

Indian Point Energy Center 450 Broadway, GSB P.O. Box 249 Buchanan, N.Y. 10511-0249 Tel (914) 734-6700

Fred Dacimo Site Vice President

June 4, 2007 Indian Point 3 Docket No. 50-286 NL-07-052

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Mail Stop O-P1-17 Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

Subject: Licensee Event Report # 2007-001-00, "Manual Reactor Trip Due to Decreasing Steam Generator Levels as a Result of the Loss of Feedwater Flow Caused by the Failure of 32 Main Feedwater Pump Train A Control Logic Power Supply"

Dear Sir or Madam:

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.73(a)(1), Entergy Nuclear Operations Inc. (ENO) hereby provides Licensee Event Report (LER) 2007-001-00. The attached LER identifies an event where the reactor was manually tripped while critical, which is reportable under 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A). This condition has been recorded in the Entergy Corrective Action Program as Condition Report CR-IP3-2007-01775.

There are no new commitments identified in this letter. Should you have any questions regarding this submittal, please contact Mr. T. R. Jones, Manager, Licensing at (914) 734-6670.

Sincerely,

Fred R. Dacimo Site Vice President Indian Point Energy Center

cc: Mr. Samuel J Collins, Regional Administrator, NRC Region I NRC Resident Inspector's Office, Indian Point 3 Mr. Paul Eddy, New York State Public Service Commission INPO Record Center

