ATTACHMENT (3)

EVALUATION OF DISSIMILAR METAL WELD EXAMINATIONS PERFORMED AT NINE MILE POINT UNIT 1 DURING REFUELING OUTAGE 19 (N1R19)

Performed for NMPNS By The Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI)



Evaluation of Dissimilar Metal Weld Examinations Performed at Nine Mile Unit 1 during Refueling Outage 19 (N1R19)

IR-2007-271



WARNING: Please read the Export Control Agreement on the back cover.

Evaluation of Dissimilar Metal Weld Examinations Performed at Nine Mile Unit 1 during Refueling Outage 19 (N1R19)

IR-2007-271

Internal Report, April 2007

EPRI Project Manager

C. Latiolais

Cosponsors

Joseph Cilento, Constellation Energy

DISCLAIMER OF WARRANTIES AND LIMITATION OF LIABILITIES

THIS DOCUMENT WAS PREPARED BY THE ORGANIZATION(S) NAMED BELOW AS AN ACCOUNT OF WORK SPONSORED OR COSPONSORED BY THE ELECTRIC POWER RESEARCH INSTITUTE, INC. (EPRI). NEITHER EPRI, ANY MEMBER OF EPRI, ANY COSPONSOR, THE ORGANIZATION(S) BELOW, NOR ANY PERSON ACTING ON BEHALF OF ANY OF THEM:

(A) MAKES ANY WARRANTY OR REPRESENTATION WHATSOEVER, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, (I) WITH RESPECT TO THE USE OF ANY INFORMATION, APPARATUS, METHOD, PROCESS, OR SIMILAR ITEM DISCLOSED IN THIS DOCUMENT, INCLUDING MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, OR (II) THAT SUCH USE DOES NOT INFRINGE ON OR INTERFERE WITH PRIVATELY OWNED RIGHTS, INCLUDING ANY PARTY'S INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, OR (III) THAT THIS DOCUMENT IS SUITABLE TO ANY PARTICULAR USER'S CIRCUMSTANCE; OR

(B) ASSUMES RESPONSIBILITY FOR ANY DAMAGES OR OTHER LIABILITY WHATSOEVER (INCLUDING ANY CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES, EVEN IF EPRI OR ANY EPRI REPRESENTATIVE HAS BEEN ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES) RESULTING FROM YOUR SELECTION OR USE OF THIS DOCUMENT OR ANY INFORMATION, APPARATUS, METHOD, PROCESS, OR SIMILAR ITEM DISCLOSED IN THIS DOCUMENT.

ORGANIZATION(S) THAT PREPARED THIS DOCUMENT

Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI)

Electric Power Research Institute and EPRI are registered service marks of the Electric Power Research Institute, Inc.

Copyright © 2007 Electric Power Research Institute, Inc. All rights reserved.

CITATIONS

This document was prepared by

Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) Nondestructive Evaluation (NDE) Program 1300 WT Harris Blvd. Charlotte, NC 28262

Principal Investigator C. Latiolais

This document describes research sponsored by the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI).

This publication is a corporate document that should be cited in the literature in the following manner:

"Evaluation of Dissimilar Metal Weld Examinations Performed at Nine Mile Unit 1 during Refueling Outage 19 (N1R19)". EPRI, Palo Alto, CA: 2007. IR-2007-271.

ABSTRACT

The inservice examination scope for Nine Mile Unit 1 during the 19th refueling outage (N1R19) included the examination of selected recirculation system welds. During these examinations, one planar indication was found in the 32-WD-164(N2D) safe-end-to-inlet nozzle weld and a second indication was reported in a similar weld 32-WD-122. EPRI NDE Program personnel were requested to provide a technical review of the indications reported in the aforementioned welds. The initial technical support provided included:

- Review and analysis of available automated ultrasonic data including ultrasonic examinations intended to further characterize and evaluate the recorded indications.
- Review of previous ultrasonic examination data.
- Review of available fabrication data including construction radiographs.
- Communicate with utility and vendor personnel regarding the repair and examination history of this weld.

CONTENTS

1 INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1 Background	1-1
2 SERVICE RELATED EXPERIENCE	2-3
2.1 Background	2-3
Hope Creek (1997)	2-3
Riverbend Unit 1 (1989, RF-2)	2-4
Duane Arnold (RF-16, 1999)	2-4
Nine Mile - Unit 2 (RF07, 1999)	2-5
Pilgrim Unit 1 (2003)	2-5
Susquehanna Unit 1 (RF-13, 2004)	2-6
Duane Arnold (RF-20, 2007)	2-6
3 EXAMINATION HISTORY	3-1
3.1 Previous Ultrasonic Examination History (N2D)	3-1
4 REVIEW OF FABRICATION DATA (INCLUDING RADIOGRAPHS)	4-1
4.1 General	.4-1
4.2 (N2D) – 32-WD-164 Evaluation	.4-1
5 REVIEW OF PREVIOUS EXAMINATION DATA	5-1
5.1 (N2D) 32-WD-164	.5-1
5.2 (N2C) 32-WD-122	5-1
6 EVALUATION OF INDICATION IN INLET SAFE-END-TO-NOZZLE (N2D) 32-WD-164	6-1
6.1 (N2D) 32-WD-164 Flaw Characterization	6-1
7 EVALUATION OF INDICATION IN INLET SAFE-END TO NOZZLE (N2C) 32-WD-122	7-1
7.1 (N2C) 32-WD-122 Flaw Characterization	7-1
8 CONCLUSION	8-1
9 REFERENCES	9-1

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1	N2 Configuration	1-1
Figure 4-1	Repair Area on (N2D) 32-WD-164	4-2
Figure 4-2	Evidence of Enlarged Root due to Repairs	4-3
Figure 4-3	Possible Crevice on Radiograph	4-3
Figure 7-1	Location of Flaw	7-1
Figure 7-2	Cladding Fabrication Flaw Reported during 2007 Examination	7-2
Figure 7-3	Image from 1999 Data Showing Cladding Fabrication Flaw Reported during 2007 Examinati	on
		7-2

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3-1 N2D Safe-end-to-nozzle Weld 32-WD-164 Ultrasonic Examination History	.3-1
Table 3-2 N2C Safe end-to-nozzle Weld 32-WD-122 Ultrasonic Examination History	.3-1
Table 4-1 Fabrication Weld Identifications	.4-1
Table 4-2 Repair History for Weld (N2D) 32-WD-164	.4-4

.

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

During refuel outage 19, inservice inspections (ISI) at Nine Mile Unit 1 included automated ultrasonic examination (UT) of the N2D and the N2C safe-end-to-nozzle welds. The welds were examined as part of the population scheduled in Unit One's third ten-year interval ISI program. Both the N2D and the N2C safe-end-to-nozzle weld configurations (see Figure 1-1) contain a dissimilar metal weld joining the carbon steel nozzle to a stainless steel safe-end. Both welds are denoted in Nine Mile's BWRVIP-75 program as Category "A" welds and the specific weld identification numbers are 32-WD-164 (N2D) and 32-WD-122 (N2C) [1]. This category refers to welds made entirely of resistant materials. Nine Mile also relies on Hydrogen Water Chemistry (HWC) and Noble Metal Chemical Addition (NMCA) for mitigation of stress corrosion cracking (SCC) in these welds.



Figure 1-1 N2 Configuration The examinations of the N2C and N2D safe-end-to-nozzle welds were performed during RF019 by Nine Mile's inspection vendor, WESDYNE International, using a automated technique defined in procedure WDI-SSP-1105 Revision 0, titled "Generic Procedure for the Automated (IntraSpect) Ultrasonic Examination of Dissimilar Metal Welds using WDI-STD-119A." As documented on the applicable Performance Demonstration Qualification Statement (PDQS No. 523) dated February 16, 2006, the procedure meets the requirements of the Performance Demonstration Initiative's implementation of The American Society of Mechanical Engineers Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section XI, Appendix VIII, Supplement 10.

