From: "Richard Webster" <rwebster@kinoy.rutgers.edu>
To: "Glenn Meyer" <GWM@nrc.gov>, <RRB1@nrc.gov>, "Richard Conte" <RJC@nrc.gov>
Date: 05/25/2007 10:58:12 AM
Subject: Conference call on 5/24/07 Re: Oyster Creek operability

Thanks for being so responsive to my concerns by convening a conference call yesterday. I would like to confirm the outcome of that call with you. My understanding of the discussion is as follows:

i) it is uncertain whether NRC staff have reviewed licensee calculations that show that the 2006 external UT results meet the local area acceptance criterion stated in calculation C-1302-187-E310-041 page 11 as criterion number 2.

ii) it is also uncertain whether NRC staff reviewed licensee calculations that show that the 2006 external UT results meet any other local area acceptance criterion (i.e. a criterion that applies to areas that are less than 0.736 inches thick, but larger than 2 inches in diameter).

iii) we agreed that the 2006 external results are of better quality than the 1992 external results.

iv) NRC does not believe there is a current operability concern. I could not understand from the call how NRC had made this determination.

v) I stated that I believed that the new information I submitted did not raise an immediate operability concern, because the most critical loadings occur during refueling. However, my conclusion is based primarily on the Sandia study, which I understand NRC may not rely upon because it is not part of the CLB. I continue to believe that the new information shows that NRC has no reasonable assurance that the plant meets the CLB.

vi) we agreed that in addition to answering my previous questions, which I reiterated in the March 30, 2007 letter, NRC staff would also advise me how NRC reached its conclusion that the external UT results complied with the local area acceptance criterion in November 2006 before the plant restarted.

If you do not agree with this summary, please let me know within the next week. Thank you for your consideration.

Richard Webster
Staff Attorney
Rutgers Environmental Law Clinic
123 Washington Street
Newark, NJ 07102
Phone: 973-353-5695
Fax: 973-353-5537

CONFIDENTIAL LEGAL COMMUNICATION/WORK PRODUCT
This e-mail may contain privileged and confidential attorney-client communications and/or attorney work product. If you receive this e-mail
inadvertently, please reply to the sender and delete all versions on

your
system.
Thank you.

CC: <Jill.Lipoti@dep.state.nj.us>, "Debbie Mans" <Debbie.Mans@gov.state.nj.us>, <may@nrc.gov>

Mail Envelope Properties (4656F972.E0E : 6 : 56846)

Subject: Conference call on 5/24/07 Re: Oyster Creek operability
Creation Date 05/25/2007 10:57:44 AM
From: "Richard Webster" <rwebster@kinoy.rutgers.edu>

Created By: rwebster@kinoy.rutgers.edu

Recipients
nrc.gov
kp1_po.KP_DO
GWM (Glenn Meyer)
RRB1 (Ronald Bellamy)
RJC (Richard Conte)

nrc.gov
TWGWPO04.HQGWDO01
MAY CC (Mitzi Young)

gov.state.nj.us
Debbie.Mans CC (Debbie Mans)

dep.state.nj.us
Jill.Lipoti CC

Post Office	**Route**
kp1_po.KP_DO	nrc.gov
TWGWPO04.HQGWDO01	nrc.gov
	gov.state.nj.us
	dep.state.nj.us

Files	**Size**	**Date & Time**
MESSAGE	2237	05/25/2007 10:57:44 AM
Mime.822	3456	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
ReplyRequested:	No
Return Notification:	None
Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

Junk Mail Handling Evaluation Results
Message is eligible for Junk Mail handling
This message was not classified as Junk Mail

Junk Mail settings when this message was delivered
Junk Mail handling disabled by User
Junk List is not enabled
Junk Mail using personal address books is not enabled
Block List is not enabled