

NMPI - VALIDATION 11/13 - 11/17/06
 - EXAM WK 12/11 - 12/15/06

Facility: NMPI Date of Examination: 3/12-14/07

Developed by: Written - Facility NRC // Operating - Facility NRC

Target Date*	Task Description (Reference)	Chief Examiner's Initials
-180	1. Examination administration date confirmed (C.1.a; C.2.a and b)	<i>[Signature]</i>
-120	2. NRC examiners and facility contact assigned (C.1.d; C.2.e)	<i>[Signature]</i>
-120	3. Facility contact briefed on security and other requirements (C.2.c)	<i>[Signature]</i>
-120	4. Corporate notification letter sent (C.2.d)	<i>[Signature]</i>
[-90]	[5. Reference material due (C.1.e; C.3.c; Attachment 2)] ^{wk} 9/11	<i>[Signature]</i>
{-75}	6. Integrated examination outline(s) due, including Forms ES-201-2, ES-201-3, ES-301-1, ES-301-2, ES-301-5, ES-D-1's, ES-401-1/2, ES-401-3, and ES-401-4, as applicable (C.1.e and f; C.3.d) 9/25	<i>[Signature]</i>
{-70}	{7. Examination outline(s) reviewed by NRC and feedback provided to facility licensee (C.2.h; C.3.e)} 10/2	<i>[Signature]</i>
{-45}	8. Proposed examinations (including written, walk-through JPMs, and scenarios, as applicable), supporting documentation (including Forms ES-301-3, ES-301-4, ES-301-5, ES-301-6, and ES-401-6, and any Form ES-201-3 updates), and reference materials due (C.1.e, f, g and h; C.3.d) 10/23	<i>[Signature]</i>
-30	9. Preliminary license applications (NRC Form 398's) due (C.1.i; C.2.g; ES-202) 11/10	<i>[Signature]</i>
-14	10. Final license applications due and Form ES-201-4 prepared (C.1.i; C.2.i; ES-202) 11/27	<i>[Signature]</i>
-14	11. Examination approved by NRC supervisor for facility licensee review (C.2.h; C.3.f) 11/27	<i>[Signature]</i>
-14	12. Examinations reviewed with facility licensee (C.1.j; C.2.f and h; C.3.g)	<i>[Signature]</i>
-7	13. Written examinations and operating tests approved by NRC supervisor (C.2.i; C.3.h) 12/1	<i>[Signature]</i>
-7	14. Final applications reviewed; 1 or 2 (if >10) applications audited to confirm qualifications / eligibility; and examination approval and waiver letters sent (C.2.i; Attachment 4; ES-202, C.2.e; ES-204) 12/1	<i>[Signature]</i>
-7	15. Proctoring/written exam administration guidelines reviewed with facility licensee (C.3.k) 12/1	<i>[Signature]</i>
-7	16. Approved scenarios, job performance measures, and questions distributed to NRC examiners (C.3.i) 12/1	<i>[Signature]</i>

* Target dates are generally based on facility-prepared examinations and are keyed to the examination date identified in the corporate notification letter. They are for planning purposes and may be adjusted on a case-by-case basis in coordination with the facility licensee.
 [Applies only] {Does not apply} to examinations prepared by the NRC.

Facility: <u>Nine Mile Plant 1</u>		Date of Examination: <u>12/11/2006</u>		
Item	Task Description	Initials		
		a	b*	c#
1. W R I T T E N	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model, in accordance with ES-401.	RB	JB	JA
	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled.	RB	JB	JA
	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	RB	JB	JA
	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	RB	JB	JA
2. S I M U L A T O R	a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major transients.	RB	JB	JA
	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and that scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	RB	JB	JA
	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	RB	JB	JA
3. W / T	a. Verify that the systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2: (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form (2) task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form (3) no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s) (4) the number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form (5) the number of alternate path, low-power, emergency, and RCA tasks meet the criteria on the form.	RB	JB	JA
	b. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1: (1) the tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form (2) at least one task is new or significantly modified (3) no more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations	RB	JB	JA
	c. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days.	RB	JB	JA
4. G E N E R A L	a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam sections.	RB	JB	JA
	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	RB	JB	JA
	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	RB	JB	JA
	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	RB	JB	JA
	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	RB	JB	JA
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	RB	JB	JA
a. Author	<u>Gerald Bohka</u> / <u>Gerald Bohka</u>	Printed Name / Signature		Date
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	<u>Robert Brown</u> / <u>[Signature]</u>			<u>9/11/06</u>
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	<u>John Hancock</u> / <u>[Signature]</u>			<u>9/24/06</u>
d. NRC Supervisor	<u>Marvin Sykes</u> / <u>[Signature]</u>			<u>10/13/06</u>
Note: # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.				

