April 18, 2006

NOTE TO: Tom McKernon

Chief Examiner, Operations Branch

FROM:

Anthony T. Gody, Chief Operations Branch

Division of Reactor Safety

SUBJECT: EXAMINATION ASSIGNMENT

You have been assigned as Chief of the Cooper Nuclear Station examination. The operating test has been scheduled to be completed by October 13, 2006. Thank you for contacting the Cooper Nuclear Station facility to finalize the details of the examination. You are reminded that the RPS/IP system must be maintained to ensure that the examiners and numbers of candidates are accurate. In addition, you are reminded that only qualified examiners are permitted to conduct any part of the examination.

Anthony T. Gody, Chief,

Operations Branch

Division of Reactor Safety

APPENDIX I

Operations Branch Assignment Check Sheet: (Includes ES-201-1 & ES-501-1 Rev. 8 information)

as of:

10/02/06

Chief: McKernon

Facility/Task: CNS Exam

Task Start Date: 10/02/2006

					_
	ITEM DESCRIPTION	DUE DATE	INIT	DATE	
0	Exam/Inspection Schedule Agreement	Apr 5, 2006	ATG	4/7/2006	
1	NRC Staff & Fac. Contact Assigned	Apr 5, 2006	ATG	4/7/2006	ŀ
2	Facility contact briefed on security & other issues	Apr 5, 2006	ATG	4/7/2006	
3	Corp. Notification Letter Sent	Apr 5, 2006	Ch	4/5/2001	
За	Inspection Announcement Letter Sent (PIR & LORT if req'd)	Aug 18, 2006	NA	1/1	\vdash
4	Task Expectations, Issues, & Standards Discussed w/ BC	Jul 4, 2006			
5	[Reference Material Due]	Jun 4, 2006	Tom	7/21/06	
6	Integrated Exam Outlines Due	Jun 4, 2006	DOM	8/21/06	
7	Outlines reviewed by NRC & Feedback Sent	Jun 18, 2006	Mu	9/12/06	
8	Preliminary Applications Due	Sep 2, 2006	DM	9/6/06	
9	Draft Exams w/ Doc./Ref. Due	Aug 2, 2006	201M	8/21/06	
10 [*]	Independent Reviewer Initials As Reviewed All Parts	Aug 13, 2006	738	9/14/06	
11	NRC Supervisor. Initials Approving for Fac. Rev.	Aug 13, 2006	V66	9/12/06	ر [
12	Exams Reviewed w/ Fac.	Aug 13, 2006	123 W	1/15/06	1
13	Final Appl. Due & Assign. Sheet Prepared	Sep 18, 2006	784	9/20/01	
14 [*]	NRC Supervisor Approved Final Exams	Sep 25, 2006	166	9/26/01	<u>}</u> .
15	Final Appl. Rec'd & Waivers Sent	Sep 25, 2006	719M	9/25/06]
16	Proctor Rules Reviewed w/ Fac. & Written Authorized	Sep 25, 2006	10m	9/1/06].
17	Exam/Insp Material to Team	Sep 25, 2006	Tom	9/20/06	1
18	Fac. graded exam & Comments Rec'd	Oct 14, 2006	20M	10/11/06]
19	NRC Written Grading Completed	Oct 17, 2006	Alex	16/11/06	
20	Examiners Finished Grading Op. Tests	Oct 17, 2006	Am	10/12/06	
21	NRC Ch. Ex. Review Completed	Oct 27, 2006	Toa	10/12/06	,
22 .	NRC BC Review Completed	Oct 28, 2006	166	10/12/06	1
23	RPS/IP Examinees Updated Before Report Issued	Nov 2, 2006	79M	10/12/06	7
. 24	License/Denials Signed & Report Issued	Nov 2, 2006	204	10/19/06	,
25	Package Closed Out	Nov 23, 2006	2011	11/1/2006	
	Final Inspection Report Issued, Exam Package to OLA, Facility.	Contact Notified	of Result	ts i	
	ote Supervisor or Independent Reviewer initials required in for line	es 10, 11, 14, &	22.		
[] R	equired NRC-auth. exams only for line 5.				

