>		<u></u> .			
SITE	CON	IDIT	ION										
DEPTH	8AMPLE NTERVAL	BAMPLE ECOVERY	BAMPLE JETAINED	TYPE	łD	BLOWS PER 6 in.	N ALUE	USCS	Ň	18UAL CI	LASSIFI	CATION	
<del>\$1. 1</del>							┝~	50	Smal.	me si	It, Pr	el Fine - n	<u>ک</u>
			F	$\square$		}	ĺ		N.P.	Ral -B	4		_
					·	1				· .		•	
			· ·			1							
							ĺ						
				$\square$								₩	
				$\square$									
				P					· .			· 、	
				$\square$								· · ·	1
							ļ						
				$\square$									
99,0									Shone 1	Q.I.		901	
	VTS	L						· ·	ma				
COMME		-										er cuttions	
COMME	•				<u> </u>	***					S - 2	0.D., 1.38" I.D. drive s	


		- <u> </u>	JE MOC	NE/W	NGINEE	RING GROUP INC FICH, ALEVENERENE OF ONSTRUCTION	MATTONS N LOG		• • • • • • • •
SITE ID: Mon - 01 LOCATION ID: 654 FIELD REP: R. Crocke 11									
APPI	ROX.	SITE COO	RDINATES	(FT.	): N		E		
GROL	ND E	LEVATION	I (FT. MBL	.):		COMPLET	TION DAT	E: <u>7/97</u>	185
		BOREHOLI	E SUMMAR	IY		CONST	RUCTION	TIME LO	G
DRILL	DRILLER: Deenon Acilling Con					ACTIVITY	START		END
RIG T	YPE:	Gardner	- Aenver				DATE	TIME	TIME
BIT T	YPE	HOLE DIA (in.)	END DEPTH (ft.)	1	TUID TYPE	DRILLING	9/97/85	1:30	2:15
Tricon	e	778	79.0	ß	es l				
			•			CABING	9/27	2.40	si 85
CASIN	3			DIA.	END	FILTER PACK	9/37	4:00	5:00
TYPE		Us f 3	the	(In.) A ^{Si} la	(11.)	SEAL	7/27	5.00	5:30
B		n		4	80.0	BACKFILL	9/27	5:30 .	6100
B	500	6 80 1	r/c	4.0	57.0		r		
S A	<del> </del>	· ····································		5	19.0	DEVELOPMENT			
	†				11.0				· .
					•	OTHER			
P-Proto		8-Screen B	-Blank O-I	Dpen	N-None				
Depth A	on To	p of Casing							
,	W	ELL CONS	TRUCTION	N		WEL	L DEVELO	PMENT	
TYPE CODE®		DESCRI	PTION		DEPTH				
B	Cene	J Grow	<u>r</u>		430	·			ł
5	Bear	. Pelle	1		42-0				
<i>[</i>	Sili	A Some	<u>y</u>	<u> </u>	790				
						COMMENT8:			
┠──┼		•							
• • • •	Backfi	8-8	ical F-	Filter	Pack		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
• Dept	h trom	Bround Bur	taco						

5/6/99

GENERAL INFORMATION	SCREENING INFORMATION				
SITE: MONUMENT VALI	Elev	Depth			
LOCATION CODE: 0654	TOP GRAVEL PACK:	4770.61	51.00		
DECOMMISSIONED: No	BOTTOM GRAVEL PACK:	4742.61	79.00		
DAMAGED: No	TOP OF SCREEN:	4764.61	57.00		
TOC ELEVATION: 4824.36	BOTTOM OF SCREEN:	4744.61	77.00		
SURFACE ELEVATION: 4821.61	GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:	28.0			
BOTTOM ELEVATION: 4742.61	SCREEN LENGTH:	20.0	· .		
<b>TOTAL DEPTH:</b> 79.00	CASING LENGTH:	81.75			
ZONE OF COMPLETION: ALLUVIUM	CASING DIAMETER (in.):	4			

Lithology Details

TOP		BOTTOM		USCS			
Elev	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION		
4821.61	0.00	4742.61	79.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	SAND, some silt, It. reddish brown.Note: undifferentiated to total depth.EOLIAN DEPOSITS, continued.TD AT 79 FEET.Note: Caving badly to TD.		

JE JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP INC. ADVANCED SYSTEMS DIVISION, ALBUQUERQUE OPERATIONS Page / of / **BOREHOLE LOG (ROCK)** SITE ID: MON LOCATION ID: 655 LOCATION MAP: SITE COORDINATES (ft.): N'_ GROUND ELEVATION (ft. MSL): 656 RotARY (MID) DRILLING METHOD: _ BeemAN DRILLING CONTR .: _ Sept DATE STARTED:_ 1985 DATE COMPLETED: Sept. 12 G. MillER FIELD REP .: ____ 163. 606 659 GROUNDWATER LEVELS DEPTH (ft.) DATE TIME North of main tailings pile 2.4 mile UNE SAND IN CANE VALLEY LOCATION DESCRIPTION Soft dune SAND in SITE CONDITION RECOVER COILE
RECOVERY
ROCK QUALIT
DESIGNATION
(ROD) TES I VAL **DRIENTATION** (FT.) BUIING . OPERATION FLUID RECOVE CONDITION 001 VEATHERIN SPACING PACKER LITHOL ROCK TYPE & REMARKS DEPTH 0'- 60' QUATERNARY OUNE SAND REDDish brown, SAME lithology AS described in #657 Not described as caving is seven which ruins stratigraphic control BORING OFENATION COMMENTS: _ 1/2 HOLLOW STEM AUGER - 4 1/2 CONTINUOUS FLIGHT AUGER - GEAR BIT NE - NE ROCK CORING NO - NO WIRELINE ROCK COPING JEG-AL-ENG-2A (4/85)





5/6/99

· · ·							
GENERAL INFO	DRMATION	SCREENING INFO	SCREENING INFORMATION				
SITE:	MONUMENT	/ALLEY (MON01)	Elev	Depth			
LOCATION CODE:	0655	TOP GRAVEL PACK:	4823.9	35.00			
DECOMMISSIONED:	No	BOTTOM GRAVEL PACK:	4798.9	60.00			
DAMAGED:	No	TOP OF SCREEN:	4820.9	38.00			
TOC ELEVATION:	4862.06	BOTTOM OF SCREEN:	4800.9	58.00			
SURFACE ELEVATION:	4858.9	GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:	25.0				
BOTTOM ELEVATION:	4798.9	SCREEN LENGTH:	20.0				
TOTAL DEPTH:	60.00	CASING LENGTH:	63.16				
ZONE OF COMPLETION:	ALLUVIUM	CASING DIAMETER (in.):	4				

Lithology Details

<u>TOP</u>		BOTTOM		USCS			
Elev	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION		
4858.9	0.00 ,	4798.9	60.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	SAND, some silt, lt. reddish brown.Note: undifferentiated to total depth.EOLIAN DEPOSITS, continued.TD AT 60 FEET.Note: Caving badly to TD.		

JE JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP INC. OPERATIONS Page for BOREHOLE LOG (ROCK) SITE ID: MOAL LOCATION ID: 656 SITE COORDINATES (11.): LOCATION MAP: ,656 GROUND ELEVATION (ft. MSL): DRILLING METHOD: Rotary (MUD) Rob Bee mAN DRILLING CONTR .: ___ SEPt. DATE STARTED: ___ DATE COMPLETED :_ Sept G. MillER 663, 1,600,659 FIELD REP .: _ GROUNDWATER LEVELS DATE TIME DEPTH (ft.) North of MAIN TAILINGS DILE = .4 mile LOCATION DESCRIPTION dUNE SAND IN CANE Saft SITE CONDITION RIENTATION 100.4 011411 05516NA110N (800) COVE! WEATHERING 100 BOHING OPERATION COHE RECOVERY CONDITION (FT. SPACING > DHILL E HOL ROCK TYPE & REMARKS DEPTH ō PACKE 11 UID 0'-60' QUATERNARY DUNE SAND REDDISH brown, SAME lithology AS # 657 Not describes as severe CAVING destroys Stratigraphic Control. BORING OFLATICS COMMENTS: 1/2 HOLLOW STEM AUGER - 4 1/2 CONTINUOUS FLIGHT AUGE c - GEAR BIT **6 B** - NX ROCK CORING NO - NO WIRELINE ADCY COPING JEG-AL-ENG-2A (4/85)



4853.48

0.00

4793.48

5/6/99

GENERAL INFORMATION	SCREENING INFORMATION				
SITE: MONUMENT VALLE	Y (MON01)	Elev	Depth		
LOCATION CODE: 0656	TOP GRAVEL PACK:	4816.48	37.00		
DECOMMISSIONED: No	BOTTOM GRAVEL PACK:	4791.48	62.00		
DAMAGED: No	TOP OF SCREEN:	4815.48	38.00		
TOC ELEVATION: 4856.33	BOTTOM OF SCREEN:	4795.48	58.00		
SURFACE ELEVATION: 4853.48	GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:	25.0			
BOTTOM ELEVATION: 4793.48	SCREEN LENGTH:	20.0			
<b>TOTAL DEPTH:</b> 60.00	CASING LENGTH:	62.85			
ZONE OF COMPLETION: ALLUVIUM	CASING DIAMETER (in.):	4			
Lithology Details	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
<u>TOP</u> <u>BOTTOM</u> <u>USCS</u> <u>Elev</u> <u>Depth</u> <u>Elev</u> <u>Depth</u> <u>DESCRIP</u>		ONN			

Depth DESCRIPTION 60.00 POORLY **GRADED SANDS** 

#### LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION

SAND, some silt, lt. reddish brown.Note: undifferentiated to total depth.EOLIAN DEPOSITS, continued.TD AT 60 FEET.Note: Caving badly to TD.

## This page intentionally blank

ADVANCED SYSTEMS DIVISION, ALBUQUERQUE OPERATIONS Page 1 of 3**BOREHOLE LOG (ROCK)** Lappeonlet 2 SITE ID: MON LOCATION ID: 657 LOCATION (MAP: SITE COORDINATES (ft.): N_ GROUND ELEVATION (ft. MSL): Rotary (MUD) DRILLING METHOD: ____ DRILLING CONTR .: Bob BEEMAN SEpt. 4, 1985 DATE STARTED: __ DATE COMPLETED: _SEpt. 5. 1985 FIELD REP .: ____G_ MillER GROUNDWATER LEVELS DEPTH (ft.) DATE TIME North of HEAP LEACH (Old TAilings) pile soft Qd SAND LOCATION DESCRIPTION _ SITE CONDITION **DRIENTATION** VEATHERING CONDITION 60 00 4 011A E SIGNATI (ROD) CORE
RECOVER + DHILL SPACIN 2 2 JOH II. ROCK TYPE & REMARKS PACKER 0'-5' REDDISH BrOWN DUNE SAND (UNCONSOLIDATED) 5'-10' MEDIUM to COARSE SAND W/ granule size SAND AND SMAll pebbles (Alluvium?) SAME color AS ALOVE 10'-15' AS AbovE 15'-20' AS ABOVE 20'-25' pebbles increasing (alluvium) 25'-30' slight increase in % of pebbles 30-35' decrease in 7, of pebbles 40'60' GRAVEllY SAND (INCREASED SAND After changing MUD At. 40' because SAND Not settling out.) COMMENTS: SAND Fraction settling out BORING OFL-ATICS Not H - 6 1/2 HOLLOW STEM AUGER IN MUD SO SAMPLES SKEWED HOWACD C - 4 1/2 CONTINUOUS FLIGHT AUGES COAYSE fraction GB - GEAR BIT NI - NI ROCK CORING NO - NO WIRELINE ROCK COPING JEG-AL-ENG-2A (4/85)

JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP INC. ADVANCED SYSTEMS DIVISION, ALBUQUERQUE OPERATIONS Page 2 of 3 BOREHOLE LOG (ROCK) LOCATION ID: 657 MON SITE ID: LOCATION MAP: Ä SITE COORDINATES (ft.): GROUND ELEVATION (ft. MSL): Rotary (MUD) DRILLING METHOD: _ Beeman DRILLING CONTR .: _ Beb Stot. 4, 1985 DATE STARTED: Sept. 5, 1985 DATE COMPLETED : _ G. miller FIELD REP .: __ GROUNDWATER LEVELS DEPTH (11.) DATE TIME North old tailings pile ot LOCATION DESCRIPTION _ Soft Qd SAND SITE CONDITION PACKER TEST INTERVAL ROCK UVALI DESIGNATION LLING COVER **NEATHERING** BOHING OPERATION NIATIOI CONDITION HOLOGY (F 1. CORE RECOVERY SPACING DHILL ROCK TYPE & REMARKS DEPTH DRIEI 0 ₽ Ξ 60'-65' COARSE SAND W/ PEbbles 65'-70' SAND 70'-75' COARSE GRAVEL AND PEBBLES W/ SAND 75'-80' GrANULAY SAND W/ MINOR pebbles (MOENKOpi - pebbles or cuttings? 80'-100' Very SANDY, fine to MEDIUM, W/ MINOR FINE gravel *fluid loss ~ 25% 100'-105' COArse SANDY gravel 105-110' Fine to MEDIUM SAND 110'- 115' five to medium gravel (granule to (MOENKOPI ROCK frags) Pebbles) 115-120' MOENKOpi Rock frags BORING OFE-ATIT COMMENTS: H - 6 1/2 HOLLOW STEM AUGER C - 4 1/2 CONTINUOUS FLIGHT AUGES GB - GEAR BIT NE - NE ROCK CORING NO - NO WIRELINE ROCK COPING JEG-AL-ENG-2A (4/85)

JE JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP INC. Page 3 of 3 BOREHOLE LOG (ROCK) SITE ID: MON LOCATION ID: 657-SITE COORDINATES (ft.): LOCATION MAP: GROUND ELEVATION (ft. MSL): DRILLING METHOD: ______ Retary MUD BeemAN DRILLING CONTR .: _____ Beb SEPT. 4. 1985 DATE STARTED: ____ DATE COMPLETED Se Br< G FIELD REP.: milled GROUNDWATER LEVELS DATE TIME DEPTH (ft.) North of old tailings pile soft SAND Rd LOCATION DESCRIPTION _ SITE CONDITION BOHING OPERATION COHE COHE RLCOVERY HOLK OUTION UESIGNATION NEATHERING DRIENTATIO V 0 0 CONDITION PACKER TES Interval SPACING טיווו ט אנכס LITHOL ROCK TYPE & REMARKS HIGH run , 120'-135' INTERCEPT probable DE Chelly At 120' - Ss Frags. 135'-140' primarily SANDSTONE fragments (cuttings) from DeChelly SANDSTONE 140' = TDBORING OFI-ATIT COMMENTS: 6 1/2 HOLLOW STEM AUGER - 4 1/2 CONTINUOUS FLIGHT AUGES GEAR BIT NE ROCK CORING NI NO - NO WIRELINE ROCE COPING JEG-AL-ENG-2A (4/85)



5/6/99

GENERAL INFO	DRMATION	SCREENING INFORMATION			
SITE:	MONUMENT VALLEY (I	MON01)	Elev	Depth	
LOCATION CODE:	0657	TOP GRAVEL PACK:	4758.58	118.00	
DECOMMISSIONED:	No	BOTTOM GRAVEL PACK:	4738.58	138.00	
DAMAGED:	No	TOP OF SCREEN:	4755.58	121.00	
TOC ELEVATION:	4878.99	BOTTOM OF SCREEN:	4740.58	136.00	
SURFACE ELEVATION:	4876.58	GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:	20.0		
BOTTOM ELEVATION:	4736.58	SCREEN LENGTH:	15.0	· · ·	
TOTAL DEPTH:	140.00	CASING LENGTH:	140.41		
ZONE OF COMPLETION:	DECHELLEY MEMBER OF THE CUTLER FORMATION	CASING DIAMETER (in.):	4 .		

### Lithology Details

TOP		BOT	rom	USCS	
Elev	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION
4876.58	0.00	4871.58	5.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	SAND, some silt, It. reddish brown.Note: undifferentiated to total depth.
4871.58	5.00	4866.58	10.00	WELL GRADED SANDS	ALLUVIUM: GRAVELLY SAND, medium to coarse sand, with finegravel, It. reddish brown.
4866.58	10.00	4856.18	20.40	SANDSTONE	EOLIAN: SAND, some silt, lt. reddish brown.Note: Occasional pebbles indicating some lenses of reworkedalluvial deposits from 20 to 35 feet
4856.18	<b>20.40</b>	4836.58	40.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	
4836.58	40.00	4776.58	100.00	WELL GRADED SANDS	ALLUVIUM: GRAVELLY SAND, with interbeds of eolian sand, tan.EOLIAN DEPOSITS, continued.
4776.58	100.00	4771.58	105.00		SANDY GRAVEL, coarse sand.ALLUVIUM, continued.
4771.58	105.00	4766.58	110.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	EOLIAN: SAND, fine to medium, It. reddish brown.
4766.58	110.00	4756.58	120.00		ALLUVIUM: SANDY GRAVEL, with fragments of Moenkopi Formation.

<u> </u>	<u>PP</u>	BOT	TOM	USCS	
Elev	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION
4756.58	120.00	4736.58	140.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	DeCHELLY SANDSTONE MEM., CUTLER FM. SANDSTONE, It. brn to med. reddish brown.TD AT 140 FEET.

JE JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP INC. ADVANCED SYSTEMS DIVISION, ALBUQUERQUE OPERATIONS Page Lot L BOREHOLE LOG (ROCK) SITE ID: MON LOCATION ID: 658 SITE COORDINATES (11.): LOCATION MAP: GROUND ELEVATION (11. MSL): ______ DRILLING METHOD: ______ Rot Ary (MUD) DRILLING CONTR .: _____ Beb BeemAN Hogan GROUNDWATER LEVELS DEPTH (11.) DATE TIME LOCATION DESCRIPTION ______ South of tailings pile SITE CONDITION _______ Soft SAND AND MUT ORIENTATION **THERING** ROCK UNALI DESIGNATION (ROD) BOHING OPERATION COHE RECOVERY CONDITION PACKER TES INTERVAL LITHOLOG SPACING LUID ALCOV ROCK TYPE & REMARKS 0'-90' QUATERNARY DUNE SAND, MEDIUM to FINE GRAINED, rEDDISH Brown, UNCONSOLIDATED (SEE \$657) 90' Top of Shinarymp Member of Chinle Fm. 90' 157' ShiNArump SS. - TAN to white, MEDIUM to COArse SANDstone, conly from 145' 155' 157' Top of MOENKOpi Fm - Blueish - gray Altered sillstone 159'-165' MOENKOPi Fm - REDDISH brown Sillstone 165'= T.D. BORING OFE-ATICS COMMENTS: H - 6 1/2 HOLLOW STEM AUGER C - 4 1/2 CONTINUOUS FLIGHT AUGES GB - GEAR BIT NX - NX ROCK CORING NO - NO WIRELINE ROCY COPING JEG-AL-ENG-2A (4/85)



### 5/6/99

GENERAL INFO	DRMATION	SCREENING INFORMATION				
SITE:	MONUMENT VALLEY	(MON01)	Elev	Depth		
LOCATION CODE:	0658	TOP GRAVEL PACK:	4755.03	122.00		
DECOMMISSIONED:	No	BOTTOM GRAVEL PACK:	4712.03	165.00		
DAMAGED:	No	TOP OF SCREEN:	4742.03	135.00		
TOC ELEVATION:	4879.96	BOTTOM OF SCREEN:	4722.03	155.00		
SURFACE ELEVATION:	4877.03	GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:	43.0	•		
BOTTOM ELEVATION:	4712.03	SCREEN LENGTH:	20.0			
TOTAL DEPTH:	165.00	CASING LENGTH:	159.93			
ZONE OF COMPLETION:	SHINARUMP MEMBER OF THE CHINLE FORMATION	CASING DIAMETER (in.):	4			

### Lithology Details

TOP		BOTTOM		USCS			
Elev	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION		
4877.03	, 0.00	4787.03	90.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	SAND, medium to fine, little silt, reddish brown.Note: Undifferentiated dune deposits to feet.EOLIAN DEPOSITS, Continued.		
4787.03	90.00	4720.03	157.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	SHINARUMP MEM., CHINLE FM.:SHINARUMP MEM., Continued.		
4720.03	157.00	4712.03	165.00	SILTS & FINE SANDS	MOENKOPI FM. SILTSTONE, altered, bluish grey.Note: Color change to reddish brown.TD AT 165 FEET.		

## This page intentionally blank

JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP INC. Advanced systems division, Albuqueeque open OPERATIONS Page / of / BOREHOLE LOG (ROCK) SITE ID: MON LOCATION ID: 659 SITE COORDINATES (11.): LOCATION MAP: GROUND ELEVATION (ft. MSL): RETARN (MUD) DRILLING METHOD: __ 6603 659 Bob BeeMAN DRILLING CONTR .: ___ SEPT, 19, 1985 DATE STARTED: ____ GROUNDWATER LEVELS DATE TIME DEPTH (ft.) TAILINGS North of tailings K.I mile t SAND Gd LOCATION DESCRIPTION _____ Soft SITE CONDITION EATHERING (FT.) **DRIENTATIO** CONDITION HOCK UNAL DESIGNATIO (ROD) BUIING OPERATION 00 CORE RECOVER SPACING PACKER 11 INTERVA U#1L1 LITHOL ROCK TYPE & REMARKS JEPTH . rund , 0'-48' QUATERNARY DUNE SAND -MEDIUM to FINE GRAINED, reddish brown, unconsolidated (SEE #657) *48' Top of Shinarump Member of Chinle Fm 48'-110' Shinarump SS - SAME description AS #663 110' = T.D BORING OPENATION COMMENTS: 6 1/2 HOLLOW STEM AUGER - 4 1/2 CONTINUOUS FLIGHT AUGES GEAR BIT NX ROCK CORING NO - NO WIRELINE ROCK COPING JEG-AL-ENG-2A (4/85)



5/6/99

GENERAL INFO	DRMATION	SCREENING INFORMATION			
SITE:	MONUMENT, VALLEY (	(MON01)	Elev	Depth	
LOCATION CODE:	0659	TOP GRAVEL PACK:	4779.72	82.00	
DECOMMISSIONED:	No	BOTTOM GRAVEL PACK:	4752.72	109.00	
DAMAGED:	No	TOP OF SCREEN:	4774.72	87.00	
TOC ELEVATION:	4864.97	BOTTOM OF SCREEN:	4754.72	107.00	
SURFACE ELEVATION:	4861.72	GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:	27.0		
BOTTOM ELEVATION:	4751.72	SCREEN LENGTH:	20.0		
TOTAL DEPTH:	110.00	CASING LENGTH:	112.25		
ZONE OF COMPLETION:	Shinarump Member of the Chinle Formation	CASING DIAMETER (in.):	4		

### Lithology Details

<u>TC</u>	P	BOT	ГОМ	USCS	
Elev	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION
4861.72	0.00	4813.72	48.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	SAND, medium to fine, little silt, reddish brown.Note: Undifferentiated dune deposits to 90 feet.
4813.72	<b>48.00</b>	4751.72	110.00	WELL GRADED SANDS	SHINARUMP MEM., CHINLE FM.: SANDSTONE, medium to coarse, occasional conglomeriticstratum, white with limonite stains.SHINARUMP MEM., Continued.TD AT 110 FEET.

· · · ·

# This page intentionally blank

• .

JE JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP INC. ADVANCED SYSTEMS DIVISION, ALBUQUERQUE OPER OPERATIONS Page of 1 BOREHOLE LOG (ROCK) SITE ID: MON LOCATION ID: 660 SITE COORDINATES (ft.): Â LOCATION MAP: N ____ GROUND ELEVATION (ft. MSL):_ DRILLING METHOD: _______ ROTARY (MUD) DRILLING CONTR.: ______ Bob Bee man FIELD REP .: G. Miller GROUNDWATER LEVELS DATE TIME DEPTH (11.) LOCATION DESCRIPTION _____ North of tailings pile ~ .7 mile SITE CONDITION ______ Soft SAND (RD) HILLING RECOVERY DRIENTATION VEATHERING 516NA1101 BOHING OPERATION R TES CONDITION CONE RECOVER ITHOLOGY SPACING ROCK TYPE & REMARKS PACKEF JEPTH 0'-90' QUATERNARY DUNE SAND-MEDIUM to fine, reddish brown UNCONSOLIDATED (SEE #657) 90'-155' ShiNArump Member of Chinle Fm, tan to white MEDIUM to COAYSE SANDSTONE COAly NEAR bottom (possible ChANNEL fill) 155'= TD BURING OPENATION COMMENTS: - 6 1/2 HOLLOW STEM AUGER C - 4 1/2 CONTINUOUS FLIGHT AUGES GB - GFAR BIT NX - NX ROCK CORING NO - NO WIRELINE ROCE COPING JEG-AL-ENG-2A (4/85)



## 5/6/99

GENERAL INFO	DRMATION	SCREENING INFO	RMATION	l
SITE:	MONUMENT VALLEY (	(MON01)	Elev	Depth
LOCATION CODE:	0660	TOP GRAVEL PACK:	4709.55	124.00
DECOMMISSIONED:	No	BOTTOM GRAVEL PACK:	4678.55	155.00
DAMAGED:	No	TOP OF SCREEN:	4700.55	133.00
TOC ELEVATION:	4836.32	BOTTOM OF SCREEN:	4680.55	153.00
SURFACE ELEVATION:	4833.55	GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:	31.0	
BOTTOM ELEVATION:	4678.55	SCREEN LENGTH:	20.0	
TOTAL DEPTH:	155.00	CASING LENGTH:	157.77	
ZONE OF COMPLETION:	SHINARUMP MEMBER OF THE CHINLE FORMATION	CASING DIAMETER (in.):	4	

Lithology Details

<u>то</u>	P	BOTT	OM	USCS	
Elev	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION
4833.55	0.00	4743.55	90.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	UNDIFFERENTIATED, dune sand, medium to fine, It.reddish brown.EOLIAN DEPOSITS, Continued.
4743.55	90.00	4678.55	155.00	WELL GRADED SANDS	SHINARUMP MEM., CHINLE FORMATION: SANDSTONE, medium to coarse, tan to white. Occasionalcoaly fragments indicate channel fill deposit.SHINARUMP MEM., Continued.TD AT 155 FEET.

## This page intentionally blank

JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP INC. ADVANCED SYSTEMS DIVISION, ALBUQUERQUE OPERATIONS Page Lof 2 **BOREHOLE LOG (ROCK)** SITE ID: Mon - 01 LOCATION ID: 661 ~ LOCATION MAP: SITE COORDINATES (ft.): N GROUND ELEVATION (ft. MSL): DRILLING METHOD: __ Kotm 1 Qc. King DRILLING CONTR .: _ Beenen file, i (lite DATE STARTED: 10 DATE COMPLETED: FIELD REP .: _ GROUNDWATER LEVELS DATE TIME DEPTH (ft.) Ridge = 12 nile SN of lites Sauch ton LOCATION DESCRIPTION _ disting. NCI DRILLING ID RECOVERY TEST ORIENTATION % CORE RECOVERY ROCK QUALI DESIGNATION (ROD) EATHERING BORING CONDITION LITHOLOGY (FT. ٦ SPACING PACKER T INTERVA ROCK TYPE & REMARKS DEPTH FLUID * ≥ Shiwarung Sandstone, five gia. Very Pale Tan 55 Gid BORING OPERATION COMMENTS: H - 6 1/2 HOLLOW STEM AUGER C - 4 1/2 CONTINUOUS FLIGHT AUGER GB - GEAR BIT NX - NX ROCK CORING NG - NG WIRELINE ROCK CORING JEG-AL-ENG-2A (4/85)

JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP INC. ADVANCED SYSTEMS DIVISION, ALBUQUERQUE OPERATIONS Page 2 of 2 **BOREHOLE LOG (ROCK)** SITE ID: Mon - 01 LOCATION ID: 661 LOCATION MAP: Ä SITE COORDINATES (ft.): N **GROUND ELEVATION (ft. MSL):** DRILLING METHOD:. Reters DRILLING CONTR .: _____ Pailling See 13' 10/1/ES DATE STARTED: DATE COMPLETED: FIELD REP .: _ GROUNDWATER LEVELS DEPTH (ft.) DATE TIME LOCATION DESCRIPTION SITE CONDITION DRILLING ID RECOVERY PACKER TEST INTERVAL WEATHERING ORIENTATIO % CORE % CORE RECOVERY ROCK QUAL DESIGNATIO (ROD) CONDITION LITHOLOGY (FT. BORING OPERATION SPACING ROCK TYPE & REMARKS DEPTH FLUID * Shinnrung Smastore 58 Ħo Moen kopi Sandstone, Soft, Park Rellish Ba Ś٢ <del>II.</del> 55 Decheley Smithere, horal Grayish - Bru Conticl BORING OPERATION **COMMENTS:** 6 1/2 HOLLOW STEM AUGER C - 4 1/2 CONTINUOUS FLIGHT AUGS GB - GEAR BIT NX - NX ROCK CORING NO - NO WIRELINE ROCK CORING JEG-AL-ENG-2A (4/85)

								Đ	OR	EH(	DLE LOG (ROCK) Page 2 of 2
L	OCA	TIO	N° M	AP:				. *	1		SITE ID: LOCATION ID:
		é	0	0		(	-	·			GROUND ELEVATION (ft. MSL): DRILLING METHOD: DRILLING CONTR.: DATE STARTED: DATE COMPLETED: FIELD REP.: Contant
											GROUNDWATER LEVELS
								, .			DATE TIME DEPTH (ft.
							<u> </u>				
L				ESCI ION	RIPT	ION					
			Èz	×	-		z		_	<u> </u>	
DEPTH (FT.)	BORING	<pre>% CORE Recovery</pre>	ROCK QUAL Designatio (ROD)	LUID RECOVE	PACKER TES Interval	SPACING	ORIENTATIO	CONDITION	WEATHERING	LITHOLOGY	ROCK TYPE & REMARKS
50,0			*	<b>L</b>						5	Colletter to lite of
								·			Vecheney someston
							<u> </u>			<b> </b>	
								·			
	$\vdash$										
											last'd
150							ļ	L		<u> </u>	
		ENT	S: _								H - 6 1/2 HOLLOW STEM AUGER C - 4 1/2 CONTINUOUS FLIGHT AU GB - GEAR BIT
											INX - NX ROCK CORING

						J	E		DBS ICED	ENK systi	GINEERING GROUP INC. EMS DIVISION, ALBUQUERQUE OPERATIONS
								B	OR	EH	OLE LOG (ROCK) Page Z of Z
L	OCA	TIO	N M	AP:					Ļ	~ 27	SITE ID: <u>Max -ot</u> LOCATION ID: <u>661</u> SITE COORDINATES (ft.): NEE GROUND ELEVATION (ft. MSL):
		6				1		·			DRILLING METHOD: <u>Betwy</u> DRILLING CONTR.: <u>Beenwy</u> <u>Billing Lu</u> DATE STARTED: <u>billing</u>
•		~		1	- 1						FIELD REP.: R. Coulet
	•										GROUNDWATER LEVELS
•		×.									DATE TIME DEPTH (ft.)
L			N DI IDIT							[·	
DEFIN ILI.	BORING OPERATION	<pre>% CORE Recovery</pre>	<pre>% ROCK QUALIT DESIGNATION (ROD)</pre>	FLUID RECOVER	PACKER TEST INTERVAL	SPACING	ORIENTATION	CONDITION	WEATHERING	LITHOLOGY	ROCK TYPE & REMARKS
											de Chelley Smidstone
I.I											
								·			
_											
_											
								· · ·			
											Cant'd
)) ))	MM	ENT	S:								BORING OPERATION H - 6 1/2 HOLLOW STEM AUGER
	·										GD - GEAR BIT
											NX - NX ROCK CORING

•

.

.

-						J	E		JBS ICED	EN	GIVEEKING GROUP INC. Ims division, albuquerque operations
								8	OR	EH	OLE LOG (ROCK) Page for I
L	OCA	TIO	NM	AP:					2	~27	SITE ID: <u>Her-et</u> LOCATION ID: <u></u>
		Se	20		63	1	,				DATE COMPLETED:
	-		- 1		0			•			GROUNDWATER LEVELS
											DATE TIME DEPTH (ft.)
							<u>.                                    </u>				
				-00							
S	ITE	CON		ION							
			≻ Ez	ž	-		z		e		
(FT.)	D NOI	RE	UAL VIOL	LING	TES	U Z	110	NOI	RING	90	
TH (	RAT	000	A N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	REC	(ER TER	, A CI	NTA	NDIT	THE	μοι	ROCK TYPE & REMARKS
DEP	BC	₩ W ₩	ROC DESI	a a ≠ a	A C F	ŝ	ORIE	co co	WEA	5	
æ,			*	<b>1</b>	<u> </u>			<b> </b>		[	
-							<b>_</b>				Ste Chekey Sondster
										·	
		·				·					
х. <u>1</u>				_							
•				-							
•											
											· · · · ·
			$\left  - \right $							<u> </u>	1
						<u> </u>				· .	
										<u> </u>	
	-										lost of
<u>بح</u>								L			BORING OPERATION
	MM	ENT	<b>১:</b>								H - 6 1/2 HOLLOW STEM AUGER
											G - 4 1/2 CONTINUOUS FLIGHT AUG GB - GEAR BIT
<u> </u>											

-	i	•						DVAI		SYSTI	EMS DIVISION, ALBUQUERQUE OPERATIONS
										EH	ULE LOG (MOCK) Page 6 of
L	OCA	TIO	N M	AP:	,					~ 2 (	SITE ID: <u>1201</u> LOCATION ID: <u>661</u> SITE COORDINATES (ft.): NE
•	•		• •	•	,						GROUND ELEVATION (ft. MSL): DRILLING METHOD: DRILLING CONTR.: Boonson Bulling. Co
		Se			AZ .						DATE STARTED: DATE COMPLETED: FIELD REP.: Goodely
	•		• •				·				GROUNDWATER LEVELS
											DATE TIME DEPTH (ft.)
L		TIO	N DI	ESCI	RIPT	ION					
S	ITE	CON	IDIT	ION							
FT.)	G ION	RE -	TION	DVERY	TEST	07	TION	NOI	DNIE	D G Y	
EPTH (	BORIN PERAT	RECOV	SIGNA (ROD)	DRILL ID REC	CKER	SPACH	RENTA	TIONOS	EATHE	ITHOL	ROCK TYPE & REMARKS
	•	_	B B C	FLU	4		ŏ		ž		
_							·			55	Dechelly Smithwe
_											
				,							
				·							
			•								
								•			
								• •			
							L				/ ~/ /
ia.											Care
co	ММ	ENT	s:								BORING OPERATION
<u> </u>										<u> </u>	C - 4 1/2 CONTINUOUS FLIGHT AUGE
		<u></u>	·								GB - GEAR BIT NX - NX ROCK CORING

.

