## Comments on Pilgrim 2007 Exam Outline

Comment By	Comment	Resolution
GAJ	Use Scenarios 2, 3 and 4; Scenario #1 Spare	Agreed via TELCON 12/14/06
GAJ .	Ensure ADMIN JPMs for SROs are more in depth than ROs	Agreed via TELCON 12/14/06
GAJ	Ensure questions 22 and 41 are sufficiently different to avoid "double jeopardy"	Agreed via TELCON 12/15/06
GAJ	Ensure system questions with "G" K/A have a correlation with the system. See ES-401 Attachments 1 and 2 for guidance	Agreed via TELCON 12/15/06
GAJ	K/As for questions 3, 80 and 93 may not correlate to the "base" system. Should discuss with CE before attempting to write questions.	Agreed via TELCON 12/15/06
PAP	Heat Balance JPM is a repeat of Aug 2002 exam but is not noted as "P"	Agreed via TELCON 12/18/06
PAP	Pump tagout is similar to JPM on Aug 2002. Recommend doing a fan or compressor tagout.	Agreed via TELCON 12/18/06
PAP	JPM #1 is virtually the same as Aug 02 and Oct 03 exams. Ensure limits for repeat are not exceeded	Agreed via TELCON 12/18/06
PAP	Form ES-301-5 not filled out correctly. This is intended to show that each position is provided the opportunity to get the appropriate number of tasks.	Agreed via TELCON 12/18/06
PAP	For Scenario #1, events 9, 10 and 11 are really an extension of the same malfunction and should not be counted as separate events. Will need to revise ES-301-4	Agreed via TELCON 12/18/06
PAP	3 of 4 scenarios have neutron monitor instrument failures. Does not appear to provide appropriate diversity.	Agreed via TELCON 12/18/06
PAP	No ES-301-6 included in package.	Agreed via TELCON 12/18/06
PAP	Shifting TBCCW pumps for a vibration is a repeat from Aug 2002 scenario.	Agreed via TELCON 12/18/06

GAS USED WRONG FORMIT MADE PENASE &

Note: Resolution of comments is included in italics.

	1.	2.	3	3. Psyc	hometr	ic Flaws	3	4.	Job Conf	ent Fl	aws	5. C	Other	6.	7.
Q#	LOK (F/H)	LOD (1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	U/E/S	Explanation
1	Н	3										Υ	N	s	
2	Н	3												Ε	"B" implausible; press up temp up (GFES) Revised "B"
3	Н	2										Υ	Ν	E	Note in explanation pressure control mode does NOT inject into RPV Seems a rather simple question (not very discriminatory)  Two SRO validators got it wrong Revised explanation
4	L	2										Υ	N	s	
5	Н	3										Y	N	s	
6	L	2						<i>,-</i> -				Υ	Ν	U	This is not an operational question. Reword. Selected new K/A; new question
7	L	3										Υ	N	s	
В	Н	2										Υ	N	s	
Э	Н	2										Υ	N	s	

	1.	2.	3	3. Psyc	hometr	ic Flaws		4.	Job Cont	ent Fla	aws	5. O	ther	6.	7.
Q#	LOK (F/H)		Stem Focus		T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia				SRO Only	U/E/S	Explanation

## Instructions

[Refer to Section D of ES-401 and Appendix B for additional information regarding each of the following concepts.]

- 1. Enter the level of knowledge (LOK) of each question as either (F)undamental or (H)igher cognitive level.
- Enter the level of difficulty (LOD) of each question using a 1 5 (easy difficult) rating scale (questions in the 2 4 range are acceptable).
- 3. Check the appropriate box if a psychometric flaw is identified:
  - The stem lacks sufficient focus to elicit the correct answer (e.g., unclear intent, more information is needed, or too much needless information).
  - The stem or distractors contain cues (i.e., clues, specific determiners, phrasing, length, etc.).
  - The answer choices are a collection of unrelated true/false statements.
  - The distractors are not credible; single implausible distractors should be repaired, more than one is unacceptable.
  - One or more distractors is (are) partially correct (e.g., if the applicant can make unstated assumptions that are not contradicted by stem).
- 4. Check the appropriate box if a job content error is identified:
  - The question is not linked to the job requirements (i.e., the question has a valid K/A but, as written, is not operational in content).
  - The question requires the recall of knowledge that is too specific for the closed reference test mode (i.e., it
    is not required to be known from memory).
  - The question contains data with an unrealistic level of accuracy or inconsistent units (e.g., panel meter in percent with question in gallons).
  - The question requires reverse logic or application compared to the job requirements.
- 5. <u>Check questions that are sampled</u> for conformance with the approved K/A and those that are *designated SRO-only* (K/A and license level mismatches are unacceptable).
- Based on the reviewer's judgment, is the question as written (U)nsatisfactory (requiring repair or replacement), in need of (E)ditorial enhancement, or (S)atisfactory?
- 7. At a minimum, explain any "U" ratings (e.g., how the Appendix B psychometric attributes are not being met).

