

From: "Alice Carson" <acarson1967@comcast.net>
To: "John Hickman" <JBH@nrc.gov>, <thy@nrc.gov>
Date: 12/27/2006 11:10:57 AM
Subject: RE: Summary Comments on Yankee Rowe OOL-12 & OOL-14 Final Status Survey Reports

John/Tom,

It was just brought to my attention that there was a typographical error in Section 5.1.4 of the FSSR for OOL-14. The report, and the quote from the report included in the response provided to you earlier this morning, erroneously reference OOL-14-04, instead of OOL-14-01. The attached response has been revised to acknowledge this error.

Alice Carson

-----Original Message-----

From: Alice Carson [mailto:acarson1967@comcast.net]
Sent: Wednesday, December 27, 2006 10:47 AM
To: 'John Hickman'; 'thy@nrc.gov'
Cc: 'Greg Babineau'; 'Marty Erickson'; 'Joe Bourassa'; 'Gerard P. Van Noordennen'
Subject: RE: Summary Comments on Yankee Rowe OOL-12 & OOL-14 Final Status Survey Reports

John/Tom,

Attached are responses to the questions/comments on OOL-12 and OOL-14, which were previously provided to Yankee via email on 12/20/06. If you have any questions, please give me a call.

Alice Carson

OOL-12 Observations/Comments:

FSS Report Appendix A - Final Status Survey Planning Worksheets - indicates that after a boundary modification, two bias samples that had been taken were considered to be outside the survey unit. This information is different from the information in Section 5.4 - Survey Results - which indicates that two samples (OOL-12-021 & OOL-12-022) were taken as bias samples & are not listed in the results table. This situation could confuse some readers. Please clarify

OOL-14 Observations/comments:

No information on gamma survey/scan results were provided in Section 5.4 - Survey Results or in Section 6.0 - Conclusions. OOL-14-01 is a class 3 soil area, and the Yankee Rowe LTP, Rev. 1, specifies judgmental gamma surveys/scans. It appears that some judgmental surveys were made, but the results are not given. Please address.

CC: "Greg Babineau" <Babineau@yankeerowe.com>, "Marty Erickson" <erickson@yankeerowe.com>, "Joe Bourassa" <Bourassa@CYAPCO.com>, "Gerard P. Van Noordennen" <VanNoordennen@CYAPCO.com>

Mail Envelope Properties (45929B02.B15 : 14 : 47893)

Subject: RE: Summary Comments on Yankee Rowe OOL-12 & OOL-14 Final Status Survey Reports
Creation Date 12/27/2006 11:10:23 AM
From: "Alice Carson" <acarson1967@comcast.net>
Created By: acarson1967@comcast.net

Recipients

nrc.gov

OWGWPO04.HQGWDO01
 JBH (John Hickman)

nrc.gov

TWGWPO04.HQGWDO01
 THY (Thomas Youngblood)

CYAPCO.com

VanNoordennen CC ('Gerard P. Van Noordennen')
 Bourassa CC ('Joe Bourassa')

yankeerowe.com

erickson CC ('Marty Erickson')
 Babineau CC ('Greg Babineau')

Post Office

OWGWPO04.HQGWDO01
 TWGWPO04.HQGWDO01

Route

nrc.gov
 nrc.gov
 CYAPCO.com
 yankeerowe.com

| Files | Size | Date & Time |
|---|-------|------------------------|
| MESSAGE | 1773 | 12/27/2006 11:10:23 AM |
| Responses to 12-20 questions on OOL-12_and_OOL-14.pdf | 7955 | |
| Mime.822 | 15679 | |

Options

Expiration Date: None
Priority: Standard
ReplyRequested: No
Return Notification: None

Concealed Subject: No

Security: Standard

Junk Mail Handling Evaluation Results

Message is eligible for Junk Mail handling

This message was not classified as Junk Mail

Junk Mail settings when this message was delivered

Junk Mail handling disabled by User

Junk Mail handling disabled by Administrator

Junk List is not enabled

Junk Mail using personal address books is not enabled

Block List is not enabled

**NRC Summary Comments on Yankee Rowe OOL-12 & OOL-14
Final Status Survey Reports (Email from Hickman to Carson dated 12/20/2006)**

OOL-12 Observations/Comments:

NRC Comment: FSS Report Appendix A - Final Status Survey Planning Worksheets – indicates that after a boundary modification, two bias samples that had been taken were considered to be outside the survey unit. This information is different from the information in Section 5.4 - Survey Results - which indicates that two samples (OOL-12-021 & OOL-12-022) were taken as bias samples & are not listed in the results table. This situation could confuse some readers. Please clarify

Yankee Response: Two (2) samples, OOL-12-021 and OOL-12-022, were originally taken as biased samples for the subject survey unit; however, they subsequently fell outside the survey unit, after a survey unit boundary modification and, therefore, were omitted from the table. The last sentence of the first paragraph in Section 5.4 should read: "Samples OOL-12-021 and OOL-12-022 were originally collected as biased samples for OOL-12; however, after a boundary modification of the Unit, the samples were found to lie outside of the survey unit, and therefore they are omitted from inclusion in OOL-12."

OOL-14 Observations/Comments:

NRC Comment: No information on gamma survey/scan results were provided in Section 5.4 - Survey Results or in Section 6.0 - Conclusions. OOL-14-01 is a class 3 soil area, and the Yankee Rowe LTP, Rev. 1, specifies judgmental gamma surveys/scans. It appears that some judgmental surveys were made, but the results are not given. Please address.

Yankee Response: Judgmental scans were performed in the Survey Unit. The results are addressed in Section 5.1.4: "OOL-14-01 was scanned approximately 10% with a SPA-3. No activity greater than background or DCGLw, attributable to plant radionuclides, was present in OOL-14-[01]." In subsequent reports Yankee addressed results of the SPA-3 scans in Section 5.4 of the report.