NRC FORM 591M PAR	RT 1		į	S. NUCLEAR REGULATO	DRY COMMISSION			
10 CFR 2.201 SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION								
	SAFETY INSPE	CTION REPORT	AND COMPLIANO	CE INSPECTION				
1. LICENSEE/LOCATION	INSPECTED		2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE					
Bacco Construction			2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE					
P.O. Box 458	40901		REGION III					
Iron Mountain, MI 4	43001		US NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION 2443 WARRENVILLE ROAD, SUITE 210					
REPORT 2007-001			LISLE, ILLINOIS 60532					
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)		4. LICENSEE NUMBER(S)		5. DATE(S) OF IN	SPECTION			
030-32149 3		21-26308-01		April /8 ,2007	SPECTION			
LICENSEE:		212000001		7611 76 ,2007				
Nuclear Regulatory Con of procedures and repre	The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows: 1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified.							
2. Previous vio	lation(s) closed.							
3. The violation(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, NUREG-1600, to exercise discretion, were satisfied.								
	Non-Cited Violation(s)	was/were discussed invo	olving the following require	ment(s) and Corrective Ac	tion(s):			
	-							
4. During this inspection certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements and are being cited. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11. (Violations and Corrective Actions)								
Licensee's Statement of Corrective Actions for Item 4, above. I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.								
Title	Print	ed Name	Sign	nature	Date			
LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATI VE								
NRC INSPECTOR	Ed Kulzer		E. I. Aus	zn	April 18, 2007			
NRC FORM 591M PART 1	(10-2003)			7	4.4			

Bacco Construction Company REPORT 2007-001 3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 030-32149 4. LICENSE NUMBER(S) 21-26308-01 7. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USED 87124 03.01 - 03.07 SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION	NRC FORM 591M PAF	RT 3		U.S	S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSIO	
1. LICENSEE Bacco Construction Company REPORT 2007-001 3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 030-32149 4. LICENSE NUMBER(S) 21-26308-01 5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION April 18, 2007 7. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USED 87124 03.01 - 03.07 SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION 1. PROGRAM CODE(S) 03121 5. LICENSEE CONTACT John Fortier PROGRAM SCOPE The licensees an engineering company that employs 50-150 individuals. The licensee possesses two Troxler moisture density gauge (Serial No. 19735 and 17300), for use daily/weekly during the construction season (May-November) for soils engineering projects. The licensee does not perform any service or maintenance activities on its gauges; these services are performed by the manufacturer. Currently, the licensee employs two authorized gauge users who have completed manufacturers training. Device is stored in a vault in the licensee's office in Iron Mountain, Michigan. Performance Observations At the time of this inspection, the gauges were not in use. The operator possessed required shipping papers which contained all appropriate information and were accessible in the transport vehicle. Gauge/case were locked and chained to the rear of the vehicle during transport. The operator demonstrated an adequate level of understanding of emergency and handling procedured during job-site interviews. Security during transport and at the job-site was described and observed respectively with no problems noted. The inspector performed independent and confirmatory radiation measurements which indicated similar results	(10-2003)		Docket File			
REGION III 3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 030-32149 4. LICENSE NUMBER(S) 21-26308-01 5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION April 18, 2007 7. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USED 7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS 87124 93.01 - 03.07 SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION 1. PROGRAM CODE(S) 03121 5 JOHN Fortier Next Inspection Date: April 2012 Field Office Temporary Job Site Inspection PROGRAM SCOPE The licensees an engineering company that employs 50-150 individuals. The licensee possesses two Troxler moisture density gauge (Serial No. 19735 and 17300), for use daily/weekly during the construction season (May-November) for soils engineering projects. The licensee does not perform any service or maintenance activities on its gauges; these services are performed by the manufacturer. Currently, the licensee employs two authorized gauge users who have completed manufacturers training. Device is stored in a vault in the licensee's office in Iron Mountain, Michigan. Performance Observations At the time of this inspection, the gauges were not in use. The operator possessed required shipping papers which contained all appropriate information and were accessible in the transport vehicle. Gauge/case were locked and chained to the rear of the vehicle during transport. The operator demonstrated an adequate level of understanding of emergency and handling procedures during job-site interviews. Security during transport and at the job-site was described and observed respectively with no problems noted. The inspector performed independent and confirmatory radiation measurements which indicated similar results			AND COMPLIAN			
