Attachment 1

James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant

License Renewal Application – Amendment 1

License Renewal Commitment List - Revision 0

JAF List of Regulatory Commitments

The following table identifies those actions committed to by Entergy in this document. Any other statements in this submittal are provided for information purposes and are not considered to be regulatory commitments. This list will be revised as necessary in subsequent amendments to reflect changes resulting from audit questions and RAI responses.

#	COMMITMENT	IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE	SOURCE	Related LRA Section No./ Comments
1	Implement the Buried Piping and Tanks Inspection Program as described in LRA Section B.1.1.	October 17, 2014	JAFP-06- 0109	A.2.1.1 B.1.1
2	Enhance the BWR CRD Return Line Nozzle Program to examine the CRDRL nozzle-to-vessel weld and the CRDRL nozzle inside radius section per Section XI Table IWB-2500-1 Category B-D Items B3.10 and B3.20.	October 17, 2014	JAFP-06- 0109	A.2.1.2 B.1.2
3	Enhance the Diesel Fuel Monitoring Program to include periodic draining, cleaning, visual inspections, and ultrasonic measurement of the bottom surfaces of the fire pump diesel fuel oil tanks, EDG day tanks, and EDG fuel oil storage tanks to ensure that significant degradation is not occurring.	October 17, 2014	JAFP-06- 0109	A.2.1.9 B.1.9
	Enhance the Diesel Fuel Monitoring Program to specify acceptance criteria for UT measurements of diesel generator fuel storage tanks within the scope of this program.	Λ		
4	Enhance the External Surfaces Monitoring Program to include periodic inspections of systems in scope and subject to aging management review for license renewal in accordance with 10 CFR 54.4(a)(1) and (a)(3). Inspections shall include areas surrounding the subject systems to identify hazards to those systems. Inspections of nearby systems that could impact the subject systems will include SSCs that are in scope and subject to aging management review for license renewal in accordance with 10 CFR 54.4(a)(2).	October 17, 2014	JAFP-06- 0109	A.2.1.11 B.1.11
5	Enhance the Fire Protection Program to inspect accessible fire barrier walls, ceilings, and floors at least once every refueling outage. Inspection results will be acceptable if there are no visual indications of degradation such as cracks, holes, spalling, or gouges.	October 17, 2014	JAFP-06- 0109	A.2.1.13 B.1.13.1
	Enhance the Fire Protection Program to inspect at least one seal of each type every 24 months.			

Attachment 1 Page 1 of 5 JAFP-06-0167

#	COMMITMENT	IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE	SOURCE	Related LRA Section No./ Comments
6	Enhance the Fire Water System Program to include inspection of hose reels for corrosion. Acceptance criteria will be enhanced to verify no significant corrosion.	October 17, 2014	JAFP-06- 0109	A.2.1.14 B.1.13.2
	Enhance Fire Water System Program to include visual inspection of spray and sprinkler system internals for evidence of corrosion. Acceptance criteria will be enhanced to verify no significant corrosion.	۱.		
	Enhance Fire Water System Program to include that a sample of sprinkler heads will be inspected using guidance of NFPA 25 (2002 Edition) Section 5.3.1.1.1. NFPA 25 also contains guidance to repeat sampling every 10 years after initial field service testing.			
	Enhance Fire Water System Program to include that wall thickness evaluations of fire water piping will be performed on system components using non-intrusive techniques to identify evidence of loss of material due to corrosion. These inspections will be performed before the end of the current operating term and at intervals thereafter during the period of extended operation. Results of the initial evaluations will be used to determine the appropriate inspection interval to ensure aging effects are identified prior to loss of intended function.			
7	Implement the Heat Exchanger Monitoring Program as described in LRA Section B.1.15.	October 17, 2014	JAFP-06- 0109	A.2.1.16 B.1.15
8	Implement the Metal-Enclosed Bus Inspection Program as described in LRA Section B.1.17.	October 17, 2014	JAFP-06- 0109	A.2.1.19 B.1.17
9	Implement the Non-EQ Instrumentation Circuits Test Review Program as described in LRA Section B.1.18.	October 17, 2014	JAFP-06- 0109	A.2.1.20 B.1.18
10	Implement the Non-EQ Insulated Cables and Connections Program as described in LRA Section B.1.19.	October 17, 2014	JAFP-06- 0109	A.2.1.21 B.1.19

0

,

#	COMMITMENT	IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE	SOURCE	Related LRA Section No./ Comments
11	Enhance the Oil Analysis Program to periodically sample the oil in the underground oil-filled cable, the security generator, and the fire pump diesel.	October 17, 2014	JAFP-06- 0109	A.2.1.22 B.1.20
	Enhance the Oil Analysis Program to include viscosity and neutralization number determination of oil samples from components that do not have regular oil changes.			
	Enhance the Oil Analysis Program to include particulate and water content for oil replaced periodically.			
12	Implement the One-Time Inspection Program as described in LRA Section B.1.21.	Will be implemented within the 10 years prior to	JAFP-06- 0109	A.2.1.23 B.1.21
		October 17, 2014		
13	Enhance the Periodic Surveillance and Preventive Maintenance Program as necessary to assure that the effects of aging will be managed as described in LRA Section B.1.22.	October 17, 2014	JAFP-06- 0109	A.2.1.24 B.1.22
14	Enhance the Reactor Vessel Surveillance Program to include the data analysis, acceptance criteria, and corrective actions described in LRA Section B.1.24.	October 17, 2014	JAFP-06- 0109	A.2.1.26 B.1.24
15	Implement the Selective Leaching Program as described in LRA Section B.1.25.	October 17, 2014	JAFP-06- 0109	A.2.1.27 B.1.25

ι

.

