NRC FORM 591M PART 1
(10-2003)
10 CFR 2.201

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED	2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE
Super Excavators, Inc. N59 W 14601 Boblink Avenus Menomonee Falls, WI REPORT 2007-001	REGION III US NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION 2443 WARRENVILLE ROAD, SUITE 210 LISLE, ILLINOIS 60532

3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)	4. LICENSEE NUMBER(S)	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION
03037141	48-32605-01	April 16, 2007

LICENSEE:

The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows:

[X] 1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified.

[ ] 2. Previous violation(s) closed.

[ ] 3. The violation(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, NUREG-1600, to exercise discretion, were satisfied.

_____ **Non-Cited Violation(s) was/were discussed involving the following requirement(s) and Corrective Action(s):**

[ ] **4. During this inspection certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements and are being cited. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11.**
(Violations and Corrective Actions)

Licensee's Statement of Corrective Actions for Item 4, above.

I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.

Title	Printed Name	Signature	Date
LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE			
NRC INSPECTOR	Ed Kulzer	Ed Kulzer	April 16, 2007

RAP

NRC FORM 591M PART 3
(10-2003)
10 CFR 2.201

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Docket File Information
SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION

1. LICENSEE	2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE
Super Excavators REPORT 2007-001	Region III

3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)	4. LICENSE NUMBER(S)	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION
030-37141	48-32605-01	April 16, 2007

6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USED	7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS
87124	03.01 - 03.07

SUPPLEMENTAL INSPECTION INFORMATION

1. PROGRAM CODE(S)	2. PRIORITY	3. LICENSEE CONTACT	4. TELEPHONE NUMBER
03120	5	Cliff Jacobson	262.252.3200

[x] Main Office Inspection — Next Inspection Date: April 2008

[ ] Field

[ ] Temporary Job Site

PROGRAM SCOPE

The licensee is a small engineering firm that employs 100 individuals. The licensee had a license to possess 12 specifically licensed fixed gauges used in the excavation of soil. Currently, the company never possessed the gauges for their work in Indianapolis, Indiana. The company is currently bidding for a contract for similar work in La Fayette, IN. If the company gets their bid accepted, they will use these gauges on that project. The inspector informed Mr. Jacobson that a license amendment would be required since the license specifies use in Indianapolis, IN. Gauges will be leak tested at frequencies in accordance with manufacturers specifications. Inventories and shutter checks will be performed at required frequencies.

Performance Observations

The licensee is authorized to remove and/or relocate gauges, however, according to the RSO, gauges would be relocated, removed, etc. by the manufacturer. The licensee did not perform any service or maintenance activities on its gauges; these services are performed by the manufacturer.

The work that the company planned to use the gauges on had never required the use of the gauges. The company never acquired the gauges. The work at the Indianapolis site was completed and the office was closed. The gauges are still at the Vendor's facility (Ronan). The inspector informed the RSO that proper lock-out and leak test procedures would be required if the company's bid was accepted for the work in La Fayette, IN.

Since this was an initial inspection and the company never possessed any radioactive gauges, I scheduled the next inspection for next year, in accordance with MC 2800.

187