

**John Boska - Foot Dragging at Indian Point Continues**

---

**From:** "Tom Gurdziel" <tgurdziel@twcny.rr.com>  
**To:** "OPA1 OPA1" <OPA1@nrc.gov>, <opa@nrc.gov>  
**Date:** 1/11/2007 9:19 PM  
**Subject:** Foot Dragging at Indian Point Continues  
**CC:** <ewc@nrc.gov>, "David Lochbaum" <dlochbaum@ucsusa.org>, "Ed Stronski" <ESTRONSKI@aol.com>, <mxg3@nrc.gov>, <opa2@nrc.gov>, "James M. Trapp" <jmt1@nrc.gov>, "Bostian, Bonnie" <BBostia@entergy.com>, <dej1@nrc.gov>, "Inzirillo, Frank A" <finziri@entergy.com>, <preisman@lohud.com>

---

Good morning Diane and Scott,

Please give this to Mr. Collins, the Chairman, the Commissioners, the EDO and the IG,

How better to ruin the day than to get home and see that Entergy/Indian Point is asking for more time to install a siren/alert system that I thought I was told would be done last summer (in June or July). Couldn't they call PSEG and pay for help. This might work, since I believe that PSEG/Hope Creek/Salem replaced all their sirens with improved ones, too. Now I did hear that PSEG only has about half of what Indian Point has, but they had to deal with more than one state.

On a bigger picture, isn't this the same place that just passed a biennial problem identification and resolution inspection? The reference is letter EA-06-311, dated December 21, 2006 and signed by David C. Lew, Director, Division of Reactor Projects, Region I.

Actually, it is not. You see, the sirens announce problems at the Indian Point site, which has spent fuel from three reactors, but this inspection just looked at two of them: one that may leak radioactive water intermittently, and one that probably hasn't even been closely looked at for radioactive water leakage. Meanwhile, the one that leaks continuously is continuously ignored.

Here is my thought: ask for a peer NRC inspection. I recommend that a management and inspection team from Region II independently inspect the Indian Point site, (ALL of it), and issue a corrected problem identification and resolution report.

It should include, as a minimum some words on why the Unit I spent fuel pool leak(s) have not been stopped (using grout or some other method), and why the water is radioactive anyway. Also, how many leaks exist at Unit II and how many more probably exist. Also, why did they just look at the accessible areas in the Unit II spent fuel pool, instead of all the areas? It might be nice to know if any looking for leaks was done at Unit III.

When inspecting the Entergy/Indian Point site problem of contaminated groundwater, it might be useful to compare the pumping and treatment done at Indian Point with that done at other sites, for instance, work done by Exelon.

Well, that's a few thoughts.

Maybe, if they don't have a fully tested, operational, new siren system working at the end of January, 2007 the US NRC should reduce the licensed power of Indian Point II to zero and the same with Unit III effective February 1, 2007. I'll let you decide how long they should be allowed to let the leaking continue.

Thank you for your attention.

Tom Gurdziel

**Mail Envelope Properties** (45A6F003.F6F : 21 : 24431)

**Subject:** Foot Dragging at Indian Point Continues  
**Creation Date** 1/11/2007 9:18:12 PM  
**From:** "Tom Gurdziel" <[tgurdziel@twcny.rr.com](mailto:tgurdziel@twcny.rr.com)>

**Created By:** [tgurdziel@twcny.rr.com](mailto:tgurdziel@twcny.rr.com)

**Recipients**

nrc.gov  
kp1\_po.KP\_DO  
OPA1  
MXG3 CC (Mel Gray)  
JMT1 CC (James Trapp)  
EWC CC (Eugene Cobey)  
DEJ1 CC (Donald Jackson)

nrc.gov  
TWGWPO02.HQGWDO01  
OPA ()

lohud.com  
preisman CC

entergy.com  
finziri CC (Frank A' 'Inzirillo)  
BBostia CC (Bonnie' 'Bostian)

aol.com  
ESTRONSKI CC (Ed Stronski)

ucsusa.org  
dlochbaum CC (David Lochbaum)

**Post Office**

kp1\_po.KP\_DO  
TWGWPO02.HQGWDO01

**Route**

nrc.gov  
nrc.gov  
lohud.com  
entergy.com  
aol.com  
ucsusa.org

<b>Files</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
MESSAGE	2614	1/11/2007 9:18:12 PM

TEXT.htm	7011
Mime.822	12070

**Options**

<b>Expiration Date:</b>	None
<b>Priority:</b>	Standard
<b>ReplyRequested:</b>	No
<b>Return Notification:</b>	None
<b>Concealed Subject:</b>	No
<b>Security:</b>	Standard