REPORT 2007-001 3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)	1. LICENSEE			2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE		
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 030-32149 3. DOCKET NUMBER(S) 21-26308-01 3. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USED 3. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USED 3. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS 37124 3. LICENSE CONTACT 03121 5. SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION 1. PROGRAM CODE(S) 03121 5. LICENSE CONTACT John Fortier Next Inspection Date: April 2012 Field Office Temporary Job Site Inspection PROGRAM SCOPE The licensees an engineering company that employs 50-150 individuals. The licensee possesses two Troxler moisture density gauge (Serial No. 19735 and 17300), for use daily/weekly during the construction season (May-November) for soils engineering projects. The licensee does not perform any service or maintenance activities on its gauges; these services are performed by the manufacturer. Currently, the licensee employs two authorized gauge users who have completed manufacturers training. Device is stored in a vault in the licensee's office in Iron Mountain, Michigan. Performance Observations At the time of this inspection, the gauges were not in use. The operator possessed required shipping papers which contained all appropriate information and were accessible in the transport vehicle. Gauge/case were locked and chained to the rear of the vehicle during transport. The operator demonstrated an adequate level of understanding of emergency and handling procedured during job-site interviews. Security during transport and at the job-site was described and observed respectively with no problems noted. The inspector performed independent and confirmatory radiation measurements which indicated similar results		• •		Region III		
030-32149 21-26308-01 April 18, 2007 7. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USED 7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS 87124 03.01 - 03.07 SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION 1. PROGRAM CODE(S) 03121 3. LICENSEE CONTACT John Fortier Next Inspection Date: April 2012 Field Offtice Temporary Job Site Inspection PROGRAM SCOPE The licensees an engineering company that employs 50-150 individuals. The licensee possesses two Troxler moisture density gauge (Serial No. 19735 and 17300), for use daily/weekly during the construction season (May-November) for soils engineering projects. The licensee does not perform any service or maintenance activities on its gauges; these services are performed by the manufacturer. Currently, the licensee employs two authorized gauge users who have completed manufacturers training. Device is stored in a vault in the licensee's office in Iron Mountain, Michigan. Performance Observations At the time of this inspection, the gauges were not in use. The operator possessed required shipping papers which contained all appropriate information and were accessible in the transport vehicle. Gauge/case were locked and chained to the rear of the vehicle during transport. The operator demonstrated an adequate level of understanding of emergency and handling procedures during job-site interviews. Security during transport and at the job-site was described and observed respectively with no problems noted. The inspector performed independent and confirmatory radiation measurements which indicated similar results						
SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION 1. PROGRAM CODE(S) 2. PRIORITY 5 3. LICENSEE CONTACT 906.774.2616 Main Office Inspection Next Inspection Date: April 2012 Field Office Temporary Job Site Inspection	, ,		1	:R(S)	1 '	
SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION 1. PROGRAM CODE(S) 03121 5 3. LICENSEE CONTACT John Fortler 4. TELEPHONE NUMBER 906.774.2616 x Main Office Inspection PROGRAM SCOPE Temporary Job Site Inspection PROGRAM SCOPE The licensees an engineering company that employs 50-150 individuals. The licensee possesses two Troxler moisture density gauge (Serial No. 19735 and 17300), for use daily/weekly during the construction season (May-November) for soils engineering projects. The licensee does not perform any service or maintenance activities on its gauges; these services are performed by the manufacturer. Currently, the licensee employs two authorized gauge users who have completed manufacturers training. Device is stored in a vault in the licensee's office in Iron Mountain, Michigan. Performance Observations At the time of this inspection, the gauges were not in use. The operator possessed required shipping papers which contained all appropriate information and were accessible in the transport vehicle. Gauge/case were locked and chained to the rear of the vehicle during transport. The operator demonstrated an adequate level of understanding of emergency and handling procedures during job-site interviews. Security during transport and at the job-site was described and observed respectively with no problems noted. The inspector performed independent and confirmatory radiation measurements which indicated similar results	6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USED		7. INSPECTION FOCUS	AREAS		
