

**PRM-51-10**  
**(71FR64169)**

72

**From:** "Linda A. Heath" <heathster@adelphia.net>  
**To:** <secy@nrc.gov>  
**Date:** Mon, Jul 2, 2007 9:28 PM  
**Subject:** Massachusetts Attorney General Petition for Rulemaking, Docket No. PRM-51-10

Attn: Rulemakings and Adjudications

I am writing in full support of the Massachusetts Attorney General Petition for Rulemaking (Docket No. PRM-51-10), which requests that the NRC evaluate the environmental impacts of severe accidents and intentional attacks on spent fuel storage in its licensing decisions. The NRC must also consider measures for mitigating these impacts.

The densely-packed spent fuel pools found at every reactor site are vulnerable to terrorist attack and severe accidents that could result in large radioactive releases and the contamination of vast areas. Recent studies make it clear that NRC's claim that a spent fuel fire is "highly remote" is simply incorrect. A 2006 study by the National Academies found that a terrorist attack could cause "considerable physical damage to a spent fuel storage facility" and that even the partial loss of water in a spent fuel pool could lead to a fire and the release radioactivity to the environment.

The Ninth Circuit Court decision in *San Luis Obispo Mothers for Peace v. NRC* concluded that the NRC must evaluate the environmental impacts of a terrorist attack on spent fuel storage in its licensing decisions. I am dismayed that the NRC would choose to ignore this decision outside of the Ninth Circuit. Not only did the court's decision clearly dismiss the NRC's claims that these impacts do not have to be considered in environmental reviews, the Supreme Court refused to hear the appeal. When faced with the same decision, the Department of Energy (DOE) properly decided to apply the decision across the entire country. The NRC must follow the DOE's lead and apply the Ninth Circuit decision to all of its licensing decisions across the country.

Sincerely,

Linda A. Heath  
8481 Acadia Drive  
Sagamore Hills, OH 44067

DOCKETED  
USNRC

July 3, 2007 (7:47am)

OFFICE OF SECRETARY  
RULEMAKINGS AND  
ADJUDICATIONS STAFF

**Mail Envelope Properties** (4689A634.7B2 : 2 : 34738)

**Subject:** Massachusetts Attorney General Petition for Rulemaking, Docket No. PRM-51-10  
**Creation Date** Mon, Jul 2, 2007 9:28 PM  
**From:** "Linda A. Heath" <[heathster@adelphia.net](mailto:heathster@adelphia.net)>  
**Created By:** [heathster@adelphia.net](mailto:heathster@adelphia.net)

**Recipients**

nrc.gov  
 TWGWPO02.HQGWDO01  
 SECY (SECY)

**Post Office**

TWGWPO02.HQGWDO01

**Route**

nrc.gov

<b>Files</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
MESSAGE	1798	Monday, July 2, 2007 9:28 PM
Mime.822	3108	

**Options**

**Expiration Date:** None  
**Priority:** Standard  
**ReplyRequested:** No  
**Return Notification:** None

**Concealed Subject:** No  
**Security:** Standard

**Junk Mail Handling Evaluation Results**

Message is eligible for Junk Mail handling  
 This message was not classified as Junk Mail

**Junk Mail settings when this message was delivered**

Junk Mail handling disabled by User  
 Junk Mail handling disabled by Administrator  
 Junk List is not enabled  
 Junk Mail using personal address books is not enabled  
 Block List is not enabled