Facility: <u>Nine Mile Point Unit 1</u>		Date of Examination: <u>Mar 12, 2007</u>		Operating Test Number: <u>NA</u>	
1. General Criteria		Initials			
		a	b*	c#	
a.	The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).	RB	BSM		
b.	There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	RB	BSM		
c.	The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s). (see Section D.1.a.)	RB	BSM		
d.	Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits.	RB	BSM		
e.	It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.	RB	BSM		
2. Walk-Through Criteria		--	--	--	
a.	Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • initial conditions • initiating cues • references and tools, including associated procedures • reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time-critical by the facility licensee • operationally important specific performance criteria that include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature - system response and other examiner cues - statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant - criteria for successful completion of the task - identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards - restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable 	RB	BSM		
b.	Ensure that any changes from the previously approved systems and administrative walk-through outlines (Forms ES-301-1 and 2) have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance criteria (e.g., item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last 2 NRC examinations) specified on those forms and Form ES-201-2.	RB	BSM		
3. Simulator Criteria		--	--	--	
The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.		RB	BSM		
	Printed Name / Signature	Date			
a.	Author <u>Gerald Bobka</u> <i>Gerald Bobka</i>	<u>2/20/07</u>			
b.	Facility Reviewer(*) <u>ROBERT BROWN</u> <i>Robert Brown</i>	<u>2/23/2007</u>			
c.	NRC Chief Examiner (#) <u>JOHN CARUSO</u> <i>John Caruso</i>	<u>3/1/07</u>			
d.	NRC Supervisor <u>MARVIN D. SYKES</u> <i>Marvin D. Sykes</i>	<u>3/2/07</u>			
NOTE: * The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.					

ATTACHMENT 6: NRC EXAMINATION SECURITY AGREEMENT

ES-201

Examination Security Agreement

Form ES-201-3

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of ~~Dec 11, 2006~~ ^{Mar 12, 2007} as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC. Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised. In addition, I understand by signing that any violation of this agreement will result in disciplinary action up to and including discharge. This may also result in civil penalties imposed by the USNRC.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of ~~Dec 11, 2006~~ ^{Mar 12, 2007}. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. Gerald Bohler	Ops Instructor / Lead Developer	Gerald Bohler	6/30/06	Gerald Bohler	3/16/07 ✓
2. Don KELLY	Ops Instructor / US Request	Don Kelly	7/15/06	G. Bohler for D. Kelly	3/16/07
3. Nancy Sullivan	Clerical Staff	Nancy Sullivan	7/11/06	G. Bohler for M. Sullivan per email	3/25/07
4. Marc Peterson	Simulator Support	Marc Peterson	7/14/06	G. Bohler for M. Peterson per telcon	3/22/07
5. Enrique Benedicto	Simulator Support	Enrique Benedicto	7/14/06	Enrique Benedicto	3/11/07
6. Albert Neven	Simulator Support	Albert Neven	7/25/06	Albert Neven	3/16/07
7. Christopher KASSAWSKI	Simulator Support	Christopher Kassowski	7/28/06	Christopher Kassowski	7/9/07
8. KEVIN MADSEN	SIMULATOR SUPPORT	Kevin Madsen	8/8/06	Kevin Madsen	9/19/07
9. Andrew Muschard	Ops Planning Supervisor	Andrew Muschard	8/18/06	Andrew Muschard	3/16/07
10. KIRSTY DAWN	OPS STA	Kirsty Dawn	8/18/06	G. Bohler for K. Dawn per e-mail	3/24/07
11. Robert Brown	General Supervision (Ops)	Robert Brown	9/2/06	Robert Brown	3/16/07 WA
12. M.A. Meier	OPS SM	M.A. Meier	9/18/06	M.A. Meier	3/20/07
13. L.D. BLUM	REACTOR OPERATOR	L.D. Blum	9/18/06	G. Bohler for L. Blum per email	3/24/07
14. JIM TSAROUKAS	REACTOR OPERATOR	Jim Tsaroukas	9/18/06	Jim Tsaroukas	3/20/07
15. Eric Kelsey	CRS	Eric Kelsey	9/29/06	G. Bohler for E. Kelsey per email	3/24/07

NOTES: 1. This note indicates that the individual was personally briefed as to his/her responsibilities in complying with this security agreement.