NACINR

NRC FOI	RM 366		U.	S. NUCLE	EAR RE	GULA	ATORY CO	DMMIS	SION	APP	ROVED BY	OMB NO.	3150-	0104 EX	PIRE	S: 6/	30/2007
(8-2004)	LICE	ENSEE	EVE	NT R	EPO)RT	' (LER	ł)		Estima reques licensi estima Nuclea e-mail and R Budge collect not co inform	ated burden pr st: 50 hours. ng process and te to the Rec ar Regulatory fair egulatory Affair t, Washington, ion does not dii onduct or spon ation collection.	r response Reported la fed back to rds and FO ommission, ¹ ⊉nrc.gov, an s, NEOB-I020 DC 20503. play a currer sor, and a µ	to com industry IA/Privac Washing d to the 02, (315 If a mea htly valid person i	ply with this learned are . Send comr y Service IE ton, DC 205 Desk Office D-0104), Offi ans used to OMB contro s not requis	s man incor ments Branch 555-000 er, Offic ce of N impos I numb red to	datory porated regardi (T-5 D1, or ce of I Manage e an i er, the respo	collection d into the ing burden F52), U.S. by internet nformation ement and nformation NRC may nd to, the
1. FACII	LITY NA	ME INI	DIAN P	OINT 3						2. D0	OCKET NUN 05000	IBER -286		3. PAGE 1 C	F	5	
4. TITLE of Fee Power	Manua edwate Suppl	al Reac r Flow Y	tor Ti Cause	rip Du d by t	e to he Fa	Dec ailu	reasin re of	g St 32 №	eam (Iain	Gener Feeds	rator Lev water Pu	rels as mp Trai	a Re n A	esult o Control	f tl L Lo	he I gic	JOSS
5. E	VENT D	ATE	6. L	ER NUM	IBER		7. RE	PORT	DATE		8.	OTHER F	ACILII	TIES INVO	DLVE	D	
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUEN NUMBE	TIAL F	REV. NO.	MONTH	DAY	YEA	FA R	CILITY NAME			C (OCKE 0500	t num D	BER
4	03	2007	2007	- 001	- (00	6	4	200	7 FA	CILITY NAME			C	OCKE	t num D	BER
9. OPEF 10. POW	1 VER LEV 53%	MODE /EL	11. TH 20.2	IS REPO 2201(b) 2203(a)(2203(a)(2203(a)(2203(a)(2203(a)(2203(a)(2203(a)(2203(a)(1) 2)(i) 2)(ii) 2)(iii) 2)(iv) 2)(v) 2)(v) 2)(vi)	SUB	MITTED 20.22 20.22 50.36 50.36 50.36 50.46 50.73 50.73	PURS 03(a)(03(a)(03(a)((c)(1)((c)(1)((c)(2) (a)(2)((a)(2)((a)(2)(UANT (3)(i) (4) (i)(A) (ii)(A) (ii)(A) (i)(A) (i)(B)		HE REQUIR] 50.73(a)(] 50.73(a)(] 50.73(a)(] 50.73(a)(] 50.73(a)(] 50.73(a)(] 50.73(a)(] 50.73(a)(] 50.73(a)(] 50.73(a)(EMENTS (2)(i)(C) 2)(ii)(A) 2)(ii)(B) 2)(ii)(B) 2)(iv)(A) 2)(v)(A) 2)(v)(A) 2)(v)(B) 2)(v)(C) 2)(v)(C) 2)(v)(D)		CFR §: (0 50.73(a) 50.73(a) 50.73(a) 50.73(a) 50.73(a) 73.71(a) 73.71(a) OTHER Specify in in NRC F	Check (2)(v (2)(v (2)(v (2)(i) (2)(i) (2)(x (4) (5) Abstr orm 3	all tha iii) iii)(A iii)(B ()(A)) ract be 66A	at apply)))
					12	2. LIC	ENSEE	CONT	ACT F	OR TH	IIS LER						
NAME Vi	incent	Andre	ozzi,	System	n Engi	ine	er Supe	ervis	sor		TE (LEPHONE 914) 73	NUMB 4-68	ER (Includ 16	e Area	a Cod	e)
	-	13. C				OR E		MPO	NENT	FAILU		BED IN T	HIS RE	PORT			
CAUSE		SYSTEM	СОМРО	NENT	MANU FACTUR	I- IER	REPORT/ TO EP	ABLE IX	CAL	JSE	SYSTEM	СОМРО		MANUFA RER	СТО	REP T	ORTABLE O EPIX
X		JK	J		L253	3	. Y										
T YES) (If yes, d	14. SUP complete 15	5. EXPEC	NTAL RE	EPORT BMISSIC	EXF DN DA	ATE)	⊠ №			15. E SUBMIS	SION DA	TE	MONTH	D	AY	YEAR
16. ABS On cor log flc 041 cor pla cor sta aut The res the log reg sel cor	STRAC April ntrol gic coi pow. C 17 hou ntrol ant wa ndense art as comati e caus sult o e 31 M gic po gulato lf rev crecti cablis	F (Limit to 3, 200 circuit ntrol control rs, aft rods fu s stabi r. The offsit cally s e of th f the f BFP ins wer sup r. The ealing ve acti hment c	1400 sp 7, wi was of room of cer obs cer obs lized ere was cere was cere was cere was cere was cere was cere obs cere obs	paces, i.e th the de-ene t for operat servin nserte in ho s no r er rem d as e e of t nt bus ailure caused nclude be 10	a, appr 31 M rgize the 3 ors m g rap d and t sta adiat adiat creas he 32 powe was etect repl vear	Main Main ed i 32 M manu pidl andb t cal andb t cal andb t cal a a d u cal a cal cal	ately 15 s Baile Baile MBFP wai BFP wai Sy decr Clareque by with a releas vailabl due to g SG le BFP Tra supply s to a cailure cailure cae unti	single- er Fe oarat is lo ireasi ired se. Ste vels bad of 12 if 32 c Ma	spaced edwa ion st re ated ng s safe ated The The due Lov isol pin an as MBF	d type ter I for r esult a re team ety s eat f enera to I ejoy ated conne uctio reque P Tra	written lines Pump (MB) maintenan ting in 1 eactor th generato systems 1 initially rgency D liary Fe ator low loss of 1 control control . The 32 ection of phered to ain A con) P) shu hce, wh coss of ip (RT or (SG) being being being being conction being being conction being being conction being conction being conction being conction being conction being conction being conction being conction conction being conction conction being conction c	tdown en un feed) at leve ned p remo Syst from FW o power Train ower upply load	h, the hexpect approx els. A properl by dors d tem shrink control r supply y that power	31 if eedl (FW iima ll1 y. th if as y w ttro vo was if i supp	MBFI y th) The e manot fect a hen lltag not cant ply,	p ne 2 2 2 3 in 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2

NRC FORM 366AU.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (1-2001) LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)											
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET (2)		LER NUMBER	₹ (6)			PAC	ie (3)			
		YEAR	SEQUENTI NUMBER	AL	REVISION NUMBER						
Indian Point Unit 3	05000-286	2007	- 01	•	00	2	OF	5			

Note: The Energy Industry Identification System Codes defined in IEEE Std 803-1983 (components) and IEEE Std 805-1984 (Systems) are identified within the brackets {}.