.

ļ

ì

·					•			DVA	ICED	SYSTI	INS DIVISION, ALBUQUERQUE OPERATIONS
								. • 8	OR	EH	DLE LOG (ROCK) Page 2 of 2
L	OCA	TIO	NM	AP:		· -			Ĺ	~ 2 ~	SITE ID: <u>Max - 01</u> LOCATION ID: <u>66 1</u> SITE COORDINATES (ft.): N E
							·				GROUND ELEVATION (ft. MSL): DRILLING METHOD:
•		/	/		Ì	. )	,				DATE STARTED:
	_	Þ	P	(	13					·	DATE COMPLETED:
					U		•		•		
											GROUNDWATER LEVELS
						·					
L		TIO	N DE	ESC	RIPT	ION					
S	ITE	CON	DIT	ION							
~	_	<b>&gt;</b>	N N	G ER V	ST.		Z	,	ø	>	
(FT.	110N	JRE /ER		N N	TE	D N I	Ĭ	10	RIN	00	
TH	RA1	ΰŝ	A ON	REC	K E R T E R	. ▼ C	L.N.	ĨQ	THE	10H	ROCK TYPE & REMARKS
DE P	BI	μ Π	ROC DES	4 a a	N CI	ŝ	0 H I	ပိ	VEA	5	
50	-			FL	-		Ľ		Ĺ	ļ	
									<u> </u>	55	achelley Smalylone
						·				<u> </u>	
	· ·										
_											
							$\vdash$				
		_									
		- <u>-</u>									
				•							Cont'el
			<u>_</u>								BORING OPERATION
	MM		ວ:								H - 6 1/2 HOLLOW STEM AUGER
										-	GB - GEAR BIT
						_			-	_	INY - NY BOOK CODING

							5.4	DVAI		SYST	IMS DIVISION, ALBUQUERQUE OPERATIONS
					<u></u>			2	IOR	EH	DLE LOG (ROCK) Page 2 of 7
Ł											SITE ID: <u>Max - 01</u> LOCATION ID: <u>661</u> SITE COORDINATES (ft.): NE
											GROUND ELEVATION (ft. MSL):
			•	٠	•						DRILLING CONTR.: Berner Relling Co
			60	>	R	. 1					DATE STARTED: <u>10/1/85</u> DATE COMPLETED: <u>10/2/85</u>
			=	•	8	•.					FIELD REP.:R. Lucket
											GROUNDWATER LEVELS
		•									
•											
-	000	TIO									
S	ITE	CON		ION							
	z	. >		G ER≺	ST		Z	z	ē	>	
I (FT	ING 1011	CORE DVER		ECOV	R TE R V A I	CING	TATH	1110	IERIN	11.00	BOCK TYDE & DEMADUS
EPT.	BOR PER/	RECC	ESIGI (RQ	D D D	INTE INTE	S P A	A LE N	OND	EATH	THG	NUVN FILE & NEMANNO
ء ير	°		¥ 0	FLU	۲d		ō		ž		
		•				· · ·				55	achelley Smalstone
										<u> </u>	
	$\square$										
				· · · · · ·							
								·			
											I Nater @ 190'
•										┣	
				· ·							
			-				·				
<u>a-</u> )											Low T Oland
cc	MM	ENT	S:								BORING OPERATION H - 6 1/2 HOLLOW STEM AUGER
		•			,						C - 4 1/2 CONTINUOUS FLIGHT AUGE GB - GEAR BIT
											NX - NX ROCK CORING
								8	OR	EH(	OLE LOG (ROCK) Page Z of Z
---------------	---------------------	--------------------	--------------------------------------	------------	-------------------------	---------	-------------	-----------	----------------------------------------	-----------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
L	OCA S	TIO	N M	AP:		- 			Ľ	~27	SITE ID: <u>Mar Of</u> LOCATION ID: <u>661</u> SITE COORDINATES (ft.): NE GROUND ELEVATION (ft. MSL): DRILLING METHOD: <u>Matary</u> DRILLING CONTR.: <u>Mean Prime Ca</u> DATE STARTED: <u>MINAS</u> DATE COMPLETED: <u>MINAS</u> FIELD REP.: <u>Reference</u> GROUNDWATER LEVELS
						•••					DATE TIME DEPTH (ft.)
L	OCA	TIO		ESC	RIPT	ION					
DEPTH (FT.) 0	BORING OPERATION	* CORE RECOVERY	BOCK QUALITY DESIGNATION (ROD)	* DRILLING	PACKER TEST INTERVAL	SPACING	ORIENTATION	CONDITION	WEATHERING	LITHOLOGY	ROCK TYPE & REMARKS
<b>\$00</b>			*								Delhelly Sandstone
191								·			Style Pulling @ 288
co	MM	ENT	S:			, ,			······································		BORING OPERATION H - & 1/2 HOLLOW STEM AUGER C - 4 1/2 CONTINUOUS FLIGHT AUGER GB - GEAR BIT NX - NX ROCK CORING NO - NO WIRELINE ROCK CORING



5/6/99

.

.

GENERAL INFO	DRMATION	SCREENING INFO	RMATIO	V
SITE:		MON01)	Elev	Depth
LOCATION CODE:	0661	TOP GRAVEL PACK:	4875.17	185.00
DECOMMISSIONED:	Yes	BOTTOM GRAVEL PACK:	4842.17	218.00
DAMAGED:	No	TOP OF SCREEN:	4870.17	190.00
TOC ELEVATION:	5062.49	BOTTOM OF SCREEN:	4850.17	210.00
SURFACE ELEVATION:	5060.17	GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:	33.0	
BOTTOM ELEVATION:	4842.17	SCREEN LENGTH:	20.0	
TOTAL DEPTH:	218.00	CASING LENGTH:	214.32	
ZONE OF COMPLETION:	DECHELLEY MEMBER OF THE CUTLER FORMATION	CASING DIAMETER (in.):	4	· ·

### Lithology Details

то	P	вот	ТОМ	USCS	
Elev	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION
5060.17	0.00	5037.17	23.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	SANDSTONE, fine to medium, well cemented, beige topale tan.Note: Becomes coarse grained with It. limonite staining,medium yellowish brown.
5037.17	23.00	5021.17	39.00 [°]	SILTY SANDS	MOENKOPI FM.: MUDSTONE, friable, thin bedded, medium yellowish brown.Note: Color change to medium to dark reddish brown.
5021.17	39.00	5000.17	60.00	SILTS & FINE SANDS	SILTSTONE, very thin bedded, medium reddish brown tochocolate brown.
5000.17	60.00	4987.17	73.00	SILTS & FINE SANDS	DeCHELLY MEM., CUTLER FM.: SANDY SILTSTONE, chocolate brown, with interbeddedlt. carmel siltstone.
4987.17	73.00	4842.17	218.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	SANDSTONE, fine, lt. to med. orangish brown.Note: Color change to lt. brown.DeCHELLY SANDSTONE MEM., CUTLER FM., Continued.Note: Color change to lt. orange brown.Note: Becoming weakly to mod. cemented.Note: Becoming moist to very moist from

-

s note intentionally blank

# This page intentionally blank

					JE	ADVANCED SYS	VGIN TEMS D	EERING	T GROUP INC. Alsuguerque operations				
						BORE	IOL	ELO	<b>G (SOIL)</b> Page <u>1</u> of <u>4</u>				
							<u>، ر</u>		MON. OL LOCATION IN HOLE GEIR				
	10M 22	INSAI	୮: 3 ଧର	معد م			s	SITE COORDINATES (ft.):					
FAct	. 05 . 05	 		~ (		<b>6</b> 1	N						
	•••	00	, ,				G	GROUND ELEVATION (ft. MSL):					
	-							RILLIN	IG CONTR .: BEEMAN DRILLING CO				
							D	ATE S	TARTED: 10-5-85				
						,		ATE CO	EP: 14. DONNELSON/SHLB GEOLOGIST				
						•							
						. •			GROUNDWATER LEVELS				
					·			10-9-	RS 9:25AM 161.2 (GROUNDS				
		•				•		NELL	DEVELOPED, ITAM - 4PM, 10-8-85				
· • =						C	٦ ۲	<u>&lt;   GP</u>					
		N D	ESC		TION _	DI PPING	<u>ه ٦ د</u>	NAD.	MP OUTCROP				
				ئے۔ ن 									
	PLE VAL	VERY	INED	۳.		BLOWS	[	GEOL					
DEPTH	8AM NTE	BAMI	SAM!	14	нD	PER & In	צק	uses	VISUAL CLASSIFICATION				
		<b>–</b>			84."	22441/21	<b></b>	Thee	0 - 18 SHINARUMP FORMATION				
					*	CLMIN/S		Ss	SANDSTONE: time to medium				
••	4				_1	BMILE E			grained, strongly cemented,				
	5			$\vdash$	7 1/4	10			Deige to tan				
	TÀ												
	M		$\square$			15							
	╉								SANDSTONE: CORNER STOR				
••••••	3					66		TRCS.	subrounded light limoust's				
	S					3MIN/8'	. н. С		stained, medium yellow brow				
<u>.</u>	+			$\vdash$		, -		Rm	23-28' MOENKOPI FORMATION				
- <u></u>	A							1641	<u>MUDSTONE</u> : friable, thin bedded				
	1K					6Muslin'		MOST	Medium yellow brown.				
	IN			$\square$					MUDSTONE: thinboddad				
									medium to dark reddich				
		<b>  </b>							brown.				
	+~			$\square$		6		Pd	39 - 60'De CHELLY (CUTLER?) FORM-				
	H							SLST	ATION, SILTSTONE: Very thin				
	10			<b>  </b>		5			bedded, medium red brown to				
	Ē								chocolat brown.				
						15							
·	6												
	Ť					20							
					¥		L						
SALIN	NTS	$\frac{1}{0,2}$	<u>) AT</u> %	ER DH	B. 28	TY 10-8-	85,0	4PM	EC JSOM MHOS, SAMPLE TYPE				
HOLE	661.	НС	184 1-5	66	L WAS	ABANDONE	92	GROUM	S ~ 2' O.D. 1.38' I.D. drive sample CEN TO SURDACE U - 3' O.D. 2:42' I.D. tube sample				
DUE T	o FI	NDI	NC-	· 6	ROUT 1	N PVC AT	939	TH OF	T - 3' O.D. thin-walled Shelby tube				

-.

ŀ							BORE	IOL	ELO	g (Soil	<u>)</u>		Page 2 of 4
ŀ	LOCATI	ON	MAI	<b>?:</b> S	23	PAGE			SITE ID	ORDINA	<u>01</u> LOC	ATION	ID: HOLE GEIF
						. <b>.</b>					E	ASL):	
	• •								RILLIN	G METHO	D: ROTA	RY AIR	2, 83/4 7 78"
									RILLIN	IG CONT	R.: <u>BEE1</u>	MAN D	RILLING CO.
	•	•••							ATE C	OMPLETE	D: 10-6	-85	
						·		F	IELD R	EP.: ليك	SONNEL	SON/0	CHEB GEOLOG
		•				•				GRO			
									D	AIE	I IIVI	<u> </u>	
								JL					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	LOCA	TIOI	N DI	ESC	RI	PTION _					······································		
	SITE		DIT										
	DEPTH	MPLE	MPLE	MPLE	YPE	Đ	DRILL RATE	N N	GEOL.		VISUAL CL	ASSIFIC	
	•	₹.	8 Rec	REI	Ţ		MIN/SFT			-			,
						£34"	10		Pd	60-73	De CHEI	LY ( CI	UTLER?) FORM
						7%			SLST	ATION	SANDY	SILTS	TONE: Some
		5				1/8	11			white	, carmel	& light	torange brou
		A								with	denth	sand:	stone increasi
		M					.8			silts	tone.	choce	biat brown
_		P							PH	73 - 83	De CHE	LLY F	ORMATION.
		E					7		SS	SAN	DSTONE	: silt	stone decre
		5								ing	withd	lepth	i light to
							. 4			niec	lium or	ange	brown fine
	·						E.		Pd	gre	rined ac	iartre	se sandston
-		ĸ					5		55	82-119	' De CH	ELLY F	ORMÁTION
		E.	. •							SANI	STONE:	L	incl pupp
		N					. <b>6</b>			ose,	light b.	-own	) almaa, quur
		4							·	•	· · · · ·	•	
5		N					7	•					
5	5						·					•	•
		H							<b>I</b>				
		0		<b></b>									. ,
		E			ŀ-					,			
													•
		6											
		6					,						
	·				$\vdash$						• •	· ·	
-												•	
		ITS					·					S.	MPLE TYPE
(												A - Auge	cuttions -
(												5 - 2 0	.D. 1.38 I.D. drive sem









JEG-AL-ENG-3 (3/84)

			JE MOC			RING GROUP IN HON, ALBUBUIDEUS O ONE TRUCTIO		•	
RITF	ID: /	E En-01	LOCATIO	N ID	set for	FIELD RE	P:	m 60	7
<b>\PP</b>	ROX.	BITE COO	RDINATES	(FT.	): N_		<b>E</b>		
GRO			I (FT. MBL	.):		COMPLE	TION DAT	E: 10/2/	185
		BOREHOL		Y		CONS	TRUCTION	TIME LO	0
DRIL	LER:_	Beenm	Brike	¥	<u>a</u>	ACTIVITY	87	END	
RIG 1	YPE:	Cardo	c for	er			DATE	TIME	TIME
	YPE	MOLE	END O	2	LUID	DRILLING	6/1100	300	6:36
		(in.)	<u>(ii.)</u>		YPE		10/0/05	9.30	D:30
Tries	ne	2118	2/8		<u>²/2</u>				
Toices	-10	5"	292		<i>¹</i> ,ν				
						CABING	MATES	2:00-	3.00
				I		4			
	<del></del>	UASING L			ENDA	FILTER PACK	19/8/86	5.00	0.00
TYPE		DESCRIP		DIA. (in.)	DEPTH	SEAL	10/0/05	5.00	51.25
K		1 <u>78 - 57  </u>	A	818	3.0	th	10/6/85	1-	2.45
8	50	<u>h 80</u> n	PVC	4	190	BACKFILL	1/2/93 -	8.95 .	1110
$\frac{2}{\alpha}$		 			8104	-h			
D					012	DEVELOPMENT			
	+-								
	†		-						
	1					OTHER			
P-Prote	ctive .	8-Screen B	-Bienk O-C	pen	St-None				
Depth 1	om Te	op of Casing						•	
	W	ELL CONS	TRUCTION	1		WE	LL DEVELO	PMENT	
YPE DDE®		DESCRI	PTION		DEPTH	to 661-1	R drillee	ta 21	10-5 To A.
	<u>(en</u>	ent Ci	11. fr . 10	<del>*</del>	18(	This well Pl	ugged wi	U pour	fter Comple
2-1		In Kel	ACIS 117 AM		205	, See Revisio	he for no	in well	Ŷ
	Ži	ica Sa	Ht.	-	215		. 1		
		Cave-	m	$\rightarrow$	LID_				
						COMMENTA:			
		•							
			······			<u></u>		· · · · ·	
8 -	Back fi	8 - 8	oat F	Filer	Poot				
		Second Burg	2000			•			

· · · ·

### This page intentionally blank

į

JE JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP INC. OPERATIONS Page _ of / BOREHOLE LOG (ROCK) Aterned Aller MON LOCATION ID: 662 SITE ID: ___ LOCATION MAP: SITE COORDINATES (ft.): N GROUND ELEVATION (ft. MSL): Rotary 1 DRILLING METHOD: MUD BerMAN DRILLING CONTR .: _ Sept DATE STARTED: _ DATE COMPLETED: _ Solt G. Miller FIELD REP .: __ GROUNDWATER LEVELS DATE TIME DEPTH (ft.) Tailing n of soft tailings pile North old LOCATION DESCRIPTION _ SAND SITE CONDITION RECOVER 106.4 011411 E SIGNATION (ROD) DRIENTATION \$ NEATHERING LITHOLOGY PACKER TES INTERVAL CORE RECOVERY CONDITION BOHING SPACING 5 0 1111 ROCK TYPE & REMARKS DEPTH run , 0'-70' SAME lithology AS # 657 Qd, reddish brown UNCONSOLIDATED SAND BORING OFENATION COMMENTS: 1/2 HOLLOW STEM AUGER 1/2 CONTINUOUS FLIGHT AUGES GEAR BIT NE ROCK CORING ...... NO - NO WIRELINE ROCY COPING IEG-AL-ENG-2A (4/85)



5/6/99

GENERAL INFORMATION	SCREENING INFO	RMATION	/
SITE: MONUMENT VALLE	EY (MON01)	Elev	Depth
LOCATION CODE: 0662	TOP GRAVEL PACK:	4840.75	35.00
DECOMMISSIONED: No	BOTTOM GRAVEL PACK:	4805.75	70.00
DAMAGED: No	TOP OF SCREEN:	4838.25	37.50
<b>TOC ELEVATION:</b> 4878.56	BOTTOM OF SCREEN:	4808.25	67.50
SURFACE ELEVATION: 4875.75	GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:	35.0	•
BOTTOM ELEVATION: 4805.75	SCREEN LENGTH:	30.0	
<b>TOTAL DEPTH:</b> 70.00	CASING LENGTH:	72.310	
ZONE OF COMPLETION: ALLUVIUM	CASING DIAMETER (in.):	4	•
	•		

Lithology Details

<u>T0</u>	P	BOTT	OM	USCS	
Elev	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION
4875.75	0.00	4805.75	70.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	SAND, some silt, It. reddish brown.Note: undifferentiated to total depth.EOLIAN DEPOSITS, continued.TD AT 70 FEET.Note: Caving badly to TD.

This page intentionally blank

									UR	EH	ULE LUG (HUCK) Page 1 of 2 #413				
'L 	0CA	10 1	N M	AP:					Ļ	Â,	SITE ID: <u>1900</u> LOCATION ID: <u>665</u> SITE COORDINATES (ft.):				
				C	# 063 \		- Ko	#.4	,59	GROUND ELEVATION (11, MSL): DRILLING METHOD: <u>Ketary (MUD)</u> DRILLING CONTR.: <u>Bob Beeman</u> , <u>Moab</u> DATE STARTED: <u>9.6.85</u> DATE COMPLETED: <u>9.10.85</u> FIELD REP.: <u>F. Miller</u>					
	ま	``	, <u>7</u> -	÷,	:-	Ξ.				=	GROUNDWATER LEVELS				
1		F		1			1			ł	DATE TIME DEPTH (ft.				
Thai	ling	Ë	- i <i>i</i> - N	1-	TAil	ing	۶ ۱	l							
· .			<u> </u>					L			<u>tttt</u>				
L S			N DI 1DIT	ESCI ION	RIPT										
DEPTH (FT.)	BOHING OPERATION	RECOVERY	NOCK UNALITY DESIGNATION (ROD)	* DHILING LUID ALCOVERY	PACKER TEST INTERVAL	SPACING	ORIENTATION	CONDITION	WE ATHERING	LITHOLOGY	ROCK TYPE & REMARKS				
			•								0-50' QuatEDNARY DULLE SAND AND DASSIBLE				
											Alluvium; REDDISH BROWN, FINE to MEDIU				
											GRAINED QUARTZ SAND with MINOR TIM				
		·									ShinARUMP PEbbles AND MINOR MOE				
											ROCK FRAGMENTS)				
											Suipstone, whitish tan to iron				
											STAINED SANDSTONE CUTTINGS, SOME				
											COARSE SAND AND DROMEN FLO AT				
											A STEADY RATE OF 5 gpm (estimate				
											from about 50' down for 5'-7', the				
											CONVERSELY STARTED USING H20 IRON				
											About 55'-57' TO AN UNKNOWN				
		•									115' Shiwarump SANDSTONE TAN.				
											white, iron stained, etc., * 107-110' becom				
											Very COARSE to CONGlomERATIC with				
CC	MM	ENT	S:								BORING OFE-ATI				
											C - 4 1/2 CONTINUGUS FLIGHT AU GB - GEAR BIT				
											NX - NX ROCK CORING NO - ND WIRELINE RCCY COPING				

				•						syst EH	EMS DIVISION, ALSUQUERQUE OPERATIONS   OLE LOG (ROCK) Page 2 of 2
L	OCA	-	NM	AP:		•••••				<27	SITE ID: <u>MON</u> LOCATION ID: <u># 663</u> SITE COORDINATES (11.): NE GROUND ELEVATION (11. MSL): DRILLING METHOD: <u>Retary (MUD)</u> DRILLING CONTR.: <u>Bob BeemAN</u> DATE STARTED: <u>Sept. 6 1985</u> DATE COMPLETED: <u>Sept. 10 1985</u> FIELD REP.: <u>G. M.IIER</u> GROUNDWATER LEVELS DATE TIME DEPTH (11.)
L		A CONE RECOVERY	UL SIGNATION TO N	FLUID RECOVERY ZO	PACKER TEST	SPACING	ORIE NTATION	CONDITION	WEATHERING	111401004	ROCK TYPE & REMARKS
											ShiwARUMO (CON't) 107-110 (CON't)
											with AbundAnt copy material in the
	_										cuttings AND on the mud pit
											Borehole has intercepted predable
_											* 115
╡											120' REDDISH Drown SILISTANE W/ DINEIS
			· ·								cuttings Top of MOENKopi Fm @ 120
-											Approx. top!
											167 MOENKopi Fm - readisi Drown
											SITSTN. W/ MINOY SANDSTONE
											167-217' DE Chelly Ss. @ 167'-
-											reddish to orangish, medium to TINE
		•									y'n''' D SANDSIONE
Í											217' = TD
	MM	ENT	<u> </u>								BORING DEL NATION
			<b>.</b>								H - 6 1/2 HOLLOW STEM AUGEP C - 4 1/2 CONTINUOUS FLIGHT AUGEF
											GB - GEAR BIT

ł



5/6/99

_	(	GE	NERAL	<u>INFC</u>	DRMATION	<u>.                                    </u>	
	,			SITE:	MONUMENT	VALLEY	(MONC

### SCREENING INFORMATION

SITE:	MONUMENT VALLEY (	MON01)	Elev	Depth
LOCATION CODE:	0663	TOP GRAVEL PACK:	4707.38	155.00
DECOMMISSIONED:	No	BOTTOM GRAVEL PACK:	4645.38	217.00
DAMAGED:	No	TOP OF SCREEN:	4687.38	175.00
TOC ELEVATION:	4865.67	BOTTOM OF SCREEN:	4647.38	215.00
SURFACE ELEVATION:	4862.38	GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:	62.0	
BOTTOM ELEVATION:	4645.38	SCREEN LENGTH:	40.0	•
TOTAL DEPTH:	217.00	CASING LENGTH:	220.29	• • •
ZONE OF COMPLETION:	DECHELLEY MEMBER OF THE CUTLER FORMATION	CASING DIAMETER (in.):	4	

Lithology Details	
-------------------	--

TC	<u>DP</u>	BOTT	ОМ	USCS	
Elev	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION
4862.38	0.00	4812.38	50.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	UNDIFFERENTIATED, DUNE SAND, with minor alluvium inlower section consisting of minor fine gravel derived fromShinarump formation, and minor Moenkopi rock fragments.
4812.38	50.00	4742.38	120.00	SILTY SANDS	SHINARUMP MEM., CHINLE FORMATION: SANDSTONE, fine to coarse, occ. pebbles, whitish tanto reddish tan.Note: Water began flowing at 5 gpm at 52 feet duringdrilling, continuing until hole deepened to 55 feet.SHINARUMP MEM., Continued.SHINA
4742.38	120.00	4695.38	167.00	SILTS & FINE SANDS	MOENKOPI FORMATION: SILTSTONE, with minor thin interbeds of sandstone,upper few feet altered to bleached, grey; changing toreddish brown.MOENKOPI FM.,Continued.
4695.38	167.00	4645.38	217.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	DeCHELLY SANDSTONE MEM., CUTLER FM.: SANDSTONE, medium to fine, reddish tan to orange.DeCHELLY SANDSTONE MEM., Continued.TD AT 217 FEET.



JE JACOBS ENGINEERING GROUP INC. OPERATIONS Page 201 2 BOREHOLE LOG (ROCK) SITE ID: MAN LOCATION ID: 664 SITE COORDINATES (11.): LOCATION MAP: N__ GROUND ELEVATION (ft. MSL): DRILLING METHOD: ______ Retary (19100 BeeMAN DRILLING CONTR .: ___ Bib SEPT. 17 1985 DATE STARTED: ___ DATE COMPLETED: _______ 19, 1985 FIELD REP .: G. Miller GROUNDWATER LEVELS DATE TIME DEPTH (ft.) LOCATION DESCRIPTION . SITE CONDITION CONER RECOVERY NOCK DUALI UESIGNATION (ROD) LUID RECOVER 10 **DRIENIATION** WE ATHERING CONDITION 100 DEPTH (FT. BOHING OPERATION Ĩ SPACING 2 LITHOL ROCK TYPE & REMARKS PACKET 175'-195' MCENKOP, FM. - REDDISH brown fine grained sandstone AND sittstone 195' Top of De Chelly Ss. 195'-233' DE Chelly Ss. - MEDIUM to fine grained sandstone, reddish to orangish +233' = T.D BORING OFENATICS COMMENTS: 6 1/2 HOLLOW STEM AUGER C - 4 1/2 CONTINUOUS FLIGHT AUGE# - GEAR BIT 68 NE - NE ROCK CORING NO - NO WIRELINE ROCE COPING JEG-AL-ENG-2A (4/85)



5/6/99

GENERAL INFO	DRMATION	SCREENING INFORMATION					
SITE:	MONUMENT VALLEY (	MON01)	Elev	Depth			
LOCATION CODE:	0664	TOP GRAVEL PACK:	4632.53	202.00			
DECOMMISSIONED:	No	BOTTOM GRAVEL PACK:	4601.53	233.00			
DAMAGED:	No	TOP OF SCREEN:	4623.53	211.00			
TOC ELEVATION:	4837.35	BOTTOM OF SCREEN:	4603.53	231.00			
SURFACE ELEVATION:	4834.53	GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:	31.0				
BOTTOM ELEVATION:	4601.53	SCREEN LENGTH:	20.0				
TOTAL DEPTH:	233.00	CASING LENGTH:	235.82				
ZONE OF COMPLETION:	DECHELLEY MEMBER OF THE CUTLER FORMATION	CASING DIAMETER (in.):	4				

### Lithology Details

TO	P	BOTTOM		USCS	
Elev	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION
4834.53	0.00	4749.53	85.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	SAND, medium to fine, reddish brown.EOLIAN DEPOSITS, Continued.
4749.53	85.00	4689.53	145.00	WELL GRADED SANDS	SHINARUMP MEM., CHINLE FM.: SANDSTONE, medium to coarse, occasional conglomeriticseams, well cemented, limonite stained, tan to white.SHINARUMP MEM.,Continued.
4689.53	145.00	4659.53	175.00	WELL GRADED SANDS	SANDSTONE, coarse to medium, with gravellyconglomeritic lenses, abundant coaly material,(channel filldeposit), tan to white.SHINARUMP MEM., Continued.
4659.53	175.00	4639.53	195.00	SILTS & FINE SANDS	MOENKOPI FORMATION: SILTSTONE, reddish brown with blue-grey bleached zoneat top.
4639.53	195.00	4601.53	233.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	DeCHELLY SANDSTONE MEM., CUTLER FM,: SANDSTONE, medium to fine, reddish to orange.DeCHELLY SANDSTONE MEM., Continued.TD AT 233 FEET.



						BORE	HOL	ELO	
OCATI	ON	MA	P:	5 88	PAGE	#1	S	ITE ID	: MONOI LOCATION ID: HOLE GEB OORDINATES (ft.):
							G		D ELEVATION (ft, MSL):
					·		D	RILLIN	NG CONTR .: BOB BEEMAN
		•	•					ATE S	OMPLETED: 10 - 4 -85
-						• •	F	IELD R	REP.: K.D. DONNELSON / SHEB GEOLOG
									GROUNDWATER LEVELS
·		•						D	ATE TIME DEPTH (ft.)
						26 4411 61			
LOCA		n Di Dit	ESC	RIF		G SAND D	<u>300</u> 3110	S, GR	ANGE, FINE (SP) SAND, SPARSE VEG.
	ي ي	2				DRILL RATE		GEOL	
EPTH	SAMPLE Interva	BAMPLE Recover	BAMPLE RETAINE	TYPE	HD	BLOWS PER & In.	AL UE	USCS	VISUAL CLASSIFICATION
	$\mathbf{X}$					4 MIN/S'FT		1	
	$\Rightarrow$						1		
	亼					6	<u>ه</u>		
	X					6			
	$\ominus$								
	Х			$\square$	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7	5	СН	75-85' SHINARUMP FORMATION
	$\overline{\mathbf{Z}}$						1	RCS	CLAYSTONE & MUDSTONE, trace
	X					*			sandstone increasing with depth,
	X					6			grading to blue gray
	$\longleftrightarrow$						{		85-105 SHILLARUMA EDRMATION
	A					6		55	SANDSTONE, Strongly cemented
<u> · ·</u> i	$\forall$			┝╌┨				RCS	fine grained, Lt olive gray
	$\Box$						ſ		to light evenop known Sandin
	$\mathbf{H}$			┝╼┫		11			light blue gray shale interbed
						<u> </u>			increasing w/depth.
	X					7			103-112
	¥					4		SH	SHINARUMP FORMATION, SHALE
	$\ominus$					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<b> </b>	Rm	bedded, light gray, trace cool
	X			$\square$		13		MOST	112-150 MOENKOPI FORMATION, MUDST
	$\mathbf{G}$					8		(CL) (ML)	<u>ESILISTONE</u> , thin bedded, red brow Chocolat brown.
MME	ITS	B	ADI	0 40	TIVE	ZONE FR	om	92-1	100'ON CAMMA SAMPLE TYPE
.00-				<u>.</u>		<u> </u>			A - auger cuttings S - 2° 0.D. 1.38° I.D. drive sample U - 3° 0.D. 2.42° I.D. tube sample

	-	•				J	E	JACOBS EN ADVANCED SYS	IGIN TEMS C			INC.	5	_
	BOREHOLE LOG (SOIL) Page 3 of 4													
	LOCATI	ON	MAP	: S	33	PA	55	# 1 ^	S S N		MON -	<u>o(</u> LOC/ TES (ft.): E	ATION	ID: HOLE 668
				•	ţ-					RILLIN RILLIN ATE S ATE C	IG METHO	DD: <u>7 78"</u> R.: <u>BaR</u> <u>10 - 3 -</u> D: <u>10 - 4</u>	ROTA EEMA BS	N MUDGEL
		•	• ;				•	/	F	IELD R	EP.: الكلية	DONNELS	N/SH	28 GEOLOGIST
										D	GRO ATE			DEPTH (ft.)
	•					•			F				· · · · · ·	
	LOCA SITE		N DE	SCF	RIP R	TION	1. 12	C MILES	Sou	TH O	F MEXI	CAN HAT	- ) SAN	D, SPARSE VEG.
			2		T			DRILL RATE	I	1032				
	DEPTH	BAMPLE INTERVI	BAMPLE RECOVE	BAMPLE RETAINE	IYPE	Đ		DLOWS PER 6 in. MIN 5 FT.	VALU VALU	USCS		VISUAL CLA	SSIFIC	ATION
		Д		ť	Ĥ		-			Rm	NOTE	: Some ve	ing fi	ne sand below
		X		-	H	_	_	35			ן גער גער גער גער גער גער גער גער גער גער	6: Hand	dril	ling below 126:
		Х	-					20			NOTE	: Gas but	13 13 13	noted in mud
						17	"	33						<b>~</b> .
40	10-3-85			(	2						,		_	
	i	$\ominus$		-1	<u>_</u>								•	
		(				_	_							
		A			•					Pd	150 - 18	BO' De Ch	elly F	ormation
		Х	·					i • •		Sh	shale	finegr	ained	, medium
160		Х					_				read	sh bran	ge pr	own.
		X						•						
r <b>a</b>		$\bigcirc$	_		⋕							•		. <b>.</b>
		Â		+		-								
		À		<b>-†</b> ,	╏		,				۰.	· .	н н 1 т	•
، در 	COMMEN	ITS:	WA	TE	20	UAL	.τ	Y. 16-7-85	, 1P	M, CL	DUDY, EC	370	SA	MPLE TYPE
	3:30 P	<u>PS</u> M(	SAL FIL	TER	201	OV.	- 4	H 9.3, 5	04 3 )5,54	LINT	10.2%	pH 8.8,	S - 2° 0 U - 3° 0 T - 3° 0	D. 1.38° I.D. drive sample D. 2.42° I.D. tube sample D. thin-walled Shelby tube
1	EG-AL-E	NG-	25 (	4/.8	5)		_							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·





د اور اار دارد. از معدر در <u>مع</u>دد در داری

JEG-AL-ENG-3 (3/84)

5/6/99

GENERAL INFO	DRMATION	SCREENING INFORMATION						
SITE:	MONUMENT VALLEY (	MON01)	Elev	Depth				
LOCATION CODE:	0668	TOP GRAVEL PACK:	4694.99	170.00				
DECOMMISSIONED:	No	BOTTOM GRAVEL PACK:	4646.99	218.00				
DAMAGED:	No	TOP OF SCREEN:	4684.99	· 180.00				
TOC ELEVATION:	4867.8	BOTTOM OF SCREEN:	4664.99	200.00				
SURFACE ELEVATION:	4864.99	GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:	48.0					
BOTTOM ELEVATION:	4646.99	SCREEN LENGTH:	20.0					
TOTAL DEPTH:	218.00	CASING LENGTH:	217.81					
ZONE OF COMPLETION:	DECHELLEY MEMBER OF THE CUTLER FORMATION	CASING DIAMETER (in.):	4	•				

### Lithology Details

TOP		BOT	ГОМ	USCS				
Elev	<u>Depth</u>	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION			
4864.99	0.00	4808.99	56.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	SAND, some silt, lt. reddish brown.Note: undifferentiated to total depth.EOLIAN DEPOSITS, continued.			
4808.99	. 56.00	4789.99	75.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	SHINARUMP MEM., CHINLE FM.: SANDSTONE, fine, well cemented, variable, It. grey tolt. pinkish brown.			
4789.99	75.00	4779.99	85.00	HIGH PLASTICITY CLAYS	CLAYSTONE, with mudstone interbeds, occ. thinsandstone seam, high plasticity, lt. olive grey grading toblueish grey.			
4779.99	85.00	4759.99	105:00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	SANDSTONE, fine, well cemented, with occ, grey shaleinterbeds, variable It. olive grey to It. orange brown.			
4759.99	105.00	4752.99	112.00	CLAYS	SHINARUMP MEM., CHINLE FM., Continued. SHALE, thin bedded, with lenses of sandstone,occasional coal fragment.			
4752.99	112.00	4714.99	150.00	CLAYS	MOENKOPI FM.: MUDSTONE AND SILTSTONE, interbedded, reddish brown tochocolate brown.Note: Increasing sand from 126 feet.Note: Gas bubbles in mud tub from 136 feet.			

<u>TC</u>	<u>PP</u>	BOTT	ГОМ	USCS			
Elev	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION		
4714.99	150.00	4684.99	180.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	DeCHELLY SANDSTONE MEM., CUTLER FM. SANDSTONE, with interbedded shale, fine, medium orangebrown.		
4684.99	180.00	4646.99	218.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	SANDSTONE, fine, medium orange brown.DeCHELLY SANDSTONE MEM.,Continued.TD AT 218 FEET.		

Note: Depths are feet below ground surface and elevations are feet above Mean Sea Level.

5/6/99

### This page intentionally blank

						ADVANCED SYS			ALBORNEREULE OFERATIONS Page 1 of 1
						BORE	HOL	ELU	
LOCATI	ON	MA	P: \$	See	e Loca	tion N	S	ITE ID	MON. 01 LOCATION ID: HOLE 669
Map .	t t	lole	- 66	8.	≈15'	SOUTH W	N N		E
ofice	8						G	ROUN	D ELEVATION (ft. MSL):
								RILLIN	IG METHOD: 10-28 TO 10, 128 TO 36, 10
			•			•	D	ATE S	TARTED: 10-5-85
. ·					<u>.</u> ·	· .		ATE C	DMPLETED: 10-5-85
•									
								D	ATE TIME DEPTH (ft.)
								10-9-	85 10:00 AM 46.3 (GROUND SUE
							14	<u>WELL</u> 6AM-	DEVELOPED 3:45PM-6:15PM, 10-7-858 8:30AM, 10-8-85, <10PM.
LOCA	TIOI	N D	ESC	RI		26 MILES	500	TH O	F MEXICAN HAT
SITE	CON	IDIT	ION	!	ROLLI	NG SAND	DUN	<u>ه کع</u>	RANGE FINE (SP) SAND, SPARSE VEG
	PLE IVAL	LE /ERV	LE NED	ш.		BLOWS	UE		
DEPTH	BAM	BAMP	BAMP	2	- ID	PER 6 in.	Z	USCS	VISUAL CLASSIFICATION
		-		┢─	<b> </b>	· · · · ·	<u> </u>	SP	0-20' SAND, poorly and ad fine
						1	[ .		uncemented, non plastic, mois-
						4			orange
			<u> </u>						
							· ·		
								SP-Su	20-56' SAND, fine, some coars
·····						1		}	uncemented, non plastic, orange
		·				]			NOTE: Trace of reworked
·		_				1			Moenkopi shale
						]			
						]			
						463'			
			, 	$\square$		10-9-85			
						<b>j</b> .			
						]			
			<b> </b>	H		l			
									T.D. = SC', HAM
			Ļ	ليا		L		·:	
COMMEN	2 T L	• ٦.	<b>, D</b> .	-26	' AT '	TO DE SUI	NAP		SE INSTALLED I CAMPLE TURE

· ·



5/6/99

GENERAL INFO	ORMATION	SCREENING INFO	SCREENING INFORMATION					
SITE:	MONUMENT VALLE	EY (MON01)	Elev	Depth				
LOCATION CODE:	0669	TOP GRAVEL PACK:	4835.14	29.00				
DECOMMISSIONED:	No	BOTTOM GRAVEL PACK:	4808.14	56.00				
DAMAGED:	No	TOP OF SCREEN:	4830.14	34.00				
TOC ELEVATION:	4867.19	BOTTOM OF SCREEN:	4810.14	54.00				
SURFACE ELEVATION:	4864.14	GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:	27,0					
BOTTOM ELEVATION:	4808.14	SCREEN LENGTH:	20.0					
TOTAL DEPTH:	56.00	CASING LENGTH:	59.050					
ZONE OF COMPLETION:	ALLUVIUM	CASING DIAMETER (in.):	4					
	1							

Lithology Details

. <u> </u>	OP	BOT	ГОМ	USCS	
Elev	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION
4864.14	0.00	4844.14	20.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	SAND, fine, nonplastic, moist, orange.
4844.14	20.00	4808.14	56.00	POORLY GRADED SANDS	ALLUVIUM/EOLIAN: SAND, fine, little reworked shale frag. nonplastic,orange.TD AT 56 FEET.

## This page intentionally blank

ł
**Borehole Summary** MACTEC-ERS 2597 B 3/4 Road Page ____ of ____ Grand Junction, Colorado 81502 Facility MACTEC Grand Jet. Office Site Monument Valley, Az Project UMTRA GroundWater Boring/Well No. 🗮 676 Location (N) 2/5920/ (E) 589663 ABFt Warger Ground Elev. (Ft.) <u>4839,7</u> Bit/Auger Size <u>75/800</u> Hole Depth (Ft) 50ftw/ Hydropone) 0 Diameter (inch I. D.) No. of Completions TYPE Interval (Ft.) Stick-Up Height (Ft) 0 Vol. (cf. gal) Slot Size Blank Casing 🕔 to 0 Location Sketch Screen NA Sump/End Cap Sand Pack to Sealant to Grout to ocking Cover Installed YNN NK Padlock No. NA Sampling Method Bailer Drilling Method _ Avger Fluid Level/Date <u>18'/ 6-3-97</u> Remarks <u>Hydropunch</u> for water samples (NO3-SO Date Drilled 6-3-97 Date Developed NΑ ampler(s) Karc Somer PID Depth* Blows/ Sample No.: WELL GRAPHIC DESCRIPTION (FT) CONSTRUCTION LOG Interval ppm **Required Information:** Typical name; Munsell color; percentage sand and gravel; sorting (poor Begin 1446 to well); grain angularity; induration or plasticity; moisture content (moist to saturated). 0 Reddish brown: (5YR5/G fine grained wind min blown sand Moist 5 Some as above, homogeneus 10 5 W.L. 18' 5imple* Some as a + 1-23 Ogther redish brown 21-23 1500- 1520 hr. Note: Sand flowed in augers approx 18" after pulling conter bit & coslecting sample w/ hydro putch punch 1520-1540 drilling same above saturated 5 ^ 5 Brownish yellow (10, RG/B) clay, high plasticity, wet. # G76-50 48-50 1540-1650 Bailer dine broke 1705 ready to auger - Decided to guit hole & move. All depths measured from ground leve raig & Gooden ompleted By Rauch Verified By

rins page internionary blank

#### **MACTEC-ERS**

MACTEC-ERS		Borehole Summary
Grand Junction, Colorado	81502	Page of
acility MAC Grand Junci	tion OfficeSite Mon	umont Valley, AZ Project UMTRA Ground Water
pring/Well No. <u>677</u>		Location (N) <u>2160473</u> (E) <u>589326</u>
Ground Eley. (Ft.) <u>4844.5</u>	Bit/Auger Size Diameter (inc	Hydropunch 87' Hole Depth (Ft) <u>Auger 70'</u> h I. D.) No. of Completions
TYPE	Vol. (cf. gal)	Interval (Ft.) Stick-Up Height (Ft)
	= $$	to to
ump/End Cap	$ \gamma A$	to to
Sealant		to
cking Cover Installed Y TN	Padlock No.	
Drilling Method <u>Auger</u> Date Drilled <u>6-4-97</u> Da	te Developed	NA Fluid Level/Date <u>36ft</u> , <u>6-</u> <del>4</del> -97
mpler(s) <u>Spancer / Marp</u>	Morris	Remarks <u>Hydropunch well</u>
Depth* Blows/ PID Sample No. (FT) 6* ppm Interval	CONSTRUCTION LO	HIC DESCRIPTION G
		Required Information: Typical name; Munsell color; percentage sand and gravel; sorting (poor to well); grain angularity; induration or plasticity; moisture content (moist to saturated).
		Sand, fine gr. 11ellowish red (57 K36) moist 10070 sand SM 10 medilo coarsegr. quartzose 20% a taw tipy black ichite mineral grains passell sorted
7/ 10- 12-14 16-12	Tried but no wate	35-40 SAME as above wet butwlasmellomost W.L. 238 of silt very slightly plasticity. Fulbailer
		Same as above Note water filling br. lo: rather slowly
60-62-		@62ft. Cuttings come up solurated
70 Auger T.D.	+ $ $ $ $ $+$	Usig to gr. silly sind reddishyellow (5YR G/G) solurable Black, red and white mineral grains DOgo for gr. sind, 10% silt.
		moderately
25 TD W) Hydro-punch 85-87		collected sample Finished hole = 1445 hr.
- All depths measured from ground lave Completed By Rauch	Frincen.	Verified By Craig & Goodknight

MACTEC 2597 B 3/ Grand Ju	-ERS /4 Roa	s ad n, C	Colorado	815	02			Borehole Summary Page <u>1</u> of <u>/</u>
Pacility Gr	andi	Tur	action Of	<u> Gi</u> a	e	Site _	Mor	Ament Valley, AZ. Project UMTRA Groundlander
oring/Well	No	تع)	78					Location (N) 2/60328 (E) 590123
Ground Elev	/. (Ft.)	4	830.4	B	it/Au	ger Si	ze <u>75</u>	$\frac{4}{6} \frac{0}{0} \frac{1}{3} \frac{3}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}$
	••		ТҮРЕ		Dia Vol	meter . (cf. )	(inch I. gal)	Interval (Ft.)
Blank Casin Screen	g.							to Slot Size toto
ump/End ( and Pack	Сар			7			·	to
Sealant Tout			·		$\mathcal{F}$			to to
Drilling Met	ver Inst hod	talle A	DO PAN	Pa	adloc	k No.	N	k Sampling Method Baller
Pate Drilled	6-4	<u>7 5</u>	97 Date	e De	velop	ed	NA	Fluid Level/Date <u>36ft. / 6-4-97</u> Bemarks Hudde ourch well
Depth* Bio	ws/ P	10	Sample No.;		VELL		GRAPHIC	DESCRIPTION
(FT) (	5" pr	m	Interval	CON			LOG	
								Required Information: Typical name; Munsell color; percentage sand and gravel; sorting (poor to well); grain angularity; induration or plasticity; moisture content (moist to saturated).
0		$\neg$				╞╼╼╂		
5-								Sand, very fine gr., yellowish red (548 5/6) 39% sond 1% silt, porty sorted, subrounded, moist. A Gmall mount 1% of med. 1. Send. A few ting black, white i red mineral argins. (Guartzose send) SM
$\mathbb{Z}^{\top}$		T		-				No placticity
			30-32 -					W.L. 19.67ft. Some as obsue, Galuraled Note: Drillers inside auger pipe showed \$27 for Hzolevo,
		+		-				55' Sand, very fine y, brown (87,5 1 8 5/4) 98% sind, 2% site
<b>P</b> +			60-62 -					and bleck minerel prints. (Guest zose send) No Pecticity SM Note: Flowing send, had trouble alcenter bit <del>compassion</del> movel
		+		-		-		in bittimet bit there was clayey silt, light gray
				:	,			(1017 1/2), moist, medium plasticity
		1	-	i				
		4		-				
			-					
All depths m	By <u>2</u>	from az	oround to gi.	nci	$\sim$		Ver	ified By Crang S. Hoodkright

.

e de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de l

. . .

MACT 2597 E Grand	EC-EF 3 3/4 P Juncti	RS load on, (	Colorado	81502				Borehole Summary Page <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>
acility	Grand.	Junc	tion Off	ice	Site	Monu	nont Volley, AZ	Project UMTBA Graundhat
Boring/V	Vell No.	6	79				Location (N)	2160816 (E) 588730
Ground	Elev. (F	.) 4	847.5	Bit/	Auger Si	ze <u>7</u>	5/ <u>8</u> " <b>3</b> 3/4 <b>7</b> .0.	Auger 7.0. 47 Hole Depth (Ft) <u>Hydropunch</u> 47 No. of Completions
		-	TYPE	、 ``	/ol. (cf.	<u>g</u> al)	Interval (Ft.)	Stick-Up Height (Ft)
Slank Ca Screen	asing						to	Location Sketch
ump/Ei and Pa	nd Cap			- 7	-NF	<b>x</b>	to	
Sealant	CK	_			<u> </u>		to	
rout	Cover h		NAY he	Padi		·	to	
Drilling N	Method	/	luger				Sampling Method	Bailer
ate Dri amoler	lled <u>6-</u> (s) 5-	<u>5-3</u>	Date Date	e Devel i Mari	oped	NA	Fluid Level/Date Remarks Hudro punct	<u>44 ft 16-5-97</u>
Depth*	Blows/	PID	Sample No.;	WE	1.	GRAPHIC	DESCRIPTION	
(FT)	6*	ppm	Interval	CONST	RUCTION	LOG	•	
							Required Information: Typical name; Munsell color; pe to well); grain angularity; indurat to saturated).	rcentage sand and gravel; sorting (poor tion or plasticity; moisture content (moist
5 _	-	· -		_			Sand, very fine tofn.gr., yell well, so:ted, subrounded, m mineral grains Guartzose so	lowish red (5YR 5/6) 10090 sound Nist. A few yellow, red and black and SM
							47 Auger refusal W.L. 4	-2.85ft.
45-		-	45-47 -				Hydropunch 47'	
47	-		_ : _	_			W. L & 44	
	-							
	-	-+	+	-			<u></u>	
	-	4	-				•	
			_				· · · · · ·	
	_	-					· · · ·	
	-		-				· · ·	
- All dept	hs measur ted By <u>a</u>	ed from	n ground lovet.	a		Veri	ified By Craig & Hood	Uknight

a an ann an Arran an Arra an Arra an Arra an Arra an Arra an Arra an Arra an Arra an Arra an Arra an Arra an Ar Arra an Arra an Arra an Arra an Arra an Arra an Arra an Arra an Arra an Arra an Arra an Arra an Arra an Arra an

MACTEO 2597 B 3 Grand Ju	-ER /4 Rc inctio	S bad n, C	Colorado 8	31502			Borehole Summary Page of
Facility <u>Gr</u>	rand	Ju	nction.C	<u>Office</u>	Site	Monu	ment Volley, AZ. Project UMTRA GroundWate:
Boring/Well	No	6	80	<u> </u>			Location (N) 2/6/729 (E) 59/300
Ground Ele	v. (Ft.)	4	808.4	Bit/Au Dia	iger S amete	ize <u>75</u> r (inch I.	$\frac{\beta O, D, \int 3^3/4 I, P}{D.}$ Hole Depth (Ft) <u>Hydropunch T. D. 91</u> No. of Completions
Blank Casir	g		ТХРЕ	Vo	I. (cf.	gal)	Interval (Ft.) Stick-Up Height (Ft)
Screen	Can	·					to
Sand Pack	τιρ			TN 7	٢		to
rout			3 . 24 . 4 . 24				to
Drilling Met	hod		Uger	Padloc	K NO.	·	Sampling Method Bailer
ate Drilled ampler(s)	<u>6-5</u> دم 5_	<u>5-9</u> me	<u>'/</u> Date <u></u>	e Develop _/ <b>M</b> o∢r	oed <u>ت ت</u>	NA	Fluid Level/Date <u>11,35</u> 6/5/97 Remarks <u>Hydropunch boring</u> 4 scopics collected
Depth* Bio (FT)	ws/ F 6" p	PID	Sample No.; Interval	WELL CONSTRU	CTION	GRAPHIC LOG	DESCRIPTION
			· · · ·	•			Required Information:
							Typical name; Munsell color; percentage sand and gravel; sorting (poor to well); grain angularity; induration or plasticity; moisture content (moist to saturated).
							Sand, fine gr., yellowish red (548 5/6) 99% sind
		_	-				190 silt, Subrounded, pointy sorted, moist SM (A few yellow, rede black minerel grains) 11.35
10,1		+	+	-			W.L. H.35 ft. Some as above but more moist.
15		-	_				7
20			18-20	_			> Same Note: Couldn't get water @ 15-17ft source pushed hydropunch to 18-20 and barely
							20ft Cuttings showed saturation.
	"		-				Some as a bave, it few ting red, while and yellow chart grains: 170
		·		-			55' Send, Eriy fr. gr. to fr. gr. brown (7.5YR 5/4) 9590 send, silt 540 ting yellow & red iblock minerals, pearly screed, so us a led
			58-60				Could styet we tere 55-57 w/ bailer-even tually pushed center rod w/hydropunch to 58-60 and
			, ,				borely got en ough water for somple.
65		+	4				collected another simple 69-71 (pounded when mer
	·		69-71				Balled 10 times from the top of the water column and collected 2 boilers from bottom.
a - All depths r	By	trom	ground Javet	mar	) .	Veri	fied By Charg S. Lovelknight

MA	С	TE	C-E	R	S		
2597	в	3/4	Roa	d_'		-	•

Borehole No. 680

Date 6-5-97

Borehole Summary Page 2 of 2

)epth (FT)	Biows/ 6"	PID ppm	Sample No.; Interval	Well Construction	Graphic Log	DESCRIPTION
70				-		
- 55			- - 19-91 -			85' Some as above but pale brown (104R G13) Urryfn.gr. tofn.gr. soturated Augers T.D. 85 Hydropunch TD. 91
		• • •	·····	-		Note: Had trouble opprox. 55 couldn't get my water cuspect we might be inslight clay zone. When pulling augers & appirs. 60-65' there was a light gray (10YR 7/2) clayefound Situat on auger.
				-		
• •	-					
	-					

____ Verified By <u>Marg S. Goodknight</u>

MACT	EC-EI	RS					Borehole Summary
2597 E	3 3/4 F	Road		04500		•	Page _/_ of _/_
Grand	Juncti	ion, c		81502			
acility	<u>Gran</u>	d	Tunction	Offic	Site_	Monu	ment Valley, AZ. Project UMTRA Groundlylar
oring/V	Nell No.	_6	81	<u> </u>			Location (N) 2/62475 (E) 5888/2
Ground	Elev. (F	t.) <u>4</u>	1828.8	Bit/Au	iger Si	ze <u>7</u> 5	$\frac{3^{\prime\prime}}{5^{\prime\prime}} \frac{10}{10}$ Hole Depth (Ft) $\frac{4^{\prime\prime}}{10} \frac{10}{100}$ Hole Depth (Ft) $\frac{4^{\prime\prime}}{100} \frac{100}{1000} \frac{100}{1000} \frac{100}{1000}$
			TYPE	Vo	l. (cf.	(inch i. gal)	Interval (Ft.)
lank Ca	asing ·	_	<del>`</del>		·	<u>.</u>	to Slot Size
creen umn/Fi	nd Can	-			······.		
and Pa	ick		1		ΙΔ		to
ealant		·		T -			to
rout	<b>.</b> .					<del></del>	to
beking diliga N	Cover I	nstalle A A	ed Y/N	Hadloo	K NO.		Sampling Method Resider
ate Dri	lled	- 6 -	-97 Dat	e Develor	ped	NA	Fluid Level/Date
mpler	(s) <u>5</u>	ance	er   Harp	1 Mor,	- - [ 5 -		Remarks Hydropunch Boring
Deoth*	Blows/	PID	Sample No.:	WELL		GRAPHIC	DESCRIPTION
FT)	6*	ppm	Interval	CONSTRU	CTION	LOG	
1		1					Dequired Information:
			· ·				Typical name: Munsell color: percentage sand and gravel; sorting (poor
			i				to well); grain angularity; induration or plasticity; moisture content (moist
0							to saturated).
0 7							
•							Sand, very fn. gr. to fn. gr. reddishy ellow (5YR 6/6)
5 -	-	-	. –				9920 said 190 silt, poury surted, subrounded, moist
/			i				A few red & black mineral gruins. SM
$V \mid$	:						Same as above w/a few (5%) pebbles up to 200
i l							cm long. Mostly ss peobles but a few of z pebbles and
┛┥	-		-			•	aten flakes of calcite.
							25 Less than 1% peubles apt: 5mm.
	· ·			- ·			
							38' Slight color change yellowish red (51R 5/6)
							piore moist
	<b></b>	1	-				$W_{L}$ , $42'$
•				· [		i	
v –		-		-	-+		
			43-45				
.5							Cuttings show a little more moisture but not wet
ſΤ	-	]	7				
							48 Drilling got slow + haid for a couple offeel.
0 -		-+	- 56-52 -	-	+	A A #1	50' Drilling refusel
						40-31	Nore: Atterpoint augers, touna: cioy,
	-		· _]				10% subrounded to subongular pebbles up to Zam Inia.
<b>T</b>		]			] []		52tt. Un endot bit was clay,
		1	·				NITING WAITE HOOVIES
<b></b>			· · · ·		<b>↓</b> ↓		
All dept	ths measu	red from	n ground level	$\mathcal{C}$	$\sum$		1 - V 4 Mh V.
mple	eted By	J.a.	un //	plnat	<u>ノ_</u>	Ver	Thed By (rung & Torocomight
							() ()

rand	Juncti	on, (	Colorado	B150	02		
cility _	Grand	(Jon	iction Off	te	_ Site	Monu.	ment Valley, AZ. Project UMTRA Ground Wa
ring/V	Vell No.	6	82				Location (N) <u>2/63769</u> (E) <u>589844</u>
ound	Elev. (Fi	:.) 🚄	1812.9	Bi	t/Auger S Diamete	ize <u>7</u> 5/ r (inch I.	D.) Hole Depth (Ft) Auger 90 Hole Depth (Ft) Auger 90 No. of Completions
	· · ·		TYPE		Vol. (cf.	gal)	Interval (Ft.) Stick-Up Height (Ft)
reen	asing	·		·			to to Location Sketch
mp/Ei	nd Cap	_		ς.			to
alant	UK .	_		<u></u>			to
out	C	. <u></u>					to
скing Illing N	Nethod		a y/N Nger	Ра	IONOCK INO.	·	Sampling Method Bailer
te Dri mpler	الed <u>6-</u> (s) <u>5</u>	G-S	7 Date r/Karp/	e Dev <i>Morr</i>	veloped مريد	NA	Fluid Level/Date <u>30,25ft</u> 6-6-97 Remarks <u>Hydropunch boring</u>
epth* -T)	Blows/ 6*	PiD ppm	Sample No.; Interval	V CON	VELL	GRAPHIC LOG	DESCRIPTION
							Required Information:
							Typical name; Munsell color; percentage sand and gravel; sorting (poor
							to well); grain angularity; induration or plasticity; moisture content (moist to saturated).
0							
•							Sand, very to, gr. to fn. gr., reddish yellow (Six 6/6)
ے د ا	-	-	-				Afew redyyollow, black mineral grains.
·				· .			
/							W.L. 30.25
	_		38-45				Some as above, saturated (Collected 2 somplas)
fe T							
	- · · ·			_			
						• •	
0 -	-		_				Some as a hour wall which rod (SYR 516)
							a fewting (less char 190) etert (white) chips
⊢ -	-	_	65-67	-			(Collected 2 scorples)
							65-70 Sine in above w/ 570 gt 2. pebbles/ss pebbles sub-
,	-	_	· _				(Unnee to gubingular. (Umming)
			90-37				Builed 5 bails from near topofwater column Bailed sample from buttom of water column
0+	-	+		-			where ted I somple
			•				
_ +	-	-	-				
		·					
	1		-			•	

·······

#### This page intentionally blank

.....

ACTEC-ERS 2597 B 3/4 Road Grand Junction, Colorado	81502	Borehole Summary Pageof
acility Grand Junction	Office site Monument Valley, Az.	Project UMTRA Ground Water
bring/Well No. 683	Location (	NI 2162756 (E) 590072
Ground Elev. (Ft.) 4816.1	Bit/Auger Size 17/8' 0.0./33/4 I.O.	Auger 80 ft. Hole Depth (Ft) <u>Hydropunch 87</u>
Blank Casing	Diameter (inch I. D.) ' Vol. (cf. gal) Interval (Ft.)	No. of Completions Stick-Up Height (Ft) Slot Size
Screen Simp/End Cap Sand Pack	$ \begin{array}{c} - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & \\ - & & $	Location Sketch
Sealant but cking Cover Installed Y / N	to Padlock No to	
Drilling Method <u>Avger</u> Inte Drilled <u>6-7-97</u> Date Simpler(s) <u>Spencer   Karp   A</u>	E Developed <u>NA</u> Borris Remarks <u>Hydropur</u>	Bailer ate <u>28'   G-G-37</u> ach boring
Depth* Blows/ PID Sample No.; T) 6* ppm Interval	WELL GRAPHIC DESCRIPTION CONSTRUCTION LOG	J
	Required Information: Typical name; Munsell color to well); grain angularity; ind to saturated).	; percentage sand and gravel; sorting (poor uration or plasticity; moisture content (moist
5	Sand, very frigr. to frigr. to 190 silt, produced sorted, su SM A few tiny black min Wich. 28 Bailed one somple (174	ddish yellow (54R G/G) 999, sond brown ded, moist. (Quartause soud) heral grains. 5 hr.) [NO3 25mg/L 50g 205]
	Some as a bive saturated Some as a bove w/a few yell reddish brown (5YR d	low, white chert chips, very 5 moll. 5/4)
	- BO' yellow, sh red (5rR 5/c	(mineral grains reddish yellow)
65-67	Hydropunch to 87 ft.	
	-	
All depths measured from ground level	non Verified By Changes Goo	Iknight

• • • • • • • • • •

#### This page intentionally blank

. . . . . . . .

··· · · · ·

J

I

ACTEC-ERS 2597 B 3/4 Road

Grand Junctio	on, (	Colorado	815	02			
acility Grand	Ju	nction O	ffi	<u>ce</u>	Site	Monu	ment Valley, AZ. Project UMTRA Ground Wate
pring/Well No.	6	84					Location (N) <u>2/6/229</u> (E) <u>588//6</u>
Ground Elev. (Ft	14	849.5	_ В	it/Au	ger S	ize <u>75/8</u>	Auger 42 <u>10.D. / 3³/4"I.D</u> Hole Depth (Ft) <u>Hydropunch 42</u> No. of Completions
ank Casing	•	TYPE		Voi	. (cf.	gal)	Interval (Ft.) Stick-Up Height (Ft)
Screen	_				·		to
imp/End Cap and Pack	_		$\mathcal{F}$	7	R-		to
Sealant	_		_/				to
out cking Cover In		ed Y/N		adloc	k No.	·	to
Drilling Method	AU	ger		<u> </u>			Sampling Method <u>NA</u>
te Drilled <u>6-</u> mpler(s)	<u>7-5</u> Nos	emole Dat	e De	velop	eo		Remarks Hudro punch Boring
Depth* Blows/	PID	Sample No.;	<u> </u>	WELL		GRAPHIC	DESCRIPTION
(T) 6"	ppm	Interval	CON	ISTRU	CTION	LOG	
							Required Information: Typical name; Munsell color; percentage sand and gravel; sorting (poor to well); grain angularity; induration or plasticity; moisture content (moist to saturated).
,	-	· -			-		Sand, very fri.gr. to fri.gr., reddish yellow (5YR G/G) 9990 sond, 190 silt, powelly sorted, subrounded, moist. A few ting black minerals. (Quart sose sond) 5M
	_	· 					25' Some as a base less than the subrounded peobles up to 2 cm long. 35' Nopebbles some as above 41.5' suspect bedrock - no water (Dry baring)
42 T.D.	-		-				
	4		<b>–</b> .				
			-				
	ہ۔   	-					
- All depths measure Completed By	d from	pround invest	inte	$\sum$	l	Veri	fied By hang & Hovelknight

l

₿

· · · · · ·

· · · · ·

MACT 2597 E Grand	F <mark>EC-EI</mark> 3 3/4 P Juncti	<b>RS</b> load on, (	Colorado	81502			· · · · ·	Borehole Summary Page _ / _ of _ /
acility (	Grand	'Jur	ction Of	fice s	ite <u>M</u> u	נעמ	ment Valley, AZ	Project UMTRA Ground Hat
Boring/V	Vell No.	_6	85				Location (N)	2161531 (E) 588421
Ground	Elev. (F	t.) _	1841.7	Bit/Auge	r Size	<u>75/</u>	<u>6'0.0 /3 3/4 I.D.</u>	Auger 45 Hole Depth (Ft) <u>Hydropurch 4-7</u> No of Completions
			TYPE	Vol. (	cf. gal)		Interval (Ft.)	Stick-Up Height (Ft)
Screen	asing	_				-	to to	Location Sketch
ump/Ei Jand Pa	nd Cap ick			t th		_	to	
Sealant						-	to to	
Drilling I	Cover li Method	nstalle <u>Au</u>	ed Y/N S <i>g2r</i>	Padlock	No		Sampling Method	Bailer
ate Dri ampler	illed <u>6-</u> (s) <u>5</u>	0-7-9	7 Date er/Karp/	e Developed Morris	·^	<u> </u>	Fluid Level/Date Remarks <u>flydropunch</u>	44 6-7-97
Depth* (FT)	Biows/ 6*	PID ppm	Sample No.; Interval	WELL CONSTRUCT		PHIC DG	DESCRIPTION	
0							Required Information: Typical name; Munsell color; p to well); grain angularity; indura to saturated).	ercentage sand and gravel; sorting (poor ation or plasticity; moisture content (moist
• 5 _ • / -				-			Sand, very tn. gr. to tn. gr., 99% sand 1% silt, well, 15' Same as a bave, subjou	readish yellow ( 61% 616) sorted, subrounded, moist to slightly sibengular nded pebbles upto 21/2 cm. 190
N 45 -			45-47 -					
				-				
			·				· · ·	
				-				
	-	-	-					
•								•
		-	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
							<u>л</u>	2
	ths measur eted By	han	in ground foret.	m		Ver	ified By Chaig S. Good	Kinght

.

ACTEC-ERS 2597 B 3/4 Road Grand Junction, Colorado 81502

	Borehole Summary Page _/ of _/
Z.	Project UMTRA Groundlucter

pring/	Well No.	_6	86	<u></u>		Location (N) $\frac{2/587/0}{60+2}$ (E) $\frac{59004}{60+2}$
round	Elev, (F	1.) <u>4</u> 8	832.5	Bit/Auge	r Size <u>75</u>	8"0.0. 133/4 I.O. Hole Depth (Ft) Hydroponch 76.5
			TYPE	Diamo	eter (inch   cf. oal)	. D.) No. of Completions
ank C	asing					to Slot Size
reen		·			<u> </u>	to Location Sketch
imp/E	no Cap ack	_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		JA	to jo ft
alant		-				to 686 605
out ckina	Cover 1	 nstalli	ed Y/N	Padlock		to
lling	Method	Av	<u>ger</u>			Sampling Method <u>Bailer</u>
e Dr	illed <u>6</u>	<u>-8-9</u>	7 Dat	e Developed	NA	Fluid Level/Date <u>6,7ft / 6-8-97</u>
		lance		<u> / //0++; 5</u>		Prophotica
spth ⁻ T)	Biows/	ppm	Sample No.; Interval	CONSTRUCTI	ON LOG	DESCRIPTION
						Required Information:
						Typical name; Munsell color; percentage sand and gravel; sorting (poor
						to well); grain angularity; induration or plasticity; moisture content (moist to saturated).
) –						
• •					5	5 and VERY for ar to for ar un 10 wish red (548 5/6)
5 -	-					Doto send the silt, poorly sor ted, subsounded, mois
					•	90 SM 1090 W.L G. 7 Ft
0 -	<u> </u>		- 10-12 -			Sind, sime es above, redaish yellow (7.5 PR G)
					10	Chertchips less than 190 Saturated 930 sand 190
	-	-	-			(quartzose)
$\int$						Come 25 2000 C, 1(447 5 1 ye 100 C 15 PR 1/6)
-		┝╺┥		-     '		11 10: Driller surperts rlay Ine @ 21 due to differend
-						indrilling, no said in auger and greenish while water from
35-		-	35-37			35-37'sample interval.
J.,						
0 -	<b>–</b> .		- , -	-	40	Scied, Veryfor, gr. 2. for gr. light yellowish brown (2.51) 6/4
						99% and 1% sitt, puell sorted, subrounded to rounded
5 _	   · ·		_			saturated. 570 red, block and yellow mineral grains. SM
					45	5% clay strystight plosticity. induction object minute
<u> </u>	4			_		grains Note: Driller suspects several clay lenses
	1409KFR	T			1	Sugered to GO ft
						Hyoricpunoniul Is 1017
			74.5.76.5			
.5	Hydropu	11.04	כושו - נוקרו			

.

and a second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second Second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second

U

Borehole Summary MACTEC-ERS Page ____ of ____ 2597 B 3/4 Road Grand Junction, Colorado 81502 Project UMTRA Ground Wate: acility Grand Junction Office site Monument Valley, AZ Location (N) 2158720 (E) 59 0380 oring/Well No. <u>687</u> Auger 20 Ground Elev. (Ft.) <u>4840.6</u> Bit/Auger Size 75/B 0.0.1 Hole Depth (Ft) Hydropunch 30 No. of Completions Diameter (inch I. D.) NA Stick-Up Height (Ft) Interval (Ft.) TYPE Vol. (cf. gal) Slot Size to ____ Blank Casing Location Sketch to Screen ump/End Cap to to ____ and Pack to Sealant to out cking Cover Installed Y / N Padlock No. Sampling Method Drilling Method Auger Fluid Level/Date _28,4+1 / 6-8-97 te Drilled <u>6-8-97</u> **Date Developed** mpler(s) <u>Spencer / Morris</u> Remarks DESCRIPTION WELL GRAPHIC Depth* Blows/ PID Sample No.; CONSTRUCTION LOG Interval 6' mag Required Information: Typical name: Munsell color; percentage sand and gravel; sorting (poor to well); grain angularity; induration or plasticity; moisture content (moist to saturated). 0-2 Sand, very Smigh, pink ( 51 R 8/3) 60% sand, 40% 0 Silt, pourly sorted subromder, dry, 2.5 Sand, very fn. gr. to fn. gr., reddish yellow (5YR G/G) 9590 sind, 520 silt, puelly surted, subrunded to rounded, moist. SM 10-12 Some as above, very pale brown (1048 8/4) A few red, yellow and black mineral grains. 12-15 Send, very fn. to fn gr., reddish y ellow (54RG/G) 99% send 1% silt, pourly sorted, subrounded wet. SM A few black, red i white mineral grains Augered W.L. 28.4' nch Hydropu 28-30 depths measured from ground level. Verified By Craig S. Boodling pleted By ______

Ĭ

ļ

MACT 2597 E	<b>EC-EF</b> 3 3/4 F	<b>RS</b> Road	·				Borehole Summary
Grand	Juncti	on, C	Colorado (	81502	•		
Facility	<u>Grand</u>	l Ju	nction O	ffice	Site _	Monu	ment Valley, AZ Project UMTRA Ground Water
oring/V	Vell No.		588				Location (N) 2158254 (E) 589673
Ground	Elev. (Fi	.) 4	838.7	Bit/Au	iger Si	ze <u>7</u> 5	18"0.0. 13341.0. Hole Depth (Ft) Auger 15 Hudro punch 17
			TYPE	Dia Vo	ameter I. (cf. )	(inch I. gal)	D.) No. of Completions Interval (Ft.) Stick-Up Height (Ft)
Blank C	asing	. <del></del>		- +			toSlot Size
ump/Ei	nd Cap	 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- +	NR		to
Sand Pa Sealant	ck				<u> </u>		to to
out	Cover la		d Y / N	Padlor	k No.		to
Drilling I	Method	Au	905		V		Sampling Method Boiler
ate Dri Impler	lled (s)	ence	<u>91</u> Date <u>r / Mai</u>	e Develoj <u>rris</u>			Remarks <u>Hydropunch boring</u>
Depth*	Blows/	PID	Sample No.;	WELL		GRAPHIC	DESCRIPTION
		<b>PP</b>					
							Required Information: Typical name; Munsell color; percentage sand and gravel; sorting (poor to well); grain angularity; induration or plasticity; moisture content (moist to saturated).
U			_				Sond, finger. to v. finegrained, yellowish red (548 516) 95% sond, 5% silt. A faw pebbles up to "Izem long. A faw black and red mineral grains, well sorted subrounded, moist.
5 - 17 -				-			BSO send, 15 20 clay, poorly sorted, subrounded, moderates plasticity, moist, W.L. 10.4 ft. Augers to 15' Hudiazunch 10 17'
				-			
		_					
				-	+		
	-	_	-				
	_						
						1.	
- All dept	ths measur	ed from	uch f.	finar	)	Veri	fied By Craig S. Lovelkught

#### · · · · ·

#### a de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de l

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

and the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second

.