0,1	1. LOK	2.	3.	Psych	nometi	ric Flaw	S	4.	Job Cont	ent Fla	aws	5. C	ther	6.	7.
Q#	(F/H)	LOD (1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward		SRO Only	U/E/S	Explanation
10	L	2					X					Υ	N	U	Two correct answers. "A" is also correct (see top of reference page)  Changed "A" to output amp
11	لـــا	2	-									Υ	N	S*	This is not operationally oriented but is a direct "fit" to the K/A so it is acceptable
12	Η	3										Υ	N	E	"D(2)" seems implausible with only 40% inputs. Reword to "A Rod Block ONLY should have occurred."
13	Н	3				·						Y*	N	S*	Partial K/A mismatch: does not "predict the impact of the malfunction"  However it fits the major part of the K/A
14	Н	1-2						X				Υ	N	S*	Seems a rather simple question (not very discriminatory) *Two SRO validators got it wrong
15	H	3										Υ	N	s	
16	L	4										Y	N	E	Marginal minutia for RO Revised to be clearly RO
17	Н	3										Υ	N	s	
18	Н	2										Υ	N	s	
19	Н	2										Υ	N	E	In "D" add "High Radiation" Done

	1.	2.	3.	. Psycl	nomet	ric Flaw	s	4.	Job Cont	tent Fla	aws	5. C	ther	6.	7.
Q#	LOK (F/H)	LOD (1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia		Back- ward		SRO Only	U/E/S	Explanation
20	Η	2										Υ	Ņ	E	In "C" add " <u>either</u> the A-5" <i>Done</i>
21	Н	3										Υ	N	s	
22	Н	2										Υ	N	S*	Simple power supply question, however meets K/A
23	L	2										Υ	N	E	"A" implausible since it is a subset of "B. Add "at ASP" to stem  All distractors changed
24	L	2										Υ	N	E	K-110 and K-111 should start/load before any of these actions are required. Need to modify stem. Done
25_	Н	3										Υ	N	S	
26	Н	3										Υ	N	E	Ensure stem conditions establish a "major LOCA". Add "Drywell Pressure increasing" Done

	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	/S	4.	Job Cont	ent Fla	aws	5. C	ther	6.	7.
Q#	LOK (F/H)	LOD (1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.		Job- Link	Minutia		Back- ward		SRO Only	U/E/S	Explanation
27	L	2										Υ	N	s	
28	L	2										Y	N	E	"D" implausible at 90% power Changed to Turbine Trip and Rx Scram

Form ES-401-9

ES-401

<u> </u>	1.	2.	3	. Psycl	nomet	ric Flaw	s	4.	Job Con	tent Fla	aws	5. C	ther	6.	7.
Q#	LOK (F/H)	LOD (1-5)	Stem Focus		T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia				SRO Only	U/E/S	Explanation

	1.	2.		Pevo	homet	ric Flav	16	1 1	Job Con	tont El	3W6	5.0	Other	6.	7.
Q#	LOK	LOD		. 1 3yu	nome	r	13 T		100 001	ıenı m	aws	5. 0	Julei T		
	(F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus		T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia		Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	U/E/ S	Explanation
29	Н	2										Υ	N	s	
30	Н	2							i.			Υ	N	s	
31	Н	3							:			Υ	N	s	
32	Н	2							ļ			Υ	N	s	
33	L	4										Y	N	E	Marginal minutia for RO Reselected K/A; new question
34	Н	2							-			Υ	N	S	·
35	L	3										Υ	N	S	
36	L	2										Υ	N	s	·
37	Н	2										Υ	N	s	
38	L	2			:							Υ	Ν	s	
39	Н	2					X					Υ	N	U	"D" also correct (see provided reference) Revised "D"
40	Н	2										Υ	Ν	S	
41	Н	3				-						Υ	N	S	
42		3	,									Υ	N	s	
ES-40	1											2			Form ES-401-

	1.	2.	3	. Psycl	nometi	ric Flaw	s	4.	Job Cont	ent Fla	aws	5. C	Other	6.	7.
Q#	LOK (F/H)		Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward		SRO Only	U/E/S	Explanation
43		4					_					N	N	Ε	Marginal minutia to use valve # Changed to use nomenclature
44	L	2										Υ	N	s	
45	Н	4										Υ*	N	S*	Weak K/A match but good operational question
46	L	2										Υ	N	s	
47	L	4										Υ	N	S	
48	H	3	;									Υ	N	E	"C" implausible; just <u>adds</u> heat Changed "C"
49	L	2										Υ	N	s	
50	L	3										Υ	N	E	add bullet in stem "Rx pressure is 300#" to ensure LPCI initiation Done
51	Н	3										Υ	N	s	
52	L	3						X				Ν	N	U	K/A mismatch. K/A is supposed to be "Emergency Plant Evolutions", not normal operations such as surveillance testing New question
53	L	3										Υ	Ν	s	
54	L	2										Υ	N	E	Rephrase stem; "to ensure that" does not match grammatically with all distractors  Done
55	L	4										Υ	Ν	E	Marginal minutia for RO (K/A=3.4 for RO) Reselected K/A; new question

