Official Transcript of Proceedings

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

DOCKETED USNRC

March 15, 2007 (4:00pm)

Title:

USEC, Inc.

OFFICE OF SECRETARY RULEMAKINGS AND ADJUDICATIONS STAFF

Docket Number:

70-7004-ML; ASLBP No. 05-838-01-ML

Location:

Rockville, Maryland

Date:

Tuesday, March 13, 2007

Work Order No.: NRC-1477

Pages 73-110

NEAL R. GROSS AND CO., INC. Court Reporters and Transcribers 1323 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 234-4433

Official Transcript of Proceedings NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Title:

USEC, Inc.

Docket Number:

70-7004-ML; ASLBP No. 05-838-01-ML

Location:

Rockville, Maryland

Date:

Tuesday, March 13, 2007

Work Order No.:

NRC-1477

Pages 73-110

NEAL R. GROSS AND CO., INC. Court Reporters and Transcribers 1323 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 234-4433

1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
2	NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
. 3	+ + + +
4	x
5	In the Matter of:
6	USEC, INC. : Docket No. 70-7004-ML
7	: ASLBP No. 05-838-01-ML
8	(American Centrifuge Plant :
9	x
10	Tuesday, March 13, 2007
11	
12	Nuclear Regulatory Commission
1,3	Hearing Room T-3 B45
14	11545 Rockville Pike
15	Rockville, Maryland
16	
17	BEFORE:
18	LAWRENCE G. McDADE, Chair
19	RICHARD E. WARDWELL, Administrative Judge
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:	
2	2 On Behalf of USEC, I	nc.:
3	3 DONALD J. SILV	ERMAN, ESQ.
4	4 MARTIN J. O'NE	ILL, ESQ.
5	5 of: Morgan, Lewis	& Bockius LLP
6	6 1111 Pennsylva	nia Avenue, N.W.
7	7 Washington, DC	20004
8	8 (202) 739-5502	(Silverman)
9	9 (202) 739-5733	(O'Neill)
LO	.0 (202) 739-3001	(FAX)
L1	.1 and	
L2	DENNIS J. SCOT	Г, ESQ.
L3	.3 Assistant Géne	ral Counsel and
L4	.4 Director, Corpo	orate Compliance
.5	5 USEC, Inc.	
16	6903 Rockledge	Drive
L7	7 Bethesda, Mary	land 20817
-8	8 (301) 564-3352	
.9	9 (301) 564-3206	(FAX)
20	0	
1		
22	2	
23	3	
4	4	

1	On Behalf of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission:
2	MARGARET J. BUPP, ESQ.
3	BRETT M. KLUKAN, ESQ.
4	of: Office of the General Counsel
. 5	Mail Stop - 0-15 D21
6	U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
7	Washington, D.C. 20555-0001
8	(301) 415-3722 (MJB)
9	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 ||

EXHIBITS

Τ		EXHIBITS		
2	EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION	IDENT	REC'D
3	Staff 1 through 54	. *	79	80
4	USEC 1 through 12	. •	86	87
5				
6		•		
7				
8				
9				
10		·		
11				
12				
13				
14				
15	·			
16				
17		·		
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
		NEAL R. GROSS		

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

NEAL R. GROSS

everything we say will be so wonderful that we want it

just to make sure that the court reporter attributes

your statement to the correct person.

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

23

24

25

- \$. 127₁...

attributed to us in perpetuity. So it will be helpful if we just announce the name, it makes it easier for the court reporter.

I don't think we are going to be here very long this morning. There are just some administrative matters that we want to clear up. We're going to hold off the taking of testimony until Monday, the 18th, when Judge Lam is able to join us and be here throughout the remainder of the hearing.

I anticipate that we will probably start each day at ten o'clock and run no later than six. We will be looking for sort of a reasonable breaking point around that. If it is a little bit before six, if it is a little bit after six, but certainly not a whole lot after six on any given day unless we really start to fall behind and it looks like we are not going to be able to finish the hearing in a reasonable amount of time.

But what I'd like to do is just make sure everybody -- nobody gets overtired and that you also have an opportunity to talk with your witnesses who are going to be coming in for the following day so that they have an opportunity to be prepared as well.