COPY ON BRIGHT COLORED PAPER

ATTACHMENT 6: NRC EXAMINATION SECURITY AGREEMENT

ES-201

Examination Security Agreement

Form ES-201-3

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of ~~Dec 12, 2006~~ ^{Mar 12, 2007} as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC. Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised. In addition, I understand by signing that any violation of this agreement will result in disciplinary action up to and including discharge. This may also result in civil penalties imposed by the USNRC.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of ~~Dec 12, 2006~~ ^{Mar 12, 2007} from the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. Wade Gppom	OPS INSTRUCTOR / EXAM Developer	<i>[Signature]</i>	12/2/06	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/28/07
2. ROBERT TRACZ	OPS RO	<i>[Signature]</i>	10-9-06	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/28/07
3. FRANKS Giglio	OPS RO	<i>[Signature]</i>	10-9-06	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/28/07
4. Matthew Barr	OPS RO	<i>[Signature]</i>	10-9-06	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/28/07
5. greg rabalais	OPS RO	<i>[Signature]</i>	10-16-06	<i>[Signature]</i>	3-16-7
6. J Steven Evanchuk	OPS RO	<i>[Signature]</i>	10-16-06	<i>[Signature]</i>	3-16-7
7. GARY SPEARS	OPS TRO / CRS	<i>[Signature]</i>	10-16-06	<i>[Signature]</i>	3-20-07
8. Mike Alexander	U-2 Ops Instructor	<i>[Signature]</i>	11/2/06	<i>[Signature]</i>	4/2/07
9. Glenn Armstrong	U-2 Ops Instructor	<i>[Signature]</i>	11/2/06	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/16/07
10. David Britt	OPS Instructor	<i>[Signature]</i>	11/6/06	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/25/07
11. ANTHONY B. VAN SCOTT	OPS INSTRUCTOR	<i>[Signature]</i>	11/9/06	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/24/07
12. Patrick Ryan	OPS INSTRUCTOR	<i>[Signature]</i>	11/14/06	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/24/07
13. Claire Malone	Admin Spec Support	<i>[Signature]</i>	11/16/06	<i>[Signature]</i>	4/9/07
14. Lawrence Martiniano	QPA Analyst / Assessment	<i>[Signature]</i>	1/31/07	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/24/07

NOTES: 1. This note indicates that the individual was personally briefed as to his/her responsibilities in complying with this security agreement.

COPY ON BRIGHT COLORED PAPER

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of March 12, 2007 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of March 12, 2007 from the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. Thomas R. Hooper Jr.	Exam Administration	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/9/07	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/24/07
2. Charles F. Callahan	EXAM ADMINISTRATION	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/12/07	<i>[Signature]</i>	4/2/07
3. Joseph A. Stewart	Exam Administration	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/12/07	<i>[Signature]</i>	Booth for J. Stewart per mail 4/2/07
4. John Krakuszeski	Exam Observer	<i>[Signature]</i>	3-13-07	<i>[Signature]</i>	3-20-07
5. Nicola F. Comicella	Ops. Manager	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/13/07	<i>[Signature]</i>	Booth for NRCmicella per telecon 3/16/07
6. Randall S McCoy	SRD Surrogate	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/13/07	<i>[Signature]</i>	3/16/07
7.					
8.					
9.					
10.					
11.					
12.					
13.					
14.					
15.					