.

#	COMMITMENT	IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE	SOURCE	Related LRA Section No./ Comments
16	Enhance the Structures Monitoring Program procedure to specify that manholes, duct banks, underground fuel oil tank foundations, manway seals and gaskets, hatch seals and gaskets, underwater concrete in the intake structure, and crane rails and girders are included in the program.	October 17, 2014	JAFP-06- 0109	A.2.1.30 B.1.27.2
	Enhance the Structures Monitoring Program procedure to include guidance for performing structural examinations of elastomers and rubber components to identify cracking and change in material properties.			
	Enhance the Structures Monitoring Program procedure to include guidance for performing periodic inspections to confirm the absence of aging effects for lubrite surfaces in the torus radial beam seats and for lubrite surfaces in the torus support saddles.			
	(Note: This is a change to the LRA Appendix B enhancement that states the Containment ISI Program will include inspection of the lubrite surfaces of the torus support saddles. The corresponding change to LRA Appendix B will be provided in a later amendment.)			-
	Enhance the Structures Monitoring Program to perform an engineering evaluation on a periodic basis (at least once every five years) of groundwater samples to assess aggressiveness (pH < 5.5, chloride > 500 ppm and Sulfate > 1500) of groundwater to concrete.			Audit Item 201
	Enhance the Structures Monitoring Program to inspect any inaccessible concrete areas that may be exposed by excavation for any reason, or any inaccessible area where observed conditions in accessible areas, which are exposed to the same environment, show that significant concrete degradation is occurring.			Audit Item 207
17	Implement the Thermal Aging and Neutron Irradiation Embrittlement of Cast Austenitic Stainless Steel (CASS) Program as described in LRA Section B.1.28.	October 17, 2014	JAFP-06- 0109	A.2.1.31 B.1.28
18	Enhance the Water Chemistry Control – Auxiliary Systems Program to include guidance for sampling the control room and relay room chilled water, decay heat removal cooling water, and the security generator jacket cooling water.	October 17, 2014	JAFP-06- 0109	A.2.1.32 B.1.29.1

#	COMMITMENT	IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE	SOURCE	Related LRA Section No./ Comments
19	Enhance the Bolting Integrity Program to include guidance from EPRI NP-5769 and EPRI TR-104213.	October 17, 2014	JAFP-06- 0109	A.2.1.35 B 1 30
	Enhance the Bolting Integrity Program to clarify that actual yield strength is used in selecting materials for low susceptibility to SCC and to clarify the prohibition on use of lubricants containing MoS ₂ for bolting.			5.1.00
20	Prior to entering the period of extended operation, for each location that may exceed a CUF of 1.0 when considering environmental effects, JAFNPP will implement one or more of the following:	October 17, 2014	JAFP-06- 0167	4.3.3/audit item 317
	 further refinement of the fatigue analyses to lower the predicted CUFs to less than 1.0 using an NRC- approved method; management of fatigue at the affected locations by an inspection program that has been reviewed and approved by the NRC (e.g., periodic non-destructive examination of the affected locations at inspection intervals to be determined by a method acceptable to the NRC); repair or replacement of the affected locations. 			
	Should JAFNPP select the option to manage environmentally assisted fatigue during the period of extended operation, details of the aging management program such as scope, qualification, method, and frequency will be submitted to the NRC prior to the period of extended operation.	October 17, 2012		
21	Enhance the BWR Vessel Internals Program to perform inspections of the core plate rim hold down bolts in accordance with ASME Section XI Table IWB-2500-1, Examination Category B-N-2 or in accordance with a future NRC-approved revision of BWRVIP-25 that provides a feasible method of inspection.	October 17, 2014	JAFP-06- 0167	A.2.1.7 and B.1.7/audit item 252
22	Enhance the BWR Vessel Internals Program to ensure the effects of aging on the steam dryer are managed in accordance with the guidelines of BWRVIP-139 as approved by the NRC and accepted by the BWRVIP Executive Committee.	October 17, 2014	JAFP-06- 0167	A.2.1.7 and B.1.7/audit item 245

Attachment 2

James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant

License Renewal Application – Amendment 1

Response to Requests for Additional Information

James A. Fitzpatrick Nuclear Power Plant (JAFNPP) Response to Requests for Additional Information Part 1 Questions

RAI E-1-a Aquatic Ecology Additional information required pursuant to 51.41, 51.45(c), 51.53(c)(3)(ii)(B), 51.53(c)(3)(iii), 51.70(b). Provide drawings and a detailed description of the circulating water intake structure (both the offshore and onshore structures) showing a plan view and an elevation view of the front of the structure with the intake bars and their spacing, a cross-section of the intake structure and the location of the fish deterrent system transducers.

