

## **Intrusion Dynamics for Volatile-Poor Basaltic Magma Into Subsurface Nuclear Installations**

A.-M. Lejeune <sup>1</sup>, A.W. Woods <sup>2</sup>, R.S.J. Sparks <sup>1</sup>, B.E. Hill <sup>3</sup>, and C.B. Connor <sup>4</sup>

1. Centre for Environmental and Geophysical Flows, University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 1RJ, UK
2. BP Institute for Multiphase Flow, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB3 0EZ, UK
3. Center for Nuclear Waste Regulatory Analyses, Southwest Research Institute,  
San Antonio, TX 78238-5166, USA
4. Department of Geology, University of South Florida, Tampa, FL 33602-5201, USA

Communicating Author: Brittain Hill, beh1@nrc.gov. Phone 01-301-492-3168,  
FAX: 01-301-492-3357

### *Current Addresses:*

**Lejeune:** Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Barre 46/0 4eme, 4 Place Jussieu, 75252, Paris  
CEDEX 05, FRANCE

**Hill:** US Nuclear Regulatory Commission, NMSS/HLWRS, Washington, DC 20555-0001, USA

### 30.1 Introduction

Igneous events create physical conditions that commonly are beyond the design basis of most engineered systems, with little data available for direct analysis of potentially hazardous scenarios. Interactions with engineered systems also can change the character of an igneous event in ways that never occur in nature. These potential changes in process may directly affect the impact of the resulting hazard. In this study, we will examine the potential changes in magma-flow processes that might occur if rising, volatile-poor magma intersects open, subsurface structures such as tunnels or drifts. Examination of decompression processes provides one end-member to the range of models that may need to be considered for potential subsurface hazards associated with basaltic igneous events. Although volatile-rich magma decompression may be viewed as a more likely scenario for some basaltic magma systems, examination of the volatile-poor scenario places important constraints on the extent and duration of potential magma flow into underground openings.

The United States has generated approximately 50,000,000 kg of high-level radioactive waste from commercial and defense reactors. The current proposal is to dispose this waste in 300-m-deep tunnels beneath Yucca Mountain, Nevada, USA. The regulatory framework in the United States establishes limits on potential doses to the public for a period of at least 10,000 years (U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, 2001). This proposed site, however, is located in a geologically active basaltic volcanic field, where the probability of a new volcano forming at the potential repository site is generally calculated at  $10^{-4}$  to  $10^{-3}$  during the next 10,000 years (e.g., Connor *et al.*, 2000; U.S. Department of Energy, 2001). Federal regulations require a detailed hazard analysis for natural events with a greater than  $10^{-4}$  in 10,000 year likelihood of occurrence (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2001). A component of this hazard analysis is how flow processes may be affected if ascending magma potentially intersects 5-m-diameter tunnels containing radioactive waste. The actual dike-tunnel interaction mechanism will depend on regional and local stress relationships between the tunnels and surrounding rock. A future dike rising beneath the potential repository, however, would likely intersect multiple tunnels located 20–80 m apart, as the tunnels are oriented roughly orthogonal to the direction of maximum horizontal compressive stress and thus orthogonal to the direction of dike propagation (e.g., Delaney *et al.*, 1986; Morris *et al.*, 1996). Clearly, confined basaltic magma intersecting a tunnel at essentially atmospheric pressure would decompress and flow into that tunnel. The extent and duration of magma flow into the tunnels, however, determines how many radioactive waste canisters may be affected during a potential igneous event. If waste

canisters are damaged by magma flow into the tunnels, radioactive waste may be released through hydrologic flow and transport processes that reestablish following the potential igneous event.

The goal of this work is to examine the extent and duration of magma flow into tunnels intersected by pressurized, volatile-poor basaltic magma ascending along a dike. Thus, we have developed a simple theoretical model of the time-dependent viscous flow based on an abstracted geometry of the dike-tunnel system and dimensional scalings of the different forces involved in the subsurface environment. We then designed an analog experimental model for this abstracted system. Results of our experiments examine how the flow rate depends on the pressure drop into the tunnel and describe the morphology of the flow front. We also compare these results with the theoretical model. We conclude by scaling the model to the subsurface repository, to gain insights on igneous hazards assessments at Yucca Mountain.

### **30.2 Background and Conceptual Model**

We have developed a hierarchical program of numerical and experimental investigations to evaluate possible decompression-induced flow phenomena in the interaction of basaltic magma with a geological repository of radioactive waste with the potential design attributes of Yucca Mountain. Models and experiments in this paper examine flow conditions appropriate for a volatile-poor basaltic magma. These models complement alternative models that consider shallow subsurface flow processes for volatile-rich basaltic magmas (Woods, *et al.*, 2002; Bokhove *et al.*, 2005; Darteville and Valentine, 2005; Woods *et al.*, 2006). Although we recognize that basalt may contain appreciable quantities of magmatic volatiles, the present work helps establish the underlying principles that control decompression-induced flow without the complications of volatile exsolution and rapid fragmentation phenomena.

Pliocene-Quaternary age trachybasalts in the Yucca Mountain region are mildly alkaline and contain phenocrysts of predominantly olivine and augite, with minor and variable amounts of plagioclase and occasionally titanian pargasitic amphibole (Vaniman *et al.*, 1982; Hill *et al.*, 1995). Hydrous crystallization experiments on these basalts (Nicholis and Rutherford, 2004) and glass inclusion analyses (Luhr and Housh, 2002) indicate initial magmatic water contents of approximately 4 weight percent. Such magmatic water contents are expected to produce a high-velocity fragmented flow following the rapid decompression at repository depths of 300 m (e.g., Woods *et al.*, 2002).

Although the early phases of a monogenetic basaltic eruption commonly are assumed to be predominantly explosive, there are in fact rather few well-documented eruptions or analyses of pre-historic sequences from scoria cones that can be cited to verify this assumption. Indeed, significant volumes of lava erupted in the first two days of the 1973 Eldfell eruption, Iceland, during a time when pyroclastic activity was relatively weak along an extended fissure (Thorarinsson *et al.*, 1973; Williams and Moore, 1976; Hoskuldsson, personal communication). The most intense explosive eruption developed on the second day of the Eldfell eruption when activity localized on the site where the scoria cone developed. Gutmann (1979) has shown that in the Pinacate volcanic field, Mexico, degassed lava is commonly the lowermost stratum in proximal section through scoria cone sequences. A detailed study of the Lathrop Wells, Nevada, scoria cone and lava (Valentine *et al.*, 2007) also shows that early activity consisted of cone-forming Strombolian bursts and formation of a fan-like lava flow, with violent Strombolian explosive activity occurring after the early lava was emplaced. This evolution of eruption style may be related to degassing effects during initial ascent of the magma, suggesting that initial hazards from subsurface flow may be represented by decompression of a volatile-poor rather than volatile-rich magma. In addition, during the course of a basaltic eruption, degassed basaltic magma may intersect tunnels in association with syneruptive dike propagation. Thus, although initial explosive interaction is generally thought to be more likely, the case of initial interaction with partially degassed magma remains a plausible scenario.

