

Tennessee Valley Authority, Post Office Box 2000, Soddy-Daisy, Tennessee 37384-2000

January 31, 2007

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

Gentlemen:

| In the Matter of |) | Docket No. 50-327 |
|----------------------------|---|-------------------|
| Tennessee Valley Authority |) | |

SEQUOYAH NUCLEAR PLANT (SQN) - UNIT 1 CYCLE 15 CORE OPERATING LIMITS REPORT (COLR) REVISION 1

In accordance with the SQN Unit 1 Technical Specifications 6.9.1.14.c, enclosed is the revised Unit 1 Cycle 15 COLR. The COLR was revised to incorporate changes in the heat flux hot channel factor, elevation dependant adjustment factor, and the axial flux difference limits. These revised factors were necessary to improve operating margins for SQN Unit 1.

Please direct questions concerning this issue to me at (423) 843-7170.

Sincerely,

un W. Mori

Glenn W. Morris Manager, Site Licensing and Industry Affairs

Enclosure

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Page 2 January 31, 2007

cc (Enclosure): Mr. Brendan T. Moroney, Senior Project Manager U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mail Stop 08G-9a One White Flint North 11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, Maryland 20852-2739

1. * 1.^{*}*

CORE OPERATING LIMITS REPORT

REVISION 1

January 2007

Prepared by:

5

.

| Original Signed by T. D. Beu | / January 18, 2007 | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|
| PWR Fuel Engineering | Date | | |
| Verified by: | | | |
| Original Signed by B. S. Catalanotto | / January 18, 2007 | | |
| PWR Fuel Engineering | Date | | |
| Reviewed by: | | | |
| Original Signed by C. S. Faulkner | / January 18, 2007 | | |
| PWR Fuel Engineering Manager | Date | | |
| | | | |
| Original Signed by C. A. Griffin | / January 19, 2007 | | |
| Reactor Engineering Supervisor | Date | | |
| Approved by: | | | |
| Original Signed by M. H. Palmer | / January 23, 2007 | | |
| PORC Chairman | Date | | |
| | | | |
| Original Signed by D. A. Kulisek | / January 24, 2007 | | |
| Plant Manager | Date | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Revision <u>1</u>

Pages affected 1, 3, 4, 12-14

Reason for Revision <u>To incorporate changes in the FQ limit, K(Z) curve, and AFD limits due to a revised</u> Large Break LOCA analysis to improve operating margins

1.0 CORE OPERATING LIMITS REPORT

This Core Operating Limits Report (COLR) for Sequoyah Unit 1 Cycle 15 has been prepared in accordance with the requirements of Technical Specification (TS) 6.9.1.14.

The TSs affected by this report are listed below:

- TABLE 2.2-1 $f_1(\Delta I)$ trip reset function for OT ΔT Trip (QTNL, QTPL) and rates of trip setpoint decrease per percent ΔI (QTNS, QTPS)
- TABLE 2.2-1 $f_2(\Delta I)$ trip reset function for OP ΔT Trip (QPNL, QPPL) and rates of trip setpoint decrease per percent ΔI (QPNS, QPPS)
- 3/4.1.1.3 Moderator Temperature Coefficient (MTC)
- 3/4.1.3.5 Shutdown Rod Insertion Limit
- 3/4.1.3.6 Control Rod Insertion Limits
- 3/4.2.1 Axial Flux Difference (AFD)
- 3/4.2.2 Heat Flux Hot Channel Factor (F_Q(X,Y,Z))
- 3/4.2.3 Nuclear Enthalpy Rise Hot Channel Factor ($F_{\Delta H}(X,Y)$)

2.0 OPERATING LIMITS

The cycle-specific parameter limits for the specifications listed in section 1.0 are presented in the following subsections. These limits have been developed using the NRC approved methodologies specified in TS 6.9.1.14. The versions of the topical reports which describe the methodologies used for this cycle are listed in Table 1.

The following abbreviations are used in this section:

BOL stands for Beginning of Cycle Life ARO stands for All Rods Out HZP stands for Hot Zero THERMAL POWER EOL stands for End of Cycle Life RTP stands for RATED THERMAL POWER

2.1 <u>Moderator Temperature Coefficient - MTC</u> (Specification 3/4.1.1.3)

2.1.1 The MTC limits are:

The BOL/ARO/HZP MTC shall be less positive than $0 \Delta k/k/^{\circ}F$ (BOL limit). With the measured BOL/ARO/HZP MTC more positive than $-0.16 \times 10^{-5} \Delta k/k/^{\circ}F$ (as-measured MTC limit), establish control rod withdrawal limits to ensure the MTC remains less positive than $0 \Delta k/k/^{\circ}F$ for all times in core life.

