



Duane Arnold Energy Center

June 1, 2007

NG-07-0468 10 CFR 50.73

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attn: Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

Duane Arnold Energy Center Docket 50-331 License No. DPR-49

Licensee Event Report #2007-007-00

Please find attached the subject Licensee Event Report (LER) submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73. This letter makes no new commitments or changes to any existing commitments.

Kab Suder for

Gary Van Middlesworth Site Vice President, Duane Arnold Energy Center FPL Energy Duane Arnold, LLC

cc: Administrator, Region III, USNRC Project Manager, DAEC, USNRC Resident Inspector, DAEC, USNRC

> IE22 MRC/NRR

NRC FC)RM 36	<i>;</i> 6	U.S	. NUCLE		EGUL/	ATORY C	COMMIS		APPROVED BY OMB: NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 06/30/								
(6-2004) LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) (See reverse for required number of digits/characters for each block)										Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory collection request: 50 hours. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Send comments regarding burden estimate to the Records and FOIA/Privacy Service Branch (1-5 F52), U.S Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by interne e-mail to infocollects@nrc.gov, and to the Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, NEOB-10202, (3150-0104), Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. If a means used to impose an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the information collection.								
								2. DOC		JMBER		3. PAGE	E					
Duar	he Arn	old Energy	y Center							0	5000	331			1 0)F 4		
4. TITLE Read		cram Due t	to 1A2 Nc	on-esse	ntial I	Bus Lo	ockout						•					
5. E	VENT	DATE	6. 1	LER NU	MBER		7. R	EPORT	DATE									
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUEN NUMBI		REV NO.	MONTH	DAY	YEAR		LITY NAM				DOCKET NUMBER			
04	02	2007	2007	- 7	-	0	06	01	2007	•			TS OF 10 0			050	00	
9. OPERATING MODE 1 10. POWER LEVEL 98			$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$				(3)(i) (3)(ii) (4) (i)(A) (i)(A) (ii)(A) (i)(A) (i)(B)		50.73(a)(2)(ii)(A) 50.73(50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B) 50.73(50.73(a)(2)(iii)(B) 50.73(50.73(a)(2)(iii) 50.73(✓ 50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A) 50.73(a)(2)(v)(A) 50.73(50.73(a)(2)(v)(A) 73.71(50.73(a)(2)(v)(B) 73.71(50.73(a)(2)(v)(C) OTHE 50.73(a)(2)(v)(D) Specify or in N			50.73(a 50.73(a 50.73(a 50.73(a 50.73(a 73.71(a 73.71(a 73.71(a 73.71(a 73.71(a 73.71(a 73.71(a 73.71(a 73.71(a 73.71(a 73.71(a	a)(5)					
FACILITY Bob MI		Engineerir	ng Analys	st						TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) (319) 851-7900								
			13. COM	PLETE C	NE L'	INE FO	REACH	COMP	ONENT	FAILU	RE DES	CRIBED	IN THIS RI	<u> </u>				
CAU	CAUSE SYSTEM		COMPONENT MANU- FACTURER			REPORTABLE TO EPIX		AUSE SYSTEM		STEM	COMPONE		MANU- FACTURER		REPORTABLE TO EPIX			
	14. SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED O YES (If yes, complete 15. EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE) O NO ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines)								_			SUBM	PECTED ISSION ATE	мс	ONTH	DAY		YEAR
On Ap	oril 2, 1	2007, wl	hile ope	erating a	at 98	3% po	ower, di	uring p	perforr				preventa					

t. Strangerter

Non-essential Electrical 4160V AC Bus 1A2, a bus lockout occured at 11:25. The loss of the 1A2 switchgear resulted in the loss of 'B' Reactor Feed Pump and 'B' Condensate Pump. At 11:25, a manual reactor scram was inserted due to Reactor Pressure Vessel level approaching 170 inches (automatic scram level). The scram went to completion. After the scram, vessel level rose to 211 inches, causing the 'A' Reactor Feed Pump to trip. Before the 'A' Reactor Feed Pump could be restarted and adequate feed flow established, another scram signal was received when reactor level dropped below 170 inches. Control rods were already inserted and isolations had been completed prior to this second scram signal being received. The Reactor Operator successfully restored level using the Feedwater Regulating Valve as directed by the Operations Shift Manager.

The cause of this event is the failure to recognize the risk associated with performing the maintenance on-line without putting physical and process protections in place.

There were no actual safety consequences and no effect on public health and safety as a result of this event.

NRC FORM 366A (1-2001) U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

, <u>)</u>, , , ,

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		PAGE (3)		
Duane Arnold Energy Center	05000331	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	
		2007	007	00	2 of 4

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

I. Description of Event:

On April 2, 2007, Work Orders (WO) 1135564, "Clean and Inspect three 1A2 Bus Auxiliary 151-201 Over Current (OC) Relays" and 1135565 "Clean and inspect 1A2 Bus 151N-201 Ground Relay" were signed on by the Work Control Center.

One Electrician and one Electrician Apprentice began work shortly after 0800 by removing the relays from panel in 1A2. The relays were taken to the corridor outside the Essential Switchgear room and calibrated. The as-found relay calibration results were found to be within specification.