WESDYNE's initial evaluation of the N2D weld 32-WD-164 UT data revealed a planar-type, circumferential indication located at the approximate three o'clock position. The planar indication was reported to be contained in the weld material and connected to the inside surface. Length and depth measurements were performed and the indication was reported to be 1.59" long (measured at the inside surface) with a through-wall dimension of 0.27" (15.43%). A second indication was also reported in the N2C nozzle weld 32-WD-122. This indication did not have the same character as the indication reported in the N2D nozzle weld and it appeared to be solely contained in the nozzle cladding. Joe Cilento of Constellation Energy requested assistance from the NDE Program to support a technical evaluation of the reported data, and EPRI's Carl Latiolais was selected to support this request. This summary report documents the NDE Program's results of the technical review of past examination history for N2D weld 32-WD-164.

2 SERVICE RELATED EXPERIENCE

2.1 Background

Documentation from dissimilar metal weld degradation in boiling water reactors (BWRs) was collected and reviewed for relevance and also guided the direction of this evaluation. Information relevant to this review is included below.

Hope Creek (1997)

In October of 1997, Hope Creek Nuclear Power Station detected a through-wall circumferential crack in their N6 Core Spray nozzle-to-safe-end-weld. Root cause analysis determined that this crack was due to SCC in the alloy 182 weld material located in a weld repair area. The presence of this weld repair was confirmed by a review of the fabrication data indicating that the weld had been repaired nine times, and in some cases was excavated down to the root [2]. This type of repair process may have caused a crevice condition on the inside surface of the component, which is a known initiation point for SCC. Due to problems in the ultrasonic characterization of the defect, GE issued a revision to SIL-455 [3] to incorporate lessons learned from this experience. Additionally, EPRI issued an NDE Alert [2] that included additional inspection processes aimed at increasing the reliability of the examinations. The following recommendations were made:

- Expand the examination volume to cover the entire inconel weld and adjacent heat affected zone.
- Interrogate the upper regions of the weld, outside the code examination volume, to search for reflections from midwall to deep flaws orientated both axially and circumferentially.
- The use of enhanced automated imaging systems that allow multiple views of the ultrasonic data that aid in evaluation.
- Review of previous examination data using the improved ultrasonic data analysis software.
- Thorough reviews of the fabrication records in an effort to locate fabrication defects or known repair areas, especially on inside surfaces that are potential sites of SCC initiation.

Riverbend Unit 1 (1989, RF-2)

During a 1989 scheduled inservice inspection, a circumferential indication was found by ultrasonic examination in the N4A-2 inlet feedwater nozzle-to-safe-end weld during the second refueling outage. The indication, approximately 6" long with a reported maximum depth of 0.20", was located in the Alloy 182 weld butter on the safe-end side of the weld. This indication was reexamined four times in a period of three years, and crack growth was reported each time. The safe-end was replaced during the 1992 RF-4 refueling outage.

The NRC contracted Brookhaven National Laboratory to conduct a metallurgical examination and failure analysis, including destructive examination of the safe-end weld [4]. The results of this evaluation indicated that the cracking was SCC of Inconel 182 weld metal that initiated at a weld defect (lack of root penetration or lack of fusion) located at the original base metal/alloy 182 interface, approximately 84% through-wall and 7.0" long.

The cracking mechanism determination in this report was based on tests performed on alloys 82 and 182 in simulated reactor environments. Testing was conducted on creviced and noncreviced slow strain specimens. These experiments showed that in the uncreviced condition, all alloys were immune to SCC, but in the creviced conditions, both Alloys 600 and 182 were susceptible to SCC. It also demonstrated that the fractography of the specimens was quite similar to the River Bend crack and that the fabrication flaw noted acted as the crevice condition needed for initiation.

Duane Arnold (RF-16, 1999)

While performing scheduled ultrasonic examinations on the recirculation system, two circumferential indications were found in the N2B safe-end-to-nozzle inlet weld. As a result, expanded scope examinations were performed on welds of a similar configuration. During these examinations, similar indications were found in the N2D. The examinations were performed using automated ultrasonic examination procedures approved for application to dissimilar metal weld configurations that were enhanced based on the Hope Creek's experiences in 1997. Depth sizing data was collected, but this data was limited due to the presence of weld crowns. The flaws, however, could be measured to a depth of at least 65% of the thickness.

Weld overlay repairs were performed on both nozzles. In preparation for overlay, one of the flaw locations began to leak, confirming that the indication was associated with a deep crack found with the enhanced automated techniques. Review of previous automated data with the advanced data analysis software indicated that the indications were present in the 1996 data. A complete review of the fabrication records and digitized radiographs show that there were extensive weld repairs performed in the root area of the welds during fabrication. The final root, while acceptable, contained areas of suck-back and root concavity in the area of the flaws, which appear to have sharp edges creating an inner surface creviced condition. These conditions are believed to act as stress risers that are initiation points for SCC.

1

Nine Mile - Unit 2 (RF07, 1999)

During RF07 (1999), as a result of industry events and implementation of lessons learned from Hope Creek, Nine Mile staff reviewed the data on 17 dissimilar metal welds that were previously examined with automated techniques. This review was performed with RD-TECH TomoView data analysis software. While reviewing the feedwater nozzle-to-safe-end weld 2RPV-KB20 (N4D), it was noted that there were several circumferential indications present that were not addressed in previous examination reports. The newly identified indications appeared to be located in the same radial plane above a surface connected fabrication flaw originally reported in 1995. A detailed flaw analysis was performed in 1998, and it was considered acceptable for continued operation. Although this analysis was performed conservatively, assuming active IGSCC, it was considered to be most likely a fabrication flaw that exhibited no growth based on three UT examinations (1990, 1995 and 1998).

Based on this evaluation, it was determined that the flaw identified in the Inconel 182 buttering of this weld was representative of an active stress corrosion crack initiated from a previously documented area containing a fabrication defect believed to be lack of fusion connected to the inside surface. This condition may have provided a crevice condition that acted as the initiation point for the SCC.

Pilgrim Unit 1 (2003)

While online, Entergy personnel noted an increasing trend of unidentified drywell leakage. The plant was shutdown in a planned outage to install a Unit Aux Transformer (UAT). At this time, it was noted that the Control Rod Drive nozzle (N10) was leaking in the nozzle-to-cap weld area. Subsequent investigations by NDE ultrasonic personnel determined that source of the leak appeared to be a 1.75" long circumferential crack located in the butt weld adjacent to what seemed to be a previously repaired area. A manual examination was performed on this weld to better characterize the flaw and provide information to support the overlay repair. This examination was performed in accordance with ASME Section XI, Appendix VIII, Supplement 10, as modified by the Performance Demonstration Initiative (PDI) program. The following was observed during the examination:

- A circumferential flaw was detected within the area adjacent to the through-wall leak.
- This flaw could only be evaluated with the higher angle (60 and 80-ODCR) degree refracted longitudinal search units due the unusually large weld width caused by the repair.
- The length of the flaw was measured to be 1.75", with a semi-elliptical depth profile that started out shallow on the ends and propagated radially toward the center of the flaw where it actually leaked through.
- This flaw exhibited typical ultrasonic signal characteristics indicative of SCC.
- It was visually and physically apparent that a weld repair was performed in the same location as the flaw.

