

NRC FORM 699  
(9-2003)

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

DATE

06/26/2007

**CONVERSATION RECORD**

TIME

3:30pm

NAME OF PERSON(S) CONTACTED OR IN CONTACT WITH YOU

**Stefan Anton, Tammi Moran**

TELEPHONE NO.

**856-797-0900**

TYPE OF CONVERSATION

VISIT

CONFERENCE

TELEPHONE

INCOMING

OUTGOING

ORGANIZATION

**Holtec International**

SUBJECT

**License Amendment Request 5 - HI-STORM 100 IP1 Issues**

SUMMARY (Continue on Page 2)

**NRC participants: Christopher Regan, Michael Call**

**NRC called Holtec International to convey outstanding issues regarding LAR 1014-5. The goal was resolve and correct minor inconsistency issues in the Certificate of Compliance (CoC), Technical Specifications (TS), and Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) and obtain clarification of Requests for Additional Information (RAI) SH-3 from the Holtec RAI response dated May 18, 2007.**

**The consistency issues identified by the staff that require Holtec action (revision to the documentation) included:**

- 1) Additional text needs to be added to the CoC to provide dimensions for the water jacket and shield.**
- 2) The definition of transfer cask should be made consistent in the TS (Appendices A and B) with the new revised language proposed in the COC.**
- 3) The language in the FSAR Chapter 2 Supplement (2.II.0) should be made consistent with the text in the main body of Chapter 2 (2.0.3) regarding the transfer cask**
- 4) The language in FSAR Chapter 2 Supplement should be made consistent with the text in the main body of Chapter 2 regarding exclusion of loading certain 14x14 fuels.**

**Holtec indicated that the chapter supplements may not have been appropriately revised to reflect the latest proposed revisions to the CoC and TS. The staff advised that all the Chapter Supplements be reviewed for consistency with the revisions proposed in response to the RAIs.**

**The staff also requested clarification of the response to RAI SH-3. The staff was confused that there was an apparent change in the results regarding reference to the Dresden analyses used as a bounding case. Holtec indicated that there was an issue with a previous calculation that has since been corrected and may explain the change in the results regarding dose contribution from the antimony-beryllium sources.**

**Continue on Page 2**

ACTION REQUIRED

None

NAME OF PERSON DOCUMENTING CONVERSATION

**C. Regan**

SIGNATURE



DATE

**06/27/2007**

ACTION TAKEN

None

TITLE OF PERSON TAKING ACTION

SIGNATURE OF PERSON TAKING ACTION

DATE