Mr. Peter J. Miner Director, Nuclear Regulatory Affairs USEC Inc. 6903 Rockledge Drive Bethesda, MD 20817-1818

SUBJECT: USEC INC. - REVIEW OF CALENDAR YEAR 2006 INTERNALLY AUTHORIZED

FACILITY CHANGES FOR THE AMERICAN CENTRIFUGE LEAD CASCADE

FACILITY (TAC NO. L32370)

Dear Mr. Miner:

This refers to your letter dated January 30, 2007 (AET 07-0008), which contained a list of facility changes made to the American Centrifuge Lead Cascade Facility during calendar year 2006. In our letter dated April 6, 2007, we selected a diverse sampling of potentially safety significant changes for detailed review and requested the Facility Change Evaluation (FCE) for each of those changes.

In your correspondence dated April 19, 2007 (AET 07-0024), you provided us with the requested FCE's. Each requested FCE was reviewed and was found to be either a change for which adequate safety measures were in place, or it was administrative in nature. The individual results from our review are documented in the Enclosure.

Our review has determined that all facility changes we reviewed were appropriately made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 70.72, and that prior United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) approval of these changes were not required. We have no further guestions at this time.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.390 of the NRC's Rules of Practice, a copy of this letter will be available electronically from the Publicly Available Records (PARS) component of NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS). ADAMS is accessible from the NRC Website at http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html.

Sincerely,

/RA/

James R. Downs, P.E.
Division of Fuel Cycle Safety
and Safeguards
Office of Nuclear Material Safety
and Safeguards

Docket No.: 70-7003 License No.: SNM-7003

Enclosure: Review of Selected American Centrifuge Lead Cascade Facility Change Evaluations

cc: Terry Sensue (USEC)

Mr. Peter J. Miner Director, Nuclear Regulatory Affairs USEC Inc. 6903 Rockledge Drive Bethesda, MD 20817-1818

SUBJECT: USEC INC. - REVIEW OF CALENDAR YEAR 2006 INTERNALLY AUTHORIZED

FACILITY CHANGES FOR THE AMERICAN CENTRIFUGE LEAD CASCADE

FACILITY (TAC NO. L32370)

Dear Mr. Miner:

This refers to your letter dated January 30, 2007 (AET 07-0008), which contained a list of facility changes made to the American Centrifuge Lead Cascade Facility during calendar year 2006. In our letter dated April 6, 2007, we selected a diverse sampling of potentially safety significant changes for detailed review and requested the Facility Change Evaluation (FCE) for each of those changes.

In your correspondence dated April 19, 2007 (AET 07-0024), you provided us with the requested FCE=s. Each requested FCE was reviewed and was found to be either a change for which adequate safety measures were in place, or it was administrative in nature. The individual results from our review are documented in the Enclosure.

Our review has determined that all facility changes we reviewed were appropriately made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 70.72, and that prior United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) approval of these changes were not required. We have no further guestions at this time.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.390 of the NRC's Rules of Practice, a copy of this letter will be available electronically from the Publicly Available Records (PARS) component of NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS). ADAMS is accessible from the NRC Website at http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html.

Sincerely,
/RA/
James R. Downs, P.E.
Division of Fuel Cycle Safety
and Safeguards
Office of Nuclear Material Safety
and Safeguards

Docket No.: 70-7003 License No.: SNM-7003

Enclosure: Review of Selected American Centrifuge Lead Cascade Facility Change Evaluations

cc: Terry Sensue (USEC)

#### DISTRIBUTION:

FCSS r/f ECBr/f RPierson, FCSS JGiitter, SPTS GJanosko, FFLD JHenson, RII SEchols, ECB JOlivier, ECB TJohnson, ECB DHartland, RII PHabighorst, FMB USEC Website: No

#### ML071430431

OFC	ECB		ECB		ECB		ECB		ECB	
NAME	JDowns		ISpivack		RWescott		LWilliamson		BSmith	
DATE	05/23/07		05/23/07		05/23/07		05/24/07		05/ 24 /07	

