

# CROSSFLOW Utility Users' Perspective on NRC Review

---

- Utilities who use CROSSFLOW need a clear resolution from the NRC
  - The generic review has been going on for over three years with no satisfactory resolution in sight
  - We (NRC, Westinghouse/AMAG, PWROG) need to bring this review process to a practical resolution such that CROSSFLOW can be effectively utilized in existing and future installations.
- The draft safety evaluation of the topical report (TR) does not provide sufficient detail regarding specific NRC concerns or questions
  - We have been unable to identify the NRC's underlying concerns during several years worth of meetings
- The CROSSFLOW vendor (Westinghouse and AMAG) have responded to all NRC inquiries and provided a significant amount of information but no specific feedback as to its adequacy has been provided.

## **CROSSFLOW Utility Perspective on NRC Review (continued)**

---

- The CROSSFLOW users (the members of the CTF) have presented operating experience and use of information supplementing the TR to address what is being done to assure proper operation of their systems
  - The CROSSFLOW users acknowledge that the TR was their initial licensing basis document and have effectively supplemented the TR with the User's Guide to provide the reasonable assurance that their installations are operating in accordance with their SERs
- The NRC needs to see the plant applications that are performing as required and not just investigate the ones that were not implemented properly

## CROSSFLOW Utility Perspective on NRC Review (continued)

---

- The NRC needs to communicate with the utility CROSSFLOW users
  - Talk to, and visit the plants to review how the systems are successfully operated