DESCRIPTION OF EVENT

On April 3, 2007, at approximately 53% reactor power, after a power reduction and shut down of the 31 Main Boiler Feedwater Pump (MBFP) {P}, while preparing to perform maintenance for the 31 MBFP indicator control power supply {JX}, the logic control power supply for the 32 MBFP was unexpectedly lost resulting in the loss of main feedwater (FW) {SJ} flow. Control room (CR) operators manually initiated a reactor trip (RT) {JC} at approximately 0417 hours, after observing rapidly decreasing steam generator (SG) {AB} levels. All control rods {AA} fully inserted and all required safety systems functioned properly. The plant was stabilized in hot standby with decay heat initially being removed by the main condenser {SG} through the main steam (MS) {SB} dump valves. At approximately 0446 hours, operators closed the MS isolation valves (MSIVs) {ISV} due to low reactor coolant system average temperature. There was no radiation release. The Emergency Diesel Generators (EDGs) {EK} did not start as offsite power remained available. The Auxiliary Feedwater (AFW) {BA} pumps automatically started as expected due to SG low level from shrink effect. An investigation into the cause of the event and a post transient evaluation was initiated.

During plant startup from an outage there were several problems with the power supply for the 31 MBFP Lovejoy control system {JK} one of which was the +5 volt display power supply of Train B. A decision was made to replace the power supply and since its wiring was soldered the power supply was required to be isolated to perform the work. Because both the 31 and 32 MBFP Lovejoy control system power supply wiring was in the same electrical box, a trip risk was possible and a decision was made by plant management to take the 31 MBFP out of service and isolate the power to the 31 MBFP Lovejoy control panel. At approximately 0320 hours, operators began a 50 MW power reduction to support shutting down the 31 MBFP to replace its Lovejoy display power supply. Prior to the event all Control Rods were withdrawn from the reactor core and in Auto, AFW pumps were in standby, the EDGs were in standby, off-site power was in service, the 32 MBFP was in-service with its Foxboro Control System in manual, and 31 MBFP was shutdown. Instrumentation and Control (I&C) personnel were to replace the 31 MBFP + 5 volt Train B power supply in order to maintain two trains of power supply for MBFP Lovejoy indications. The power supply replacement required de-energizing the instrument power supply for 31 MBFP Train B. The Field Support Supervisor (FSS) prepared tagouts and identified 118 volt supply breaker 10 in Instrument Bus Panel 31A per plant drawings which was verified by Engineering as the correct supply. Troubleshooting prior to the de-energization indicated the Train A power supply was normal when it was paralleled with the Train B power supply. At approximately 0414 hours, the circuit breaker {BKR} was opened to de-energize the 31 MBFP Lovejoy power supply on instrument bus 31A, {EE} circuit 10. At that time the indicated 32 MBFP speed reduced to approximately 800 rpm, but with 32 MBFP discharge pressure ramping up. As a result of the unexpected response; Operators closed the circuit breaker for instrument bus 31A circuit 10 and attempted to increase 32 MBFP speed manually with no response. The AMSAC Alert alarm activated (indicating low FW flow) and the MBFP recirculation valve opened and SG levels were observed decreasing. With an apparent loss of FW control and decreasing SG levels, a manual RT was initiated.

NRC FORM 366AU.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMM (1-2001) LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)	ISSION							
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET (2)	L	ER NUMBER (6)			PAG	iE (3)	
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER				
Indian Point Unit 3	05000-286	2007	- 01 -	00	3	OF	5	

On April 3, 2007, at 0507 hours, a four hour non-emergency notification was made to the NRC (Log Number 43272) for a reactor trip while critical and included the eight hour non-emergency notification for actuation of the AFW system. The RT was reported under 10 CFR 50.72(b)(2)(iv)(B) and the AFW actuation reported under 10 CFR 50.72(b)(3)(iv)(A). The event was recorded in the Indian Point Energy Center corrective action program (CAP) as CR-IP3-2007-01775.