### Review of Selected American Centrifuge Lead Cascade Facility Change Evaluations

Review of USEC American Centrifuge Lead Cascade Facility Change Evaluation (FCE) 06-0080 "Revision to Procedure AC4-OP-026, Machine Connections Leak Checking"

<u>Findings</u>
Does the change create a new type of accident sequence that, unless mitigated or prevented, would exceed the performance requirements of 70.61? YES ☐ NO ☒
Does the change use new processes, technologies, or control systems for which the licensee has no prior experience? YES $\square$ NO $\boxtimes$
Does the change remove an item relied on for safety (IROFS) without equivalent replacement of the safety function? YES $\square$ NO $\boxtimes$
Does the change alter any sole IROFS? YES ☐ NO ☒
Is the change prohibited by other regulations? YES ☐ NO ☒
<u>Conclusion</u>
The staff has reviewed the FCE submitted by the licensee and agrees with the above determinations. The staff performed a technical review of the change package that described the procedural change associated with the machine connections leak checking. The staff also reviewed the quality assurance checklist procedures and determined that they appear to be adequate and complete. The staff concludes that the FCE demonstrates compliance with 10 CFR 70.72.  Review of USEC American Centrifuge Lead Cascade FCE 06-0086 "Revision to Procedure AC-4-
OP-001, Purge Vacuum Normal Operating Procedure"
<u>Findings</u>
Does the change create a new type of accident sequence that, unless mitigated or prevented, would exceed the performance requirements of 70.61? YES ☐ NO ☒
Does the change use new processes, technologies, or control systems for which the licensee has no prior experience? YES $\square$ NO $\boxtimes$
Does the change remove an item relied on for safety (IROFS) without equivalent replacement of the safety function? YES $\square$ NO $\boxtimes$
Does the change alter any sole IROFS? YES ☐ NO ☒
Is the change prohibited by other regulations? YES ☐ NO ☒
Conclusion

The staff has reviewed the FCE submitted by the licensee and agrees with the above determinations. The staff concludes that the FCE demonstrates compliance with 10 CFR 70.72.

Enclosure

## Review of USEC American Centrifuge Lead Cascade FCE 06-0089 "Design, Construction, and Use of Sample Tubes for the Sample Cart"

<u>Findings</u>
Does the change create a new type of accident sequence that, unless mitigated or prevented, would exceed the performance requirements of 70.61? YES $\square$ NO $\boxtimes$
Does the change use new processes, technologies, or control systems for which the licensee has no prior experience? YES $\square$ NO $\boxtimes$
Does the change remove an item relied on for safety (IROFS) without equivalent replacement of the safety function? YES $\square$ NO $\boxtimes$
Does the change alter any sole IROFS? YES ☐ NO ☒
Is the change prohibited by other regulations? YES ☐ NO ☒
<u>Conclusion</u>
The staff has reviewed the FCE submitted by the licensee and agrees with the above determinations. The staff performed a technical review of the change package that described the design of the sample tubes used for the sample cart. The staff also reviewed the ISA Summary change pages, and the quality assurance checklist procedures. Both documents appear to be complete and adequate. The staff concludes that the FCE demonstrates compliance with 10 CFR 70.72.
Review of USEC American Centrifuge Lead Cascade FCE 06-0122 "Revision to Procedure AC2-EG-017, IROFS Surveillance"
Findings
Does the change create a new type of accident sequence that, unless mitigated or prevented, would exceed the performance requirements of 70.61? YES ☐ NO ☒
Does the change use new processes, technologies, or control systems for which the licensee has no prior experience? YES $\square$ NO $\boxtimes$
Does the change remove an item relied on for safety (IROFS) without equivalent replacement of the safety function? YES $\square$ NO $\boxtimes$
Does the change alter any sole IROFS? YES ☐ NO ☒
Is the change prohibited by other regulations? YES ☐ NO ☒
<u>Conclusion</u>

2

The staff has reviewed the FCE submitted by the licensee and agrees with the above determinations. The staff concludes that the FCE demonstrates compliance with 10 CFR 70.72.

