NRC F((6-1998)	RM 464 Part I U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	FOIA/PA	RESPONSE NUMBER		
(0+1990)	RESPONSE TO FREEDOM OF	2006-0238	11		
ATEs	RESPONSE TO FREEDOM OF	•			
TED SI	🗱 👔 🗯 INFORMATION ACT (FOIA) / PRIVACY				
, ,	ACT (PA) REQUEST	TYPE			
REQUE	STER	DATE			
· · · ·	Michelle Driscoll	JAN 2 5 200			
	PART I INFORMATION RELEASE	D			
	No additional agency records subject to the request have been located.				
	Requested records are available through another public distribution program. See Comments section.				
	APPENDICES Agency records subject to the request that are identified in the public inspection and copying at the NRC Public Document R	listed appendices are alread com.	ly available for		
\mathbf{V}	APPENDICES JJ Agency records subject to the request that are identified in the public inspection and copying at the NRC Public Document R	oom.			
	Enclosed is information on how you may obtain access to and the charges for copying records located at the NRC Public Document Room, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852-2738.				
	APPENDICES JJ Agency records subject to the request are enclosed.				
	Records subject to the request that contain information originated by or of interest to another Federal agency have been referred to that agency (see comments section) for a disclosure determination and direct response to you.				
	We are continuing to process your request.				
	See Comments.				
	PART I.A FEES				
AMOU	You will be billed by NRC for the amount listed.	None. Minimum fee thresho	old not met.		
\$	You will receive a refund for the amount listed.	Fees waived.			
	comments etails	·	·····		
	PART I.B INFORMATION NOT LOCATED OR WITHHEL	D FROM DISCLOSURE			
	No agency records subject to the request have been located.				
	Certain information in the requested records is being withheld from disclosure the reasons stated in Part II.	pursuant to the exemptions of	lescribed in and for		
\mathbf{V}	This determination may be appealed within 30 days by writing to the FOIA/PA Officer, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001. Clearly state on the envelope and in the letter that it is a "FOIA/PA Appeal."				
	PART I.C COMMENTS (Use attached Comments continuation page if required)				
The incoming request is in ADAMS at accession number ML061840443.					
	· ·				
	SIGNATURE - FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT AND PRIVACY ACT OFFICER				
Russe	Russell A. Nichols 101001 A. Menry				
		an a	ي يعني هو الإمار مقارفة المعاني الى المالة المالة المراجع وي وقت		

NRC FORM 464 Part 1 (6-1998)

RESPONSE TO FREEDOM OF INFORMATION 2006-0238 JAN 2 5 2007 PART ILA - APPLICABLE EXEMPTIONS PART ILA - APPLICABLE SECONTONS Presentices Records subject to the request that are described in the endoced Appendices are being withheld in their entirety or in part under the Exemption A(s) of the PA and/or the FOA as indicated below (5 U.S.C. 552 and/or 5 U.S.C. 552 a	NRC FORM 464 Part II U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION FOIA/PA DATE						
Impresses Precedes a subject to the request that are described in the endoed Appendices are being withheld in herr antenty or in part under the Exemption N: The withheld information is properly classified pursuant to Executive Order 12988. Exemption 2: The withheld information is properly classified pursuant to Executive Order 12988. Exemption 2: The withheld information is properly classified parts and to be stabilitied to the stability of the formation relates solving to the information relations of the stability of the Atomic Energy Act, which prohibits the disclosure of Restricted Data or Formary Restricted Data (42 U.S.C. Section 147 of the Atomic Energy Act, which prohibits the disclosure of Inclassified Safeguards Information 42 OS.C. 21671. Classifier Of the properties Section 147 of the Atomic Energy Act, which prohibits the disclosure of Inclassified Safeguards Information and concil of an executive gency to any person under section 552 of Title S. U.S.C. (the FO(A), except when incorporated into the contract between the agency and the submitter of the propest. Exemption 4: The withheld Information is a trade secret or commercial or financial information that is being withheld for the reason(s) indicated. The information is considered to be confidential busines (propriatary information. Delations 5: The withheld Information is a trade secret or commercial or financial information that is being withheld for the reason(s) indicated. Delations for the actions of the region or intragency records that are not available through discovery during ligation.	R	ESPONSE TO FI		2006-0238	JAN 2 5 2007		
J							
Exemption 2: The withheld Information relates solely to the internal personnel rules and procedures of NRC. Exemption 2: The withheld Information is specifically exempted from public disclosure by statule indicated.							