Rising basaltic dikes typically have a fluid pressure on the order of 1–10 MPa in excess of local lithostatic pressure, which allows the magma to ascend from depth, fracture the surrounding rock, and dilate fractures to a 1-m-aperture (Pollard, 1973; Lister, 1991; Lister and Kerr, 1991). At a 300-m-depth beneath Yucca Mountain, the tuff bedrock has a hydrofracture stress of 5.1–5.5 MPa (Stock *et al.*, 1985). In contrast, the potential repository tunnels would have atmospheric pressure (Rosseau *et al.*, 1999), and thereby provide the path of least resistance for the ascending magma. We therefore anticipate that on potential intersection of the dike with the tunnel, the magma flow will be diverted into the tunnel.

The design for the potential high-level radioactive waste repository at Yucca Mountain, Nevada, is currently under development and may change significantly from conditions outlined in this paper. Current plans are to locate approximately 100 horizontal tunnels at depths of 200–300 m below the surface of Yucca Mountain. The east northeast-trending tunnels are approximately 5 m in diameter, 600 m long, and spaced 80 m apart. This tunnel orientation is approximately parallel to the direction of minimum horizontal *in situ* stress (e.g., Morris *et al.*,

1996). The tunnel system has a footprint of  $1 \times 5$  km, and both ends of each tunnel are connected to the surface by access tunnels with a diameter of 7–10 m. Radioactive waste likely will be stored in Cr-Ni alloy canisters up to 2 m in diameter and up to 5 m in length, which can be covered by a Ti-alloy drip shield. Current plans are to seal tunnel ends and access tunnels after waste emplacement and to not emplace backfill around the drip shields. The tunnels containing waste will be at one atmosphere pressure and can effectively be considered as empty cavities, as the waste canisters occupy approximately 20 percent of the volume of the tunnel.

As shallow dike lengths routinely exceed the 80 m spacing between waste emplacement tunnels, multiple tunnels likely would be intersected during a potential igneous event at Yucca Mountain. For typical magma ascent rates of 1 m/s, the ascending magma flux from each 80-m-long by 1-m-wide dike segment thus can be captured by a 20 m<sup>2</sup> tunnel if the flow accelerates to a speed of 4 m/s as it propagates into the tunnel. An understanding of the resulting hazard potential depends on the actual rate of flow, the effects of flow acceleration on magma supply in the feeder dike, and on the time needed to fill an intersected tunnel and re-establish magmatic pressures that allow continued ascent to the surface.

We now build a simplified model of this process and explore the flow regimes that may develop. We then describe an analog laboratory experiment that has been designed to simulate the flow, and test the model quantitatively. First, it is useful to examine the dimensionless parameters that describe the ratio of forces in the problem and to use these parameters to examine typical flow regimes in operation.

As the flow moves into the tunnel, it experiences a sudden decompression,  $\Delta P$ , and an associated acceleration. We simplify our model by assuming that in this shallow part of the crust, the crust is sufficiently strong to withstand the decrease in pressure. We therefore assume the flow geometry to be fixed. This assumption simplifies the model, in that following breakthrough, the rapid decompression of the magma may lead to partial closing of the dike and also some failure of the dike walls.

The typical flow speeds in the basaltic dikes prior to breakthrough is on the order of  $u = 1$  m/s, based on both observation and theory (Wilson and Head, 1981; Lister, 1991). For a dike width of  $w = 1$  m, magma of viscosity  $\mu = 300$  Pa·s and magma of density  $\rho = 2,500$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>, the typical Reynolds number of the flow,  $\rho u w / \mu$ , is approximately 8. For such a flow, the turbulent drag exerted by the walls of the dike has a magnitude of  $2fu^2\rho / w$ , where  $f$  is the turbulent friction factor, on the order of 0.01. The turbulent drag has a value of approximately

50, whereas the viscous drag acting from the conduit walls has a value of  $12 \mu u / w^2$ , which has magnitude of approximately 3,600. Thus, for the typical flow regime in a dike, the flow is dominated by viscous drag, but the turbulent friction does contribute to the pressure losses experienced by the flow (cf. Wilson and Head, 1981). A rapid decompression of the flowing gas-magma mixture by approximately 1–10 MPa as it breaks into a tunnel will lead to an acceleration of the flow. However, for an incompressible (i.e., volatile-free) magma, the ensuing magnitude of the flow is expected to be similar to the original value. This is because a decrease in pressure on the order of 1–10 MPa at the flow front, as the flow breaks through into the tunnel, increases the effective overpressure by an amount comparable to the original overpressure driving magma flow in the system.

A second important parameter concerns the hydrostatic pressure gradient in the nose of the flow as it spreads down a tunnel. In this nose region, of length  $L$ , the hydrostatic pressure gradient is represented as  $rg\rho / L = 10^5 / L$ , where  $r$  is the radius of the tunnel. The free surface in the nose region can be maintained only if the hydrostatic pressure gradient is greater or equal to the driving pressure gradient, which is balanced by viscous resistance and turbulent drag. For a flow speed  $u$  along the tunnel on the order of 1 m/s, the viscous resistance, which is represented as  $8\mu u / r^2$ , is on the order of 400 kg/m<sup>2</sup>s<sup>2</sup>. In contrast, the turbulent drag is represented as  $2fu^2\rho / r$ , which has a value on the order of 20 kg/m<sup>2</sup>s<sup>2</sup>. The hydrostatic pressure gradient is therefore comparable to the net frictional resistance if the nose of the flow extends a distance on the order of  $L = 50$ –100 m. Behind this advancing gravity intrusion, the tunnel will be completely filled with magma.

These relationships establish the balance of forces and the leading order structure of the flow that may arise following breakthrough of degassed magma into a tunnel. We now use these results to develop the analog experimental study of the morphology of this advancing front. We compare the scaling for the extent of the nose of the flow with our experimental data, and we develop and test a model for the continuing flow behind the nose.