RAI E-1-a Response:

Section 3.2.2.3 of the JAFNPP LRA Environmental Report provides the circulating water intake structure information required pursuant to 51.41, 51.45(c), 51.53(c)(3)(ii)(B), 51.53(c)(3)(iii), 51.70(b). See ER Section 3.2.2.3 for a detailed description of the intake structure. See attached Figure E-1-a-1 for reference (see Attachment 4).

RAI E-1-b Aquatic Ecology, Provide drawings and a detailed description of the circulating water intake tunnel providing distances and direction from the plant.

RAI E-1-b Response:

ER Section 3.2.2.4 provides a detailed description of the circulating water intake tunnel. See attached Figure E-1-b-1 for reference (see Attachment 4).

RAI E-1-c Aquatic Ecology, Provide drawings and a detailed description of the circulating water intake traveling screens and collection buckets and their spacing.

RAI E-1-c Response:

The traveling water screens are furnished by Jeffrey Manufacturing Company of Columbus, Ohio. Three 12 foot wide traveling screens, fabricated from No. 10 gauge 304 stainless steel wire with 3/8 inch clear openings, are situated between the trash racks and the pump intake sluice gates. See attached Figure E-1-c-1 in Attachment 4 for reference. Each screen has a design capacity of 125,000 gpm, is 12'-0" wide and 43'-4" high, and has a design approach velocity of 1.2 fps. Screen rotation speed ranges from 10 fpm to 20 fpm. The traveling screens retain debris ≥3/8 inches and dump it into a collection trough. The steel trash trough has flanged ends for each screen section designed so that the ends will mate for bolting when the screens are installed in place to form one continuous pitched trash trough mated to a trough extension. The bottom flange of each panel forms a trash shelf extending the entire width of the panel. The shelf design includes a substantial dredging leaf rake extending the width of each panel at the panel midpoint for refuse removal and is designed for minimum reduction of free area. This rake has tines to engage and raise moss and other lake vegetation. The carrying ledge portion of the lip is able to retain fish and is perforated to drain water. The panels are constructed and attached to the chain so that there is no opening larger than

> Attachment 2 Page 1 of 26 JAFP-06-0167

the screen cloth opening for debris to get through at the line of articulation along the sides or bottom when they are stationary or moving.

Two 100% capacity (1 running, 1 standby) screen wash pumps take suction from the SW discharge header to provide backwash spray water for the traveling screens. The spray system utilizes non-clogging, wear resistant deflector type nozzles, designed to project overlapping fan shaped jets of spray water across the width of the screen so that all material picked up on the screen, trash shelf, and the special dredging leaf rake will be jetted off when the panels are ascending. Debris is jetted in a direction opposite the direction of flow of water in the intake channel. The design screen wash pumps spray flow rate is 720 gpm/screen at a minimum of 80 psi gauge pressure. Water is sprayed on all screens simultaneously from two screen wash headers whenever the traveling screens are rotating.

The traveling screens and screen wash pumps are equipped with an automatic differential level control to limit debris loading and can be operated manually or in automatic mode. When in the automatic mode, the pumps will start when either of two conditions occur:

- 1. High screen differential level, 4 inches W.C., as sensed by level detectors across the screens, or
- 2. 10-minute exercise timer is initiated.

The traveling screens start when pump discharge pressure is > 100 psig.

Design debris loading conditions for the traveling screens correspond to 1.6 inches differential W.C. clean, up to 6 inches differential W.C. fully loaded. The traveling screens will automatically stop if the screen differential level is <2 inches W.C, for 10 minutes. An adjustable timer is included to ensure that the screen will run for at least 1-1/3 revolutions after minimum level differential is attained to assure that debris is completely removed and not just lifted out of the water and allowed to dry on the panels. If any of the screens runs continuously for 30 minutes or if the differential level across the screens reaches 6 inches W.C., an alarm is sounded in the main control room. The traveling screens are operated at least once per shift, either in "automatic" mode, or manually in "continuous" mode.

RAI E-1-d Aquatic Ecology, Provide drawings and a detailed description of the circulating water discharge structure showing a plan view as well as an elevation view of the front of the structure.

RAI E-1-d Response:

ER Section 3.2.2.5 provides a detailed description of the discharge structure. See attached figures, Figure E-1-b-1 and Figures E-1-d-1, for reference (see Attachment 4).

Attachment 2 Page 2 of 26 JAFP-06-0167 **RAI E-1-e** Aquatic Ecology, Provide drawings and a detailed description of the circulating water discharge tunnel distances and relative direction from the plant.

RAI E-1-e Response:

ER Section 3.2.2.5 provides a detailed description of the circulating water discharge tunnel distances. See attached figure E-1-b-1 for reference (see Attachment 4).

RAI E-1-f Aquatic Ecology, Provide a detailed description of the characteristics and operation of the Fish Deterrent System.

RAI E-1-f Response:

The fish deterrent system (FDS) was specifically designed to repel alewives (*Alosa pseudoharengus*) from the vicinity of the intake structure using high frequency sound (122-128 kHz) at a source level (in decibels [dB] in reference to 1μ Pa) of 190 dB. The system, installed in the mid-1990's, consists of nine integrated projector assemblies (IPAs) located around the perimeter of the intake structure. The IPAs are configured such that their sonified zones overlap, thereby completely enveloping the intake structure.