Exemption 3: The withheld information is specifically exempted from public diaclosure by statule indicated. Sections 14:1-145 of the Atomic Energy Act, which prohibits the diaclosure of Restricted Data or Formerly Restricted Data (42 U.S.C. 2167). Station 147 of the Atomic Energy Act, which prohibits the diaclosure of Unclassified Safeguards information (42 U.S.C. 2167). Station 147 of the Atomic Energy Act, which prohibits the diaclosure of Unclassified Safeguards information (42 U.S.C. 2167). Station 147 of the Atomic Energy Act, which prohibits the diaclosure of Unclassified Safeguards in the possession and current of an executive again to the Contract between the agency and the U.S.C. setting the energy and the U.S.C. setting Act, which information is considered to be confidential business (proprietary) information. The information is considered to be confidential business (proprietary) information. The information is considered to be confidential business (proprietary) information. The information is considered to be confidential business (proprietary) information. The information is considered to be confidential business (proprietary) information. The information is obstation on statis of inflargency or intragency process that are not available through discovery during ligation. Applicable process. Deliberative process. <		Exemption 1: The withh	eld information is properly classified pursuant to E	Executive Order 12958.			
Sections 141-145 of the Atomic Energy Act, which prohibits the disclosure of Restricted Data or Formerly Restricted Data (42 U.S.C., 1217). At U.S.C., Section 147 of the Atomic Energy Act, which prohibits the disclosure of Unclassified Safeguards information (42 U.S.C. 1217). At U.S.C., Section 355, unbaceding mit/1) prohibits the disclosure of contractor propagation in the constract of an encounce the agency ta any person under section 552 of Tile 5, U.S.C. (the FOIA), except when incorporated into the contract between the agency and the adomiter of the proposal. Examption 4: The withheld information is a trade secret or commercial or financial information. The information is considered to be proprietary because it concerns a license 6 or applicant's physical protection or material control and accounting program for special nuclear material purchase to 10 CFR 2.390(d)(2). Examption 5: The withheld information consists of intraagency or intraagency records that are not available through discovery during liligation. Age)[cable privileges: Dializative process. Underse of productional information would find to inhigh the optical protection or material control material model information consists of intraagency records that are not available through discovery during liligation. There also drein for accountable special forcul points because the fease of the facts would permit an indirect injury into the productional information because the release of the facts would permit an indirect injury into the productional process. Where records are withheld in formation because the release of the facts would permit an indirect injury into the adjects are individual process of the signer. Mathematic and process of the signer. Contrast because the sidencomment and fr		Exemption 2: The withh	eld information relates solely to the internal perso	nnel rules and procedures of N	NRC.		
2161-2165, 2161-2162, 2161-216,		•		•			
41 U.S.C., Section 253b, subsection (m/1) prohibits the disclosure of contractor proposals in the possession and contract in a execution by a submitter of the proposal. □ Exemption 4: The withheid information is a trade secret or commercial or financial information that is being withheid for the reason(s) indicated. □ The information is considered to be proprietary because it concerns a license's or applicant's physical protection or material control and accounting program for special nuclear material burstant to 10 CFR 2.390(d)(1). □ The information is considered to be confidential burstant to 10 CFR 2.390(d)(2). □ Exemption 5: The withheid information consists of interagency records that are not available through discovery during ligation. Applicable privilege:: □ Deliberative process: Disclosure of predecisional information would tend to inhibit the open and frank exchange of ideas essential to the trace and are no reasonably segregable factual portions because the release of the facts would permit an indirect inquiry into the predecisional process: Disclosure of predecisional information would tend to inhibit the open and frank exchange of ideas essential to the the release of the facts would permit an indirect inquiry into the predecisional process: Disclosure of predecisional process and the scheme the association and the information consists of records compiled for law enforcement purposes and is being withheid for the reason(s) indicated. □ Attorney-dient privilege. (Condiential communications between an attorney and his/her client) □ Attorney-dient privilege. (Condiential communicatins between an attorney and his/her client)			of the Atomic Energy Act, which prohibits the disc	closure of Restricted Data or F	ormerly Restricted Data (42	U.S.C.	
