PR 50, 72 and 73
(71FR62663)

From: ryan billheimer <ryan_billheimer@yahoo.com>
To: <secy@nrc.gov>
Date: Sat, Dec 30, 2006 3:08 PM
Subject: #rin3150-ag63

I feel as if having guards on the east shore at the gates is not worth the trouble. Having guards not on the island only draws more attention and will create more false alarms. If there are concrete barriers and guards on the island side of the bridges what is the point of having them off of route 441. If someone unauthorized would try to cross one of the bridges, that would rule out any uncertaintly that it could be a false alarm. That would present to the guards that this is a serious situation and that discipline actions need to arise from the situation. Why draw more attention to the power plant by having guards outside the bridges when they can concentrate on being on the island, then in turn, there would be no mistakes as to guessing if someone is serious or not.

(16)

Template = SECY-067   SECY-02

Mail Envelope Properties   (4596C73F.1F8 : 12 : 25080)

Subject: #rin3150-ag63
Creation Date   Sat, Dec 30, 2006 3:08 PM
From: ryan billheimer <ryan_billheimer@yahoo.com>

Created By: ryan_billheimer@yahoo.com

Recipients
nrc.gov
 TWGWPO02.HQGWDO01
  SECY (SECY)

Post Office   **Route**
TWGWPO02.HQGWDO01   nrc.gov

Files   **Size**   **Date & Time**
 MESSAGE   940   Saturday, December 30, 2006   3:08 PM
 TEXT.htm   979
 Mime.822   3667

Options
Expiration Date: None
Priority: Standard
ReplyRequested: No
Return Notification: None

Concealed Subject: No
Security: Standard

Junk Mail Handling Evaluation Results
 Message is eligible for Junk Mail handling
 This message was not classified as Junk Mail

Junk Mail settings when this message was delivered
 Junk Mail handling disabled by User
 Junk Mail handling disabled by Administrator
 Junk List is not enabled
 Junk Mail using personal address books is not enabled
 Block List is not enabled