

ALLEGATION REVIEW BOARD BRIEFING AND MEETING SUMMARY

FACILITY:	University of Texas
TAC NUMBER:	MC8391
RECEIVED:	September 16, 2005
150-DAY DATE:	February 13, 2006
TYPE OF ARB:	Initial ARB Meeting
PURPOSE OF ARB:	To discuss allegation resolution
DATE OF ARB:	October 13, 2005

CONCERN:

There was no guard posted in the booth at the reactor building's exterior. Individuals took pictures of the empty booth late at night. No one came to question the individuals about their activities.

I. BACKGROUND:

An investigative ABC News team provided information to NRC's Office of Public Affairs regarding issues at several research reactor sites that ABC believed to reflect violations of security measures. The ABC staff identified specific 13 colleges where interns attempted to gain access to the university research reactor facilities. The individuals videotaped portions of their attempts (the NRC staff has asked ABC for any tape they have on these issues).

Staff viewed part of the ABC videotapes, and identified 13 allegations, one for each RTR. The generic issue is addressed in allegation NRR-2005-A-0019.

At the University of Texas, ABC provided information there was no guard posted in the booth at the reactor building's exterior. Individuals took pictures of the empty booth late at night. No one came to question the individuals about their activities. Staff review of ABC's edited tape did not reveal any evidence of a violation at the University of Texas.

Allegation NRR-2005-A-0019, initiated various actions, as guided by Senior Management, and the Commission. These actions include: 1) review of the security plans at the University of

NRR-2005-A-0030

LIMITED DISTRIBUTION - SENSITIVE ALLEGATION MATERIAL

Texas; 2) plans to send an RAIs to all RTR facilities with reactor fuel, including the University of Texas. These RAIs will clarify the CALs, but do not impose any new requirements; and 3) plans to send a Response Letter to ABC. This letter will formally request more information from the broadcast company so that staff may followup on concerns for the 13 RTRs, including the University of Texas.

II. REGULATORY REQUIREMENT(S):

NRC licensed research reactors are required to establish, maintain and follow an NRCapproved security plan and procedures for the protection of nuclear materials from threats and theft. Those measures include the ability to detect unauthorized access to the facility and delay the intruders until the designated response force is able to respond. Security requirements are based on a graded approach with increasing requirements for material that is more attractive for theft or diversion and for facilities that have a greater risk of radiological releases due to sabotage.

10 CFR 73.67, *Licensee fixed site and in-transit requirements for the physical protection of special nuclear material of moderate and low strategic significance*, states, in part, "...6) Limit access to the controlled access areas to authorized or escorted individuals who require such access in order to perform their duties..."

Licensee have detailed plans and procedures specifying how to meet these requirements, including specific guidance as to the criteria for searching packages and for allowing escorted access.

III. SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE AND BASIS: Low

There is no evidence that unauthorized access was granted at the University of Texas. The generic issue is a significant safety issue, and is addressed in NRR-2005-A-0019.

IV. TECHNICAL REVIEW PRIORITY AND BASIS: Low

Based on the above safety significance.

V. ACTIONS:

A. PROPOSED INVESTIGATIONS, PRIORITY LEVEL: No

The issues identified did not appear to violate NRC requirements for security at research reactors.

- B. REFERRAL: No
- C. PROPOSED INSPECTIONS AND DUE DATES: No
- D. OTHER ACTIONS: Yes

-2-

NRR-2005-A-0030

LIMITED DISTRIBUTION - SENSITIVE ALLEGATION MATERIAL

As a result of allegation NRR-2005-A-0019, the Research and Test Reactor Section (RTRS) coordinated their proposed actions with OI, DIPM, OPA and OGC. For the University of Texas, RTRS performed a review of security plans, and relevant documents. Their review did not identify any violations of the approved security plan. Due Date: Completed.

RTRS assessment states that the security station is at entrance to research center. It is not a requirement of the UT security plan or compensatory measures.

Staff plans to review the ABC show to determine if additional issues are identified. Any new issues will be brought to the ARB.

Further, the staff will request complete unedited tapes of the interns' visits to the University of Texas from ABC. ABC has verbally agreed to release the tapes following airing of the show. If the tapes identify new issues, staff will return to the ARB.

If the show and unedited tapes do not identify any further information, the staff will close the allegation.

VI. NON-NRR ISSUES (OGC, OE, NMSS, REGION, ETC.): None



-3-



ALLEGATION REVIEW BOARD SECTION

ARB DECISION (and comments):

The ARB agreed with the safety significance, technical review priority, and proposed resolution plan.

ARB CHAIRMAN:	M. Case
ARB MEMBER:	E. Hackett
ALLEGATION COORDINATOR: ALLEGATION COORDINATOR: ALLEGATION ASSISTANT:	G. Cwalina J. Petrosino J. Crutchley
OI REPRESENTATIVE:	K. Monroe
OE REPRESENTATIVE:	N/A
OGC REPRESENTATIVE:	G. Longo
IPSB LEAD REVIEWER:	V. Hall
TECHNICAL BRANCH LEAD REVIEWER:	M. Mendonca
RECORDING SECRETARY:	K. Richards
ADDITIONAL PARTICIPANTS:	R. Barnes, A. Adams, E. Brenner, B. Thomas
	<u>NRR PM Candidates</u> : J. Jennings, J. Ortega-Luciano, S. Andersen, T. Beltz, A. Muñiz, N. Morgan

DISTRIBUTION: B. Sheron, NRR B. Jones, OGC G. Caputo, OI

D:\2005-30\Initial ARB Briefing Sheet_2005-0030.wpd

NRR-2005-A-0030

LIMITED DISTRIBUTION - SENSITIVE ALLEGATION MATERIAL