1. PROGRAM CODE(S) 2. PRIORITY 5 3. LICENSEE CONTACT John Fortier 906.774.2616 X Main Office Inspection Next Inspection Date: April 2012	87124		03.01 - 03.07	03.01 - 03.07		
Main Office Inspection Next Inspection Date: April 2012 Field Office Temporary Job Site Inspection PROGRAM SCOPE The licensees an engineering company that employs 50-150 individuals. The licensee possesses two Troxler moisture density gauge (Serial No. 19735 and 17300), for use daily/weekly during the construction season (May-November) for soils engineering projects. The licensee does not perform any service or maintenance activities on its gauges; these services are performed by the manufacturer. Currently, the licensee employs two authorized gauge users who have completed manufacturers training. Device is stored in a vault in the licensee's office in Iron Mountain, Michigan. Performance Observations At the time of this inspection, the gauges were not in use. The operator possessed required shipping papers which contained all appropriate information and were accessible in the transport vehicle. Gauge/case were locked and chained to the rear of the vehicle during transport. The operator demonstrated an adequate level of understanding of emergency and handling procedured during job-site interviews. Security during transport and at the job-site was described and observed respectively with no problems noted. The inspector performed independent and confirmatory radiation measurements which indicated similar results.			SUPPLEMENTAL INSP	ECTION INFORMATION	-	
X Main Office Inspection Next Inspection Date: April 2012	1. PROGRAM CODE(S)	2. PRIORITY	3. LICENSEE CONTACT			
The licensees an engineering company that employs 50-150 individuals. The licensee possesses two Troxler moisture density gauge (Serial No. 19735 and 17300), for use daily/weekly during the construction season (May-November) for soils engineering projects. The licensee does not perform any service or maintenance activities on its gauges; these services are performed by the manufacturer. Currently, the licensee employs two authorized gauge users who have completed manufacturers training. Device is stored in a vault in the licensee's office in Iron Mountain, Michigan. Performance Observations At the time of this inspection, the gauges were not in use. The operator possessed required shipping papers which contained all appropriate information and were accessible in the transport vehicle. Gauge/case were locked and chained to the rear of the vehicle during transport. The operator demonstrated an adequate level of understanding of emergency and handling procedures during job-site interviews. Security during transport and at the job-site was described and observed respectively with no problems noted. The inspector performed independent and confirmatory radiation measurements which indicated similar results	03121	5	John Fortier	_	906.774.2616	
The licensees an engineering company that employs 50-150 individuals. The licensee possesses two Troxler moisture density gauge (Serial No. 19735 and 17300), for use daily/weekly during the construction season (May-November) for soils engineering projects. The licensee does not perform any service or maintenance activities on its gauges; these services are performed by the manufacturer. Currently, the licensee employs two authorized gauge users who have completed manufacturers training. Device is stored in a vault in the licensee's office in Iron Mountain, Michigan. Performance Observations At the time of this inspection, the gauges were not in use. The operator possessed required shipping papers which contained all appropriate information and were accessible in the transport vehicle. Gauge/case were locked and chained to the rear of the vehicle during transport. The operator demonstrated an adequate level of understanding of emergency and handling procedures during job-site interviews. Security during transport and at the job-site was described and observed respectively with no problems noted. The inspector performed independent and confirmatory radiation measurements which indicated similar results	x Main Office In	spection		Next Inspection Date:	April 2012	
