

Exhibit 300 (BY2008)

PART ONE

OVERVIEW

| | |
|---|---|
| 1. Date of Submission: | 2006-09-07 |
| 2. Agency: | 429 |
| 3. Bureau: | 00 |
| 4. Investment Name: | Cost Accounting System (CAS) |
| 5. UPI: | 429-00-01-01-01-2030-00 |
| 6. What kind of investment will this be in FY2008? | Operations and Maintenance |
| 7. What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB? | FY2003 |
| 8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap. | The Cost Accounting System was initially developed in an effort to complete a remediation plan to implement Statement of Federal Financial Accounting Standards (SFFAS) No. 4, Managerial Cost Accounting Concepts and Standards for the Federal Government. The system was certified for operation during the 4th QTR of FY 2002. The cost accounting system enables the NRC to assign and allocate costs, trace allocation paths, and provide multidimensional analysis. The system provides managers with cost data to assist them in evaluating the performance of their programs, to capture full cost of software development projects, and to produce reports for use in the compilation of the agency quarterly financial statements. The system includes an obligation model that managers can use for budget formulation decisions. This investment adheres to OMB Circular A-130 for Investment Management and OMB Circular A-127 for financial systems improvement, is managed by a Level 1 Certified Program Manager, and incorporates the best practices of OMB's Office of Federal Financial Management (OFFM). Acquisition Life Cycle planning is institutionalized in the NRC's CPIC and clearly defined performance goals and metrics have been institutionalized for this investment. Several Presidential initiatives including Budget Performance Integration, Financial Performance, and Expanded E-Government are addressed in this investment. Line of Sight is institutionalized in the NRC's Consolidated Reference Model (CRM). Security is maintained through the NRC Certification and Accreditation and Configuration Management processes. C&A of this investment is currently in process with the annual self assessment, e-authentication risk assessment, and privacy impact assessment being completed. Risks have been identified and included in the NRC's Risk Management Plan and CPIC Program. Continuity of Operations is institutionalized and offsite storage of data is maintained. |
| 9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request? | yes |
| 9.a. If "yes," what was the date of this approval? | 2002-09-27 |
| 10. Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit? | yes |
| 11. Project Manager Name: | Flo, Amanda |
| Project Manager Phone: | 301-415-6038 |
| Project Manager Email: | AEF1@NRC.GOV |
| 12. Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project. | no |
| 12.a. Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)? | no |
| 12.b. Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable to non-IT assets only) | no |
| 12.b.3. If yes, is it designed to be 30% more energy efficient than relevant code? | yes |

If yes, select the initiatives that apply:

Budget Performance Integration

Expanded E-Government

Financial Performance

13.a. Briefly describe how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s)?

CAS supports the budget & performance integration initiative by providing NRC managers & staff information consistent with NRC Strategic and Performance Plans. CAS provides comparative full cost financial performance information that is used by agency managers in making decisions regarding resource utilization. CAS supports the e gov't initiative "automate internal processes to reduce costs internally" by producing cost accounting information more efficient through the use of technology.

14. Does this investment support a program assessed using OMB's Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)?

no

15. Is this investment for information technology (See section 53 for definition)?

yes

16. What is the level of the IT Project (per CIO Council's PM Guidance)?

Level 1

17. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per CIO Council's PM Guidance)

(1) Project manager has been validated as qualified for this investment

18. Is this investment identified as high risk on the Q4 - FY 2006 agency high risk report (per OMB's high risk memo)?

no

19. Is this a financial management system?

yes

19.a. If yes, does this investment address a FFIA compliance area?

yes

19.a.1. If yes, which compliance area:

SFFAS No. 4 and SFFAS No. 10

19.b. If yes, please identify the system name(s) and system acronym(s) as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory update required by Circular A11 section 52.

Managerial Cost Accounting System (MCA)

20. What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2008 funding request for the following? (This should total 100%)

| | |
|-----------------|-----|
| Hardware | 0 |
| Software | 0 |
| Services | 100 |
| Other | 0 |

21. If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities?

n/a

22. Contact information of individual responsible for privacy related questions.

Name

Sandra S. Northern

Phone Number

301) 415-6879

Title

Privacy Officer

Email

SSN@NRC.GOV

23. Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval?

yes

SUMMARY OF SPEND

1. Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table. All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated Government FTE Cost, and should be excluded from the amounts shown for Planning, Full Acquisition, and Operation/Maintenance. The total estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for Planning, Full Acquisition, and Operation/Maintenance. For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.