. .

• • • • •

. • •

#### This page intentionally blank

· ·

.

н Талана (1997) Талана (1997)

• • • • • • •

.....

Į

· · ·

2597 E Grand	F <b>EC-El</b> 3 3/4 F Juncti	<b>RS</b> Road on, C	Colorado	815(	)2 •	s seratori e t	Borehole Summary Page of
racility	Gran	15,	inction O	ffic	e Site	Moni	ment Valley, AZ. Project UMTRA Ground Water
pring/V	Well No.	6	89			· <u> </u>	Location (N) 2158708 (E) 589666
Ground	Elev. (F	t.) <u>es</u> ]	. 4832	Bi	t/Auger S	Size <u>7</u>	Auger 60 Auger 60 Hole Depth (Ft) <u>Hydro punch 7.3</u> No of Completions
			TYPE		Vol. (cf.	. gal)	Interval (Ft.) Stick-Up Height (Ft)
Screen	asing	· · <u> </u>		·	1		to Location Sketch
sand Pa	nd Cap ick	_				NK	to to
Sealant out		_					to to
Drilling N	Cover li Method	nstalle A _V	ed Y/N Nger	Pa	dlock Na	ŀ	Sampling Method <u>Bailer</u>
te Dri mpler	illed <u>6-</u> (s) <u>5</u>	E-S Dence	)7 Date er/Karp/	e Dev <u>Mor</u>	veloped _ rris	<u>NA</u>	Fluid Level/Date 12,9 ft 6-8-97 Remarks Hydropunch baring
Depth ^e	Blows/	PiD ppm	Sample No.; Interval	V CON	VELL	GRAPHIC LOG	DESCRIPTION
							Required Information:
							Typical name; Munsell color; percentage sand and gravel; sorting (poor to well); grain angularity; induration or plasticity; moisture content (moist to saturated).
						0-5	Sand, very fn.gr. to fn.gr., reddish yellow (54R G/G)
5 -						5-10	Some as above, yellow: sh red (Syr 5/6) wet, A few yellow, red and blac Mmineral grains. A few ting atz. like chips and a few white flakes, very small.
5 -			13-15				Some as a bave, saturated. slightly plastic, suspect less than 190 clay.
25-	- ·			-	_		Same os obive but no plasticity
		-	48-50-				Note: Tried to get sample @ 40 - couldn't get we ber in hydropunch. Eventually gotwater @ 4.8-50 for a sample.
1-5-	_	-		-			Sand, very fingr. to fin. gr. pinklist gray (7.54R G/2) 99% send 170 silt, ported, subrounded to rounded, soluro ded.
	- Auger	ł _					A few black, yellow and red mineral grains. A tew very ting white and greenish white chips GO- Some as above a bit stickey, suspect a small amount of chy.
\$5 _				-	-		
73	-	-	7/-73				
- All dept Comple	ted By	ed from	in ground level	ind	$\hat{\mathcal{D}}$	Veri	ified By Craig & Hoodknight

••• •

#### This page intentionally blank

· · · ·

· · ·

Į

ļ

ĺ

₿

ACT 2597 B Grand	EC-EF 3/4 R Junctio	<b>rs</b> oad on, C	Colorado I	8150	)2			Borehole Summary Page _/ of _/
Facility	s Srand	1J	unction	Off	ice	.Site_	Monu	mont Valley, AZ Project UMTRA Groundlahte
oring/M	Vell No.	6	90					Location (N) 2/58327 (E) 588873
Ground	Elev. (Ft	4	844.2	, Bi	t/Au Dia	ger Si meter	ze <u>75</u> (inch I.	$\frac{1}{D} \frac{\partial D}{\partial J} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial T} \frac{\partial A}{\partial$
Blank Ca	ising		TYPE		Vol	. (cf.	gal)	to Slot Size
Screen ump/Er Sand Pac	nd Cap ck			; ;		NI	<u>}</u>	to to to
Sealant				·		<u> </u>		to
Drilling N Drilling N te Dril	Cover Ir Aethod Iled <u>6-</u> (s) 5	nstalle <u>A</u> U . <u>9</u>	ed Y/N <u>ger</u> <u>97</u> Dati	Pa e Dev	velop	ed	NA	Sampling Method <u>Bailer</u> Fluid Level/Date <u>15ft   G-9-97</u> Remarks <u>Hudropunch</u> bering
Depth*	Blows/	PID ppm	Sample No.; Interval	CON	NELL STRU	CTION	GRAPHIC LOG	DESCRIPTION
								Required Information: Typical name; Munsell color; percentage sand and gravel; sorting (poor to well); grain angularity; induration or plasticity; moisture content (moist to saturated).
5 -		-	18-20					Sand, very fine grained to fn. gr., reddish yellow (51R 6K) 39% send 1% silt, welly sorted, subrounded, moist. With 15' 15' - Some as a bove yellowish dred (51R 5/6) With 15' Wet
.7V20-								20- Some as above, saturated a tew ting black, red oid yellow mineral grains. A few very ting greenish while chips.
6	Auger		27-28	-				28' Bedroch; auger retusal.
	_	-						
	-			-	,			
	—			-				
	 :							
- All dept Comple	ths measu	red troi	n ground ievel	icer)		<u> </u>	Ver	rified By Crarg S. Goodknight

- -

. . . .

ļ

Ì

Į

ľ

······

rand	Juncti	on, (	Colorado	8150	02		·	" Faye 01
cility (	oran	dJ	unction	<i>O</i> F	ficesi	te <u>Mo</u>	<u>הט</u> ח	ent Valley, AZ. Project UMTRA Groundklash
ring/V	Veil No.	6	91					Location (N) <u>2158205</u> (E) <u>588344</u>
ound	Elev. (F	ı.) <u>4</u>	\$56.5	Bi	it/Auge	r Size _	75	D.) Hole Depth (Ft) Hydropunch NA No. of Completions
			TYPE	`	Vol. (	cf. gal)		Interval (Ft.) Stick-Up Height (Ft)
ink Ca reen	asing	· -		·	+-		-	to Slot Size
mp/Er	nd Cap		<u> </u>			JA-	-	to
nd Pa alant	CK	-		•	+		-	to
out	<u>.</u>				<u> </u>			to
iking Iling N	Cover li Aethod	nstalle A L	ed y/n Vger	Pa		NO		Sampling Method Barles NA
e Dril	lled <u>6</u>	-9-9	Z Dat	e Dev	veloped	!	NA	Fluid Level/Date <u>Org 6/3/37</u>
npier	(s)	pen	er / 1901	<u>·/·</u>	······			Remarks <u>Hydropunch Boring</u>
epth" T)	Blows/ 6"	PID ppm	Sample No.; Interval	CON	ISTRUCTI		DG	DESCRIPTION
0								Required Information: Typical name; Munsell color; percentage sand and gravel; sorting (poor to well); grain angularity; induration or plasticity; moisture content (moist to saturated).
						-		Sand, veryfn.gr. to fn.gr., reddish yellow (54R G/G) 99% cond 1% silt, mell so bed, subrounded, moist, 5M
6 -	_							A few dark, red and yellow mineral grains.
	-							Div hale. Aver refusal or bedrock
5 7.0			-					Dig noice interest
	•	ŀ						
-	- ·	-		-				
+	<b>-</b>	-						
	I		· .					
-+	-			-				
	,							
	-		-					
-								
		-+		-		1		
	-			- 1				
	-		• •				- 1	
	-	-	-					

.....

ľ

ACTEC-ERS	
SOT B 3/A Boad	

Page	_1	of	(	
-				

rand Juncti	on, ( / <del></del> -	Colorado 8	3150) CC	2		1.1.1. 17
cility Ovano	(Jul	nction Or	Hice	<u>ې</u> Site	Monu	ment Valley, HZ Project UMTRA Ground Was
ring/Well No.	_6	92				Location (N) $2/58508$ (E) $5882/2$
ound Elev. (Fi	4	1866.1	Bit	Auger S	ize <u>7</u> 5	BO,D. 334"I.O. Hole Depth (Ft) Hydropunch NA
		ΤΥΡΕ		Diamete Vol. (cf.	gal)	D.) / No. of Completions Interval (Ft.) Stick-Up Height (Ft) WA
ank Casing				<u> </u>		to Slot Size 1
reen mp/End Cap	·			-	``````````````````````````````````	to
nd Pack	_				NA	to
out						to
cking Cover li lling Method	nstalle A	ed Y/N	· Pac	ilock Nd	ł	Sampling Method NA
te Drilled	-9-	<u>97</u> Date	e Dev	eloped	NA	Fluid Level/Date Dry 6-9-97
mpler(s)	5 pen					Remarks <u>Hydro punch bering</u>
epth* Blows/ T) 6*	PID ppm	Sample No.; Intervai	CONS	ELL TRUCTION	LOG	DESCRIPTION
						Required Information:
3						to well); grain angularity; induration or plasticity; moisture content (mois to saturated).
0	· ·					Sand very fn. gr. tu fn. gr., reddish ye ilow (FYR GIG)
						994 send, 190 silt, porty sorted, subrounded, moist
				ľ.		A tou black, rear your war and grand to the
10-				_	ļ	11' some as above, yellowish red (51R 5/6).
		-				Bedrochor avijer tetusel - Ury
+			-	-		
		-				
			-	-		
_ +	-	-				
				5		
All depths measu	rea mo					

······

rand	Juncti	on, C	iolorado L. F	81502	-		+1/11 1-		- 4
cility	orand	JUN	$\frac{1}{2}$	The	Site _	Monu	ment Valley, HZ.	Project <u>UMTRA</u>	Greend
ring/V	Vell No.	_6_	93					N) <u>2138576</u> (E) <u>38</u>	/ 186
ound	Elev. (Fi	<u>.)4</u>	880.2	Bit/A	uger Si iameter	ze <u>7</u> 2	$\frac{60.0.}{3^{3}/4^{11}}$	Hole Depth (Ft)	
			TYPE	V	ol. (cf.	gal)	Interval (Ft.)	· Stick-Up Height (Ft)	NA
ink Ca reen	asing		<u></u>		<u>}</u>		to	Slot Size	<u> </u>
mp/Ei	nd Cap	·			1.	<u>b</u>	to		
nd Pa slant	ck				- <u> </u> -ŀ	<u>r</u>	to to		
ut			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1	······································	to		
:king ling N	Cover Ir Method	nstalle A <i>ug</i>	d Y/N er	Padlo	ck No.	÷	 Sampling Method	NA	
e Dri	lied <u>G</u> -	9-9	Z Dat	e Develo	ped	NA	Fluid Level/Da	te Dry / 6-9-97	
npier			Semole No :			CRAPHIC	DESCRIPTION	nch ouring	
T)	6"	ppm	interval	CONSTR		LOG	·		•
							Required Information: Typical name; Munsell color;	percentage sand and gravel; so	orting (poo
Ó -							to saturated).		
0							to saturated). Sand, very fin.gs. to fn.gs. 9320 sand, 190 silt, put pebbles up to 1/20m (less red mineral grains. Mois Same as a bose, a few d	yellowish red (5th sorted, subrounded, than 190). A fow black, t SM	A few yellow a tone
0 - 5 - 1 IA							to saturated). Sand, very fin.gs. to fin.gs. 9926 sand, 190 silt, per pebbles up to 1/2cm (less red mineral grains. Mois Same as a bose, a few d pebbles (54R 3/2) less t	yellowish red (5th ysorted, subrounded, than 190). A fow black, t SM and reddish Brown silts han I cm long. SM	3 5/G) Afew yellowa tone
0 0 1 IA				-			to saturated). Sand, very fin.gs. to fn.gs. 932 sand, 190 silt, per perbles up to 1/2cm (less red mineral grains. Mois Some as a bose, a few d pebbles (54R 3/2) less t Dry boring	yellowish red (5th ysorted, subrounded, than 190). A few black, t SM and reddish Brown silts han I cm long. SM	5-16) Afew yellowa tone
0 0 1 IA							to saturated). Sand, very fin.gs. to fin.gs. 932 sand, 190 silt, per perbles up to 1/2cm (less red mineral grains. Mois Some as a bose, a few d pebbles (54R 3/2) less t Dry boring	yellowish red (5th ysorted, subrunded, than 190). A few black, t SM and reddish Brown silts han I cm Imy. SM	5-16) Afew yellowa tone
D 5 1 IA 							to saturated). Sand, very fin.gs. to fin.gs. 9926 sand, 190 silt, por pebbles up to 1/2cm (less red mineral grains. Mois Some as a bose, a few d pebbles (54R 3/2) less t Dry boring	yellowish red (5th ysorted, subrunded, than 190). A few black, t SM and reddish Brown silts han I cm Imy. SM	5-16) Afew yellowa tone
0 5 1 IQ							to saturated). Sand, very fin.gs. to fin.gs. 9926 sand, 190 silt, por pebbles up to 1/2cm (less red mineral grains. Mois Same as a bose, a few d pebbles (54R 3/2) less t Dry boring	yellowish red (5th ysorted, subrounded, than 190). A few black, t SM and reddish Brown silts han I cm long. SM	A few yellow a tone
0 0 1 IQ							to saturated). Sand, very fin.gs. to fin.gs. 9926 sand, 190 silt, por pebbles up to 1/2cm (less ved mineral grains. Mois Same as a bose, a few d pebbles (54R 3/2) less t Dry boring	yellowish red (5th ysorted, subrounded, than 190). A fow black, t SM and reddish brown silts han I cm long. SM	A few yellow a tone
0 5 1 IQ							to saturated). Sand, very fings. to fn. gr. 9900 sand, 190 silt, put pubbles up to 1/2cm (less a red mineral grains. Mois Same as a bose, a few d pubbles (54R 3/2) less t Dry boring	yellowish red (544 ysorted, subrounded, than 190). A fow black, t SM and reddish brown silts han len long. SM	A few yellowa tone
0 5 1 IQ							to saturated). Sand, very fings. to fn. gr. 9900 sand, 190 silt, put pebbles up to 1/2cm (less a ved mineral grains. Mois Same as a bose, a few d pebbles (54R 3/2) less t Dry boring	yellowish red (544 ysorted, subrounded, than 1%). A fow black, t SM and reddish brown silts han Icm long. 5M	A few yellow a tone
0 5 1 IQ							to saturated). Sand, very fings. to fn. gr. 9900 sand, 190 silt, put pebbles up to 1/2cm (less a ved mineral grains. Mois Same as a bose, a few d pebbles (54R 3/2) less t Dry boring	yellowish red (54A ysorted, subrounded, than 1%). A fow black, t SM and reddish brown silts han I cm long. SM	A few yellow a tone
0 0 1 Z0 							to saturated). Sand, very fings. to fn. gr. 9900 sand, 190 silt, port pebbles up to 1/2cm (less a ved mineral grains. Mois Same as a bose, a few d pebbles (5YR 3/2) less t Dry boring	yellowish red (54A ysorted, subrounded, than 190). A fow black, t SM and reddish brown silts han Icm long. SM	A few yellow a tone
0 5 0 1 							to saturated). Sand, very fings. to fings. 9920 sand, 190 silt, port pebbles up to 1/2cm (less ved mineral grains. Mois Same as a bose, a few d pebbles (58R 3/2) less t Dry boring	yellowish red (54A ysorted, subrounded, than 190). A few black, t SM <u>aill reddish Brown silts</u> han Icm Iong. SM	5-16) Afew yellowa tone
0 5 1 IQ 							to saturated). Sand, very fings. to fings. 99% sand, 1% silt, port pebbles up to 1/2cm (less ved mineral grains. Mois Same as a bose, a few d pebbles (58R 3/2) lesst Dry boring	yellowish red (54A ysorted, subrounded, than 190). A few black, t SM and reddish Brown silts han I cm long. SM	A few yellow a tone
0 5 1 IQ 							to saturated). Sand, very fings. to fingr. 990 sand, 190 silt, per pebbles up to 1/2cm (less a ved mineral grains. Mois Same as a bose, a few d pebbles (54R 3/2) less t Dry boring	yellowish red (54A ysorted, subrounded, than 190). A fow black, a t SM <u>aill reddish brown silts</u> han Icm Imy. SM	A few yellow a tone
MACTEC-ERS 2597 B 3/4 Road Grand Junction, Colorado	81502		Borehole Summa Page/ of/						
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------	-----------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------						
Pacility Grand Junction (	Hice Site	Μιπυ	ment Valley, AZ Project UMTRA Groundel						
oring/Well No. <u>694</u>	<u> </u>		Location (N) <u>2159870</u> (E) <u>587690</u>						
Ground Elev. (Ft.) <u>4865.4</u>	_ Bit/Auger Si Diameter	ize <u>7</u> 5/	$\begin{array}{c c} \hline 0.D. & 3 \hline 3/4 & I.D. \\ \hline D. & No. of Completions \\ \hline \end{array}$						
TYPE Blank Casing	Vol. (cf.	gal)	Interval (Ft.) Stick-Up Height (Ft) NA to Slot Size						
Screen ump/End Cap and Pack Sealant			to to to						
out cking Cover Installed Y / N	Padlock No.		to						
Drilling Method <u>Auger</u> Ite Drilled <u>G-9-97</u> Dar Impler(s) <u>Snewcer</u>	te Developed	NA	Sampling Method NK Fluid Level/Date Dry 6-9-97 Remarks <u>Hydro punch boting</u>						
Depth* Blows/ PiD Sample No.; FT) 6* ppm Interval	WELL CONSTRUCTION	GRAPHIC LOG	DESCRIPTION						
			Required Information: Typical name; Munsell color; percentage sand and gravel; sorting (poo to well); grain angularity; induration or plasticity; moisture content (mois to saturated).						
			Sand, very fn. gr. to fn. gr., yellowish red (5YR. 5/G 99% sand, 1% silt, poorty sorted, subiounded, moist. A fa ting black, red and yellow mineral grains. Dry buring						

• • • • • • • • • • •

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

## This page intentionally blank

ACTEC-ER	S .			Borehole Summary
2597 B 3/4 Ro Grand Junctio	n, Colorado I	81502		Page _ 1_ of
Pacility Grand	Junction	Office Site	Monum	ent Valley, AZ Project UMTRA Groundlahle
bring/Well No.	695			Location (N) 2/59529 (E) 587707
Ground Elev. (Ft.)	4870.4	Bit/Auger S	lize 75/	g"O.D. / 33/4" I.D. Hole Depth (Ft) Hydroponch NA
	TYPE	Diamete Vol. (cf.	er (inch I. aal)	D.) No. of Completions Interval (Ft.) Stick-Up Height (Ft)
Blank Casing	· · · · · ·			to Slot Size
Screen ump/End Cap			· · · ·	to Location Sketch
Sand Pack			NA	to
out				to
Cking Cover Ins Drilling Method	stalled Y/N Auger	Padlock No	•	Sampling Method NR
te Drilled	<u>3-97</u> Date	e Developed _	NA	Fluid Level/Date Dry 6-9-97
Depth* Blows/	PID Semple No.:	WELL	GRAPHIC	DESCRIPTION
T) 6 ⁻ F	pm Interval	CONSTRUCTION	LOG	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
				Required Information:
				I ypical name; Munsell color; percentage sand and gravel; sorting (poor to well); grain angularity; induration or plasticity; moisture content (moist
0				to saturated).
				Sand, very to. gr. to to. fr., generation (c) (57) 500 000 000 000 000 000 000 0000 000
5-				
				Same asabaye, reddish yellow (54R G/G) A fay black
				red & yellow mineral grains and even fewer white to clear very
				small c p 2.
				Dry boring Some as above Bedier Korouger vefuse?
	+ -	-     -		
		-     -		
		-		
<b>+</b>	4 -			
- All depths measured	from ground level.	TO	<u>ــــــ</u>	

.

ACTEC-EF 597 B 3/4 R irand Junctio	<b>≀S</b> oad					Borehole Summa
597 B 3/4 R Trand Junctic	oad					
	on Col	orado	81502			Page of
ailing Gran	A Tun	ction	/)ff;	e Site	Mmu	ment Valley AZ. Project UMTRA Committee
cine Adall No		96				Location (N) 2/59062 (E) 587489
	107	124	D:+//			Location IN AVO JOOL ICI Dorth ISI
ound Elev. (Ft	1401	<u>9.7</u>	[	liamete	er (inch I.	. D.) No. of Completions
ank Casing	т 	YPE	7 _	'ol. (cf.	gal)	to Slot Size
reen mp/End Cap	• <u>-</u>		__		×	t0Location Sketch
ind Pack			= 7	N		to
out				7		to
illing Method	istalled <u>Auge</u>	Y / N	Padl		•	Sampling Method <u>Bailer</u>
te Drilled <u>6</u> mpler(s) L	<u>-9-9</u> Spenc	Z Dati er	e Devel	oped _	\	Fluid Level/Date <u>47ft bg1/6-9-97</u> Remarks Hudropunch boring
Depth* Blows/	PID Sa	mple No.;	WEL	L	GRAPHIC	
	ppm		CONST			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
						Required Information: Typical name; Munsell color; percentage sand and gravel; sorting (poor
						to well); grain angularity; induration or plasticity; moisture content (moist to saturated).
						0-5' Sand, very fine grained to the gri, yellowish red
5	4.					(STR 3/G) 99% sand, 100 silt, porty sorted, subiounded, moist. A few black, red and gellow
$\boldsymbol{V}$			Ì			mineral grains. Less than 190 subrounded pebbles up to 2 cm long.
15	+	-	-	-		10-15 Same es abave, no pebbles, reddish yellow
50	5	2-52'-				(57R G/G) W.L. 47 ft.
						45-50 Jame as above, wet,
70	70	7-72'_	-	-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	1	-				
	_	_	-			
∎.┽╸│	4	-				
	+	-+	-		· · · ·	
		• _				
	{	·		1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

.

MACTEC-1 2597 B 3/4	ERS Road	d Colorado	81502		• • • • •	Borehole Summary Page of
		Turation 1		2 Sito		Project MATRA Convertil
	na U	C97	21110	s one	<u><u><u>vion</u>v</u></u>	Leasting INI 21/ 322 151 591072
	0	ATOFA				Location (N) 216 270 (E) 2/10/2
Ground Elev.	(Ft.) <u>~</u>	+ 175.4	_ Bit/A _ D	luger S liamete	lize <u>77</u> er (inch I.	B         OU, 1 S         74 I, U.         Hole Depth (Ft)         75 Hydropunch           D.)         No. of Completions         1
Plank Casing		TYPE	v v	ol. (cf.	gal)	Interval (Ft.) Stick-Up Height (Ft) NA
Screen	•		ユニ			to Location Sketch
imp/End Ca Sand Pack	р.	. <u>.</u>		- N-	<b>K</b>	to
Sealant	•			\	·····	to
out cking Cove	r Instal	led Y/N	Padlo	CK NO	·	to
Drilling Metho	d <u>Au</u>	<u>iger</u> -97 Dat	e Develo			Sampling Method <u>Bailer</u> Fluid Level/Date <u>14 ft hall 6-10-97</u>
mpler(s) $\underline{2}$	. Sp	encer				Remarks Hydropunch Boring
Depth [*] Blows T) 6 [*]	/ PID ppm	Sampla No.; Interval	WELL CONSTR		GRAPHIC LOG	DESCRIPTION
╋╌╌┼╌╌╴				1		
						Typical name; Munsell color; percentage sand and gravel; sorting (poor
						to well); grain angularity; induration or plasticity; moisture content (moist to saturated).
						0-5 Sand, very fine grained to fn.gr., reddish yellow (5YR G/G)
<b>•</b>						39% sond, 1% silt, postig sosted, subrounded, moist. A few block mineral grains, Less than 190 very tiny
						white clear chips,
A.5-	-	- -		_		JUTS Same as a buve, Well, A tew reasons yellow mineral grains.
			·			has a function
R0-	.	21-23				15-20 Same as above, satural cu.
						25-30 Someas above, saturated, yellowish red (5YA 5/6)
			-			AE-ER Sand Same as above, brown (7.54R 5/4) 190 clay.
10						A few soft whitish green vergting flakes very
						Note: Suspect we are drilling through a few cloy
5	-	53-55	-			lenses that are not very thick, Driller
7						the clay zones.
<b>Z</b> 5	-	73-75				
•	-	╞╴╶┥	- 1			
				1		
	-	-				

1ACT 597 E	'EC-El 3 3/4 F	<b>RS</b> Road				· ·	Borehole Summary Page of
irand	Juncti	on, (	Colorado	8150	)2		
acility	Gran	$d J_{i}$	unction	Off	ice Site	Monu	ment Valley, AZ. Project UMTRA GroundWate
ring/V	Vell No.	<b>6</b>	698				Location (N) 2162643 (E) 591219
round	Elev. (F	t.) <u>4</u>	802.3	Bit	t/Auger Si Diameter	ze <u>75</u> (inch I.	$\frac{16'' 0.0 / 3^3 / 4'' I.0}{D.}$ Hole Depth (Ft) Auger 45 Hvdropunch 4 No. of Completions
ank Cr	sing		TYPE	ſ	Vol. (cf.	gal)	Interval (Ft.) Stick-Up Height (Ft)
reen	sing	·		_/_			to to Location Sketch
mp/Er	nd Cap ck	_	·		X-KI-	F	to
alant						;	to
but eking	Cover li	nstalle	ed Y/N	Pa	dlock No.		to
illing N	Aethod	AU	gër		'	NA	Sampling Method <u>Bailer</u>
npleri	leo <u>ω</u> - (s) <u> </u>	5 pen	<u>17</u> Dati 2er	e Dev			Remarks Hydropunch boring
epth*	Biows/	PID	Sample No.;	W	/ELL	GRAPHIC	DESCRIPTION
·	6-	ppm	Interval	CONS	STRUCTION	LUG	·
							Required Information: Typical name; Munsell color; percentage sand and gravel; sorting (poor to well); grain angularity; induration or plasticity; moisture content (moist
0 -							to saturated).
	`						(5YR Gla), 99% send, 190 silt, prothesorted,
5 -			_				subrounded, moist, W.L. 14 ft.
15				-			Same as above with a few black, yellow fred mineral grains and a few ting white collear chips.
20-	-	-	18-20' _				same as above, saturated
	 			_			Same us above, yellowish red (5YR 5/6)
45-			45-47				Note: Briwnish clay on auger = 37 ft. in depth.
7-	-						
	-	-+		-			
	- 1	-					
			x.				
	-	+		-			
	-						
Alterepti	ns measur	ed from	n pround level		in)		and By Corrige & H Ma . O.

•

and a second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second

### This page intentionally blank

ACTEC-ERS 2597 B 3/4 Road Grand Junction, Colorado 81502

acility Grand Typetion Office	Site Monument	Valley, AZ, Project UMTBA Groundlan
oring Well No. 760	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Location (N) 2/62653 (E) 590711
round Elev. (Ft.) <u>4812.2</u> TYPE ank Casing <u>PVC scd</u> creen <u>PVC scd</u> ump/End Cap <u>PVC scd</u> and Pack <u>10-20 s</u> ealant <u>Bentomite pc</u> out <u>Bentomite Slu</u> cking Cover Installed (V)/N rilling Method <u>Auger</u> te Drilled C-1/2-97 Dat	Bit/Auger Size $75/8"C$ Diameter (inch I. D.) Vol. (cf. gal) 40 2" 40 2" 40 2" 10-20 112 10-20 112 10-	$\frac{D}{374}$ Hole Depth (Ft) 77.0 No. of Completions 1 Interval (Ft.) Stick-Up Height (Ft) 2.50 Slot Size 0.010 $\frac{55}{55}$ to 75 $\frac{75}{55}$ to $\frac{75}{55}$ $\frac{75}{55}$ to $\frac{46.5}{46.5}$ $\frac{46.5}{5}$ to $\frac{43.4}{43.4}$ to 2 Sampling Method Split specn (16") from $\frac{40.0-41}{5}$ Fluid Level/Date 22.5 5t 1 6-11-97
mpler(s) <u>i. Spencer</u> Dat		Remarks Hole caving - used I south 16-20 sind for entire 20'sci
Depth* Blows/ PID Sample No.; FT) 6* ppm Interval	WELL GRAPHIC DESC CONSTRUCTION LOG	CRIPTION .
0		Required Information: Typical name; Munsell color; percentage sand and gravel; sorting (poor to well); grain angularity; induration or plasticity; moisture content (moist to saturated).
5	4 τ 2 4 τ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5 μ 2 5	d, very fr. gr. to fr. gr. yellowish red (512 5/6) 's sand, 190 silt, pointy soited, subjunded, mois wred, yellow and black mineral grains. Wil. 24' <u>e as above, saturabed</u> , Afew tiny white and clear 5. 40,5' <u>Simulan above</u> , gray (54 G12) 90% and, 10% alay picture 41.2 Same as above, 95% and 5% ailty clay, non plast 41.5 Sand, V. Fr. gr. to fr. gr., reddish brown (512 5/6) 99% bend, 1% silt, pointy 500 ted, subrounded, saturated Afer and wollows of black
56	······································	grains, and a few ting clear and white chips. Some as a buse, yellowish brown (54R 5)4)
All depths measured from ground lavel		ñ - l h nh · l,

· . .

Act .

