

From: John S Clarke <jsclarke@usgs.gov>
To: "Mark Notich" <mdn@nrc.gov>
Date: 6/13/2007 12:08:08 PM
Subject: Re: Report?--Geologic/Hydrogeologic nomenclature
cc: "Gregory S Cherry" <gccherry@usgs.gov>,"John S Clarke" <jsclarke@usgs.gov>

Thanks Mark--Looking over the report, it is apparent we are going to have some issues regarding geologic and hydrogeologic nomenclature. The report neglects to incorporate the wide array of more recent studies that were conducted as part of the USGS-Dept of Energy SRS Trans-River Flow study. Numerous coreholes, wells, and geophysical data were collected and analyzed by USGS as part of that study. We are using the stratigraphy and hydrogeologic framework established by that study as a basis for our work, so there won't be a match between the two sections of the report.

"Mark Notich" <mdn@nrc.gov>
06/13/2007 09:58 AM

To
"John S Clarke" <jsclarke@usgs.gov>
cc

Subject
Re: Report?

John:

It's available on the NRC website.

Go to:

NRC.gov

click on the Nuclear Reactors banner

click on "New Reactor Licensing"

Click on Early Site Permits

Click on Vogtle ESP Site

click on Vogtle ESP application

These are the sections of the SSAR (Site Safety Assessment Report) and the ER (Environmental Report). The SSAR is the first several sections of the application.

Let me know if you have any problems accessing the documents.

Mark

>>> John S Clarke <jsclarke@usgs.gov> 6/13/2007 9:49 AM >>>
Mark--in the scope of work document, the "SNC SSAR" report is cited several times. Can we obtain a copy of this report?

Hearing Identifier: Vogtle_Public
Email Number: 438

Mail Envelope Properties (468CE7B3.HQGWDO01.TWGWPO04.200.2000014.1.C5F74.1)

Subject: Re: Report?--Geologic/Hydrogeologic nomenclature
Creation Date: 6/13/2007 12:08:08 PM
From: John S Clarke <jsclarke@usgs.gov>

Created By: jsclarke@usgs.gov

Recipients "Gregory S Cherry" <gccherry@usgs.gov>,"John S Clarke"
<jsclarke@usgs.gov>
"Mark Notich" <mdn@nrc.gov>

Post Office
TWGWPO04.HQGWDO01

Route
nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	1403	6/13/2007 12:08:08 PM
TEXT.htm	2302	7/5/2007 12:44:35 PM
Mime.822	5186	7/5/2007 12:44:35 PM

Options
Priority: Standard
Reply Requested: No
Return Notification: None
None

Concealed Subject: No
Security: Standard

Thanks Mark--Looking over the report, it is apparent we are going to have some issues regarding geologic and hydrogeologic nomenclature. The report neglects to incorporate the wide array of more recent studies that were conducted as part of the USGS-Dept of Energy SRS Trans-River Flow study. Numerous coreholes, wells, and geophysical data were collected and analyzed by USGS as part of that study. We are using the stratigraphy and hydrogeologic framework established by that study as a basis for our work, so there won't be a match between the two sections of the report.

"Mark Notich" <mdn@nrc.gov>

To "John S Clarke" <jsclarke@usgs.gov>

cc

Subject Re: Report?

06/13/2007 09:58 AM

John:

It's available on the NRC website.

Go to:

NRC.gov

click on the Nuclear Reactors banner

click on "New Reactor Licensing"

Click on Early Site Permits

Click on Vogtle ESP Site

click on Vogtle ESP application

These are the sections of the SSAR (Site Safety Assessment Report) and the ER (Environmental Report). The SSAR is the first several sections of the application.

Let me know if you have any problems accessing the documents.

Mark

>>> John S Clarke <jsclarke@usgs.gov> 6/13/2007 9:49 AM >>>

Mark--in the scope of work document, the "SNC SSAR" report is cited several times. Can we obtain a copy of this report?