Fabrication records for this weld were reviewed and confirmed that a weld repair was made in the area of this flaw. Radiographic reader sheets state that the inside surface in the area of the flaw was also ground in an effort to remove unacceptable conditions noted on the fabrication radiograph. Additionally, the radiographs were digitally enhanced to increase resolution and also confirmed the presence of the weld repair in the area of the leaking crack.

Susquehanna Unit 1 (RF-13, 2004)

During the U1-13RIO inservice inspections (ISI) at Susquehanna Steam Electric Station (SSES), the N1B nozzle-to-safe-end weld was examined as part of the population scheduled in Unit One's second ten-year interval ISI program. During this examination, a planar-type, circumferentially oriented flaw was reported to be located at the approximate top dead center. This flaw appeared to be contained in the weld material and connected to the inside surface. Evaluation of the qualified UT sizing data showed the flaw to be approximately 2" long, with a through-wall dimension of 1.14". The N1B nozzle-to-safe-end configuration contained a dissimilar metal weld joining the carbon steel nozzle to a stainless safe-end (28" OD and nominal thickness of 2.15").

While in the process of performing a required scope expansion, a second flaw was reported in the N2J safe-end-to-nozzle weld. Initial examination of this weld indicated a suspect indication in an area where it appeared that the search unit was being compromised by weld crown. The vendor requested that the surface condition of this weld be improved to eliminate the limitation. After removal of the weld crown restriction, the examination was repeated and the vendor reported a planar-type, circumferentially oriented indication located at approximately 45 degrees. The flaw appeared to be contained in the weld material and connected to the inside surface. Evaluation of the qualified UT sizing data showed the flaw to be 12.14" long, with a through-wall dimension of 0.94". Similar to N1B, the N2J configuration contains a dissimilar metal weld joining the carbon steel nozzle to a stainless safe-end (14" OD and nominal thickness of 1.32").

Both of these welds were classified as Category "C" welds. This category refers to welds not made of resistant materials that have undergone a stress improvement (SI) process. Mechanical stress improvement (MSIP) was applied to the N2J in 1993 and to the N1B in 1995. SSES also started Hydrogen Water Chemistry in 2000.

A complete review of all available ultrasonic data was performed using advanced data analysis software. This software provided the examiners with enhanced data analysis capabilities (A, B, C and D Scans) above and beyond what was available to the data analyst during the original analysis. As a result of this review, there was strong evidence that these flaws were actually present prior to MSIP in thesame location and relative depth. Weld overlay repairs were performed on both nozzles.

Duane Arnold (RF-20, 2007)

During refuel outage 20, inservice inspections (ISI) at Duane Arnold Unit 1 included manuallydriven, encoded automated UT of the N2F safe-end-to-nozzle weld. The weld was examined as part of the population scheduled in Unit One's fourth ten-year interval ISI program. The N2F safe-end-to-nozzle weld configuration contains a dissimilar metal weld joining the carbon steel nozzle to a SB-166 Inconel safe-end (13" OD and nominal thickness of 1.1"). N2F safe-end-tonozzle weld is denoted in Duane Arnold's BWRVIP-75 program as a Category "D" weld and the specific weld identification number is RRF-F002. This category refers to welds not made of resistant materials that have not undergone a stress improvement (SI) process. Duane Arnold relies on Hydrogen Water Chemistry (HWC) and Noble Chemical Addition (NMCA) for mitigation of SCC in this weld. Evaluation of the N2F weld RRF-F002 UT data revealed a planar-type, circumferential indication located at the approximate six o'clock position. The planar indication appeared to be contained in the weld material and connected to the inside surface. Length and depth measurements were performed and the indication was reported to be 5.9" long (measured at the inside surface) with a through-wall dimension of 0.59" (55.6%). As a result of this finding, Duane Arnold expanded the examination scope to include three additional welds with similar configurations. During the examination of these additional welds, a flaw was reported in the N2C inlet safe end-to-nozzle weld RRC-F002. This flaw also was also reported to be contained wholly in the weld and butter with a length of 6.30" (ID) and a maximum depth of 0.79" (71.8%). Review of the radiographs on N2F in the area of the flaw showed evidence that some inside surface repairs may have been performed, but these were not documented in the area of the reported flaw and root condition could have acted as a stress riser. Both welds were subsequently overlaid.

3 EXAMINATION HISTORY

3.1 **Previous Ultrasonic Examination History (N2D)**

Available documentation was reviewed to determine the examination history of N2D and N2C (see Table 3-1 and 3-2, respectively).

Examination Date	Type of Examination (Automated/Manual)	Results
1982	Manual (Initial Pre-Service	Geometric reflectors (Root and ID
1995 Note 1	Manual	Inside surface geometry (Root)
2007 ^{Note 2}	Automated (Manual Performed for Information WESDYNE)	Reported a planar-type, circumferential indication located at the approximate three o'clock position. The planar indication appeared to be connected to the inside surface. Length and depth measurements were performed and the indication was reported to be 1.59" long on the inside surface, with a through dimension of 0.27" (10.58%).

Table 3-1 N2D Safe-end-to-nozzle Weld 32-WD-164 Ultrasonic Examination History

Note 1 First examination using a refracted longitudinal search unit Note 2 First PDI qualified examination

Table 3-2	N2C Safe e	nd-to-nozzle	Weld 32-WD	-122 Ultrasonic	Examination History
-----------	------------	--------------	------------	-----------------	---------------------

Examination Date	Type of Examination (Automated/Manual)	Results
1982	Manual (Initial Pre-Service	Root Geometry
,	Examination)	
1988 Note 1	Manual	Root Geometry
1995	Manual	No Recordable Indications
1999	Automated	Acoustic Interface and Non-Relevant
	General Electric	Indications
2007 ^{Note 2}	Automated	Reported a planar-type, circumferential
	WESDYNE	indication located at the approximate
		twelve o'clock position. The planar
		indication appeared to be wholly
		contained in the nozzle cladding.

Note 1 First examination using a refracted longitudinal search unit

Note 2 First PDI qualified examination

4 REVIEW OF FABRICATION DATA (INCLUDING RADIOGRAPHS)

4.1 General

A complete review of the fabrication data for the N2 safe-end-to-nozzle weld (N2D) 32-WD-164 was performed. Table 4-2 contains the repair history for weld (N2D) 32-WD-164. The radiographs and repair records for (N2C) 32-WD-122 were not reviewed during this evaluation because the indication was confirmed to be a cladding flaw and not in the structural portion of the weld volume. The weld identification numbers for these welds were different during fabrication. Table 4-1 below shows the weld identification used during fabrication for tracking the in-process inspections.

Table 4-1 Fabrication Weld Identifications

Fabrication Weld Identification	In-service Weld Identification
FW-14D-SEN	32-WD-164

4.2 (N2D) – 32-WD-164 Evaluation

The review of the radiographs and repair records for this weld revealed that this weld was repaired up to nine times during installation and the majority of the repairs were due to unacceptable ID root conditions, which would have required significant working of the inside surface. These numerous repairs altered the normal shape of the root in this area, which is evident on the ultrasonic data shown in Figure 4-1 and the radiographs in Figures 4-2 and 4-3. Additionally, there was evidence on some of the intermediate radiographs that a crevice condition due to root concavity could have been formed on the inside surface of the weld. Figure 4-3 identifies this possible crevice area. While the reported flaw could be associated with welding flaws left in the weld during fabrication, the correlation between stress corrosion cracking can be closely associated with 82/182 materials, weld repairs, and/or ID creviced conditions as noted in References 2-4.