All amounts represent Budget Authority

(Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)

| | PY-1 & Earlier | PY | CY |
|--|----------------|-------|-------|
| | -2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
| Planning Budgetary Resources | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Acquisition Budgetary Resources | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Maintenance Budgetary Resources | 0.489 | 0.385 | 0.325 |
| Government FTE Cost | 0.000 | 0.176 | 0.096 |
| # of FTEs | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Note: For the cross-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing partner and partner agencies).

Government FTE Costs should not be included as part of the TOTAL represented.

2. Will this project require the agency to hire additional FTE's?

no

3. If the summary of spending has changed from the FY2007 President's budget request, briefly explain those changes.

Funding adjusted to more closely reflect current funding usage.

| |
|--------------------|
| PERFORMANCE |
|--------------------|

In order to successfully address this area of the exhibit 300, performance goals must be provided for the agency and be linked to the annual performance plan. The investment must discuss the agency's mission and strategic goals, and performance measures must be provided. These goals need to map to the gap in the agency's strategic goals and objectives this investment is designed to fill. They are the internal and external performance benefits this investment is expected to deliver to the agency (e.g., improve efficiency by 60 percent, increase citizen participation by 300 percent a year to achieve an overall citizen participation rate of 75 percent by FY 2xxx, etc.). The goals must be clearly measurable investment outcomes, and if applicable, investment outputs. They do not include the completion date of the module, milestones, or investment, or general goals, such as, significant, better, improved that do not have a quantitative or qualitative measure.

Agencies must use Table 1 below for reporting performance goals and measures for all non-IT investments and for existing IT investments that were initiated prior to FY 2005. The table can be extended to include measures for years beyond FY 2006.

Table 1

| | Fiscal Year | Strategic Goal(s) Supported | Performance Measure | Actual/baseline (from Previous Year) | Planned Performance Metric (Target) | Performance Metric Results (Actual) |
|---|--------------------|--|--|--|--|---|
| 1 | 2003 | NRC Corporate Management Strategy 1: Employ innovative and sound business practices | Maintain current updates to ensure compliance with federal regulations and policies. | 100% current with updates and in compliance with federal regulations and policies on payroll and time and labor reporting. | 100% current with updates and in compliance with federal regulations and policies on payroll and time and labor reporting. | 100% current with updates and in compliance with federal regulations and policies on payroll and time and labor reporting. |
| 2 | 2003 | NRC Corporate Management Strategy 1: Employ innovative and sound business practices | Maintain status of unqualified opinion for Fiscal Year statements. | Published the Fiscal Year 2002 statement and received an unqualified opinion. | Maintain status of unqualified opinion for Fiscal Year statements. | Published the Fiscal Year 2003 statement and received an unqualified opinion. |
| 3 | 2004 | NRC Corporate Management Strategy 1: Employ innovative and sound business practices | Maintain current updates to ensure compliance with federal regulations and policies. | 100% current with updates and in compliance with federal regulations and policies on cost accounting, systems, payroll and time and labor reporting. | 100% current with updates and in compliance with federal regulations and policies on cost accounting, systems, payroll and time and labor reporting. | Due to the successful completion of a remediation plan for the Fiscal Year 2004 CFO audit, the material weakness has been eliminated and the agency is fully compliant with federal regulations and policies. |
| 4 | 2004 | NRC Corporate Management Strategy 1: Employ innovative and sound business practices | Maintain quality of input for the agency's annual financial statement. | Published the Fiscal Year 2003 financial statement and received an unqualified opinion. | Maintain status of unqualified opinion for Fiscal Year statements. | Provided input for the statement of Net Cost within 15 or fewer business days from the close of the core financial management system contributing to unqualified opinion for the Fiscal Year 2004 financial statement audit |
| 5 | 2004 | NRC Corporate Management Strategy 3: Provide proactive information management and information technology services. | Improve user/customer satisfaction for cost accounting. Perform survey of 10 other agencies and rank agency's expansion of cost information into business processes. | Integrate cost management information into new business processes. | Improve usability measured relative to other agency's use of cost information from survey results. | Survey results were used to determine best practices in the area of cost accounting. |
| 6 | 2004 | NRC Corporate Management Strategy 3: Provide proactive information management and information technology services. | Improve access by agency program managers to current financial and performance information. | Quarterly cost accounting reports are being distributed to NRC managers. | Number of program managers able to obtain financial and performance data and utilizing it for day-to-day decision-making. | Produced additional reports for program managers. |
| 7 | 2005 | NRC Strategic Goal 5: Management: Ensure excellence in agency management to carry out the | Maintain current updates to ensure compliance with federal regulations and policies. | 100% current with updates and in compliance with federal regulations and policies on cost accounting, systems, | 100% current with updates and in compliance with federal regulations and policies on cost accounting, | 100% current with updates and in compliance with federal regulations and policies on cost accounting, systems, payroll and time |