The FDS computer is located in the screenwell at the 255' elevation. The computer communicates with each IPA independently and allows users to start and stop the system and to test IPAs individually or the system as a whole.

Per the site SPDES permit, the system is installed (dewinterized) by the first week of April each year and is removed from service (winterized) each October, except for years with an October refueling outage when the system can be removed from service in September. Upon installation each April, all nine IPAs must be fully operational (communicating with the FDS computer and producing at least 190 dB/µPa at 1 meter from the source). Because computer modeling showed sufficient sonified zone overlap, the SPDES permit mid-cycle operational criteria are that at least five of the nine IPAs must be operational, with no two adjacent IPAs out of service.

The current SPDES permit fact sheet states that "... a reduction in impingement of onshore-migrant alewives of between 80 and 87% can be achieved. Based in part on the demonstrated performance of the fish deterrent system with alewives, and the fact that such a large percentage of the fish impinged here are alewives, the fish deterrent system was determined to be the Best Technology Available to mitigate fish impacts at this intake."

Since the FDS was installed there have been no significant alewife impingement events at JAFNPP.

Attachment 2 Page 3 of 26 JAFP-06-0167 **RAI E-1-g** Aquatic Ecology, Provide a description of when intake flow reduction occurs and explain its impact on entrainment. The application states that in addition to acoustic FDS, JAFNPP "also utilizes additional operational measures and technological design features to further minimize already small entrainment impacts". Measures include: intake flow reductions resulting from pump differentials, maintenance outages, and recirculation of heated condenser flow to temper incoming winter water; and the design of the intake structure.

RAI E-1-g Response:

JAFNPP has a maximum total raw water withdrawal flow rate from Lake Ontario of 596.16 million gallons per day ("mgd") through the cooling water intake structure, representing the combined 518.4 mgd of cooling water flow (three circulating water pumps each operating at a design capacity of 120,000 gallons per minute, "gpm") and 77.76 mgd of service water flow (three service water pumps each operating at a design capacity of 18,000 gpm). The actual JAFNPP raw water intake flow rates in each month throughout the year based on 2001 through 2005 historical data, range from an average of 4.4% below the maximum withdrawal rate in November to 35.1% below the maximum withdrawal rate in October (Figures E-1-g-1 and E-1-g-2 in Reference for RAI E-1-g-1). JAFNPP schedules refueling outages during October once every 24 months and these outages typically last for most of the month. Scheduling outages in October reduces the actual average total intake flow by an average of 35.1% for October over the 2001 through 2005 period of available historical data (Figures E-1-g-1 and E-1-g-2 in Attachment E-1-g-1). During the January through March period of cold weather in each year, when inlet water temperature is below approximately 45°F, warm discharge water is recirculated via a tempering gate to obtain proper temperature of the circulating and service inlet water. This flow path delivers some of the water in the discharge tunnel to the intake bay, upstream of the traveling screens. The tempering gate can be controlled from 0%-100% open from the main control room. The JAFNPP raw water intake from Lake Ontario has been effectively reduced by 16% to 18% from the maximum intake flow during the months of January through March when the plant is using this tempering mode of operation, based on 2001 through 2005 historical data (Figures E-1-g-1 and E-1-q-2 in Attachment E-1-q-1). Flow reductions in the remaining months typically occur by running one or two instead of three service water pumps.

Total entrainment abundance is directly proportional to both the density of ichthyoplankton eggs and larvae in the nearfield source water and to the raw water intake flow during the period of consideration. Therefore, the monthly flow reductions described in the previous paragraph will reduce total entrainment in each month because total entrainment is the product of nearfield density (numbers of eggs or larvae per unit volume) and the volume of water withdrawn. Historical data suggests that the temporal distribution of eggs and larvae in the Nine Mile Point nearfield area is characterized by two basic spawning groups: species typically spawning in the winter and early spring (e.g. burbot, *Coregonus* spp., rainbow smelt, yellow perch), and late spring and summer spawning species (e.g. alewife, white perch, carp; TI 1979). Eggs

Attachment 2 Page 4 of 26 JAFP-06-0167 and larvae of the first group are most abundant during April through early June and larvae of the second group are most abundant in July and August.

To demonstrate compliance with federal 316(b) regulations, JAFNPP is presently conducting a year-long entrainment sampling program to determine the abundance of entrained fish eggs and larvae by sampling the intake flow in the JAFNPP cooling water intake structure. Sampling began in April 2006 and continued weekly through October 2006 for a total of 30 sampling weeks. Sampling will then continue twice per month during November 2006 through March 2007 for an additional 10 sampling weeks. Once completed, this data along with additional lake impingement and entrainment data will be submitted to NYSDEC in the form of a Comprehensive Demonstration Study (CDS). The CDS must be submitted by January 7, 2008.

Reference:

- Texas Instruments Incorporated (TI). 1979. 1978 Nine Mile Point Aquatic Ecology Studies. Report provided for Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation, Syracuse, NY and the Power Authority of the State of New York. (see attached Reference for RAI E-1-g-2)
- **RAI E-1-h** Aquatic Ecology, Provide drawings and a description of seasonal lake currents in the vicinity of the station and how these currents affect the cumulative impingement and thermal impacts of JAFNPP and Nine Mile Point.