What I'd like to do today by way of administrative matters first of all is to note for the

1	record that we did receive various filings. We
2	received from the staff various exhibits marked as NRC
3	Staff Exhibits 1 through 54.
4	(Whereupon, the above-referred
5	to documents were marked as
6	Staff Exhibit Nos. 1 through 54
7	for identification.)
8	JUDGE McDADE: Mr. Silverman, has USEC had
9	an opportunity to review those?
10	MR. SILVERMAN: Yes, we have reviewed the
11	Exhibits 1 through 54.
12	JUDGE McDADE: Do you have an objection to
13	the receipt of any of those documents?
14	MR. SILVERMAN: We do not, Your Honor.
15	JUDGE McDADE: Okay. Now some of those
16	documents were indicated to be for official use only
17	and had various captions on them.
18	Are there any objections from USEC's
19	standpoint in other words any of the documents that
20	were not marked as official use only that you believe
21	should have been? Or any documents that are marked as
22	official use only that you don't believe are properly
23	so categorized?
24	MR. SILVERMAN: No, Your Honor.
25	JUDGE McDADE: Okay. All right. Is there
1	

1	any objection to my receiving those exhibits into
2	evidence at this time? Staff Exhibits 1 through 54?
3	MR. SILVERMAN: None from the applicant.
4	MS. BUPP: None from the staff, Your
5	Honor.
6	JUDGE McDADE: Okay, they are received
7	into evidence.
8	(Whereupon, the above-referred
9	to documents were received into
10	the record as Staff Exhibit
11	Nos. 1 through 54.)
12	JUDGE McDADE: What I would also note is
13	that Staff Exhibit 54 is a list of the curriculum
14	vitae, basically a description of the professional
15	training and experience of the witnesses who presented
16	prefiled testimony.
17	Mr. Silverman, you have had an opportunity
18	to review those documents?
19	MR. SILVERMAN: Yes, Your Honor.
20	JUDGE McDADE: Do you have an objection to
21	any of those individuals providing opinion testimony
22	as experts in the areas listed on the curriculum vitae
23	and, more specifically, as specified in the prefiled
24	testimony that was given by them?
25	MR. SILVERMAN: We do not.

1	JUDGE McDADE: Okay. They are all
2	accepted as experts then and we will accept opinion
3	testimony from them in those areas of expertise listed
4	on the curriculum vitae and, more specifically, listed
5	in the prefiled testimony presented by the staff.
6	At this time, does the staff anticipate
7	that there will be other individuals that you will
8	call who have not already been listed whose curriculum
9	vitae are not present in Staff Exhibit 54?
LO	MS. BUPP: We may have one additional,
L1	Your Honor. One of the individuals who provided
L2	prefiled testimony will not be available during the
L3	time of the hearing.
L4	And he is identifying a colleague who
L5	helped with his work on the USEC application review.
L6	The identity of that colleague has not been nailed
L7	down 100 percent yet. But he will bring his
18	curriculum vitae with him if not providing it
L9	beforehand.
20	JUDGE McDADE: Okay. What I would ask
21	MS. BUPP: Excuse me, I'm sorry, Your
22	Honor.
23	JUDGE McDADE: Okay. What I would ask is
24	as soon as that individual has been identified, you
25	have decided who it is going to be, make sure that Mr.

Silverman gets a copy of the curriculum vitae so that 1 he has an opportunity to express any objections that 2 he might have to that witness offering the testimony. 3 And also indicate who he is replacing, 4 5 which, you know, individual, which one of the hearing issues that individual will be testifying with regard 6 7 to. 8 The other thing with regard to the staff, initially -- and, again, we have had somewhat of a 9 10 telescoped proceeding here -- we identified various 11 hearing issues initially. And you submitted prefiled 12 testimony with regard to those. 13 Subsequently we identified additional hearing issues, for example, with regard to the 14 15 enrichment process and others. Some of those we also 16 indicated that it might be appropriate for either in 17 whole or in part that they be addressed by a brief 18 rather than by a live testimony of a witness under 19 oath. 20 At this point in time, has the staff 21 prepared additional prefiled testimony in response to any of those? 22 23 We have not prepared anything MS. BUPP: 24 for today. But as we indicated last week, we will 25 have something -- we will have written testimony for

some of those issues prepared by the end of the week if that is acceptable to the Board.

JUDGE McDADE: Okay. And again, if you would, make sure that you share those with USEC so they have an opportunity to review them.

I would urge you to get them to them at the earliest time so that they have a reasonable period of time to determine whether or not they need to have additional witnesses of their own either to, if they believe appropriate, correct or to supplement that testimony. So the earlier you can get that to them, the more time they will have in order to move forward with that.

Also, have you identified any of those that you believe a brief is appropriate? And we gave you the option either of filing a brief or, if you thought it more appropriate -- and, again, we realize you are under a real time constraint given everything else you are trying to get done at the same period of time, and it would have been acceptable either a brief or to present oral argument during the course of the hearing on that.

Have you identified specific issues that you wish to present legal argument, either written or orally?