### **30.3 Analog Experimental System**

We developed an analog experimental apparatus to model the flow of magma up a dike and into a horizontal tunnel, with a fixed geometry along the flow path. This apparatus (Figure 30.1) consists of a vertical Hele-Shaw cell consisting of two parallel aluminum and glass plates that are 200 mm wide and 500 mm high. The 10-mm gap between these plates represents a

fixed-geometry dike. The base of the cell is connected to a large cylindrical reservoir that contains pressurized liquid. This reservoir represents a deep magma source that drives magma ascent in the dike. The reservoir has an internal diameter of 153 mm and a height of 485 mm. Near the top of the Hele-Shaw cell there is a hydraulically operated gate. This gate, which opens in a fraction of a second, connects the cell to a horizontal glass tube of 40 mm radius and 450 mm length. The axis of the horizontal tube is normal to the plates of the cell. The whole system is sealed from the air, and the initial pressures at (i) the top of the reservoir above the layer of liquid, (ii) the top of the Hele-Shaw cell, and (iii) the end of the horizontal tube are controlled independently by three vacuum regulators (SMC handle-operated vacuum regulators series T203) connected to a diaphragm vacuum pump (KNF Neuberger model N180.3 FT.18). This configuration enables us to control the pressure difference between the reservoir and the top of the cell and also between the top of the cell and the horizontal tube. Pressures are measured with BOC Edwards active strain gauges (ASG NW16–1000 mbar). These gauges have been calibrated in the Calibration Laboratory of the Southwest Research Institute and have an accuracy better than  $\pm 1$  mbar. A series of miniature pressure sensors (113A21 sensors from PCB Piezotronics) were embedded at equal distances along the bottom of the horizontal tube, to record the changes of dynamic pressure during the flow propagation.

### **30.3.1 Experimental Materials**

We used three working fluids as analogs to volatile-poor basaltic magma. The primary fluid was pure Golden Syrup, a partially inverted refiners syrup manufactured by Tate & Lyle Company. The syrup contains 31–38 weight percent sucrose, 42–50 weight percent invert sugar, and the remainder is dominantly water. This syrup has a Newtonian rheology with a strongly temperature-dependent viscosity (e.g., White, 1988; Davaille and Jaupart, 1993). To cover a wider range of Reynolds numbers, we also used less viscous liquids: Golden Syrup diluted with 5 and 15 weight percent deionized water, called DGS5 and DGS15, respectively. Viscosities of the samples used in our experiments were measured over a range of shear rates and temperatures between 0 and 40 °C with a Haake RV20 Rotovisco rotating cylinder viscometer, using the sensor systems M5/MVI and M5/SVII. During these measurements, temperatures were set and controlled by a temperature vessel connected to a thermal liquid circulator. Variations in syrup viscosity as functions of water content and temperature are

shown in Figure 30.2. Densities were calculated by weighing different known volumes of each fluid at room temperature.

In the experiments, the flow regimes included slow viscous flows of very small Reynolds number, but also flows in which the Reynolds number was as large as 100. These latter experimental flow conditions are comparable to the range of likely basaltic flow conditions discussed in the previous section, in which Reynolds numbers for the tunnel,  $\rho ur / \mu$ , have value on the order of 20–200. In comparison, Reynolds numbers for the potential dike flow are on the order of 10–100. Thus, the slow viscous flow experiments are useful as an analog model of the structure of potential flows into a tunnel, in that they illustrate the balance of applied pressure and dissipation and the slumping of the flow nose, even though the flow resistance in the tunnel is through viscous dissipation rather than turbulent drag.

### **30.4 Experimental Results**

Two series of experiments were performed with the experimental apparatus. First, a series of calibration experiments were conducted to examine the initial ascent of syrup up the Hele-Shaw cell as a function of the pressure difference between the reservoir and the top of the ascending layer of syrup. This procedure enabled us to test the model of flow resistance in the reservoir-cell part of the system, independently of the horizontal tube. The second series of experiments involved measuring the flow in the glass tube following the opening of the gate between the horizontal tube and the Hele-Shaw cell. In these experiments, the cell was initially filled with syrup while the gate was closed. The pressure in the tube was then lowered to a prescribed value below that in the cell, and the gate was opened. The rate of advance of the syrup was then measured, and the morphology of the flow front in the tube was recorded by high-speed video.

#### **30.4.1 Initial Calibration Experiments**

We performed a systematic series of experiments to examine the ascent of syrup in the Hele-Shaw cell as a function of the overpressure and the syrup viscosity. In Figure 30.3, we present data that illustrate the variation of the height of the syrup-air interface in the cell as a function of time. The different curves correspond to different pressure contrasts between the top of the reservoir and the top of the Hele-Shaw cell. In each case, the syrup gradually

ascends through the cell to a final, static steady-state in which the difference in pressures between the top of the cell and the top of the reservoir is accommodated by the difference in the head between the reservoir and the cell.

We now present a simple quantitative model of this experiment. For reference, the key parameters used in the model are illustrated in the schematic of the experimental apparatus shown in Figure 30.1. For the pure Golden Syrup experiments, with flow speeds  $u = 0.01$  m/s, the Reynolds number  $Re = uw/v = \rho uw/\mu$  based on the width of the cell,  $w = 0.01$  m, and the dynamic viscosity given in Figure 30.2 has value in the range of  $Re = 10^{-3}$ – $10^{-2}$ . Thus, we expect inertia to be negligible. Therefore, the flow satisfies the approximate equation for the flow, averaged across the width of the cell

$$u = -\left(\frac{w^2}{12\mu}\right)\left(\frac{dp}{dy} + \rho g\right) \quad (30.1)$$

which applies in the limit of low Reynolds number (Batchelor, 1967),  $p$  represents the pressure, and the  $y$ -axis is the direction of the flow. The relation in (30.1) leads to

$$\frac{dh^2}{dt} = \left(\frac{w^2}{6\mu}\right)(\Delta p - \rho gh) \quad (30.2)$$

where  $u = dh / dt$ ,  $h = h(t)$  is the vertical position of the ascending flow front in the cell and  $\Delta p$  is the total pressure drop from the base of the cell to the top of the layer of syrup. This pressure decrease has a value given by

$$\Delta p = \Delta p_0 - \frac{\rho g A_c h}{A_r} \quad (30.3)$$

because the depth of syrup in the reservoir decreases by an amount  $(A_c h) / A_r$ , where  $A_c$  and  $A_r$  are the cross-sectional areas of the cell and the reservoir. The relationship between ascent height  $h$  and time  $t$  in (30.2) and (30.3) leads to

$$\left(\left(\frac{\Delta p_0}{(\lambda \rho g)^2}\right) \ln\left(\frac{\Delta p_0}{\Delta p_0 - \lambda \rho g h}\right)\right) - \frac{h}{\lambda \rho g} = \frac{w^2 t}{12\mu} \quad (30.4)$$

where  $\lambda = 1 + A_c / A_r$ . Figure 30.3 compares the experimental data with the expected values calculated from (30.4), and we find very good agreement for each experiment. The early time rate of increase of the depth of the cell from (30.4) is given by

$$h^2 = \frac{\Delta p_0 w^2 t}{12\mu} \quad (30.5)$$

which illustrates how the flow rate initially slows down with time as the head driving the flow decreases.