The EOL/ARO/RTP MTC shall be less negative than or equal to -4.5 x $10^{-4} \Delta k/k/^{\circ}F$.

2.1.2 The 300 ppm surveiliance limit is:

The measured 300 ppm/ARO/RTP MTC should be less negative than or equal to -3.75 x $10^{-4} \Delta k/k/^{\circ}$ F.

2.2 <u>Shutdown Rod Insertion Limit</u> (Specification 3/4.1.3.5)

2.2.1 The shutdown rods shall be withdrawn to a position as defined below:

| Cycle Burnup (MWD/MTU) | Steps Withdrawn |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| <u>></u> 0 | <u>≥</u> 225 to <u><</u> 231 |

2.3 <u>Control Rod Insertion Limits</u> (Specification 3/4.1.3.6)

2.3.1 The control rod banks shall be limited in physical insertion as shown in Figure 1.

2.4 Axial Flux Difference - AFD (Specification 3/4.2.1)

2.4.1 The axial flux difference (AFD) limits (AFD^{Limit}) are provided in Figures 2A, 2B, and 2C.

2.5 <u>Heat Flux Hot Channel Factor - F_Q (X,Y,Z)</u> (Specification 3/4.2.2)

 $F_Q(X,Y,Z)$ shall be limited by the following relationships:

$$F_{Q}^{RTP}$$

$$F_{Q}(X,Y,Z) \leq ----- * K(Z) \quad \text{for } P > 0.5$$

$$F_Q^{KIP}$$

 $F_Q(X,Y,Z) \le \frac{1}{0.5} * K(Z) \quad \text{for } P \le 0.5$

where P = Thermal Power / Rated Thermal Power

2.5.1
$$F_Q^{RTP} = 2.48$$

2.5.2 K(Z) is provided in Figure 3.

The following parameters are required for core monitoring per the Surveillance Requirements of Specification 3/4.2.2:

1977-1-11 T

:

| 2.5.3 | NSLOPE ^{AFD} = 1.18 | | | |
|-------|---|--|--|--|
| | where NSLOPE ^{AFD} = | Negative AFD limit adjustment required to compensate for each 1% that F_Q (X,Y,Z) exceeds BQDES. | | |
| 2.5.4 | PSLOPE ^{AFD} = 1.73 | | | |
| | where PSLOPE ^{AFD} = | Positive AFD limit adjustment required to compensate for each 1% that F_Q (X,Y,Z) exceeds BQDES. | | |
| 2.5.5 | $NSLOPE^{f_2(\DeltaI)} = 1.38$ | | | |
| | where NSLOPE $f_2(\Delta I)$ = | Adjustment to negative OP Δ T f ₂ (Δ I) limit required to compensate for each 1% that F _Q (X,Y,Z) exceeds BCDES. | | |
| 2.5.6 | $PSLOPE^{f_2(\Delta I)} = 2.02$ | | | |
| | where PSLOPE $f_{2^{(\Delta I)}} =$ | Adjustment to positive OP Δ T f ₂ (Δ I) limit required to compensate for each 1% that F _Q (X,Y,Z) exceeds BCDES. | | |
| 2.5.7 | BQNOM(X,Y,Z) = | Nominal design peaking factor, increased by an allowance for the expected deviation between the nominal design power distribution and the measurement. | | |
| 2.5.8 | BQDES(X,Y,Z) = | Maximum allowable design peaking factor which ensures that the F _Q (X,Y,Z) limit will be preserved for operation within the LCO limits, including allowances for calculational and measurement uncertainties. | | |
| 2.5.9 | BCDES(X,Y,Z) = | Maximum allowable design peaking factor which ensures that the centerline fuel melt limit will be preserved for operation within the LCO limits, including allowances for calculational and measurement uncertainties. | | |
| · | BQNOM(X,Y,Z), BQDES(X,Y,Z), and BCDES(X,Y,Z) data bases are provided for input to the plant power distribution analysis codes on a cycle specific basis and are determined using the methodology for core limit generation described in the references in Specification 6.9.1.14. | | | |

2.5.10 The increase in F_Q^M (X,Y,Z) for compliance with the 4.2.2.2.e Surveillance Requirements is defined as follows:

For all cycle burnups 2.0%

2.6 <u>Nuclear Enthalpy Rise Hot Channel Factor - F_{AH} (X,Y)</u> (Specification 3/4.2.3)

 $F_{\Delta H}$ (X,Y) shall be limited by the following relationship:

$$F_{\Delta H}(X,Y) \leq MAP(X,Y,Z) / AXIAL(X,Y)$$

2.6.1 MAP(X,Y,Z) is provided in Table 2.

AXIAL(X,Y) is the axial peak from the normalized axial power shape.

