,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Page 1
NRC FORM 699			
			06/28/2007
			TIME
			9:00am
NAME OF PERSON(S) CONTACTED OR IN CONTACT WITH '	YOU	TELEPHONE NO.	TYPE OF CONVERSATION
Stefan Anton, Indresh Rampall, Debu Majumo	dar, Evan Rosenbaum	856-797-0900	VISIT
Holtec International SUBJECT			
License Amendment Request - HI-STORM 100 Amendment Request Thermal items			
SUMMARY (Continue on Page 2)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
NRC Attendees: Christopher Regan, Michael V	Waters, Jorge Solis		
<ul> <li>Amendment 4. The goal was for the staff to co The staff proposed the following solutions and application materials:</li> <li>1) In light of the staffs concerns regarding Hol proposes to impose a vacuum drying time limit Below 23kw there will be no time limits impose The staff requested Holtec to proposed a TS in It was understood by both parties that typical load so imposition of the time limits would, fro eventual users. The specific time limit will be o hours.</li> <li>2) Holtec should remove FSAR Section 4.5.1 at 04/10/06. This should include incorporation o</li> <li>3) Holtec, in Section 4.5.3 of FSAR Rev 3.K. sl</li> <li>4) Holtec should remove, in its entirety, the first that this paragraph was not factually accurate reached a conclusion that the analyses were ad</li> </ul>	actions the staff will take ltec analysis supporting va t for heat loads between 23 ed. The staff stated this wi in the form and using the la lly casks with higher heat 1 om a practical standpoint, in determined by the staff soc nd replace it with Section 4 of applicable results Tables hould include the entire te est paragraph of FSAR Reve e, in that the staff had revise	and followup changes Holter cuum drying at heat loads up BKw and 28.74kw. for fuel w ill be consistent with TS used nguage they develop. Holter loads dry more quickly than not necessarily place a strict on but estimated time from w 4.5.1 of the HI-STORM 100 s (Table 4.5.2). ext of Section 4.5.1.1.4 of FSA	c should make to the p to 28.74Kw. The staff vith certain burnup. I for other vendor casks. c agreed to propose a TS. casks with lower heats er requirement on would be in excess of 48 FSAR Revision 4 dated AR Rev 4. ff expressed concerns
5) Holtec should update Section 4.5.5 of FSAR	Rev. 3.K to include refere	ence results from the previou	isly approved FSAR.
Continue on Page 2	· ·		
ACTION REQUIRED			
None			
			·····
	SIGNATURE		DATE
C. Regan	100 7		06/28/2007
None		:	
	·		
TITLE OF PERSON TAKING ACTION	SIGNATURE OF PERSON TA	AKING ACTION	DATE

,

## **CONVERSATION RECORD (Continued)**

SUMMARY (Continue on Page 3)

6) Holtec should correct TS LCO 3.1.4. The hand written markup was unclear regarding AND and OR statements. Holtec agreed to provide clarification of the markup. There was a mutual understanding of the clarification needed.

7) The staff was concerned with ensuring an MPC wall temperature below 125degF. and asked for clarification of how this is ensured by users of the design. Holtec explained that water in the annular gap is monitored for flow and outlet temperature to ensure the wall temperature limit is not exceeded. This has been demonstrated through several dry runs and witnessed by NRC inspectors on-site. However, due to the staffs concern Holtec agreed to include in Chapter 8 of the FSAR additional clarity of the steps to be taken regarding annular gap cooling and what and how the 125degF MPC wall temperature limit is maintained.

Holtec committed to provide revised information by 07/02/07 with a followup conference call to be scheduled.