CHIEF:	Mike Murphy FA	CILITY:	CN	IS	[	DATE of W	RITTEN EXAM:	10/21/05
Due Date	Description		Date Con	nplete	Initials	Notes		
10/3/05	Activity Schedule Agreement (C.1.a; C.2	.a & b)	1919	105	Jugard			
10/3/05	NRC Staff & Fac. Contact Assigned (C.1	.c; C.2.e)	90/29	25	ment			
10/3/05	Facility Contact Briefed on Security & Ot	her Req's (	80/19/	95	men			
10/6/05	Corporate Notification Letter (ES-201 Att	t-3) Sent (C	9/29/	05	mint			
N/A	Exam Ref. Material Due (if NRC authore	d) (C.1.d; C	N	ð				
N/A	Integrated Exam Outlines Due (C.1.d & e	e; C.3.d)	MA					
10/3/05	Outlines Review & Feedback from NRC	(c.2.h; C.3.	10/3/	os-	mand			
10/3/05	Expectations, Issues, & Standards Discu	ussed w/ BC	9/28	105	med			
10/3/05	Draft Exams w/ Doc./Ref. Due (C.1.d/e/f	; C.3.d)	4/27	105	Julas			
10/10/05	Peer Reviewer Documents Review of Ex	am	10/4	105	mul			
10/10/05	NRC BC Approves Feedback to Facility	(C.2.h; C.3.	19/4	105	PL			
10/10/05	Exams Reviewed w/ Fac. (C.1.h;C.2.f&h	;C.3.g)		10/4	105	meal		
9/21/05	Preliminary Applications Reviewed (C.1.	j;C.2.g;ES2	02)	10/3	105	profes		
10/7/05	Final Applications Reviewed (C.1.j;C.2.h	;ES202)		10/7/	105	Julul	NEED WAR	ier.
N/A	On-Site Preparatory Week to Validate O	perating Ex	am	M.	A-			_
N/A	On-Site Audit (10%) of License App's (E	S-202 C.2.	e)	N/	A-			
10/14/05	Final Appl. OK'ed & Waivers Sent to App	2.g) ·	10/11	105	ment			
10/14/05	NRC Supervisor Approved Final Exams	)	10/12	105	M			
10/14/05	Exam Aproval Letter (ES-201 Att-4) and (ES-201-4) Prepared (C.2.i)	10/12	105	april				
10/14/05	Proctor Rules Review w/Fac. & Written	Authorized (	(C.3.k)	10/12	105	ment		
10/14/05	Exam Material to Exam Team (C.3.i)			N	12			
10/21/05	Administer Written Exam On-Site			10/13	3/05	night		
11/2/05	Facility Graded Exam & Comments Rec	eived		10/19		ment		
11/5/05	NRC Written Exam Grading Completed		10/19	1/05	men			
11/5/05	Examiners Document Exam Grades on		10/19	1/05	men			
11/15/05	NRC Chief Examiner Grading Review Co	ompleted		10/10	1/05	night		
11/16/05	NRC BC Review Completed			10/20	' i	Ar		
11/21/05	RPS/IP # Examinees Updated Before R	eport Issue	d	NI	7			
11/21/05	License/Denials Signed & Report Issued	<u> </u>		N	1			
12/12/05	Package Closed Out							
1/19/06	Chief QA of ADAMS							

Examination Outline Quality Checklist Form ES-201-2

Facility	C N S Date of Examination:	10/2	4/0	5					
Itom	Task Description		Initials	3					
Item	<u>'</u>	a	b*	с#					
1. W	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model, in accordance with ES-401.	A,	D	Just					
R I	<ul> <li>Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.</li> </ul>	Ø,	PL	West					
T	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	Ø.	M	went					
E N	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	9	W	Zolas					
2. S I	<ul> <li>Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major transients.</li> </ul>	NA	NA	NΑ					
M U L A T O R	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and that scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	NA	NA	NA					
	<ul> <li>To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.</li> </ul>	NA	NA	NA					
3. W / T	<ul> <li>a. Verify that the systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2:</li> <li>(1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form</li> <li>(2) task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form</li> <li>(3) no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s)</li> <li>(4) the number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form</li> <li>(5) the number of alternate path, low-power, emergency, and RCA tasks meet the criteria on the form.</li> </ul>	NA	NA	ΝA					
	<ul> <li>b. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1:</li> <li>(1) the tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form</li> <li>(2) at least one task is new or significantly modified</li> <li>(3) no more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations</li> </ul>	NA	NA	NA					
	<ul> <li>Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.</li> </ul>	NA	NA	NA					
4. G E N	Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam sections.	A.	D.	Preent					
	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	di	-02	Jugar					
	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	ON .	D	Just					
E R	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.								
A L	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	NA	NA	NA					
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	PA	R	Juhn					
c. NR	MICHAEL BANTON PRINTED Signature  MICHAEL BANTON PRINTED SIGNATURE  Chief Examiner (#)  C Supervisor  MICHAEL BANTON PRINTED SIGNATURE  PRINTED SI	<i>,,</i> -	Da						
Note:	# Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence rec	quired.							

