

1/15/2007

January 16, 2007 (3:17pm)

Annette L. Vietti-Cook  
Secretary  
Attn: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, DC 20555-0001

OFFICE OF SECRETARY  
RULEMAKINGS AND  
ADJUDICATIONS STAFF

49

Re: PRM-35-20

Dear Ms. Vietti-Cook:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the petition for rulemaking filed by E. Russell Ritenour, Ph.D., on behalf of the American Association of Medical Physicists as published in the Federal Register Vol. 71 No. 211 on November 1, 2006. Mr. Ritenour's petition seeks to restore the recognition of diplomates of certifying boards that were previously recognized in 10 CFR Part 35 prior to October 25, 2005.

As a diplomate of the American Board of Health Physics, I support this petition for rulemaking; and urge the Commission to amend its regulations as stated in paragraph 4.2 of the petition to "recognize individuals that were certified by a board that was listed in Subpart J of the of the old regulations for . . . §§ 35.50 (RSO) . . . prior to October 24, 2005."

Although the petition specifically focuses on the American Board of Radiology and the American Board of Medical Physics in its discussion of this portion of the proposed rule change, the proposed change presented to the Commission, is more general. As such it includes recognition of individuals certified by the American Board of Health Physics (ABHP) as meeting the training and education (T&E) requirements to be an RSO on a medical license.

The current regulations require that individuals certified prior to October 25, 2005 by the ABHP be forced to use the alternate pathway. I have, however, seen no evidence that support the assertion implicit in the current regulations that individuals certified prior to 2005 are any less capable of performing as RSO. Therefore, these additional steps pose a burden upon individuals and licensees without a corresponding increase in public or worker health and safety.

I believe that my certification by the ABHP establishes my credentials as a radiation safety professional. The ABHP comprehensive certification exam is devoted to radiation safety and training and has included medical applications since its inception. Therefore, I believe that individuals considered qualified to be RSO prior to October 25, 2005 by virtue of their board certification should continue to be considered qualified to hold this position.

In conclusion, as a certified health physicist, I believe that my certification by the ABHP establishes that I have the requisite training and education to serve as an RSO at a medical

Template = SECY-067

SECY-002

institution. I encourage the Commission to favorably consider the petition for rulemaking and modify 10 CFR 35 to recognize the certifications of those individuals who met the Part 35 training and experience requirements for RSO as of October 25, 2005.

Thank-you for giving me the opportunity to comment,

Respectfully,

Joe Zlotnicki CHP  
3Z CONSULTING Inc.

630 985 4749 (O)  
630 802 1697 (M)  
Joe.Zlotnicki@3ZCONSULTING.COM

**From:** "Joe Zlotnicki CHP" <joe.zlotnicki@3zconsulting.com>  
**To:** <SECY@nrc.gov>  
**Date:** Mon, Jan 15, 2007 5:00 PM  
**Subject:** PRM-35-20

Please see the attached comment on PRM-35-20

Joe Zlotnicki CHP

3Z CONSULTING Inc.

630 985 4749 (O)

630 802 1697 (M)

Joe.Zlotnicki@3ZCONSULTING.COM

**Mail Envelope Properties** (45ABF984.10E : 17 : 4366)

**Subject:** PRM-35-20  
**Creation Date** Mon, Jan 15, 2007 5:00 PM  
**From:** "Joe Zlotnicki CHP" <joe.zlotnicki@3zconsulting.com>

**Created By:** joe.zlotnicki@3zconsulting.com

**Recipients**

nrc.gov

TWGWPO02.HQGWDO01  
SECY (SECY)

**Post Office**

TWGWPO02.HQGWDO01

**Route**

nrc.gov

<b>Files</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
MESSAGE	166	Monday, January 15, 2007 5:00 PM
TEXT.htm	2500	
AAPM Petition Comment.rtf	31692	
Mime.822	37952	

**Options**

**Expiration Date:** None  
**Priority:** Standard  
**ReplyRequested:** No  
**Return Notification:** None

**Concealed Subject:** No  
**Security:** Standard

**Junk Mail Handling Evaluation Results**

Message is eligible for Junk Mail handling  
This message was not classified as Junk Mail

**Junk Mail settings when this message was delivered**

Junk Mail handling disabled by User  
Junk Mail handling disabled by Administrator  
Junk List is not enabled  
Junk Mail using personal address books is not enabled  
Block List is not enabled