FW to the SGs is supplied by two steam turbine driven MBFPs with an oil control system. The control oil system adjusts the position of the high pressure and low pressure stop and governor valves based on a signal from the MBFP speed control system. The speed of each MBFP turbine is controlled with a combination of electric, pneumatic and hydraulic controls. The Lovejoy Control System uses a microprocessor to convert the electrical output of the Foxboro Control System into an equivalent control oil pressure signal to control the Westinghouse MBFP's turbine speed control system. The control oil system provides varying pressure to modulate (control) the MBFP governor valves by positioning the governor valve's servomotor relay piston. Each Lovejoy control system has two logic power supplies and two display power supplies. The controller for the 31 MBFP utilizes the supply from 118 VAC Instrument Bus 31A for its Train A power supplies and 118 VAC Instrument Bus 32A for its Train B power supplies. The outputs from each controllers' logic power supplies are connected through diodes to a common output (auctioneered) that supplies the microprocessor. At any given time the power supply with the higher voltage is supplying the microprocessor and the other is in standby. The display power supplies are configured with the same design. The preventive maintenance (PM) for the Lovejoy control system is to test and calibrate the MBFP Lovejoy speed control in accordance with the vendor manual. The vendor manual contains calibration procedures for the system's electronics and pneumatic controls but there are no PM procedures specified for the Lovejoy power supplies. There is no action to verify that each power supply is capable of supplying the load. Lovejoy issued an Advisory Bulletin following this event that recommends replacing the power supplies as they approach 10 years.

Troubleshooting the MBFP Lovejoy control system subsequent to the RT found that the 32 MBFP Train A + 5 volt logic power supply was degraded in a way that when it was not supplying the load, its output voltage was normal, but when loaded its output voltage dropped to +1 volt.

Cause of Event

The direct cause of the RT was decreasing SG levels due to loss of 32 MBFP FW control as a result of the failure of the 32 MBFP Train A Lovejoy control logic power supply when the 31 MBFP instrument bus power supply was isolated. The 32 MBFP Train A Lovejoy logic power supply failure was due to a bad connection on pin 4 of the power supply voltage regulator. When the 31 MBFP Train B Lovejoy power supply was de-energized, the 32 MBFP Train A Lovejoy logic power supply was unable to supply the logic microprocessor load and the voltage degraded low. The degraded voltage caused the microprocessor to fail and resulted in loss of 32 MBFP control. The root cause was a failure of an auctioneered power supply that was not self revealing and was undetectable until it was required to carry load. Typically power supplies degrade due to aging of their electrolytic capacitors and operate satisfactorily until failure. Prior to the event the power supply voltages were verified and indicated satisfactorily until the 32 MBFP Train A Lovejoy logic power supply was loaded by itself. The degradation of the power supply was not a typical or expected failure mode. With the power supplies that are not tested under load but in the auctioneered configuration, the power supply with the higher voltage will block the weaker from carrying the load.

NRC FORM 366AU.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COI (1-2001) LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)	MMISSION							
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET (2)	L	ER NUMBER (6)			PAG	ìE (3)	
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER				
Indian Point Unit 3	05000-286	2007	- 01 -	00	4	OF	5	

Engineering believed that a PM to replace the Lovejoy power supplies on a time based interval was not required since the Lovejoy controller system has indications for power supply failure and any failure would be indicated and the power supply replaced at that time. The Lovejoy vendor documents did not identify any required maintenance on the power supplies internal components except voltage calibrations. A contributing cause was controlled documents relating to the design of the Lovejoy system did not indicate that each 118 volt Instrument Bus supply had connections to both Lovejoy controllers. A risk based decision was made to isolate circuit 10 in Instrument Bus panel 31A based on controlled documents that indicated that this circuit was the supply for the 31 MBFP only. Had the documents identified that this circuit actually supplied both Lovejoy controllers and could impact the operating MBFP a different decision may have been made. The Lovejoy power supply whose output voltage dropped low due to a bad connection on pin 4 was manufactured by ACDC Electronics {A397}, Model ETV 351.

An extent of condition review determined that there are other power supplies that could be vulnerable to failures. A recent power supply failure on a Unit 2 MBFP suction pressure transmitter determined that Indian Point had not implemented a power supply refurbishment and replacement program. Corrective actions for that event were to develop and implement an Instrument Power Supply PM and a capacitor program. Sufficient time has not transpired for these corrective actions to be fully implemented.