	1.	2.	3	. Psyc	homet	ric Flaw	/S	4.	Job Cont	tent Fl	aws	5. C	Other	6.	7.
Q#	LOK (F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia		Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	U/E/S	Explanation
56	Н	2										Υ	N	s	
57	L	2										Υ	N	s	
58	L	3										Υ	N	E	Delete "one smoke detector" and insert a detector number. Current stem provides excess cues Revised question; provided prints to make operational
59	H	2										Υ	Ν	E	No <i>Operator</i> action <i>Done</i>
60	Н	3										Υ	Ν	S	
61	Н	2										Υ	N	s	
62	L	3										Υ	N	S	
63	Н	3		i				_				Υ	N	S	
64	L	2										N*	N	S*	Minor K/A mismatch. Does not ask the <u>reason</u> for the scram. However major part of K/A met.
65	Н	4										Υ	N	S*	*Although borderline minutia it has a K/A 3.4 for RO
66	L_	2										Υ	N	S	
67	L	2										Υ	N	s	
68	L	3										Υ	N	S	
69	L	2										Y	N	s	Note: This question contains SUNSI. Use proper handling.

Q#	1. LOK	2.	3	. Psycl	nomet	ric Flaw	s	4.	Job Cont	tent Fla	aws	5. C	Other	6.	7.
Q#	(F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	U/E/S	Explanation
70	Н	2										Υ	N	S	
71	Η	2										Υ	N	s	
72	I	3										Υ	N	S	
73	L	2										Y*	N	S*	Acceptable K/A match since PSE is a "related facility control"
74	Н	3										Υ	Ν	S	
75	Н	3										Υ	Ν	S	
76	Н	2										Υ	Υ	s	
77	Н	4										Υ	Υ	s	
78	Н	3										Υ	Y*	E	Need to "select" procedures for 43(5);In "C" cooldown per procedure PNPS 5.3.35.1 Done
79	L	3										Υ	Υ	S	
80	H	2										Υ	Υ*	E	Need to "select" procedures to be 43(5); make distractors symmetrical Done
81	H	2										Υ	Υ	S	add bullet to stem: RPV Pressure 950 psig to facilitate ED Done
82	Н	3										Υ	Υ	S	

	1.	2.	3.	. Psycl	nomet	ric Flaw	s	4.	Job Cont	ent Fla	aws	5. C	ther	6.	7.
Q#	LOK (F/H)	(1-5)	Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	U/E/S	Explanation
83	Н	2										Υ	N	E	Stem needs work to make more SRO level. Provide data and have SRO conclude HCTL problem. Done
84	Н	2										Υ	Y	s	
<b>3</b> 5	I	3										Υ	Y	E	Need to "select" procedures for 43(5)  Done
86	Н	2										Υ	Υ	S	
87	L	3										Υ	Υ	S*	SAMG are SRO
88	Н	3										Υ	Υ	S	
89		2		X		X		X				Υ	Z	U	This is a DLO for SRO with TS 3.10.B(3) available. Two implausible distractors ("C" and "D"). Deleted 3.10.B(3) from references; revised "C" and "D".
90	Н	3										Υ	Υ	s	
91	Н	2										Υ	Υ	s	
92	L	3										Υ	Υ	S*	SUNSI in question
93	L	2				X						Υ	Y	U	2 implausible distractors A(2) and C(2) considering PNPS 2.4.143 has been implemented Revised "C" and "D"
94	Н	3										Υ	Υ	S	:

Q#	1. LOK (F/H)	2. LOD (1-5)	3. Psychometric Flaws					4.	4. Job Content Flaws				ther	6.	7.
			Stem Focus	Cues	T/F	Cred. Dist.	Partial	Job- Link	Minutia	#/ units	Back- ward	Q= K/A	SRO Only	U/E/S	Explanation
95	<u>L</u>	2										Υ	Υ	E	"B" appears to be implausible given near normal plant conditions (no accident)  Revised "B"
96	Н	3										Υ	Υ	Е	38-07 in explanation  Done
97	L	2										Υ	Υ	S	
98	L	3										Υ	Υ	S	
99	Н	2										Υ	Υ	E	Explanation needs revision (two areas, not one)  Done
100	L	5							Х			Υ	Υ	U	Seems like minutia to ask specific steps to address a commitment made for a TS change. Developed new question