

From: Christian Araguas
To: <JTDAVIS@SOUTHERNCO.COM>
Date: 2/15/2007 1:54:33 PM
Subject: Draft RAIs on SSAR Section 2.3, "Meteorology"
cc: "Stephanie Coffin" <SMC1@nrc.gov>,"Joseph Hoch" <JAH6@nrc.gov>,"R Brad Harvey" <RBH@nrc.gov>,"Rebecca Karas" <RLK@nrc.gov>,"Mark Notich" <mdn@nrc.gov>

Jim,

Attached are draft RAIs pertaining to the staff's review of SSAR section 2.3. Please take a look and let me know if you would like to have a conference call for the staff to further clarify these draft RAIs. Thanks.

Christian Araguas

US Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Division of New Reactor Licensing
AP1000 Projects Branch 1
Vogtle ESP Safety Project Manager
301-415-3637 (Work)
240-498-7614 (Cell)

Hearing Identifier: Vogtle_Public
Email Number: 17

Mail Envelope Properties (46028ECD.HQGWDO01.TWGWPO04.200.2000007.1.6FFB7.1)

Subject: Draft RAIs on SSAR Section 2.3, "Meteorology"
Creation Date: 2/15/2007 1:54:33 PM
From: Christian Araguas

Created By: CJA2@nrc.gov

Recipients "Stephanie Coffin" <SMC1@nrc.gov>,"Joseph Hoch" <JAH6@nrc.gov>,"R Brad Harvey" <RBH@nrc.gov>,"Rebecca Karas" <RLK@nrc.gov>,"Mark Notich" <mdn@nrc.gov> <JTDAVIS@SOUTHERNCO.COM>

Post Office
TWGWPO04.HQGWDO01

Route
nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	450	2/15/2007 1:54:33 PM
Draft RAIs Vogtle 3-2-07.pdf	65474	3/22/2007 2:12:29 PM

Options
Priority: Standard
Reply Requested: No
Return Notification: None
None

Concealed Subject: No
Security: Standard

**Draft Requests for Additional Information (RAIs)
for Vogtle ESP SSAR Sections 2.3**

RAI Number	Reviewer	Question Summary	Full Text
2.3.1-1	Harvey R. B Hoch J	Provide the criteria used to select the regional climatological observing stations.	The response to information need 2.3.1-2 enclosed in SNC letter AR-07-0059 (dated January 30, 2007) explains the selection criteria for the regional climatological observing stations used in the SSAR to characterize the regional climatology of the Vogtle ESP site. Please include these selection criteria in a future revision to the ESP Application.
2.3.1-2	Harvey R. B Hoch J	Confirm the proposed 100-year snowpack site characteristic value.	Part I of SSAR Table 1-1 lists the 100-Year Snow Pack site characteristic value as 10 lb/sq ft. The Vogtle ESP region experienced its heaviest snowfall event on record from February 9 through February 11, 1973. The highest recorded amount of snow in the Vogtle ESP site vicinity, 22 inches, occurred at Bamberg, SC. The Southeast Regional Climate (SERCC) states that the liquid equivalent of the snowfall at Bamberg was 7.79 inches. Assuming one inch of liquid water is equivalent to 5.2 lb/sq ft, the associated snowpack during this event was 40.5 lb/sq ft. Please justify why the 100-year snowpack of 10 lb/sq ft listed as a site characteristic is conservative enough given the impact from this storm.
2.3.1-3	Harvey R. B Hoch J	Ensure the SSAR identifies all the site characteristics necessary to compare against the AP1000 DCD site parameters.	<p>SSAR Section 1.1 states that the Westinghouse AP1000 certified reactor design has been selected for the VEGP ESP Application. Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 and Tier 2 Table 2-1 of the AP1000 DCD identify the site-related parameters for which the AP1000 plant is designed. An actual site is acceptable if its site characteristics fall within the AP1000 plant site design parameters listed in these two tables.</p> <p>(a) AP1000 DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 lists historical maximum dry bulb temperature with a mean coincident wet bulb (MCWB) temperature as a Tier 1 site parameter. The staff considers the “historical maximum dry bulb temperature with a MCWB temperature” DCD site parameter to be equivalent to the “100-year return period maximum dry bulb temperature with a MCWB temperature” ESP site characteristic. SSAR Table 1.1 presents a 100-year return period maximum dry bulb temperature as a site characteristic without a corresponding MCWB temperature. Please provide a “100-year return period maximum dry bulb temperature with a MCWB temperature” as a site characteristic.</p>

RAI Number	Reviewer	Question Summary	Full Text
			<p>(b) AP1000 DCD Tier 2 Table 2-1 lists the following Tier 2 site parameters: (1) maximum normal (1 percent exceedance) dry bulb temperature and coincident wet bulb temperature, and (2) maximum normal (1 percent exceedance) noncoincident wet bulb temperature. Please provide site characteristic values consistent with these AP1000 Tier 2 site parameters.</p> <p>The information requested above will be necessary during the combined license (COL) review.</p>
2.3.1-4	Harvey R. B Hoch J	Discuss the consequences of the proposed 100-year return period maximum wet-bulb temperature site characteristic value exceeding the corresponding AP1000 DCD site parameter value.	The 100-year return period maximum wet-bulb temperature (noncoincident) site characteristic value of 88 °F listed in SSAR Table 1-1 exceeds the historical maximum site parameter value of 81 °F listed in AP1000 DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0-1 and Tier 2 Table 2-1. Please discuss the potential implications of the Vogtle ESP 100-year return period maximum wet-bulb temperature site characteristic value exceeding the AP1000 DCD maximum historical wet bulb temperature site parameter value.
2.3.3-1	Harvey R. B Hoch J	Provide a copy of the updated hourly onsite meteorological database.	The response to information need 2.3.3-1 enclosed in SNC letter AR-07-0059 (dated January 30, 2007) states that the 5-year hourly onsite meteorological database submitted in support of the ESP Application is being revised and reformatted in response to questions regarding the meteorological database discussed during a site audit conducted on December 6, 2006. Please provide a copy of this revised hourly onsite meteorological database.
2.3.3-2	Harvey R. B Hoch J	Update the SSAR Table 1-1 list of design parameters to include the height and width of the proposed natural draft cooling tower.	Part II of SSAR Table 1-1 lists postulated design parameters. Please include the proposed natural draft cooling tower height and width as part of this table since this information is used in SSAR Section 2.3.3 to determine the potential impact of the natural draft cooling tower wake effects on the onsite meteorological measurements.
2.3.4-1	Harvey R. B Hoch J	Revised the SSAR Table 1-1 description and reference for the accident atmospheric dispersion factors site characteristics.	The description and reference for the accident atmospheric dispersion factors listed in SSAR Table 1-1 states, in part: "The atmospheric dispersion values presented represent typical site parameter values by reactor vendors." This is an inaccurate statement. The accident atmospheric dispersion factors listed in Table 1-1 represent site-specific values.
2.3.4-2	Harvey R. B Hoch J	Explain how Appendix E to 10 CFR Part 50 is applicable to	SSAR Table 1-2 indicates that SSAR Section 2.3.4 is in compliance with the regulatory requirements of Appendix E to 10 CFR Part 50. Please explain how Appendix E to 10 CFR 50

February 15, 2007

RAI Number	Reviewer	Question Summary	Full Text
2.3.4-3	Harvey R. B Hoch J	SSAR Section 2.3.4. Explain how RG 1.78 is applicable to SSAR Section 2.3.4.	is applicable to the development of the short-term (accident releases) atmospheric dispersion site characteristics presented in SSAR Section 2.3.4. Likewise, SSAR Table 1-2 indicates that SSAR Section 2.3.4 conforms with RG 1.78. Please explain how RG 1.78 is applicable to the development of the short-term (accident releases) atmospheric dispersion site characteristics presented in SSAR Section 2.3.4.
2.3.4-4	Harvey R. B Hoch J	Confirm which set of accident X/Q values (the maximum sector 0.5 percentile X/Q values or the overall site 5 percentile X/Q values) are bounding.	Section 2.3.4 of the SSAR states that the 0-2 hour maximum sector-dependent 0.5 percentile X/Q values are greater than the corresponding overall site 5 percentile X/Q values at the EAB and LPZ. The staff ran the PAVAN computer code using the PAVAN input files provided during a site audit conducted on December 6, 2006 and found the overall site 5 percentile X/Q values at the EAB and LPZ are the limiting values for the site. Please confirm which set of X/Q values (the maximum sector 0.5 percentile X/Q values or the overall site 5 percentile X/Q values) are bounding.
2.3.4-5	Harvey R. B Hoch J	Provide a copy of the updated PAVAN input file.	The response to information need 2.3.4-1 enclosed in SNC letter AR-07-0059 (dated January 30, 2007) states that the 5-year hourly onsite meteorological data used as part of the ESP Application is being revised and therefore the PAVAN input files will be affected. Please provide a copy of the updated PAVAN input file.
2.3.5-1	Harvey R. B Hoch J	Update the SSAR Table 1-1 list of design parameters to include containment building minimum cross-sectional area and equivalent structural height.	Part II of SSAR Table 1-1 lists postulated design parameters. Please include containment building minimum cross-sectional area and equivalent structural height as part of this table since this information has been used as input to XOQDOQ computer code to derive the long-term (routine release) atmospheric dispersion estimates presented in SSAR Section 2.3.5.
2.3.5-2	Harvey R. B Hoch J	Clarify the definition of the "8.00 Day Decay" X/Q values listed in SSAR Table 2.3-17.	Are the "8.00 Day Decay" X/Q values listed in SSAR Table 2.3-17 also "depleted" X/Q values; that is, are these the X/Q values that result from assuming the plume travels downwind with dry deposition as well as decay with a half-life of 8.00 days?
2.3.5-3	Harvey R. B Hoch J	Explain how Appendix E to 10 CFR Part 50 is applicable to SSAR Section 2.3.5.	SSAR Table 1-2 indicates that SSAR Section 2.3.5 is in compliance with the regulatory requirements of Appendix E to 10 CFR Part 50. Please explain how Appendix E to 10 CFR Part 50 is applicable to the development of the long-term (routine release) atmospheric dispersion site characteristics presented in SSAR Section 2.3.5.

RAI Number	Reviewer	Question Summary	Full Text
2.3.5-4	Harvey R. B Hoch J	Provide a copy of the updated XOQDOQ input file.	The response to information need 2.3.5-1 enclosed in SNC letter AR-07-0059 (dated January 30, 2007) states that the 5-year hourly onsite meteorological data used as part of the ESP Application is being revised and therefore the XOQDOQ input files will be affected. Please provide a copy of the updated XOQDOQ input file.
2.3.5-5	Harvey R. B Hoch J	Justify not using the VEGP ODCM terrain recirculation factors in developing the routine release atmospheric dispersion factors presented in SSAR Section 2.3.5.	<p>Section 5.4.1.2 and Table 5.4-3 of Revision 0 to the ER state that the equations and parameters in the VEGP Offsite Dose Calculation Manual (ODCM) were used to calculate the doses to offsite receptors from the proposed Vogtle ESP units. Chapter 8 of Revision 21 of the VEGP ODCM (dated October 1, 2003) presents the models used to compute the specific values of meteorological-related parameters that are referenced throughout the VEGP ODCM. ODCM Equations 8.1 and 8.3, which present the algorithms used to calculate χ/Q values for ground-level releases and elevated releases, respectively, both contain terrain recirculations factor (K_t) that were taken from Appendix A of Reference 15 (i.e., Letter to Southern Company Services from Pickard, Lowe, and Garrick, Inc., Washington, D.C., April 27, 1988).</p> <p>(a) Please provide a copy of the terrain recirculation factors used in the VEGP ODCM.</p> <p>(b) Please describe the basis for the derivation of these terrain recirculation factors.</p> <p>(c) Please justify why the terrain recirculation factors used in the VEGP ODCM should not be used in developing the long-term (routine release) atmospheric dispersion factors presented in SSAR Section 2.3.5.</p>
2.3.5-6	Harvey R. B Hoch J	Provide annual average χ/Q and D/Q values in all 16 radial sectors from the site boundary to a distance of 50 miles from the proposed Vogtle ESP facility.	Section II.D of Appendix I to 10 CFR Part 50 requires that gaseous radwaste systems for light-water-cooled nuclear power reactors include all items of reasonably demonstrated technology that, when added to the system sequentially and in order of diminishing cost-benefit return, can, for a favorable cost-benefit ratio, effect reductions in dose to the population reasonably expected to be within 50 miles of the reactor. The COL or CP applicant will be required to perform this demonstration at the COL or CP stage. In order to avoid providing additional atmospheric dispersion estimates at the COL or CP stage, please provide annual average χ/Q and D/Q values in all 16 radial sectors from the site boundary to a distance of 50 miles from the proposed Vogtle ESP facility in accordance with Section 2.3.5 of RS-002.