The licensees an engineering company that employs 50-150 individuals. The licensee possesses two Troxler moisture density gauge (Serial No. 19735 and 17300), for use daily/weekly during the construction season (May-November) for soils engineering projects. The licensee does not perform any service or maintenance activities on its gauges; these services are performed by the manufacturer. Currently, the licensee employs two authorized gauge users who have completed manufacturers training. Device is stored in a vault in the licensee's office in Iron Mountain, Michigan. Performance Observations At the time of this inspection, the gauges were not in use. The operator possessed required shipping papers which contained all appropriate information and were accessible in the transport vehicle. Gauge/case were locked and chained to the rear of the vehicle during transport. The operator demonstrated an adequate level of understanding of emergency and handling procedures during job-site interviews. Security during transport and at the job-site was described and observed respectively with no problems noted. The inspector performed independent and confirmatory radiation measurements which indicated similar results	Field Office				-	
The licensees an engineering company that employs 50-150 individuals. The licensee possesses two Troxler moisture density gauge (Serial No. 19735 and 17300), for use daily/weekly during the construction season (May-November) for soils engineering projects. The licensee does not perform any service or maintenance activities on its gauges; these services are performed by the manufacturer. Currently, the licensee employs two authorized gauge users who have completed manufacturers training. Device is stored in a vault in the licensee's office in Iron Mountain, Michigan. Performance Observations At the time of this inspection, the gauges were not in use. The operator possessed required shipping papers which contained all appropriate information and were accessible in the transport vehicle. Gauge/case were locked and chained to the rear of the vehicle during transport. The operator demonstrated an adequate level of understanding of emergency and handling procedures during job-site interviews. Security during transport and at the job-site was described and observed respectively with no problems noted. The inspector performed independent and confirmatory radiation measurements which indicated similar results	Temporary Jo	b Site Inspection				
gauge (Serial No. 19735 and 17300), for use daily/weekly during the construction season (May-November) for soils engineering projects. The licensee does not perform any service or maintenance activities on its gauges; these services are performed by the manufacturer. Currently, the licensee employs two authorized gauge users who have completed manufacturers training. Device is stored in a vault in the licensee's office in Iron Mountain, Michigan. Performance Observations At the time of this inspection, the gauges were not in use. The operator possessed required shipping papers which contained all appropriate information and were accessible in the transport vehicle. Gauge/case were locked and chained to the rear of the vehicle during transport. The operator demonstrated an adequate level of understanding of emergency and handling procedures during job-site interviews. Security during transport and at the job-site was described and observed respectively with no problems noted. The inspector performed independent and confirmatory radiation measurements which indicated similar results	 "	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	PROGRA	M SCOPE		
At the time of this inspection, the gauges were not in use. The operator possessed required shipping papers which contained all appropriate information and were accessible in the transport vehicle. Gauge/case were locked and chained to the rear of the vehicle during transport. The operator demonstrated an adequate level of understanding of emergency and handling procedures during job-site interviews. Security during transport and at the job-site was described and observed respectively with no problems noted. The inspector performed independent and confirmatory radiation measurements which indicated similar results	the manufacturer.	Currently, the lice	nsee employs two authorize	ed gauge users who have c	s; these services are performed by ompleted manufacturers training.	
appropriate information and were accessible in the transport vehicle. Gauge/case were locked and chained to the rear of the vehicle during transport. The operator demonstrated an adequate level of understanding of emergency and handling procedures during job-site interviews. Security during transport and at the job-site was described and observed respectively with no problems noted. The inspector performed independent and confirmatory radiation measurements which indicated similar results			Performance	Observations		
	appropriate inforn vehicle during trai during job-site into problems noted.	nation and were acc asport. The operate erviews. Security d The inspector perfo	cessible in the transport vel or demonstrated an adequa luring transport and at the journed independent and cor	nicle. Gauge/case were locate level of understanding of ob-site was described and c	ked and chained to the rear of the femergency and handling procedures observed respectively with no	