NOTES:

Facility: Nine Mile Point 1 Date of Exam: March 12, 2007 Scenario Numbers: 1/2/3/4 Operating Test No.: NRC		Initials		
QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES		a	b*	c#
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.	RB	RB	JK
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.	RB	RB	JK
3.	Each event description consists of <ul style="list-style-type: none"> the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew the expected operator actions (by shift position) the event termination point (if applicable) 	RB	RB	JK
4.	No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated into the scenario without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event.	RB	RB	JK
5.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics.	RB	RB	JK
6.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives.	RB	RB	JK
7.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given.	RB	RB	JK
8.	The simulator modeling is not altered.	RB	RB	JK
9.	The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46(d), any open simulator performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.	RB	RB	JK
10.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-301.	RB	RB	JK
11.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).	RB	RB	JK
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).	RB	RB	JK
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.	RB	RB	JK
TARGET QUANTITATIVE ATTRIBUTES (PER SCENARIO; SEE SECTION D.5.d)		Actual Attributes		
		1 / 2 / 3 / 4		
1.	Total malfunctions (5-8)	<u>6 / 6 / 7 / 6</u>		
2.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)	<u>2 / 2 / 3 / 4</u>		
3.	Abnormal events (2-4)	<u>2 / 2 / 2 / 2</u>		
4.	Major transients (1-2)	<u>1 / 1 / 1 / 1</u>		
5.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)	<u>2 / 1 / 2 / 2</u>		
6.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)	<u>1 / 1 / 2 / 2</u>		
7.	Critical tasks (2-3)	<u>2 / 3 / 4 / 2</u>		

ES-301		Transient and Event Checklist									Form ES-301-5			
Facility: Nine Mile Point 1			Date of Exam: March 12, 2007				Operating Test No. ALL							
Applicant	Event Type	Scenario Number												
		Day 1 Scenario 2			Day 2 Scenario 1			Day 3 Scenario 4			Total	Minimum		
		Crew Position			Crew Position			Crew Position				R	I	U
		SRO	ATC	BOP	SRO	ATC	BOP	SRO	ATC	BOP				
RO 1,3 French O'Brien	Reactivity		4				NA				1	1	1	0
	Normal		NA				2				1	1	1	1
	Inst/Comp		4,8				4,8				4	4	4	2
	Major		6				7				2	2	2	1
	Tech Spec		NA				NA				0	0	2	2
RO 2,4,5 Hibbert Revelle DeGroot	Reactivity			NA		6					1	1	1	0
	Normal			1		NA					1	1	1	1
	Inst/Comp			3,5,9		5					4	4	4	2
	Major			6		7					2	2	2	1
	Tech Spec			NA		NA					0	0	2	2
SRO-I 1 Minnick	Reactivity						NA		1		1	1	1	0
	Normal	1					2		NA		2	1	1	1
	Inst/Comp	5					4,8		5,7		5	4	4	2
	Major	6					7		6		3	2	2	1
	Tech Spec	2,3					NA				2	0	2	2
SRO-I 2 Driscoll	Reactivity		4							NA	1	1	1	0
	Normal		NA		2						2	2	1	1
	Inst/Comp		4,8		4,10					4,9	6	4	4	2
	Major		6		7					6	3	2	2	1
	Tech Spec		NA		1,3						2	0	2	2

Instructions:

1. Check the applicant level and enter the operating test number and Form ES-D-1 event numbers for each event type; TS are not applicable for RO applicants. ROs must serve in both the "at the controls (ATC)" and "balance of plant (BOP)" positions; Instant SROs must do one scenario, including at least two instrument or component (I/C) malfunctions and one major transient, in the ATC position.
2. Reactivity manipulations may be conducted under normal or *controlled* abnormal conditions (refer to Section D.5.d) but must be significant per Section C.2.a of Appendix D. * Reactivity and normal evolutions may be replaced with additional instrument or component malfunctions on a one-for-one basis
3. Whenever practical, both instrument and component malfunctions should be included; only those that require verifiable actions that provide insight to the applicant's competence count toward the minimum requirements specified for the applicant's license level in the right-hand columns.