agency to any gerson under section 552 of Tills 5, U.S.C. (the FOIA), except when incorporated into the centract between the agency and the submitter of the proposal. Exemption 4: The withheld information is a trade secret or commercial or financial information that is being withheld for the reason(s) indicated. The information is considered to be confidential business (proprietary) information. The information is considered to be proprietary because it concerns a licensee's or applicant's physical protection or material control and accounting program for special nucleose material genous into 10 CFR 2.390(3) 1. The information was submitted by a foreign source and received in confidence pursuant to 10 CFR 2.390(3) 2. Exemption 5: The withheld information consists of intaragency or intraagency records that are not available through discovery during litigation. Applicable privileges: Deliberative process: Disclosure of predectional information would tend to inhibit the open and frank exchange of ideas essential to the predectional process of the agency. Attorney work-product privilege. (Documents prepared by an attorney and his/har client) Attorney-client privilege. (Confidential communications between an attorney and his/har client) Exemption 7: The withheld information consists of interdere with an enforcement purposes and is being withheld for the reason(s) indicated. (A) Disclosure ould constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. (C) Disclosure ould constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. (C) Disclosure could reasonably be expected to interfere with		Section 147 of the	e Atomic Energy Act, which prohibits the disclosur	e of Unclassified Safeguards I	nformation (42 U.S.C. 2167).	
□ The information is considered to be proprietary because it concerns a licensee's or applicant's physical protection or material control and accounting program for special nuclear material pursuant to 10 CFR 2.390(d)(2). □ The information was submitted by a foreigh source and received in confidence pursuant to 10 CFR 2.390(d)(2). □ Deliberative process: Disclosure of predecisional information would tend to inhibit the open and frank exchange of ideas essential to the deliberative process: Where records are withheld in their entry, the facts are inaxticably indrytime with the predecisional information. The deliberative process: Where records are withheld information would tend to inhibit the open and frank exchange of ideas essential to the deliberative process: Where records are withheld information to the deliberative process. Where records are withheld information. The deliberative process: Where records are withheld information to the deliberative process. Where records are withheld information to the agency. □ Altorney-cleant privilege. (Coundential communications between an atomey and his/her client) □ Altorney-cleant privilege. (Coundential communications between an atomey and his/her client) □ Altorney-cleant privilege. (Coundential communications between an atomey and his/her client) □ Exemption 7: The withheld information consists of naready for public discoure because it disclosure would result in a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. □ (C) Disclosure would reasonably be expected to interfere with an enforcement purposes and is being withheld for the reason(s) indicated. <td< td=""><td></td><td>agency to any per</td><td>son under section 552 of Title 5, U.S.C. (the FOIA</td><td>e of contractor proposals in the (), except when incorporated ir</td><td>possession and control of a nto the contract between the</td><td>an executive agency and</td></td<>		agency to any per	son under section 552 of Title 5, U.S.C. (the FOIA	e of contractor proposals in the (), except when incorporated ir	possession and control of a nto the contract between the	an executive agency and	
□ The information is considered to be proprietary because it concernses to applicant's physical protection or material control and accounting program for special nuclear meterial pursuant to 10 CFR 2.390(d)(1). □ The information was submitted by a foreign source and received in confidence pursuant to 10 CFR 2.390(d)(2). □ Exemption 5: The withheld information consists of interagency records that are not available through discovery during litigation. Applicable privileges: □ Deliberative process: Disclosure of predecisional information would tend to inhibit the open and frank exchange of ideas essential to the deliberative process: Disclosure of predecisional information would tend to inhibit the open and frank exchange of ideas essential to the deliberative process: Disclosure of predecisional information. There also are not reacreally segable factual points because the release of the facts would permit an indirect inquiry into the predecisional information the segnetic. □ Attorney work-product privilege. (Confidential communications between an attorney and hisher client) □ Attorney work-product privilege. (Confidential communications between an attorney and hisher client) □ Exemption 6: The withheld information consists of records compiled for law enforcement purposes and is being withheld for the reason(s) indicated. □ (A) Disclosure could consists on ames of individuals and other information the disclosure of which could reasonably be expected to interfere with an enforcement investigators. □ (C) Disclosure would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.		Exemption 4: The with	held information is a trade secret or commercial o	r financial information that is b	eing withheld for the reason	n(s) indicated.	