1	MS. BUPP: Yes, we have identified those
2	issues. We will have briefs prepared by the end of
3	the week. And we will share those briefs with USEC
4	prior to filing them with the Board. And then there
. 5	is at least one additional issue that we do not intend
6	to brief but that we will be addressing through
7	counsel orally at the hearing.
8	JUDGE McDADE: Okay. Are you in a
9	position at this point to indicate what those are?
10	MS. BUPP: Sure. We will be filing briefs
11	on HTS-11A, on HTS-12A.
12	JUDGE McDADE: Okay. And specifically 11,
13	talking about liability insurance?
14	MS. BUPP: Yes.
15	JUDGE McDADE: 12 having to do with the
16	ISA?
17	MS. BUPP: Yes, Part A of that inquiry.
18	And then also a brief on Part C-5. And we will be
19	addressing Part C-4 orally through counsel.
20	JUDGE McDADE: Okay. What about with to
21	okay, those are the only ones you intend to present
22	legal argument on?
23	MS. BUPP: Yes. Although we may present
24	some legal argument on HTS-10B4. You have indicated
25	that we should explain we should offer some

explanation through counsel on that one as well. 1 JUDGE McDADE: 2 Okay. Have you had an 3 opportunity to share drafts of that yet with USEC? 4 MS. BUPP: We have not but we will be doing so either by the end of the day today or 5 6 tomorrow morning. 7 JUDGE McDADE: Okay. And we would ask you as soon as you do have an opportunity to look through 8 those to determine whether or not you wish to submit 9 10 supplemental briefs on that as well. Again, it is not 11 required but if you believe it would be helpful, you 12 will have the opportunity to do so. And also are there any other issues that 13 14 you have identified that you would like to file either briefs or legal arguments that haven't been just 15 16 identified by the staff? 17 MR. SILVERMAN: Yes, Your Honor, we are preparing to submit a legal brief on HTS-11, which is 18 19 the nuclear liability questions A and B. And we will 20 -- we've already shared a draft with the staff. And 21 we expect to file that by the 16th. 22 With respect to HTS-12, we have already 23 submitted prefiled testimony on -- not on A having to 24 do with the DPO process but on a number of the other 25 that particular piece sections of of

particular Board issue.

In addition, I guess I would just mention that some of -- you have a list of our testimony. We've obviously responded to the initial areas of testimony that the Board requested. But at the same time, some of that testimony includes witnesses addressing some of the additional issues that you raised in your supplemental order of March 2nd. And it identified in the heading for each piece of testimony exactly which piece -- which Board issue that the witnesses are addressing.

JUDGE McDADE: Okay. Thank you.

I'd also note for the record with regard to briefing, we did receive a staff brief with regard to the disposal of depleted uranium that we received along with the prefiled testimony that the staff submitted. And we thank you for that.

With regard to submissions from USEC, we did receive pre-marked exhibits from USEC, Exhibits 1 through 12.

(Whereupon, the above-referred to documents were marked as USEC Exhibit Nos. 1 through 12 for identification.)

JUDGE McDADE: Has the staff had an

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1	opportunity to review those?
2	MS. BUPP: Yes, I have, Your Honor.
3	JUDGE McDADE: Do you have any objection
4	to our receiving any of those?
5	MS. BUPP: No objection.
6	JUDGE McDADE: Okay. There being no
7	objection, we will receive USEC Exhibits 1 through 12.
8	They are admitted into evidence.
9	(Whereupon, the above-referred
10	to documents were received into
11	the record as USEC Exhibit Nos.
12	1 through 12.)
13	JUDGE McDADE: With regard to USEC Exhibit
14	No. 1, which has to do with the professional
15	qualifications of the USEC witnesses who presented
16	prefiled testimony, have you had an opportunity to
17	review those? And do you have objections to our
18	receiving the testimony of any of those individuals,
19	the opinion testimony of them as experts on those
20	areas described in their prefiled testimony?
21	MS. BUPP: I have had an opportunity to
22	review them. And I have no objections to their
23	qualifications.
24	JUDGE McDADE: That being the case, we
25	will accept them as experts. We will accept their

opinion testimony. And they will also have the opportunity to present additional opinion testimony during the course of the oral hearing beginning on the 18th.

Additionally, I wanted to note that we have received prefiled testimony from the staff and from USEC. With regard to some of the issues, what I'd like to do is to run through very briefly at least a tentative order that we would like to address the various hearing issues in.

Again, this is indicated as a tentative schedule. And if there are going to be particular problems that either the NRC staff or USEC perceives by proceeding in this order, we would like you to bring that to our attention, indicating this is our preliminary review as to the most appropriate order.