RAI E-1-h Response:

There are two sources of information that can be used to address this request for additional information; first, literature describing the Lake Ontario water circulation patterns at a gross (whole-lake) scale, and second the results of a site-specific three-dimensional computational fluid dynamics (CFD) model of the JAFNPP intake structure operating in these Lake Ontario water circulation currents which defined the hydraulic zone of influence (HZOI) of the intake structure. These independent sources reveal no cumulative impingement or cumulative thermal impacts of JAFNPP and Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station (NMPNS).

Entergy considers "cumulative impacts" to occur when there is evidence that the impact of two facilities is more than the sum of the individual impacts, more than additive. If the impingement losses from JAFNPP and NMPNS are represented by the sum of the impingement losses at each plant, there is no cumulative impact. Otherwise, the sum of impingement losses of any two power plants on the same body of water (even one as large as Lake Ontario where the plants may be separated by more than one hundred miles) could be considered to be a cumulative impact. For example, if JAFNPP impinges 100 fish per year and NMPNS impinges 100 fish per year, then the combined impingement losses for the two stations is 200 fish per year, representing an additive effect. A cumulative impact would occur if there is an interaction between the stations due to their close proximity, such that NMPNS's operation increased the likelihood of

> Attachment 2 Page 5 of 26 JAFP-06-0167

impingement at the JAFNPP intake. For example, if JAFNPP impinges 200 fish per year and NMPNS impinges 100 fish per year, when both are expected to impinge 100 fish per year based on the density of fish exposed to impingement in HZOI, then the combined impingement losses for the two stations is 300 fish per year and the cumulative impact is 100 fish impinged above the expected number. The cumulative impingement impact in this example could occur if free swimming fish become stunned after encountering the thermal plume of NMPNS and are carried in this stunned condition by lake currents into the HZOI of JAFNPP where they are more likely to be impinged then if swimming freely without the influence of NMPNS's thermal plume.

Lake Ontario currents are likely to have little effect on impingement because the juvenile and adult fish of the size subjected to impingement are capable of freely swimming independently of the relatively weak lake currents. However, fish eggs and larvae have no (eggs) or weak (larvae) swimming ability and are likely to be directionally dispersed by lake currents from the spawning habitat. Therefore, this response explores the relationship between seasonal lake currents and both entrainment and impingement.

The interaction of seasonal lake currents with the cooling water withdrawal and discharge at JAFNPP is dependent on the intake and discharge locations for both JAFNPP and NMPNS. JAFNPP and NMPNS are located on adjacent properties on a small promontory of land projection out into Lake Ontario along its southeastern shore called Nine Mile Point (see attached Reference for RAI E-1-h-1). Proceeding from west to east along the Nine Mile Point shoreline you first encounter the offshore, mid-water discharge and intake structures for NMPNS Unit 1, then the discharge and intake structures for NMPNS Unit 2, then the offshore mid-water intake structure for JAFNPP, followed by the diffuser discharge pipe for JAFNPP. The thermal discharges are located to minimize recirculation of heated effluent back into the intakes, and they discharge below the surface in the middle of the water column. The JAFNPP intake is located about 3000 feet to the east of the nearest NMPNS discharge (see attached Reference for RAI E-1-h-1).

Lake Ontario Water Circulation Patterns

Water currents typically move in an eastward direction along the south shore of Lake Ontario in a relatively narrow band. Inflow from the Niagara River causes the water level at the western end of Lake Ontario to be higher than the eastern. The resulting flow down gradient is held against the lake's south shore by the Coriolis Effect. Wind stress averaged over the year tends to further accelerate the flow to the east due to the prevailing west-northwest winds.

Long-term circulation in the Great Lakes is driven primarily by wind stress and surface heat flux which causes density-driven currents. Winter circulation patterns are simpler than summer due to the absence of temperature stratification (generally from November to April). Therefore winter currents are almost entirely wind driven. Winter circulation is stronger than summer because of the stronger winds in winter. Southeastern Lake Ontario has some of the strongest mean winter currents (up to 9.5 cm/sec) observed in the Great Lakes. Mean circulation in winter consists of a two-gyre pattern, with counter-

> Attachment 2 Page 6 of 26 JAFP-06-0167

clockwise flow in the south-eastern part of the Lake and net surface flow is eastward. (TI 1979)

Lake Ontario circulation patterns in the summer are more complex, due to variations in depth caused by stratification (generally from May-October). The mean summer circulation consists of a combination of a large cyclonic gyre where current speed reaches a maximum of 2.5 cm/sec. and a smaller anti-cyclonic gyre in the western part of the Lake (Figure E-1-h-2 in Reference for RAI E-1-h-2).

