

From: Maitri Banerjee
To: Lambros Lois; Perry Buckberg
Date: 3/20/2007 1:20:16 PM
Subject: Re: Pilgrim LR ACRS Subcommittee (SC) Meeting on 4/4/07

I was looking at Oyster Creek commitments while preparing a status report on Pilgrim for the Subcommittee. I noticed the following commitment made by the Oyster Creek licensee:

"50. Fluence Methodology The NRC has issued a SER for RAMA approving RAMA for reactor vessel fluence calculations. Oyster Creek will comply with the applicable requirements of the SER."

My understanding of Pilgrim 4th open item is as follows:

"Due to the lack of benchmarking data in support of the plant-specific RAMA fluence calculations, the staff finds neutron fluence values unacceptable "

My question is: if it is found acceptable for Oyster, why is it unacceptable for Pilgrim? What is different?

Thanks much.

Maitri

Mail Envelope Properties (460017D0.74F : 12 : 35638)

Subject: Re: Pilgrim LR ACRS Subcommittee (SC) Meeting on 4/4/07
Creation Date 3/20/2007 1:20:16 PM
From: Maitri Banerjee
Created By: MXB@nrc.gov

Recipients

nrc.gov
 OWGWPO01.HQGWDO01
 PHB1 (Perry Buckberg)

nrc.gov
 TWGWPO01.HQGWDO01
 LXL1 (Lambros Lois)

Post Office

OWGWPO01.HQGWDO01
 TWGWPO01.HQGWDO01

Route

nrc.gov
 nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	1310	3/20/2007 1:20:16 PM

Options

Expiration Date: None
Priority: Standard
ReplyRequested: No
Return Notification: None

Concealed Subject: No
Security: Standard

Junk Mail Handling Evaluation Results

Message is not eligible for Junk Mail handling
 Message is from an internal sender

Junk Mail settings when this message was delivered

Junk Mail handling disabled by User
 Junk Mail handling disabled by Administrator
 Junk List is not enabled
 Junk Mail using personal address books is not enabled
 Block List is not enabled