Dominion Nuclear Connecticut, Inc. Millstone Power Station Rope Ferry Road, Waterford, CT 06385



MAY 2 3 2007

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attention: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555 Serial No.07-0362MPS Lic/GJCR0Docket No.50-423License No.NPF-49

DOMINION NUCLEAR CONNECTICUT, INC. MILLSTONE POWER STATION UNIT 3 LICENSEE EVENT REPORT 2007-001-00, FAILURE OF TWO MAIN STEAM SAFETY VALVES TO LIFT WITHIN THE ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA

This letter forwards Licensee Event Report (LER) 2007-001-00 documenting a condition discovered at Millstone Power Station Unit 3, on April 05, 2007. This LER is being submitted pursuant to 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B), as a condition prohibited by the Technical Specifications.

If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact Mr. David W. Dodson at (860) 447-1791, extension 2346.

Very truly yours,

ce President - Millstone



Attachments: 1

Commitments made in this letter: None.

cc: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region I 475 Allendale Road King of Prussia, PA 19406-1415

> Mr. J. D. Hughey NRC Senior Project Manager Millstone Units 2 and 3 U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Mail Stop 8 B3 One White Flint North 11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852-2738

Mr. S. M. Schneider NRC Senior Resident Inspector Millstone Power Station Attachment 1

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT 2007-001-00, FAILURE OF TWO MAIN STEAM SAFETY VALVES TO LIFT WITHIN THE ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA

Millstone Power Station Unit 3 Dominion Nuclear Connecticut, Inc. (DNC)

NRC FORM 366 (6-2004)			U.S. NL	ICLEAR REC	GULAT		Cational			B NO. 3150-01	this monds	atory inform	nation	collection re	06/30/2007
LICEN				ORT (LI	•	()	Report comme Nuclear infocoll 10202 impose	ed lessons lea hts regarding l Regulatory ects@nrc.gov, (3150-0104), (information co	arned ourde Cor and Office	are incorporated in en estimate to the Re mmission, Washing to the Desk Office e of Management an ion does not display person is not require	to the licen ecords and gton, DC r, Office of d Budget, ' a currently	FOIA/Priva 20555-00 Informatic Washingto valid OME	ess an acy Se 001, on ani in, DC B conti	id ted back t ervice Branch or by inte d Regulatory 20503. If a rol number, t	o industry. Send 1 (T-5 F52), U.S. rnet e-mail to 2 Affairs, NEOB- a means used to he NRC may not
1. FACILITY NAME			-					CKET NUME	_	person is not require	a to respor	3. PAGE		ation collection	
		Power	Statior	ı - Unit 3)5000423				J. 1 AO	-	1 of 4	
4. TITLE Failure of Two	Main S	Steam Steam	Safety \	/alves to L	ift Wi	ithin th	e Acc	eptance	Cri	iteria					
5. EVEN	T DATE		6.	LER NUMBER		7.	REPOR	TDATE		8. (OTHER F	ACILITIE	SIN	VOLVED	
МО	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV NO.	мо	DAY	YEAR	FA	ACILITY NAME		DOCKE 05000		IMBER	
04	05	2007	2007 – 001 – 00 05		23 2007			ACILITY NAME	DOCKET NUMBER 05000						
9. OPERATING N	IODE	1			ORT IS				<u>о тн</u>						
			4	2201(b))3(a)(3)	· · ·	+	50.73(a)(2)(ii)(2)(ix)(A)	l
10. POWER LEV	EL	100		2201(d) 2203(a)(1)			03(a)(4) c)(1)(i)		┢	50.73(a)(2)(ii 50.73(a)(2)(iv			73(a 71(a	$\frac{(2)(x)}{(4)}$	
·····				2203(a)(2)(i)	+		c)(1)(ii)	• • • • • •	+	50.73(a)(2)(v			71(a		
				2203(a)(2)(ii)		50.36(50.73(a)(2)(v)(B)		HER		
				2203(a)(2)(iii)	_	-	a)(3)(ii)			50.73(a)(2)(v				bstract be	elow or
	`			2203(a)(2)(iv)		50.73(50.73(a)(2)(i)		-	50.73(a)(2)(v		IN NRC	; For	m 366A	
				2203(a)(2)(v) 2203(a)(2)(vi)			a)(2)(i)		+	50.73(a)(2)(v 50.73(a)(2)(v					
				2203(a)(3)(i)	<u> </u>		a)(2)(ii)		-	50.73(a)(2)(v					
				12	LICE			CT FOR TH	IIS I	LER					··· ··································
NAME									TE	ELEPHONE NUM	BER (Incl	ude Area	Code	e)	
David W. Dod	son, Su	pervis	or Nucle	ear Station	Lice	nsing			86	60-447-1791					
		13. CON	IPLETE (ONE LINE FO	OR EA	сн сог	MPONE	INT FAILU	RE	DESCRIBED IN	I THIS R	EPORT	•		
CAUSE	SYSTEM	CO	MPONENT	MANU- FACTURER		EPORTAB		CAUSE		SYSTEM	СОМРО	NENT		/ANU- CTURER	REPORTABLE TO EPIX
Х	SB		RV	Dresser	_	N									
	14	SLIDDI		L REPORT I		TED				15. EXPE		MON	тн	DAY	YEAR
				UBMISSION				NO	·	SUBMIS					
	s, comp		ECTED	50BM13310F	DAI	⊑).				DATE					
16. ABSTRACT	(Limit to 1	400 spa	ces. i.e.,	approximatel	v 15 s	inale-sp	aced tv	ı pewritten li	nes	• •)					
With the pl Steam Saf recent refu criteria. Va and 3MSS 3MSS*RV2 were subs Based on i MSSV Hig	lant in M ety Valv ieling ou alve 3M *RV22E 22B sup equently information h First L	MODE ves (MS utage. SS*RV D lifted ports t y adjus tion pro	1 at 100 SSVs) v During 22B lift at 1232 he B St ted/rete	% power of vas condu- the condu- ed at 1221 .8 psig. (1. eam Gene ested with to by Electric on in Dres	on Ap cted p ct of .3 ps 2.8 p erator the re Powe ser 3	oril 5, 2 per pla testing ig. (1.: sig abo (SG) esults v er Res 700 So	2007 s ant pro 3 psig ove th and v within earch eries l	et press ocedures MSSVs f above th e set pre alve 3MS the requ Institute MSSVs, 5	ure . T faile ssu SS*I irec Re Sep	"simmer" te 'his testing w ed to lift withi set pressure ure range, ap RV22D supp d range of +/ eport report T ot. 2000), ind s to lift withir	vas con n the (- range, oproxin oorts th - 1%. R-113 lustry e	ducted +/- 3% appro nately e D SC 560 (Ir experie	d jus) ac oxim 3.8º G. E nves ence	st prior t cceptanc ately 3. %). 3oth val stigation e, MSSV	o the ce 1%), ves of ′ test
	tributed	to a co	prrosive	oxide lock	king a	action	betwe	en surfa		s to lift withir layer materia					
This condi plant's Teo					o 10	CFR 5	i0.73(i	a)(2)(i)(B) "A	Any operation	n or co	ndition) pro	ohibited	by the

	FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET (2)	YEAR	LER NUMBER (6)	REVISION	PAGE (3) 2 OF	_
VIIIStone	Power Station - Unit 3	05000423	TEAN.	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	NUMBER	2 05	4
			2007	- 001 -	00		
NARRATI	/E (If more space is required, use additional	copies of NRC Form 3664) (17)		_		
1.	Event Description:						
	With the plant in MODE 1 at 100% Main Steam Safety Valves (MSSV conducted just prior to the recent r within the (+/- 3%) acceptance critt pressure range, approximately 3.1 pressure range, approximately 3.8 valve 3MSS*RV22D supports the I	s) [SB, RV] was cond efueling outage. Dur eria. Valve 3MSS*R' %), and 3MSS*RV22 %). Valve 3MSS*RV	lucted per ing the co /22B lifted D lifted at	plant procedur nduct of testing l at 1221.3 psig 1232.8 psig. (1	es. This te J, two MSS J. (1.3 psig 2.8 psig at	sting was Vs failed to lift above the set bove the set	
	Plant Technical Specification (TS) specified on Table 3.7-3. Table 3. and lift settings. TS 3/4. 7.1.1 BAS	7-3 "Steam Line Safe	ty Valves				
	"The OPERABILITY of the MSS" steam generator overpressure, a 3% setpoint tolerance (allowable operating cycle."	and reset when press	ure has be	een reduced."	Table 3.7-3	3 "allows a +/-	
	"During this testing, the MSSVs a the required lift setting. A footno of the required lift setting followir	ote to Table 3.7-3 req	uires that f	the lift setting b	e restored	to within +/- 1%	
	Since the as-found lift pressures for values these valves were not OPE		3MSS*RV	22D exceeded	l the +/- 3%	TS allowable	
	Since multiple MSSVs (two) exceed this occurred during operation, this or condition prohibited by the plant provided in NUREG 1022 Rev. 2 s Specifications," Example (3) regar not known as this condition has an 3MSS*RV22B was refurbished and was refurbished and installed durin pressure was acceptably demonst	s condition is reportal t's Technical Specific ection 3.2.2 "Operati ding multiple test fail isen over the period d installed during a p ng the MPS 3 refuelir	ble under 1 ations." T on or Con- ures. The of time the lant shutdo ng outage	10 CFR 50.73(a his is consister dition Prohibite actual time the valves have b own in April 200	a)(2)(i)(B), ' ht with the g d by Techn e valves we een installe 05. Valve 3	'Any operation juidance ical re inoperable is d. MSS*RV22D	ŝ
2.	<u>Cause</u> :						
	Based on information provided by of MSSV High First Lift Phenomer MSSV test history at Millstone, and set pressure range is attributed to disc-seat interface sometimes refe	on in Dresser 3700 s d engineering judgme a corrosive oxide loc	Series MS ent, the fail king action	SVs, Sept. 200 lure of the MSS n between surfa	0), industry SVs to lift w	experience, ithin the require	
	The MSSVs installed on MPS 3 ar materials for discs and nozzle sea concluded these valves were subj report, when this model MSSV is r	ts. Based on an eva ect to the known phe	luation, Do	ominion Nuclea of micro bondir	r Connectiong. Accord	cut Engineering	