We're trying to sort of group witnesses together and issues together. But if you feel, you know, you are more aware of the testimony you are going to present, that a better order would be available, you know, please don't be shy about mentioning that to us.

The first is Safety Issue 1, the facility description. We had requested a presentation with regard to that and anticipated that the presentation

in our original order would last about an hour. 1 We have received the prefiled testimony. 2 We look at it and anticipate that that is not going to 3 be done in an hour. However, you know, we do believe 4 that it was very helpful and really, you know, can't 5 suggest to you any place where it really needs to be 6 7 cut. 8 I would anticipate that that hearing issue will probably run about two hours between the 9 10 presentation and the questions we might have on it. But I really don't think it is appropriate for us to 11 try to cut it back even though -- although originally 12 13 we anticipated about an hour. At this point in time, USEC indicated that 14 15 they had four witnesses that they presented prefiled 16 testimony on with regard to this particular issue. 17 Sir, do you anticipate presenting additional oral testimony at the hearing on this? 18 19 MR. SILVERMAN: No, at this time, Your 20 Honor, we just anticipate having the witnesses 21 available for your questions. JUDGE McDADE: Okay. Now those particular 22 23 witnesses, and it was Lopiano, Corzine, Fout, and 24 Towne, you indicated in the prefiled testimony there was official use only information. If there is going 25

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |

to be any official use only -- and by official use only I mean, you know, one of the various things, whether it be safeguard information, classified information, proprietary information, you all are going to be in a better position than the Board to know if a witness is getting into that particular area.

So I would ask you to be sensitive to that. And if you feel it is important to bring that information to our attention, to do so so that in the appropriate case, we can have the room cleared for the taking of that testimony. Also to indicate to your witnesses so that they are aware of certain sensitive information.

We may well ask a question and they are trying to be helpful and trying to be responsive and they answer the question and then there is a determination that the information is classified and really shouldn't have been presented in a public forum.

So if you can, just make sure you speak with your witnesses. We want them to be responsive. We're not encouraging people not to be responsive. But just to be aware that there are certain kinds of information that are involved in this particular

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

for

the

hearing that might not be appropriate in a public forum. And that, you know, they can -- it is totally appropriate for them to pause and not move forward even though a member of the Board has asked the question. And, you know, just raise, you know, whether or not this is proprietary, safeguards, or otherwise. MS. BUPP: Your Honor, presentation of our HTS-1, as it stands now, slides -- many, many of the slides contain official use only information. And the presentation will contain a great deal of official use only information in order to go to the level of detail that the Board had requested. And so the staff would suggest that for that presentation, the room be closed for the entire presentation because it would be extremely difficult to avoid releasing official use only information during the presentation. JUDGE McDADE: I mean this is -- that one is somewhat problematic. And what I would ask is if you could speak with Mr. Faraz between now and Monday

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

and see whether or not there is sort of a basic

introduction he can give because although this is

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

intended as an introduction for the Board and an 1 overview for the Board, it also serves as an overview for any of the individuals who might be interested in what is going on in this particular proceeding.

And although there is a significant amount of material there that would not be appropriate for public release, nevertheless there also is some that And if it would be possible -- and I would be. realize this may be difficult -- but speak with Mr. Faraz and perhaps if we could do, you know, maybe a half hour that would be public and then to get into the other information, you know, perhaps a little bit broader overview initially and then to get into the more specific.

MS. BUPP: I'll speak with him and see if we can revise the presentation in that way.

JUDGE McDADE: Okay. Now after Hearing Issue 1, we would anticipate Hearing Issue 10, Safety Issue 10 with regard to the enrichment process. this point, we have not received prefiled testimony with regard to this. And if you could, you know, just before the end of the week get us any prefiled testimony that you wish to present on that issue and identify witnesses who would be available to testify at the hearing.

(202) 234-4433

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Next, Safety Issue 2 with regard to the memo of understanding. We understand that you have the -- the NRC staff has Brian Smith available. And USEC has a Peter Miner who will be available to testify with regard to that.

Next, Safety Issue 13, reactor safety, again, we've not yet received prefiled testimony with regard to that. And again, you know, if by the end of the week to make sure that USEC has an opportunity to review it. And if we can as well. And that witnesses be identified.

Next would be License Conditions. Mr. Echols and Mr. Henson for the USEC staff. Barpoulis, Corzine, Hatcher, Miner, and Smith for USEC.

Next, Safety Issue No. 4, exemption requests. And one of the questions I have, because we are going to have exemption requests, Safety Issue 4 to be followed by Safety Issue 5, the USEC commitments, we've got an awful lot of individuals who presented prefiled testimony here. I think more individuals that the Nationals brought down to spring training.