Facility:	CNS Date	Exam I	m Level: RO/SRO								
							Initial				
	Item Description					a /	b*	c#			
1.	Questions and answers are technically accurate and appl	licable to t	he facili	ty.		a	DL	ness			
2.	<ul><li>a. NRC K/As are referenced for all questions.</li><li>b. Facility learning objectives are referenced as an</li></ul>	vailable.				A.	PL	Zulus			
3.	SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with Section	on D.2.d of	ES-401	1		OF	Pt.	ment			
4.	If more than four RO and two SRO questions are repeate exams, the facility licensee's sampling process was rando	d from the om and sy	last two	o NRC I c.	licensing	7					
5.	Question duplication from the license screening/audit exa as indicated below (check the item that applies) and apper the audit exam was systematically and randomly deverone the audit exam was completed before the license example the examinations were developed independently the licensee certifies that there is no duplication other (explain)	A	A	N/A-							
6.	Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from the bank, at least 10 percent new, and the rest new or modified); enter the actual RO / SRO-only question distribution(s) at right.	A	DE	March							
7.	Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the RO exam are written at the comprehension/ analysis level; the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected K/As support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO / SRO question distribution(s) at right.	Ø	Af	mgal							
8.	References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in the elimination of distractors.		A	DL	Usul						
9.	Question content conforms with specific K/A statements i examination outline and is appropriate for the tier to which deviations are justified.	d	B	Q	Tripal						
10.	Question psychometric quality and format meet the guide	A	DF	west							
11.		A	De	Tugal							
b. Facili c. NRC	the total is correct and agrees with the value on the cover sheet.  Printed Name / Signature  a. Author b. Facility Reviewer (*) c. NRC Chief Examiner (#) d. NRC Regional Supervisor  Printed Name / Signature  MUCHAEL BANTON MULLIAR FOR (THEC. TENSEN)  D. L. CANT TR										

Facility:	Exam Level: RO/SRO					
	Initials					
	Item Description	а,	b	С		
1. (	Clean answer sheets copied before grading	Ø	NA	Zal		
	Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	NA	NA	N/A-		
	Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	Ø	NY	Jay		
	Grading for all borderline cases (80 ±2% overall and 70 or 80, as applicable, ±4% on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail	NA	NA	MA		
	All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	NA	NA	MA		
	Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	OF STATE OF	NA	N/A what		
			ate			
a. Grac	der MICHAEL BARTON/Achael Bash		10-	13-05		
b. Facil	lity Reviewer(*) Line / Ll L	10-14-05				
c. NRC	Chief Examiner (*) M.E. Murerty Justify	10/19/0				
d. NRC	Supervisor (*) AT. Goog / a.T. Lach					
(*) t	The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations (two independent NRC reviews are required.	graded b	by the N	NRC;		

Page 1 of 4 07/14/2006

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule From 10/01/2005 To 09/30/2006