### 30.4.2 Experiments of Flow into a Horizontal Tube

In Figure 30.4, we present a series of profiles of the flow front as captured from high-speed video, which illustrate the propagation of the pure Golden Syrup along the horizontal tube following the opening of the gate. Figure 30.4a shows an image from one representative experiment, with a pressure difference of 20 kPa driving the flow. Figure 30.4b shows the profiles obtained by tracing the shape of the flow front at successive times during four different experiments ranging from 10–80 kPa pressure difference between the reservoir and the end of the horizontal tube. With larger pressure differences and hence larger flow rates, the leading edge of the flow is nearly vertical. With a smaller pressure difference, the head becomes more inclined as the gravitational force at the nose of the flow becomes comparable to the pressure-driven flow, and the syrup slumps into the tube. In all cases, however, the shape of the leading edge is essentially invariant with time (Figure 30.5). In Figure 30.5, data are shown for a series of experiments in which different values of the pressure difference between the reservoir and the top of the cell were used. In each case, the nose and tail of the flow front move at constant speed, suggesting that a quasi-steady state is established between the background pressure driving the flow and the gravitational pressure head driving the slumping of the nose. This result implies that the walls of the vertical Hele-Shaw cell exert the dominant resistance to flow, and so the flow rate remains constant.

We now model the dynamics of the flow into the horizontal tube, following the opening of the gate, assuming that the vertical cell is filled with syrup. At each height in the cell, the upward flux has value:

$$Q = -\frac{w^2}{12\mu} \int dx \left( \frac{dp}{dy} + \rho g \right) \quad (30.6)$$

Integrating over the depth of the cell leads to the relation

$$Q = \left( \frac{a\beta w^3}{12\mu H} \right) (\Delta p_1 - \rho g h) \quad (30.7)$$

where  $\Delta p_1$  is the pressure change between the base of the Hele-Shaw cell and the opening into the tube,  $a$  is the length of the cell, and  $\beta$  is a dimensionless constant. The constant  $\beta$  accounts for additional resistance that the flow experiences in the Hele-Shaw cell during a transition from a nearly parallel upward flow to a more focused radial flow in the vicinity of the horizontal tube. Detailed calculation of the value of  $\beta$  would require a full three dimensional model of flow in the apparatus, particularly as flow migrates into the horizontal tube. These calculations are beyond the scope of this study. We therefore use (30.7) to determine the value of the  $\beta$  for the experiments described below.

Parameter  $\beta$  depends primarily on the width of the cell compared to the width of the tube opening, which determines the degree of flow focusing. Parameter  $\beta$  also depends on the length-to-width ratio of the cell, which determines the fraction of the overall flow path over which the flow is focused. The focusing will occur over a length scale along the cell comparable to width  $w$ . In this region, the resistance to flow will increase as the flow migrates through a progressively smaller area of the cell and hence at a greater speed. Because  $\beta$  may be interpreted as a measure of the reduction in the flow rate associated with this flow focusing, we expect it to have a value somewhat smaller than 1 in the experiments. Scaling the model to a possible dike-repository system, we expect that  $H$  is approximately 10–30 km whereas  $a$  is approximately 20–80 m. Thus, the effect of this focusing will be negligible, suggesting that  $\beta = 1$  would be a good approximation.

Depending on the volume flux and the viscosity of the fluid, flow in the horizontal tube may be dominated by the applied pressure with little gravity slumping at the nose. Conversely, flow may include an extensive gravity driven head that only partially fills the tube. In either

case, in the region where the horizontal tube is completely filled with fluid, the pressure gradient is to good approximation related to the flow according to the relation

$$Q = -\left(\frac{\pi r^4}{8\mu}\right) \frac{dp}{dy} \quad (30.8)$$

where  $r$  is the tube radius. Integrating along length  $L$  of the horizontal tube that is completely filled with fluid, we find the volume flux of fluid into the tube,  $Q$ , as a function of  $\Delta p_2$ , the pressure drop along the tube:

$$Q = \frac{\Delta p_2 \pi r^4}{8\mu L} \quad (30.9)$$

In the experiments, the total pressure drop

$$\Delta p = \Delta p_1 + \Delta p_2 \quad (30.10)$$

is controlled because ahead of the point  $x = L$  in the horizontal tube the fluid has a free surface on which the pressure equals that in the far-field of the reservoir. Combining (30.7) and (30.9), we obtain the governing relation

$$\Delta p = \Delta P_d + \rho g H = Q\mu \left( \frac{8L}{\pi r^4} + \frac{12H}{\beta \alpha w^3} \right) + \rho g H \quad (30.11)$$

where  $\Delta P_d$  is the overpressure that drives the flow. Here we have used the result that, by mass conservation, the net volume flux in the horizontal tube and in the Hele-Shaw cell is equal. The mean velocity of the fluid front that completely fills the area of the horizontal tube,  $u = dL / dt$ , is related to the volume flux in the tube according to the relation:

$$\frac{dL}{dt} = \frac{Q}{\pi r^2} \quad (30.12)$$

Also, the over-pressure driving the flow (30.11) decreases from the initial value  $\Delta P_0$  as fluid invades the tube and the level in the main reservoir decreases:

$$\Delta P_d = \Delta P_0 - \frac{\rho g L A_T}{A_R} \quad (30.13)$$

Combining (30.11) and (30.13), and integrating, we find that the horizontal extent of the fluid front that completely fills the tube,  $L(t)$ , is given by the relation:

$$\left( \frac{8\mu A_R}{r^2 \rho g A_T} \right) \left( L + \left[ \left( \frac{\Delta P_0 A_R}{\rho g A_T} \right) + \left( \frac{3\pi r^4 H}{2\beta a \omega^3} \right) \right] \ln \left( 1 - \frac{L \rho g A_T}{A_R \Delta P_0} \right) \right) = -t \quad (30.14)$$

At early times, when  $L$  is small, or in the case that  $\Delta P_0 \gg L \rho g A_T / A_R$ , so that there is very little change in liquid height in the reservoir and hence pressure at the base of the reservoir. As a result of the flow into the horizontal tube, (30.14) has the approximate form:

$$L = \frac{\Delta P_0 \beta w^3 a t}{12\mu \pi r^2 H} \quad (30.15)$$

This approximation corresponds to the situation in which the main frictional losses controlling the flow into the horizontal tube are dissipated in the Hele-Shaw cell, and hence in which the extent of the liquid-filled zone in the tube increases linearly.

The experimental data (Figure 30.5) show that to a very good approximation the flow advances along the tunnel with a constant speed. From (30.15), we expect the gradient of the lines,  $L/t$ , shown on Figure 30.5, to be proportional to the driving overpressure  $\Delta P_0$ . Figure 30.6 illustrates the variation of the gradient of those lines, as measured from Figure 30.5, with overpressure  $\Delta P_0$ . This variation (Figure 30.6) confirms that  $dL/dt$  is indeed proportional to  $\Delta P_0$ . According to (30.15), the constant of proportionality is given by  $\beta w^3 a / 12\mu r^2 H$ . By measuring this constant of proportionality from Figure 30.6 and combining this with the dimensions of the experimental system, we find that  $\beta = 0.95$ . In comparison, the expected value for  $\beta$  is 1.0.

Although this model captures the propagation of the fluid-filled front along the horizontal tube, it does not account for the shape or extent of the gravitational slump zone at the nose of

the flow. As described in Section 30.2, the extent of this slump zone,  $D$ , is determined by a balance between the gravitational pressure gradient,  $\rho gr / D$ , and the applied pressure gradient,  $dp / dz = \Delta p_2 / L$ , along the completely fluid-filled part of the horizontal tube. This relationship can be represented as:

$$D \approx \frac{\rho gr}{dp/dz} \quad (30.16)$$

This expression may be re-expressed in terms of the speed of the flow along the tube,  $u_T$ , noting that the pressure gradient in the tube is given by  $dp / dz = 8\mu u_T / r^2$ , leading to the relation:

$$D \approx \frac{\rho gr^3}{8\mu u_T} \quad (30.17)$$

In Figure 30.7, we compare this expected scaling relationship for the extent of the slumping zone, as given by (30.17) and using measurements of the speed of the flow along the horizontal tube, with the laboratory data on the width of the slumping zone. We find reasonable agreement between the expected order of magnitude scaling and the experimental data. Note that the speed  $u$  is related to the applied overpressure that drives the flow. In the limit that most of the frictional dissipation occurs in the Hele-Shaw cell rather than the horizontal tube,  $D$  can be expressed as:

$$D = \frac{3H\rho gr^5\pi}{2\beta a w^3 \Delta P_0} \quad (30.18)$$

This expression is equivalent to (30.17), because we estimated the value of  $\beta$  using (30.15). Equation (30.17) identifies how the length of the gravity intrusion decreases with the overpressure, the width of the cell, and the length of the cell supplying fluid into the horizontal tube. In contrast, the slump zone increases with the radius of the tube and the length of the cell over which the applied overpressure is being dissipated.

### 30.4.3 Flows at Higher Reynolds Numbers

The experiments described above refer to low Reynolds number flows in which only the viscous resistance controls the flow dynamics. As discussed in Section 30.2, for a potential repository system, turbulent drag may also be an important process for flows having a higher Reynolds numbers, on the order of 10 to 100. In Figure 30.8, a series of further experimental results are shown for flows using dilute Golden Syrup of lower viscosity. For these experiments using diluted syrup, Reynolds numbers are on the order of 10 to 100. In comparison, a possible magma flow within a subsurface tunnel will likely have a Reynolds number on the order of 20. In these experiments, an extensive slump zone always develops. The steady slump surface is of comparable length to the horizontal tube. Flow reaches a quasi-steady state only as the fluid reaches the end of the tube. At this stage, fluid is reflected back from the end of the tube and develops a backward-propagating filling front. For higher pressures driving the flow, the front is sharper and more localized as expected, with gravity playing a smaller role in the overall flow.

### 30.5 Application to Potential Dike-Repository Conditions

We now scale our theoretical model for application to the potential repository, using representative parameters for magma viscosity and the possible dike-tunnel geometry. In developing the model for application to a geological repository, we draw from Section 30.2 in which we established that the viscous resistance is dominant, but that the turbulent drag, although small, is non-negligible and the Reynolds number of the flow has magnitude in the range of 10–1000. We consider the case of an open tunnel, in which the air has atmospheric pressure ahead of the magma, and a closed tunnel, in which the air gradually becomes compressed as the magma advances and decelerates the flow.

To model this flow regime, we extend the model in section 4 for low Reynolds number flow to include the effects of flow inertia in the model. Flow motion in the dike thus is governed by the equation (cf. Woods *et al.*, 2002):

$$\rho \frac{du}{dt} = -\frac{dp}{dy} - \frac{12\mu u}{w^2} - \frac{c_D \rho u^2}{w} - \rho g \quad (30.19)$$

Motion in the region of the repository tunnel that is filled with magma is governed by the equation

$$\rho \frac{du_T}{dt} = -\frac{dp}{dz} - \frac{8\mu u_T}{r^2} - \frac{c_D \rho u_T^2}{r} \quad (30.20)$$

where the speed in the tunnel  $u_T$  is related to the speed in the dike according to the relation  $u_T = u A / A_T$  where  $A$  and  $A_T$  are the cross-sectional areas of the dike and tunnel. If the dike extends a vertical distance  $H$ , and  $L$  is the extent of the tunnel that is fully filled, then (30.19) and (30.20) may be integrated and combined to give the relation:

$$\rho (\lambda L + H) \frac{dt^2}{d^2L} = \Delta p - 4\mu \frac{dL}{dt} \left( \frac{3H}{w^2} + \frac{2\lambda L}{r^2} \right) - c_D \rho \left( \frac{\lambda L}{r} + \frac{H}{w} \right) \left( \frac{dL}{dt} \right)^2 - \rho g H \quad (30.21)$$

Here  $\Delta p - \rho g H$  is the effective overpressure driving the flow. Included in (30.21) are both the chamber overpressure and the release of the stress at the level of the repository as the magma flows into the tunnel.

### 30.5.1 Open Tunnel Conditions

For potential magma flow into an open tunnel, the pressure ahead of the advancing magma is at atmospheric value. In contrast to the tunnel, the surrounding rock has stress associated with the overburden of a thickness  $L_H$  of rock, although the least principal stress  $\sigma_3$  may be less than the lithostatic load. However, for simplicity, here we assume the stress is given by the lithostatic head  $\rho g L_H$ . Because the dike walls and thus the magma in the dike are subjected to this stress, the lithostatic head becomes available to drive the flow into the tunnel. As mentioned in section 30.2, the model assumes that the walls of the dike are of fixed geometry. Although a fixed geometry is a simplification based on earlier models of conduit flow (Wilson and Head, 1981), it is a reasonable starting point for examining flow into a tunnel following possible intersection by an ascending dike. In practice, the flow may be initially weaker, as the rapid decompression of the magma may lead to temporary closure of the dike walls as a result of elastic strain effects. However, as magma continues to ascend from depth and recompresses the walls of the dike, magma will resume flowing into the tunnel. Our

calculations are therefore an upper bound on the flow rates in the system, assuming that the dike wall geometry remains constant during the process.