Normal Root Figure 4-2 Evidence of Enlarged Root due to Repairs	Excavation into safe- end base material making root wider
Possible Crevice Condition	

Figure 4-3 Possible Crevice on Radiograph

Table 4-2 Repair History for Weld (N2D) 32-WD-164

Date	Film Marker Locations	Type of Defect	Comments
10/30/1982	14"-28" and 28"-42" (Info Only)	Incomplete fusion, Tungsten Inclusions, Porosity and a burn through	Root Shot prior to filling cavity.
10/31/1982	14"-28" and 28"-42" (Info	Incomplete fusion	Root Shot prior to filling cavity
	Only)		Repair 1 of Root
11/2/1982	14"-28" and 28"-42" (Info	Incomplete fusion, porosity,	Root Shot prior to filling cavity
	Only)	Tungsten inclusions	Repair 2 of Root
11/3/1982	14"-28" and 28"-42" (Info	Incomplete fusion, porosity,	Root Shot prior to filling cavity
	Only)	Tungsten inclusions	Repair 3 of Root
11/4/1982	14" - 30"(Info Only)	Acceptable	Other areas on weld still had incomplete fusion that required repair
11/6/1982	14" – 28" (Info Only)	Incomplete fusion	Root Shot Prior to filling cavity
			Repair 4
11/8/1982	14" – 28" (Info Only)	Incomplete fusion	Root Shot prior to filling cavity
	,		Repair 5
11/8/1982	14"- 28" (Info Only)	Porosity and Tungsten inclusions (Acceptable)	Excavation Shot
11/10/1982	14" - 28" (Info Only)	Incomplete fusion, porosity,	Intermediate shot during filling of cavity
		I ungsten inclusions	Repair 6
11/11/1982	20" - 32" (Info Only)	Incomplete fusion	Intermediate shot during filling of cavity
			Repair 7
11/11/1982	14" - 28" (Info Only)	Incomplete fusion	Intermediate shot during filling of cavity
			Repair 8
11/12/1982	18" – 30"(Info Only)	Incomplete fusion	Intermediate shot during filling of cavity
			Repair 9
11/12/1982	14"-28""(Info Only)	Acceptable (Tungsten inclusions)	Excavation Shot
11/13/1982	22"- 32" (Info Only)	Acceptable	Informational shot after filling of cavity
11/13/1982	14" 30" (Info Only)	Acceptable	Informational shot a little over half way filled
12/5/1982	14"-28" and 28"-42"	(Tungsten inclusions, artifacts) Acceptable	Final

•

5 REVIEW OF PREVIOUS EXAMINATION DATA

5.1 (N2D) 32-WD-164

A thorough review of the manual examination data from the 1992 and 1995 outages was performed. With the exception of the 1995 examination, the previous examinations were performed with shear wave search units only, which are known to be ineffective in the examination of dissimilar metal welds. (The use of shear waves in the current qualified procedures is limited to examination of the base material on both sides of the weld and is not relied upon for examination of the weld material.) The 45 and 60 degree refracted longitudinal search units used in 1995 were not optimally focused for the depth of the reported indications. Based on the aforementioned information, it is possible that the reported flaw could have been present since fabrication and therefore not detected due to limitations in the examination techniques used.

5.2 (N2C) 32-WD-122

The 1999 automated report was reviewed; it was clear that the flaw was present in the data and appeared to not have changed in dimension since the last examination. Previous manual examinations did not have the benefit of the advanced ultrasonic imagery, and it is likely that without it, the examiners would have classified this flaw as clad noise and thus not reported it.

6 EVALUATION OF INDICATION IN INLET SAFE-END-TO-NOZZLE (N2D) 32-WD-164

6.1 (N2D) 32-WD-164 Flaw Characterization

EPRI staff, along with the qualified examiner that originally reported the flaws, performed a detailed review of the automated data collected and the results of the inspection vendor's analysis of this weld using the Intraspect data analysis software. The ultrasonic analysis approach used by WESDYNE makes use of advanced imaging software that is capable of displaying A, B, B-Prime, and C scan images. The results of EPRI's review are detailed below.

This flaw exhibits characteristics typical of a planar flaw that could be related to the original fabrication of the weld. This conclusion is based on the following key attributes:

- The indication plots to the fusion zone of the inconel weld and safe-end in an area where several documented repairs were performed.
- The echo dynamic pattern observed from this flaw is smooth and no evidence of branching or multifaceted tip signals was detected.
- The B and B-Prime (D-Scan) views indicate that the flaw does not vary in depth for the entire length of the scan. This uniform response pattern is not indicative of SCC.
- The C-Scan image shows that the flaw is extremely straight and its axial position does not vary along the entire length of the scan. This consistent response is also not indicative of SCC.

The flaw appears to be connected or in very close proximity to the inside surface, but due to the inherent limitations of the ultrasonic techniques being used, it is impossible to determine if it is actually open to the inside surface. However, it has been evaluated conservatively by the vendor and the utility to be a surface connected flaw.

While there is evidence that the reported flaw may be related to fabrication welding processes, the large number of ID weld repairs, and the possible presence of an ID creviced condition on the inside surface make it impossible to completely rule out that the reported flaw may actually be shallow SCC.

7 EVALUATION OF INDICATION IN INLET SAFE-END TO NOZZLE (N2C) 32-WD-122

7.1 (N2C) 32-WD-122 Flaw Characterization

A thorough review of both the 1999 and the 2007 automated data confirm that the reported indication is clearly a fabrication flaw located near the transition between the stainless steel cladding and the nozzle butter (see Figure 7-1). The flaw is 1.3" long on the ID and is wholly contained in the cladding. It does not propagate into either the carbon steel base material or the inconel butter material. See Figures 7-2 and 7-3 below for images of the flaw collected from the 1999 and the 2007 data.







Figure 7-2 Cladding Fabrication Flaw Reported during 2007 Examination



Figure 7-3 Image from 1999 Data Showing Cladding Fabrication Flaw Reported during 2007 Examination

8 CONCLUSION

EPRI personnel were requested to provide assistance during the Nine Mile Unit 1 RF-19 outage by performing a technical evaluation of examination results reported by WESDYNE International for the N2D and N2C safe-end-to-nozzle dissimilar metal welds. The data provided for this review support their conclusion that N2D safe-end-to-nozzle weld contains a 1.59" long planar-type, circumferential flaw. The flaw reported in the N2D weld appeared to be ID connected and contained within the Alloy 82/182 weld material. The characteristics of this indication are not typical of SCC located in Alloy 82/182 weld material. EPRI's technical evaluation results confirm WESDYNE's conclusions; however, due to the numerous ID weld repairs and possible crevice condition noted, stress corrosion cracking (SCC) in this area cannot be completely ruled out.

Data provided by WESDYNE from the examination of N2C supports their conclusion that the flaw reported in the N2C weld is consistent with a fabrication flaw. This flaw is 1.3" long on the ID located near the transition between the stainless steel cladding and the nozzle butter and is wholly contained in the cladding. The flaw does not appear to propagate into either the carbon steel base material or the inconel butter material and is typical of a cladding defect.

9 REFERENCES

- 1. Boiling Water Vessel Integrity Program (BWRVIP) document BWRIP-75 Titled "BWR Vessel and Internals Project, Technical Basis for Revisions to Generic Letter 88-01 Inspection Schedules
- 2. NDE Alert Bulletin P-98-009 Titled "Lessons Learned Regarding Effective Detection and Discrimination of Flaws in Dissimilar Metal Welds." Issued early 1998.
- 3. GE Nuclear Energy Services Information Letter (SIL) SIL No. 455 Revision 2 Titled *"ISI of additional Alloy 182 Welds"* Dated January 29, 2001.
- 4. Brookhaven National Laboratory Report Titled "*Metallurgical Evaluation of a Feedwater Nozzle to Safe-End Weld from River Bend Station Unit 1*". This report was prepared by request of the NRC. (Task Order No; 2, Fin E2089, Subtask No. 5a.) Dated August 1995.