Facility	Date of Examination:	Oc	tó	2006
Item	Task Description		Initial	
1.	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model, in accordance with ES-401.	a	νγ p*	c#
W R I	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with	A A	27	200
T T	Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled. c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.		DY	Jon
E N	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	Ø	D Y	BM
2. S	Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major transients.	7	•	Eu
M U L A T	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and that scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	Á	N	Ton
O R	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	Ø	QZ.	on
3. W / T	 a. Verify that the systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form (2) task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form (3) no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s) (4) the number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form (5) the number of alternate path, low-power, emergency, and RCA tasks meet the criteria on the form. 	A	DI.	Ton
	b. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1: (1) the tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form (2) at least one task is new or significantly modified (3) no more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations	Ø	DL	Ban
	Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	Ø.	OF	70m
4.	Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam sections.	M	DY	792
G E	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	A	07	Im
N	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	2	DY	mal
E R	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	12/	DJ	m
A	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	A	DY	m
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	a	DY	My
c. NR	hor MICHAEL BARTON (Printed Some/Signature MICHAEL BARTON (Printed Some/Signature MICHAEL BARTON (Printed Some/Signature David Tune T.O. M. R. NON C Supervisor Vincon (Printed Some/Signature Printed Some/Signature Printed Some/Signature Printed Some/Signature MICHAEL BARTON (Printed Some/Signature MICHAEL BARTON (Printed Some/Signature MICHAEL BARTON (Printed Some/Signature MICHAEL BARTON (Printed Some/Signature David Tune T.O. M. R. NON C Supervisor Vincon (Printed Some/Signature Printed Some/Signature MICHAEL BARTON (Printed Some/Signature David Tune T.O. M. R. NON Vincon (Printed Some/Signature) MICHAEL BARTON (Printed Some/Signature) T.O. M. R. NON Vincon (Printed Some/Signature)	7	9-21 1-21 1/2: 9/2:	te -06 - vi. \$26
Note:	# Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence req	uired.		

ES 401 Written Examination Quality Checklist Form ES 401-6

	e facility		de	b*	C##						
le:	e facility.		de								
				127	PA						
b. Facility learning objectives are referenced as available. 3. SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with Section D.2.d of ES-401 4. The sampling process was random and systematic (if more than 4 RO or 2 SRO questions)											
The sampling process was random and systematic (If more than 4 RO or 2 SRO quastions were repeated from the last 2 NRC licensing exams, consult the NRR OL program office).											
ore than 4 R	O or 2 SRO R OL program	questions n office).		DZ.	Da						
riate:		icated	A	ØZ.	Da						
Bank 38/12	Modified 3 / 2	New 34/11	Ø	Ð	Om						
distribution(s) at right. 7. Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the RO exam are written at the comprehension/ analysis level; the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected K/As support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO / SRO question distribution(s) at right.											
s or aid in the	elimination	of	de	WY.	m						
In the previous	usly approve usigned; dev	d lations	d		Port						
elines in ES A	Appendix B.		As	カメ	Jon.						
Iltiple choice	items; the to	tal iş	A A	DJ.	JOM DOM						
ne / Signatur	Hess		7 9-2	Date 25-06							
ml / 1	フ/ ニー		9.2	4-06							
28 M	Gal	<u>; </u>	9-	26-06	;_)b						
	Bank 38/12 Memori 42.7/3 s or aid in the previous they are as allines in ES Antiple choice	Bank Modified Bank M	Bank Modified New 38/12 3 / 2 34/11 Memory C/A 42.7/32 57.3/68 s or aid in the elimination of In the previously approved	musual the NRR OL program office). If am was controlled as indicated riate: eloped; or am was started: or Bank	Bank Modified New Bank Modified						