Facility: Nine Mile Point 1		Date of Exam: March 12, 2007				Operating Test: SRO-I			
Competencies	Applicants								
	SRO Instant 1 Minnick				SRO Instant 2 Driscoll				
	SCENARIO				SCENARIO				
	2	1	4	3	2	1	4	3	
Interpret/Diagnose Events and Conditions	4,5,6	4,7,10	5,7,10		4,7	3,6,10	3,4,10		
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)	4,5,6,7	2,4,7,8,10	5,7,8		4,7,8	1,3,4,6,7	2,3,4,10		
Operate Control Boards (2)	NA	2,4,8,9,10	5,7,8,9,10		4,7,8	NA	2,3,4,8,9,10		
Communicate and Interact	4,5,6,7	2,4,7,8,10	5,7,8		4,7,8	3,4,5,6,7,10	2,3,4,10		
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)	1,2,5,6,7	NA	NA		NA	2,4,6,7	NA		
Comply With and Use Tech. Specs. (3)	2,3,5	NA	NA		NA	1,3	NA		
	SRO	BOP RO	ATC RO		ATC RO	SRO	BOP RO		
<p>Notes:</p> <p>(1) Includes Tech Spec compliance for an RO</p> <p>(2) Optional for an SRO-U</p> <p>(3) Only applicable to SROs</p>									

Instructions:

Check the applicant's license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.

Facility: Nine Mile Point 1		Date of Exam: March 12, 2007				Operating Test: RO			
Competencies	Applicants								
	RO 1 and RO 3 French and O'Brien				RO 2,4 and 5 Hibbert, Revelle and DeGroot				
	SCENARIO				SCENARIO				
	2	1			2	1			
Interpret/Diagnose Events and Conditions	4,7	4,7,10			2,5	5,6			
Comply With and Use Procedures (1)	4,7,8	2,4,7,8,10			1,3,5,7,9	5,6,7			
Operate Control Boards (2)	4,7,8	2,4,8,9,10			1,3,5,7,9	5,6,7,8,10			
Communicate and Interact	4,7,8	2,4,7,8,10			1,2,3,5,6,7,9	5,6,7,8,10			
Demonstrate Supervisory Ability (3)	NA	NA			NA	NA			
Comply With and Use Tech. Specs. (3)	NA	NA			NA	NA			
	ATC RO	BOP RO			BOP RO	ATC RO			
<p>Notes:</p> <p>(1) Includes Tech Spec compliance for an RO</p> <p>(2) Optional for an SRO-U</p> <p>(3) Only applicable to SROs</p>									

Instructions:

Check the applicant's license type and enter one or more event numbers that will allow the examiners to evaluate every applicable competency for every applicant.

FACILITY: Nine Mile Point 1		DATE OF EXAM March 12, 2007		EXAM LEVEL	SRO	
Item Description	Initials					
	a	b	c			
1 Question and answers are technically accurate.	AB	RB	JM			
2 a. NRC K/As referenced for all questions. b. Facility learning objectives referenced as available.	AB	RB	JM			
3 SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with Section D.2.d of ES-401.	AB	RB	JM			
4 The sampling process was random and systematic. (If more than 4 RO or 2 SRO questions was repeated from the last 2 NRC licensing exams, consult the NRR OL program office).			JM			
5 Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started <input type="checkbox"/> the examinations were developed independently <input type="checkbox"/> the licensee certifies that there is no duplication <input type="checkbox"/> other (explain)			JM			
6 Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from the bank, at least 10 percent new, and the rest modified); enter the actual question distribution(s). at right	Bank	Modified	New	AB	RB	JM
	5/25 20%	0/25 0%	20/25 80%			
7 Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the RO exam (including 10 new questions) are written at the comprehension/analysis level; the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected K/As support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO/SRO question distribution(s) at right.	Memory		C/A	AB	RB	JM
	3/25 12%		22/25 88%			
8 References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in the elimination of distractors.				AB	RB	JM
9 Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified.				AB	RB	JM
10 Question psychometric quality and format meet the guidelines in ES Appendix B.				AB	RB	JM
11 The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with value on cover sheet.				AB	RB	JM
Printed Name / Signature						
a. Author	Gerald Bobka / Gerald Bobka			Date	2/20/07	
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	Robert Brown / Robert Brown			Date	2/23/2007	
c. NRC Chief Examiner(#)	John Canuso / John Canuso			Date	3/1/07	
d. NRC Regional Supervisor	Marvin D. Sykes / Marvin D. Sykes			Date	3/2/07	
Note: * The facility reviewer's initials/signature are not applicable for NRC developed examinations. # Independent NRC reviewer initials Column "c" ; chief examiner concurrence required.						