I don't know how many of those you anticipate actually offering testimony. I would hope that we would be able to find a more compact group.

NEAL R. GROSS

Or, you know, we may have to bring bleachers in. 1 MS. BUPP: I actually have the staff's 2 3 witness list here with me which we will submit later on this morning. And what I've indicated on the 4 witness list is that we will provide Stan Echols and 5 Yawar Faraz who have both served as the project 6 7 manager at various times for the review, to provide an overview of the review and the review process. 8 And Jay Henson to provide some information 9 10 on the inspection process, which is how we enforce the 11 commitments. We will have the other individuals who are 12 the subject matter experts for the individual areas 13 14 where the commitments were made available if the Board has specific questions on any of those subject matter 15 16 areas. But otherwise we don't intend to call all 17 individuals who provided testimony in HTS-5 as a 17 panel. 18 19 JUDGE McDADE: Okay. And by the 20 conclusion of the hearing, you'll just hand up your 21 witness list for us? 22 MS. BUPP: Yes. JUDGE McDADE: Good. 23 24 Okay, now Safety Issue No. 5, all of the 25 exhibits that have been presented with regard to that **NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have been indicated as official use only for various and sundry -- some security, some for other reasons. Is it going to be possible to have any of the issues with regard to Hearing Issue No. 5 open? think it is almost going to be necessary that that entire issue be closed?

MS. BUPP: Actually I think if we keep the testimony to Mr. Echols, Mr. Faraz, and Mr. Henson, all of their testimony should be open to the public because they will be speaking in generalities about the entire process.

Ιf into were get commitments, it would depend on the subject matter area. But to the extent that anything is contained in the public version of the SER and not in one of the non-public appendices, we could certain discuss all of that openly in public. And the majority of the information is referenced in the public version of the SER.

JUDGE McDADE: So certainly we can get started in public. And then if we have specific questions on some of the security information, the non-public information, the non-public documents, we could then close the hearing at the point with regard to that issue.

MS. BUPP: Yes. 1 JUDGE McDADE: And I take it then the next 2 issue we would have is Safety Issue No. 8, accident 3 analysis. And, again, most of the documents that were 4 5 as exhibits presented were for official use only. Would we be able to proceed in the same way, Ms. Bupp, 6 7 do you believe? That is -- also most of the 8 MS. BUPP: 9 prefiled testimony was presented as official use only 10 as Department of Energy information. And so actually the opposite in that case. I think we would probably 11 be best to err on the side of caution and start as 12 13 non-public for that session. do you 14 JUDGE McDADE: Mr. Silverman, 15 agree? MR. SILVERMAN: I think that would be 16 17 fine, Your Honor. 18 JUDGE McDADE: Okay. 19 Next would be Safety Issue No. 12, the ISA summary. And did you indicate that you were going to 20 21 supply a brief with regard to that? 22 MS. BUPP: Yes, we will provide a brief 23 for portions of HTS-12 but we will also have a panel of staff witnesses for the non-legal portions of the 24 25 issues.

JUDGE McDADE: Okay. With regard to 1 Safety Issue 11, the liability insurance issue, you 2 3 indicated that you would be presenting a brief on part 4 of that. You would also have witnesses with regard to 5 ·it. MS. BUPP: At this point in time, based on 6 7 the Board's additional questions, we only anticipate 8 addressing it through counsel. We could make witnesses available. 9 10 JUDGE McDADE: That's fine. 11 MS. BUPP: Okay. 12 JUDGE McDADE: Okay. The next, Safety 13 Issue 6, financial capability. And, again, at that point, Mr. Uleck, Mr. Pittiglio --14 15 MS. BUPP: Pittiglio. 16 JUDGE McDADE: -- okay, and Barpoulis for 17 USEC. decommissioning funding, Safety 18 Next, 19 Issue No. 7, Timothy Johnson and Craig Dean, again, 20 Mr. Barpoulis, and Mr. Smith. What we would also ask 21 is if the staff could present a brief presentation to start this particular issue, basically just sort of 22 going into a chronology, you know, of when funding is 23 24 going to be available, how the funding is going to be 25 available, what the milestones are, you know, and just