The following parameters are required for core monitoring per the Surveillance Requirements of Specification 3/4.2.3:

 $F \Delta H R^{M}(X,Y) \leq BHNOM(X,Y)$

where $F \Delta H R^{M}(X,Y) = F_{\Delta H}^{M}(X,Y) / [MAP^{M} / AXIAL(X,Y)]$

 $F_{\Delta H}^{M}(X,Y)$ is the measured radial peak at location X,Y.

 MAP^{M} is the value of MAP(X,Y,Z) obtained from Table 2 for the measured peak.

- 2.6.2 BHNOM(X,Y) = Nominal design radial peaking factor, increased by an allowance for the expected deviation between the nominal design power distribution and the measurement.
- 2.6.3 BHDES(X,Y) = Maximum allowable design radial peaking factor which ensures that the $F_{\Delta H}$ (X,Y) limit will be preserved for operation within the LCO limits, including allowances for calculational and measurement uncertainties.
- 2.6.4 BRDES(X,Y) = Maximum allowable design radial peaking factor which ensures that the steady state DNBR limit will be preserved for operation within the LCO limits, including allowances for calculational and measurement uncertainties.

BHNOM(X,Y), BHDES(X,Y) and BRDES(X,Y) data bases are provided for input to the plant power distribution analysis computer codes on a cycle specific basis and are determined using the methodology for core limit generation described in the references in Specification 6.9.1.14.

- 2.6.5 RRH = 3.34 when $0.8 < P \le 1.0$
 - RRH = 1.67 when $P \le 0.8$
 - where RRH = Thermal power reduction required to compensate for each 1% that $F_{\Delta H}(X,Y)$ exceeds its limit.
 - P = Thermal Power / Rated Thermal Power

3.2 Trip Reset Term [$f_2(\Delta I)$] for Overpower Delta-T Trip (Specification 2.2.1)

The following parameters are required to specify the power level-dependent $f_2(\Delta I)$ trip reset term limits for the Overpower Delta-T trip function:

- 3.2.1 QPNL = -25%
 - where QPNL = Maximum negative ΔI setpoint at rated thermal power at which the trip setpoint is not reduced by the axial power distribution.
- 3.2.2 QPPL = +25%

where QPPL = Maximum positive ΔI setpoint at rated thermal power at which the trip setpoint is not reduced by the axial power distribution.

3.2.3 QPNS = 1.70%

where QPNS = Percent reduction in Overpower Delta-T trip setpoint for each percent that the magnitude of ΔI exceeds its negative limit at rated thermal power (QPNL).

3.2.4 QPPS = 1.70%

where QPPS = Percent reduction in Overpower Delta-T trip setpoint for each percent that the magnitude of ΔI exceeds its positive limit at rated thermal power (QPPL).

. .

Table 1

COLR Methodology Topical Reports

- BAW-10180-A, Revision 1, "NEMO Nodal Expansion Method Optimized," March 1993. (Methodology for Specification 3/4.1.1.3 - Moderator Temperature Coefficient)
- BAW-10169P-A, Revision 0, "RSG Plant Safety Analysis B&W Safety Analysis Methodology for Recirculating Steam Generator Plants," October 1989.

(Methodology for Specification 3/4.1.1.3 - Moderator Temperature Coefficient)

3. BAW-10163P-A, Revision 0, "Core Operating Limit Methodology for Westinghouse-Designed PWRs," June 1989.

(Methodology for Specifications 2.2.1 – Reactor Trip System Instrumentation Setpoints [$f_1(\Delta I)$, $f_2(\Delta I)$ limits], 3/4.1.3.5 - Shutdown Rod Insertion Limit, 3/4.1.3.6 - Control Rod Insertion Limits, 3/4.2.1 - Axial Flux Difference, 3/4.2.2 - Heat Flux Hot Channel Factor, 3/4.2.3 - Nuclear Enthalpy Rise Hot Channel Factor)

4. BAW-10168P-A, Revision 3, "RSG LOCA – BWNT Loss of Coolant Accident Evaluation Model for Recirculating Steam Generator Plants," December 1996.