accounting program for special nuclear material pursuant to 10 CFR 2.390(d)(1). □ The information was submitted by a foreign source and received in confidence pursuant to 10 CFR 2.390(d)(2). □ Exemption 5: The withheld information consists of interagency or intragency records that are not available through discovery during litigation. Applicable privileges: □ Diabrative process: Disclosure of predecisional information would tent to inhibit the open and frank exchange of ideas essential to the generocs: □ Deliberative process: Disclosure of predecisional information would tent to inhibit the open and frank exchange of ideas essential to the predecisional process of the agency. □ Attorney work-product privilege. (Documents prepared by an attorney and his/her client) □ Exemption 6: The withheld information consists of records compiled for public disclosure because its disclosure would result in a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. □ Exemption 7: The withheld information consists of records compiled for law enforcement purposes and is being withheld for the reason(s) indicated. □ Attorney work-product privilege. (Documents personal privacy. □ Exemption 7: The withheld information consists of records compiled for law enforcement purposes and is being withheld for the reason(s) indicated. □ Aplicateser could reasonably be expected to interfere with an enforcement proceeding (e.g., it would reveal the scope, direction, and focus of enforcement efforts, and thus could possibly allow recipient		The information is	considered to be confidential business (proprieta	ary) information.			
□ Exemption 5: The withheld information consists of interagency or intraagency records that are not available through discovery during litigation. Applicable privileges: □ Datiburative process: Disclosure of predecisional information would tend to inhibit the open and frank exchange of ideae essential to the deliberative process: □ Datiburative process: Disclosure of predecisional information information information or mesonably segregable factual portions because the release of the facts would permit an indirect inquiry into the predecisional process of the agency. □ Attorney work-product privilege. (Confidential communications between an attorney and his/her client) ☑ Exemption 6: The withheld information consists of records compiled for law enforcement purposes and is being withheld for the reason(s) indicated. (A) Disclosure ould reasonably be expected to interfere with an enforcement purposes and is being withheld information on sists of records compiled for law enforcement purposes and is being withheld information or onsists of names of individuals and other information to take acton to shield potential wongoing or a violation of NRC requirements from investigators). □ (C) Disclosure would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. □ (D) The withheld information consists of names of individuals and other information the disclosure of which could reeval techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations, or guidelines that could resonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law. (C)		accounting progra	am for special nuclear material pursuant to 10 CFI	R 2.390(d)(1).		control and	
Applicable privileges: Deliberative process: Disclosure of predecisional information would lend to inhibit the open and frank exchange of ideas essential to the facts are instruction. There also are no reasonably segregable factual portions because the release of the facts would permit an indirect inquiry into the predecisional process of the agency. Attorney work-product privilege. (Documents prepared by an attorney in contemptation of tiligation) Attorney-client privilege. (Confidential communications between an attorney and his/her client) Image: Description of the privilege. (Confidential communications between an attorney and his/her client) Exemption 6: The withheld information is exempted from public disclosure would result in a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. Image: Description of the agency. Exemption 7: The withheld information consists of records compiled for law enforcement purposes and is being withheld for the reason(s) indicated. Image: Open could reasonably be expected to interfere with an enforcement proceeding (e.g., it would reveal the scope, direction, and cross of enforcement from stand thus could possibly allow redplemis to take action to striid potential woragidation of NRC requirements from investigators). Image: Open could reasonably be expected to interfere with an enforcement investigations or prosecutions, or guidelines that could reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law. Image: Open could reasonably be appeted to meason of personal privacy. Image: Open could reasonably be expected to reasonably be expected to reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law. Image: Open could reveal tec		The information w	vas submitted by a foreign source and received in	confidence pursuant to 10 CFI	R 2.390(d)(2).		