Both Electricians and a Nuclear Oversight (NOS) observer entered the 1A2 (4160 volt non-essential AC Bus) Non-essential Switchgear room and began replacing the relays, starting with the 151N-201 Ground Relay.

The relay panel case and internal panel contacts were inspected for foreign material prior to reinstallation. The Ground Relay was replaced followed by the replacement of its relay contact paddle and finally its cover. The Phase 1 over current relay (151-201) replacement was completed in an identical manner. All actions were completed as required with no abnormalities noticed.

The same actions were started on the Phase 2 over current relay; however, approximately 20-30 seconds after the paddle was inserted and prior to the apprentice electrician installing the glass cover, the 186-2 Lockout Relay tripped, causing isolation of bus 1A2. The electricians stopped work and contacted the Shift Manager.

In the Control Room, at 1125, annunciator 1C08 B (A-9) "Bus 1A2 Lockout Trip or Loss of Voltage" alarm was activated. Loss of 1A2 switchgear resulted in the loss of 'B' Reactor Feed Pump and 'B' Condensate Pump.

At 1125, a manual reactor scram was inserted due to Reactor Pressure Vessel level approaching 170 inches (automatic scram level). The scram went to completion. After the scram, vessel level rose to 211 inches, causing the 'A' Reactor Feed Pump to trip. Before the 'A' Reactor Feed Pump could be restarted and adequate feed flow established, another scram signal was received when reactor level dropped below 170 inches. Control rods were already inserted and isolations had been completed prior to this second scram signal being received. The Reactor Operator successfully restored level using the Feedwater Regulating Valve as directed by the Operations Shift Manager. The reactor was brought to MODE 3.

Notifications were made under 10 CFR 50.72(b)(3)(iv)(A) and 50.72(b)(2)(iv)(B) on April 2, 2007 and are listed as event number 43271.

II. Assessment of Safety Consequences:

This event was a lockout of the 1A2 bus and subsequent manual scram from 98% power (1880 MWth). The manual scram was inserted in anticipation of an automatic scram on low reactor water level. The low reactor water level was due to the trip of a feedwater pump and a condensate pump. The feedwater and condensate pump trips were due to the lockout of the 1A2 4160V AC electrical bus.

NRC FORM 366A (1-2001) U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		LER NUMBER (6)		PAGE (3)
Duane Arnold Energy Center	05000331		SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	
		2007	007	00	3 of 4

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

A trip of one feedwater pump is an anticipated operational transient described in Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR) 15.1.7.4. As described in UFSAR 15.1.7.4, the expected plant response to a trip of one feedwater pump is a reactor scram and both HPCI and RCIC initiate on low-low reactor water level (119.5"). The actual plant response for this scram was much milder and clearly bounded by the trip of one feedwater pump event described in UFSAR 15.1.7.4.

Therefore, the plant shutdown did not result in any radiological or nuclear concern which would impact the health and safety of the public.

This event did not result in a Safety System Functional Failure.

III. Cause of Event:

An investigation into this event was completed under Root Cause Evaluation (RCE) 1065.

Overall RCE Conclusions

The human performance investigation conducted did not identify any discrepancies or inappropriate actions on the part of those involved in the field work or the control room crew on the day of the event.

Although not specifically targeted, equipment failures (relays, wiring, and panel configurations) were investigated. For the logic to be met (1A2 bus lockout) one of eight relays, or the lockout relay would have had to change state. Relays were sent off to an independent lab for testing. Detailed troubleshooting activities did not identify any source or cause that was consistent with or leading to the event. Given the fact that no equipment failures were identified, a human performance event (bumping or error) would be the likely cause of the actuation.

The RCE identified the main contributor of the event to be an organizational failure. Specifically, the organization failed to recognize the risk associated with performing the maintenance on-line without putting physical and process protections in place. Contributing to this cause was the successful performance of this maintenance on several previous occasions.

Root Causes

An organizational failure, which allowed work on a system with the risk potential for plant impact is considered to be the cause of this event. The failure was the lack of the organization to recognize the risk and place physical and process protection associated with performing the maintenance on-line. Contributing to this cause was the successful performance of this maintenance on several occasions previously. A human performance event (bumping or error) would be the likely cause of the actuation.

NRC FORM 366A (1-2001) U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

.⁶ 1 .4

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		LER NUMBER (6)		PAGE (3)
Duane Arnold Energy Center	05000331	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	
		2007	007	00	4 of 4

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

IV. Corrective Actions:

Corrective Actions to Prevent Recurrence (CATPRs)

Change work orders / model tasks for bus relays associated with 1A1, 1A2, 1A3, and 1A4 to be done in a refueling outage mode. This corrective action should only be undone if a design / process change is made to facilitate work on-line without risk to tripping the lockout relays.

V. Additional Information:

Previous Similar Occurrences:

From LER review over the previous 5 years, the following four similar occurrences were identified in:

LER 2007-006 - Reactor Shutdown as a Result of a Chemistry Excursion LER 2006-005 - Reactor Scram During Main Turbine Testing LER 2003-005 - Unplanned Manual Reactor Scram due to High Reactor Coolant Conductivity LER 2003-006 - Unplanned Manual Reactor Scram due to Degrading Condenser Vacuum

EIIS System and Component Codes:

EB - Medium-Voltage Power System-Class 1E

Reporting Requirements:

This report is being submitted pursuant to 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A).