(Methodology for Specification 3/4.2.2 - Heat Flux Hot Channel Factor)

5. BAW-10227P-A, Revision 1, "Evaluation of Advanced Cladding and Structural Material (M5) in PWR Reactor Fuel," June 2003.

(Methodology for Specification 3/4.2.2 - Heat Flux Hot Channel Factor)

1 B B

÷ 41

· ·

ş

Table 2


Maximum Allowable Peaking Limits MAP(X,Y,Z)

| AXIAL(X,Y) | ELEVATION (ft) | MAP(X,Y,Z) | AXIAL(X,Y) | ELEVATION (ft) | MAP(X,Y,Z) |
|------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|
| 1.1 | 2 | 1.9540 | 1.9 | 2 | 2.8169 |
| | 4 | 1.9494 | | 4 | 3.1537 |
| | 6 | 1.9431 | | 6 8 | 3.0026 |
| | 8 | 1.9337 | | 8 | 2.8465 |
| | 10 | 1.9147 | | 10 | 2.6987 |
| 1.2 | 2 . | 2.1780 | >1.9 | 2 | 2.5377 |
| | 4 | 2.1682 | | 4 | 2.8412 |
| | 6 | 2.1543 | | 6 8 | 2.7051 |
| | 8. | 2.1317 | | 8 | 2.5644 |
| | 10 | 2.0855 | | 10 | 2.4313 |
| 1.3 | 2 | 2.4025 | 2.2 | 2 | 2.6873 |
| | 4 | 2.3875 | | 4 | 3.3150 |
| | 6 | 2.3672 | | 4 6 8 | 3.1660 |
| | 8 | 2.3029 | | | 3.0227 |
| | 10 | 2.1902 | - | 10 | 2.7136 |
| 1.4 | 2 | 2.6264 | 2.6 | 2 | 2.6965 |
| | 4 | 2.6047 | | 4 | 3.5807 |
| | 6 | 2.5629 | | 6 8 | 3.5514 |
| | 8 | 2.4204 | | 8 | 3.3102 |
| | 10 | 2.2893 | | 10 | 2.9726 |
| 1.5 | 2 | 2.8525 | 3.0 | 2 | 2.9517 |
| | 4 | 2.8119 | | 4 | 3.8016 |
| | 6 | 2.6771 | | 6 8 | 4.1225 |
| | 8 | 2.5251 | | | 3.6877 |
| | 10 | 2.3839 | | 10 | 3.3466 |
| 1.7 | 2 | 2.7765 | 3.5 | 2 | 3.1500 |
| | 4 | 3.0191 | | 4 | 4.1097 |
| | 6 | 2.8610 | | 6 | 4.1197 |
| · · | 8 | 2.7036 | | 8 | 3.7296 |
| | 10 | 2.5528 | | 10 | 3.4811 |

::,

• †

1123



Fraction of Rated Thermal Power

FIGURE 1 Rod Bank Insertion Limits Versus Thermal Power, Four Loop Operation

*Fully withdrawn region shall be the condition where shutdown and control banks are at a position within the interval of <a>225 and <231 steps withdrawn, inclusive.

Fully withdrawn shall be the position as defined below,

 $\frac{\text{Cycle Burnup (MWd/mtU)}}{\geq 0}$

<u>Steps Withdrawn</u> ≥225 to ≤231

This figure is valid for operation at a rated thermal power of 3455 MWt when the LEFM is in operation.

If the LEFM becomes inoperable, then prior to the next NIS calibration, the maximum allowable power level must be reduced by 1.3% in power, and the rod insertion limit lines must be increased by 3 steps withdrawn until the LEFM is returned to operation.

14




FIGURE 2B

Axial Flux Difference Limits As A Function of Thermal Power For Burnup Range of 254<u>+</u>10 EFPD to 431<u>+</u>10 EFPD


This figure is valid for operation at a rated thermal power of 3455 MWt when the LEFM is in operation.

If the LEFM becomes inoperable, then prior to the next NIS calibration, the maximum allowable power level must be reduced by 1.3% in power, and the AFD limit lines must be made more restrictive by 1% in AFD until the LEFM is returned to operation.

2011 11

a 11 A 3

t t d j





Axial Flux Difference Limits As A Function of Thermal Power For Burnup Range of 431<u>+</u>10 EFPD to EOC

This figure is valid for operation at a rated thermal power of 3455 MWt when the LEFM is in operation.

If the LEFM becomes inoperable, then prior to the next NIS calibration, the maximum allowable power level must be reduced by 1.3% in power, and the AFD limit lines must be made more restrictive by 1% in AFD until the LEFM is returned to operation.





FIGURE 3



...<