-- and again I'm talking something relatively brief, 1 2 no more than about 15 minutes. JUDGE WARDWELL: Yes, I think 15 minutes 3 would be adequate. Looking for a timeline based on 4 5 events versus level of funding. And by presenting that, I think it will clarify for us exactly when the 6 7 various commitments will be achieved and attaining the various levels of funding. 8 9 JUDGE McDADE: Okay. And next, 10 Environmental Issue 2, the impacts on depleted uranium, Environment Issue 3, and Safety Issue 9, 11 12 which are related and involving environmental 13 monitoring, Environmental Issue 5, liquid effluent control, Environmental 14 1, purpose and 15 Environmental 4, balancing conflicting factors, and Environmental 6, cost benefit analysis. And that 16 17 would be everything. 18 With regard to the prefiled testimony that 19 we have received, I take it there is no objection from 20 USEC to our receiving into evidence all of the 21 prefiled testimony we have received from the NRC 22 staff. Is that correct? 23 MR. SILVERMAN: That's correct, Your 24 Honor. 25 JUDGE McDADE: And no objection from the

NRC staff to our receiving the prefiled testimony that 1 was presented by USEC? 2 MS. BUPP: That's correct, Your Honor. 3 JUDGE McDADE: Okay. That is received 4 5 into evidence we have, again, prefiled testimony on Issue 1 from Mr. Faraz for the NRC staff, Lopiano, 6 7 Corzine, Fout, and Towne for USEC. On Safety Issue 2, Brian Smith for the 8 staff, Peter Miner for USEC. 9 On the license condition Safety Issue 3, 10 Stan Echols, Jay Henson for the NRC staff, Barpoulis, 11 Corzine, Hatcher, Miner, and Smith for USEC. 12 On Safety Issue 4, exemption requests, 13 Stan Echols, Ira Dinitz, Timothy Johnson, Michael 14 Lamastra, Christopher Tripp for the NRC staff. 15 16 Bolling, Hatcher, Miner, Smith, Taulbee, and Thompson 17 for USEC. Cecil DeMille 18 We've got the В. 19 presentation on Safety Issue 5. Let me just go. through this real quick. 20 Echols, Henson, Johnson, 21 Lamastra, Tripp, Santos -- or Garcia Santos, 22 Troskoski, Wescott, Everly, Burrows, Bongarra, Graves, 23 Frazier, Bukharin, Prange, Kelly, and Faraz with regard to that that we received the prefiled testimony 24

on.

25

1	If you can give me just one moment now.
2	Okay, on Safety Issue 12, we have prefiled
3	testimony for Bernero and Peter Miner for USEC.
4	Safety Issue 11, we don't have prefiled
5	testimony.
6	Safety Issue 6, Uleck and Pittiglio for
7	the NRC staff. Barpoulis for the USEC.
8	Safety Issue 7, Timothy Johnson and Craig
9	Dean for the NRC staff. USEC Barpoulis and Smith.
10	And also, I'm sorry, Mr. Kennedy as well for the NRC
11	staff.
12	Environmental Issue 2, Mr. Blevins, Mr.
13	Woods, Mr. Fout, Mr. Miner.
14	Environmental Issue 3, Blevins, Echols,
15	Hammer, Fout, and Miner.
16	On Environmental Issue 5 from the USEC,
17	Fout and Miller.
18	Environmental Issue 1, purpose and need,
19	Matthew Blevins, Stan Echols, Peter Miner, Peter
20	Sewell.
21	Environmental Issue 4, balancing
22	conflicting factors, I believe it is Steven Wyngarden
23	for the NRC staff. Mr. Miner, Mr. Sewell for USEC.
24	And, again, Mr. Miner and Mr. Sewell on the cost
25	benefit analysis.

1	All of that prefiled testimony is admitted
2	and is received into evidence.
3	Perhaps at this point if we could just
4	take a, you know, I believe it is going to be
5	necessary for you to actually present the exhibits so
6	we can receive them and make sure that they get
7	properly filed with SECY.
8	Do you have the exhibits and the prefiled
9	testimony with you, Ms. Bupp?
10	MS. BUPP: Yes, we do.
11	JUDGE McDADE: Okay.
12	And Mr. Silverman?
13	MR. SILVERMAN: We do, yes.
14	JUDGE McDADE: Do we have everything?
15	MS. BUPP: You've got everything.
16	JUDGE McDADE: Okay. Do we also have the
17	electronic copies?
18	MS. BUPP: Yes. Oh, I'm sorry, it
19	changes.
20	JUDGE McDADE: Okay. At this point in
21	time, I'm not going to admit the exhibit list and the
22	witness list because those are going to be subject to
23	change. And at this point in time just sort of view
24	them as works in progress. And at the conclusion of
25	the hearing when they are complete, we'll then receive

them and make them a permanent part of the record. 1 By way of administrative things, we are 2 not going to be handled by the DDMS at the hearing., 3 the electronic system. We are going to have the 4 5 electronic monitors available. But I would ask if you could make sure 6 7 that you bring with you laptops that can connect and perhaps if there is an issue, that we can put you in 8 9 touch during the course of the week with our technical people here so make sure that it is compatible so that 10 you will both be able, when you have a witness who is 11 testifying, that you will be able to call the exhibit 12 up electronically so that the witness can make 13 reference to it. 14 So if you could one, make sure that you 15 have the appropriate hardware, you know, in order to 16 do that. And also have somebody who knows how to do 17 it who is going to be able to call up the right 18 19 exhibit at the appropriate time. I'm trying to think. Do you have anything 20 21 else that you believe we need to cover? 22 JUDGE WARDWELL: I do. A couple things. 23 In regards to the last topic and also as a fallback just if you could have that on a memory stick so that 24 25 if we have to scurry around here, I know I can

probably dust off my laptop if needed as an emergency 1 situation. And that has proven to work. So at least 2 we have got that as a fallback in case others don't 3 work. 4 5 The other thought, I was wondering, or trying to remember really is just to summarize which 6 7 of these issues we are going to have a public -- I mean a regular presentation, a formal presentation 8 for. Just so that we are all on the same page. 9 As I recollect, Safety Issue No. 1 is a 10 presentation. We talked about the small presentation 11 for No. 7, decommissioning funding. And then Safety 12 Issue 6, I think, or Environmental Issue 6 dealing 13 with cost benefit analysis. Is there any one of those 14 that I have missed? And is everyone agreed that that 15 16 is what we were looking at as far as a formal 17 presentation? MS. BUPP: Those are the presentations the 18 19 staff is preparing. JUDGE WARDWELL: Good. And then the 20 21 others --22 MR. SILVERMAN: That's my understanding 23 also, Your Honor. JUDGE WARDWELL: Okay. And the others we 24 25 will just seat the panel and jump right into **NEAL R. GROSS**

> COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

> > WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

questions. 1 2 MS. BUPP: Yes. 3 JUDGE WARDWELL: That's all I had. JUDGE McDADE: Okay. From the NRC staff, 4 5 do you have anything else that you think would be 6 helpful for us to take care of at this hearing here 7 today? MS. BUPP: 8 No. I think we've covered 9 everything. It looks like we should be all right with 10 all of our witnesses' availability. But I believe 11 we've already made the staff aware of this issue or at 12 least we've made Ms. Wolf aware of the issue. 13 Mr. Henson is only available for the first two days of testimony. I think we probably should 14 15 cover his areas of testimony within the first two 16 days. But if we get to Tuesday afternoon and we 17 haven't reached HTS-3 and HTS-5 yet, that we might 18 need to jump around to make sure that he is still 19 available. 20 He has to go and do an inspection at 21 another facility the second half of next week. 22 Well, given the current JUDGE McDADE: 23 schedule, I think we probably will be able to 24 accommodate that, that he would be available during 25 Monday and Tuesday.

1	MS. BUPP: Yes.
2	JUDGE McDADE: Absent that, I guess there
3	are a couple of other options, one of which is that,
4	you know, the world probably won't come to an end if
5	he starts his inspection on Thursday as opposed to
6	Wednesday.
7	And there may well be someone who has the
8	same expertise as Mr. Henson who would be able to
9	substitute for him. But let's just play that by ear
10	at the time and do the best that we can with it.
11	MS. BUPP: Yes, except that he must not be
12	here on Wednesday afternoon. He is attending a
13	licensing performance review meeting, a public
14	meeting. So he can't he is the only one with the
15	expertise on that meeting.
16	But I think that we should be able to
17	finish his testimony on Tuesday afternoon with the
18	schedule that the Board has set.
19	JUDGE WARDWELL: Can you repeat those
20	topics that he is involved with?
21	MS. BUPP: HTS-3 and HTS-5.
22	JUDGE McDADE: The licensing conditions
23	and the USEC commitments.
24	MS. BUPP: Yes.
25	JUDGE WARDWELL: Okay.
	NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

JUDGE McDADE: And again, you know, there is a good possibility -- in fact a probability we will get to those on Monday or Tuesday. In the event that we don't get to them on Monday or Tuesday, you know, we have not required specifically that he be present.

MS. BUPP: Yes.

JUDGE McDADE: But it may be necessary for the staff to adjust to be able to get, as I said, either change his schedule depending on, you know, whether or not that proceeding can go forward with out him or this proceeding can go forward without him.

But, you know, I think we've got enough people involved in this and with the schedule that we really do need to move ahead with it. And hopefully we will be able to do so.

The other thing before we break here, I would just like to note for the record, I mean we have received the prefiled testimony and the exhibits from both the NRC staff and from USEC in this matter. And I would like to commend counsel for the presentations they have put together in a relatively short period of time. And we realize the time pressure you are under.

