Perry Buckberg - Re: NRC Exit Meeting - Reactor Safety

From:

Perry Buckberg

To:

Rebecca Chin

Date:

2/5/2007 7:46 AM

Subject:

Re: NRC Exit Meeting - Reactor Safety

CC:

Susan Uttal

Rebecca,

I have received your e-mail and will respond after I consult with the NRC Office of General Council.

Thanks,
Perry Buckberg
Project Manager - Division of License Renewal
phone: (301)415-1383
fax: (301)415-3031
phb1@nrc.gov

>>> "Rebecca Chin" <rebeccajchin@hotmail.com> 2/4/2007 11:50 AM >>>

Perry Buckberg
Project Manager, License Renewal - PNPS
phb1@nrc.gov

Perry:

After the Exit Meeting, January 30, 2007 in Plymouth, I am left with some concerns and questions. I find it troubling that the NRC staff are relying on the 'Applicant' aka Pilgrim to provide <u>all</u> the information and all NRC staff does is sit in a room, look over the proposal and decide if NRC regulations contain enough 'wiggle room' to be satisfied. NRC staff does a 1 - 2 week onsite visit to look over the paperwork, walk around a little - know nothing specific about the plant itself or the site/area. They approve 6 areas of concern immediately and seem to be heading on okaying the 7 area - dry well degradation that Pilgrim has now agreed to look at/sample? once before 2012 and again once more before 2032... Is that the extent of NRC Division of Reactor Safety's evaluation - to examine whether the plant's program for managing the effects of aging on 'KEY SAFETY SYSTEMS', structures and components is adequate and appropriate for a 20-year license extension???

Again, the <u>public</u> notification of the meeting was woefully inadequate.

Please respond to the questions below and include the diagram of the reactor and containment that was used in the discussion. A timely response would be greatly appreciated.

Thank-you, Rebecca Chin, Vice-Chair Town of Duxbury Nuclear Advisory Committee

Torus - unresolved issue

- Q. NRC staff stated that there was evidence of water in the Torus. Does NRC know for certain where it came from? If so what is the basis.
- Q. I recollect that it was stated by the 'applicant' that the water in the Torus room/floor came from groundwater; is that correct?

Q.

- 1) If the water is thought to have come from groundwater, how did it get there from rain/moisture seeping down through the ground; from the water table, water coming up; from the ocean; a combination?
- 2) What is the height of the water table; what is the distance from the water table to a specified location on the building; indicate on diagram provided at Exit Meeting?
- 3) Was the water in the Torus tested for salinity especially important considering the corrosive effect of salt on concrete? If so, what was the result?
- 4) Was the water tested for radionuclides? If so, what was the result?
- 5) If the water got in, we can assume it can get out. Is this correct? If correct, where would it go; what tests are performed on said water for its radiological and chemical content?
- '6) Is the Torus checked for water now and what will be required from 2012-2032? If required, what is the schedule and method used to check for water now and during 2012-2032?
- 7) Will monitoring wells be required to test for leakage into the ground?

Mail Envelope Properties (45C7273F.631 : 9 : 8248)

Subject:

Re: NRC Exit Meeting - Reactor Safety

Creation Date

2/5/2007 7:46:55 AM

From:

Perry Buckberg

Created By:

PHB1@nrc.gov

Recipients

Action

Date & Time

hotmail.com

Transferred

2/5/2007 7:47:21 AM

rebeccajchin (Rebecca Chin)

nrc.gov

TWGWPO04.HQGWDO01 SLU CC (Susan Uttal)

Delivered

2/5/2007 7:46:59 AM

Post Office

Delivered

Route

hotmail.com

TWGWPO04.HQGWDO01

2/5/2007 7:46:59 AM nrc.gov

Files

Size

Date & Time

MESSAGE

4564

2/5/2007 7:46:54 AM

TEXT.htm

10660

Options

Auto Delete:

No

Expiration Date:

None

Notify Recipients:

Yes

Priority:

Standard

ReplyRequested:

No

Return Notification:

None

Concealed Subject:

No

Security:

Standard

To Be Delivered:

Immediate

Status Tracking:

Delivered