PLANNED INSTALLATION       FINAL INSTALLATION (Same as planting diameter 2         CASING DIAMETER 2       CASING STICKUP HEIGHT 2.50         CASING STICKUP HEIGHT 2.50       CASING STICKUP HEIGHT	WELL NO760	DATE_	6-10-97
CASING DIAMETER $2$ CASING STICKUP HEIGHT $2.50$ CASING STICKUP HEIGHT $2.50$ CASING STICKUP HEIGHT $2.50$ CASING STICKUP HEIGHT TOP OF GROUT FROUT TYPE <u>Bentonike slurry</u> COP OF FELLETS <u>43.4</u> TOP OF FELLETS TOP OF FELLETS <u>43.4</u> TOP OF FELLETS ATER ADDED TO PELLETS <u>10 P.C.</u> Gallons] OP OF FILTER SAND AND SIZE OP OF FILTER SAND TOP OF FILTER SAND TOP OF SCREEN <u>55</u> OP OF SLOTS <u>55./6</u> TOP OF SCREEN TOP OF SCREEN <u>55./6</u> TOP OF SCREEN TOP OF SLOTS <u>74.9</u> DP OF SUMP/END CAP <u>75.6</u> TOP OF SUMP/END CAP <u>75.5</u> EDTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP <u>75.7</u> TOTAL DEPTH <u>77.0</u>	PLANNED INSTALLATION	• ,	FINAL INSTALLATION (Same as pl
CASING STICKUP HEIGHT 2.50 CASING STICKUP HEIGHT 2.50 CASING STICKUP HEIGHT TOP OF GROUT HROUT TYPE <u>Beatenike slurry</u> TOP OF FELLETS <u>43.4</u> TOP OF FELLETS <u>43.4</u> TOP OF PELLETS <u>43.4</u> TOP OF PELLETS <u>43.4</u> TOP OF PELLETS <u>43.4</u> TOP OF FELLETS <u>43.4</u> TOP OF FILETS <u>51.6</u> TOP OF SCREEN <u>55</u> TOP OF SCREEN <u>55.6</u> TOP OF SUMP/END CAP <u>75.5</u> TOTAL DEPTH <u>77.0</u>	CASING DIAMETER 2		
TOP OF GROUT $2$	CASING STICKUP HEIGHT 2.50		CASING STICKUP HEIGHT
TOP OF GROUT $2$ SROUT TYPE <u>Bentonike slurry</u> TOP OF PELLETS <u>43.4</u> TOP OF PELLETS <u>43.4</u> TOP OF PELLETS <u>43.4</u> TOP OF PELLETS <u>43.4</u> TOP OF PELLETS <u>14 (lowd)</u> ATER ADDED TO PELLETS <u>none</u> Gallons) OF OF FILTER SAND <u>46.5</u> TOP OF FINE SAND <u>46.5</u> TOP OF FINE SAND <u>46.5</u> TOP OF FILTER SAND <u>46.5</u> TOP OF FILTER SAND <u>46.5</u> TOP OF SLOTS <u>55.16</u> TOP OF SCREEN <u>55.16</u> TOP OF SCREEN <u>55.16</u> TOP OF SCREEN <u>55.16</u> TOP OF SCREEN <u>55.16</u> TOP OF SCREEN <u>74.9</u> TOP OF SUMP/END CAP <u>75.00</u> TOP OF SUMP/END CAP <u>75.5</u> BOTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP <u>75.5</u> TOTAL DEPTH <u>77.0</u>			· ·
IRROUT TYPE $\underline{Bentonike slurry}$ OP OF PELLETS $\underline{43.4}$ IZE OF PELLETS $\underline{14}(10 \text{ ord})$ ATER ADDED TO PELLETS $\underline{0000}$ Gallons)         OP OF FILETS $\underline{14}(10 \text{ ord})$ ATER ADDED TO PELLETS $\underline{0000}$ OP OF FILETS $\underline{14}(10 \text{ ord})$ ATER ADDED TO PELLETS $\underline{0000}$ OP OF FILTER SAND $\underline{46.5}$ ILTER SAND SIZE $\underline{10000}$ TOP OF SCREEN $\underline{55}$ OP OF SLOTS $\underline{55.60}$ TE         TOP OF SLOTS $\underline{57.60}$ TE         STTOM OF SLOTS $\underline{74.9}$ SPE OF SUMP/END CAP $\underline{75.5}$ DOP OF SUMP/END CAP $\underline{75.5}$ EOTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP $\underline{75.5}$ EOTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP $\underline{75.7}$ EOTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP $\underline{75.7}$	TOP OF GROUT <u>2</u>	-	TOP OF GROUT
TOP OF PELLETS $43.4$ DIZE OF PELLETS $43.4$ DIZE OF PELLETS $44(10000)$ ATER ADDED TO PELLETS $10000$ Gallons) OP OF FINE SAND AND SIZE 10-20 OF OF FINE SAND 1000 OF FINE SAND 1000 OF FINE SAND 1000 OF FINE SAND 1000 OF FINE SAND 1000 OF FINE SAND 1000 OF FINE SAND 1000 OF FINE SAND 1000 OF FINE SAND 1000 OF FINE SAND 1000 OF FINE SAND 1000 OF FINE SAND 1000 OF FINE SAND 1000 OF FINE SAND 1000 OF FINE SAND 1000 OF FINE SAND 1000 OF FINE SAND 1000 OF SCREEN 1000 OF SCREEN 1000 OF SCREEN 1000 OF SCREEN 1000 OF SCREEN 1000 OF SUMP/END CAP 1000 OF SUMP/END CAP TOTAL DEPTH $77.0$	GROUT TYPE <u>Bentonite Sluriy</u>		
TOP OF PELLETS $43.4$ TOP OF PELLETS $16.000$ TOP OF FINE SAND AND SIZE TOP OF FILTER SAND TOP OF FILTER SAND TOP OF SCREEN $55$ TOP OF SCREEN $55.16$ TOP OF SUMP/END CAP $75.5$ EOTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP $75.5$ EOTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP $75.5$ TOTAL DEPTH $77.0$			
HIZE OF PELLETS $\frac{14}{10000}$ AATER ADDED TO PELLETS <u>forme</u> Gallons) OP OF FINE SAND AND SIZE OP OF FILTER SAND ILTER SAND SIZE TOP OF SCREEN <u>55</u> TOP OF SCREEN <u>55</u> OP OF SLOTS <u>55.16</u> == TOP OF SCREEN <u>DiedricA</u> == == == DTTOM OF SLOTS <u>74.9</u> == DTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP <u>75.5</u> EOTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP TOTAL DEPTH <u>77.0</u>	rop of pellets <u>43,4</u>		TOP OF PELLETS
TATER ADDED TO PELLETS <u>OPDE</u> Gallons) OP OF FINE SAND AND SIZE OP OF FILTER SAND <u>46.5</u> ILTER SAND <u>46.5</u> TOP OF FILTER SAND TOP OF SCREEN <u>55</u> OF OF SCREEN <u>55</u> OF OF SCREEN <u>55.16</u> == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == == =	SIZE OF PELLETS 14 (round)		
OP OF FINE SAND	VATER ADDED TO PELLETS <u>None</u> (Gallons)		
AND SIZE $10^{-20}$ OP OF FILTER SAND $46.5$ ILTER SAND SIZE $10^{-20}$ TOP OF SCREEN $55$ OP OF SCREEN $55$ OP OF SLOTS $55.16$ $10^{-20}$ TOP OF SCREEN $10^{-20}$ TOP OF SCREEN $10^{-20}$ TOP OF SCREEN $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ TOP OF SCREEN $512$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ TOP OF SCREEN $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$ $10^{-20}$	OP OF FINE SAND		TOP OF FINE SAND
$\frac{1}{1000} \frac{1000}{1000} 1$	SAND SIZE		
ILTER SAND SIZE $10-20$ TOP OF SCREEN $55$ OP OF SLOTS $55.16$ TOP OF SCREEN Diedric A TE TE TE TE TE TE TE TE TE TE	TOP OF FILTER SAND		TOP OF FILTER SAND
TOP OF SCREEN $55$ OP OF SLOTS $55.16$ YPE OF SCREEN <u>Diedrick</u> == == LOT SIZE <u>J,010</u> == == DTTOM OF SLOTS <u>74.9</u> == DTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP <u>75.00</u> DTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP <u>75.5</u> EOTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP TOTAL DEPTH <u>77.0</u>	ILTER SAND SIZE <u>10-20</u>		· · · · · ·
OP OF SLOTS <u>55.16</u> YPE OF SCREEN <u>Diedrich</u> = = = = = = = =	TOP OF SCREEN <u>55</u>		TOP OF SCREEN
YPE OF SCREEN Dicarick       ==         LOT SIZE $2,010$ DTTOM OF SLOTS $74.9$ DP OF SUMP/END CAP $75.00$ DTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP       TOP OF SUMP/END CAP         DTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP $75.5$ BOTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP       TOTAL DEPTH         77.0	OP OF SLOTS <u>55.16</u>	E S	
LOT SIZE	YPE OF SCREEN <u>Diedrich</u>	==	
OTTOM OF SLOTS $74.9$ DP OF SUMP/END CAP $75.00$ DTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP $75.5$ TOP OF SUMP/END CAP $75.5$ BOTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP $75.5$ TOTAL DEPTH $77.0$	LOT SIZE	==	
DP OF SUMP/END CAP 75.00 TOP OF SUMP/END CAP DTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP 75.5 BOTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP TOTAL DEPTH 77.0	OTTOM OF SLOTS 74.9		•
DTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP 75.5 BOTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP	OP OF SUMP/END CAP 75 10	,	TOD OF SUMP/FND CAD
DTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP 75.5 BOTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP TOTAL DEPTH 77.0	<u></u>		
TOTAL DEPTH 77.0	OTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP 75.5		BOTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP
	TOTAL 1	DEPTH 7	- <u>7.0</u>

MACTE 597 B 3/4	C-ERS Road	ado 815	Borel	hole No.	760	Date <u>6-11-97</u>	Borehole Summai Page <u>2</u> of <u>2</u>
Depth (FT)	Blows/ 6"	PID ppm	Sample No.; Interval	Well Construction	Graphic Log	DESCRIPTION	
77 -			· · ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
						•	
-							·
_	-		_				
· _	-						
	-			-			
	-		 	-			
	-						
				-   -			
	-		-				
	-						
	-			-			
							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

			-	
			~	

ompleted By david & Spencer

Verified By Craig & Goodkright

5/6/99

.

GENERAL INFO	DRMATION	SCREENING INFO	SCREENING INFORMATION					
SITE:	MONUMENT	VALLEY (MON01)	Elev	Depth				
LOCATION CODE:	0760	TOP GRAVEL PACK:	4765.76	[:] 46.50				
DECOMMISSIONED:	No	BOTTOM GRAVEL PACK:	4736.76	75.50				
DAMAGED:	No	TOP OF SCREEN:	4757.26	55.00				
TOC ELEVATION:	4814.8	BOTTOM OF SCREEN:	4737.26	75.00				
SURFACE ELEVATION:	4812.26	GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:	29.0					
BOTTOM ELEVATION:	4735.26	SCREEN LENGTH:	20.0					
TOTAL DEPTH:	77.00	CASING LENGTH:	78.04	•				
ZONE OF COMPLETION:	ALLUVIUM	CASING DIAMETER (in.):	2					
		· ·						

Lithology Details

TOP		BOTT	IOM I	USCS	· · · · ·
Elev	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION
4812.26	0.00	4807.26	5.00	· .	SAND, very fine grain to fine grain, yellowish-red. (5YR 5/6). 99% sand, 1% silt, well sorted, subrounded, moist. A few red, yellow and black mineral grains.
4792.26	20.00	4782.26	30.00	· ·	Same as above, saturated. A few tiny white and clear chips. WL - 24 ft.
4772.26	40.00	4771.76	40.50		Clayey Sand. Gray (5 Y 6/2). 90% sand, 10% clay, plastic.
4771.76	40.50	4771.06	41.20		Same as above. 95% sand, 5% silty clay, non plastic.
4771.06	41.20	4770.76	41.50		Sand, very fine grain, to fine grain, reddish brown (5YR 5/6).
4767.26	45.00	4762.26	50.00	• •	99% sand, 1% silt, well sorted, subrounded, saturated. A few red, yellow and black mineral grains, and a few tiny clear and white chips.
4762.26	50.00	4757,26	55.00	• •	Same as above, yellowish brown (5YR 5/4).
4735.26	77.00	4735.26	77.00		TD in Quat.

Note: Depths are feet below ground surface and elevations are feet above Mean Sea Level.

MACTEC-ERS 2597 B 3/4 Road Grand Junction, Colorado 81502

Borehole Summary
Page _ _ _ of ____

acility Grand	Tunctim	Office	Site <u>Mon</u>	Iment Valley; AZ.	Project UMTRA Ground Wate
Boring/Well No.	761			Location	(N) 2162488 (E) 588611
Sround Elev. (Ft Slank Casing Screen ump/End Cap and Pack Sealant rout bcking Cover In Drilling Method ate Drilled <u>6</u> ampler(s) L. 5 a	HARRING CONTRACTOR	$\frac{2.29}{100} = \frac{100}{100}$	Auger Size 7 Diameter (inch /ol. (cf. gal)      	$\frac{5/6'' 0.0. / 3^{3/4''} I. D.}{1. D.}$ Interval (Ft.) $\frac{0}{39} \text{ to } \frac{39}{49}$ $\frac{49}{49} \text{ to } \frac{54.5}{54.5/37} \text{ to } \frac{37.0/36'}{33} \text{ to } \frac{3}{2}$ $\frac{36}{33} \text{ to } \frac{2}{2}$ Sampling Methor $\frac{7-97}{2}$ Fluid Level/E Remarks	Hole Depth (Ft) <u>55.5</u> No. of Completions <u>1</u> Stick-Up Height (Ft) <u>2.42</u> Slot Size <u>0.010</u> Location Sketch d Split sp:on(18") Date <u>41 ft / 6-17-97</u>
F					
Depth" Blows/ (FT) 6"	PID Sampl ppm Inte	e No.; WEL rval CONST	L GRAPHI	IC DESCRIPTION	
0		6.76		Required Information: Typical name; Munsell colo to well); grain angularity; ind to saturated).	r; percentage sand and gravel; sorting (poor duration or plasticity; moisture content (moist
10 — 20 —	-	-		99 % send, 190 silt, A few red, yellow and 5-10' Same as a bace, m 10-15 Same as a bace, a f	well minerel, readish yellow (STR GG) sorted, subrounded, dry. block minerel grains. cist, cw zing clear and white chips.
25— 30—	-	-		30-35 Same as above, a fer	soft subic inded soudstane pebbles
35	-			35- Some as abive, no p	oebbles.
45-4 26	-45,0-4	HG.5		45-46.5 Same as above, 5	Wiki 41 ft. aturated
50 50 55		55,5		150'- Orilling got harder/slo 55-55.5' Sandstone, fn. gr., 290 clay, pointy sorted, su Trace of mica, a few large (reddish) staining.	brownish ye How, (IDYR G/G) 98% and brownish ye How, (IDYR G/G) 98% and brownded, maist, friable (Shinarump? efte. grains, Limonite and hemetite
- All depths measure	ed from ground	lievol. T. Spence	ve ve	erified By Craig S, Good	lkingst.

,	WELL NO. 761	DATE _	<u>G-18-97</u> TIME 1000 hr.
	PLANNED INSTALLATION		FINAL INSTALLATION (Same as planned)
	CASING DIAMETER <u>2</u>		
	CASING STICKUP HEIGHT <u>2,42</u>		CASING STICKUP HEIGHT
	TOP OF GROUT		TOP OF GROUT
	GROUT TYPE <u>Benzonite slurry</u>		
	P05 Co.		
	TOP OF PELLETS 33.0		TOP OF PELLETS
	SIZE OF PELLETS 1/4" round		
	WATER ADDED TO PELLETS <u>10</u> (Gallons)		
	TOP OF FINE SAND $36.0$		TOP OF FINE SAND
. ,	SAND SIZE 20-40		
	TOP OF FILTER SAND <u>37.0</u>	· .	TOP OF FILTER SAND
	FILTER SAND SIZE 10-20		
	TOP OF SCREEN 39,0		TOP OF SCREEN
/ · _ ·	TOP OF SLOTS <u>39.16</u>		
	TYPE OF SCREEN Diedrick	21 21	
		22 22	
• .	SLOT SIZE $\underline{O_1 O I O}$	22	
•	BOTTOM OF SLOTS	5 E E	
	TOP OF SUMP/END CAP $\underline{-9.0}$		TOP OF SUMP/END CAP
	BOTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP <u>34,5</u>	· ·	BOTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP
	TOTAL	DEPTH <u>5</u>	<u>, , , </u>
• .			· · ·

.

5/6/99

GENERAL INFO	ORMATION	SCREENING INFO	SCREENING INFORMATION						
SITE:	MONUMENT	VALLEY (MON01)	Elev	Depth					
LOCATION CODE:	0761	TOP GRAVEL PACK:	4796.29	36.00					
DECOMMISSIONED:	No	BOTTOM GRAVEL PACK:	4777.79	54.50					
DAMAGED:	No	TOP OF SCREEN:	4793.29	39.00					
TOC ELEVATION:	4835.02	BOTTOM OF SCREEN:	4783.29	49.00					
SURFACE ELEVATION:	4832.29	GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:	18.5						
BOTTOM ELEVATION:	4776.79	SCREEN LENGTH:	10.0						
TOTAL DEPTH:	55.50	CASING LENGTH:	57.230						
ZONE OF COMPLETION:	ALLUVIUM	CASING DIAMETER (in.):	2						

Lithology Details

TO	P	BOTTOM		BOTTOM		USCS	
Elev	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION		
4832.29	0.00	4827.29	5.00		Sand, very fine grained to fine grained, reddish- yellow (5YR 6/6). 99% sand, 1% silt, well sorted, subrounded, dry. A few red, yellow and black mineral grains.		
4827.29	5.00	4822.29	10.00		Same as above, moist.		
4822.29	10.00	4817.29	15.00		Same as above, a few tiny clear and white chips.		
4802.29	30.00	4797.29	35.00		Same as above, a few soft subrounded sandstone pebbles up to 1cm long.		
4797.29	35.00	4787.29	45.00		Same as above, no pebbles. WL - 41 ft.		
4787.29	45.00	4785.79	46.50		Same as above, saturated.		
4782.29	50.00	4777.29	55.00		Drilling got harder/slower at 50 ft. Suspect weathered bedrock.		
4777.29	55.00	4776.79	55.50		Sandstone (Shinarump), fine grain, brownish- yellow (10YR 6/6). 98% sand, 2% clay, well sorted, subrounded, moist, friable. Trace of mica, a few large quartz grains. Limonite and hematite (reddish) staining.		

Note: Depths are feet below ground surface and elevations are feet above Mean Sea Level.

.

· · ·

1

Borehole Summary Page _____ of ____

MACTEC-ERS 2597 B 3/4 Road Grand Junction, Colorado 81502

Facility	Grand J	Unci	tion Offi	ce	Site	Monum	ent Valley, Az	<u> </u>	Project UMT	RA Ground Wete
₿oring/V	Vell No.	_7	62				·	Location (N)	2162488 (E)	588611
Ground	Elev. (F	t.) 4	818.11	Bit/A	uger S	Size <u>75/</u>	8"0.D. / 33/4" I.C	?,	Hole Depth (Ft)	<u> </u>
			TYPE	. U			D.) ·		Stick-Up Height /Et	s
Black C	-		RIC and	V 10	טו. נכו. דיי	gan	ntervar (FL)		Sick Op height (Ft	1 _ 4,42
Screen	asing	-	PUL SCA.	<u>+v</u>	2"		$\frac{0}{29}$ to $\frac{29}{49}$		Location Skarch	
umo/Fi	nd Can	-	puc scd.	<u> </u>	<u>- </u> 2'		$\frac{29}{4.9}$ to $54.5$			
and Pa	ck		10-205	ilica	10-0	20	54.5 to 27.0			
Sealant	``		silica Intinite pe	lets 1.	4" 100	-40 nd	27 26.5 to 23,5			
rout	POS	5 Cc. B	ientonite sl		15.4	ft ³	<u>23,5</u> to <u>2</u>			
ocking	Cover la	nstall	ed 🕥/ N	Padlo	ck No	. <u> </u>	9		L	
Drilling N	Method	<u>A</u>	ger				Sampl	ing Method	·	
ate Dri	lled <u>6</u>	-18.	<u>-97</u> Dat	e Develo	ped _	8-18-3	<u>97</u> Fk	uid Level/Date _	<u>28,75 ft. fgl. /</u>	6-19-97
ampler	(s) <u>7.5</u> p	ence	<u>ع</u> ر				Remarks	Trouble w/ +1ch	ling sinds - set be	nee 55
Depth	Blows/	PID	Sample No.;	WELI	•	GRAPHIC	DESCRIPTION	Note: Stort sur	mρ,	
(FT)	6"	ppm	Interval	CONSTR	UCTION	LOG	•			
							······			
. (					c l		Required Inform	nation:	contaco cond and are	value antine (no an
							to well): grain an	unseir color, per gularity: indurati	on or plasticity: moistu	re content (moist
							to saturated).	gularity, inducati	in or probably, moista	e content (moist
0					44		2-5' Sand		11-0	
		1		777	71/	7	(run rlr) og n	rine graines	e co + n. gr., yell	fulsh rea
				$\langle / \rangle$	1//	·	(3/4 5/6 3) /	o sena, 1 10	Jul poor ly soi	ted, sub-
10 -		1	-	V/A	-V//		, nonaca, more	SL Atavier	a, yellow & black m	INCIAL GLAINS
							15-20 Sameasa	bout, graved	L, 5%, upto 2'	2 cm long
20				<u>////</u>	Y/-	· · ·	20.25 Some as a	bive, ac q	ravel.	
{					1. E. E		30-35 Same usa	Sove, net.	A few white and	clear chips,
							very small.			
34	<b>-</b> ·	-	·		E					
_ }					E			·		
10	_					· · · ·	<u> </u>			
r			41-43				41-43 Water scripte	:)762-43		
50 -			·	- +=	H		50-55 Same use bi	we, reddisi	hbrown (5YR 51	4), saturated
■ ·				: .k	1		very slight	ig sticny		
~n					·		50-65 Seve as -	have act of	terlite	
	-			-	·   · -	$\cdot \cdot \cdot$	SU COME US 24	<u>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</u>	2	
						· · · ·				
70	_ ·		_	· .   .		• • • •				
				•	·   · _					
								1		
80 -	-	-+		-		<u></u>	60-90 Same as ab	uic, atew 1	tiny brown silts	stone patoles
				· .	·   · · ·		2/40			
					•		EE-30 - Water so	mple # 762.	-68)	
<u> </u>	-	-	4	· · · ·		]			<i>•</i>	
	1				·  ·					
				· · ·		· · .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	· · · · ·	
- All dept	hs measur	ed fron	n ground sevel	T		•	A -	O Gr all	- 17	
mple	ted By	da:	ick 12	pena	2	Veri	fied By Crange	S. Hovdki	inght	
omple	ted By _	AR;	UCRAL	pena	2/	Veri	fied By Marg ?	J. Hovdki	nght	

WELL NO. 762	DATE_	6-19-97 TIME 1215 hr.
PLANNED INSTALLATION	. •	FINAL INSTALLATION (Same as p
CASING DIAMETER		
CASING STICKUP HEIGHT _2.42		CASING STICKUP HEIGHT
TOP OF GROUT		TOP OF GROUT
GROUT TYPE <u>P.D.S. bantomite slurig</u>		
TOP OF PELLETS 23.5		TOP OF PELLETS
SIZE OF PELLETS _1/4 "round		
WATER ADDED TO PELLETS <u>5</u> (Gallons)		
TOP OF FINE SAND <u>26.5</u>		TOP OF FINE SAND
SAND SIZE 16-40	t i i	
TOP OF FILTER SAND 27	· · ·	TOP OF FILTER SAND
TOP OF SCREEN $29.6$		TOP OF SCREEN
TYPE OF SCREEN <u>Diedrick</u>		
SLOT SIZE 0.010	2 R R	· · · · ·
BOTTOM OF SLOTS <u>48.9</u>	모드 드드 드드	
TOP OF SUMP/END CAP <u>49</u> , <b>6</b>		TOP OF SUMP/END CAP
BOTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP <u>54.5</u>		BOTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP
TOTAL	DEPTH	<u>90.0</u>
•		

.

5/6/99

GENERAL INFO	ORMATION	SCREENING INFORMATION						
SITE:		LLEY (MON01)	Elev	Depth				
LOCATION CODE:	0762	TOP GRAVEL PACK:	4791.61	26.50				
DECOMMISSIONED:	No	BOTTOM GRAVEL PACK:	4763.61	54.50				
DAMAGED:	No	TOP OF SCREEN:	4789.11	29.00				
TOC ELEVATION:	4820.74	BOTTOM OF SCREEN:	4769.11	49.00				
SURFACE ELEVATION:	4818.11	GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:	28.0	· ·				
BOTTOM ELEVATION:	4728.11	SCREEN LENGTH:	20.0					
TOTAL DEPTH:	90.00	CASING LENGTH:	57.130					
ZONE OF COMPLETION:	ALLUVIUM	CASING DIAMETER (in.):	2	· ·				

Lithology Details

TOP BOTTOM		OM USC			
Elev	Depth	Elev	Depth DESC	CRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION
4818.11	0.00	4813.11	5.00		Sand, very fine grained to fine grained, yellowish- red (5YR 5/6). 99% sand, 1% silt, well sorted, subrounded, moist. A few red, yellow & black mineral grains.
4803.11	15.00	4798.11	. 20.00	•	Same as above, gravel - 5%, up to 2 1/2 cm long.
4798.11	20.00	4793.11	25.00	•	Same as above, no gravel.
4788.11	30.00	4783.11	35.00		Same as above, wet. A few white and clear chips, very small.
4777.11	41.00	4775.11	43.00		Water Sample. #762-43.
4768.11	50.00	4763.11	55.00		Same as above, reddish-brown (5YR 5/4), saturated very slightly sticky.
4758.11	60.00	4753.11	65.00		Same as above, not sticky.
4738.11	80.00	4728.11	90.00		Same as above, a few tiny brown siltstone pebbles. ~ 1%.
4730.11	88.00	4728.11	90.00		Water Sample #762-88. TD in Quat.

Note: Depths are feet below ground surface and elevations are feet above Mean Sea Level.

· · · · · · · · ·

## This page intentionally blank

MACTEC-ERS 2597 B 3/4 Road Grand Junction, Colorado 81502 Borehole Summary Page ____ of ____

'ng∧	Vell No.		763			<u>_</u>	Location (N) <u>2/62845</u> (E) <u>589783</u>
bund	Elev. (Fi	.) <u>es</u>	TYPE	Bit/A D	uger S iamete	ize <u>75/</u> r (inch I.	B"0.0./33/4"I.O. D.) Hole Depth (Ft) No. of Completions Stick-Up Height (Et)
nk C	asing	_	1112	v		Yan	to
een					-1		Location Sketch
np/E	nd Cap	_			-+	NA	
lant		_					to ²⁰ • 763
ut					<u> </u>		to
king ing i	Cover Ir Method	nstalle A	ed Y/N	Padio	ock No	·	Sampling Method
e Dri	illed G	-19-	97 Dati	e Develo	ped	NA	Fluid Level/Date NA
npler	(s) 1,5	ipen	cer				Remarks Lost boring to caving sand
pth*	Blows/	PID	Sample No.;	WEL	_	GRAPHIC	DESCRIPTION
)	6*	ppm	Interval	CONSTR		LOG	
							Required Information: Typical name; Munsell color; percentage sand and gravel; sorting (po to well); grain angularity; induration or plasticity; moisture content (mo to saturated).
) 							0-5 Sand, very fn.gr. to fn.gr., yellowish red (54R 67) 99% sond, 1905 Hill poundy sorted, subrounded, moi A few red, yellow and black mineral grains, 15-20 Same as above, gravel. 590, upto Z1/2cm long
	_			_	_		20-25 Same as above, no gravel.
							30-35 Some as above, wet. A few white and clear chip very small
-	-	ہے۔ ا	-				
	<b>-</b> .			_			
		-	_				50-55 Sameas above reddish brown (548 5/4), satur Very slightly sticky
-	_						GO-G5 Some as above, not stickley
	-		. –				
		4	-, _	-			
-	-		-				

ally blank

MACT	EC-EF	RS	- 			:			Bor	ehole Summary
2597 B Grand	3/4 H	load on (	Colorado	81502			·····		P	age _/ of _/
Granu	JUNCU	011, C	501012001	01502						
Facility _	Grand	[Jur	action Of	fice	Site _	Monu	nent Valley, AZ.	Pr	oject <u>UMTA</u>	A Ground Water
Boring/M	Vell No.	7	64				Locatio	n(N) 2/6/2	<u>らう</u> (E)	588408
Ground E	Elev. (Fi	4	848.68	Bit/Au Dia	ger Siz Imeter	e <u>75/8</u> (inch I.	<u>""0.0.   33/4" I.0.</u> D.)	Hole De No. of	pth (Ft) Completion	<u>52.5</u>
linels Co			TYPE	Vol	. (cf. g	gal) .	Interval (Ft.)	Stick-U	p Height (F e のの	t) <u>2,5</u>
Screen	sing	1_ 4	lipping Sca	-140.	2"		47 to 52	Location	Sketch	·
Sump/En	nd Cap	Ĩ	VC Sed 4	0	2''		<u>57</u> to <u>52.5</u>			
Sand Pad	ck	·	10-20 5:	ICR	0-20		52,5 to 45			
Sealant			C. R. J.	ets 14	<u>a</u> ++	3	$\frac{44}{4}$ to $\frac{4}{4}$			
ocking (	Cover li	nstalle	ed (Y)/ N	Padioc	k No.		9 [9			
Drilling M	lethod	A.u	ger				Sampling Meth	nod		
Date Drill	led	-20-	<u>97</u> Dat	e Develop	ed _{	3-19-97	7 Fluid Level	/Date <u>46,95</u>	<u>ft b.g.l.</u>	6-21-97
ampler(	s) <u> </u>	Spen	rer				Remarks			
Depth* (FT)	Blows/ 6*	PID ppm	Sample No.; Interval	WELL CONSTRU	CTION	GRAPHIC LOG	DESCRIPTION -			· · ·
							Required Information:		- '	
							Typical name; Munsell co	lor; percentage s	and and gra	avel; sorting (poor
					$h \mid$		to weil); grain angularity; i to saturated).	nouration or plas	ucity; moist	ure content (moist
0 +	·			V 4 V	4.0	· · · ·	0-5 5000 y for gt. to for 95	, redaish up	HOW (54	R 6/6 992 San
				777	77		190 silt well sert	ed, subsernde	d, dry, 1	a few red, gellow
ic _	_			////	/ /	· · ·	and black mineral gra	ins Afertin	y white i	clear chips.
				///	V// .		5-10 Some asabove, mois	t.		
				17 <b>A</b> -	1/ /1:					
-20 -	-			*//	$V\pi$		10-15 Some as above, less	then 0,5% r	dish bi	own siltstme
			1	H Alexandre	//		chips, (37K 3/4)	معارفة الحالية		L JEVASIC
30 -	-	-	·		/ /		15-26 Same as abure, nu si	itsime chips,	yenowis MICEVD	a realization
				///	V// /		mcist	readish yell	ייי (איז מ	of 6 J, Slight 1y
40 -	-	╵╶┛		3.0 ~	[/]]	•••				
					1		40-45 Some as a bove mol drilling gut harder	e maise. (N @ 47-48 ft.)	ele: Drill	ler nuticed
<b>E</b> 0			10 11	··· =					5. e . h	. \
	-	1	49-31				50-525 Same as a ouse	×И (49-	51 Water	somple)
-32.5+	- 7.0,			~~ <u> </u> ~	$ \rightarrow \uparrow$		JAS - 20		·	
	-			- [	+				·····	 
										, ·
	-									
									,	· ·
• +	-	-+		- ·						
			•							
	- 1	_					ч			
									•	
	,									
a - All depti	hs measur	ed fron	n ground-level	/	$\leq$	•	$\wedge \wedge \wedge$	. / /	)	
	tod Du	Ja	ich h	X_	/	Ver	Hed By	nolly . Vi	* .	
Tubiei	LEU DY	(AUI	uti i V	pi nëlli		vei	me by vary o D	might	~	

WELL NO. 764 [	DATE <u>6-20-97</u> TIME 1315
PLANNED INSTALLATION	FINAL INSTALLATION (Same as planned
CASING DIAMETER 2	
CASING STICKUP HEIGHT $2.5$	CASING STICKUP HEIGHT
DP OF GROUT	TOP OF GROUT
ROUT TYPE <u>PDS CO. Bendoni</u> te	
op of pellets $4/.0$	TOP OF PELLETS
IZE OF PELLETS 1/4" round	
ATER ADDED TO PELLETS <u>10</u> Gallons)	
OP OF FINE SAND <u>44.0</u>	TOP OF FINE SAND
AND SIZE <u>20-40</u>	
$\frac{45.0}{2}$	TOP OF FILTER SAND
ELTER SAND SIZE $10-20$	
TOP OF SCREEN $47.0$	TOP OF SCREEN
OP OF SLOTS <u>47.16</u>	S F F
PE OF SCREEN <u>Diedrich</u>	55 55 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
OT SIZE <u>1.010</u>	
DTTOM OF SLOTS <u>51.9</u>	
op of sump/end cap <u>52.0</u>	TOP OF SUMP/END CAP
TTOM OF SUMP/END CAP <u>52.5</u>	BOTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP

5/6/99

GENERAL INFO	ORMATION	SCREENING INFO	SCREENING INFORMATION						
SITE:	MONUMENT V	ALLEY (MON01)	Elev	Depth					
LOCATION CODE:	0764	TOP GRAVEL PACK:	4804.68	44.00					
DECOMMISSIONED:	No	BOTTOM GRAVEL PACK:	4796.18	52.50					
DAMAGED:	No	TOP OF SCREEN:	4801.68	47.00					
TOC ELEVATION:	4851.53	BOTTOM OF SCREEN:	4796.68	52.00					
SURFACE ELEVATION:	4848.68	GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:	8.5						
BOTTOM ELEVATION:	4796.18	SCREEN LENGTH:	5.0						
TOTAL DEPTH:	52.50	CASING LENGTH:	55.35	·					
ZONE OF COMPLETION:	ALLUVIUM	CASING DIAMETER (in.):	2						

Lithology Details

т <u>о</u>	P	BOT	ГОМ	USCS	
Elev	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION
4848.68	. 0.00	4843.68	5.00		SAND, very fine grain to fine grain, reddish-yellow (5YR 6/6). 99% sand, 1% silt, well sorted, subrounded, dry. A few red, yellow and black mineral grains. A few tiny white & clear chips.
4843.68	5.00	4838.68	10.00	*	Same as above, moist.
4838.68	10.00	4833.68	15.00		Same as above, less than 0.5% reddish-brown siltstone chips. (5YR 5/4).
4833.68	15.00	4828.68	20.00		Same as above, no siltstone chips, yellowish-red (5YR 5/6)
4818.68	30.00	4813.68	35.00		Sand, same as above, reddish-yellow (5YR 6/6), slightly moist.
4808.68	40.00	4803.68	45.00		Same as above, more moist. (Note: Driller noticed drilling got harder @47-48 Ft.)
4798.68	50.00	4797.18	51.50		Same as above. Water sample 49-51 ft.
4797.18	51.50	4796.18	52.50		Weathered Bedrock (Shinarump).

Note: Depths are feet below ground surface and elevations are feet above Mean Sea Level.

#### MACTEC-ERS 2597 B 3/4 Road

Grand	Grand Junction, Colorado 81502								
acility	Gran	JJI	unction 1	office	Site	Moni	ment Valley, AZ. Project UMTRA Groundlaster		
oring/V	Vell No.	_7(	65	· · · · · ·			Location (N) 2160368 (E) 589204		
Ground Blank Ca Screen ump/Er	Elev. (Ft asing Dictain nd Cap	) <u>4</u> :cr(	845.64 TYPE PVC scd.4 PVC scd.4 PVC scd.4	Bit/Au Dia Vo -0 -0 -0 -0 -0	ger Si ameter 1. (cf. <u>4''</u> <u>4''</u>	ize <u>101/2</u> r (inch I. gal)	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} $		
Sealant Sealant Fout Docking Drilling N Date Dri	P Cover Ir Method	5 B 1 Stall Au 24-	ed Ø/ N ger 97_ Dat	Padloc e Develop	k No.	<u>- 233</u> 7-1 <u>37.4</u> ft <u>335</u> 8-18-9	$\begin{array}{c} 500 \\ -37 \\ \underline{56.5} \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 51.6 \\ 5$		
ampler	(s) <u>, s</u>	1000	er Romele New	14/511			Remarks <u>See note below</u>		
FT)	6"	ppm	Interval	CONSTRU	CTION	LOG			
				PA	1		Required Information: Typical name; Munsell color; percentage sand and gravel; sorting (poor to well); grain angularity; induration or plasticity; moisture content (moist to saturated).		
10 -	-	-					0-5 Sand, very fine grained to fn.gr., redaish yellow (51R GIG), 99% sond 1% silt, pointy sorted, sub- rounded, dry. A few very tiny black and yellow mineral grains, 5-10 Sume as above, slightly muist		
<b>-</b> 20	-	-	-				20-25 Same as a bave, yellowish red (548 5/6). moist Alewind minical grains. Wik. 32 ft, 30-35 Some as above, wet, very slightly sticky. 40-45 Sond, u. frigr Lo frigr yellowish red (548 5/6), 98% sind 2% clayeysilt, welly sorted, subrounded, so turated. A few red, yellow and block mineral grains. A few very		
	<b>-</b> .			7/1 -	$1/\pi$		ting clear and while chips.		
	-	_					55-80 Noreturns 60-85 Sond, some as 40-45 Note: Pour sample 26- Weathough bedrock		
70 80	_ ´	-					89 - Bedrick Sondy clay Note: On bottom et bit 563' there was: elayey sond, gray (54 G/1) 90% clay, 1090 sond, mixed w/ yellowish brown (107R 514) sondy clay. Limonite staining 15% present, moist.		
	, Ţ, D,		- - -				Note: Drilled slow, added water to inside at augers - lealled e joints - helped to lubricate between sand packed auger and buse hole wall.		
- All dept	All depths measured from ground level mpleted By Macual Knencer Verified By Craig S. Doodknight								

PLANNED INSTALLATION		FINAL INSTALLATION
/ !!	:	Same up p
CASING DIAMETER		
CASING STICKUP HEIGHT 2,5		CASING STICKUP HEIGHT
rop of grout <u>2ft</u>		TOP OF GROUT
ROUT TYPE Pure Gold bentomite		
slurry		
Elc'		
TOP OF PELLETS July 11	·   —	TOP OF PELLETS
; IZE OF PELLETS $44$		
ATER ADDED TO PELLETS <u>None</u> Gallons)		
OP OF FINE SAND NA		TOP OF FINE SAND
AND SIZE NA		
NOD OF FILTER SAND		
$\frac{OP}{P} OF FILTER SAND O (6.5)$	-	TOP OF FILTER SAND
ILTER SAND SIZE $-\frac{1000}{10000000000000000000000000000000$		
TOP OF SCREEN 58.68		TOP OF SCREEN
OP OF SLOTS 59.10		
VPF OF SCREEN Orderer 4" and	5.5	
112 01 001221 <u>07eq1101 T p</u> VC	==	
LOT SIZE C.010	= =	·
	= =	
OTTOM OF SLOTS $\underline{66.49}$	==	
OP OF SUMP/END OND PRECE		
OF OF SOMPLEND CAP		TOP OF SUMP/END CAP
22		· · ·
OTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP <u>89.00</u>	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	BOTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP
TOTAL D	EPTH	39.0

5/6/99

GENERAL INFO	ORMATION	SCREENING INFO	SCREENING INFORMATION				
SITE:		ALLEY (MON01)	Elev	Depth			
LOCATION CODE:	0765	TOP GRAVEL PACK:	4789.14	56.50			
DECOMMISSIONED:	No	BOTTOM GRAVEL PACK:	4756.64	89.00			
DAMAGED:	No	TOP OF SCREEN:	4787.04	58.60			
TOC ELEVATION:	4848.45	BOTTOM OF SCREEN:	4756.94	88.70			
SURFACE ELEVATION:	4845.64	GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:	32.5				
BOTTOM ELEVATION:	4756.64	SCREEN LENGTH:	30.1				
TOTAL DEPTH:	89.00	CASING LENGTH:	91.81				
ZONE OF COMPLETION:	ALLUVIUM	CASING DIAMETER (in.):	4				

Lithology Details

TOP		BOTT	IOM USCS	
<u>Elev</u>	Depth	Elev	Depth DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION
4845.64	0.00	4840.64	5.00	SAND, very fine grained to fine grained, reddish- yellow (5YR 6/6). 99% sand, 1% silt, well sorted, subrounded, dry. A few very tiny black and yellow mineral grains.
4840.64	5.00	4835.64	10.00	Same as above, slightly moist.
4825.64	20.00	4820.64	25.00	Same as above, yellowish-red (5YR 5/6). Moist. A few red mineral grains.
4815.64	30.00	4810.64	35.00	Same as above, wet, very slightly sticky. WL - 32 ft.
4805.64	40.00	4800.64	45.00	Sand, very fine grain to fine grain, yellowish-red (5YR 5/6). 98% sand, 2% clayey-silt, well sorted, subrounded, saturated. A few red, yellow and black mineral grains. A few very tiny clear and white chips.
4800.64	45.00	4790.64	55.00	Same as above.
4790.64	55.00	4765.64	80.00	No returns.
4765.64	80.00	4760.64	85.00	SAND, same as '40-45'. Note: Poor sample.