Inere also are no recessoriably segregate factual portions because the release of the facts would permit an indirect inquiry into the predecisional process of the agency. Attorney work-product privilege. (Donifential communications between an attorney and his/her client) Image: Attorney work-product privilege. (Confidential communications between an attorney and his/her client) Image: Attorney work-product privilege. (Confidential communications between an attorney and his/her client) Image: Attorney work-product privilege. (Confidential communications between an attorney and his/her client) Image: Attorney work-product privilege. (Confidential communications between an attorney and his/her client) Image: Attorney work-product privilege. (Confidential communications between an attorney in this/her client) Image: Attorney work-product privilege. (Confidential communications between an attorney in this/her client) Image: Attorney work-product privacy. Image: Attorney work-product confidential sources. Image: Attore confidential sources.		. Applicab	le privileges:		c <i>j</i>	0 0	
Attorney-client privilege. (Confidential communications between an attorney and his/her client) Image: Confidential communication is exempted from public disclosure because its disclosure would result in a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. Exemption 7: The withheld information consists of records compiled for law enforcement proceeding (e.g., it would reveal the scope, direction, and focus of enforcement forcement from requirements from investigators). (A) Disclosure could reasonably be expected to interfere with an enforcement proceeding (e.g., it would reveal the scope, direction, and focus of enforcement forcement forcement for consists of records and they requirements to take action to shield potential wrongdoing or a violation of NRC requirements from investigators). (C) Disclosure would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. (D) The information consists of names of individuals and other information the disclosure of which could reasonably be expected to reveal identities of confidential sources. (F) Disclosure would reveal techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions, or guidelines that could reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law. (F) Disclosure would resonably be expected to endanger the life or physical safety of an individual. (D) THER (Specify) EX. 2: Disclosure would risk circumvention of a legal requirement PART II.B - DENYING OFFICIALS Pursuant to 10 CFR 9.25(g), 9.25(h), and/or 9.65(b) of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission regulations, it has been determined that the information withhed is exempt from production o		i here also are no	reasonably segregable factual portions because	d tend to inhibit the open and f he facts are inextricably intertv the release of the facts would	rank exchange of ideas ess vined with the predecisional permit an indirect inquiry int	ential to the information. to the	
✓ Exemption 6: The withheld information is exempted from public disclosure because its disclosure would result in a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. ○ Exemption 7: The withheld information consists of records compiled for law enforcement purposes and is being withheld for the reason(s) indicated. ○ (A) Disclosure could reasonably be expected to interfere with an enforcement proceeding (e.g., it would reveal the scope, direction, and focus of enforcement efforts, and thus could possibly allow recipients to take action to shield potential wrongdoing or a violation of NRC requirements from investigators). ○ (C) Disclosure would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. ○ (D) The information consists of names of individuals and other information the disclosure of which could reasonably be expected to reveal tiedentities of confidential sources. ○ (D) The information consists of names of individuals and other information the disclosure of which could reasonably be expected to reveal reasonably be expected to reveal techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions, or guidelines that could reasonably be expected to endanger the life or physical safety of an individual. ✓ (F) Disclosure would reveal techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations or regulations, it has been determined that the information while disclosure and that its production or disclosure is contrary to the public interest. The person responsible for the denial are those officials identified below as denying officials and the FOIA/PA Officer for any denials that may be appealed to the Executive Director for Operations (EDO).		Attorney work-pro	duct privilege. (Documents prepared by an attorn	ney in contemplation of litigatio	n)		
Image of personal privacy. invasion of personal privacy. Exemption 7: The withheld information consists of records compiled for law enforcement purposes and is being withheld for the reason(s) indicated. (A) Disclosure could reasonably be expected to interfere with an enforcement proceeding (e.g., it would reveal the scope, direction, and focus of enforcement efforts, and thus could possibly allow recipients to take action to shield potential wrongdoing or a violation of NRC requirement from investigators). (C) Disclosure would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. (D) The information consists of names of individuals and other information the disclosure of which could reasonably be expected to reveal identities of confidential sources. (E) Disclosure would reveal techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions, or guidelines that could reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law. (F) Disclosure would reveal techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions, or guidelines that could reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law. (F) Disclosure would reveal techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions, or guidelines that could erasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law. (F) Disclosure would reveal techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions, or guidelines that could erasonably be expected to risk circumvention of a legal requirement PART II.B - DENYING OFFICIALS P		(• • •			