| | | | | | | |
|----|------|--|---|---|--|---|
| | | NRC's strategic objective. | | payroll and time and labor reporting. | systems, payroll and time and labor reporting. | and labor reporting. |
| 8 | 2005 | NRC Strategic Goal 5: Management: Ensure excellence in agency management to carry out the NRC's strategic objective. | Maintain quality of input for the agency's annual financial statement. | Published the Fiscal Year 2004 financial statement and received an unqualified opinion. | Maintain status of unqualified opinion for Fiscal Year statements. | Published the Fiscal Year 2004 statement and received an unqualified opinion. |
| 9 | 2005 | NRC Strategic Goal 5: Management: Ensure excellence in agency management to carry out the NRC's strategic objective. | Improve user/customer satisfaction for cost accounting. | (New goal- customer satisfaction baseline to be established in Fiscal Year 2005) | Improve usability by eliminating deficiencies cited in past information systems surveys. Level of satisfaction to be measured with customer survey. | Unable to establish baseline. Survey results were statistically insignificant. Number of responses yielded a sample size that was too small to represent entire user group. |
| 10 | 2005 | NRC Strategic Goal 5: Management: Ensure excellence in agency management to carry out the NRC's strategic objective. | Improve access by agency program managers to current financial and performance information. | Quarterly cost accounting reports are being distributed to NRC managers. | Number of program managers able to obtain financial and performance data and utilizing it for day-to-day decision-making. | Provided additional reports containing obligation data for program managers for their budget formulation process. |
| 11 | 2006 | NRC Strategic Goal 4: Effectiveness: Ensure that NRC actions are effective, efficient, realistic, and timely. | Quarterly cost accounting reports are being distributed to NRC managers. | Improve access by agency program managers to current financial and performance information. | Number of end-users with desk-top access and ad-hoc capabilities regarding financial and performance data and utilizing it for day-to-day decision making. | 0 end-users have desktop access. Due to limited resources and management's intention to replace the core accounting system within the next few years, it was determined this initiative would not be pursued. |

All new IT investments initiated for FY 2005 and beyond must use Table 2 and are required to use the FEA Performance Reference Model (PRM). Please use Table 2 and the PRM to identify the performance information pertaining to this major IT investment. Map all Measurement Indicators to the corresponding "Measurement Area" and "Measurement Grouping" identified in the PRM. There should be at least one Measurement Indicator for at least four different Measurement Areas (for each fiscal year). The PRM is available at www.egov.gov.

