

5/29/07
79 FR 29555

From: <TConley@kdhe.state.ks.us>
To: "Jennifer Tobin" <JCT1@nrc.gov>
Date: Thu, Jul 12, 2007 9:04 AM
Subject: Kansas comments on draft NARM guidance

6

Kansas concurs with the comments submitted by Cheryl Rogers of Wisconsin.

In addition, Kansas has the following two additional comments:

Comment 1:

The note in Appendix P of Nureg 1556 volume 21 stating it only applies to educational and federal institutions should be stricken. There are existing consortiums which meet the criteria in the guidance for consortiums but are neither educational nor federal institutions. Appendix P should apply to these private non-commercial consortiums also.

Comment 2:

The example license in Appendix D of Nureg 1556 volume 21 includes an authorization for the possession of activation products incident to the production of the primary isotopes produced. See line L of items 6, 7, 8 and 9 of the example license:

L. Any byproduct material with millicuries per nuclide, 1 curie atomic numbers 1 through 83 total possession, except as noted
L. Activated Components, Associated Equipment, and/or
L. 50

Building Materials

L. For storage and disposal of byproduct materials incidental to the production of radionuclides.

This line item should be stricken from the example license. The Energy Policy Act of 2005 specifically excludes accelerator produced activation products from the revised definition of byproduct material. The following excerpt from Section 170H(e) of the EAct illustrates:

"(i) has been made radioactive by use of a particle accelerator; and (ii) is produced, extracted, or converted after extraction, before, on, or after the date of enactment of this paragraph for use for a commercial, medical, or research activity;"

It is clear there are two tests that accelerator produced radioactive material must meet before they can be considered "byproduct material" under the new definition. First, the material must be made radioactive by use of a particle accelerator, and second the material must be "produced..... for a commercial, medical, or research activity."

The first test is clearly met, however, since activation products referenced in line L of the example license are not specifically produced for a commercial, medical or research activity they do not meet the second test and therefore cannot be considered "byproduct material" and do not fall under the authority of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission as granted by the EAct.

If this is not stricken from the example license then NRC should seek an OGC opinion clarifying the intent of the EAct and justifying the inclusion of these activation products in thier regulatory authority.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this guidance.

RECEIVED

2007 JUL 12 AM 10:58

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
LSN/PC

SUNSI Review Complete
Template = ADH-013

ERISS = ADM-03
Call = J. Taylor (THT)
J. Tobin (JCT1)
D. White (DEW2)

Thomas A. Conley, RRPT, CHP
Section Chief, Radiation and Asbestos Control
Kansas Department of Health and Environment
Phone: (785) 296-1565
email: tconley@kdhe.state.ks.us

CC: "Paul Schmidt" <SCHMIPS@dhfs.state.wi.us>, "Steve Collins"
<Steve.Collins@illinois.gov>, "Cheryl Rogers" <RogerCK@dhfs.state.wi.us>

Mail Envelope Properties (469626B7.2BD : 4 : 45757)

Subject: Kansas comments on draft NARM guidance
Creation Date Thu, Jul 12, 2007 9:05 AM
From: <TConley@kdhe.state.ks.us>

Created By: TConley@kdhe.state.ks.us

Recipients

nrc.gov

TWGWPO02.HQGWDO01
 JCT1 (Jennifer Tobin)

dhfs.state.wi.us

RogerCK CC (Cheryl Rogers)
 SCHMIPS CC (Paul Schmidt)

illinois.gov

Steve.Collins CC (Steve Collins)

Post Office

TWGWPO02.HQGWDO01

Route

nrc.gov
 dhfs.state.wi.us
 illinois.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2848	Thursday, July 12, 2007 9:05 AM
Mime.822	4131	

Options

Expiration Date: None
Priority: Standard
ReplyRequested: No
Return Notification: None

Concealed Subject: No
Security: Standard