PRM-51-11 (71FR67072)

From: To: Date: Subject: Megan Hawthorne <meghawthorne@yahoo.com> <SECY@nrc.gov> Fri, Jan 26, 2007 3:17 PM Docket No. PRM-51-11

1/25/07

Dear NRC

Exercise Precaution:

1) Protect the most vulnerable: Exercise precaution by accounting for more vulnerable populations in your standards. Since no level of radiation dose is safe (see BEIR VII quote below), the best precaution would be no exposure. However recognizing and regulating for vulnerable populations is a start.

"In BEIR VII, the cancer mortality risks for females are 37.5 percent higher. The risks for all solid tumors, like lung, breast, and kidney, liver, and other solid tumors added together are almost 50 percent greater for women than men, though there are a few specific cancers, including leukemia, for which the risk estimates for men are higher." (Summary estimates are in Table ES-1 on page 28 of the BEIR VII report prepublication copy, on the Web at

http://books.nap.edu/books/030909156X/html/28.html.)

The BEIR VII report estimates that the differential risk for children is even greater. For instance, the same radiation in the first year of life for boys produces three to four times the cancer risk as exposure between the ages of 20 and 50. Female infants have almost double the risk as male infants. (Table 12 D-1 and D-2, on pages 550-551 of the prepublication copy of the report, on the Web starting at http://books.nap.edu/books/030909156X/html/550.html)." (excerpted from http://www.ieer.org/comments/beir/beir7pressrel.html)

2) Recognize "allowable" levels are not safe: Your "allowable" levels of radionuclides are NOT conservative or protective enough. They are based only on the obsolete "standard man", a healthy, white male in the prime of life, and ignore the more vulnerable fetus, growing infant and child, the aged, those in poor health, and women who are, according to the BEIR VII report, 37- 50% more vulnerable than standard man to the harmful effects of ionizing radiation.

3) Consider radiation damage from inhaling or ingesting radionuclides: You do not consider, and you should, the effects of internal radiation from ingested or inhaled alpha and beta emitters. The amount of polonium-210 that recently killed a former Russian intelligence officer was considered by IAEA and you to be of the lowest possible risk because you failed to account for internal radiation damage.

4) You must recognize there is no safe dose: Further, regarding low dose radiation, the BEIR VII panel has concluded, "it is unlikely that a threshold exists for the induction of cancers... Further, there are extensive data on radiation-induced transmissible mutations in mice and other organisms. There is therefore no reason to believe that humans

Template = SECY-067

DOCKETED USNRC



Page 1

January 29, 2007 (3:22pm)

OFFICE OF SECRETARY **RULEMAKINGS AND** ADJUDICATIONS STAFF

SECY-02

would be immune to this sort of harm."

You must protect all members of the public from all types of excess radiation exposure from nuclear power and its fuel cycle, gamma, alpha, beta, neutron, particulate, fission products, noble gases, etc. Your measurement and monitoring should include all forms and pathways, not just gamma at the fence line. Your radiation limits should include accidental releases as well as planned emissions. Sincerely yours, Megan Hawthorne, 850 Meadowbrook Road, Brattleboro, VT 05301

Don't pick lemons. See all the new 2007 cars at Yahoo! Autos. c:\temp\GW}00001.TMP

3

Page 1

Mail Envelope Properties (45BA61DE.8BD : 15 : 59581)

Subject:Docket No. PRM-51-11Creation DateFri, Jan 26, 2007 3:17 PMFrom:Megan Hawthorne <meghawthorne@yahoo.com>

Created By:

meghawthorne@yahoo.com

Size

3239

4689

9782

Recipients

nrc.gov TWGWPO02.HQGWDO01 SECY (SECY)

Post Office

MESSAGE

TEXT.htm

Mime.822

Files

TWGWPO02.HQGWDO01

Route nrc.gov

Date & Time Friday, January 26, 2007 3:17 PM

Options	
Expiration Date:	None
Priority:	Standard
ReplyRequested:	No
Return Notification:	None

Concealed Subject:NoSecurity:Standard

Junk Mail Handling Evaluation Results

Message is eligible for Junk Mail handling This message was not classified as Junk Mail

Junk Mail settings when this message was delivered

Junk Mail handling disabled by User Junk Mail handling disabled by Administrator Junk List is not enabled Junk Mail using personal address books is not enabled Block List is not enabled