

April 10, 2007

Dr. B. R. Brygider
104 Tullamore Road
Garden City, NY 11530-2512

SUBJECT: RESPONSE TO COMMENTS ON DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT
STATEMENT FOR USEC'S PROPOSED AMERICAN CENTRIFUGE PLANT

Dear Dr. Brygider,

In early February 2007 we received your letter addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC's) Office of Inspector General (OIG) for response. OIG first sent the letter to us for response in March 2006, however, it was never received.

The Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) documents the NRC's compliance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act for the proposed American Centrifuge Plant (ACP). In complying with Section 106, numerous communications and consultations were held with the Ohio Historic Preservation Office (OHPO), Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT), numerous Indian tribes, and local members of the public. The staff effort is fully documented in Chapter 4 and Appendix B of the FEIS.

The staff considered direct and indirect effects of the proposed facility including the potential for storm water impacts to local streams. The NRC staff also considered the ongoing interchange improvements being implemented by ODOT. After discussions with ODOT and OHPO, it was clear that this activity was not part of the proposed ACP and thus was outside the jurisdiction of the NRC and the Section 106 process for the proposed ACP.

More information on the NRC's review for the proposed ACP can be found at:
<http://www.nrc.gov/materials/fuel-cycle-fac/usecfacility.html>. If you have any questions please contact Matthew Blevins at (301) 415-7684.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Gregory Suber, Acting Branch Chief
Environmental Review Branch
Division of Waste Management
and Environmental Protection
Office of Federal and State Materials
and Environmental Management Programs

Docket No.: 70-7004

April 10, 2007

Dr. B. R. Brygider
104 Tullamore Road
Garden City, NY 11530-2512

SUBJECT: RESPONSE TO COMMENTS ON DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT
STATEMENT FOR USEC'S PROPOSED AMERICAN CENTRIFUGE PLANT

Dear Dr. Brygider,

In early February 2007 we received your letter addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC's) Office of Inspector General (OIG) for response. OIG first sent the letter to us for response in March 2006, however, it was never received.

The Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) documents the NRC's compliance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act for the proposed American Centrifuge Plant (ACP). In complying with Section 106, numerous communications and consultations were held with the Ohio Historic Preservation Office (OHPO), Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT), numerous Indian tribes, and local members of the public. The staff effort is fully documented in Chapter 4 and Appendix B of the FEIS.

The staff considered direct and indirect effects of the proposed facility including the potential for storm water impacts to local streams. The NRC staff also considered the ongoing interchange improvements being implemented by ODOT. After discussions with ODOT and OHPO, it was clear that this activity was not part of the proposed ACP and thus was outside the jurisdiction of the NRC and the Section 106 process for the proposed ACP.

More information on the NRC's review for the proposed ACP can be found at:
<http://www.nrc.gov/materials/fuel-cycle-fac/usecfacility.html>. If you have any questions please contact Matthew Blevins at (301) 415-7684.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Gregory Suber, Acting Branch Chief
Environmental Review Branch
Division of Waste Management
and Environmental Protection
Office of Federal and State Materials
and Environmental Management Programs

Docket No.: 70-7004

DISTRIBUTION:
EPPAD r/f

ML071000560

OFFICE	DWMEP	ECB	DWMEP
NAME	MBlevins	BSmith	Suber
DATE	4/10/07	4/11/07	4/11/07

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY