

From: "John Runkle" <jrunkle@mindspring.com>
To: "Ann Young" <AMY@nrc.gov>, <mignerey@mail.umd.edu>, <jim@NCWARN.org>, <pgunter@nirs.org>, "Alice Mignerey" <ACM3@nrc.gov>, "Debra Wolf" <daw1@nrc.gov>, "David Roth" <DER@nrc.gov>, "HearingDocket" <HearingDocket@nrc.gov>, "Karen Valloch" <KSV@nrc.gov>, <OCAAMAIL@nrc.gov>, "Peter Lam" <PSL@nrc.gov>, "SECY" <SECY@nrc.gov>, "Sherwin Turk" <SET@nrc.gov>, "SherVerne Cloyd" <SRC2@nrc.gov>, <David.Lewis@Pillsburylaw.com>, <John.O'Neill@Pillsburylaw.com>
Date: Tue, Jun 12, 2007 11:30 AM
Subject: Re: Shearon Harris oral argument

I am available on the July 17 for oral argument. The only conflict I know of with a limited appearance session on July 16 in the evening is the regular meeting of the Chatham County Board of Commissioners (Chatham County has jurisdiction within the 10 mile EPZ). I suggest that we may want to carry over the limited appearance session for an hour on July 17 to accommodate those who need to attend that meeting.

DOCKETED
USNRC

FOR THE PETITIONERS

June 12, 2007 (11:30am)

John D. Runkle
 Attorney at Law
 P.O. Box 3793
 Chapel Hill, NC 27515
 919-942-0600 (o&f)
 jrunkle@mindspring.com

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
 RULEMAKINGS AND
 ADJUDICATIONS STAFF

Docket No. 50-400-LR

RAS No. 13754

----- Original Message -----

From: "Ann Young" <AMY@nrc.gov>
 To: <mignerey@mail.umd.edu>; <jrunkle@mindspring.com>; <jim@NCWARN.org>; <pgunter@nirs.org>; "Alice Mignerey" <ACM3@nrc.gov>; "Ann Young" <AMY@nrc.gov>; "Debra Wolf" <daw1@nrc.gov>; "David Roth" <DER@nrc.gov>; "HearingDocket" <HearingDocket@nrc.gov>; "Karen Valloch" <KSV@nrc.gov>; <OCAAMAIL@nrc.gov>; "Peter Lam" <PSL@nrc.gov>; "SECY" <SECY@nrc.gov>; "Sherwin Turk" <SET@nrc.gov>; "SherVerne Cloyd" <SRC2@nrc.gov>; <David.Lewis@Pillsburylaw.com>; <John.O'Neill@Pillsburylaw.com>
 Sent: Tuesday, June 12, 2007 11:06 AM
 Subject: Shearon Harris oral argument

Parties:

Yesterday, in consideration of Staff counsel's request for a date of July 19 or later for oral argument, I sent an email asking about July 19 as a possible date. However, I was not aware when I sent the earlier email that one of the board members will not be available on that date. Thus, it will be necessary to hold the argument on July 17, and I will issue an order to this effect in the near future. We regret that this will inconvenience staff counsel, but we have not been able to find another date that will work, and counsel has indicated that this is possible for them, which we appreciate.

In setting the limited appearance session, we are inclined toward the evening of July 16 for this, but if it would make a significant difference to hold it on the evening of July 17, we can probably do this. Please let us know your preferences.

Template=SECY-043

SECY-02

Finally, I think that the email service list is correct, but please advise if this is not true.

Thank you.

Judge Young

Mail Envelope Properties (466EBBFF.6D7 : 16 : 38615)

Subject: Re: Shearon Harris oral argument
Creation Date Tue, Jun 12, 2007 11:28 AM
From: "John Runkle" <jrunkle@mindspring.com>

Created By: jrunkle@mindspring.com

Recipients

nrc.gov

TWGWPO04.HQGWDO01

AMY (Ann Young)

daw1 (Debra Wolf)

KSV (Karen Valloch)

OCAAMAIL

nrc.gov

TWGWPO01.HQGWDO01

ACM3 (Alice Mignerey)

PSL (Peter Lam)

nrc.gov

TWGWPO03.HQGWDO01

DER (David Roth)

nrc.gov

OWGWPO02.HQGWDO01

HearingDocket (HearingDocket HearingDocket)

nrc.gov

TWGWPO02.HQGWDO01

SECY (SECY SECY)

SET (Sherwin Turk)

nrc.gov

OWGWPO03.HQGWDO01

SRC2 (SherVerne Cloyd)

Pillsburylaw.com

John.O'Neill

David.Lewis

nirs.org

pgunter

NCWARN.org
jim

mail.umd.edu
mignerey

Post Office

TWGWPO04.HQGWDO01
TWGWPO01.HQGWDO01
TWGWPO03.HQGWDO01
OWGWPO02.HQGWDO01
TWGWPO02.HQGWDO01
OWGWPO03.HQGWDO01

Route

nrc.gov
nrc.gov
nrc.gov
nrc.gov
nrc.gov
nrc.gov
Pillsburylaw.com
nirs.org
NCWARN.org
mail.umd.edu

Files

MESSAGE
Mime.822

Size

2164
4390

Date & Time

Tuesday, June 12, 2007 11:28 AM

Options

Expiration Date: None
Priority: Standard
ReplyRequested: No
Return Notification: None

Concealed Subject: No
Security: Standard

Junk Mail Handling Evaluation Results

Message is eligible for Junk Mail handling
This message was not classified as Junk Mail

Junk Mail settings when this message was delivered

Junk Mail handling disabled by User
Junk Mail handling disabled by Administrator
Junk List is not enabled
Junk Mail using personal address books is not enabled
Block List is not enabled