

**Perry Buckberg - Drywell Air gap Foam**

---

**From:** "Ford, Bryan" <BFord@entergy.com>  
**To:** "Perry Buckberg" <PHB1@nrc.gov>  
**Date:** 1/22/2007 5:11:47 PM  
**Subject:** Drywell Air gap Foam  
**CC:** "Mogolesko, Fred" <fmogole@entergy.com>, "Dyckman, John" <jdyckma@entergy.com>, "Ellis, Douglas" <dellis1@entergy.com>

---

Perry,

During one of our discussions Dan Hoang asked how the PNPS response to Generic Letter 87-05 which said that the construction Etha Foam in the air gap had been removed was consistent with the statement in Amendment 2 that "The presence of ethafoam rings left in place at certain elevations of the drywell caused a concern that they could trap and hold leakage from the bellows or fuel pool and cause corrosion of the shell outer surface."

The answer is that the Etha Foam that was used in large quantities during the construction of the drywell was removed. Strips of foam (the installation specification calls them polyethylene foam strips) were then installed near the top of the air gap. It appears that these strips were installed to prevent foreign materials from falling into the air gap exclusion.

Therefore, both statements are technically correct. The Etha Foam used for construction was removed but there are foam strips in the air gap that were evaluated as part of the containment inspection program.

**Mail Envelope Properties** (45B53692.731 : 23 : 18225)

**Subject:** Drywell Air gap Foam  
**Creation Date** 1/22/2007 5:11:09 PM  
**From:** "Ford, Bryan" <BFord@entergy.com>

**Created By:** BFord@entergy.com

**Recipients**

nrc.gov  
OWGWPO01.HQGWDO01  
PHB1 (Perry Buckberg)

entergy.com  
dellis1 CC (Douglas Ellis)  
jdyckma CC (John Dyckman)  
fmogole CC (Fred Mogolesko)

**Post Office**

OWGWPO01.HQGWDO01

**Route**

nrc.gov  
entergy.com

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	1039	1/22/2007 5:11:09 PM
TEXT.htm	3091	
Mime.822	6799	

**Options**

**Expiration Date:** None  
**Priority:** Standard  
**ReplyRequested:** No  
**Return Notification:** None

Options  
Expiration Date:

**Concealed Subject:** No  
**Security:** Standard

**Junk Mail Handling Evaluation Results**

Message is eligible for Junk Mail handling  
This message was not classified as Junk Mail

**Junk Mail settings when this message was delivered**

Junk Mail handling disabled by User  
Junk Mail handling disabled by Administrator  
Junk List is not enabled

Junk Mail using personal address books is not enabled  
Block List is not enabled

1.  
2.  
3.  
4.  
5.  
6.  
7.  
8.  
9.  
10.  
11.  
12.  
13.  
14.  
15.  
16.  
17.  
18.  
19.  
20.  
21.  
22.  
23.  
24.  
25.  
26.  
27.  
28.  
29.  
30.  
31.  
32.  
33.  
34.  
35.  
36.  
37.  
38.  
39.  
40.  
41.  
42.  
43.  
44.  
45.  
46.  
47.  
48.  
49.  
50.  
51.  
52.  
53.  
54.  
55.  
56.  
57.  
58.  
59.  
60.  
61.  
62.  
63.  
64.  
65.  
66.  
67.  
68.  
69.  
70.  
71.  
72.  
73.  
74.  
75.  
76.  
77.  
78.  
79.  
80.  
81.  
82.  
83.  
84.  
85.  
86.  
87.  
88.  
89.  
90.  
91.  
92.  
93.  
94.  
95.  
96.  
97.  
98.  
99.  
100.