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET (2)	LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)
Millstone Power Station - Unit 3	05000423	YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER NUMBER	3 OF 4
		2007 - 001 - 00	

phenomenon. The EPRI report also concluded that "within the limits of detectability, no history of the micro bonding phenomena has been identified to date during actual plant transients, resulting in MSSV lifts". The MSSVs had not been tested since installed, and experienced micro bonding during the time period between overhaul and the MODE 1 scheduled "simmer" testing just prior to shutting down for the refueling outage in April of 2007.

3. Assessment of Safety Consequences:

This condition is judged to be of very low safety significance. Five steam line safety valves are installed on each of the unit's four main steam lines with nominal lift settings increasing in 10 psi increments from 1185 to 1225 psig. The safety valves protect the SG and portions of the main steam [SB] and feedwater systems [SJ] from overpressure conditions. The valves also serve as a heat sink for the reactor coolant system if the main condenser [COND] is unavailable and the atmospheric steam dump valves cannot relieve pressure following a reactor trip or secondary system accident. The limiting FSAR events with respect to main steam and reactor coolant overpressurization are those presented in FSAR Section 15.2, which involve a decrease in heat removal by the secondary system. The accidents presented in FSAR Section 15.2 assume all MSSVs open at a lift setting 3% higher than their nominal set pressure. The asfound condition of the tested valves determined valves with the lowest lift setting on two main steam lines had a setting greater than the allowed +3% tolerance. 3MSS*RV22B was found with a lift setting 1.3 psig above the maximum allowed value, and 3MSS*RV22D was found with a lift setting 12.8 psig above the maximum allowed value. The average lift setting of all MSSVs tested was approximately 1% above the nominal lift setting, or approximately 2% lower than that assumed in the FSAR Section 15.2 Safety Analyses. Therefore, it is concluded that the overall MSSV response of the as-found MSSV condition is bounded by the MSSV response assumed in the FSAR Section 15.2 Safety Analyses.

Additionally, the micro bonding phenomenon has been limited to static, in-situ testing. The EPRI report indicates that under actual transient high pressure conditions, disk movement/flexure breaks the micro bonding allowing the MSSVs to lift uninfluenced by this phenomenon.

4. Corrective Action:

Following the as-found lift, valve 3MSS*RV22B was subsequently "simmer" tested two additional times and the results were within the required as-left criteria of +/- 1% with no adjustments required. As a result of the initial lift outside of acceptable limits, for valve 3MSS*RV22B, two additional MSSVs were tested (3MSS*RV24B & 3MSS*RV25B). The as-found "simmer" tests for both of these valves were within the required range of +/- 3%.

Following the as-found lift, valve 3MSS*RV22D was subsequently "simmer" tested and the results were within the required as-found criteria of +/- 3%. However, one adjustment was necessary in order to meet the required as-left criteria of +/- 1%. As a result of the initial lift outside of acceptable limits for valve 3MSS*RV22D, two additional MSSVs were tested (3MSS*RV23A & 3MSS*RV23D). The as-found "simmer" tests for both of these valves were within the required range of +/- 3%.

Additional corrective actions to address micro bonding and the in-situ testing process will be evaluated in accordance with the station's Corrective Action Program.

ATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17) ATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17) ATIVE (If more space is required, use additional topies of NRC Form 366A) (17) ATIVE (If more space is nequired, use additional topies of NRC Form 366A) (17) ATIVE (If more space is nequired, use additional topies of NRC Form 366A) (17) ATIVE (If more space is nequired, use additional topies of NRC Form 366A) (17) ATIVE (If more space is nequired, use additional topies of NRC Form 366A) (17) ATIVE (If more space is nequired, use additional topies of NRC Form 366A) (17) ATIVE (If more space is nequired, use additional topies of NRC Form 366A) (17) ATIVE (If more space is nequired, use additional topies of NRC Form 366A) (17) ATIVE (If more space is nequired, use additional topies of NRC Form 366A) (17) ATIVE (If more space is nequired, use additional topies of NRC Form 366A) (17) ATIVE (If more space is nequired, use additional topies of NRC Form 366A) (17) ATIVE (If more space is nequired, use additional topies of NRC Form 366A) (17) ATIVE (If more space is negative, is negative, if the space of the	ATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17) 5. Previous Occurrences: On September 30, 2005, during the performance of set pressure "simmer" testing of MSSVs, valve 3MSS*RV25C failed to lift within the +/- 3% acceptance criteria. The allowable as-found set pressure range is 1179-1251 psig. The valve lifted at 1252.9 psig. The valve was lifted two additional times and results were within the required as-left criteria of +/- 1% with no adjustments required. Two additional MSSVs were set pressure tested, to meet the ASME/OM code requirement for test expansion. These valves (3MSS*RV23C and 3MSS*RV24C) were satisfactorily tested. The as-left "simmer" tests for bot these valves were within the required as-left criteria of +/- 1%.	t pressure I times and the additional ion. These	press times additionner
 BATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17) 5. Previous Occurrences: On September 30, 2005, during the performance of set pressure "simmer" testing of MSSVs, valve 3MSS*RV25C failed to lift within the +/- 3% acceptance criteria. The allowable as-found set pressure range is 1179-1251 psig. The valve lifted at 1252.9 psig. The valve was lifted two additional times and the results were within the required as-left criteria of +/- 1% with no adjustments required. Two additional MSSVs were set pressure tested, to meet the ASME/OM code requirement for test expansion. These valves (3MSS*RV23C and 3MSS*RV24C) were satisfactorily tested. The as-left "simmer" tests for both of these valves were within the required as-left criteria of +/- 1%. 	 ATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17) 5. Previous Occurrences: On September 30, 2005, during the performance of set pressure "simmer" testing of MSSVs, valve 3MSS*RV25C failed to lift within the +/- 3% acceptance criteria. The allowable as-found set pressure range is 1179-1251 psig. The valve lifted at 1252.9 psig. The valve was lifted two additional times and results were within the required as-left criteria of +/- 1% with no adjustments required. Two additional MSSVs were set pressure tested, to meet the ASME/OM code requirement for test expansion. These valves (3MSS*RV23C and 3MSS*RV24C) were satisfactorily tested. The as-left "simmer" tests for bothese valves were within the required as-left criteria of +/- 1%. 	t pressure I times and the additional ion. These	press times additionner
On September 30, 2005, during the performance of set pressure "simmer" testing of MSSVs, valve 3MSS*RV25C failed to lift within the +/- 3% acceptance criteria. The allowable as-found set pressure range is 1179-1251 psig. The valve lifted at 1252.9 psig. The valve was lifted two additional times and the results were within the required as-left criteria of +/- 1% with no adjustments required. Two additional MSSVs were set pressure tested, to meet the ASME/OM code requirement for test expansion. These valves (3MSS*RV23C and 3MSS*RV24C) were satisfactorily tested. The as-left "simmer" tests for both of these valves were within the required as-left criteria of +/- 1%.	On September 30, 2005, during the performance of set pressure "simmer" testing of MSSVs, valve 3MSS*RV25C failed to lift within the +/- 3% acceptance criteria. The allowable as-found set pressure range is 1179-1251 psig. The valve lifted at 1252.9 psig. The valve was lifted two additional times and results were within the required as-left criteria of +/- 1% with no adjustments required. Two additional MSSVs were set pressure tested, to meet the ASME/OM code requirement for test expansion. These valves (3MSS*RV23C and 3MSS*RV24C) were satisfactorily tested. The as-left "simmer" tests for bot these valves were within the required as-left criteria of +/- 1%.	t pressure I times and the additional ion. These	press times additionner