ATTACHMENT (4)

AUTOMATED ULTRASONIC WELD EXAMINATION SUMMARY REPORT FOR RECIRCULATION INLET NOZZLE-TO-SAFE END WELD NO. 32-WD-164 (Page 94 of 186 thru page 140 of 186)

Performed for NMPNS By WesDyne International

	Automated Ultrasonic WELD EXAMINATION SUMMARY Report # W-1-1105-07-007
1. 1. 1.	Site: <u>Nine Mile</u> Unit: <u>1</u> Outage #: <u>N1R19</u> Procedure # <u>N/DI-SSP-1105</u> Revision: <u>0</u>
	System: <u>Recirc</u> Component ID: <u>32-WD-164</u> Component Config: <u>Safe End to Nozzle</u>
	Examiner: <u>Thomas Walsh</u> Level: <u>II</u> Examiner: <u>Liarry Musgrave</u> Level: <u>II</u>
•	Examination Type: PSI: ISI: V Operating System: IntraSpect Calibration Data Pkg:(s): W-1-1105-07-02
	EXAMINATION SUMMARY
	One relavent indication was seen in the automated ultrasonic examination data taken on the weld listed above. The examination meets the requirements of ASME B&PV Code, Section XI, Appendix VIII, 1995 edition with addenda through 2000. The PDI qualified procedure required detection scanning with a 45 degree refracted longitudinal 1 MHz, 60 degree refracted longitudinal 1 MHz, 60 degree refracted longitudinal 2 MHz, and 45 degree shear 2.25 MHz transducers. An additional 45 degree refracted longitudinal 2 MHz transducer was used to depth size the indication. The indication found is on the safe end side of the weld in an area of previous weld repair. The repair was for incomplete root fusion. Although the repair was performed the UT indication characteristics are more indicative of a lack of fusion reflector than a service induced flaw. A manual ev am of the indication was also performed to look for additional flaw characteristics such as faceting . No face ing was found. The indication has been evaluated as a flaw using the ASME Code IWB tables.
	The 45 shear recorded one relevant, non-relevant indications, and acoustic interface. The 1 MHz 45 degree refracted longitudinal recorded non-relevant indications. The 1 MHz 60 degree refracted longitudinal recorded non-relevant indications. The 2 MHz 60 degree refracted longitudinal recorded non-relevant indications (the shear component reflected off indication #1.) The 2 MHz 45 degree refracted longitudinal recorded the indication.
	The examination coverage was limited by the OD geometry (taper) of the safe end.
:	Provisus Data Reviewada Voc. Turna UT & PT
-	Examination Coverage Achieved Risk Informed - 100% Lower 1/3 - 100% (Base 1 on Single Sided Demonstration)
	Acceptable: ${\star}$ Rejectable: \checkmark $200 P$ 44707
	Summary by: CLAYTON SHAW Utility Reviewer: Level <u>I</u> Date: <u>3-23-07</u> Level <u>II</u> Date: <u>4-2-07</u> Date: <u>415/07</u>
	* USE-AS-IS DISPOSITION - REF: CR 2007-1859 Page 94 of 186 \$ FA. # NMP-299-301. 9-4-10-07
i i	

				_	· · ·	- <u></u> ,		Ultras	ionic				
		VES	Dyni				INI	DICATIO She	DN DATA			Report #	₩-1-1105-07-007
s	ite:	N	ine Mile	Unit:	<u>1</u> Ou	tage #: <u>N1R</u>	19	P	rocedure #	WDI-SSF	P- <i>1105</i> F	Revision: 0	FCN: N/A
		Sys	stem:	Recirc.	· . · · · · ·	Wel	d #:	32-W/L	D-164	-	Thickness: _	1.69	inches
		Dat	lum 0 Loc	ation:	Тор	Dead Cente	r	&	Weld Cen	ter Line	· · · ·		
				W1 WM W	V2			•	Ab	breviation	<u>ns</u>		
	,			\swarrow	🥇 Th	e layout at th	e left show	/s a	L- Distance	from Datu	m 0 (typically m	neasuring	
			Weld CL		pe	rpendicular p	ropagating	scan.	flaw's ma	jor dimens	sion, ie. length)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	T	† †	-1-1-1	DATUN	47) FO	r parallel sca	ns the Lar		MP- Metal	Path		US-Up	ostream
		1. 4		WMak		nensions wou relation to the	weld cent	rseu	Prop Pro	ining Ligar	ment above fia		ownstream
	L -2	Max		w#	, 			ennie.	SULOC- Se	arch Linit I	Constion	COV- CA	unterClockwise
	<u>+</u>		<u> 二</u> 二 기		11				W-Distance	from Datu	m 0 (typically lo	ocating	
			•				,		flaw's mir	nor dimens	sion, ie. width)		
IN	D.	Angle	Prop.	W		W i		ſ			·		
1	ŧ	&Mode	Direction	SU Loc	MP	Ind. Loc.	L1	L2	Length	RL.	Depth	Cor	mments
	_	455	<u>DS</u>		0.00	0.17	26.85	28.8				Track L	Dimensions
i		455		-1.9	2.39	-0.17	24.7	20.5		1.57		Pipe OD	Dimensions
┢──							Consi	stant W n	easurement a	cross indi	cation	, no up signal si	9911
		45L2	DS				27.75	29.05	T			Track [Dimensions
	<u> </u>	45L2	DS	-1.9	2.4	-0.19	25.5	26.7	1.2	1.42	0.27	Pipe OD	Dimensions
							Reflec	tor RL m	easured at top	of echo di	vnamic pattern	(possible tip sid	onal)
·						404 05 04	Consi	stant W n	neasurement a	cross indic	cation	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
\vdash		<u> </u>		UTISET Kat	10 = 93.25 5 7/20 0*	107.25 = 0.8	12 Instanse	l	ath y ID/OD E	Patio = 1 P	<u> </u>	/ onath at ID	
<u> </u>	-+			*Inside an	d outside	diameters tai	ken from S	afe End (drawing #0078	8-3667	× 0.000 - 1.09	Lengui al ID)	,,
┢						<u> </u>							
CS	Aw/	Sec.	SEAK	7 7	Z - 3-2	8.07		з.	-	/			
	NÁ	Ana	lyst	Le	vel l	Date ()	$\int \wedge d$	11		ort	16	1. 1	Pg of
	Ľ	dar	conter	<u>_</u>	<u>E 3/3</u>	10/07	Ju	entput	TT 3-30-07	NUV V	corry	- 4/ 5/07	95 186
		Doilio	in hu	. 10		Dáte I 🖊	Ifility Revie	w by	Date	ANII	Rection the	Date	

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	SKETCH Sheet	•	Report # W-	1-1105-07-00;
Site: <u>Nine Mile</u> System:	Unit: <u>1</u> Outage 	#: <u>N1R19</u> Weld #:3	Procedure #	WDI-SSP-1105	Revision: 0	FCN: N/A
	455 ¢ 4522 Iro#1	IN	DICATION #1			
Safe End					CLADDI	NozzLE
			BUTTERIN	ÉEXAM VOLUME G		
SKETCH CONS	TRUCTED USING	DRAWING # 00;	788-3667		FLOW	→ Pg of