We solved (30.21) numerically based on the assumption of a 5-m-diameter tunnel being fed by a 1-m-wide dike of 80 m lateral extent and supplied from a source reservoir below a brittle-ductile transition zone at approximately 10 km. We assume that at this depth, the ascending magma has an overpressure  $\Delta P$ , comparable to the strength of the wall-rock, of 5 MPa. We take  $L_H$  to have a value of 300 m, and the drag coefficient  $c_D$  to have a value of 0.01 (Wilson and Head, 1981). Finally, we take the value 300 Pa·s for the viscosity of the basaltic magma. As in the syrup experiments, the drag in the tunnel is negligible compared to drag in the dike.

Figure 30.9 shows the time-dependent velocity calculated by this model as a function of the magma viscosity when the flow invades the tunnel, assuming that the flow has a vertical front. The calculation shows that if the flow enters the tunnel overpressured, and starting at rest, then the flow accelerates to steady state over a time on the order of 10–100 s, for magmas with viscosity in the range 30–300 Pa·s. As this initial acceleration develops, the slumping front of the flow may also grow. The scaling relationships in section 30.4 and (30.16) suggest that for a viscosity of 300 Pa·s, slumping at the flow front will be dominated by a balance of the viscous forces and gravitational acceleration terms. Given a characteristic magma speed of 1 m/s, this balance implies a slump region on the order of 50–100 m may grow ahead of the part of the flow that completely fills the tunnel.

### 30.5.2 Closed Tunnel Conditions

Tunnels that may contain high-level radioactive waste could be effectively sealed after waste emplacement. In contrast to an open tunnel, an advancing mass of magma will displace and compress the air between the magma and sealed end of the tunnel. Although rock units in the potential repository horizons have bulk permeabilities on the order of  $10^{-12}$ – $10^{-11}$  m<sup>2</sup> (Rosseau *et al.*, 1999), these permeabilities are small compared to the 20 m<sup>2</sup> cross-sectional area of the tunnel and thus are neglected in the following discussion. In addition, because the flow speeds are much less than the speed of sound in the air, the air pressure ( $P_a$ ) essentially will remain spatially uniform. The effective pressure ( $P_e$ ) will therefore increase inversely with the unfilled volume in the tunnel, according to:

$$P_e = P_a \frac{X}{(X-L)} \quad (30.22)$$

Here  $X$  is the length of the tunnel and  $L$  is the length of the filled part of the tunnel. The effective pressure driving the flow therefore decreases with time, causing the flow to decelerate. As seen in Figure 30.10, the effect of the closed tunnel is to cause the simple piston displacement flow to decelerate with time as the air is compressed. While this flow decelerates, the tunnel would continue to fill. However, as the magma slumps along the base of the reservoir, the flow evolves from the simple one-dimensional model presented herein.

### 30.5 Thermal Effects on Flow

Cooling with formation of a chilled margin against tunnel walls and canisters might inhibit the advance of magma down a repository tunnel. However, our results indicate that formation of chilled margins would not be a significant impediment to magma flow. For magma viscosities in the range of 30 to 300 Pa·s, the time to fill a potentially intersected tunnel is on the order of 100 to 1000 seconds. The thickness of chilled margins is of the order  $(\kappa t)^{0.5}$ , where  $\kappa$  is the thermal diffusivity and  $t$  is the time. For a typical  $\kappa = 5 \times 10^{-7} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ , a chilled margin thickness will be on the order of 1 to 2 cm. Even for the case of an end-member viscosity of  $10^5 \text{ Pa}\cdot\text{s}$  for fully degassed and partially crystallized trachybasalt magma, the modeled time to fill a tunnel is on the order of 30,000 seconds and the resulting chilled margin thickness is only 10 cm. The reduction in cross-sectional area and increase of viscous resistance as a consequence of a progressive 1–10 cm chilled margin during flow emplacement thus will be small to negligible.

### 30.6 Concluding Remarks

The modeling described in this paper has examined the flow that may develop following breakthrough of a volatile-poor basaltic magma from a dike into a low-pressure subsurface tunnel. We developed both experimental and numerical models of the flow, assuming that the dike-tunnel geometry remains constant. Agreement of the experimental observations with the

calculated results of this simplified model suggests that the model captures the first-order behavior of magma flow. A key result from our experimental study is the recognition of a gravitational slumping zone (Figure 30.4) ahead of the magma front into the tunnel. A simple scaling relationship developed and tested with the laboratory experiments indicates that the length scale of this zone depends on the ratio of the gravitational head across the tunnel compared to the pressure gradient along the tunnel associated with the frictional dissipation of the flow.

We have applied this model to the scale of a potential radioactive waste repository. For typical overpressures of 1–5 MPa that may be released as the magma flows from a dike into a single tunnel, we calculate a slumping zone develops with a lateral extent on the order of 50–100 m. The speed of the flow along the potentially intersected tunnel is expected to be on the order of 1 m/s.

The model represents a first-order abstraction of the complex processes anticipated for magma flow in a tunnel, and is based on a number of important simplifications. One key assumption is that the magma does not contain a volatile phase, and therefore the flow is not fragmented. This study thus provides a base case to evaluate the effects of volatiles in other models and experiments. For example, complementary studies described by Woods *et al.* (2002) and Darteville and Valentine (2005) explore some of the flow processes that might occur for volatile-rich magma, when a fragmented, high-velocity flow likely develops on interaction of a dike with a tunnel. From these studies, the speed of flow along the potentially intersected tunnel is expected to be on the order of 100 m/s.

A second key simplification is that the dike-tunnel geometry remains fixed following breakthrough into the tunnel. This simplification is important because as the pressure in the upper part of the dike decreases, the dike may partially close if the magma supply rate cannot match the rate of decompression (Bokhove *et al.*, 2005; Woods *et al.*, 2006). However, as magma continues to ascend from depth and the dike-tunnel system is repressurized, the dike would be expected to reopen. The present model is therefore likely to represent an upper bound on flow rate into a tunnel for volatile-poor magma.