14:37:45 Report 21

Phase Code: 5 Operational

Region: 4

Exam Week	Exam Week Site/Docket No./Insp Rpt #	# Ca	# Candidates	Type	Exam Author	Exam Author Chief Examiner	Examiners Assigned
09/18/2005	Cooper / 05000298 / 2005302 TAC #: X02333			Prep		MURPHY, MICHAEL E.	GARCHOW, STEPHEN M. MURPHY, MICHAEL E.
10/25/2005		SROU - 1		Admin	FFF	MURPHY, MICHAEL E.	MURPHY, MICHAEL E.
10/26/2005	<b>O</b> 1			Doc		MURPHY, MICHAEL E.	MURPHY, MICHAEL E.
11/14/2005	Cooper / 05000298 / 2005005 Procedure #: 7111111B					GAGE, PAUL C.	GAGE, PAUL C.
04/17/2006	Cooper / 05000298 / 2006301 TAC #: X02344	RO - 6 SROU - 4	SROI - 7	Prep	ZZZ	MCKERNON, THOMAS O.	GARCHOW, STEPHEN M. TINDELL, BRIAN W.
05/01/2006	Cooper / 05000298 / 2006003 Procedure #: 7111111B					CLAYTON, KELLY D.	CLAYTON, KELLY D.
08/07/2006						DRAKE, JAMES F.	DRAKE, JAMES F. TINDELL, BRIAN W.
09/11/2006	Cooper / 05000298 / 2006301 TAC #: X02344	RO - 6 SROU - 4	SROI - 7	Prep	NNN	MCKERNON, THOMAS O.	DRAKE, JAMES F. GARCHOW, STEPHEN M. MCKERNON, THOMAS O. TINDFII, BRIAN W.

Sites: CNS Orgs: 4620 Exam Author: ALL

Page 2 of 4

**Operator Licensing Exam Schedule** From 10/01/2005 To 09/30/2006

14:37:45

07/14/2006 Report 21

Phase Code: 5 Operational

Region: 4

Total for 04/2006: 17 Total for Cooper: 17 Total for 09/2005: 0 Total for Cooper: 17 Total for 10/2005: 1 Total for Cooper: 0 Total for Cooper: 1 LSRO-0 LSRO-0 LSRO-0 LSRO-0 LSRO-0 LSRO-0 LSRO-0 SROU-0 SROU-0 SROU-1 SROU-1 SROU-4 SROU - 4 SROU - 4 SROI-0 SROI - 7 SROI - 0 SROI-0 SROI - 0 SROI - 7 SROI - 7 09/2005 CNS - Cooper RO - 0 09/2005 RO - 0 04/2006 RO - 6 05/2006 CNS - Cooper 10/2005 CNS - Cooper RO - 0 11/2005 CNS - Cooper 04/2006 CNS - Cooper RO - 6 08/2006 CNS - Cooper 09/2006 CNS - Cooper RO - 6 Summary By Date **10/2005** RO - 0 11/2005 08/2006 05/2006

Sites: CNS Orgs: 4620

Exam Author: ALL

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule From 10/01/2005 To 09/30/2006

14:37:45

07/14/2006 Report 21

Page 3 of 4

Phase Code: 5 Operational

Region: 4

Summary By Site CNS - Cooper RO - 12

SROI - 14

SROU - 9

LSRO-0

Total for Cooper: 35

Sites: CNS Orgs: 4620 Exam Author: ALL

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule From 10/01/2005 To 09/30/2006

07/14/2006 Report 21

14:37:45

Page 4 of 4

Phase Code: 5 Operational

Region: 4

Summary By Region Region 4 RO - 12

SROI - 14

SROU - 9

LSRO-0

Total for Region 4: 35

Sites: CNS Orgs: 4620 Exam Author: ALL

Form ES-201-3 **Examination Security Agreement** 

## Pre-Examination

authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized 05 as of the enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of  $B_{
m C}$ suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

## Post-Examination

ri

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 10-13-23. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC. noted below and authorized hy the NPC

	DATE SIGNATURE (2) DATE NOTE	6-2005 May my G. Jases 10-30-05	COHIO SO-12-0	9-6-05 12 10-26-05	8. 4.05 M //L 2100705	With Ser 11	3/2/05 M. (1/ 1/21/05	My mary will as	Remark Arlowlind	9-12-05 11/allth	9-12-05 Pathed 10/26/05		The second se			
	SIGNIATIONE (1)				1 24 / Hale	Wate From		of Mounty 10 to	Kennes the hollink	Monthe	6400	9				
by the NRC.	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	Exam Doveloper	Clerical	Of The sufferntendant	540	CRS	Nio Instructor	826	CRS	OPS SUPPLISO	OPS INST // Exam Destyan					
noted below and authorized by the NRC.	PRINTED NAME	1. Greading Tensen	2 raming Kna Co	4. David Tone	5. RANDY KOURA	6. nate beach	7. Joseph ( Instude	8. Ferra Schries	9. KENWETH NOSSISCH	10. Mike Tackett	11. Ranch Carlson	12.	13.	14.	15.	NOTES;