Note: Depths are feet below ground surface and elevations are feet above Mean Sea Level.

	TOP		BOTT	ОМ	USCS			
	Elev	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION		
· · ·	4759.64	86.00	4756.64	89.00		Weathered Bedrock. Note: On bottom of bit ~0.5' there was: sandy-clay, gray (5Y 6/1) 90% clay, 10% sand, mixed with yellowish-brown (10YR 5/4) sandy-clay. Limonite staining 15%, moist. Note: Drilled slow, added water to inside of augers - leaked @ joints - Helped to lubricate between sand packed auger and bore hole wall. TD in Shinarump.		
-		•				/		

Note: Depths are feet below ground surface and elevations are feet above Mean Sea Level.

- 5/6/99

#### MACTEC-ERS 2597 B 3/4 Road

#### Borehole Summary Page ____ of ____

•						
ring/Well No.		66				Location (N) $\frac{2160418}{589211}$ (E) $\frac{589211}{589211}$
ound Elev. (F	.14	844,77	7 Bit/.	Auger S Diamete	Size $\frac{75}{7}$	$\frac{5/8  0.0/3^3/4 \text{ I.} 0.}{\text{No. of Completions}}$
,		TYPE	\ \	/ol. (cf.	. gal)	Interval (Ft.) Stick-Up Height (Ft) 2.5
nk Casing	<u><u>P</u>U</u>	<u>C. scd. 4</u>	0	2"		<u>0</u> to <u>47.2</u> Slot Size <u>0.010</u>
een mo/End Cap	<u>ר אין אין אין אין אין אין אין אין אין אין</u>	VL scd. 4 VL scd. 4	-0	2"	·	$\frac{477L}{57.2} \text{ to } \frac{37.2}{57.5} \text{ Location Sketch} = -766$
nd Pack		Silica		10-20	<u>,</u>	57.5 1 + 5 to 48.5
ilant ut Pore Gal	Be d a	ntonile per	14ts 1/2	86	<u>c / 3</u>	$\frac{43.5}{20.5}$ to $\frac{265}{20}$ ± • 765
king Cover I	nstalle	d Ø/N	Pad	ock No	. 335	<u>59</u>
ing Method	Aug	jer			0 10	Sampling Method
npler(s) L	<u>1- 3</u> 500	t Dal	e Deve	opeo _	8-16-	Remarks
pth [*] Blows/	PID	Sample No.;	WE	.L	GRAPHIC	
6*	ppm	Interval	CONST	RUCTION	LOG	
						Required Information:
						Typical name; Munsell color; percentage sand and gravel; sorting (poo
			l ₁ d=	=		to well); grain angularity; induration or plasticity; moisture content (moi to saturated).
)	╏──┤	· · ·	9 447			0-5 Sand were fine around to for an addiction lique
			V/A	•		(51R G/G) 99% and 1% silt well, sarted
0+	-		I	2		subrounded, dry. A few tiny black, yellow and red
						mineral grains
° +	+		7//	17		15-20 Same a subore a famo il and ille i
		1				1/2 cm long, moist
° +	• -			//		20- 42 Sameas about, no peobles and a few very tiny while
			- 7			and clear chips, sand yellowish red (54R 5/G)
0		·	8 C U 8 H L			12-45 Sand, very to, qr. to forgr. yellow; sh red (5485
			,   <b>=</b>	=		rounded, wet, A few black, red and yellow miner
ũ 🕂	-	-	$\cdots$	Ē		grains and a few ting clear & white chips.
						45-60 Jame 050000, Saluralea,
° ╋	-+	•	-		· · · · · ·	
, T						
	4	_				
	· _		-	_		
			ľ			
	1					

WELL NO. 766	DATE	<u>7-1-97</u> TIME <u>1900</u>	
PLANNED INSTALLATION		FINAL INSTALLATION (Same as pla	nned)
CASING DIAMETER <u>2"</u>	· · · ·		
CASING STICKUP HEIGHT 2.5 ft.		CASING STICKUP HEIGHT	
	•		
TOP OF GROUT _2ft		TOP OF GROUT	
GROUT TYPE Pure Gold			
Benton, te slurry			
TOP OF PELLETS <u>40.5</u>	1 . 	TOP OF PELLETS	
SIZE OF PELLETS 1/4" round			
WATER ADDED TO PELLETS None(Gallons)			
TOP OF FINE SAND <u>43,5</u>	· ·	TOP OF FINE SAND	
SAND SIZE 16-40	· · · ·		
TOP OF FILTER SAND $44.5$		TOP OF FILTER SAND	
FILTER SAND SIZE <u>10-20</u>			
TOP OF SCREEN <u>472</u>	— .	TOP OF SCREEN	
TOP OF SLOTS 47.36	==		
TYPE OF SCREEN <u>Diedrich</u>	22 22 25		
SLOT SIZE <u>0,040</u>			
BOTTOM OF SLOTS 57.11	55		
TOP OF SUMP/END CAP <u>57.2</u>		TOP OF SUMP/END CAP	
		· · · · ·	
BOTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP <u>57,5</u>	•	BOTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP	
TOTAL	DEPTH	<u>50</u>	
			•
	•		
			· · · · ·

#### 5/6/99

#### GENERAL INFORMATION

#### SCREENING INFORMATION

SITE:	MONUMENT VALLEY	(MON01)	Elev	Depth
LOCATION CODE:	0766	TOP GRAVEL PACK:	4801.27	43.50
DECOMMISSIONED:	No	BOTTOM GRAVEL PACK:	4787.27	57.50
DAMAGED:	No	TOP OF SCREEN:	4797.57	47.20
TOC ELEVATION:	4847.97	BOTTOM OF SCREEN:	4787.57	57.20
SURFACE ELEVATION:	4844.77	GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:	. 14.0	
BOTTOM ELEVATION:	4784.77	SCREEN LENGTH:	10.0	
TOTAL DEPTH:	60.00	CASING LENGTH:	60.7	
ZONE OF COMPLETION:	ALLUVIUM	CASING DIAMETER (in.):	2	

Lithology Details

TOP		BOTTOM		USCS			
Elev	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION		
4844.77	0.00	4839.77	5.00		SAND, very fine grained to fine grained, reddish- yellow (5YR 6/6). 99% sand, 1% silt, well sorted, subrounded, dry. A few tiny black, yellow and red mineral grains.		
4829.77	15.00	4824.77	20.00		Same as above, a few small rounded pebbles up to 1/2 cm long, moist.		
4824.77	20.00	4802.77	42.00		Same as above, no pebbles and a few very tiny white and clear chips, sand yellowish-red (5YR 5/6).		
4802.77	42.00	4799.77	45.00	· · ·	SAND, very fine grained to fine grained, yellowish- red (5YR 5/6). 98% sand, 2% clayey-silt, well sorted, subrounded, wet. A few black, red & yellow mineral grains and a few tiny clear & white chips.		
4799.77	45.00	4784.77	60.00		Same as above, saturated. TD in Quat.		

Note: Depths are feet below ground surface and elevations are feet above Mean Sea Level.

.

The

## This page intentionally blank
# ACTEC-ERS 2597 B 3/4 Road

Borehole Summary Page _____ of _____

Grand Junction, Colorado 81502
Pacility <u>Grand Junction</u> Office Site <u>Monument Valley</u> , AZ. Project <u>UMTRA Ground Water</u>
Dring/Well No. <u>767</u> Location (N) <u>2161713</u> (E) <u>591504</u>
Ground Elev. (Ft.) <u>4805.45</u> Bit/Auger Size <u>75/800/34</u> ID Hole Depth (Ft) <u>65</u>
Diameter (inch I. D.)     No. of Completions       TYPE     Vol. (cf. gal)     Interval (Ft.)       Blank Casing <u>PVC 5cd. 40</u> <u>2</u> "       O     to <u>43.5</u> Slot Size <u>Diedrich PVC</u> <u>2</u> "
and Pack Silica Sand 10-20 64 to 41.5 680 767
Sealant PDS Gentionite periods $\frac{76-40}{74''}$ $\frac{40.5}{40.5}$ to $\frac{37.5}{37.5}$ $k=200 \text{ ft} - 3$
Licking Cover Installed ()/ N Padlock No. 3359
Drilling Method <u>Auger</u> Sampling Method <u>Sampling Method</u> The Drilled 7-2-97 Date Developed 8-18-97 Fluid Level/Date 6,63 ft. t.e.c. 7-12-97
Simpler(s) <u>L. Spencer</u> Remarks <u>1</u>
Depth ^a Blows/ PID Sample No.; WELL GRAPHIC DESCRIPTION T) 6 ^a ppm Interval CONSTRUCTION LOG
Required Information: Typical name; Munsell color; percentage sand and gravel; sorting (poor to well); grain angularity; induration or plasticity; moisture content (moist to saturated).
10- 10- 10- 10- 10- 10- 10- 10-
10 15-20 Some as above, seturated.
30-35 Sand same as above wy OBTO good 290 clay and
120 silt. Atew tiny clears white chips
bop und 135-50 Sand, verytn.gr. to thgr., light and ins in original und 100 silt, subrounded, poorty surted. A few yellow
= ind bleck minerel grains and a few tray clear
50-50 Sand, same as abuve, light brownish gray (10YR 6/2) 9790 sond, 290 clay, 190 silt.
(=
All depths measured from ground level of pleted By Marine I Spances Verified By Charges Houdknight

WELL NO. <u>767</u>	DATE_	7-2-97 TIME 1500
PLANNED INSTALLATION		FINAL INSTALLATION (Some as planned)
CASING DIAMETER	· · · · · · · · · · · ·	
CASTNE STREAM UFTOUR 25		CASTNG STICKUP HETCHT
CASING STICKOP HEIGHT		
	. Г.	
TOP OF GROUT 2	-	TOP OF GROUT
GROUT TYPE Pure Gold, Bentonite		
slurry		
TOP OF PELLETS		TOP OF PELLETS
SIZE OF PELLETS <u>14</u>		
(Gallons)		
TOP OF FINE SAND $40.5$		TOP OF FINE SAND
SAND SIZE <u>16-40</u>		
TOP OF FILTER SAND 41.5		TOP OF FILTER SAND
FILTER SAND SIZE <u>10-20</u>	,	
TOP OF SCREEN 43,5	9	TOP OF SCREEN
TOP OF SLOTS $43.8$		
TYPE OF SCREEN <u>Diedrick</u>	EE	•
	88	
SLOT SIZE	2 2 2	
BOTTOM OF SLOTS <u>63,4</u>	==	
TOP OF SUMP/END CAP 625		TOD OF SUND (FND CAD
BOTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP 6402		BOTTON OF SUMP/END CAP
TOTAL E	DEPTH (	5

5/6/99

.

GENERAL INFORMATION	SCREENING INFORMATION			
SITE: MONUMENT VALLEY	(MON01)	Elev	Depth	
LOCATION CODE: 0767	TOP GRAVEL PACK:	4764.95	40.50	
DECOMMISSIONED: No	BOTTOM GRAVEL PACK:	4741.45	64.00	
DAMAGED: No	TOP OF SCREEN:	4761.95	43.50	
<b>TOC ELEVATION:</b> 4808.25	BOTTOM OF SCREEN:	4741.95	63.50	
SURFACE ELEVATION: 4805.45	GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:	23.5		
BOTTOM ELEVATION: 4740.45	SCREEN LENGTH:	20.0		
<b>TOTAL DEPTH:</b> 65.00	CASING LENGTH:	66.800		
ZONE OF COMPLETION: ALLUVIUM	CASING DIAMETER (in.):	2		

Lithology Details

Ţ	OP	BOT	ГОМ	USCS	
Elev	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION
4805.45	0.00	4795.45	10.00		SAND, very fine grained to fine grained, reddish- yellow (5YR 6/6). 99% sand, 1% silt, well sorted, subrounded, slightly moist. A few black , red and yellow mineral grains.
4795.45	10.00	4790.45	15.00		Same as above. Yellowish-red (5YR 5/6) wet.
4790.45	15.00	4785.45	20.00		Same as above, saturated.
4785.45	20.00	4775.45	[,] 30.00		Same as above, saturated, brown (7.5YR 5/4).
4775.45	30.00	4770.45	35.00		SAND, same as above with 97% sand, 2% clay and 1% silt. A few tiny clear & white chips.
4770.45	35.00	4755.45	50.00	•	SAND, very fine grained to fine grained, light brown (7.5YR 6/4). 99% sand, 1% silt, subrounded, well sorted. A few yellow, red and black mineral grains and a few tiny clear & white chips.
4755.45	50.00	4745.45	60.00		SAND, same as above, light brownish gray (10YR 6/2). 97% sand, 2% clay, 1% silt.
4745.45	60.00	4740.45	65.00	· .	SAND, same as above, brown (7.5YR 5/6). 99% sand, 1% silt. TD in Quat.

· ·

Ì

ĺ

This page intentionally blank

#### ACTEC-ERS 2597 B 3/4 Road

#### Borehole Summary Page ____ of ____

Frand Junctio	n, Colorado I	81502					
Facility Grand J	lunctim Of	fice Site	Monu	iment Valley,	AZ	Project UM7	RA Groundlate
iring/Well No.	768	· <u> </u>		I	Location (N) $\underline{2}$	<u>160426</u> (E)	590931
Ground Elev. (Ft.	4817.92	Bit/Auger S	ize <u>75/</u>	<u>8"0,0,  3³ 4" I,0,</u>		Hole Depth (Ft)	<u>45 ft.</u>
	TYPE	Vol. (cf.	gal)	Interval (Ft.)	· .	Stick-Up Height (Fi	2,90
Sereen	<u>poc Sca.</u> <u>poc Oiedrict</u>	<u><u><u></u></u><u><u></u><u><u></u><u></u><u><u></u><u></u><u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u></u></u></u></u></u></u>		$\frac{1}{24.4}$ to $\frac{27.4}{4.4}$	•	Location Sketch	
Samp/End Cap Sand Pack	<u>PVC sca. 4</u> Silica sono	0 2"		$\frac{44.4}{45.0}$ to $\frac{45.0}{22.5}$		· ·	
Sealant PDS	Bonton, Le peile Gold "ben tim, Le sh	ts <u>4</u>	ft ³	<u>211</u> to <u>17.5</u> <u>17.5</u> to <u>2</u>			
king Cover In: Drilling Method	stalled Ø/N Avger	Padiock No	335	<u>9</u> Samplir	ng Method <u>N</u>	A	
De Drilled 7-	7-97 Date	Developed _	8-18-	97 Flui Bemarks	id Level/Date	10,55 ft f.g.	1 / 7-12-97
Depth [®] Blows/	PID Sample No.;	WELL	GRAPHIC	DESCRIPTION			•
T) 6" (	ppm Interval		LOG	•			
				Required Inform Typical name; Mu to well); grain ang to saturated).	ation: Insell color; perce Jularity; induration	ntage sand and gra or plasticity; moistu	vel; sorting (poor re content (moist
				5-5 Sond, Very fi	ne grained	to fr. gr. yel	lowish red sorted.
70				subrounded,	moist. Afen	black, red cycll.	ew minerolgrains
				5-10 Some os abo	bue wet	Afer and code	borchips, very
				Small. 15-75 Saturated.	some as a bo	<u>уе</u>	·····
				15-30 Some as a	bove, yello.	ish red (54	R 4/6)
			,	30-40 Same as	above yell	wish red (5%)	R = 5/6), $T/A \setminus Shahtlur$
45 - T.D.				sticky; 97	90 sand, 290	clay, 190 sil	t.
	4 -			· , · ·			
	+ +	-   .  -			<u></u> ,,,,,,,,,,,,_		
	+ +	-     -	 			·	
						•	
					<u> </u>		
All depths measure outpleted By	d from ground wel.	nan	Ver	ified By Charges	Gordkin	flit	

WELL NO 10.8	JAIE_	<u>7-7-57</u> IIME <u>783()</u>
PLANNED INSTALLATION		FINAL INSTALLATION (Same as plann
CASING DIAMETER _2"		
CASING STICKUP HEIGHT <u>2.90 ft</u> .		CASING STICKUP HEIGHT
TOD OF CROVER 2 ft		
	-	
GROUT TYPE "Pure Gold "benton, te		
sturry		
fop of pellets $17.5$		TOP OF PELLETS
SIZE OF PELLETS		•
WATER ADDED TO PELLETS <u>wine</u> (Gallons)		
FOP OF FINE SAND	_	TOP OF FINE SAND
SAND SIZE 16-40		
TOP OF FILTER SAND $22.5$		TOP OF FILTER SAND
STILTER SAND SIZE $10-20$		
TOP OF SCREEN 24,4		TOP OF SCREEN
TOP OF SLOTS $24,57$		
YPE OF SCREEN Diedrich	E E	
	22 22	
LOT SIZE 0,010	==	
OTTON OF GLOTS A.A.Z?	5.2 5.5	··· · · ·
UTION OF SLOTS $-\frac{44}{52}$	25	
OP OF SUMP/END CAP 44.42		TOP OF SUMP/END CAP
and the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second		
OTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP $\underline{45,U}$		BOTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP
TOTAL DE	РТН	$+5.0\pm b$ .

5/6/99

GENERAL INFORMATION	SCREENING INFORMATION				
SITE: MONUMENT VALLEY	( (MON01)	Elev	Depth		
LOCATION CODE: 0768	TOP GRAVEL PACK:	4796.82	21.10		
DECOMMISSIONED: No	BOTTOM GRAVEL PACK:	4772.92	45.00		
DAMAGED: No	TOP OF SCREEN:	4793.52	24.40		
TOC ELEVATION: 4820.73	BOTTOM OF SCREEN:	4773.52	44.40		
SURFACE ELEVATION: 4817.92	GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:	23.9			
BOTTOM ELEVATION: 4772.92	SCREEN LENGTH:	20.0			
<b>TOTAL DEPTH:</b> 45.00	CASING LENGTH:	47.810			
ZONE OF COMPLETION: ALLUVIUM	CASING DIAMETER (in.):	2			

Lithology Details

<u>T0</u>	P	BOTT	OM	USCS	•
Elev	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION
4817.92	0.00	4812.92	5.00		SAND, very fine grained to fine grained. Yellowish-red (5YR 5/6). 99% sand, 1% silt, well sorted, subrounded, moist. A few black, red & yellow mineral grains.
4812.92	5.00	4807.92	10.00		Same as above.
4807.92	10.00	4802.92	15.00		Same as above, wet. A few white & clear chips, very small.
4802.92	15.00	4792.92	25.00	•	Saturated, same as above.
4792.92	25.00	4787.92	30.00		Same as above, yellowish-red (5YR 4/6).
4787.92	30.00	4777.92	40.00		Same as above. Yellowish-red. (5YR 5/6).
4777.92	40.00	4772.92	45.00		Same as above, pink (7.5 YR 7/4). Slightly sticky, 97% sand, 2% clay, 1% silt. TD in Quat.

#### This page intentionally blank

•

MACTEC 2597 B 3/ Grand Jur	-ERS 4 Road	l Colorado	81502			Borehole Summary Page/ of/
Facility <u>Gra</u>	nd June	tim Of	fice s	Site <u>Monu</u>	ment Valley AZ.	Project UMTRA Ground Water
oring/Well	No. 7	69			Location (N)	2/59804 (E) <u>588617</u>
Ground Elev	. (Ft.) <u>-</u>	1858.31 TYPE	Bit/Aug Diam	er Size <u>7 %</u> neter (inch I.	6 0.0, / 3 3/4 I.O. D.)	Hole Depth (Ft) <u>44.0</u> No. of Completions / Stick-I In Height (Ft) <u>275</u>
Blank Casing	а. <u>Р</u>	VC Scd.	<u>4-0 _ 2</u>		to <u></u>	Slot Size 0,010
Screen Symp/End C Sand Pack Sealant	ap <u>f</u> PD5 <u>g</u>	NUC Diedric DUC Scal, A Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica	$\begin{array}{c c} K & Z \\ \hline 4c & Z \\ \hline 10- \\ \hline 11ets & 197 \\ \hline 14ts & 974 \\ \hline 6 & 6 \\ \hline 6 & 6 \\ \hline \end{array}$	20 20 "" 2 f + 3	$\begin{array}{r} 33.4 \text{ to } 43.4 \\ 43.4 \text{ to } 44.0 \\ 44.0 \\ 31.4 \\ 30.4 \\ 30.4 \\ 27.2 \\ 10 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 10 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 27.2 \\ 2$	Location Sketch $f$ N $\pi \circ 769$ $50^{\circ}$ $4^{\circ}$ Co 55
Jour Jocking Cov	یں er Install	ed Ø/ N	Padlock	No. <u>335</u>	<u></u>	
Drilling Meth	od <u>Helle</u> 7-8-9 L. Sper	<u>wstem</u> 7 Dat ncer	Auger e Develope	d <u>not deve</u>	Sampling Method loped Fluid Level/Date Remarks	36,19ft bg) / 7-12-97
Depth [*] Blov T) 6	vs/ PiD ppm	Sample No.; Interval	WELL CONSTRUCT	GRAPHIC	DESCRIPTION .	
					Required Information: Typical name; Munsell color; per- to well); grain angularity; induration to saturated). 0-5 Sand very fine grained (5YR G/G) 99% send, 1% si dry, A few red, yellow and 5-10 Same as a bive, slightly to 21/2 cm long. 10-20 Same as a bive, mist 20-40 Same as a bive, no per 40-43 Same as a bive, sature 41-44 Sand store, for an 181	centage sand and gravel; sorting (poor on or plasticity; moisture content (moist to fn. gr., redd, sh yellow it, well y scited, sub rounded to black mineral grains, moist a few pebbles (5%) up bbles, yellowish red (548 5/6) aled
					Note: Drilling autuen	hard @ 43ft in depth
44 T.D,					Collected a smu from the cente rid was pulled	all sample of yellow 55 oplug bit when the conter to surface.
			-			
			-			
Alighepths me	By <u>Ma</u>	n ground level	oencon	Veri	ified By Craig & Goodk	nglot

े । इ. .

WELL NO. 769	DATE_	7-8-97 TIME 14-40
PLANNED INSTALLATION		FINAL INSTALLATION (Some as pla
CASING DIAMETER <u>2</u> "	· · · · ·	
CASING STICKUP HEIGHT 2.75 ft.		CASING STICKUP HEIGHT
<b>a</b>		
TOP OF GROUT		TOP OF GROUT
GROUT TYPE Por Gold Bon tanite		
slurry		
<u> </u>		
TOP OF PELLETS <u>27,2</u>		TOP OF PELLETS
SIZE OF PELLETS 1/4" round		
WATER ADDED TO PELLETS <u>5gallens</u> (Gallons)		
TOP OF FINE SAND <u>30,4</u>		TOP OF FINE SAND
SAND SIZE _ 16-40		
TOP OF FILTER SAND 31.4		TOP OF FILTER SAND
FILTER SAND SIZE 10-20		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
TOP OF SCREEN 33.4		TOP OF SCREEN
TOP OF SLOTS <u>33,6</u>		
IYPE OF SCREEN <u>Diedrick</u>	2 2 2 2 2 2	
	호프 호프	
SLOT SIZE 0.010	==	
	22	
50110m UF SLUIS <u>43.5</u>	22	
fop of sump/end cap $43.4$		TOP OF SUMP/END CAP
BOTTOM OF SUMP/FND CAP 440		ROTTON OF SUND/END CAD
Solion of SomryEnd CAP <u>•T T.U</u>		A D
TOTAL I	DEPTH	

5/6/99

GENERAL INFO	DRMATION	SCREENING INFORMATION					
SITE:	MONUMENT VALLEY	(MON01)	Elev	Depth			
LOCATION CODE:	0769	TOP GRAVEL PACK:	4827.91	30.40			
DECOMMISSIONED:	No	BOTTOM GRAVEL PACK:	4814.31	44.00			
DAMAGED:	No	TOP OF SCREEN:	4824.91	33.40			
TOC ELEVATION:	4861.3	BOTTOM OF SCREEN:	4814.91	43.40			
SURFACE ELEVATION:	4858.31	GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:	13.6				
BOTTOM ELEVATION:	' 4814.31	SCREEN LENGTH:	10.0	•			
TOTAL DEPTH:	44.00	CASING LENGTH:	46.99				
ZONE OF COMPLETION:	ALLUVIUM	CASING DIAMETER (in.):	2				

Lithology Details

TO	P	BOTT	OM	USCS	
Elev	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION
4858.31	0.00	4853.31	5.00		SAND, very fine grained to fine grained, reddish- yellow (5YR 6/6). 99% sand, 1% silt, well sorted, subrounded, dry. A few red, yellow and black mineral grains.
4853.31	5.00	4848.31	10.00		Same as above, slightly moist. A few pebbles (5%) up to 2 1/2 cm long.
4848.31	10.00	4838.31	20.00		Same as above, moist.
4838.31	20.00	4818.31	40.00		Same as above, no pebbles, yellowish-red (5YR 5/6).
4818.31	40.00	4815.31	43.00		Same as above, saturated. A few small pebbles.
4815.31	43.00	4814.31	44.00		SANDSTONE, fine grained yellow (10YR 7/6). Note: Drilling got very hard @ 43 Ft in depth. Collected a small sample of yellow ss from the center plug bit when the center rod was pulled to surface. TD in Shinarump

ر :

This page intentionally blank

#### ACTEC-ERS 2597 B 3/4 Road Grand Junction. Colorado 81502

Borehole Summary Page _____ of ____

rand Junction, Cold			· · ·
acility Grand Junctin	on Office Site Monur	nent Valley, Az.	Project UMTRA Ground Wat
ring/Well No. 77(	<u>)</u>	Location (N) 21	59579_ (E) <u>589141</u>
round Elev. (Ft.) $\frac{4854}{5}$ The ank Casing $\frac{PUC}{D}$ ank Casing $\frac{PUC}{D}$ mp/End Cap $\frac{PUC}{5}$ and Pack $\frac{5113}{5}$ ealant $\frac{PD5}{6}$ but $\frac{PD5}{6}$ but $\frac{PD5}{6}$ cking Cover Installed $\frac{6}{5}$ rilling Method $\underline{A} \cup g \in 1$ te.Drilled $\frac{7}{7} - 8 - 97$ mpler(s) $\underline{A} \cdot Spencer$	4.38Bit/Auger Size $75$ Diameter (inch I.YPEVol. (cf. gal)Scd. 40 $2''$ Diedrick $2''$ Scd. 40 $2''$ Scd. 40 $2''$ Scd. 40 $2''$ Scd. 40 $2''$ Scd. 40 $2''$ Scd. 40 $2''$ Scd. 40 $2''$ Scd. 40 $2''$ Scd. 40 $2''$ Scd. 40 $2''$ Scd. 40 $2''$ Scd. 40 $2''$ Scd. 40 $2''$ Scd. 40 $2''$ Scd. 40 $2''$ Scd. 40 $2''$ Scd. 40 $2''$ Scd. 40 $2''$ Scd. 40 $2''$ Scd. 40 $2''$ Scd. 40 $2''$ Scd. 40 $2''$ Scd. 40 $2''$ Scd. 40 $2''$ Scd. 40 $2''$ Scd. 40 $2''$ Scd. 40 $2''$ Scd. 40 $2''$ Scd. 40 $2''$ Scd. 40 $2''$ Scd. 40 $2''$ Scd. 40 $2''$ Scd. 40 $2''$ Scd. 40 $2''$ Scd. 40 $2''$ Scd. 40 $2''$ Scd. 41 $3''$ Scd. 42 $3''$ Scd. 43 $3''$ Scd. 44 $3''$ Scd. 45 $3''$ Scd. 45 $3''$ Scd. 45 $3''$ Scd. 45 $3''$ Scd. 45 $3''$ Scd. 45 $3''$ Scd. 45 $3''$ <th< td=""><td>$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$</td><td>lole Depth (Ft) <u>65,5</u> No. of Completions <u>1</u> Stick-Up Height (Ft) <u>2,80</u> Not Size <u>0,010</u> Location Sketch 770 N 100 <math>100 100</math> <math>100 100</math> <math>100 100</math> <math>100 100</math> <math>100 100</math> <math>100 100</math> <math>100 100</math> <math>100 100</math> <math>100 100</math> <math>100 100</math> <math>100 100</math> <math>100 100</math> <math>100 100</math> <math>100 100</math> <math>100 100</math> $100$ <math>100 100</math> $100$ <math>100 100</math> $100$ /br></td></th<>	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	lole Depth (Ft) <u>65,5</u> No. of Completions <u>1</u> Stick-Up Height (Ft) <u>2,80</u> 
enthe Blows/ PID Sam	CIA NO WELL GBAPHIC	DESCRIPTION	
T) 6° ppm In	nterval CONSTRUCTION LOG	·	
		Required Information: Typical name; Munsell color; percer to well); grain angularity; induration to saturated).	itage sand and gravel; sorting (poor or plasticity; moisture content (moist
		0-5 Sand, very fine grained to fin 99% send 1% silt, profile sorted red, yellow and black mineral gra 5-10 Same as above 10-25 Same as above, moist. A ting.	,95, reddish yellow (519 G/G) ,sobrounded, dry. A few ting ins. few white and clear chips, very
		31-35 Same of 2010e 31-35 Same of 2010e Move moist 35-45 Same as above 45.50 Same as above, salurated 50-55 Same as above slightly 55-57 Same as above	hred (5YR 516) slightly L szicky
		57-63 Some as a bove, grovela, 19 iss pebbles, 63-65 Weathered rock Note: Orining slowed	to practically no thing @
5.5 T.D.		<u>6</u> 5 75 151	<u>/</u>
A pletted By Mark	indievel <i>Penere</i> Veri	fied By Charg & Goodking	ht

WELL NO. 770	DATE_	7-9-97 TIME 1230
PLANNED INSTALLATION		FINAL INSTALLATION (Same as planned)
CASING DIAMETER <u>2"</u>		
CASING STICKUP HEIGHT <u>2.8 ft</u> .		CASING STICKUP HEIGHT
TOP OF GROUT 2		TOP OF GROUT
GROUT TYPE PURE Gold"		
bentonite slurry		
fop of pellets <u>48,8</u>		TOP OF PELLETS
SIZE OF PELLETS <u>1/4" round</u>		
WATER ADDED TO PELLETS None (Gallons)		
FOP OF FINE SAND 51.8	_	TOP OF FINE SAND
SAND SIZE 16-40		
TOP OF FILTER SAND <u>52.8</u>	·	TOP OF FILTER SAND
FILTER SAND SIZE <u>10-20</u>		
TOP OF SCREEN <u>54,9</u>	-	TOP OF SCREEN
COP OF SLOTS <u>55.1</u>	55	
rype of screen <u>Diedrich</u>	22 22	
LOT SIZE		
OTTOM OF SLOTS <u>64.8</u>	2 2 2 2	
op of sump/end cap <u>64,9</u>		TOP OF SUMP/END CAP
OTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP 65.5	L	BOTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP
TOTAL D	ертн <u>6</u>	5,5
		· · ·

5/6/99

#### GENERAL INFORMATION

#### SCREENING INFORMATION

SITE:	MONUMENT VALLE	EY (MON01)	Elev	Depth
LOCATION CODE:	0770	TOP GRAVEL PACK:	4802.58	51.80
DECOMMISSIONED:	No	BOTTOM GRAVEL PACK:	4788.88	65.50
DAMAGED:	No	TOP OF SCREEN:	4799.48	54.90
TOC ELEVATION:	4857.26	BOTTOM OF SCREEN:	4789.48	64.90
SURFACE ELEVATION:	4854.38	GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:	13.7	
BOTTOM ELEVATION:	4788.88	SCREEN LENGTH:	10.0	
TOTAL DEPTH:	65.50	CASING LENGTH:	68.380	
ZONE OF COMPLETION:	ALLUVIUM	CASING DIAMETER (in.):	2	

Lithology Details

TO	P	BOTT	MOT	USCS	
Elev	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION
<b>4854.38</b>	0.00	4849.38	5.00		SAND, very fine grained to fine grained, reddish- yellow (5YR 6/6). 99% sand, 1% silt, well sorted, subrounded, dry. A few tiny red, yellow and black mineral grains.
4849.38	5.00	4844.38	10.00		Same as above.
4844.38	10.00	4829.38	25.00		Same as above, moist. A few white & clear chips, very tiny.
4829.38	25.00	4824.38	30.00		Same as above.
4824.38	30.00	4819.38	35.00		Same as above, yellowish-red (5YR 5/6). Slightly more moist.
4819.38	35.00	4809.38	45.00		Same as above.
4809.38	45.00	4804.38	50.00		Same as above, saturated.
4804.38	50.00	4799.38	55.00		Same as above, slightly sticky.
4799.38	55.00	4797.38	57.00		Same as above.
4797.38	57.00	4791.38	63.00	• •	Same as above, gravel (<1%) up to 2 cm long, mostly fine grain ss pebbles.