FACILITY: Nine Mile Point 1		DATE OF EXAM MARCH 12, 2007		EXAM LEVEL RO		
Item Description	Initials					
	a	b	c			
1 Question and answers are technically accurate.	RB	RB	JL			
2 a. NRC K/As referenced for all questions. b. Facility learning objectives referenced as available.	RB	RB	JL			
3 SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with Section D.2.d of ES-401.	NA**	NA**	NA**			
4 The sampling process was random and systematic. (If more than 4 RO or 2 SRO questions was repeated from the last 2 NRC licensing exams, consult the NRR OL program office).			JL			
5 Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started <input type="checkbox"/> the examinations were developed independently <input type="checkbox"/> the licensee certifies that there is no duplication <input type="checkbox"/> other (explain)	RB	RB	JL			
6 Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from the bank, at least 10 percent new, and the rest modified); enter the actual question distribution(s) at right	Bank	Modified	New	RB	RB	JL
	24/75	4/75	47/75			
	32%	5%	63%			
7 Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the RO exam (including 10 new questions) are written at the comprehension/analysis level; the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected K/As support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO/SRO question distribution(s) at right.	Memory		C/A	RB	RB	JL
	31/75		44/75			
	41%		59%			
8 References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in the elimination of distractors.	RB	RB	JL			
9 Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified.	RB	RB	JL			
10 Question psychometric quality and format meet the guidelines in ES Appendix B.	RB	RB	JL			
11 The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with value on cover sheet.	RB	RB	JL			
Printed Name / Signature						
a. Author	Gerald Bobka / <i>Gerald Bobka</i>			Date	2/17/07	
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	Roberts Brown / <i>Kate B</i>			Date	2/23/2007	
c. NRC Chief Examiner(#)	John Canuso / <i>John Canuso</i>			Date	3/1/07	
d. NRC Regional Supervisor	MARVIN D. SYRES / <i>Marvin D. Syres</i>			Date	3/2/07	
Note: * The facility reviewer's initials/signature are not applicable for NRC developed examinations. # Independent NRC reviewer initials Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.						

** See Form 401-6 for SRO Level Examination

*Exam Overlap
Verified*

From: "Bobka, Gerald" <Gerald.Bobka@constellation.com>
To: <jgc@nrc.gov>
Date: 03/02/2007 8:30:53 AM
Subject: NMP Written Exam

The following is provided for your info regarding Form 401-6 Item 4.
 There are 3 repeat questions on the RO written exam from the 2004 NRC exam. There is 1 repeat question on the SRO exam from the 2004 NRC exam.

There are no repeat questions, JPMS or scenarios used on the audit exam for this class.

Gerald Bobka
 NMP Exam Developer

>>> This e-mail and any attachments are confidential, may contain legal, professional or other privileged information, and are intended solely for the addressee. If you are not the intended recipient, do not use the information in this e-mail in any way, delete this e-mail and notify the sender. CEG-IP1

b. Facility Reviewer(*)	<u>GARY BER</u> <i>[Signature]</i>	<u>2/20/07</u>
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*)	<u>JOHN CARUSO</u> <i>[Signature]</i>	<u>3/23/07</u>
d. NRC Supervisor (*)	<u>MARVIN SYKES / <i>[Signature]</i></u>	<u>3/23/07</u>

(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.

Facility: <u>Nine Mile Point 1</u> Date of Exam: <u>3/16/07</u> Exam Level: RO <input type="checkbox"/> SRO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Item Description	Initials		
	a	b	c
1. Clean answer sheets copied before grading	GB	GB	JK
2. Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	GB	GB	JK
3. Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	GB	GB	JK
4. Grading for all borderline cases (80 ±2% overall and 70 or 80, as applicable, ±4% on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail	GB	GB	JK
5. All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	NA	NA	NA
6. Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	M	GB	JK
	Printed Name/Signature		Date
a. Grader	<u>Gerald Bobb / Gerald Bobb</u>		<u>3/16/07</u>
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	<u>GARY BEX / Gary Bex</u>		<u>3/20/07</u>
c. NRC Chief Examiner (*)	<u>John Cardoso / John Cardoso</u>		<u>3/23/07</u>
d. NRC Supervisor (*)	<u>MARVIN SYKES / Marvin Sykes</u>		<u>3/23/07</u>
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.			