### Design" Findings Does the change create a new type of accident sequence that, unless mitigated or prevented, would exceed the performance requirements of 70.61? YES □ NO ☒ Does the change use new processes, technologies, or control systems for which the licensee has no prior YES □ NO □ experience? Does the change remove an item relied on for safety (IROFS) without equivalent replacement of the safety function? YES □ NO □ Does the change alter any sole IROFS? YES □ NO □ Is the change prohibited by other regulations? YES □ NO $\boxtimes$ Conclusion The staff has reviewed the FCE submitted by the licensee and agrees with the above determinations. The staff performed a technical review of the change package that described the design changes associated with the centrifuge machine. The staff also reviewed the quality assurance checklist procedures and determined that they appear to be adequate and complete. The staff concludes that the FCE demonstrates compliance with 10 CFR 70.72. Review of USEC American Centrifuge Lead Cascade FCE-0158 "Modification and Testing of the X-3001 Battery Room Ventilation System" **Findings** Does the change create a new type of accident sequence that, unless mitigated or prevented, would exceed the performance requirements of 70.61? YES □ NO $\boxtimes$ Does the change use new processes, technologies, or control systems for which the licensee has no prior experience? YES □ NO □ Does the change remove an item relied on for safety (IROFS) without equivalent replacement of the safety YES $\square$ NO $\square$ YES □ NO □ Does the change alter any sole IROFS? Is the change prohibited by other regulations? YES □ NO □

Review of USEC American Centrifuge Lead Cascade FCE 06-0148 "LC1a Centrifuge Machine

#### Conclusion

The staff has reviewed the FCE submitted by the licensee and agrees with the above determinations. The staff concludes that the FCE demonstrates compliance with 10 CFR 70.72.

## Review of USEC American Centrifuge Lead Cascade FCE 06-177 "Revision to the Lead Cascade License Application, Chapters 1 and 7"

<u>Findings</u>
Does the change create a new type of accident sequence that, unless mitigated or prevented, would exceed the performance requirements of 70.61? YES $\square$ NO $\boxtimes$
Does the change use new processes, technologies, or control systems for which the licensee has no prior experience? YES $\square$ NO $\boxtimes$
Does the change remove an item relied on for safety (IROFS) without equivalent replacement of the safety function? YES $\square$ NO $\boxtimes$
Does the change alter any sole IROFS? YES ☐ NO ☒
Is the change prohibited by other regulations? YES ☐ NO ☒
<u>Conclusion</u>
The staff has reviewed the FCE submitted by the licensee and agrees with the above determinations. The staff concludes that the FCE demonstrates compliance with 10 CFR 70.72.
Review of USEC American Centrifuge Lead Cascade FCE 06-0183 "Revision to Procedure AC4-OP-016, Feed Cart Operation"  Findings
Does the change create a new type of accident sequence that, unless mitigated or prevented, would exceed the performance requirements of 70.61? YES $\square$ NO $\boxtimes$
Does the change use new processes, technologies, or control systems for which the licensee has no prior experience? YES $\square$ NO $\boxtimes$
experience? YES $\square$ NO $\boxtimes$ Does the change remove an item relied on for safety (IROFS) without equivalent replacement of the safety
experience? YES □ NO ☒  Does the change remove an item relied on for safety (IROFS) without equivalent replacement of the safety function? YES □ NO ☒
experience? YES \( \subseteq \ NO \( \subseteq \)  Does the change remove an item relied on for safety (IROFS) without equivalent replacement of the safety function? YES \( \subseteq \ \ NO \( \subseteq \)  Does the change alter any sole IROFS? YES \( \subseteq \ \ \ NO \( \subseteq \)

The staff has reviewed the FCE submitted by the licensee and agrees with the above determinations. The staff performed a technical review of the change package that described the procedure changes associated with feed cart operation. The staff also reviewed the quality assurance checklist procedures and determined that they appear to be adequate and complete. The staff concludes that the FCE demonstrates compliance with 10 CFR 70.72.

