

(10-2003)
10 CFR 2.201

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED:

Mathy Construction Company
915 Commercial Court
Onalaska, WI

2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE

REGION III
US NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
2443 WARRENVILLE ROAD, SUITE 210
LISLE, ILLINOIS 60532

REPORT 2007-001

3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)

030-14086

4. LICENSEE NUMBER(S)

48-18722-01

5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION

April 16, 2007

LICENSEE:

The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows:

- ☒ 1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified.
- ☐ 2. Previous violation(s) closed.
- ☐ 3. The violation(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, NUREG-1600, to exercise discretion, were satisfied.

Non-Cited Violation(s) was/were discussed involving the following requirement(s) and Corrective Action(s):

- ☐ 4. During this inspection certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements and are being cited. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11.
(Violations and Corrective Actions)

Licensee's Statement of Corrective Actions for Item 4, above.

I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.

Title	Printed Name	Signature	Date
LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE			
NRC INSPECTOR	Ed Kulzer	<i>Ed Kulzer</i>	April 16, 2007

**Docket File Information
SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT
AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION**

1. LICENSEE Mathy Construction Company REPORT 2007-001		2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE Region III	
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 030-14086	4. LICENSE NUMBER(S) 48-18722-01	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION April 16, 2007	
6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USED 87124	7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS 03.01 - 03.07		
SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION			
1. PROGRAM CODE(S) 03121	2. PRIORITY 5	3. LICENSEE CONTACT Ervin Dukatz	4. TELEPHONE NUMBER 608.779.6392
<input checked="checked" type="checkbox"/> Main Office Inspection Next Inspection Date: April 2012			
<input type="checkbox"/> Field Office _____			
<input type="checkbox"/> Temporary Job Site Inspection _____			

PROGRAM SCOPE

The licensee is a county engineering department that employs 10-20 individuals. The licensee possesses eight Troxler and one CPN moisture density gauges, for use daily/weekly during the construction season (May-November) for soils engineering projects. The licensee does not perform any service or maintenance activities on its gauges; these services are performed by the manufacturer. Currently, the licensee employs eight authorized gauge users who have completed manufacturers training. Device is stored in a vault in the licensee's office in Onalaska, Wisconsin.

Performance Observations

At the time of this inspection, the gauges were not in use. The operator possessed required shipping papers which contained all appropriate information and were accessible in the transport vehicle. Gauge/case were locked and chained to the rear of the vehicle during transport. The operator demonstrated an adequate level of understanding of emergency and handling procedures during job-site interviews. Security during transport and at the job-site was described and observed respectively with no problems noted. The inspector performed independent and confirmatory radiation measurements which indicated similar results as noted in the licensee's survey records.

The company had no work for the past several years in Missouri, and for work in Michigan, the company was using non-nuclear gauges. The company is attempting to go non-nuclear gauges for all work outside of Wisconsin.

1591