	· . ·		· · · ·						· · ·		
	• .		•			•					
			· ·			•			• •		
											·* ·
		•				. •			~		
				•					PAGE 9'	7 af 1	186
1			NA/D	DEAA	2 0010	ulotion					1.
			IAAD	3314-		ulation					. .
1	Project :	Nine	Mile Point N	11R19		Exam R	eport # :	W-1-110	5-07-007		1
l	System:	÷	Recirc (32)					·			
· (Weld No.:		32-WD-164			Ind			J		
	Elaw Thrawall Dimo	neion "o" =	0.27			"T" n		1 80	- -		
1	Flaw L	enath "I" =	1.59			"T" mea	sured =	1.75			
	Surface Separ	ation "S" =	0.00			Clad "T" n	ominal =	0.00			
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · · ·					
1		ASME SE	CTION XI,	1989 W/A	FOR ISI A	USTENITIC S	TEIEL WE	LDS			
Ì		ALLOWAI			VS FOR C	ATEGORY B-	FAND B-	J		•	
			IABLE IV	B 3514-2	FUR 2.0"	IMICANESS					
•	•	ASpect Ratio	Sunace	Subsunace	Tedh	Sunace Su					
		0.00	10.0	10.0	FALSE						•
		0.05	10.2	10.2	FALSE	· · · · <u>-</u>					
		0.10	10.4	10.4	FALSE	~					
	· .	0.15	10.5	10.5	TRUE	10.53	10.13 V				
•		0.20	10.7	10.7	FALSE	~					
		0.25	10.9	10,9	FALSE	~ `					
		0.30	11.1	11.1	FALSE	~					
	· · ·	0.35	11.2	11.2	FALSE		-				
		0.40	11.4	11.4	FALSE						
	•	0.50	11.0	11.7	FALSE						
						Allowed	Allowed			-	
		· .				10.58	0.40				
						•					
			a =	0.270							
•		• .	a/i value =	0.170	If $a/l > .5$,	then $a/l = .5$					
		• .	Y =	0.000	r = 5/a, n	Y > 1, then $Y =$	1				
		,	FI	aw is Surf		i i i					
			L						•		• •
		A	llowed a/t =	10.58%							
		•	a/t =	15.43%			•				
		· · · · ·	······	<u> </u>	·····	·					
		Flav	v is unaccep	otable by T	able IWB-3	514-2.					
· .	Commonte										┫
	comments .	······		·	· 	. <u></u>			<u>. </u>	·····	-
		· · · ·			·····				······································	·	1
			······	······		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					1 .
	10		114	0 ///		······································					1
	Analyst: $(\underline{C}, \underline{C}_{14})$	AW (The A	fra	_Level:	LL D	ate:3	1-28-	07	• .	
• •	10.	NY/	A						•		1
•	Reviewer:	K den con	Xe.		_ Level:	<u>///</u> D	ate: <u>5/</u> .	50/07			
	Litility Portioner		ento		. بامیرم ا	III D	ato 7-	30-17			
	Junity Reviewer:	to me			_ revel:	U		50.07			
	L	v			·····						
		·				• • •					
		•					1				
								· .			·
				×				• .	• .		. • •

	Ultrasonic Examin SCAN LIMITAT Sheet	W-1-1105-07-007 Fleport # Page 98 of /86		
Weld Number:	32-WD-164			
Interfering Condition:	OD	TAPER		
Size of Interfering Condition:		FULL 360°	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Distance from Weld Centerline:	2.95″			
Distance from Datum Point 0.0:	FULL 360°			
Reference Drawing Number:	00	78 3667 FIG1		
Comments and Sketches:				

Some coverage missed on nozzle only due to drop out on circumferential scans. This does not affect reported coverage by single side demonstration.

See Attached Sketch Sheet

EXAMINER CLAYTON SHAW CALL	LEVEL	DATE 3/28/07
REVIEWER All damanta	LEVEL TI	DATE 4/2/07
REVIEWER	LEVEL T	DATE 4-2-07
Authorized Inspection Agency Ref Holing		DATE 4/5/67

				SKETCH Sheet		Report # W-1	-1105-07-007	
	Site: <u>Nine Mile</u> System:	Unit: <u>1</u> Outage # <i>Recirc.</i>	: <u>N1R19</u> Weld #:	Procedure # _ 32 - WD - 164	WDI-SSP-1105	Revision: 0	FCN: N/A	
					<u> </u>	· · ·		
			Cov	erage Plot		• •	i	
	· · · · ·							
				¢		• • •	· .	
				[
		- \	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			/		s.,*
		400 1			1 1	450		•
		450				60°		•
					i //		NOTTLE	•
	Safe End			M_2		CLANDIN		
		<u> </u>		¥ 7	EXAM VOLUME	CRADDIN	<u></u>	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			BUTTERI	1G	· · · · ·		<u> </u>
			· · ·					
						FLOW	>	
	SKETCH CONST	TRUCTED USING I	RAWING # 00	788.3667	· · ·		-	•••
-	C. SHAW White the	Z 3-28.07	10 0	Λ	0,	D	la of	· · ·

		VESD	ynz	IntraSpe EXA	ct Automated UT System	Report # W-1-1105-07-007
		•		for OD exami	nation of Dissimilar Metal Welds	Page 100 of 186
						Fage_100_01_100_
S	Site:	Nine	Mile	Unit: 1 Outage	#: N1R19 Drawing ID:	F-45183-C Sht. 7
S	System	۹:	Recirc.	Weld #:	32-WD-164 Pr	ocedure #
	Configu	uration:		Safe End to Nozzle	Re	vision: 0 FCN: <u>N/A</u>
	,ompo Calibra	nent i en	np.: Pka #		eter #: 105227	Disk# 4/2
	Operat	or(s) / Le	vel(s):	Wal	sh / II, Hopkins / II, Musgrave	/ //, Overly / 11
Ē	Exam (Date & Ti	me:	3/2	6/07 0205 Thru 3/27/07 1225	
				A	NALYSIS RESULTS	•
	Angle					
8	ANIODE	Skew	CH#	FILE NAME		$\frac{1}{1} x = 0 \text{ to } 59$
F	45L1 60L1	3 (D3)	2	N2U_3_SETUFT_01	NRI	-x = 4 to 63
ŀ	45L1	"	1	N2D_3_SETUP1_02	NRI -	X = 57 to 103
· [60L1	n	2	R	NRI -	X = 61 to 107
	60L2	. "	1	N2D_3_SETUP6_01	Indication #1 seen	with shear component only
	455	. 17	2	N2D 3 SIZING1 01	Indication #1 - See I	nd Callon Sheet and Images
- F.	45L2	a	1	N2D 3 SIZING1 02	Indication #1 - 63dB	prefer N2D_3_SIZING1_01
· [45L2	4 (US)	1	N2D_4_SIZING1_01	Ind. #1 - from nozzle side, se	e images, prefer N2D_3_SIZING1_01
	60L2	3 (DS)	1	N2D_3_SETUP6_02	NRI - Added Coverag	e on nozzle side, X= 40 to 65
- F	455		2	NOD A SETLIDA 01	NRI - Added Coverag	e cin nozzle side, X= 43 to 68
H	40L1 60L1	<u> </u>	2	" "	······································	NRI
· [60L2	. "	1.	N2D_4_SETUP5_01		NRI
	45S		1			NRI
ŀ	60L2		1	N2D_4_SETUP5_02		
	60L2		1	N2D 4 SETUP5 03		NRI
	45S		2	8	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	NRI
Ē	45L.1	5 (CW)	1	N2D_5_SETUP2_01	NRI - Drop out X	= 1.2 to 40 on nozzle side
	60L1	n 	2	NOD 5 SETUDO 00	NICL V- Ato 50 Dmg	NRI
H	45L1 6011	n	2	N2D_5_SETUP2_02 "	1000, 000	
F	45L1	· n	1	N2D 5 SETUP2 03	Incomp	lete: - Not Used
	60L1	n	2	n	Incomp	lete - Not Used
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(0	Continued)
r	RT doc W this	rin was film was cumented measure indicatio	reviewe on the ments a n will b	ed in the area of indication construction radiograph and lack of defined tip si e conservatively evaluation	on number 1. The UT indication nic reports. The ultrasonic cha gnals are not indicative of a s red as a surface plalnar flaw a	on correlated to the repair area racieristics such as consistent ervice induced flaw, however, gainst the ASME Code
	<u> </u>	pie IWB-3	1514-2.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		10 7
	Ana	alvst Con	K-772 al. <	Hay Clautor 9		Date 3-28-07
	Rev	viewer	(j()	Clenter -		Date 7-2-07
	Aut	h. Inspe	cl. Age	ency_ Physium	Date_4/:	5/0-7
Ļ		• · ·	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		
		· .				

