

From: "Miner, Pete" <MinerP@usec.com>
To: Brian Smith <BWS1@nrc.gov>
Date: 03/30/2007 11:12:47 AM
Subject: USEC Comments on DOE/NRC MOU

Mr. Smith,

Attached are USEC's comments on the Draft Memorandum of Understanding between the U.S. Department of Energy and the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission dated March 21, 2007 (Reference) pursuant to your request. Please note that Attachment 1 was not included in the document transmitted to USEC on March 21. As such, we utilized the March 16, 2007 version marked as NRC Staff Exhibit 4A for our review.

Reference: Brian W. Smith letter to Mr. Steven A. Toelle, "Draft Memorandum of Understanding between the Department of Energy, Oak Ridge Operations, and the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission on the American Centrifuge Plant," dated March 21, 2007.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (301) 564-3470.

Peter J. Miner

Director, Regulatory and Quality Assurance

USEC Inc.

6903 Rockledge Drive

Bethesda, MD 20817

1. Page 3, Section I, 2nd paragraph, 1st sentence - Change "3.5 million" to "3.8 million" and "2011" to "2012"

Basis: USEC's February 12, 2007 News Release

2. Page 3, Section II, "Activities associated with the ACP" - Clarify as necessary to ensure scope is clear

Basis: Ensure the broadness of this definition does not conflict with other provision of the MOU

3. Page 6, Section IV.A.4 - Add "following completion of decommissioning" after "issued"

Basis: Clarify that NRC retains oversight during decommissioning

4. Page 7, Section IV.B.2 - Delete "Communications Security" and

"Physical Protection of Classified Equipment/Materials"

Basis: There is already ample basis for NRC (or the National Security Agency for communications security) to impose requirements in these areas

5. Page 7, Section IV.B.3 - Change to read: "DOE shall be solely responsible for interpretations of guidance related to content determinations for Export Controlled Information and Unclassified Controlled Information."

Basis: Clarify scope to be consistent with item IV.B.9

6. Page 7, Section IV.B.9 - Add "and UCNI determinations, respectively" following "classification determinations"

Basis: Reviewing Officials refers to UCNI determinations

7. Attachment 1, Page 1, Section III.A - Delete "," after "USEC" and add "headquarters" after "Inc."

Basis: Clarification

8. Attachment 1, Page 2, Section III.D - Ensure the list is not duplicative of facilities encompassed by Lead Cascade MOU or clarify scope

Basis: The existing facilities are already covered by the Lead Cascade MOU and some will not be utilized to support the ACP

9. Attachment 1, Page 2, Section III.E - Ensure the scope and roles and responsibilities for DOE are clear

Basis: The use of the general statement "vendor procurement activities" may broaden the scope beyond what is intended for DOE oversight

Mail Envelope Properties (460D28E2.93F : 21 : 39231)

Subject: USEC Comments on DOE/NRC MOU
Creation Date 03/30/2007 11:12:17 AM
From: "Miner, Pete" <MinerP@usec.com>

Created By: MinerP@usec.com

Recipients

nrc.gov

TWGWPO02.HQGWDO01

BWS1 (Brian Smith)

Post Office

TWGWPO02.HQGWDO01

Route

nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2807	03/30/2007 11:12:17 AM
TEXT.htm	16816	
Mime.822	23059	

Options

Expiration Date: None
Priority: High
ReplyRequested: No
Return Notification: None

Concealed Subject: No
Security: Standard