And the presentations that you put together were very good, very helpful. And I don't want to indicate that they were only very good and

NEAL R. GROSS

1	very helpful because of the time pressure. They were
2	very good if you had all the time in the world and
3	even better given the relatively short period of time
4	that you had within which to put them together.
5	They were done in a very user-friendly way
6	and were very helpful to the Board in moving our
7	knowledge of this ahead more quickly than otherwise.
8	So I do want to express our appreciation to you for
9	that.
10	Do you have anything further before we
11	break for today?
12	JUDGE WARDWELL: Just one other quick
13	comment back to the laptop situation. I know it is
14	my understanding that you need a serial port. At
15	least I know if you do have a serial port, it will
16	work. So if you have that, you should be all set.
17	I see a head shaking in the back in
18	regards to this. That's not required?
19	PARTICIPANT: A VGA port.
20	JUDGE WARDWELL: A VGA port? Okay.
21	That's what it is. I'm sorry. VGA output, I'm sorry.
22	JUDGE McDADE: I'm sorry. Could you
23	repeat that?
24	JUDGE WARDWELL: Yes, you need an output,
25	a VGA output port rather than a serial port. I forgot
	NEAL B. CDOCS

1	that.
2	JUDGE McDADE: And you are saying V-G-A?
3	JUDGE WARDWELL: That's right. VGA for
4	video output port.
5	JUDGE McDADE: Okay.
6	JUDGE WARDWELL: Sorry, I expressed that
7	wrong. Thank you for the assistance from the
8	spectators in regards to my misrepresentation.
9	JUDGE McDADE: Well actually it wasn't a
10	spectator. It was our technical staff and we do
11	appreciate that. It wasn't someone just kibitzing in
12	the back.
13	(Laughter.)
14	JUDGE McDADE: Ms. Bupp, do you have
15	anything further?
16	MS. BUPP: I'm just wondering if the Board
17	would like a copy of our draft witness list today
18	because we have not submitted a draft previously and
19	brought them today. It might change but it might be
20	useful for you to have as reference.
21	JUDGE McDADE: Yes, we would appreciate
22	that.
23	MS. BUPP: Okay.
24	JUDGE McDADE: Mr. Silverman, do you have
25	anything further?

MR. SILVERMAN: I just a few minor things, Your Honor. With respect to HTS-4, exemption requests, we have Mr. James Thompson on the panel who did sponsor part of the direct testimony. There is an illness in the family and he will not be able to be here at the hearing. However, we won't need to add anyone because we already have someone on the panel who will cover for him effectively.

He's also on HTS-13. And once again, we won't need to add anyone. We've got the witnesses that we need. But we did want to let you know that he would not be able to be here.

One item that we wanted to mention, which I'm not sure has to be decided now, but with respect to HTS-12, which is the ISA summary, insufficiency of review information, we have prepared the testimony so that it can be presented in public.

We just wanted to alert the Board to the fact that to the extent that the Board might get into a level of detail that goes beyond what is in that testimony, there is a very good possibility that we could tread into areas that would be nonpublic. So we'll have to adjust to that when and if that occurs.

And the last thing I wanted to ask about was whether there would be a phone connect if we have

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1	other folks from the company who may want to listen in
2	on the hearing. I know that has been done in some of
3	the hearings in the past.
4	JUDGE McDADE: The answer is I don't know.
5	What we will do is after the hearing breaks here
6	today, we will see whether or not arrangements can be
7	made. And if Ms. Wolf will be in touch with, you
8	know, both the NRC staff and USEC, with counsel,
9	hopefully today if not tomorrow to let you know.
10	I assume that can be done. It has been
11	done in the past. And just to let you know what the
12	arrangements will be for that.
13	MR. SILVERMAN: That's all we have, Your
14	Honor. Thank you.
15	JUDGE McDADE: Anything further?
16	MS. BUPP: No, Your Honor.
17	JUDGE McDADE: Well, I guess we will see
18	each other again on Monday morning, the 18th, at 10:00
19	a.m.
20	There being nothing further, this hearing
21	is now in recess. Thank you.
22	(Whereupon, the above-entitled hearing was
23	concluded at 10:36 a.m.)
24	

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the attached proceedings before the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission in the matter of:

Name of Proceeding: USEC, Inc.

Docket Number:

70-7004-ML;

ASLBP 05-838-01-ML

Location:

Rockville, Maryland

were held as herein appears, and that this is the original transcript thereof for the file of the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission taken by me and, thereafter reduced to typewriting by me or under the direction of the court reporting company, and that the transcript is a true and accurate record of the foregoing proceedings.

Toby Walter

Official Reporter

Neal R. Gross & Co., Inc.