

From: "John McCarthy" <pri_jmccarthy@vcn.com>
To: "Paul Michalak" <PXM2@nrc.gov>
Date: 03/02/2007 11:19:05 AM
Subject: Re: Query: PRI's surety

Paul,

I've been in Denver, CO. for the annual SME conference and just returned today, Yes, the current bond is the approved bond amount and not the re-baseline version! The re-baseline is awaiting NRC approval before being implimated.

regards,

John McCarthy

----- Original Message -----

From: "Paul Michalak" <PXM2@nrc.gov>
To: "John McCarthy" <pri_jmccarthy@vcn.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 27, 2007 12:00 PM
Subject: Query: PRI's surety

> John,

>

> I just left you a voice mail message. I'm working with Jon Winter to
> get the documentation for the re-baselined unit values used in your
> recently revised surety estimates for SR-HUP and satellites. I'm
> formalizing the request in a letter. One issue that has come up is your
> current surety. I'm assuming that PRI's current SUA-1548 surety is the
> approved values in the license (i.e., you haven't implemented Jon's
> lower revised values). Please confirm or clarify

>

> Thanks

>

> Paul Michalak

> 301-415-7612

>

Mail Envelope Properties (45E84E68.551 : 14 : 54609)

Subject: Re: Query: PRI's surety
Creation Date 03/02/2007 11:18:23 AM
From: "John McCarthy" <pri_jmccarthy@vcn.com>

Created By: pri_jmccarthy@vcn.com

Recipients

nrc.gov
TWGWPO01.HQGWDO01
PXM2 (Paul Michalak)

Post Office

TWGWPO01.HQGWDO01

Route

nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	992	03/02/2007 11:18:23 AM
Mime.822	2490	

Options

Expiration Date: None
Priority: Standard
ReplyRequested: No
Return Notification: None

Concealed Subject: No
Security: Standard