ES 301

Operating Test Quality Checklist

Form ES 301-3

1. General Criteria		Initials	
<u></u>	а	b •	C#
The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).	de	DX	79)
. There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	d	D	79
The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s). (see Section D.1.a.)	A	DL	10
Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits.	de	At.	Pa
It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than- competent applicants at the designated license level.	B	Q1	8
2. Walk-Through Criteria		80	
references and tools, Including associated proceduras reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time-critical by the facility licensee operationally important specific performance criteria that include: detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature system response and other examiner cues statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant criteria for successful completion of the task identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable	Á	-D 7	B
Ensure that any changes from the previously approved systems and administrative walk-through outlines (Forms ES-301-1 and 2) have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance criteria (e.g., item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last 2 NRC examinations) specified on those forms and Form ES-201-2.	A	DL	70.
Simulator Criteria			
le associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance th Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.	Ø	DJ.	Por
Printed Name / Signature		Date	
	9.	25-06	
Author MICHAEL A. BARTON Minas Jagan		2.06	
Author MICHAEL A. BAKTON Minas Tagher Facility Reviewer(*) David Tune Dul 1 NRC Chief Examiner (#) T.O. MY (SRNON) 79MK	9:		

Facility	r: CoofeR NUCLETIC STATION Date of Exam: 10-2-06 Scenario Numbers: 1 / 2	2 / 3 Operating Test	No.:									
	QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES			Initial	s							
			a ,	b*	c#							
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instruments of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.	ation may be out	Ø,	双	Ton							
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.											
3.	A	1 02	BM									
4.	 the event termination point (if applicable) No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated into the scenario without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event. 											
5.												
6.	A	DJ	Ton									
7.	 If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given. 											
8.	The simulator modeling is not altered.		Ø	DZ	on							
9.	The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46(d), any open performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scer	evaluated	Á	DJ	M							
10.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-		A,	DJ	Jan							
11.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Forr (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).	n ES-301-6	Ø	Di	on							
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transic specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).	ents and events			Jon							
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each cr	ew position.	Ø	12%	Hom							
	Target Quantitative Attributes (Per Scenario; See Section D.5.d)	Actual Attributes	,									
1.	Total malfunctions (5–8)	5 1815	Ø,	-07	Jon							
2.	2. Malfunctions after EOP entry (1–2)											
3.	Abnormal events (2–4)	2/3/2	W.	BZ:	Jon							
4.	Major transients (1–2)	1/1/1	1/2/	QX.	Jan							
5.	21211	19/	01	am								
6.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)	1/1/1	12/	DJ.	10m							
7.	Critical tasks (2–3)	21213	10	11	Ton							

7. D. M. 9/2 5/06 ES-301, Page 25 of 27

Facility: C	Cooper N	uclear	Station			Date	of Exam	: 10)/02/20	06		0	peratin	g Te	st N	0.:1	
A P	E V							Sco	enarios	•							
P	E N		1		1	2			3			4		T O		M	
C A	T T		CREW OSITIO	N		CREV			CREW OSITIO			CREW OSITIO		T A L	ŀ	N I M	
N T	Y P E	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	S R O	A T C	B O P	-		υ Μ(*	_
				F	0	١	r			-			P		R	ļ į	U
RO-1	RX		1											1	1	1	0
no-1	NOR						1							1	1	1	1
SRO-I	I/C		1				4							5	4	4	2
SRO-U	MAJ		1				1 .							2	2	2	1
	TS													0	0	2	2
RO-2	RX		<u> </u>			1								1	1	1	0
HU-2	NOR			1		1								2	1	1	1
SRO-I	I/C			3		2								5	4	4	2
SRO-U	MAJ			1		1								2	2	2	1
0,100	TS													0	Ō	2	2
	RX		1		0									1	1	1	0
RO	NOR				1									1		1	1
SRO-I-1	I/C		1		4	<u> </u>								5	4	4	2
SRO-U	MAJ		1		1									2	2	2	1
0.10-0	TS		2		0									2	0	2	2
D0	RX	0												0	1	1	0
RO	NOR	1												1	1	1	1
SRO-I	I/C	6												6	4	4	2
SRO-U	MAJ	1												1	2	2	1
0110-0	TS	2												2	0	2	2

Instructions:

- 1. Circle the applicant level and enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each event type; TS are not applicable for RO applicants. ROs must serve in both the "at-the-controls (ATC)" and "balance-of-plant (BOP)" positions; Instant SROs must do one scenario, including at least two instrument or component (I/C) malfunctions and one major transient, in the ATC position.
- 2. Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.5.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. (*) Reactivity and normal evolutions may be replaced with additional instrument or component malfunctions on a 1-for-1 basis.
- 3. Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirements specified for the applicant's license level in the right-hand columns.