Indicated. indicated. (A) Disclosure could reasonably be expected to interfere with an enforcement proceeding (e.g., it would reveal the scope, direction, and focus of enforcement efforts, and thus could possibly allow recipients to take action to shield potential wrongdoing or a violation of NRC requirements from investigators). (C) Disclosure would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. (D) The information consists of names of individuals and other information the disclosure of which could reasonably be expected to reveal identifies of confidential sources. (E) Disclosure would reveal techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions, or guidelines that could reasonably be expected to reduce the exact the scope of confidential sources. (F) Disclosure would reveal techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions, or guidelines that could reasonably be expected to reduce the endanger the life or physical safety of an individual. (F) Disclosure would reasonably be expected to endanger the life or physical safety of an individual. (F) Disclosure would risk circumvention of a legal requirement Pursuant to 10 CFR 9.25(n), and/or 9.65(b) of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission regulations, it has been determined that the information withheld is exempt from production or disclosure, and that its production or disclosure is contrary to the public interest. The person responsible for the denal are those officials identified below as denying officials and the FOIA/PA Officer for any denials that may be appealed to the Executive Director for Operations (EDO). DENYING OFFICIAL TITLE/OFFICE RE		Exemption 6: The with invasion	held information is exempted from public disclosu of personal privacy.	re because its disclosure woul	d result in a clearly unwarra	anted	
		indicated	j.				
□ (D) The information consists of names of individuals and other information the disclosure of which could reasonably be expected to reveal identities of confidential sources. □ (E) Disclosure would reveal techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions, or guidelines that could reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law. □ (F) Disclosure could reasonably be expected to endanger the life or physical safety of an individual. ○ OTHER (Specify) EX. 2: Disclosure would risk circumvention of a legal requirement Pursuant to 10 CFR 9.25(g), 9.25(h), and/or 9.65(b) of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission regulations, it has been determined that the information withheld is exempt from production or disclosure, and that its production or disclosure is contrary to the public interest. The person responsible for the denial are those officials identified below as denying officials and the FOIA/PA Officer for any denials that may be appealed to the Executive Director for Operations (EDO). DENYING OFFICIAL TITLE/OFFICE RECORDS DENIED APPELLATE OFFICIAL EDO SECY IG Samuel J. Collins Regional Administrator, Region 1 Appendix JJ √ Appeal must be made in writing within 30 days of receipt of this response. Appeals should be mailed to the FOIA/Privacy Act Officer, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, for action by the appropriate appellate officials). You should		focus of enforce	ement efforts, and thus could possibly allow recipi	prcement proceeding (e.g., it w ents to take action to shield po	ould reveal the scope, direct tential wrongdoing or a violated a violated and the state of the s	ction, and ation of NRC	
identities of confidential sources. (E) Disclosure would reveal techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions, or guidelines that could reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law. (F) Disclosure could reasonably be expected to endanger the life or physical safety of an individual. OTHER (Specify) EX. 2: Disclosure would risk circumvention of a legal requirement Pursuant to 10 CFR 9.25(g), 9.25(h), and/or 9.65(b) of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission regulations, it has been determined that the information withheld is exempt from production or disclosure, and that its production or disclosure is contrary to the public interest. The person responsible for the denial are those officials identified below as denying officials and the FOIA/PA Officer for any denials that may be appealed to the Executive Director for Operations (EDO). DENYING OFFICIAL TITLE/OFFICE RECORDS DENIED APPELLATE OFFICIAL EDO SECY Samuel J. Collins Regional Administrator, Region 1 Appendix JJ ✓ Appeal must be made in writing within 30 days of receipt of this response. Appeals should be mailed to the FOIA/Privacy Act Officer, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, for action by the appropriate appellate official(s). You should		(C) Disclosure would	ld constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal	privacy.			