Patterns described above are long term, lake-wide patterns. Local currents at any given time are more complex and strongly influenced by transient wind. Sometimes a major wind shift can alter the currents in a matter of hours. Preoperational studies at JAFNPP measured currents off Nine Mile Point from May to October 1969 and from July to October 1970 (TI 1979). This data clearly illustrated a correlation between summer currents and wind speed (TI 1979). The predominant direction of currents was alongshore, on those occasions when onshore or offshore currents were observed, their magnitudes were substantially less than alongshore currents. During the summer, alongshore currents from either the west or east were equally frequent about 33% of the time. Onshore and offshore currents each accounted for nearly 5 % of the observations; the remaining 30% of the observations were below the flowmeter threshold of 2.5 cm/sec. Mid-water current measurements at the 46 foot depth contour had a mean onshore current speed of 3.0 cm/sec, mean offshore current speed was 6.0 cm/sec, and alongshore currents from the west and east averaged 9.0 cm/sec. Lake currents measured in the vicinity of the Oswego Steam Station (about 6 miles west of Nine Mile Point) for 5 days between 12 October and 19 November 1970 also found surface currents to be primarily alongshore, with speeds ranging from < 2.5 cm/sec to 15.0 cm/sec (TI 1979).

Impingement and Entrainment

With respect to entrainment and impingement exposure, the prevailing west to east currents along the Lake Ontario shore in the vicinity of JAFNPP suggest that the source of fish exposed to entrainment and impingement is more likely to be from the west of the intake, particularly for passively transported eggs and larvae subjected to entrainment. Organisms carried in these west to east Lake Ontario currents may originate from the lake itself or from the Oswego River which discharges into Lake Ontario about six miles to the west of Nine Mile Point. Since most of the fish taxa found in Lake Ontario in the nearshore habitat in the vicinity of Nine Mile Point have demersal, adhesive eggs, larvae represents the pelagic dispersal stage that is most subjected to entrainment. Historical sampling for entrainment at JAFNPP from 1973-1979 (TI 1979) revealed that eggs from two nearshore spawning fishes (alewife and rainbow smelt) consistently dominated the collections. Larvae of these two species were also most abundant in the entrainment samples, however larvae of Morone spp. (white perch and white bass), yellow perch, Cottus spp. (mottled and slimy sculpins) and carp and tessellated darter were also abundant among the 22 taxa of fish larvae found in the entrainment samples (TI 1979). Enrichment of the larval fauna diversity exposed to entrainment may result from the transport of pelagic larvae from fish species spawning offshore in deeper water which

> Attachment 2 Page 7 of 26 JAFP-06-0167

may be transported to the near-shore intakes at JAFNPP and at NMPNS by local wind driven currents. Fish species that utilize deepwater as well as nearshore spawning habitat like burbot (Lota lota), slimy and mottled sculpins and lake herring (Coregonus artedii) may be more exposed to entrainment at the JAFNPP intake than would be assumed when you consider the near-shore location of the intake.

Since the NMPNS offshore intake is nearby but west of the JAFNPP offshore intake by about 3000 feet (Figure E-1-h-1), organisms carried in the predominantly west to east currents along the Lake Ontario shoreline would potentially be exposed to entrainment first at NMPNS and subsequently at JAFNPP. However, the percentage of water withdrawal is diminishingly small relative to the volume carried in these currents such that no difference in density of exposure was observed in the waters sampled by towed ichthyoplankton nets in the vicinity of either intake. Analyses of fish egg and larval catches in the thermally influenced and control areas along the 20 ft and 40 foot depth contours along four transects arranged east to west of the NMPNS and JAFNPP intake structures did not reveal any consistent temporal or spatial density patterns during the 1973-1979 period (TI 1979). Similar results were observed sampling juvenile and adult fish with gill nets and trawls representing the source population of impingement. No consistent trend in catch per unit effort, length-frequency, age and growth, fecundity, or diet analysis with respect to experimental and control areas along the east-west gradient in the Nine Mile Point vicinity from 1969-1979 was observed (TI 1979). Therefore, based on the sampling results from the 1970's, there is unlikely to be a measurable cumulative effect of entrainment or impingement on the fish community in the vicinity of Nine Mile Point.

The prevailing west to east currents along the Nine Mile Point shoreline suggest that the thermal discharge from both the NMPNS and JAFNPP offshore diffusers will be distributed from the diffuser ports in a predominantly easterly direction. The theoretical possibility exists of an interaction between the NMPNS thermal discharges from Unit 2, which is west of the JAFNPP intake by about 3000 feet (Figure E-1-h-1), and the JAFNPP thermal discharge such that the two plumes may converge to make one large surface plume. However, the closest NMPNS Unit 2 discharge is from a unit that relies on a cooling tower for primary condenser cooling and therefore contributes only a small thermal discharge. The once-through NMPNS Unit 1 thermal discharge is located about 400 feet offshore and more than 4000 feet west of the JAFNPP intake, which is 900 feet offshore (Figure E-1-h-1), making it unlikely that the two thermal plumes converge by the west to east currents before the thermal effluent is cooled by dilution to ambient conditions. Therefore, there is no evidence of cumulative thermal impacts due to interaction of the non-contact cooling water discharged from these two adjacent generating stations.

Hydraulic Zone Of Influence (HZOI)

A comprehensive Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) analysis was performed to estimate the HZOI for the JAFNPP with its CWIS (cooling water intake structure) located 900 feet offshore along the 25 foot depth contour in Lake Ontario (Entergy 2006). The objective was to delimit the HZOI so that ichthyoplankton and juvenile fish sampling

Attachment 2 Page 8 of 26 JAFP-06-0167 efforts near the offshore intake structure would sample the water mass subjected to the direct influence of flow vectors established near the intake due to the cooling water withdrawal. Sampling in the HZOI compared to nearshore (10 foot contour) will be used to evaluate the difference in fish abundance between a calculation baseline established for a hypothetical shoreline bulkhead intake compared to the existing offshore, mid-water, remote intake location as part of the plant's efforts to comply with the U.S. EPA's Clean Water Act, Section 316b Phase II Regulations.