Corrective Actions

The following corrective actions have been or will be performed under Entergy's Corrective Action Program to address the cause and prevent recurrence

- Replaced the 31 MBFP Lovejoy Train B +5 volt display power supply and the 32 MBFP Lovejoy Train A +5 volt logic power supply.
- Establish the Instrument Power Supply PM that will replace all power supplies in the Lovejoy system. Scheduled completion date is July 31, 2007. Replacement of power supplies will commence in the next refueling outage scheduled for the spring of 2009.
- Perform an assessment to identify other auctioneered power supplies and verify if PMs confirm that the power supplies can carry their load. Scheduled completion date is August 31, 2007.
- Revise as necessary the Lovejoy PMs at both units to test that each power supply is capable of supplying its load. Scheduled completion date is August 31, 2007.
- Correct controlled documents associated with the Lovejoy MBFP control system (Drawings 9321-F-39893, 9321-LL-30412 sheet 14, 9321-LL-30412 Sheet 14A; description of Instrument Bus 31A Circuit 10 and Instrument Bus 32A circuit 10 in 3-COL-FW-1; System Description 21.0, "Main Feedwater"). Changes are scheduled to be implemented by August 31, 2007.

Event Analysis

The event is reportable under 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A). The licensee shall report any event or condition that resulted in manual or automatic actuation of any of the systems listed under 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(iv)(B). Systems to which the requirements of 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A) apply for this event include the Reactor Protection System (RPS) including RT, AFWS actuation and MSIV closure.

NRC FORM 366AU.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY ((1-2001) LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER	COMMISSION ()						
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET (2)	L	ER NUMBER (6)	·····		PAG	E (3)
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER			
Indian Point Unit 3	05000-286	2007	- 01 -	00	5	OF	5

This event meets the reporting criteria because a manual RT was initiated at 0417 hours, on April 3, 2007, and the AFWS actuated as a result of the RT. Although the MSIVs were closed due to low reactor coolant system average temperature, the action was not to mitigate the consequences of the event. The failure of the MBFP speed controller power supply did not result in the loss of any safety function. Therefore, there was no safety system functional failure reportable under 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(v).

PAST SIMILAR EVENTS

A review of the past two years of Licensee Event Reports (LERs) for unit 3 events that involved a RT from loss of a power supply identified no applicable LERs. However, unit 2 had three LERs in the past two years that reported RTs as a result of power supply failures. LER-2006-003 reported a RT due to a mismatch of reactor power to turbine load during a power reduction from a loss of heater drain tank (HDT) pumps. The HDT pumps were lost due to a failure of the power supply for the HDT level transmitter (LT). The direct cause of the HDT LT failure was a faulty capacitor. The root cause was a programmatic weakness with classification and management of critical PM tasks. LER-2006-005 reported a RT due to a generator exciter trip caused by a Generrex power supply failure. The power supply failed due to a bad common ground connection. LER-2007-004 reported a RT due loss of FW flow as a result of a failure of the power supply for the MBFP suction pressure transmitter. The power supply failed due to capacitor aging. The unit 2 LER-2007-004 included a CA to develop and implement at IPEC an Instrument Power Supply PM in accordance with the Entergy Nuclear South (ENS) PM The Instrument Power Supply PM CA also included auctioneered power Template. supplies discovered in this event. The CA for LER-2007-004 could have prevented this event but the CA had not yet been implemented due to the short time period from the previously reported event (LER-2007-004).

Safety Significance

This event had no effect on the health and safety of the public. There were no actual safety consequences for the event because the event was an uncomplicated RT with no other transients or accidents. Required primary safety systems performed as designed when the RT was initiated. There were no risk related components out of service at the time of the RT. The main steam isolation valves were manually closed by operations as a result of low RCS temperature that was due to excessive cooling as a result of a new core with low decay heat. Reactor core cooling through the SG was controlled by use of the main steam power operated relied valves (PORVs) rather than by use of the steam dumps to the condenser. A condition of excessive heat removal from the SGs is bounded by the analysis in FSAR Section 14.2.5, "Rupture of a Steam Pipe," which bounds excessive FW flow with reactor at zero power analyzed in Section 14.1.10, "Excessive Heat Removal Due to FW System Malfunctions." The AFWS actuation was an expected reaction as a result of low SG water level due to SG void fraction (shrink), which occurs after automatic RT from full load. There were no significant potential safety consequences of this event under reasonable and credible alternative conditions. The loss of FW flow for this event was bounded by the analysis in FSAR Section 14.1.9, "Loss of Normal FW." The AFWS actuated and provided required FW flow to the SGs. The AFW capacity is sufficient to provide the minimum required FW flow to the SGs. For this event the RCS pressure remained below the set point for pressurizer PORV or code safety valve operation and above the set point for automatic safety injection actuation. Following the RT, the plant was stabilized in hot standby.