			· ·		·
					1
F		<u> </u>	······································		<u></u>
	VESD	VNE	IntraSpe	ct Automated UT System	Report # W-1-1105-07-007
	TERNATIONAL	y	FXA	MINATION DATA	
	· ,		for OD exami	ination of Dissimilar Metal Welds	
					Page 101 of 186
					Page_701_01
Site:	<u> </u>		Unit: 7 Outage	#: N1R19 Drawing IL	D: F-45783-C Sht. 7
Syster	n:	Recirc.		<u>32-WD-164</u>	rocedure #
Config	juration:	. <u></u>	Safe End to Nozzle	H	revision: 0 FCN: N/A
Comp	onent len	۱р .:		eter #: 105227	
Calibra	ation Data	Pkg. #	•:	1105-07-02	Disk #: <u>/A</u>
Opera	tor(s) / Le	vel(s):	Wal	lsh / II, Hopkins / II, Musgrav	e / II, Overly / II
Exam	Date & Ti	me:		6/07 0205 Thru 3/27/07 122	5
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
			А	NALTSIS RESULTS	
Angle	l .	ſ			
&Mode	Skew	CH#	File Name	Indicati	ions & Comments
451 1	"	1	N2D 5 SETLIP2 04	NRI - Dron out Y=	83 thru 0 to 41 on nozzle side
6011	· #	2	"		NRI
451 1		1	N2D 5 SETLIP2 05	NRI - Dmn out	X= 10 to 41 on nozzle side
6011	a	2	"		NRI
451 1	5 (CMA	1	NOD 5 SETLIP2 06	NRL Y= 0 to 40 Drop	r_{0} out $Y = 10$ to 40 on pozzle side
601 1	"	2	"	<u></u>	
151 1	B (CCIAD		NOD 6 SETUDO 00	AIRI Drop out	V- 12 to 26 on norrale side
FOL 1	"		"		
AFLA	. n		NOD 6 SETUDO 02	AIDI Drag quit	
FOL 1			"		
OUL I	EA (COMA	2		·	
400	DA (CUVV)		"	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
455	SA (CVV)	4			
455	SD (CVV)		<u>N2D_36_3610P6_01</u> "		
405	OD (CCVV)	<u></u>			
•		· ·			
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	······································	· ·
			·	-	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
· ·					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		·			
·.		ļ			
	· .			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Rema	rks:			-	
. Tra	ack circum	ferenc	e is 101 1/4" and weld c	ircumference is 93 1/4".	
NF	RI = No Re	levant	Indications		
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
				DES	200 - tr IIT 2/2/107
۸n	abust C		Sur ALEA		17 Data 3-28-67
	alyst <u>– L/</u>	4×134)	SHAW/ Cassoo Ch		
ке		- 77	ulinto		<u></u> Uate <u></u>
Au	th. Inspe	ct.(Ağe	ency <u>Walum</u>	Date <u>v</u>	15/107
Ļ	·······				
					· · ·
	1	· .	· · ·		
÷		•	• •		
	÷		•		


dayter the

DATE: 3-28-07



Anyton Efter LEVEL: I

DATE: 3.28-07



ANALYST

Autol the LEVEL I

DATE: 3-28-07



Auto the LEVEL: II DATE: 3-28.07



NRI - Shear component of 60RL reflecting off Indication #1 shown

Augho Level: TT DATE: 3-28-07



Indication #1 - W measurement does not vary

aghel the ANALYST

DATE: 3-28-07



Indication #1

Carter Level: I

DATE: 3-28-07



Indication #1 - End points (Length)

Vaytor the LEVEL:

DATE: 3-28-07



Indication #1 - Remaining Ligament shown under 'Depth'

Augtor Level: I

DATE: 3-28-07



Indication #1 - from nozzle side

Apple Level: I DATE: 3-28-07



Unter 12 DATE: 3-28-07



Charpton Latter LEVEL: I

DATE: 3-28-07



Carto Ello Level: II DATE: 3-28-07



Republichter LEVEL: II DATE: 3-28.07



NRI - Attempt to improve data quality X= 45 to 46

Say to Letter

LEVEL: IT DATE: 3-28-07



NRI - Attempt to improve data quality X= 48 to 49

Charles LEVEL: IL DATE: 3-28-07



NRI - Attempt to improve data quality X= 45 to 46

Autor Level: I DATE: 3-28-07



NRI - Attempt to improve data quality X= 48 to 49

Chipton Cotton LEVEL: # DATE: 3-28-07



NRI - Drop out X= 12 to 40 on nozzle side

Clayto Level: IF ANALYST

DATE: 3-28-07



_ Charles Level: II DATE: 3-28-07_

ANALYST



NRI - Drop out X= 12 to 40 on nozzle side

Auto the LEVEL:

DATE: 3-28-07



Augun Colla LEVEL: I

DATE: 3-28-07



NRI - Drop out X= 83 Thru 0 to 41 on nozzle side

Clogto E Sho ANALYST

LEVEL: 1 DATE: 3-28-07



Agher dhe LEVEL: II

DATE: 3-28-07



NRI - Drop out X= 10 to 41 on nozzle side

Augher Sha

DATE: 3-28-07



Agent the

DATE: 3-28-07



NRI - Drop out X= 10 to 40 on nozzle side

Clayton Shar LEVEL:

DATE: 3-28-07



Clay for Effor LEVEL: IT

DATE: 3-28-07



NRI - Drop out X= 12 to 36 on nozzle side

Aught Shar LEVEL:

DATE: 3-28-07



ANALYST Augho Editor LEVEL: I

DATE: 3-28-07



NRI - Drop out x= 23 to 32 on nozzle side

Vaytor Ethan LEVEL: ZZ

DATE: 3-28-07



Augurl Shar

DATE: 3-28-07



NRI - B-Scan shows area of Indication #1

Contraction LEVEL: I DATE: 3-28-07 ANALYST



NRI - B-Scan shows area of Indication #1

auto Etha LEVEL:

DATE: 3-28-07



NRI Contraction Level: II DATE: 3-28-07



Autolitha Level: #

DATE: 3-28-07
			·)	· · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		YNE		ULTRASO DA	NIC CA	LIBRATI	ON		Pa <i>ge</i> Pag	438 of ge 1 of 3	186
A West Plant :	inghouse ND Nin	E Company e Mile Point	U	nit: 1			UT No.		W-1-	1111-07-002	• •
Comp/System		32		ISO #:	F-45183 Re	I-C, Sht. 7	Procedu	ire No.	WD	-SSP-1111	Rev. 0
Reference Blk	.#	SAP 1034	35 C	al Block No.	SAP	102915	Examina Block/C	ation Su	face	⊡ 0D 68 °F	☐ ID
	SEARCH U	NIT			0/11		0° 0		VELD	ТО	WELD
Scan Angle: Serial No.: 00 Fixturing : Nor Size :	45° 95∨M Integral Mi 0.50" St 5 MHz #	Mode: S Mfg. odel: Comp nape: Round Elem: 1	hear KBA G	SCAN AR 0° WRV 0° BM		IDENT 2.0" Notch	Sweep Pos 6.6	AMPL. 80%	ATTEN dB 13.2	Sweep AM Pos 9	1PL ATTEN 6 dB
Measured Ang	le: 45°	Length	<u> </u>	To Weld							
Couplant Bran	<u>d: l</u> h:	Jitragel II 06225	0 # E C	xit Pnt Dim: = ontoured \checkmark N CAL.	0.35" /A A	, ([] Circ]	100% 90 80 70 60				
Mfg/Model No Serial No.: Damping:	Krautkram SAP 1 500 Pt	er USN 58L 04390 uls Wth:	SW Ir Ir 330 Ir	nitial Cal. ntermediate	13:04 17:10 N/A		50 40 30 20 30				
Pulsr: Square Freq.: 2.0) MHz R	Reject: ectify	Off Ir Full F	inal Cal.	N/A 18:43						
Range	<u>91 Voit. 4:</u> 4.42	Vel. (.1245				Screen	Divisio	ہ د = ns, 10	5 6 7 4.42	0 9 10
Swp Delay Gain 0	0.000 or⊥ dE	Zero 7 3 13.2	.3834	EXAMINAT WELD/AR		Recor	dable ations	S (Linn	an tation	CON	IMENTS
Gain	l dE	3 N/A				Yes	No	Yes	No	· .	
Scan Ser	sitivity	Ax = 2 Circ =	3.2 dB N/A	32-WD-1	164	X			X	See indica sheet.	tion data
Sci Higt	een Height L	inearity High	Low				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				· · ·
1 100 2 90	50 e	3 <u>50</u> 7 <u>40</u>	25			Code Co	verage Ac	hieved:	N/A	-	
3 80 4 70	40 8 35 9	3 <u>30</u> 9 20	15 E		Stephe	n T. Will	Elliams	τV		DATE 3	/27/2007
5 60 Low	30 1 nust be 1/2 High	0 10 ±5% FSH	<u>5</u> E	XAMINER	D	/	1	LV	<u> </u>	DATE	0/27/2007
Initial		Result		r-1918	David I						1001-
thirtight	-6dB -12dB	40	. F	REVIEWER	no.	J. Du	un-	Ľ	<u>III</u>	DATE _3	(0110)
<u>80</u> 80	+6dB	80 80	. F	REVIEWER	11	Wents	5. 	Que l'		DATE <u>4-</u>	2-01
80 80 40 20	+12dB		Á	uthorized In	spectio	n Agency	y IU	Koli	m -	DATE	415107
80 80 40 20 Acceptance Cr	teria: <u>19</u>	89 ASME Sec	: XI, No /	Add.			· .				
80 80 40 20 Acceptance Cr Risk Informed Weld Is	teria: <u>19</u> Yes Acceptable	89 ASME Sec ✓ No Rejectable	<u>XI, No /</u>	Add.	NAL SH	EETS? (Box)	N/	A 1	

	WES	DYNE INT		Page 13 DNAL, LLC	9 of 186
A Westinghouse NDE Company					
			WELD NO	2-WD-164	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
WALL THICKNESS PRO	FILE SHEET		UT DATA SH	ET NO. W-1-11	1-07-002
Position 0° 90° 18	0° 270°			Page 2 of 3	Weld Edge
		2.	S" Center	line 2.5"	
TOE* 4 N A		23	Toe 1 4 5		ा वा
		- Sida			Side
					5106
		· · ·		N	
	Fluch	DIAMETE	b.	20 69"	
	1.40"			23.00	·
	<u> </u>				
	11//1	100. DIVI		-40100-0 Ont. 7, 10	ov. /
			· 1		
PROFILE AREA	· · ·	· ·			
PROFILE AREA				Â	•
PROFILE AREA				Ø	
PROFILE AREA				Ø	*
PROFILE AREA				O	•
PROFILE AREA					•
PROFILE AREA					
PROFILE AREA					
		No	TE THE IS W	PLOUT IN THI IDERY DUE	S AREM (V REPAIR (
Examiner : 2000	Tärllan		TE: THE IS W	12007 IN THI IDER DUE THIT SHOWN Date: 3/27/	5 AZEM V REPAIR (2007
Examiner : Examiner : Stephen T. William Examiner : David M. Crickel	T Crellin	Level		12007 IN THI 10 ER Y DUE THAT SHOWN Date: 3/27/2 Date: 3/27/2	s AZEA v REPAIR 2007 007
PROFILE AREA Examiner : Examiner : Stephen T. William Examiner : David M. Griebel Reviewer: Mac S. B.	T Erllan	Level Level		$\frac{1}{10007} IN THI ib Cr. y Du E \tau_{11} + 5 H0 E MDate: 3/27/2Date: 3/27/2Date: 3/27/2$	5 ARE ->
PROFILE AREA Examiner : Examiner : Stephen T. William Examiner : David M. Griebel Reviewer: Utility Review: Utility Review:	T Crellian 26 p allo- ilento	Level Level Level		$\frac{12007}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$	5 ARE 17 5 REPAIR 2007 007 007 -07
PROFILE AREA Examiner : Examiner : Stephen T. William Examiner : David M. Griebel Reviewer: Utility Review: Utility Review: Authorized Inspection Agence	T Collian 26 p 210 210 210 210 210 210 210 210 210 210	Level Level Level		$\frac{1}{10}$	5 APRE 75 C REPAIR (2007 007 007 007 007 007 007

	INTERN	ATION	YY	I KS	•		· ·	ULII	KASUN	NC EX	AIVIINA	TION INDIC	AHON		
AW	estingho	use N	DE Cor	npany						Delet Lie		· · · ·		FAGE	140 of 180
Wel	Weld No.: 32-WD-164			Datum 0 Location : TDC					<u>.</u>	UT Sheet No.: W-1-1111-07-002 Page 3 of 3					
Proced	lure No.		WDI-S	SP-111	1	Rev.	0	-	۰.		- -				•
Exam	Surface	OD		ID (Exam	/olume :	Thick Lower 1/:	ness : 3 🔲	1. Full	68" 					
	W Max MP - L - RBR - SU Lo	c -	Distan Metal Distan Rema Searc	ice from Path ice from ining Ba h Unit L	CL to S Datum ick Refle	U at Ma 0 ection	ximum R	esponse	e Layout perpen For par & W's v	shown fo dicular s allel sca vould be	or can. n, L's reversed.		1 wn 1 wn 1 s w []		
Ind. No.	Max Forward Ind. Amp W Max % of DAQ No. % of Indext %				Backward % of DAC L Max			L1 L2 RBR % % Amp			SU Loc US, DS				
1	DAC	W	MP	W1	MP	W2	MP	25"	DAC	DAC	0 degree	CW, CCW	Supplan	REMA	RKS
	4370	1.55	2.44					25	24.1	20.5		03	automat	ed detected	indication.
				ļ		,					·.		Indicatio	n does not	exhibit IGSCC
													faceting varying Widim etc.		dim etc.)
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			+						<u>ann., 0.0.</u>
Comm	L	45° S	L hear 1.	5 MHz	L	EXAMI	NER:	I	Stephen	<u>T_</u> Willia	ms			DATE	3/27/2007
		·				Sign EXAMIN Sign	ature: NER: ature:		David	M. Grieb	el	LEVEL		DATE	3/27/2007
_	· .					REVIEV	VER:	and 1	S. Bu alert		T 3/29/0 1T-TE	7	_	date <u>4</u>	-2-07
								00		and I	_[. 1	