		(D) The information consists of names of individuals and other information the disclosure of which could reasonably be expected to reveal identities of confidential sources.					
OTHER (Specify) EX. 2: Disclosure would risk circumvention of a legal requirement PART II.B DENYING OFFICIALS Pursuant to 10 CFR 9.25(g), 9.25(h), and/or 9.65(b) of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission regulations, it has been determined that the information withheld is exempt from production or disclosure, and that its production or disclosure is contrary to the public interest. The person responsible for the denial are those officials identified below as denying officials and the FOIA/PA Officer for any denials that may be appealed to the Executive Director for Operations (EDO). DENYING OFFICIAL TITLE/OFFICE RECORDS DENIED APPELLATE OFFICIAL EDO SECY IG Samuel J. Collins Regional Administrator, Region 1 Appendix JJ ✓ I Appeal must be made in writing within 30 days of receipt of this response. Appeals should be mailed to the FOIA/Privacy Act Officer, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, for action by the appropriate appellate officials). You should		(E) Disclosure would reveal techniques and procedures for law enforcement investigations or prosecutions, or guidelines that could reasonably be expected to risk circumvention of the law.					
EX. 2: Disclosure would risk circumvention of a legal requirement PART II.B DENYING OFFICIALS Pursuant to 10 CFR 9.25(g), 9.25(h), and/or 9.65(b) of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission regulations, it has been determined that the information withheld is exempt from production or disclosure, and that its production or disclosure is contrary to the public interest. The person responsible for the denial are those officials identified below as denying officials and the FOIA/PA Officer for any denials that may be appealed to the Executive Director for Operations (EDO). DENYING OFFICIAL TITLE/OFFICE RECORDS DENIED APPELLATE OFFICIAL EDO SECY IG Samuel J. Collins Regional Administrator, Region 1 Appendix JJ V I Appeal must be made in writing within 30 days of receipt of this response. Appeals should be mailed to the FOIA/Privacy Act Officer, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, for action by the appropriate appellate official(s). You should			d reasonably be expected to endanger the life or p	physical safety of an individual			
Pursuant to 10 CFR 9.25(g), 9.25(h), and/or 9.65(b) of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission regulations, it has been determined that the information withheld is exempt from production or disclosure, and that its production or disclosure is contrary to the public interest. The person responsible for the denial are those officials identified below as denying officials and the FOIA/PA Officer for any denials that may be appealed to the Executive Director for Operations (EDO). DENYING OFFICIAL TITLE/OFFICE RECORDS DENIED APPELLATE OFFICIAL EDO SECY IG Samuel J. Collins Regional Administrator, Region 1 Appendix JJ Image: Comparison of the compari			f risk circumvention of a legal requirement				
Pursuant to 10 CFR 9.25(g), 9.25(h), and/or 9.65(b) of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission regulations, it has been determined that the information withheld is exempt from production or disclosure, and that its production or disclosure is contrary to the public interest. The person responsible for the denial are those officials identified below as denying officials and the FOIA/PA Officer for any denials that may be appealed to the Executive Director for Operations (EDO). DENYING OFFICIAL TITLE/OFFICE RECORDS DENIED APPELLATE OFFICIAL EDO SECY IG Samuel J. Collins Regional Administrator, Region 1 Appendix JJ Image: Comparison of the compari			PART ILB DENYING	GOFFICIALS			