Table 2

| | Fiscal Year | Measurement Area | Measurement Grouping | Measurement Indicator | Baseline | Planned Improvement to the Baseline | Actual Results |
|---|-------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---|--|---|
| 1 | 2006 | Mission and Business Results | Reporting and Information | Reporting and Information | 0 end-users have desk-top access and ad-hoc capabilities regarding financial performance data and utilizing it for day-to-day decision making | 8 end-users will have desk-top access and ad-hoc capabilities regarding financial performance data and utilizing it for day-to-day decision making | 0 end-users will have desk-top access. Due to limited resources and management's intention to replace the core financial system within the next few years, it was determined that this initiative would not be pursued. |
| 2 | 2006 | Customer Results | Automation | Automation | 2 cost models and 13 reports available to customers | 3 cost models and 16 reports | 3 cost models and 16 reports |
| 3 | 2006 | Processes and Activities | Compliance | Compliance | 100% compliant with FISMA requirements | 100% compliant with FISMA requirements | Currently undergoing C&A. |
| 4 | 2006 | Technology | Response Time | Response Time | Distribute cost management reports to within 15 business days of the close of the period. | Distribute cost management reports to within 10 business days of the close of the period. | Distributed cost management reports to within 7 business days of the close of the period. |
| 5 | 2007 | Mission and Business Results | Reporting and Information | Reporting and Information | 8 end-users will have desk-top access and | 15 end-users will have desk-top access and ad- | 0 end-users will have desk-top access. Due to limited |

| | | | | | | | |
|---|------|--------------------------|---------------|---------------|--|---|---|
| | | | | | ad-hoc capabilities regarding financial performance data and utilizing it for day-to-day decision making | hoc capabilities regarding financial performance data and utilizing it for day-to-day decision making | resources and management's intention to replace the core financial system within the next few years, it was determined that this initiative would not be pursued. |
| 6 | 2007 | Customer Results | Automation | Automation | 3 cost models and 16 reports | 4 cost models and 19 reports | Pending |
| 7 | 2007 | Processes and Activities | Compliance | Compliance | Maintain 100% compliance with governing legislation as it evolves | Maintain 100% compliance with governing legislation as it evolves | Pending |
| 8 | 2007 | Technology | Response Time | Response Time | Distribute cost management reports to within 10 business days of the close of the period. | Distribute cost management reports to within 7 business days of the close of the period. | Pending |

EA

In order to successfully address this area of the business case and capital asset plan you must ensure the investment is included in the agency's EA and Capital Planning and Investment Control (CPIC) process, and is mapped to and supports the FEA. You must also ensure the business case demonstrates the relationship between the investment and the business, performance, data, services, application, and technology layers of the agency's EA.

1. Is this investment included in your agency's target enterprise architecture?

yes

2. Is this investment included in the agency's EA Transition Strategy?

yes

2.a. If yes, provide the investment name as identified in the Transition Strategy provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment.

Cost Accounting System

3. Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to <http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/egov/>.

Component: Use existing SRM Components or identify as NEW. A NEW component is one not already identified as a service component in the FEA SRM.

Reused Name and UPI: A reused component is one being funded by another investment, but being used by this investment. Rather than answer yes or no, identify the reused service component funded by the other investment and identify the other investment using the Unique Project Identifier (UPI) code from the OMB Ex 300 or Ex 53 submission.

Internal or External Reuse?: Internal reuse is within an agency. For example, one agency within a department is reusing a service component provided by another agency within the same department. External reuse is one agency within a department reusing a service component provided by another agency in another department. A good example of this is an E-Gov initiative service being reused by multiple organizations across the federal government.

Funding Percentage: Please provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount used for each service component listed in the table. If external, provide the funding level transferred to another agency to pay for the service.