DM 9/25/06

Facility: C	Cooper N	uclear	Station		Date of Exam: 10/2/2006 Operating Test										st N	o.:		
A P	E V							Sce	enarios	3								
P	E N		1			2			3			4		T		М		
L	T		CREW			CREV	d .		CREW	_	1	CREW		0 T		I N	Į	
C A	Т		SITIO	N		OSITI			DSITIO			OSITIO		A		l M		
N T	Y P	S	A T	В	S R	A T	B O	S	A T	В	S	Ą	В] -		U		
'	E	R O	c	O P	0	c	P	R T O O P		R T O O C P				R	M(*	U		
	RX	0				1								1	1	1	Ö	
RO	NOR	1				1								2	1	1		
SRO-1-2	I/C	6				2								8	4	4	2	
SRO-U	MAJ	1		_		1				<u> </u>				2	2	2	1	
	TS	2				0								2	0	2	2	
	RX														1	1	0	
RO	NOR														1	1	1	
SRO-I	I/C														4	4	2	
SRO-U	MAJ														2	2	1	
3h0-0	TS														0	2	2	
DO.	RX														1	1	0	
RO	NOR														1	1	1	
SRO-I	I/C														4	4	2	
SRO-U	MAJ												,		2	2	1	
	TS					<u> </u>									0	2	2	
RO	RX													ļ	3	1	0	
	NOR									<u> </u>		ļ		<u> </u>	1	1	1	
SRO-I	I/C				-	<u> </u>									4	4	2	
SRO-U	MAJ			<u> </u>	1									-	2	2		
	TS				L					1	l		L		0	2	2	

Instructions:

- 1. Circle the applicant level and enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each event type; TS are not applicable for RO applicants. ROs must serve in both the "at-the-controls (ATC)" and "balance-of-plant (BOP)" positions; Instant SROs must do one scenario, including at least two instrument or component (I/C) malfunctions and one major transient, in the ATC position.
- 2. Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.5.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. (*) Reactivity and normal evolutions may be replaced with additional instrument or component malfunctions on a 1-for-1 basis.
- 3. Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirements specified for the applicant's license level in the right-hand columns.

POM 9/25/06

Facility: Cooper	D	ate d	of Ex	amir	natio	n: /1	0/2	/20	<i>1</i> 06	Оре	erati	ng T	est	No.:		
		APPLICANTS												MOE THOUGH		
	RO ⊠ SRO-I □ SRO-U □				RO □ SRO-I ⊠ SRO-U □					RO SRC SRC		X	RO ⊠ SRO-I □ SRO-U □			
Competencies	S	CEN	IARI	0	_ 5	CEN	IARI	0	S	CEN	IARI	0	s	CEN	IARIO	<u> </u>
	1_	2	3_	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Interpret/Diagnose Events and Conditions	7	8	4	W	6	10	7	NA	6	10	7	NK	6	රි	5	rsh-
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)	4	6	5	NA	8	9	В	M	8	9	8	肽	3	7	6	ri4
Operate Control Boards (2)	8	ID	8	Au	8	(O	8	M	NA	μA	おそ	NA	7	44	10	uh.
Communicate and Interact	10	12	9	NA	12	16	10	MA	12	16	10	uh	10	14	10	NA
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)	NA	NA	NA	NA	8		7	ΝĄ	8	ensiste Optional	7	ИK	NA	NA	NA	AJĄ
Comply With and Use Tech. Specs. (3)	MA	NA	NA	NA	2	2	2	Nit	2	2	2	KA	W	NA.	MA	NA
II	Notes: 1) Includes Technical Specification compliance for an RO. 2) Optional for an SRO-U.															

Instructions:

Check the applicants' license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.

