

A. Edward Scherer Manager of Nuclear Regulatory Affairs

February 23, 2007

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

Subject: Docket Nos. 50-206, 50-361, and 50-362 Semiannual 10 CFR 26 Fitness for Duty Program Data - 2006 San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station, Units 1, 2 and 3

Dear Sir or Madam:

In accordance with 10 CFR 26.71(d), this submittal provides the required semiannual Fitness For Duty Program Performance Data for the reporting period of July 1, 2006 to December 31, 2006 (Attachment 1).

A Summary of Information and Management Actions Report for the reporting period has also been included (Attachment 2).

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact Clay E. Williams at (949) 368-6707.

Sincerely,

Alphun

Attachment 1: 10 CFR 26 Performance Data Attachment 2: Summary of Information and Management Actions Report

CC: B. S. Mallett, NRC Regional Administrator, Region IV
J. C. Shepherd, NRC Project Manager, San Onofre Unit 1
K. Kalyanam, NRC Project Manager, San Onofre Units 2 and 3
C. C. Osterholtz, NRC Senior Resident Inspector, San Onofre Units 2 and 3
P.O. Box 128
San Clemente, CA 92672
949-368-7501
Fax 949-368-7575



FITNESS FOR DUTY PROGRAM

ATTACHMENT 1 Page 1 of 2

Performance Data Personnel Subject to 10CFR26

Southern California Edison

July 1, 2006 to December 31, 2006 6 months ending

San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station

C. E. Baugher,	Fitness for Duty	/ Program Mgr.
CONTACT NAME		

<u>(949) 368-2482</u> Phone Number

CUTOFFS: SCREEN/CONFIRMATION (NG/ML)

Marijuana	50/10	BARBITURATES		300/200
COCAINE	300/150	BENZODIAZEPINE		300/300
O PIATES	300/300	METHADONE	•	300/200
AMPHETAMINES	1000/500	PROPOXYPHENE		300/200
METHAMPHETAMINE	1000/500	PHENCYCLIDINE		25/25
AMPHETAMINE	/200	ALCOHOL (%BAC)		.04

TESTING RESULTS	Licens	ee Emplo	oyees	Contractor Personnel (SHORT TERM ONLY)			
AVERAGE NUMBER W/UNESCORTED ACCESS	2237				939		
Categories	# Tested	# Positive	# REFERRED TO MANDATORY TREATMENT	# Access Restored	# Tested	# Positive	# Access Restored
Pre-Access	244	1	0	0	1372	5	0
For Cause	7	0	0	0	0	0	0
Post Accident	5	0	0	0	9	0	0
Random	577	1	1	0	162	2	0
Follow-Up	19	0	0	0	14	0	0
Other	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
Total	853	2	1	0	1558	7	0

Random Testing during this period = 23%

Individuals Tested	20	02	20	03	20	04	2005		2006	
# Failed	4	3	4	0	2	2	4	2	4	3
# Tested	799	470	577	721	746	911	834	639	1025	739
% Failed	.5%	.6%	.7%	.0%	.3%	.2%	.5%	.3%	.4%	.4%

Table 1. RANDOM TESTING PROGRAM RESULTS

Table 2. BREAKDOWN OF CONFIRMED POSITIVE TESTS

	Mariju	iana	Cocaine	Methamp	Alcohol
	100/15 <i>a</i>	50/10 <i>b</i>		hetamine	
Licensee	1	1	0	0	1
Employees					
Contract	3	4	1	2	0
Workers					
Totals	4	5	1	2	1
Onsite Presumptive		5	1		
# Certified Lab		5	1		
Confirmation Ratio)	100%	100%		

a - NRC levels

b - SCE levels

Summary of Information and Management Actions Report

(Reporting Period: July 1, 2006 - December 31, 2006)

- 1. There were no temporary suspensions or other administrative actions taken against individuals based upon on-site presumptive positive tests for marijuana or cocaine.
- 2. One (1) employee and five (5) contract workers were denied unescorted protected area access following pre-access chemical test failures. The employee was a new hire with less than 6 months in service and was terminated in accordance with Company policy and denied access. The contract workers were provided detailed information regarding the right to appeal management actions resulting in access denial.
- 3. One (1) employee and two (2) contract workers were denied unescorted protected area access following random chemical test failures. The employee was subject to a mandatory treatment program in order to be eligible for reinstatement of access. There were no reinstatements of access during the reporting period.
- 4. There were no transfers of licensee employees to non-nuclear positions (away from San Onofre site) as a result of failed chemical tests.
- 5. The MRO reviewed two (2) employees' and seven (7) contract workers' appeal test results. The original test results were confirmed. All individuals were provided with detailed instructions regarding the right to appeal management actions resulting in access denial.
- 6. Three (3) contract workers were declared uncooperative after they refused to submit acceptable specimens. The workers were permanently denied site access. The workers were provided detailed information regarding the right to appeal management actions resulting in access denial. One (1) contract worker appealed the access denial and the denial was subsequently upheld.
- 7. There were no identified deficiencies in the Fitness for Duty program.
- 8. During this period, there were no identified items reported to the NRC in accordance with 10 CFR 26.73.