Denvine OFFICIAL ITTLE/OFFICE Records benied Samuel J. Collins Regional Administrator, Region 1 Appendix JJ Image: Constraint of the second se	Pursuant to 10 CFR 9.25(g), 9.25(h), and/or 9.65(b) of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission regulations, it has been determined that the information withheld is exempt from production or disclosure, and that its production or disclosure is contrary to the public interest. The person responsible for the denial are those officials identified below as deriving officials and the FOIA/PA Officer for any						
Samuel J. Collins Regional Administrator, Region 1 Appendix JJ ✓ Appeal must be made in writing within 30 days of receipt of this response. Appeals should be mailed to the FOIA/Privacy Act Officer, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, for action by the appropriate appellate official(s). You should	D	ENYING OFFICIAL	TITLE/OFFICE	RECORDS			
Appeal must be made in writing within 30 days of receipt of this response. Appeals should be mailed to the FOIA/Privacy Act Officer, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, for action by the appropriate appellate official(s). You should	Samu	el J. Collins	Regional Administrator, Region 1	Appendix JJ	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Appeal must be made in writing within 30 days of receipt of this response. Appeals should be mailed to the FOIA/Privacy Act Officer, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, for action by the appropriate appellate official(s). You should				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Appeal must be made in writing within 30 days of receipt of this response. Appeals should be mailed to the FOIA/Privacy Act Officer, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, for action by the appropriate appellate official(s). You should		<i>m</i>					
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, for action by the appropriate appellate official(s). You should	 		*		·		
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, for action by the appropriate appellate official(s). You should	<u> </u>						
	U.S.						

,

.4

APPENDIX JJ RECORDS BEING WITHHELD IN PART

License No. 08-01738-03

<u>NO.</u>	DATE	DESCRIPTION/(PAGE COUNT)/EXEMPTIONS
1.	05/17/1985	Application for renewal of License 08-01738-03,authorizing possession & use of Co-60 & Cs-137 for R&D & radiation dosimetry. (186 pages) / Exemption 6
2.	04/08/1986	Submits addl info re renewal of License 08-01738-03.Outline of GM Connock training & experience as radiation protection officer for facility encl. (94 pages) / Exemption 6
3.	12/07/1989	Matls licensing package for Amend 18 to License 08-01738-03 for Dept of Army. (83 pages) / Exemption 2 and 6
4.	01/08/1991	Matls licensing package for Amend 19 to License 08-01738-03 for Dept of Army, Walter Reed Medical Ctr. (35 pages) / Exemption 2 and 6
5.	12/10/1991	Matls licensing package for amend 20 to license 08-01738-03 for Dept of Army.Control:114338. (44 pages) / Exemption 2 and 6
6.	09/17/1992	Matls licensing package for amend 21 to license 08-01738-03 for Dept of Army. (40 pages) / Exemption 2 and 6
7.	04/18/1994	Matls licensing package for amend 22 to license 08-01738-03 for Dept of Army Walter Reed Army Medical Ctr. (11 pages) / Exemption 2 and 6
8.	06/23/1994	Matls licensing package for amend 23 to license 08-01738-03 for Dept of Army Walter Reed Medical Ctr. (17 pages) / Exemption 2 and 6
9.	06/30/1995	Matls licensing package for corrected amend 23 to license 08-01738-03 for Dept of Army. (5 pages) / Exemption 2
10.	11/12/1998	Matls licensing package for amend 24 to license 08-01738-03 for Dept of Army, Walter Reed Army Medical Ctr (10 pages) / Exemption 2
11.	02/23/1999	Matls licensing package for amend 25 to license 08-01738-03 for Dept of Army, Walter Reed Army Medical Ctr. (14 pages) / Exemption 2