In general, the HZOI is affected by overall flow patterns in the source water body, lake bottom topography, CWIS geometry, and CWIS intake flow rates. In the context of the 316(b) regulations, the primary reason for determining an intake's HZOI is to establish where water entering the intake comes from and to use this information to improve the design of biological sampling procedures meant to assess the impact of the intake's operation on organisms found in the surrounding areas. For example, CFD predicts local velocities in the vicinity of the CWIS subject to all of variables listed above, and establishes the three-dimensional shape of the intake influence. These predicted velocities can, in turn, be compared to sustained and burst swimming speed for organisms living near the CWIS to evaluate the likelihood for the entrainment into the CWIS. The HZOI boundary for entrainment of fish eggs and larvae was operationally defined in this work as the calculated Lake Ontario 5% current greater than the ambient lake currents due to the influence of water withdrawal activities into the JAF CWIS. Also, the HZOI boundary for impingement of juvenile and older fish was defined as a calculated nearfield velocity of 0.5 feet per second (fps) or more compared to the ambient lake currents.

Results from the HZOI modeling of the JAFNPP CWIS revealed that the overall flow patterns for the lake from west to east had a dominant effect. The predicted HZOI was asymmetric around the CWIS and aligned along a southwest vector originating at the intake with a maximum extent of about 600 feet (Figure E-1-h-3 in Reference for RAI E-1-h-3; reproduced from Entergy 2006, Figure 4.8.11). Given the separation of the intake and discharge structures for NMPNS and JAFNPP of 3000 feet or more, and the assumption that the NMPNS HZOI exhibits a similar shape and extent as the HZOI for JAFNPP, there is no evidence that the two HZOI's interact to produce cumulative effects on entrainment or impingement.

References:

Entergy Nuclear FitzPatrick, LLC. (Entergy). March 2006. Hydraulic zone of influence (estimated calculation) supporting the sampling plan included within the Proposal for Information Collection Clean Water Act §316(b) Phase II Regulations James A. Fitzpatrick Nuclear Power Plant (SPDES Permit No. NY 0020109). Lycoming, New York. (see attached Reference for RAI E-1-h-4)

Texas Instruments Incorporated (TI). 1979. 1978 Nine Mile Point Aquatic Ecology Studies. Report provided for Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation, Syracuse, NY and the Power Authority of the State of New York. (see attached Reference for RAI E-1-g-2)

Attachment 2 Page 9 of 26 JAFP-06-0167 **RAI E-1-i** Aquatic Ecology, Provide a copy of the current Clean Water Act 316(b) and 316(a) determinations and data appendices.

RAI E-1-i Response:

A copy of the current Clean Water Act 316(a) determination and data appendices is included in Reference for RAI E-1-i-1. The 316(a) demonstration was submitted to the EPA approximately 1976. However, the cover letter transmitting this demonstration could not be located. NYSDEC's approval of alternative effluent limitations pursuant to Section 316(a) of the Clean Water Act is described in Additional Requirements, Item 8 of JAFNPP SPDES Permit NY-0020109, which is included in ER Attachment C.

RAI E-1-j Aquatic Ecology, Provide a general summary of the aquatic and terrestrial monitoring programs at JAFNPP.

RAI E-1-j Response:

Other than terrestrial monitoring associated with the JAFNPP radiological environmental monitoring program described in Section 2.7.3 of the JAFNPP FSAR, there are no other terrestrial monitoring programs conducted at the site.

Current aquatic monitoring programs at the JAFNPP site consist of impingement, zebra mussel, and activities associated with 316(b) performance standards as discussed below.

Impingement Monitoring Program

The aquatic monitoring program is required by a condition outlined in Additional Requirements, Item 10 of JAFNPP SPDES Permit NY-0020109, which involves conducting a one year impingement monitoring program to determine the numbers and total weights by species of aquatic organisms impinged on all intake traveling screens. This program must be completed before the end of the fourth year of the permit. Additional details regarding this program are discussed in Additional Requirements, Item 10 in JAFNPP SPDES Permit NY-0020109. Reference for RAI E-1-j-1 contains a copy of the most recent impingement report submitted to NYSDEC.

Collections are made 78 days each year monitoring is required, provided that the circulating water system is in operation. When scheduled collection days coincide with zero water circulation, collection is not necessary. Table E-1-j-2 lists the number of collections required per month.

Attachment 2 Page 10 of 26 JAFP-06-0167

Table E-1-j-2 Impingement Sampling Regime			
Month	Number of Collections		
January	4		
February	4		
March	4		
April	16		
Мау	20		
June	4		
July	4		
August	6		
September	4		
October	4		
November	4		
December	4		
Note: Days assigned each month is selected by contractor using a random methodology.			

Impingement sampling is conducted for a minimum of 24 hours beginning on each randomly selected day. Impingement data is collected and reported on a 24-hour basis, with impinged fish/organisms or subsamples identified and enumerated.

