To: Dyer. NRR
cyp.

EDO
DEDR
DEDMRS
DEDIA
AO

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
CORRESPONDENCE CONTROL TICKET

Date Printed: Jul 05, 2007 15:38

Collins, RI
Fields, OEDO

PAPER NUMBER:	LTR-07-0453
LOGGING DATE:	07/05/2007
ACTION OFFICE:	EDO
AUTHOR:	Mr. Tom Gurdziel
AFFILIATION:	NY
ADDRESSEE:	Mr. J. Wayne Leonard (Entergy Corp)
SUBJECT:	Concerns Entergy / Indian Point
ACTION:	Appropriate
DISTRIBUTION:	Chrm, Comrs
LETTER DATE:	06/12/2007
ACKNOWLEDGED	No
SPECIAL HANDLING:	
NOTES:	
FILE LOCATION:	ADAMS
DATE DUE:	
DATE SIGNED:	

Template: SECY-017

E-RIDS: SECY-01

Chairman Dale E. Klein,

FYI

Dan Sundzieł

9 Twin Orchard Drive
Oswego, NY 13126
June 12, 2007

Mr. J. Wayne Leonard
Chief Executive Officer
Entergy Corporation
500 Clinton Center Drive
Clinton, MS 39056

Dear Mr. J. Wayne Leonard:

I think that your Entergy/Indian Point contaminated groundwater investigation is out of control. Time just keeps passing with NO contaminated water pumped out of the ground for treatment. Additionally, the search for the source of such leakage into the ground has resulted in no announcements of success at Unit 1 or at Unit 2. (I believe that Unit 3 is presently being ignored.)

Perhaps an example would be useful.

At Nine Mile Point, Unit 2, in the summer of 1976, the main owner, Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation, heard from their geological engineering company that they had identified a major problem with "rock squeeze". The consultants reported that they would need the construction site shut down for two years so they could take undisturbed measurements. (Since the reactor building extended downward a good distance into rock, the problem was the possibility that the rock would move and apply additional load.)

Niagara Mohawk decided that they could either shutdown construction work on weekends for 3 months or hire another geological consultant. The geological engineering company decided that 3 months of quiet weekends was acceptable.

Additionally, Stone & Webster Engineering Corporation then specified two layers of a foam material installed on the outside of the reactor building in the areas where rock existed.

To summarize, the owner specified a limited period of time to do the investigation work. Mitigating action was taken BEFORE the final results of the rock squeeze investigation were known. (More could have been taken later, before the building was backfilled.)

To apply the example to your site, you would need to apply a deadline for site investigations, and then ask for their best judgment, given that the accumulated information to date would not be totally complete. Using the recommendations received, you would then finally start treating the contaminated groundwater of the entire site.

Let me also point out that doing blacktop work on a site where you are having a groundwater investigation done appears to me to actually confuse the issue and results. (I believe that I saw a picture of blacktop paving in a recent set of slides available to the public.)

Yours truly,

Tom Gurdziel

Thomas Gurdziel
9 Twin Orchard Drive
Oswego, New York 13126

SYRACUSE NY 132
19 JUN 2007 PM 2 T



Chairman Dale E. Klein
USNRC
Washington, DC
20555-0001

16C1

20555*0001