The disposal of hazardous materials such as high-level radioactive waste poses great challenges to societies in trying to evaluate future risks. In the case of a repository for high-level radioactive waste, this evaluation considers potential hazards that could exist for longer than the span of recorded human history (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2001). Thus, scientists are required to assess the interactions of long-term geological processes with

engineered systems to help evaluate risks to society for millennia to come. There are geological events of low probability, which have never been witnessed but can be clearly deduced from the geological record. This record also provides a basis to assess models that attempt to evaluate physical processes that are not well preserved.

Although the likelihood of igneous disruption is relatively small for the potential repository site at Yucca Mountain, Nevada, the possible radiological hazards from such disruption appears large relative to other higher likelihood events and processes (e.g., CRWMS M&O, 2000). Thus, models for this potential disruption are being developed, so that risks can be assessed appropriately. The abundance of a gas phase is a key component in evaluating potential magma-tunnel interactions. Relatively slow ascent of volatile-bearing magma may lead to volatile accumulation or loss in the leading regions of a dike, whereas rapid ascent may lead to disequilibrium effects and diffusion-limited bubble growth. An appropriate range of models can be considered in the Yucca Mountain performance assessment and the range of potential hazards evaluated for that range. The numerical models developed herein capture important, first-order processes of volatile-poor magma flow and are sufficiently general so that they can be tested against observations of natural or experimental flows. Experimental tests also support the fundamental results of these numerical models. Although the decompression of a volatile-poor basaltic magma may represent a less likely scenario than one for a volatile-rich magma, the volatile-poor scenario provides an appropriate lower bound to the range of conditions that appear appropriate to consider for potential magma-tunnel interactions.

### **30.7 Suggested Further Reading**

Wilson and Head (1981) provide an approachable overview of fundamental magma ascent and flow processes applicable to basaltic volcanism. Woods *et al.* (2002) applied these first-order principals to the modeling of initial magma-tunnel interaction processes. Additional insights on the mechanics of magma ascent in Lister and Kerr (1991) are used by Bokhove *et al.* (2005) and Woods *et al.* (2006) to evaluate couplings between magma pressure and wall-rock response, which affects the characteristics of openings in potential magma-tunnel interactions. Fully coupled two-phase flow models in Darteville and Valentine (2005) provide additional insights on time-transient characteristics of potential magma-tunnel interaction processes. Although some important engineering characteristics of the potential Yucca

Mountain repository may have changed since U.S. Department of Energy (2001), this report provides a recent, publically available overview of the potential geologic repository system.

### **Acknowledgments**

Detailed reviews by Stefan Mayer, Wes Patrick, Timothy McCartin, and John Trapp greatly improved the content and readability of this report. The authors thank Mike J. Dury and Fred Wheeler, who helped design and build the experimental apparatus in the workshop of the Earth Sciences Department, University of Bristol, UK. Melissa Hill, Jerry Nixon, and Alan Jenkinson also are thanked for their support and assistance in the laboratory, and we thank Rebecca Emmot for clerical support and Jim Pryor for editorial review. Documentation on the details of the model calculations is available from the authors. R.S.J. Sparks thanks the Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) for a NERC Professorship. This paper was prepared to document work performed by the Center for Nuclear Waste Regulatory Analyses (CNWRA) for the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) under Contract No. NRC-02-02-012. The activities reported here were performed on behalf of the NRC Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, Division of High Level Waste Repository Safety. This paper is an independent product of the CNWRA and does not necessarily reflect the view or regulatory position of NRC.

## References

Batchelor, G.K. 1967. *An Introduction to Fluid Dynamics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Bokhove, O., A.W. Woods and A. de Boer. 2005. Magma flow through elastic-walled dikes. *Theoretical and Computational Fluid Dynamics*, **22**, 261–286.

Connor, C.B., J.A. Stamatakos, D.A. Ferrill, B.E. Hill, G.I. Ofoegbu, F.M. Conway, B. Sagar, and J. Trapp. 2000. Geologic factors controlling patterns of small-volume basaltic volcanism: Application to a volcanic hazards assessment at Yucca Mountain, Nevada. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **105**, 417–432.

CRWMS M&O. 2000. *Total System Performance Assessment for the Site Recommendation*. TDR–WIS–PA–000001 Rev. 00, ICN 01. North Las Vegas: DOE Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Office.

Dartevelle, S., and G. Valentine. 2005. Early-time multiphase interactions between basaltic magma and underground openings at the proposed Yucca Mountain radioactive waste repository. *Geophysical Research Letters*, **32**, L22311, doi:10.1029/2005GL024172

Davaille, A., and C. Jaupart. 1993. Transient high-Rayleigh-number thermal convection with large viscosity variations. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, **253**, 141–166.

Delaney, P.T., D.D. Pollard, J.I. Ziony, and E.H. McKee. 1986. Field relations between dikes and joints: Emplacement processes and paleostress analysis. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **91**(B5), 4920–4938.

Gutmann, J.T. 1979. Structure and eruptive cycle of cinder cones in the Pinacate volcanic field and the controls of Strombolian activity. *Journal of Geology*, **87**, 448–454.

Hill, B.E., Lynton, S.J., and J.F. Luhr. 1995. Amphibole in Quaternary basalts of the Yucca Mountain region: significance to volcanism models. *Proceedings, Sixth Annual International High-*

*Level Radioactive Waste Management Conference*. La Grange Park: American Nuclear Society. 132–134.

Lister, J. 1991. Steady solutions for feeder dikes in a density stratified lithosphere. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, **107**, 233–242.

Lister, J.R., and R.C. Kerr. 1991. Fluid-mechanical models of crack propagation and their application to magma transport in dykes. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **96**(B6), 10049–10077.

Luhr, J.F., and T.B. Housh. 2002. Melt volatile contents in basalts from Lathrop Wells and Red Cone, Yucca Mountain Region (SW Nevada): Insights from glass inclusions. *EOS, Transactions of the American Geophysical Union*, **83**, 1221.

Morris, A., D.A. Ferrill, and D.B. Henderson. 1996. Slip-tendency analysis and fault reactivation. *Geology*, **24**(3), 275–278.

Nicholis, M.G., and M.J. Rutherford. 2004. Experimental constraints on magma ascent rate for the Crater Flat Volcanic Zone hawaiite. *Geology*, **32**, 489–492.

Pollard, D.D. 1973. Equations for stress and displacement fields around pressurized elliptical holes in elastic solids. *Mathematical Geology*, **5**, 11–25.

Rosseau, J.P., E.M. Kwicklis, and D.C. Giles. 1999. Hydrogeology of the Undersaturated Zone, North Ramp Area of the Exploratory Studies Facility, Yucca Mountain, Nevada. *Water-Resources Investigations Report 98-4050*. Denver: U.S. Geological Survey.