Review of USEC American Centrifuge Lead Cascade FCE 06-0241 "Revision to the Lead Cascade License Application and ISA to Add Feed Cart Fire Suppression System and Eliminate the Reference to a Halon System in the X-3012 ACR"

<u>Findings</u>
Does the change create a new type of accident sequence that, unless mitigated or prevented, would exceed the performance requirements of 70.61? YES ☐ NO ☒
Does the change use new processes, technologies, or control systems for which the licensee has no prior experience? YES $\square$ NO $\boxtimes$
Does the change remove an item relied on for safety (IROFS) without equivalent replacement of the safety function? YES $\square$ NO $\boxtimes$
Does the change alter any sole IROFS? YES ☐ NO ☒
Is the change prohibited by other regulations? YES ☐ NO ☒
<u>Conclusion</u>
The staff has reviewed the FCE submitted by the licensee and agrees with the above determinations. The staff concludes that the FCE demonstrates compliance with 10 CFR 70.72.
Review of USEC American Centrifuge Lead Cascade FCE 06-0285 "Revision to Procedure AC2-EG-017, IROFS Surveillance Program"
<u>Findings</u>
Does the change create a new type of accident sequence that, unless mitigated or prevented, would exceed the performance requirements of 70.61? YES ☐ NO ☒
Does the change use new processes, technologies, or control systems for which the licensee has no prior experience? YES \( \subseteq \text{NO} \( \subseteq \)
Does the change remove an item relied on for safety (IROFS) without equivalent replacement of the safety function? YES $\square$ NO $\boxtimes$
Does the change alter any sole IROFS? YES ☐ NO ☒
Is the change prohibited by other regulations? YES □ NO ⊠

staff concludes that the FCE demonstrates compliance with 10 CFR 70.72.

# Review of USEC American Centrifuge Lead Cascade FCE 06-0297 "Various Corrections to the Lead Cascade Integrated Safety Analysis Documents"

<u>rindings</u>
Does the change create a new type of accident sequence that, unless mitigated or prevented, would exceed the performance requirements of 70.61? YES ☐ NO ☒
Does the change use new processes, technologies, or control systems for which the licensee has no prior experience? YES $\square$ NO $\boxtimes$
Does the change remove an item relied on for safety (IROFS) without equivalent replacement of the safety function? YES $\square$ NO $\boxtimes$
Does the change alter any sole IROFS? YES ☐ NO ☒
Is the change prohibited by other regulations? YES ☐ NO ☒
<u>Conclusion</u>
The staff has reviewed the FCE submitted by the licensee and agrees with the above determinations. The staff concludes that the FCE demonstrates compliance with 10 CFR 70.72.
Review of USEC American Centrifuge Lead Cascade FCE 06-0086 "DUF6 Conversion Facility Temporary Use and Storage of Propane for Heating During Cold Weather"
<u>Findings</u>
Does the change create a new type of accident sequence that, unless mitigated or prevented, would exceed the performance requirements of 70.61? YES ☐ NO ☒
Does the change use new processes, technologies, or control systems for which the licensee has no prior experience? YES $\square$ NO $\boxtimes$
Does the change remove an item relied on for safety (IROFS) without equivalent replacement of the safety function? YES $\square$ NO $\boxtimes$
Does the change alter any sole IROFS? YES \( \square\) NO \( \Square\)
Does the change alter any sole IROFS?  YES □ NO ☒  Is the change prohibited by other regulations?  YES □ NO ☒

The staff has reviewed the FCE submitted by the licensee and agrees with the above determinations. The staff concludes that the FCE demonstrates compliance with 10 CFR 70.72.