SHEMS DUNKIEL KASSEL & SAUNDERS PLLC (ily

RONALD A. SHEMS

BRIAN S. DUNKIEL*

JOHN B. KASSEL

MARK A. SAUNDERS

ANDREW N. RAUBVOGEL

GEOFFREY H. HAND KAREN L. TYLER REBECCA E. BOUCHER ASSOCIATE ATTORNEYS

> EILEEN I. ELLIOTT OF COUNSEL

May 14, 2007

Richard Cushing Donovan, Clerk United States Court of Appeals for the First Circuit United States Courthouse 1 Courthouse Way, Suite 2500 Boston, MA 02210

Re: Commonwealth of Massachusetts v. United States; United States Nuclear

Regulatory Commission

No. 07-1482

Dear Mr. Donovan:

Please find enclosed for filing in the above-stated matter New England Coalition, Inc.'s Reply to Entergy's Response in Opposition to the New England Coalition's Motion to Intervene as Petitioner.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Karen Tyler

SHEMS DUNKIEL KASSEL & SAUNDERS PLLC

Cc: attached service list

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIRST CIRCUIT

Commonwealth of Massachusetts)
Petitioner)
) No. 07-1482
V.)
)
United States; United States Nuclear)
Regulatory Commission)
)
Respondents)

NEW ENGLAND COALITION, INC.'S REPLY TO ENTERGY'S OPPOSITION TO THE NEW ENGLAND COALITION'S MOTION TO INTERVENE AS PETITIONER

Pursuant to Rule 27(a)(4) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, New England Coalition, Inc. ("NEC") files the following reply to Entergy Nuclear Vermont Yankee LLC's, and Entergy Nuclear Generation Company's ("Entergy") Opposition to the New England Coalition's Motion to Intervene as Petitioner.¹

ARGUMENT

1. NEC is a "party aggrieved" by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's ("NRC") denial of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts' ("Massachusetts") Contention in the Vermont Yankee nuclear power plant relicensing proceeding because it is a party to that proceeding, it moved to "adopt" Massachusetts' Contention as permitted under NRC rules, and it would have been a cosponsor of Massachusetts' Contention had it been admitted for adjudication. *In the Matter of Entergy Nuclear Vermont Yankee, LLC, and*

¹ Entergy is not yet a party to this proceeding. Its Response in Opposition to the New England Coalition's Motion to Intervene as Petitioner therefore is not permitted under the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, and the Court should not consider it. Fed.R.App.P. 27(a)(3)(permitting "any party" to respond to a motion).

Entergy Nuclear Operations, Inc. (Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station), 64 NRC 131, 145-146, 207 (2006).

- 2. NEC did not file briefs concerning Massachusetts' Contention, or participate in oral argument to the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board ("ASLB") only because NEC designated Massachusetts as its representative as was required under NRC regulations. 10 C.F.R. § 2.309(f)(3)("If a requestor/petitioner seeks to adopt the contention of another sponsoring requestor/petitioner, the requestor/petitioner who seeks to adopt the contention must either agree that the sponsoring requestor/petitioner shall act as the representative with respect to that contention, or jointly designate with the sponsoring requestor/petitioner a representative who shall have the authority to act for the requestors/petitioners with respect to that contention.").
- 3. However, as a cosponsor of Massachusetts' Contention, NEC would have the very significant right to independently pursue the litigation of this Contention in the event Massachusetts chooses to withdraw from the NRC proceeding for whatever reason. This independent right to litigate the Contention makes NEC a "party aggrieved" by the Contention's denial.

May 14, 2007

New England Coalition, Inc.

hv.

Ronald A. Shems

Karen Tyler

SHEMS DUNKIEL KASSEL & SAUNDERS PLLC

91 College Street

Burlington, VT 05401

802 860 1003 ext. 103

802 860 1208 (fax)

rshems@sdkslaw.com

ktyler@sdkslaw.com

Attorneys for New England Coalition, Inc.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIRST CIRCUIT

Commonwealth of Massachusetts)
Petitioner)))
v.) No. 07-1482
United States; United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission)))
Respondents))

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Michelle Cronin, hereby certify that on May 14, 2007, copies of the foregoing Reply to Entergy's Opposition to New England Coalition's Motion to Intervene as Petitioner in the above-captioned proceeding were served by first class mail on the parties to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's license renewal proceeding for the Vermont Yankee nuclear power plant, as listed below:

Office of Commission Appellate Adjudication Mail Stop: O-16C1 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555-0001

Office of the Secretary
Attn: Rulemaking and Adjudications Staff
Mail Stop: O-16C1
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Sarah Hofmann, Esq. Director of Public Advocacy Department of Public Service 112 State Street, Drawer 20 Montpelier, VT 05620-2601

Mitzi A. Young, Esq.
Mary C. Baty, Esq.
Office of the General Counsel
Mail Stop O-15 D21
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Diane Curran, Esq. Harmon, Curran, Spielberg & Eisenberg, LLP 1726 M Street NW, Suite 600 Washington, DC 20036

Callie B. Newton, Chair
Gail MacArthur
Lucy Gratwick
Marcia Hamilton
Town of Marlboro Selectboard
P.O. Box 518
Marlboro, VT 05344

Anthony Z. Roisman, Esq. National Legal Scholars Law Firm 84 East Thetford Road Lyme, NH 03768

Jennifer J. Patterson, Esq.
Office of the New Hampshire Attorney General
33 Capital Street
Concord, NH 03301

Matthew Brock, Esq.
Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Massachusetts Attorney General
Environmental Protection Division
One Ashburton Place, Room 1813
Boston, MA 02108-1598

David R. Lewis, Esq. Matias F. Travieso-Diaz Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP 2300 N Street NW Washington, DC 20037-1128

Dan MacArthur, Director Town of Marlboro Emergency Management P.O. Box 30 Marlboro, VT 05344

SHEMS DUNKIEL KASSEL & SAUNDERS, PLLC

by:

Michelle Cronin, for Ronald A. Shems Karen Tyler 91 College Street Burlington, VT 05401 802 860 1003 802 860 1208 (fax) rshems@sdkslaw.com ktyler@sdkslaw.com

for the firm Attorneys for New England Coalition, Inc.