| | Agency Component Name | Agency Component Description | Service Type | Component | Reused Component Name | Reused UPI | Internal or External Reuse? | Funding % |
|----|------------------------------|---|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| 1 | Digital Asset Services | CAS allows access to information | Knowledge Management | Information Retrieval | | | No Reuse | 0 |
| 2 | Digital Asset Services | CAS will support the organization and delivery of multiple user requests | Knowledge Management | Information Sharing | | | No Reuse | 0 |
| 3 | Digital Asset Services | CAS allows for the classification of documents into various categories and statuses | Knowledge Management | Categorization | | | No Reuse | 0 |
| 4 | Digital Asset Services | CAS will facilitate the collection of data and information | Knowledge Management | Knowledge Capture | | | No Reuse | 0 |
| 5 | Digital Asset Services | CAS will distribute information to the system users | Knowledge Management | Knowledge Distribution and Delivery | | | No Reuse | 0 |
| 6 | Digital Asset Services | CAS will support the ownership of intellectual capital belonging to the NRC | Records Management | Digital Rights Management | | | No Reuse | 0 |
| 7 | Business Analytical Services | CAS will support statistical inference based on the data that is collects and maintains | Analysis and Statistics | Mathematical | | | No Reuse | 0 |
| 8 | Business Analytical Services | CAS will support the multidimensional analysis of NRC cost data | Business Intelligence | Demand Forecasting / Mgmt | | | No Reuse | 0 |
| 9 | Business Analytical Services | CAS data will support the analysis of information to be used in decision making by the agency | Business Intelligence | Decision Support and Planning | | | No Reuse | 0 |
| 10 | Back Office Services | CAS will support exchange of data with other agency applications | Data Management | Data Exchange | | | No Reuse | 0 |
| 11 | Back Office | CAS supports a cost accounting | Data Management | Data Mart | | | No Reuse | 0 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|----|------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|----------|---|
| | Services | data mart | | | | | | |
| 12 | Back Office Services | CAS will store multi-year financial information, serving as an historical database | Data Management | Data Warehouse | | | No Reuse | 0 |
| 13 | Back Office Services | CAS will automate removal of erroneous data from the system | Data Management | Data Cleansing | | | No Reuse | 0 |
| 14 | Back Office Services | CAS will support SFFAS # 4 cost accounting reports | Data Management | Extraction and Transformation | | | No Reuse | 0 |
| 15 | Back Office Services | CAS financial data will be archived after it is no longer needed for active financial management | Data Management | Loading and Archiving | | | No Reuse | 0 |
| 16 | Back Office Services | CAS provides recovery services for lost data | Data Management | Data Recovery | | | No Reuse | 0 |
| 17 | Back Office Services | CAS will allow for the classification of data | Data Management | Data Classification | | | No Reuse | 0 |
| 18 | Back Office Services | CAS will support the charging, collection and reporting of NRC's accounts | Financial Management | Billing and Accounting | | | No Reuse | 0 |
| 19 | Back Office Services | CAS will support the management and reimbursement of costs paid by employees or the NRC | Financial Management | Expense Management | | | No Reuse | 0 |
| 20 | Back Office Services | CAS data will support creation of the NRC financial statement | Financial Management | Auditing | | | No Reuse | 0 |
| 21 | Back Office Services | CAS will support a specific set of finance-related tasks for a given objective | Financial Management | Activity-Based Management | | | No Reuse | 0 |
| 22 | Back Office Services | CAS supports the organization of data from multiple agencies into a single source | Development and Integration | Data Integration | | | No Reuse | 0 |
| 23 | Back Office Services | Some software development work is done to maintain the CAS system and to incorporate modernizations | Development and Integration | Software Development | | | No Reuse | 0 |
| 24 | Back Office Services | CAS will support making available labor cost information necessary for sound workforce and budget-performance planning | Human Capital / Workforce Management | Resource Planning and Allocation | | | No Reuse | 0 |
| 25 | Support Services | CAS provides complete search and retrieval services | Search | Query | | | No Reuse | 0 |
| 26 | Support Services | CAS provides conduct and context in its search capability | Search | Pattern Matching | | | No Reuse | 0 |
| 27 | Support Services | CAS will assign and manage roles for its users | Security Management | Access Control | | | No Reuse | 0 |
| 28 | Business Analytical Services | CAS provides dynamic reporting capabilities | Reporting | Ad Hoc | | | No Reuse | 0 |
| 29 | Business Analytical Services | CAS provides preformatted reports | Reporting | Standardized / Canned | | | No Reuse | 0 |

4. To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.

FEA SRM Component: Service Components identified in the previous question should be entered in this column. Please enter multiple rows for FEA SRM Components supported by multiple TRM Service Specifications.