Facility: Cooper Nuclear Station Date of Exam: 9/29/06 E	xam Leve	el: RO 🏻	SRO⊠
		Initials	
Item Description	a	b	С
Clean answer sheets copied before grading	Ø,	(cel)	Sont
Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	Ø,	(ur)	Sm6
Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	A	(ey)	Smb
4. Grading for all borderline cases (80 ±2% overall and 70 or 80, as applicable, ±4% on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail	A	Ud)	Smt
All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	NA	NIL	NIA
Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	A	W	Sma
Printed Name/Signature		Date)
a. Grader MICHAEL BARTON Michael Bland	<u></u>	10-10	-06
b. Facility Reviewer(*) Tim Donovan Muleum	/ -	10/10/	06_
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*) 7.0. MKERNON / DM/	<u> </u>	10/11	106
d. NRC Supervisor (*) V. G. Galdy Wines 6.60	ld -	10/12/0	b
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for exam NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.	ninations	graded k	by the

Page 1 of 4

10/12/2006

15:28:14

Phase Code: 5 Operational

Region: 4 Report 21

GARCHOW, STEPHEN M. MCKERNON, THOMAS O. TINDELL, BRIAN W. GARCHOW, STEPHEN M. MCKERNON, THOMAS O. MURPHY, MÍCHAEL E. TINDELL, BRIAN W. **Examiners Assigned** DRAKE, JAMES F. blank, blank A. MCKERNON, THOMAS O. MCKERNON, THOMAS O. Exam Author Chief Examiner Z N N Type Admin 00 D00 SROI - 4 SROI - 4 # Candidates RO - 6 SROU - 3 RO - 6 SROU - 3 Cooper / 05000298 / 2006301 TAC #: X02344 Cooper / 05000298 / 2006301 TAC #: X02344 Exam Week | Site/Docket No./Insp Rpt # 10/02/2006 10/16/2006

RSPAP

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule From 10/01/2006 To 09/30/2007

Sites: CNS

Orgs: 4620 Exam Author: ALL

p.2

Covert Jensen 870-269-9667

Form ES-201-3 Examination Security Agreement 8.t 2006 ES-201, Rev. 9

Pre-Examination

-

acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 10/2/2006 to 10/19/2006 authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or to be administered these ticensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or icensee's procedures) and undersland that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

Post-Examination

during the weak(s) of $\frac{9\cdot29-10\cdot6}{2}$. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

- N	
6.53.00	
10-12-06 10-12-06 10-11-06 10-11-06 10-11-06 10-11-06 10-11-06 10-11-06 10-11-06 10-11-06 10-11-06	40/0/01
SIGNATURE (2)	1
27.00 P. 1.3.00	40-21-4
Section of the sectio	P. 4.00.
JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY EXAM DEVELOPER SUPPLYING / RUL SUPER SUMULATOR SPECIALIST SIMULATOR SPECIALIST SIMULATOR SPECIALIST SIMULATOR SPECIALIST SIMULATOR SPECIALIST SIMULATOR SUPER EXAM VALIDATION	Simulator Speciality
PRINTED NAME JOB TITLE / RIM 1. CITALLY JENGER 2. JOSTHEL BARDON EXAM DEVE 3. MICHAEL BARDON EXAM DEVE 5. MICHAEL BARDON EXAMINEDAS 6. Sharon Ketelsen Smulators 7. Jun Florence Simulators 8. Mark Gannelle Simulators 9. Mark Gannelle Simulators 10. Cydo Edinophol Exam Valid 11. Kennen Koub 12. Kennen Koub 13. Kennen Koub 14. Mick Sob 15. Kenne Kul	16 BRIAN WASTER

Cooper Oct 2006 ES-601 Examination

Examination Security Agreement

Form ES-601-1

Pre-Examination

l acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC requalification examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 10/2/166 – সোণ্©ি (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation operators scheduled to be administered these examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not been authorized by the NRC's chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC's chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC requalification examinations administered during the week(s) of 4-24 - 10-19. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those operators who were administered these examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

	DATE 10/9/00	10.11.01	* po o o									
,	INTORE (2)	The state of										
C	PATE A	7 30/6/1/5	10/3/06									
1	1 SHONATURE (1)	There are	and let									
	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SSG Ops Specielist	ILT SUPERUSOR									
	PRINTED NAME	2. Longla Dec	4. JAMES DEDIC	യ വ	7	9.	10.	12.	13.	14.	15.	

PAGE 2 284

NOTES:

ES-601, Page 27 of 36