On September 30, 2005, during the performance of set pressure "simmer" testing of MSSVs, valve 3MSS*RV25C failed to lift within the +/- 3% acceptance criteria. The allowable as-found set pressure range is 1179-1251 psig. The valve lifted at 1252.9 psig. The valve was lifted two additional times and the results were within the required as-left criteria of +/- 1% with no adjustments required. Two additional MSSVs were set pressure tested, to meet the ASME/OM code requirement for test expansion. These valves (3MSS*RV23C and 3MSS*RV24C) were satisfactorily tested. The as-left "simmer" tests for both of these valves were within the required as-left criteria of +/- 1%.	On September 30, 2005, during the performance of set pressure "simmer" testing of MSSVs, valve 3MSS*RV25C failed to lift within the +/- 3% acceptance criteria. The allowable as-found set pressure range is 1179-1251 psig. The valve lifted at 1252.9 psig. The valve was lifted two additional times and results were within the required as-left criteria of +/- 1% with no adjustments required. Two additional MSSVs were set pressure tested, to meet the ASME/OM code requirement for test expansion. These valves (3MSS*RV23C and 3MSS*RV24C) were satisfactorily tested. The as-left "simmer" tests for bot these valves were within the required as-left criteria of +/- 1%.	t pressure I times and the additional ion. These	press times additionner
3MSS*RV25C failed to lift within the +/- 3% acceptance criteria. The allowable as-found set pressure range is 1179-1251 psig. The valve lifted at 1252.9 psig. The valve was lifted two additional times and the results were within the required as-left criteria of +/- 1% with no adjustments required. Two additional MSSVs were set pressure tested, to meet the ASME/OM code requirement for test expansion. These valves (3MSS*RV23C and 3MSS*RV24C) were satisfactorily tested. The as-left "simmer" tests for both of these valves were within the required as-left criteria of +/- 1%.	3MSS*RV25C failed to lift within the +/- 3% acceptance criteria. The allowable as-found set pressure range is 1179-1251 psig. The valve lifted at 1252.9 psig. The valve was lifted two additional times and results were within the required as-left criteria of +/- 1% with no adjustments required. Two additional MSSVs were set pressure tested, to meet the ASME/OM code requirement for test expansion. These valves (3MSS*RV23C and 3MSS*RV24C) were satisfactorily tested. The as-left "simmer" tests for bothese valves were within the required as-left criteria of +/- 1%.	t pressure I times and the additional ion. These	press times additionner
range is 1179-1251 psig. The valve lifted at 1252.9 psig. The valve was lifted two additional times and the results were within the required as-left criteria of +/- 1% with no adjustments required. Two additional MSSVs were set pressure tested, to meet the ASME/OM code requirement for test expansion. These valves (3MSS*RV23C and 3MSS*RV24C) were satisfactorily tested. The as-left "simmer" tests for both of these valves were within the required as-left criteria of +/- 1%.	range is 1179-1251 psig. The valve lifted at 1252.9 psig. The valve was lifted two additional times and results were within the required as-left criteria of +/- 1% with no adjustments required. Two additional MSSVs were set pressure tested, to meet the ASME/OM code requirement for test expansion. These valves (3MSS*RV23C and 3MSS*RV24C) were satisfactorily tested. The as-left "simmer" tests for bothese valves were within the required as-left criteria of +/- 1%.	I times and the additional ion. These	times additi on. T
results were within the required as-left criteria of +/- 1% with no adjustments required. Two additional MSSVs were set pressure tested, to meet the ASME/OM code requirement for test expansion. These valves (3MSS*RV23C and 3MSS*RV24C) were satisfactorily tested. The as-left "simmer" tests for both of these valves were within the required as-left criteria of +/- 1%.	results were within the required as-left criteria of +/- 1% with no adjustments required. Two additional MSSVs were set pressure tested, to meet the ASME/OM code requirement for test expansion. These valves (3MSS*RV23C and 3MSS*RV24C) were satisfactorily tested. The as-left "simmer" tests for bothese valves were within the required as-left criteria of +/- 1%.	additional ion. These	additi on. T
MSSVs were set pressure tested, to meet the ASME/OM code requirement for test expansion. These valves (3MSS*RV23C and 3MSS*RV24C) were satisfactorily tested. The as-left "simmer" tests for both of these valves were within the required as-left criteria of +/- 1%.	MSSVs were set pressure tested, to meet the ASME/OM code requirement for test expansion. These valves (3MSS*RV23C and 3MSS*RV24C) were satisfactorily tested. The as-left "simmer" tests for bo these valves were within the required as-left criteria of +/- 1%.	ion. These	on. T
these valves were within the required as-left criteria of +/- 1%.	these valves were within the required as-left criteria of +/- 1%.	tests for both o	ests fo
Energy Industry Identification System (EIIS) codes are identified in the text as [XX].	Energy Industry Identification System (EIIS) codes are identified in the text as [XX].		
	Energy industry identification System (EnS) codes are identified in the text as [XX].		
	·		
	·		