Service Specification: In the Service Specification field, Agencies should provide information on the specified technical standard or vendor product mapped to the FEA TRM Service

Standard, including model or version numbers, as appropriate.

| | SRM Component | Service Area | Service Category | Service Standard | Service Specification (i.e., vendor and product name) |
|----|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| 1 | Information Retrieval | Service Platform and Infrastructure | Delivery Servers | Application Servers | COGNOS Impromptu and Powerplay |
| 2 | Information Sharing | Service Platform and Infrastructure | Delivery Servers | Application Servers | COGNOS Impromptu and Powerplay |
| 3 | Categorization | Service Platform and Infrastructure | Delivery Servers | Application Servers | ALG Metify |
| 4 | Knowledge Distribution and Delivery | Service Platform and Infrastructure | Delivery Servers | Application Servers | COGNOS Impromptu Powerplay |
| 5 | Mathematical | Service Platform and Infrastructure | Delivery Servers | Application Servers | ALG Metify |
| 6 | Demand Forecasting / Mgmt | Service Platform and Infrastructure | Delivery Servers | Application Servers | ALG Metify |
| 7 | Decision Support and Planning | Service Platform and Infrastructure | Delivery Servers | Application Servers | ALG Metify |
| 8 | Data Exchange | Service Platform and Infrastructure | Database / Storage | Database | Sybase |
| 9 | Data Mart | Service Platform and Infrastructure | Database / Storage | Database | Sybase |
| 10 | Data Warehouse | Service Platform and Infrastructure | Database / Storage | Database | Sybase |
| 11 | Data Cleansing | Service Platform and Infrastructure | Database / Storage | Database | Sybase |
| 12 | Extraction and Transformation | Service Platform and Infrastructure | Database / Storage | Database | Sybase |
| 13 | Data Classification | Service Platform and Infrastructure | Database / Storage | Database | Sybase |
| 14 | Activity-Based Management | Service Platform and Infrastructure | Delivery Servers | Application Servers | ALG Metify |
| 15 | Data Integration | Component Framework | Data Management | Database Connectivity | ODBC |
| 16 | Software Development | Service Platform and Infrastructure | Software Engineering | Integrated Development Environment | MS Visual Studio |
| 17 | Software Development | Component Framework | Business Logic | Platform Dependent | Visual Basic |
| 18 | Access Control | Service Platform and Infrastructure | Support Platforms | Platform Dependent | Windows 2000 Server |
| 19 | Query | Service Platform and Infrastructure | Delivery Servers | Application Servers | ALG Metify |
| 20 | Pattern Matching | Service Platform and Infrastructure | Delivery Servers | Application Servers | COGNOS Impromptu and Powerplay |
| 21 | Auditing | Service Platform and Infrastructure | Support Platforms | Platform Dependent | Sybase |
| 22 | Ad Hoc | Service Platform and Infrastructure | Delivery Servers | Application Servers | COGNOS Impromptu and Powerplay |
| 23 | Standardized / Canned | Service Platform and Infrastructure | Delivery Servers | Application Servers | COGNOS Impromptu and Powerplay |

5. Will the application leverage existing components and/or applications across the Government (i.e., FirstGov, Pay.Gov, etc)?

| |
|----|
| no |
|----|

| |
|--------------------------------------|
| <i>5.a. If yes, please describe.</i> |
|--------------------------------------|

| |
|--|
| The Cost Accounting System does not leverage existing components and/or applications across the Government. However, the Cost Accounting System interfaces with the NRC existing core accounting system, Federal Financial System (FFS) serviced by the Department of the Interior's National Business Center and widely used across the Government. |
|--|

| |
|---|
| <i>6. Does this investment provide the public with access to a government automated information system?</i> |
|---|

| |
|----|
| no |
|----|

PART THREE

| |
|-------------|
| RISK |
|-------------|

You should perform a risk assessment during the early planning and initial concept phase of the investment's life-cycle, develop a risk-adjusted life-cycle cost estimate and a plan to eliminate, mitigate or manage risk, and be actively managing risk throughout the investment's life-cycle.

Answer the following questions to describe how you are managing investment risks.

1. Does the investment have a Risk Management Plan?

yes

1.a. If yes, what is the date of the plan?

2002-09-26

1.b. Has the Risk Management Plan been significantly changed since last year's submission to OMB?

no

COST & SCHEDULE

1. Was operational analysis conducted?

no

1.c. If no, please explain why it was not conducted and if there are any plans to conduct operational analysis in the future.

Several activities are conducted routinely on a regularly scheduled basis to determine the operational performance and functionality of the system. These activities include: monitoring project funding status, change control management, reviewing functional and reporting requirements against current needs, and monitoring cost effectiveness of activities.

Generated by ProSight