	тс	OP	BOTT	OM	USCS						•
	Elev	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTIO	N	LITHOLO	GY DES	CRIPTION		
	4791.38	63.00	4788.88	65.50			Weathered practically	d rock. nothing	Note: Drilli @ 63-65 Fi	ng slowed t t. TD in Sh	to iinarump
-			· ·						r		
		۰.						•	• .	·	
			•							. ·	
										,	
			•								

#### ACTEC-ERS 2597 B 3/4 Road Grand Junction, Colorado 81502

.

Page ____ of ____

. S.

	17	h 00	( in a	Site M		Project Wallay AZ Project WATCA ( 111)
Schity Gran		721	<u>fice</u>	Site		MENt Valley, The Project DMTRA Ground Water
ing/Well N	0	++1	<u> </u>			Location (N) $2159/42$ (E) $588575$
Bround Elev. Blank Casing Screen Sind Pack Sealant Sealant Court Sealant Sealant Sealant Sealant Sealant Sealant Sealant Sealant Sealant Sealant	(Ft.) $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P $\frac{P}{P}$ P	TYPE VC Scd. 40 VC Diedrick VC Scd. 4 Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica	Bit/Aug Diar Vol. 0 2 2 0 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1	er Size _ neter (in (cf. gal) -20 5,4 ft No	$\frac{75}{ch}$ 1.	$J_{g}''0.0, \int 3^{3}/4'' I.0,$ Hole Depth (Ft) 79         D.)       No. of Completions 1         Interval (Ft.)       Stick-Up Height (Ft) 2.60         0       to 57.4         57.4       to 77.4         77.4       76.0         78.0       55.5         57.5       54.3         57.0       57.0         Sampling Method       NA
Drilled	7-9-	<u>97</u> Date	e Develope	ed <u>8-1</u>	<u>8-9</u>	17 Fluid Level/Date <u>39,9 ft f.g.l / 7-10-97</u>
	<u>, spe</u>	ncer		1004		
Depth [*] Blows (	ppm	Sample No.; Interval	CONSTRUC		OG OG	DESCRIPTION
						Required Information: Typical name; Munsell color; percentage sand and gravel; sorting (poor to well); grain angularity; induration or plasticity; moisture content (moist to saturated). 0-10 Sand, very fine grained to fn. gr., read is hyellow (54R GIG) 9940 sand, 120 silt, pively sorted, subiconded, dry. A few ting red yellow and block mineral grains; 10-15 Same as above, 120 pebbles, subrounded, up to 1/2cm Jong. Maist 15-30 Same as above, no pebbles, subrounded, up to 1/2cm Jong. Maist 15-30 Same as above, no pebbles, Mist 30-47-50 Same as above, saturated. Alew ting clear swhite chip 50-55 No returns 55-60 Same as above, sand, fn.gr., yellowish red (548 5/G). 47-59 Same as above, sand, fn.gr., yellowish red (548 5/G). 47-59 Same as above, sand, fn.gr., yellowish red (548 5/G). 47-59 Same as above, sand, fn.gr., yellowish red (548 5/G). 47-59 Same as above, sand, fn.gr., yellowish red (548 5/G). 47-59 Same as above, sand, fn.gr., yellowish red (548 5/G). 47-59 Same as above redish brown (548 5/4) Note: Some clayey sond and a few subrounded pebbles up to 2cm long were studied from the auger bit when it was pulled from the ground. Suspect it come from agrouel and clayey layer over lying the bedrock.
All depths measure	-					
or pleted By	, day	ich F.C	nencer		Veri	ified By Charge Soudbringht

WELL NO. <u>771</u>	DATE_	<u>7-10-97</u> TIME 1500
PLANNED INSTALLATION		FINAL INSTALLATION (Some as planned)
casing diameter $2''$		
CASING STICKUP HEIGHT 2,60 FL		CASING STICKUP HEIGHT
TOP OF GROUT <u>2</u>	_	TOP OF GROUT
GROUT TYPE PDS Bartande		
		•
TOP OF PELLETS <u>51.0</u>		TOP OF PELLETS
SIZE OF PELLETS 1/4" round	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
WATER ADDED TO PELLETS <u>51,0</u> None (Gallons)	37	
TOP OF FINE SAND <u>54,3</u>		TOP OF FINE SAND
SAND SIZE 16-40		
TOP OF FILTER SAND 55,5		TOP OF FILTER SAND
FILTER SAND SIZE 10-20		
TOP OF SCREEN 57.4		TOP OF SCREEN
TOP OF SLOTS 57.6		
TYPE OF SCREEN Diedcick	2 2 2 2	
	==	
SLOT SIZE 0.010	· = =	
	==	
BOTTOM OF SLOTS <u>77.5</u>	55	
top of sump/end cap 77.4		TOP OF SUMP/END CAP
,		
BOTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP 78.0		BOTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP
TOTAL DE	:PTH 7	9.0

5/6/99

GENERAL INFO	GENERAL INFORMATION SCREENING INFORMATIO			
SITE:	MONUMENT VA	LLEY (MON01)	Elev	Depth
LOCATION CODE:	0771	TOP GRAVEL PACK:	4806.47	54.30
DECOMMISSIONED:	Ņo	BOTTOM GRAVEL PACK:	4782.77	78.00
DAMAGED:	No	TOP OF SCREEN:	4803.37	57.40
TOC ELEVATION:	4863.26	BOTTOM OF SCREEN:	4783.37	77.40
SURFACE ELEVATION:	4860.77	GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:	23.7	
BOTTOM ELEVATION:	4781.77	SCREEN LENGTH:	20.0	
TOTAL DEPTH:	79.00	CASING LENGTH:	80.49	
ZONE OF COMPLETION:	ALLUVIUM	CASING DIAMETER (in.):	2	

Lithology Details

<u>TC</u>	)P	вотт	<u>. WO.</u>	USCS	
Elev	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION
4860.77	0.00	4850.77	10.00		SAND, very fine grained to fine grained, reddish- yellow (5YR 6/6). 99% sand, 1% silt, well sorted, subrounded, dry. A few tiny red, yellow and black mineral grains.
4850.77	10.00	4845.77	15.00		Same as above. 1% pebbles, subrounded, up to 1 1/2 cm long. Moist.
4845.77	15.00	4830.77	30.00		Same as above, no pebbles, moist.
4830.77	30.00	4813.77	47.00		Same as above, yellowish-red (5YR 5/6).
4813.77	47.00	4810.77	50.00	· · · · ·	Same as above, saturated. A few tiny clear & white chips.
4810.77	50.00	4805.77	55.00		No returns.
4805.77	55.00	4800.77	60.00	,	Same as above, slightly sticky
4800.77	60.00	4795.77	65.00		No returns.
4795.77	65.00	4790.77	70.00		Same as above, sand, fine grained, yellowish-red (5YR 5/6). Saturated, slightly sticky.

TO	P	BOTT	OM	USCS	
Elev	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION
4790.77	70.00	4781.77	79.00		Same as above, reddish-brown (5YR 5/4). Note: Same clayey-sand and a few subrounded pebbles up to 2cm long were stuck in the auger bit when it was pulled from the ground. Suspect it came from a gravel and clayey layer overlying the bedrock. TD probably weathered Shinarump.

Note: Depths are feet below ground surface and elevations are feet above Mean Sea Level.

5/6/99

#### ACTEC-ERS 2597 B 3/4 Road Grand Junction, Colorado 81502

Borehole Summary Page _/_ of _/_

cility <u>Grand</u>	<u>Junctim Offic</u>	Site	Monume	nt Valley,	Az.	Project	UMTRA Groundly
ring/Well No.	772	. ·	• • •		Location (N)	2158168	(E) <u>588854</u>
ound Elev. (F nk Casing een np/End Cap nd Pack alant	t.) <u>4844.6</u> TYPE <u>PVC scd.4</u> <u>PVC Diedrich</u> <u>PVC scd.4</u> <u>silica</u> <u>Bontonile</u> Por	Z Bit/Auger S Diametr Vol. (cf 2" 2" -0 2" -0 2" -0 2" -0 2" -0 2" -0 2"	Size <u>758</u> er (inch I. D.) . gal) 	$\begin{array}{c c} 0.0. & 7 & 3 & 4 \\ \hline & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \hline & & \\ 0 & to & 7.4 \\ \hline & 7.4 & to & 7.4 \\ \hline & 7.4 & to & 27.4 \\ \hline & 27.4 & to & 28.0 \\ \hline & 28.0 & to & 5.4 \\ \hline & 54.4 & to & 2.5 \\ \hline \end{array}$	2	Hole Depth ( No. of Comp Stick-Up Hei Slot Size Location Sketc	Ft) <u>30</u> Deletions <u>1</u> ght (Ft) <u>2.8</u> <u>0.010</u>
ut king Cover I	Ben <u>tonite slur</u>	ry <u>0.6 f</u>	13 7769	<u>2 to 0</u>			
ling Method e Drilled <u>7</u> npler(s) <u>7</u>	<u>Auger</u> -10-97 Dat Spencer	te Developed _	8-21-9	Samr 7F Remarks	bling Method luid Level/Date	NA 8.66 fgl./	7-11-97
pth" Blows/	PID Sample No.; ppm Interval	WELL	GRAPHIC D	ESCRIPTION			
				Required Infor Typical name; N to well); grain a to saturated).	mation: Aunsell color; per ngularity; indurati	rcentage sand a on or plasticity;	nd gravel; sorting (po moisture content (mo
) }			5-1	5 Sand, very (54R G/G) Afewting 6 Same as a 15 Some as a	fine grained 99% send, 1 yellow, red ar z bove, wet, bove, seturo	to fn.gr., 190 silt, su d black mi yellowish r trd, A few	redish yellow bisunded, dry. nerel grains, ed (548 5/6) tiny white and
				clear chip 15 Same as 27 Same as drilling	5. Slightly s above above, Note	tickley, : driller fe	It grave & while
				30 Wx. send	stone from the	e way it Ari.	//ed
7,0				·			
				· · ·		· · · · · ·	
depths measur	ed from ground level		Verifier	By Croin	& Gon Al	knie fr	

WELL NO. $772$	DAIE_	7-10-97 IIME 1600
PLANNED INSTALLATION		FINAL INSTALLATION (Same as planned
CASING DIAMETER		
CASING STICKUP HEIGHT <u>2,8 ft</u> .		CASING STICKUP HEIGHT
Top of apolym 1 ft		
TOP OF GROUT $\underline{/ + c}$ .		TOP OF GROUT
GROUT TYPE "Pure Guld" Bentonite		
slurry		
<b>y</b>		
TOP OF PELLETS <u>2</u>	_	TOP OF PELLETS
SIZE OF PELLETS <u>14 round</u>		
WATER ADDED TO PELLETS <u>5</u> (Gallons)		
TOP OF FINE SAND <u>4.4</u>	_	TOP OF FINE SAND
SAND SIZE 16-40	x	
TOP OF FILTER SAND 5.4		TOP OF FILTER SAND
FILTER SAND SIZE /0-Z0		
TOP OF SCREEN 7.4	·	TOP OF SCREEN
TOP OF SLOTS Z.G		
TYPE OF SCREEN <u>Diedrick</u>	55 55	
· · · ·	55 55	
SLOT SIZE <u>0,010</u>	2 2 2 2	
BOTTOM OF SLOTS 277	25	
TOP OF SUMP/END CAP 27.4		TOP OF SUMP/END CAP
BOTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP $28.0$		BOTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP
TOTAL II		0.0
	·····	<del></del>
	·.	

5/6/99

GENERAL INFORMA		SCREENING INFORMATION			
SITE: MONU	MENT VALLEY (MO	N01)	Elev	Depth	
LOCATION CODE: 0772		TOP GRAVEL PACK:	4840.27	4.40	
DECOMMISSIONED: No	B	OTTOM GRAVEL PACK:	4816.67	28.00	
DAMAGED: No		TOP OF SCREEN:	4837.27	7.40	
TOC ELEVATION: 484	7.6	BOTTOM OF SCREEN:	4817.27	27.40	
SURFACE ELEVATION: 4844	.67 <b>G</b>	RAVEL PACK LENGTH:	23.6		
BOTTOM ELEVATION: 4814	.67	SCREEN LENGTH:	20.0		
TOTAL DEPTH: 30.0	0	CASING LENGTH:	30.930		
ZONE OF COMPLETION: ALLU	/IUM C	CASING DIAMETER (in.):	2		

Lithology Details

T	OP	BOTTOM		USCS	
<u>Elev</u>	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION
4844.67	0.00	4839.67	5.00		SAND, very fine grained to fine grained, reddish- yellow (5YR 6/6). 99% sand, 1% silt, subrounded, dry. A few tiny yellow red, and black mineral grains.
4839.67	5.00	4834.67	10.00		Same as above, wet, yellowish-red (5YR 5/6).
4834.67	10.00	4829.67	15.00		Same as above, saturated. A few tiny white & clear chips. Slightly sticky.
4829.67	15.00	4819.67	25.00		Same as above.
4819.67	25.00	4817.67	27.00		Same as above. Note: Driller felt gravel while drilling.
4817.67	27.00	4814.67	30.00		Weathered SANDSTONE from the way it drilled. TD in Shinarump.

. . .

#### This page intentionally blank

nd	Juncti	oad on, (	Colorado	81502			Page _/ of _/
ity _	Grand	<u>Tunc</u>	tim Off	fice	Site	Monun	ent Valley, AZ, Project UMTRA Ground Wate
ng/V	Vell No.		73				Location (N) <u>2/58901</u> (E) est. 587514
ind	Elev. (Ft	.) <u>es</u>	<u>t. 4878</u>	Bit/Au	uger Si	ize <u>75/</u>	g 0.D/3 ³ /4 [#] I.O Hole Depth (Ft)45
		•	ΤΥΡΕ	Di Va	amete ol. (cf.	r (inch Ì. gal)	D.) No. of Completions
Ca	sing			>			to Slot Size
en ⊳/Er	nd Cap	-	. <u></u> ,,,	` `	$\geq$	NA	to N
Pa	ck	-			,		
2		-					273 /Gig sandetime
ng Ig N	Cover Ir Aethod	nstall A	ed Y/N Vger	Padlo	ck No.	<u>NA</u>	Sampling Method None
Dri	lled <u>7</u>	-11	97 Dat	e Develo	ped	NA	Fluid Level/Date <u>None 7-11-97</u>
ner	SI	<u>&gt;pe</u>	ncer				Remarks Dry boring to bearsen
n-	6°	ppm	Interval	CONSTRU	JCTION	LOG	
					T		Required Information:
	. •						Typical name; Munsell color; percentage sand and gravel; sorting (po
							to saturated).
							0-5. Sond, very fine grained to fin. gr. reddish yellow (5YR G)
_	-	-					A few black, red and yellow mineral grains.
						• • • •	5-20 Some as obvie, moist
-	-	-					20-25 Semees doute, Sciency discuting (10 11 1-)
							10-41 Some as above, vellowish and (548 516) 10
+	-	-					soft siltstone peobles up to Icm long.
							41-45 Wx, bedicch Nowater-let set for 1/2 hour.
1	-						
1							
	_			_			
-+	_	4	-				
		1		-	-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	-	4	. 1	1	1		
	-					1	
	-		_				

# This page intentionally blank

ACTEC-ERS 2597 B 3/4 Road Grand Junction, Colorado 81502

Borehole Summary Page _/__ of _/__

seility	<u>Grana</u>	Jun	ction Of	fice Site	Monu	ment Valley, AZ	Project UMTRA Ground Water
∃ <b>ali</b> ng∧	Vell No.		774			Location (N)	2/5890/ (E) <u>587494</u>
Ground Brank C Screen Screen Sealant Sealant Sealant Sealant Sealant Sealant Sealant Sealant Sealant Sealant Sealant Sealant Sealant Sealant Sealant Sealant Sealant Sealant Sealant Sealant	Elev. (F asing nd Cap ick <i>Pos</i> <i>Pos</i> Cover I Method Iled <u>7</u> (s) <u>L</u>	t.) <u>4</u> <u>F</u> <u>6</u> <u>6</u> <u>6</u> <u>6</u> <u>6</u> <u>7</u> <u>7</u> <u>7</u> <u>7</u> <u>7</u> <u>7</u> <u>7</u> <u>7</u> <u>7</u> <u>7</u>	877.39 TYPE VC Scd. 4 VC Oiedric) VC Scd. 4 Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silica Silic	Bit/Auger S Diamete Vol. (cf 2" 10-20 2" 10-20 5 1/6-40 2" Padlock No Auger e Developed	Size <u>75</u> er (inch I. . gal) <u>6 t 3</u> 5. <u>335</u> 8-20-	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Hole Depth (Ft) <u>55,5</u> No. of Completions <u>1</u> Stick-Up Height (Ft) <u>2.9</u> Slot Size <u>0,010</u> Location Sketch <u>4</u> N K20 $\times$ 75 ⁻ $\times$ 274 273 619 Split spcon <u>46,17,ft f. g, 1/7-12-97</u>
Depth*	Blows/	PID	Sample No.;	WELL	GRAPHIC	DESCRIPTION	
		-				Required Information: Typical name; Munsell color; per to well); grain angularity; indurating to saturated). 0-20 Sand, very fine grain (5YR 5/G) 9990 send sub rounded, slight! pebbles up to 1/2 cm Jong. mineral grains. 20-35 Same as above reddis 35-40 Same as above brownis pebbles, moist, 40-45 Same as above, yellow moist. 45-50 Same as above, men 50-51 <u>Come</u> Same as a bove 51-53 Oriller felt gravel h NC sample yellowi 53-55 Same as above 55.2-55.5 MS:/ristone, dar Mire thin layer of brow thick. A tew angular car bonete? A testi	centage sand and gravel; sorting (poor on or plasticity; moisture content (moist ed to fn. gr. yellowish red (190 s. 2t, providy sorted, y moist. A fal (190 or less) A few tiny red, yellow and block in yellow, (7,5YR 7/6) moist sh yellow, (10YR G/G) no wish red (5YR 5/6) no pubbles to moist ayer just a brue bedrock sh red (5YR 4/6) eddish brown (5YR 3/4) n (10YR 5/3) silt stone 2mm pebbles rooted w/a colcium ny tredescent flolics.
All dept	hs measur ted By _	ed from	in ground level	nen	Veri	fied By Chaig S. Goodk	nght

WELL NO. <u>774</u>	DATE_	7-11-97 TIME 1700 hr.
PLANNED INSTALLATION		FINAL INSTALLATION (Some as planned
CASING DIAMETER		
CASING STICKUP HEIGHT 2.9 ft.		CASING STICKUP HEIGHT
top of grout <u>2</u>		TOP OF GROUT
GROUT TYPE "Pure Gold" Bentonite slurry		
TOP OF PELLETS <u>39,0</u>		TOP OF PELLETS
SIZE OF PELLETS 1/4" round		
WATER ADDED TO PELLETS <u>5</u> (Gallons)		
TOP OF FINE SAND <u>42.0</u>		TOP OF FINE SAND
SAND SIZE 16-40		
TOP OF FILTER SAND <u>43,0</u>		TOP OF FILTER SAND
FILTER SAND SIZE <u>10-20</u>		
TOP OF SCREEN <u>45.0</u>		TOP OF SCREEN
TOP OF SLOTS <u>45,2</u> TYPE OF SCREEN <u>Oiedrick</u>	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 5	
SLOT SIZE		
BOTTOM OF SLOTS <u>54.9</u>	==	
TOP OF SUMP/END CAP <u>55.0</u>		TOP OF SUMP/END CAP
BOTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP <u>55,4</u>		BOTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP
TOTAL DE	ртн	55,5 ft.

5/6/99

GENERAL INFO	RMATION	SCREENING INFO	SCREENING INFORMATION				
SITE:	MONUMENT	VALLEY (MON01)	Elev	Depth			
LOCATION CODE:	0774	TOP GRAVEL PACK:	4835.39	42.00			
DECOMMISSIONED:	No	BOTTOM GRAVEL PACK:	4821.99	55.40			
DAMAGED:	No	TOP OF SCREEN:	4832.39	45.00			
TOC ELEVATION:	4880.14	BOTTOM OF SCREEN:	4822.39	55.00			
SURFACE ELEVATION:	4877.39	GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:	13.4	<b>.</b>			
BOTTOM ELEVATION:	4821.89	SCREEN LENGTH:	10.0				
TOTAL DEPTH:	55.50	CASING LENGTH:	58.150				
ZONE OF COMPLETION:	ALLUVIUM	CASING DIAMETER (in.):	2				
* .							

#### Lithology Details

TOP BOTTOM		MO	USCS				
Elev	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION		
4877.39	0.00	4857.39	20.00	, · · ·	SAND, very fine grained to fine grained, yellowish red (5YR 5/6), 99% sand 1% silt, well sorted, subrounded, slightly moist. A few (1% or less) pebbles up to 1/2 cm. long. A few tiny red, yellow and black mineral grains.		
4857.39	20.00	4842.39	35.00	•	Same as above, reddish yellow, (7.5YR 7/6) moist.		
4842.39	35.00	4837.39	40.00		Same as above, brownish yellow, (10YR 6/6) no pebbles, moist.		
4837.39	40.00	4832.39	45.00	· · ·	Same as above, yellowish red (5YR 5/6) no pebbles, moist.		
4832.39	45.00	4827.39	50.00		Same as above, more moist.		
4827.39	50.00	4826.39	51.00		Same as above.		
4826.39	51.00	4824.39	53.00		Driller felt gravel layer just above bedrock.		
4824.39	53.00	4822.39	55.00		Same as above		
4822.39	55.00	4822.19	55.20		Slough		

. 5/6/99

•	TOP		BOTTOM		USCS	 		
••••	Elev	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION			
	4822.19	55.20	4821.89	55.50		SILTSTONE, dark reddish brown (5YR 3/4), thin layer of brown (10YR 5/3) siltstone 2mm thick. A few angular pebbles up to 2 cm. coated w/ calcium carbonate? A few tiny iridescent flakes. TD probably Moenkopi.		

#### ACTEC-ERS 2597 B 3/4 Road

### Borehole Summary

- 1. - 1.

Page ____ of ____

Grand Junction, Color	ado 81502	
Pacility <u>Grand Junction</u> C	ffice Site Monume	Project UMTRA GroundWater
Ering/Well No. 77	5 Core 3	1032''(123-167.842.) Location (N) $2/59521$ (E) $587965$
Ground Elev. (Ft.) 4876	5.51 Bit/Auger Size 101/2	0.0/G'/4 I.D (0.0-123ft.) Hole Depth (Ft)
TYPE Blank Casing <u>PVC Sc</u> Screen <u>PvC D</u> ; Simp/End Cap <u>PvC Sc</u> Sond Pack <u>Silica</u> Sealant <u>Bentoni</u> Gout <u>PDS Bentonite</u> Locking Cover Installed O	Diameter (inch 1. E Diameter (inch 1. E PE Vol. (cf. gal) d. 40 2" d. 40 2 d. 40 2 d. 40 2 d. 40 2 for - 20 for - 40 1/4 "round for -	No. of Completions $\underline{ 1}$ Interval (Ft.) Stick-Up Height (Ft) $\underline{3.0}$ $\underline{0}$ to $\underline{142}$ Slot Size $\underline{0.010}$ $\underline{142}$ to $\underline{167.5}$ $\underline{167.5}$ to $\underline{139.7}$ $\underline{138.4}$ to $\underline{135.0}$ $\underline{135}$ to $\underline{2}$ $\underline{142}$ to $\underline{135.0}$ $\underline{135}$ to $\underline{2}$ $\underline{136.4}$ to $\underline{135.0}$ $\underline{136.4}$ to $\underline{136.4}$ to $\underline{135.0}$ $\underline{136.4}$ to $\underline{135.0}$ $\underline{136.4}$ to $\underline{136.4}$ to \underline{136.4} to $\underline{136.4}$ to $\underline{136.4}$ to 1
Drilling Method <u>A.SA./C.</u> Dee Drilled <u>7-23-97</u>	Date Developed <u>not devel</u>	Sampling MethodCre barrel openFluid Level/Date49,47ft + o.c. / 7-30-97
Simpler(s) Larich Sper	ncer	Remarks 5.563 steel casing from 1 foot a bove ground level to 123. Ffeet. Welded 10 ft. sections. Compoted
Depth" Blows/ PiD Sampl	le No.; WELL GRAPHIC rivel CONSTRUCTION LOG	DESCRIPTION $\approx 8 \text{ft} \cdot 2 \text{ bottom}$
		Required Information: Typical name; Munsell color; percentage sand and gravel; sorting (poor to well); grain angularity; induration or plasticity; moisture content (moist to saturated).
		(54R G/G) 99% sand, 1% silt, stadish yellow (54R G/G) 99% sand, 1% silt, stally sorted, sub runded, dry. A few zing crange, yellow and black mineral grains 0-20 Same as abuve, moist
		5-35 Same as a bove, yellowish rod, (54R 5/6). A few tiny clear and white chips. 5-40 Sand, frigr. pink (7.54R 7/4) SEQ sand, 1905iff 196 small 55 pebbles (upto 5mm long) loosely committed
		W/white material, EDbrishdid, Welly sorbed, dry. A few black, red and yellow mineral grains 0-45 Some as above, reddish yellow (7.548 7/G) not
		50 inany comated peobles, slightly moist, 5-55 Sand, fright, brownish yellow (104R G/G) 99% soud
		1% silt, poorly sorted, subrounded, slightly moist. A few red, yellow and bleck mineral grains. A few ting clear and white chips, 5-60 Same as above, yellow, shred (582 5/6) wet, C-85 Same as above salviated
		5-115 Some os obvic, Jess Zhan / Do Ling (up Zo Zmm) pebbks, Fordish brown is (tstone. 5-122,5 No sample 21.5-122 Oriller suspected wx. bedrock 2.0-123.0 Very hard suspect bedrock Hoskinnini Member of Moenkopi Fm.
All depths measured from proup oppleted By	I level. Spencer Verific	ed By Craig S. Goodknight

· · · ·

•

WELL NO. <u>775</u>	DATE_	7-23-97 TIME 1900	_
PLANNED INSTALLATION		FINAL INSTALLATION (Same as	planne
CASING DIAMETER 2"		7	
CASING STICKUP HEIGHT $3.04t_{1}$		CASING STICKUP HEIGHT	
TOP OF GROUT Surface		TOP OF GROUT	
	· , .		Ċ,
GROUT TYPE PDS Bentonile		•	
slurry			
TOP OF PELLETS 135,0		TOP OF PELLETS	
SIZE OF PELLETS 1/4" round			
WATER ADDED TO PELLETS (Gallons)			
TOP OF FINE SAND 138.4		TOP OF FINE SAND	
SAND SIZE 16-40			
TOP OF FILTER SAND		TOP OF FILTER SAND	
FILTER SAND SIZE			
TOP OF SCREEN $142.0$		TOP OF SCREEN	
TOP OF SLOTS <u>142,16</u>	SE .		
TYPE OF SCREEN <u>Diedrich</u>	9 2 9 2		.*
SLOT SIZE	95 55		
· ·	E E		
BOTTOM OF SLOTS <u>166,9</u>	EE		
TOP OF SUMP/END CAP <u>167,0</u>		TOP OF SUMP/END CAP	
BOTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP 167.5	<b>ا</b> ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	BOTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP	-



5/6/99

GENERAL INFO	DRMATION	SCREENING INFORMATION				
SITE:	MONUMENT VALLEY (	MON01)	Elev	Depth		
LOCATION CODE:	0775	TOP GRAVEL PACK:	4738.11	138.40		
DECOMMISSIONED:	No	BOTTOM GRAVEL PACK:	4709.01	167.50		
DAMAGED:	No	TOP OF SCREEN:	4734.51	142.00		
TOC ELEVATION:	4879.68	BOTTOM OF SCREEN:	4709.51	167.00		
SURFACE ELEVATION:	4876.51	GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:	29.1			
BOTTOM ELEVATION:	4708.71	SCREEN LENGTH:	25.0			
TOTAL DEPTH:	167.80	CASING LENGTH:	170.67			
ZONE OF COMPLETION:	DECHELLEY MEMBER OF THE CUTLER FORMATION	CASING DIAMETER (in.):	2			

#### Lithology Details

TOP		BOTT	OM USCS			
Elev	Depth	Elev	Depth DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION		
4876.51	0.00	4866.51	10.00	SAND, very fine grained to fine grained, reddish- yellow (5YR 6/6). 99% sand, 1% silt, well sorted, subrounded, dry. A few tiny orange, yellow and black mineral grains.		
4866.51	10.00	4856.51	20.00	Same as above, moist.		
4856.51	20.00	4851.51	25.00	Same as above, with fewer than 1% pebbles up to 1cm long.		
4851.51	25.00	4841.51	35.00	Same as above, yellowish-red. (5YR 5/6). A few tiny clear & white chips.		
4841.51	35.00	4836.51	40.00	SAND, fine grained, pink (7.5 YR 7/4). 98% sand, 1% silt, 1% small ss pebbles (up to 5mm long) loosely cemented with white material, subrounded, well sorted, dry. A few black, red and yellow mineral grains.		
4836.51	40.00	4831.51	45.00	Same as above, reddish-yellow (7.5 YR 7/6). Not		

TOP		BOTTOM		USCS	
Elev	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION
4831.51 _.	45.00	4821.51	55.00		SAND, fine grained, brownish-yellow (10YR 6/6). 99% sand, 1% silt, well sorted, subrounded, slightly moist. A few red, yellow and black mineral grains. A few tiny clear & white chips.
4821.51.	55.00	4816.51	60.00		Same as above, yellowish-red (5YR 5/6) Wet.
4816.51	60.00	4791.51	85.00		Same as above, Saturated.
4791.51	85.00	4761.51	115.00		Same as above. Less than 1% tiny (up to 2mm) pebbles, reddish-brown SILTSTONE.
4761.51	115.00	4754.01	122.50		No sample.
4755.01	121.50	4754.51	122.00	·	Driller suspected weathered bedrock.
4754.51	122.00	4753.51	123.00		Very hard. Suspect Bedrock. Hoskinnini Member of Moenkopi Fm.
4753.51	123.00	4753.43	123.08		SANDSTONE, very fine grained to fine grained, reddish-brown (2.5YR 4/4). 100% ss, subrounded, saturated. A few tiny white, yellow and green cherts, Hematite and limonite. Staining in very small concretions A few iridescent grains. Red, yellow and black mineral grains.
4753.43	123.08	4753.38	123.13		SANDSTONE/SILTSTONE lenses. Fine to coarse sand grains @ 20 degree angle.
4753.38	123.13	4753.23	123.28		SS, Fine grain. Same as above.
4753.23	123.28	4753.16	123.35	х	SILTSTONE lense, brown, almost an inch thick, bedded @ 40 degree angle. Some mixed fine grained to medium grained sand grains.
4753.16	123.35	4752.81	123.70		SS, conglomerate of grains from very fine to coarse grained.
4752.81	123.70	4752.8	123.71		SILTSTONE with fine to medium grained sand grains, brown (2.5YR 4/4).
4752.8	123.71	4752.73	123.78		Lost
4752.73	123.78	4752.72	123.79		SILTSTONE with a few fine to medium grain. ss. grains up to 5mm thick @ 45 degree angle.
4752.72	123.79	4752.68	123.83		SS. 80% white cementation of quartz grain, a few reddish-brown grains.
4752.68	123.83	4752.67	123.84		SILTSTONE 45 degree dip, 4mm wide lense.

TOP		BOTTOM		USCS	· · · ·
Elev	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION
4752.67	1 <u>23.84</u>	4752.61	123.90		SANDSTONE, fine grained, with 60% white cementation of reddish-brown sand grains.
4752.61	123.90	4752.3	124.21		SANDSTONE, very fine grained to fine grained reddish-brown (2.5 YR 4/4). 100% ss., subrounded, saturated. Some white cementation, fairly loose between sand grains, calcium carbonate?
4752.3	124.21	4751.26	125.25		Same as above with fine to medium grain, sand grains.
4751.26	125.25	4750.81	125.70		Same as above, but very fine to fine grain (see 123.0-123.08 above).
4750.81	125.70	4749.51	127.00		Lost.
4749.51	127.00	4749.36	127.15		Same as above, very fine to fine grained ss.
4749.36	127.15	4748.66	127.85		SS medium to coarse grain with some siltstone, reddish-brown (2.5YR 4/4). Wet.
4748.66	127.85	4748.36	128.15		SS very fine grained to fine grained. Pinkish- white (5YR 8/2). Calcium carbonate cementation? Crossbedded. DeChelly SS. Member of Cutler Fm.
4748.36	128.15	4733.51	143.00		SS, Yellowish-red (5YR 4/6). Very fine to fine with a few medium grains. Red, yellow and black grains, limonite concretions (very tiny), wet. Crossbedded.
4733.51	143.00	4733.01	143.50		Note: Lost circulation.
4733.01	143.50	4710.61	165.90		SANDSTONE, very fine grained to fine grained, yellowish-red (5YR 4/6). 100% sand, well sorted, subrounded, wet. Weakly cemented with calcium carbonate? Eolian sand. Mostly quartzose, sand grains with limonite or hematite staining. Small to tiny balck mineral grains and clusters of grains (biotite).
4710.61	165.90	4709.51	167.00		SANDSTONE, very fine grained to fine grained, pink (7.5YR 7/4). 100% sand, poorly sorted, subrounded, wet, quartzose sand with no iron oxide staining, however, very tiny limonite stained concretions. A few red mineral grains, black biotite grains and greenish gray minerals, chert? Crossbedded.
4709.51	167.00	4708.71	167.80		Same as 143.5-165.9. Td in DeChelly Sandstone

Note: Depths are feet below ground surface and elevations are feet above Mean Sea Level.

Member.

