

EDO Principal Correspondence Control

FROM: DUE: 06/05/07

EDO CONTROL: G20070331
DOC DT: 05/09/07
FINAL REPLY:

Sherwood Martinelli
Peekskill, New York

TO:

Chairman Klein

FOR SIGNATURE OF :

** GRN **

CRC NO: 07-0336

Zimmerman, NSIR

DESC:

Indina Point - Sheltering In Place

ROUTING:

Reyes
Virgilio
Kane
Ash
Silber
Cyr/Burns
Zimmerman, NSIR
Dyer, NRR

DATE: 05/11/07

ASSIGNED TO:

RI

CONTACT:

Collins

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS OR REMARKS:


Coordinate with NSIR and NRR, as appropriate.

Template: SECY-017

E-RIDS: SECY-01

EDATS

Electronic Document and Action Tracking System



EDATS Number: SECY-2007-0140

Initiating Office: SECY

General Information

Assigned To: Region I

OEDO Due Date: 6/5/2007 5:00 PM

Other Assignees:

SECY Due Date: 6/5/2007 5:00 PM

Subject: Indian Point - Sheltering in Place

Description:

CC Routing: NSIR; NRR

ADAMS Accession Numbers - Incoming: NONE

Response/Package: NONE

Other Information

Cross Reference Number: G20070331, LTR-07-0336

Staff Initiated: NO

Related Task:

Recurring Item: NO

File Routing: EDATS

Agency Lesson Learned: NO

Process Information

Action Type: Letter

Priority: Medium

Signature Level: Region I

Sensitivity: None

Urgency: NO

OEDO Concurrence: NO

OCM Concurrence: NO

OCA Concurrence: NO

Special Instructions: Coordinate with NSIR and NRR, as appropriate.

Document Information

Originator Name: Sherwood Martinelli

Date of Incoming: 5/9/2007

Originating Organization: Citizens

Document Received by SECY Date: 5/11/2007

Addressee: Chairman Klein

Date Response Requested by Originator: NONE

Incoming Task Received: E-mail

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
CORRESPONDENCE CONTROL TICKET

Date Printed: May 11, 2007 10:42

PAPER NUMBER: LTR-07-0336 **LOGGING DATE:** 05/10/2007
ACTION OFFICE: EDO

AUTHOR: Sherwood Martinelli
AFFILIATION: NY
ADDRESSEE: CHRM Dale Klein
SUBJECT: Indian Point Unit 1 as it relates to the Unit 2 & # license renewals

ACTION: Direct Reply
DISTRIBUTION: RF, SECY to Ack.

LETTER DATE: 05/09/2007
ACKNOWLEDGED: No
SPECIAL HANDLING: Immediate release to the public via SECY/EDO/DPC

NOTES:
FILE LOCATION: ADAMS

DATE DUE: 06/05/2007 **DATE SIGNED:**

EDO --G20070331

CHAIRMAN - Re: Indian Point Unit 1 as it Relates to the Unit 2 & # License Renewal (LR) ...

From: <RoycePenstinger@aol.com>
To: <RSB1@nrc.gov>, <chairman@nrc.gov>, <Palisadesart@aol.com>, <pgunter@nirs.org>, <garyfromvermont@yahoo.com>, <deb@nukbusters.org>, <acer8sac@comcast.net>, <gclary@lohud.com>, <editor@ncnlocal.com>, <remyc@optonline.net>
Date: 05/09/2007 11:44 AM
Subject: Re: Indian Point Unit 1 as it Relates to the Unit 2 & # License Renewal (LR) ...

Dear NRC (Rich):

As shown in the slide below from a Centers For Disease Control Presentation/Document, the amount of protective shielding for stakeholder members of the general public living in and around a Nuclear Reactor facility, if we are ordered to shelter in place in the case of a significant nuclear incident and or terrorist attack is a scant 40 percent. This means, that in the best of scenarios, following all instructions in the Emergency Evacuation Plan booklet pertaining to Sheltering In Place, we are vulnerable to 60 percent exposures ratios even inside our supposedly protected and shielded basement area.

Is it the NRC's position both legally and morally that a sheltering plan that leaves citizens vulnerable to 60 percent of radioactive materials released from a reactor (in a best case situation) is an acceptable level of risk reduction on the part of their licensees in a fast moving nuclear event. Keep in mind, that Sam Collins is on record as stating that evacuation would most likely not work in a fast moving event at Indian Point, and sheltering in place would most likely be the preferred option of dealing with the public in such an event. These protection level realities, give us less than a 50/50 chance of not being exposed to radioactive particulates, matter and gases that are known to cause both cancers and birth defects. I would like a written response to this issue, and would like the NRC to specifically state their position for or against a sheltering plan that would only afford a 40 percent level of shielding protection.

Sherwood Martinelli
 351 Dyckman
 Peekskill, New York 10566

Common Shelters

Structure	Dose Reduction Factors
Wood Frame (1 st floor)	10%
Wood Frame (Basement)	40%
Masonry	40%
Large building	80%

From the Environmental Protection Agency's *Manual of Protective Action Guides and Protective Actions for Nuclear Incidents, Appendix C*



Mail Envelope Properties (4641EC44.707 : 8 : 63239)

Subject: Re: Indian Point Unit 1 as it Relates to the Unit 2 & # License Renewal (LR) ...

Creation Date Wed, May 9, 2007 11:43 AM

From: <RoycePenstinger@aol.com>

Created By: RoycePenstinger@aol.com

Recipients

nrc.gov

OWGWPO02.HQGWDO01
CHAIRMAN

nrc.gov

kp1_po.KP_DO
RSB1 (Richard Barkley)

optonline.net

remyc

ncnlocal.com

editor

lohud.com

gclary

comcast.net

acer8sac

nukebusters.org

deb

yahoo.com

garyfromvermont

nirs.org

pgunter

aol.com

Palisadesart

Post Office

OWGWPO02.HQGWDO01
kp1_po.KP_DO

Route

nrc.gov
nrc.gov

optonline.net
ncnlocal.com
lohud.com
comcast.net
nukebusters.org
yahoo.com
nirs.org
aol.com

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	1763	Wednesday, May 9, 2007 11:43 AM
TEXT.htm	2691	
about2.jpg	65593	
Mime.822	96628	

Options

Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
ReplyRequested:	No
Return Notification:	None

Concealed Subject:	No
Security:	Standard

Junk Mail Handling Evaluation Results

Message is eligible for Junk Mail handling
This message was not classified as Junk Mail

Junk Mail settings when this message was delivered

Junk Mail handling disabled by User
Junk Mail handling disabled by Administrator
Junk List is not enabled
Junk Mail using personal address books is not enabled
Block List is not enabled