Stock, J.M., J.H. Healy, S.H. Hickman, M.D. Zoback. 1985. Hydraulic fracturing stress measurements at Yucca Mountain, Nevada, and relationship to the regional stress field. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **90**(B10), 8691–8706.

Thorarinsson, S., S. Steinthórsson, Th. Einarsson, H. Kristmannsdóttir, and N. Oskarsson. 1973. The eruption on Heimaey, Iceland. *Nature*, **241**, 372–375.

U.S. Department of Energy. 2001. *Yucca Mountain Science and Engineering Report*. DOE/RW-0539. North Las Vegas: U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2001. Public Health and Environmental Radiation Protection Standards for Yucca Mountain, Nevada. *Code of Federal Regulations, Title 40 Chapter 1 Environmental Protection Agency, Part 197*. Washington, DC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. 2001. Disposal of High-Level Radioactive Wastes in a Proposed Geologic Repository at Yucca Mountain, Nevada; Final Rule. *Code of Federal Regulations, Title 10 Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Part 63*. Washington, DC: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Valentine, G.A., J. Donathan, D.J. Krier, F.V. Perry, and G. Heiken. 2007. Eruptive and geomorphic processes at the Lathrop Wells scoria cone volcano. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research*, **161**, 57–80.

Vaniman, D.T., B.M. Crowe, and E.S. Gladney. 1982. Petrology and geochemistry of hawaiite lavas from Crater Flat, Nevada. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology*, **80**, 341–357.

White, D.B. 1988. The planforms and onset of convection with a temperature dependent viscosity fluid. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, **191**, 247–286.

Williams, R.S., Jr., and J.G. Moore. 1976. *Man Against Volcano: The Eruption on Heimaey, Vestmann Islands, Iceland*. U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Leaflet, 20 p.

Wilson, L., and J.W. Head. 1981. Ascent and eruption of basaltic magma on the Earth and Moon. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **86**, 2971–3001.

Woods, A.W., R.S.J. Sparks, O. Bokhove, A.-M. Lejeune, C.B. Connor, and B.E. Hill. 2002. Modeling magma-drift interaction at the proposed high-level radioactive waste repository at Yucca Mountain, Nevada, USA. *Geophysical Research Letters*, **29**(13), 1–4.

Woods, A.W., O. Bokhove, A. deBoer, and B. Hill. 2006. Compressible magma flow in a two-dimensional elastic-walled conduit. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, **246** (3-4), 241–250.

## List of Parameters

$a$	length of cell
$w$	width of cell
$L$	length of flow in the filled part of the horizontal tube
$r$	radius of the horizontal tube
$H$	vertical extent of flow pathway in cell
$h$	depth of syrup in cell
$h_r$	initial depth of syrup in the reservoir
$D$	extent of the slump zone
$A_C$	cross-sectional area of the cell
$A_R$	cross-sectional area of the reservoir
$A_T$	cross-sectional area of the tube
$g$	acceleration due to gravity
$\Delta P_0$	initial driving overpressure
$\Delta P_d$	overpressure driving the flow
$\Delta p$	total pressure drop from the base of the cell to the top of the fluid layer
$\Delta p_0$	initial total pressure drop
$\Delta p_1$	pressure change between the base of the cell and the opening into the horizontal tube
$\Delta p_2$	pressure drop along the horizontal tube
$Q$	flow rate
$\Delta P$	pressure difference across flow path, including gravitational head
$u$	speed in cell
$u_T$	speed in tube
$y$	position in the cell, measured upwards
$x$	position across the cell
$z$	position along the horizontal tube, measured from the cell
$\beta$	dimensionless scaling factor to account for diversion of flow in cell near horizontal tube
$\mu$	viscosity of syrup
$\rho$	density of syrup/magma

## Figure captions

Figure 30.1. Schematic diagram of the analog experimental apparatus, illustrating the key variables.

Figure 30.2. Viscosity as a function of temperature and water content for pure Golden Syrup (PGS) and Golden Syrup diluted with 5, 10, and 15 weight percent deionized water (respectively, DGS5, DGS10, and DGS15). Temperatures are measured to within  $0.1^\circ\text{C}$ . Each viscosity value was measured and averaged over a range of shear rates.

Figure 30.3. Rise height of pure Golden Syrup in the Hele-Shaw cell as a function of time, for a series of different applied pressures ranging from 0.55 to 5.5 kPa.

Figure 30.4. Profile of the syrup-air interface as it flows down the horizontal tube. (a) Photograph of a typical experiment with a 20 kPa pressure difference driving the flow. (b) Tracings of the shape of the leading edge of the flow taken at a series of times in five experiments, with the pressure difference driving the flow being 10, 20, 30, 50, and 90 kPa. In this figure,  $\Delta t$  is the time elapsed between the two consecutive profiles and  $t_f$  corresponds to the time of the first profile after the opening of the gate. To good approximation, the shape of the head of the flow remains the same as it moves down the horizontal tube.

Figure 30.5. Variation of the position of the leading and trailing edges of the nose of the flow as a function of time, for applied pressure differences of 20, 30, 50, and 90 kPa driving the flow into the horizontal tube. The speed of the trailing or top edge of the front is shown in Figure 30.6.

Figure 30.6. Variation of the speed of the flow front spreading into the horizontal tube as a function of overpressure driving the flow. The data collapse to a straight line to good approximation, as anticipated by (30.15).

Figure 30.7. Comparison of the idealized scaling law (solid line) for the length of the gravitationally induced slump with experimental observations (dots). In this figure, the calculated length of the slump is evaluated using the speed of the filling front as it advances along the horizontal tube, and the density contrast between fluid in the tube and air.

Figure 30.8. Three sequences of photographs from experiments using a water-Golden Syrup mixture, with applied pressures of 20, 50, and 80 kPa. The Reynolds numbers of the flows in these experiments are more analogous to those expected for potential magma-repository interactions. For the higher flow rate, the flow front is somewhat irregular but quite localized. For the slower flow rate, the flow front shows more evidence of a gravitational slump region, as in the viscous syrup experiments (Figure 30.4a), except that the slump region is relatively more extensive.

Figure 30.9. Calculated speed of the flow along a proposed repository tunnel as a function of the magma viscosity, calculated using (30.21). The hydrofracture stress at 300 m below the surface at Yucca Mountain is approximately 5 MPa, and the lithostatic load at this depth is approximately 7 MPa. These calculations ignore the influence of any gravity slump zone at the leading edge of the flow.

Figure 30.10. Calculation of the rate of advance of a planar filling front into a closed tunnel. As the pressure in the tunnel increases, the flow decelerates and the model ceases to apply since the gravity slumping front will dominate the flow.