5/6/99
#### ACTEC-ERS 597 B 3/4 Road

Borehole Summary Page ____ of ____

2007				
Gran	d Jun	ction,	Colorad	lo 81502

cility Grand Junction Off	fice Site Monument Volley, Az.	Project Untra Ground Water
ring/Well No. 776	Core 2,988 0.0 /1.44410 0-13011572. Rozary 614"tri-comebotton 0-138' Location (N	2158791 (E) 587590
Ground Elev. (Ft.) <u>4880. 43</u>	Rotary 97/8"mill forth 0-150.0 Bit/Auger Size Diameter (inch I. D.)	Hole Depth (Ft) <u>150.15</u> No. of Completions /
TYPE Biank Casing <u>PVC Scd. 4</u>	Vol. (cf. gal) Interval (Ft.)	Stick-Up Height (Ft) <u>2,90</u> Slot Size <u>0,020</u>
Screen PVC <u>Divarian sand</u> Somp/End Cap <u>PVC scd 4</u> Sond Pack <u>Silica sand</u> Sealant <u>Bentonite pclk</u>	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	- 85' 50' - 27C
Sout "BH [®] E Po <u>re Gold benfinite</u> Locking Cover Installed <b>(2)</b> / N Drilling Method <u>Core / retoring</u>	<u>- جاری 41.8 ft 3 _ 92 </u> to <u>Z</u> Padlock No. <u>3359</u> Sampling Method	Core
Date Drilled <u>8-21-97</u> Date Simpler(s) <u>Larick Spence</u>	Developed <u>B-22-97</u> Fluid Level/Dat Remarks	e <u>50.54 ft g.L. / 8-22-97</u>
Depth [•] Blows/ PiD Sample No.; (T) 6 [•] ppm Interval	WELL GRAPHIC DESCRIPTION CONSTRUCTION LOG	
	Required Information: Typical name; Munsell color; p to well); grain angularity; indur to saturated).	percentage sand and gravel; sorting (poor ation or plasticity; moisture content (moist
$\frac{1}{3} = \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} \frac{1}{25} $	Lost 0-0.77 Sandstone, light gray subrounded, fine to me and black (biotise) min mudstone concretions, 0.77-0.75 Mudstone lense l ammuunt at limonit	(2.54 7/2) 100% ss, poorly sorted d. gri, moist. Tiny, yellow, red bralgrains w/ sporatic green mm thick pinkish, sott, Ting e staining.
	0.76-1.42 Sandstone some 1.42-1.43 Mudstone lense 1+ (2.54 Gl4) some limon Lost 1.43-1.35 Sandstone same o: 1.67 and 1.59 and 1	as above "2mm thick, light yellowish brown ide staining. sabove, Horizontal fracture E 1,62 ft. Limmital hematice staining
	A Scw light ye uswish 1.75-2.77 Lost 2.77-3.0 Mudstone, very Pa qt 2. send grains m	ale brown (IOVR 7/4) a few nixed in.
Run 2 3,7-13.7 Lost	© 3.06 and 3.12 a stained, a tow pe biotite and muds 3.3-3.65 Sandstone, same	nd 3,3 ft. himonite / hematite boles up to 1/2cm long. Some tone clasts, as 0-0,77 above w/ horizontal
	fracture @ 3.46 3.65-4,65 Lost 4.65-5.2 Sandstone, fn, q guid (Qtz) well c	and 3.50, hematite staining <u>r., pak yellow (Z:54 7/4) 100%</u>
27 /	ting yellow, red ç bi 5,2-6,2 Lost 6,2-6,46 Sondstone conglomera hemetite staining. A	te, fo. to med to coarse gr., Limmites
All depths measured from ground level	Verified By haig & Good	knight

ڊ . دند	en en en en en en en en en en en en en e			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
·	WELL NO. <u>776</u>	DATE_	<u>8-22-97</u> TIME <u>1630</u>	
	PLANNED INSTALLATION	•	FINAL INSTALLATION (Same as p	lanned)
	casing diameter $\underline{G''}$ I, D.			
	CASING STICKUP HEIGHT 2.90		CASING STICKUP HEIGHT	
		, .		
	TOP OF GROUT <u>2</u>	·	TOP OF GROUT	•
	GROUT TYPE BH & Purc Gold"			
	bentonite slusry			•
	TOP OF PELLETS <u>92.0</u>		TOP OF PELLETS	
	SIZE OF PELLETS <u>1/4"</u>			
	WATER ADDED TO PELLETS <u>NA</u> (Gallons)			
	TOP OF FINE SAND 95.0	· ·	TOP OF FINE SAND	
	SAND SIZE 16-40		•	
•	TOP OF FILTER SAND <u>97.6</u>		TOP OF FILTER SAND	
	FILTER SAND SIZE <u>10-20</u>			•
	TOP OF SCREEN 99.5		TOP OF SCREEN	
	TOP OF SLOTS <u>99,1</u>	EE		
	TYPE OF SCREEN Diedrich	==		
	SLOT SIZE 0.020	==		
	BOTTOM OF SLOTS 149.4	2 5 5 5 5 5		
	top of sump/end cap <u>149,5</u>		TOP OF SUMP/END CAP	÷
				:
	BOTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP 150.0	L	BOTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP	
	TOTAL I	DEPTH	50.15	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· . ·
				•

1ACTE 97 B 3/4	C-ERS	ado 815	Boret	nole No	776	Date <u>8-</u> 2	22-97	Borehole Summar Page <u>2</u> of <u>4</u>
Depth (FT)	Blows/ 6"	PID	Sample No.; Interval	Well Construction	Graphic Log	DESCRIPTION		
D		+		777 77	7	6.46-9.85 55., fn.gr., p	sole yellow (2.5%	7/4) well sorted
				/// V/	Lost	subsounded, wet	t. A few tiny re	d and block minerals
33 -	<b>-</b>			4/ A  //	/ [	somewhite cem	nontation at 64.	ndgrains, separate.
	34.3			/// //	1.	limmite staine	ed grains all 1	rarough section.
					//	5,03 - 11.50 LUST	and a second	in a state
- 6	+		-	-//] [/		concretions, til	ny greenish yellow	right little clasts since
				$//\Lambda$ $V_{I}$		peobles up to t	5 mm long.	
9 -	L				/1	11.82-12,22 55, fn. tomed, 9	sti pale yellow. C	2.54 714)
-			Run3			12.22 - 137 Same as a 600	ve but more lin	ionite/hematite
	·		13,7-23.7		/#	stoingny.		
-2 -	┢		(10.0ft)-	//x . //	/	137-2063 50-detono f	at to med at	Dala nallani
						$(2.57 \neq 4) + (2.57 \neq 4)$	ingl. 20 men. 91,	sing of and the produle
-				/// //		through out sam	nple. Saturated	L some brownish
-5 -	Т			7// 1/		black possible w	cod fragments.	Horizon bal fractures
			,	//] {/	/1	€ 13.8, 13.9, 1	3.95 and 14,0 fi	L. A vertical fracture
- 8	+-		Bun4 _	4/1 V	/#===	@ 14.3 to 14,9	7. Various bene	ds et hometite
			23,7-33.7	/// //		staining 16.9	+0 19.0 +2. Vari	ous stained spors
			Lost 3.0ft	/// //		30.03-33.7 Lost	npre	
51 -	┢╴		30.7-33.4	-//   /	/	33.7-34.6 Lost		
				'/		34.0-34.33 Conglomerates	iondstree from fo	. Lo coarse grained, a few
- <u> </u>			Runs		/	pebbles up to	2cm long. Stain	ed through cutw/
<del>7</del> -	T .		33,7-43,7	7/1  /		limonite/her	metite, Pebbles	ctchertendquerte.
			Lost .36 T		/!•<	34,33-35,33 Siltstone mi	xed w/uciy to.	sand, very pale
7 -	╉		( <u>3</u> ,+2-7,25)	4/1 //		MoenkopiFm brown (109)	R 7/4) Fractor	ed w/limonite staining
				// //	LOST	From 34,35, 3	34.40, 3445 34	1.5, 34,55, 3 <b>4.75</b>
			ľ	$11 \cdot 1$		and 53,1. Jon	me mine: muds	Zone Inises between
.0 -	<u> </u>		-	7/1 /	/	75 77 ANJ S:17 CTADO A	aray (IDVR GI	D. dry Fractured
				// //		6 36 75 the		in a sc ss thous
53 _	L		/			L C A A Z	7 limbiles scain	Sancture (hose and tal)
				// //		Elefrecture To S	Lotening from	79.19 to 39.26ft.
		İ.			/	Godána Planes er v	vern slight mudste	me lenses source tic
6 -	+		-+	4/} · {/				china mica flattes
					/	+10m 40.0 10 40,+ 40.77-127 + Mudstme, redd:	ich brown (	5YR 514) maist. Soft
a						shale claused ille mudetone	fuctored @ 4	1.55 to 41.9ft.
9 -	T I		RunG T	-// //	/	41.9 to 43.7 Rews	. Ked mudstone w/c	gray siltstme mixed in.
			43.7-53.7			13,7 Veryting shing mi	ica fbries thro	ughout sample,
2 -	L .		$(10ft) \perp$		<u></u>	SU, U Same as abive	e. A few minor	55 fn.gr, lenses
	· ·				/	mixed in mudsi	tme.	
			,	// //	Acres	50.0-51.35 Siltstone, rea	ddish gray (	5YR 5/2) dry.
- 5	╞╴					A few shale lons	ies scattered +	hroughout sample,
			Y			ment manual day	1 .1	ſ
0				//  //		onso-32,37 Muaszme / 5 hal	ie w/smevery	Tn. gr. Sand mixed
0 -	78.7		Run7 T	7/ Y/			- white (104.	R 8/2)
	1 ·		53,7-63,7		/ 💽 🚞	52.37-53,77 Very fn. gr. 6a	nd w/ shales lense	s, reddish brown, soft
1 -	1_ I		LOST	[/] [/		@52.0 and 53	5.3 to 53,4 ft.	0 41A
•		[	£ 58.0-5E.6	$A \mid L$	/	53,77-54.2 Mudstine, red	dish Drown (5Y	K 414) mixed $\omega$
1			ľ			tiny shiny	the gristand, a	tew black minerals
4	L		/	<u></u> ////////_	1			uning in sand
epths n	neasured fro	om grou	nd level.		<b>.</b>		<b>A</b>	· · · ·
		л	. 19		>	Λ -	( L M	· (/
nple	ted By	PA	rich &	Scincon	ノ	Verified By hang	S. Ander	ught
-				1			<u> </u>	1
						v		

MACTE 2597 B 3/4 Grand June	C-ERS Road :tion, Colori	ado 815	Bore	hole No	776		Date <u>8-22-97</u>	Borehole Su Page <u>3</u>	mmary
Depth (FT)	Biows/ 6"	PID ppm	Sample No.; Interval	Well Construction	Graphic Log	DESCRIPTIO	N		
84 87 -			-			53,77-54,7 54,2-54,7 54,7-54,72	2 continued. Fractured + Lost - Ss fn.gr. w/blackmine - cementation, - Mudatme, reddishbrown	rols(biotite?)sn	me white
90 -	91,3	к 	_			55,03 - 55,2	fn. gr. ss. <u>ss, very fn. gr., Pin Nish</u>	914y (7.578 7/2)	
93 -	-					55.Z-58.C	Mudszone, reddish bro cloystone lense & Imm Last	un (548414) Gim wide,@55,34ft,	mish
.96 -	-					58.6-58.75 58.75-59.15	<u>Ss. very fn. gr. same as</u> Mudstone, reddish bruwn ( chion mica a)) through	s above -ne clayst (5YR <b>414)</b> flec X Sample	m <u>elense</u> s v f
99 -			· ·			59.15-60.0	Mudstone and fing 1.55 m some red, yellow and bl staining in 55.	ac X flecks mali	stone
102 -			_			60.0- <i>60</i> ,15 60,15-61,25	Siltatone w/ some fn.gr. Mudstone, reddish brow	55. 51, (57R #14) sm in plains up to	ie 10°
105 -	-					61.25-63.4	Softraddishibioun shale & Fine gr. 55 and mudstone nodules up to Zem. 7 histite?	ing blec & flectrs i	uartz n 55
108 -			Run 8 _			63.4-63.5 63.5-72,3	Sift reddish brown shale Reworked mudstone one	1fn.gr. 55.; Some 5 10cdets cf 55. 4161	iltstene ac H
<i>יוו</i>	-		(End@ 74.0)			77 3-72,45	flecks, shing ting flecks	(R 7fl) w/a few red	1, yeilau
114 -	-		-				and black minerel grains, q white commutation, calcium at a grains and a few time	reitmoto? Afeur white togreanis	me ned, h cherts
117 -	-		RUN9 73.7-83.7 10,3 ft			72.45-77,65	Very fn, gr. 55 in termi raddish brown to light f mineral grains	xed w/mudstone roy. A few black, roa	( = yellow
120 -	_		(End@64.3			77.65-78.75 2675-604	Mudstone intermixed W/ brown. Hoskinnini Mer Finegrained quartzose cs	very trigr ss, redd nber of NoenKopi F light gray Koyr 7	ish m.
123 -	-					80,4- 87.7	Very few red ye llow and Fright ss in ter mixed w/re muditione	block mineral grou ddish brown (54R	(ns, dr 4/4)
iz6 -	-		Run 10- 83.7-93.7			82.7-84.3	SS, fn. gr., quartzose, li WIsome mix: na of muds	ThT Gray (10YA lone reddish book	7/1) VA
129 -							(54R 414) or siltstone Mineral grains are a bun are med. grains layered a	, Red yellow and b dant. @ 84.0 ftt bout 15mm thick	here
132 -	-		  		· · · ·	84. <b>3-</b> 67.8	55, fn. to med grained, limonite Staining in ve through comple, Ting rea	reddish brown(5 ryting (meratims tyellowe block mi	YR 5/4) «Il neral
135 -	-		Run II 93.7-103.7				grains. White comen fation car bonate? Mixedw/li reddish brown siltstone.	n lightlyw/colciur ght groy fn.gr.ss Moist	n ond llowe
138			•			57.8-96.93	55 th, tomed. gr. reddish bi black mineral grain hematites	taining throughout	100 7

All depths measured from ground level.

Completed By Much TSpencer

Verified By Craig & Houdknight

97 B 3/4 and Junc	C-END Road Hion, Colora	do 815	o2 Boreh	nole No.	}	77G	<u>G</u> Date <u>8-22-97</u> Page <u>4</u> of <u>4</u>
Depth (FT)	Blows/ 6"	PID ppm	Sample No.; Interval	Well Constructio	'n	Graphic Log	C DESCRIPTION
13E  41 -			Run 12 103.7-113.7 Run 13 - 113.7-123.7				87.6-90.03 continued - 1; monite stained concretions, very ting, whi 91.31 cementation, colcium carbonate? Losely common ond, soturat @ 91.07 to 91,12 and 91.25 to 91.26 and very thin lanse @ 91.31 of sondy readish brown siltstone/mu stone. De Chelly Ss. Member of Cutler Fm.
44  47			Run 14 123.7-133. <del>7</del> Run 15 133.7 - 143.7 Run 16 <del>-</del> 143.7 - 150. <b>15</b>				(574 G/G) cross bedded, wet. white commentation, calcium carbonate?, of grains. Tiny red, yellow and black mineral grains. Very tiny limonite staining around individual sond grains or small clusters - send grains, Hemotite staining overall. A few
150 50.15	- Т.D,	-J		-			: areas of limited to no hometile or limonite staini or iron oxide staining @ 114ft and 125.2 to 125.6 ft ond @ 129,4 to 129.85ft. Note: Sand stone saturated from 105ft.
			_	_		· ·	
			.	-			
-	-		·	-	+		
_	-		-	-			
-	_			-			
-	-			-	-	·	
-	-		-				
_			3		+		
	-						
						-	

	1-14
projeted By	tauch A tom

have I Sonar Verified By Chaig & Hoveknight

GENERAL INFORMA	TION	SCREENING INFO	RMATION	V
SITE: MON	UMENT VALLEY (	MON01)	Elev	Depth
LOCATION CODE: 0776	· ·	TOP GRAVEL PACK:	4785.43	[·] 95.00
DECOMMISSIONED: No		BOTTOM GRAVEL PACK:	4730.43	150.00
DAMAGED: No		TOP OF SCREEN:	4780.93	99.50
TOC ELEVATION: 488	3.33	BOTTOM OF SCREEN:	4730.93	149.50
SURFACE ELEVATION: 488	0.43	GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:	55.0	
BOTTOM ELEVATION: 473	0.28	SCREEN LENGTH:	50.0	
TOTAL DEPTH: 150.	15	CASING LENGTH:	152.9	
ZONE OF COMPLETION: DECH MEM CUTL	HELLEY BER OF THE ER FORMATION	CASING DIAMETER (in.):	6	

#### Lithology Details

TOP		BOTTOM		USCS	
Elev	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION
4880.43	<b>0.00</b>	4879.66	0.77		Shinarump Member of Chinle Fm. on surface. SANDSTONE, light gray (2.5Y 7/2). 100% ss, poorly sorted, subrounded, fine to medium grained, moist. Tiny, yellow, red and black (biotite) mineral grains with sporadic green mudstone concretions.
4879.66	0.77	4879.65	0.78		Mudstone lenses 1mm thick - pinkish, soft, tiny amount of limonite staining.
4879.65	0.78	4879.01	1.42		Sandstone - Same as above.
4879.01	1.42	4879	1.43		Mudstone lenses 1 to 2mm thick, light yellowish- brown (2.5Y 6/4). Some limonite staining.
4879	1.43	4878.68	1.75		SANDSTONE, Same as above. Horizontal fracture @ 1.51 and 1.59 and 1.62 Ft. Limonite/hematite staining. A few light yellowish-brown mudstone clasts.
4878.68	1.75	4877.66	2.77		Lost.
4877.66	2.77	4877.43	3.00		Mudstone, very pale brown (10YR 7/4) a few quartz sand grains mixed in.

Note: Depths are feet below ground surface and elevations are feet above Mean Sea Level.

5/6/99

#### BOTTOM TOP USCS Depth DESCRIPTION LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION Elev Elev Depth 4877.43 3.00 4877.13 3.30 SANDSTONE, Fine to medium to coarse grained, fractured @ 3.08 and 3.12 and 3.3 Ft. Limonite/hematite stained, a few pebbles up to 1/2 cm long. Some biotite and mudstone clasts. 4877.13 3.30 ·4876.78 3.65 SANDSTONE, Same as 0-0.77 above with horizontal fracture @ 3.46 and 3.50, hematite staining. 3.65 4875.78 4.65 Lost. 4876.78 4875.23 5.20 SANDSTONE, fine grained, pale yellow (2.5Y 4875.78 4.65 7/4) 100% sand (quartz) well sorted, subrounded, saturated. A few tiny yellow, red and black mineral grains. 4875.23 5.20 ·4874.23 6.20 Lost. SANDSTONE conglomerate, fine to medium to 4874.23 6.20 4873.97 6.46 coarse grain, limonite/hematite staining. Pebbles up to 2 1/2 cm long (crystalline). 4873.97 6.46 4870.58 9.85 SS. Fine grain, pale yellow (2.5Y 7/4). Well sorted, subrounded, wet. A few tiny red and black minerals. Some white cementation of sand grains. Separate limonite stained grains all through section. 9.85 4868.93 4870.58 11.50 Lost. 11.50 4868.61 11.82 Sandstone conglomerate, fine to coarse grain, 4868.93 limonite stained concretions, tiny greenish-yellow clay-like clasts, small pebbles up to 5mm long. 4868.61 11.82 4868.21 12.22 Sandstone, fine to medium grained, pale yellow (2.5Y 7/4). 4868.21 12.22 4866.73 Same as above, but more limonite/hematite 13.70 staining. 13.70 4849.8 30.63 SANDSTONE, fine grained to medium grained, 4866.73 pale yellow (2.5Y 7/4). Tiny limonite stained claylike nodules throughout sample. Saturated, some brownish-black possible wood fragments. Horizontal fractures @13.8, 13.9, 13.95 and 14 Ft. A vertical fracture? @ 14.3 to 14.97 ft. Various bands of hematite staining 16.9 to 19.0 ft. Various stained spots throughout sample. 4849.8 30.63 4846.73 33.70 Lost. 4846.73 33.70 4846.43 34.00 Lost

i in

Note: Depths are feet below ground surface and elevations are feet above Mean Sea Level.

5/6/99

TO	P	BOTT	ОМ	USCS	
Elev	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION
4846.43	34.00	4846.08	34.35		Conglomerate sandstone from fine to coarse grained. A few pebbles up to 2cm long. Stained throughout with limonite/hematite. Pebbles of chert and quartz.
4846.1	34.33	4845.1	35.33		SILTSTONE mixed with very fine sand, very pale brown (10YR 7/4). Fractured with limonite staining from 34.35, 34.40, 34.45, 34.5, 34.55, 34.75 and 35.1. Some minor mudstone lenses between some fractures.
4845.1	35.33	4839.71	40.72	•	SILTSTONE, gray (10YR 6/1) Dry. Fractured @36.75 with heavy limonite staining from 36.55 through the fracture to 37 Ft. Another fracture (horizontal) @39.19 ft. with limonite staining from 39.19 to 39.28 Ft. Bedding planes or very slight mudstone lenses, sporadic from 40.0 to 40.72 ft., very tiny shiny mica flakes.
4839.71	40.72	4836.73	43.70		Mudstone, reddish brown (5YR 5/4). Moist. Soft shale @ 41.55 to 41.9 Ft.
4838.53	41.90	4836.73	43.70		Reworked mudstone with gray siltstone mixed in. Very tiny shiny mica flakes throughout sample.
4836.73	43.70	4830.43	50.00		Same as above. A few minor sandstone fine grain lenses mixed in mudstone.
4830.43	50.00	4829.08	51.35	• •	Siltstone, reddish gray (5YR 5/2) dry. A few shale lenses scattered throughout sample.
4829.08	51.35	4828.06	52.37		Mudstone/shale with some very fine grained sand mixed in various portions of sample. (Reddish- brown)
4828.06	52.37	4826.66	53.77		Very fine grained sand - white (10YR 8/2), with shale lenses, reddish-brown, soft @ 52 and 53.3 to 53.4 Ft
4826.66	53.77	4826.23	54.20		Mudstone, reddish-brown (5YR 4/4). Mixed with tiny shiny fine grained sand. A few black minerals in sand, some limonite staining in sand. Fractured.
4826.23	54.20	4825.73	54.70		Lost.
4825.73	54.70	4825.71	54.72		Sandstone, fine grained with black minerals (biotite?), some white cementation.
4825.71	54.72	4825.4	55.03 [°]		Mudstone, reddish-brown (5YR 4/4) with some very fine grained sandstone.

Note: Depths are feet below ground surface and elevations are feet above Mean Sea Level.

<u>TO</u>	<u>P</u> .	BOT	ТОМ	USCS	
Elev	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION
4825.4	55.03	4825.23	55.20		Sandsone, very fine grained, pinkish-gray (5YR 7/2). with a greenish-claystone lense @ 55.12 ~ 3mm long.
4825.23	55.20	4822.43	58.00		Mudstone, reddish-brown (5YR 4/4). Greenish claystone lense ~ 1mm wide, @ 55.34 Ft.
4822.43	58.00	4821.83	58.60		Lost.
4821.83	58.60	4821.68	58.75	, ¹	Sandstone, very fine grained. Same as above - No claystone lense.
4821.68	58.75	4821.28	59.15		Mudstone, reddish-brown (5YR 4/4) Flecks of shiny mica all through sample.
4821.28	59.15	4820.43	60.00		Mudstone and fine grained sandstone mixed with some siltstone, some red, yellow and black flecks and limonite staining in sandstone.
4820.43	60.00	4820.28	60.15		Siltstone with some fine grain sandstone.
4820.28	60.15	4819.18	61.25		Mudstone, reddish-brown (5YR 4/4), some very slightly silty bedding planes dipping up to 10 degrees. Soft reddish-brown shale @61.10 to 61.25 ft.
4819.18	61.25	4817.03	63.40		Fine grained sandstone and mudstone, reworked, with quartz nodules up to 2cm. Tiny black flecks in sandstone, biotite?
4817.03	63.40	4816.93	63.50		Soft reddish brown shale.
4816.93	63.50	4808.13	72.30	· · · · ·	Reworked mudstone and fine grained sandstone, some siltstone, some quartz stringers and pockets of sandstone with black flecks, shiny tiny flecks in sample.
4808.13	72.30	4807.98	72.45	· · ·	Sandstone, fine grain light gray (10YR 7/1) with a few red, yellow and black mineral grains, quartzose, dry. Some white cementation, calcium carbonate? A few medium quartz grains and a few tiny white to greenish cherts.
4807.98	72.45	4802.78	77.65		Very fine grained sandstone intermixed with mudstone, reddish-brown to light gray. A few black, red & yellow mineral grains.
4802.78	77.65	4801.68	78.75		Mudstone intermixed with very fine grained sandstone, reddish-brown

Note: Depths are feet below ground surface and elevations are feet above Mean Sea Level.

τ

TOP		BOT	ТОМ	USCS			
Elev	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION		
4801.68	78.75	4800.03	80.40	· ·	Hoskinnini Member of Moenkopi Fm. Fine grained quartzose sandstone, light gray (10YR 7/1). Very few red, yellow and black mineral grains, dry.		
4800.03	80.40	4797.73	82.70	· · ·	Fine grained sandstone intermixed with reddish- brown (5YR 4/4) mudstone.		
4797.73	82.70	4796.13	84.30		Sandstone, fine grained quartzose, light gray (10YR 7/1) with some mixing of mudstone, reddish-brown (5YR 4/4) or siltstone. Red, yellow and black mineral grains are abundant, @ 84 Ft., there are medium grains layered about 15mm thick.		
4796.13	84.30	4792.63	87.80	• •	Sandstone, fine to medium grained, reddish- brown (5YR 5/4) limonite staining in very tiny concretions all through sample. Tiny red, yellow & black mineral grains. White cementation lightly with calcium carbonate? Mixed with light gray fine grained sandstone and reddish-brown siltstone. Moist.		
4792.63	87.80	4789.12	91.31		Sandstone, fine to medium grained, reddish- brown (5YR 5/4) red, yellow & black mineral grain, hematite staining throughout. Limonite stained concretions, very tiny white cementation, calcium carbonate? Loosely cemented, saturated, @91.07 to 91.12 ft. and 91.25 to 91.26 ft. and very thin lense @91.31 ft. of sandy reddist brown siltstone/mudstone.		
4789.12	91.31	4730.43	<b>150.00</b>		DE CHELLY SANDSTONE MEMBER OF CUTLER FM. Sandstone, fine grained, quartzose, reddish-yellow (5YR 6/6), Cross bedded, wet. White cementation, calcium carbonate?, of grains, tiny red, yellow and black mineral grains. Very tiny limonite staining around individual sand grains, or small clusters of sand grains, Hematite staining overall. A few areas of limited or no limionite staining or iron oxide staining at 114 ft. and 125.6 ft., and 129.4 to 129.85 ft. Note: Sandstone saturated below 105 ft.		

Note: Depths are feet below ground surface and elevations are feet above Mean Sea Level.

5/6/99

#### ACTEC-ERS 2597 B 3/4 Road

Borehole Summary

.

Page ____ of ____

Grand June	ction, (	Colorado I	B1502	2							
Pacility Gran	dJunct	ion Offic	<u>د</u>	Sit	te <u>Monu</u>	ment Valle	y, Az		Project	UMTRA G	roundliketer
	o	777			·····	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Locati	ion (N) <u>2</u>	160383	_ (E) <u>58</u>	9206
Ground Elev.	(Ft.) <u>4</u>	845,4	Bit	Auge	Size <u>7</u> 5	18 O.D. / 3%	4 <u>I.D,</u>		Hole Depth (	Ft) - 4	7
		TYPE		Diame Vol. (d	eter (inch I. cf. gal)	D.) ' Interv	val (Ft.)		No. of Comp Stick-Up Hei	pletions ght (Ft)	1 2.75
Blank Casing	f	VC Scd. 4	10 _	2		to	31.8		Slot Size	0,010	
Screen Smo/End Ca		<u>liedrich P</u> PVC Scd 40		2	2	<u>3),8</u> to 46.8 to	<u>46,8</u> 47,3		Location Sketc	h 1 . N	
Sand Pack	ר ר יי יי	Silica San	ā	16-	-40	47,3 to	28.2		.760	422	
Sealant Gelut	<u>B</u> PDS	Brodmite p	<u>ell</u> ets_	<u>/4</u> 8	." .B ft3	$\frac{28.2}{75.0}$ to	25.0		15 2 7	65	
Ling Cove	r Install	ed (AN	Pac	llock N	No. <u>334</u>	59			L		]
Drilling Metho	1d <u>Hol</u> B-14-	10 N stem	e Deve	loned	8-18-9	 +	Sampling Me Fluid Lev	ethod <u>N</u> el/Date	000 35.01 TO	10.1 8-	16-97
Simpler(s) 1	arich	Spenc	er			Re	marks				
Depth* Blows	;/ PID ppm	Sample No.; Interval	WI CONS		GRAPHIC ON LOG	DESCRIPTION	·. •				
						Require	d Information:				
<b>É</b> .						Typical n	ame; Munsell d	color; perce	entage sand a	nd gravel; s	orting (poor
						to well); g	frain angularity ted).	; induration	or plasucity;	moisture co	ntent (moist
			14-11 4-11		A 7	Sand, very f	ine to tine	grained,	yellowish	red (5YR	5/6)997.
			1//			sand, 190	silt, pit	g sorted ins. and	a few blac	led, dry, Il and ye,	Atew medium Now mineral
5 +			/			grains,					
					//					<i>.</i> .	:
				[/		10-20 Same	asabice w	15% peb	bles up to l	cm/mg. Sl	ightly moist
			11		1					·	:
			///		//				•		
				· //	/	20-30 Som	e as above	- ne pe	bbles mei	st	
				V	//::::			•	·		
											1 1 7
				10	50 5 1						
						30-40 Sond some	as abuve.	wet.	a.few red	minerala	rains
				≡	- <mark>1</mark> - - 1 - - 1 -	Slightly st	icny. Susp	ect less	than 5%	cloy.	
15				Ξ							
				Ξ	· · · · · ·						
				ΞE		40-49 Sand	very fo.gr.	light rea	ddish briwn	(5YR GA	4) 98% sand
				Ξ	· · · · ·	190 silt, 120	lay slightl.	y plastic	, pour so	rted, subr	conded,
15						Satura ted	, A fewre	dyellow a	and block ,	mineral g	reins,
			Ľ								
5 - 4.9's	T.Q.		<u></u>		<u>.</u>	· .					
All depths measured from ground treet, on pleted By <u>Marick I pencen</u> Verified By <u>Craig</u> S. Hoodknight											

1...

WELL NO. <u>777</u>	JAIE_	<u>8-14-97</u> IIVIE <u>1615</u>
PLANNED INSTALLATION		FINAL INSTALLATION (Some as planned
CASING DIAMETER 2		
CASING STICKUP HEIGHT 2.75		CASING STICKUP HEIGHT
top of grout 2		TOP OF GROUT
GROUT TYPE PDS Bentonites (urig		
top of pellets 25.0		TOP OF PELLETS
SIZE OF PELLETS $1/4''$		•
WATER ADDED TO PELLETS <u>5</u> (Gallons)		
TOP OF FINE SAND NA		TOP OF FINE SAND
SAND SIZE NA		
TOP OF FILTER SAND 28.2		TOP OF FILTER SAND
FILTER SAND SIZE 16-40		
TOP OF SCREEN 31.8		TOP OF SCREEN
TOP OF SLOTS $31.9$		
TYPE OF SCREEN <u>Diedrick</u>	 ==	
	55	
SLOT SIZE	==	
BOTTOM OF SLOTS 46.7	EE	
TOP OF SUMP/END CAP <u>46.8</u>		TOP OF SUMP/END CAP
BOTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP 47.34		BOTTOM OF SUMP/END CAP
	РТН	4.9.0
	· .	· · · ·

5/6/99

GENERAL INFO	RMATION	SCREENING INFORMATION					
SITE:	MONUMENT	VALLEY (MON01)	Elev	Depth			
LOCATION CODE:	0777	TOP GRAVEL PACK:	4817.2	28.20			
DECOMMISSIONED:	No	BOTTOM GRAVEL PACK:	4798.1	47.30			
DAMAGED:	No	TOP OF SCREEN:	4813.6	31.80			
TOC ELEVATION:	4848.24	BOTTOM OF SCREEN:	4798.6	46.80			
SURFACE ELEVATION:	4845.4	GRAVEL PACK LENGTH:	19.1				
BOTTOM ELEVATION:	4796.4	SCREEN LENGTH:	15.0				
TOTAL DEPTH:	49.00	CASING LENGTH:	50.14				
ZONE OF COMPLETION:	ALLUVIUM	CASING DIAMETER (in.):	2	• .			

Lithology Details

TOP		BOTTOM		USCS		
Elev	Depth	Elev	Depth	DESCRIPTION	•	LITHOLOGY DESCRIPTION
4845.4	0.00	4835.4	<b>10.00</b>			Sand, very fine to fine grained, yellowish red (5 YR 5/6). 99% sand, 1% silt, well sorted, subrounded, dry. A few medium sized rounded quartz grains, and a few black and yellow mineral grains.
4835.4	10.00	4825.4	20.00			Same as above with 5% pebbles up to 1 cm. long. Slightly moist.
4825.4	20.00	4815.4	30.00			Same as above - no pebbles, moist.
4815.4	30.00	4805.4	40.00			Sand, same as above, wet, a few red mineral grains. Slightly sticky. Suspect less than 5% clay.
4805.4	40.00	4796.4	49.00			Sand, very fine grained, light reddish brown (5 YR 6/4), 98% sand, 1% silt, 1% clay. Slightly plastic, well sorted, subrounded, saturated. A few red, yellow, and black mineral grains. TD in Quat.

Note: Depths are feet below ground surface and elevations are feet above Mean Sea Level.

#### This page intentionally blank

55

ł

È

# THIS PAGE IS AN OVERSIZED DRAWING OR FIGURE, THAT CAN BE VIEWED AT THE RECORD TITLED: DRAWING NO.: U0017100-01, "PLATE 1: GEOLOGIC MAP OF MONUMENT

VALLEY SITE"

# WITHIN THIS PACKAGE... OR, BY SEARCHING USING THE DOCUMENT/REPORT DRAWING NO. U0017100-01

**D-01** 

# THIS PAGE IS AN OVERSIZED DRAWING OR FIGURE,

THAT CAN BE VIEWED AT THE RECORD TITLED: DRAWING NO.: U0023900, "PLATE 2: GEOLOGIC CROSS-SECTIONS OF MONUMENT VALLEY SITE"

WITHIN THIS PACKAGE... OR, BY SEARCHING USING THE DOCUMENT/REPORT DRAWING NO. U0023900

**D-02**