For each month, the following data is obtained:

- Gross power output (MWe) per hour and 24-hour average
- Number of circulating water pumps running per 24-hour period and average daily volume (gallons) for all days of the month.
- Number of service water pumps running per 24-hour period and average daily volume (gallons) for all days of the month.
- Daily average intake and discharge water temperature (from plant computer printouts when the circulating pumps are operational).
- Percent tempering for all days of the month (average value).

Before sample collection, the traveling screens are rotated and washed for a minimum of 15 minutes, after which the collection basket, with a 9.5-mm (3/8-in.) stretch mesh liner, is positioned at the end of the sluiceway. The collection basket remains in place for a minimum of 24 hours, unless high impingement or debris loads require that it be emptied; in which case it is removed, emptied and repositioned. A subsampling routine is utilized for occasions when high impingement rates or high debris loads are encountered. The subsampling technique is based on volume, and the total 24-hour catch is estimated using the following formula:

Estimated No. of Fish in Total Sample = Volume of Total Sample × No. of Fish in Aliquot Volume of Subsample

> Attachment 2 Page 11 of 26 JAFP-06-0167

The volume of the total sample is determined by repeatedly filling a volumetrically graduated container, recording the values, and adding them. The total volume is thoroughly mixed by hand or with a shovel and spread out evenly over a flat surface. An aliquot(s) of the total sample is randomly selected, and this portion of the sample is removed and measured to determine its volume.

An extrapolation routine may be employed on occasions when one of the three rotating screens that are initially cycled at the onset of the sample becomes immobilized due to maintenance or other reason before the completion of the sample. The total numbers of impinged organisms are extrapolated for the remaining screen using the following formula:

Estimated No. = Number of Fish in Sample × Number of Screens Rotated at Start of Sample of Fish in Total Sample Number of Screens Rotated at End of Sample

Each impingement sample is returned to the laboratory where all organisms are sorted, identified, and enumerated. Identification is made to the lowest possible taxonomic level, in most cases, down to species.

A maximum of 25 individuals of the following species are weighed and measured: white perch, alewife, and rainbow smelt. All individuals collected of yellow perch, smallmouth bass, and salmonids are individually weighed and measured. Other fish are enumerated and weighed to obtain a total count and total weight for each species or taxonomic group. Total lengths are measured to the nearest millimeter (mm). Age analysis is not a requirement except in a general sense. Data on species of special interest attain a total length between 65 and 102 mm at the end of the first year growth. Young-of-year (YOY) are considered those individuals of species of special interest whose lengths are less than 100 mm and which are generally collected from late summer through winter. Young fish collected in spring impingement samples are considered yearlings, even if they have not attained a length greater than 100 mm, they have completed a full year of growth and are not classified as YOY.

Weights are recorded to the nearest 0.1 g for specimens weighing <1,000 g, 1 g for specimens weighing between 1,000 g and 2,000 g, and 5 g for specimens weighing greater than 2,000 g. Specimens with any unusual conditions, abnormalities, or presence of fish tags are noted on data sheets. Zebra mussel volumes for each sample are also noted on the data sheets.

A report of the impingement monitoring program is submitted to the NYSDEC within six months from the calendar year of collection (see Reference for RAI E-1-j-1). This report includes monthly totals of impingement by species and grand total over all species and a comparison of previous impingement levels with levels obtained during the permit period.

As required by correspondence from JAFNPP to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, all impingement samples are also checked for the presence of the Asiatic clam (*Corbicula* sp.).

Attachment 2 Page 12 of 26 JAFP-06-0167

Zebra Mussel Monitoring Program

Circulating water is monitored for the presence and abundance of veligers during the growth and reproduction period of each year (spring through fall). Veliger abundance is typically determined by weekly water sampling when lake temperature is >50°F. Settling racks may be used to evaluate settling density and growth rates. In addition, monitoring of susceptible components for the presence and abundance of zebra mussels are performed periodically when they are opened for scheduled maintenance. When visual inspection results are unexpected or severe, samples are obtained to determine abundance of mussels, and corrective actions taken as necessary.

To minimize the build-up of zebra mussels in plant components needed to support plant operation, chlorination with hypochlorite is used as a routine preventive chemical control for service water, RHR service water, emergency service water, fire protection and raw water makeup. Molluscide treatment is also available as a preventive chemical control on the circulating water system and components, if needed. Mechanical/physical cleaning of the circulating water inlet system, and other susceptible components (i.e., intake and forebay), are also implemented as needed to prevent excessive accumulation of mussels.

316(b) Performance Standards

Since JAFNPP is located on a Great Lake, the facility must address both the impingement and entrainment 316(b) standards of the Clean Water Act at existing electricity-generating stations (the "Phase II Regulations"). The Phase II regulations establish performance standards for the reduction of impingement mortality by 80 to 95 percent and, under certain circumstances, for the reduction of entrainment by 60 to 90 percent. The applicability of these performance standards is determined by several factors, including the type of water body from which a plant withdraws cooling water and the plant's capacity utilization factor. Under the Phase II Regulations, applicable performance standards can be met by design and construction technologies, operational measures, restoration measures, or some combination of these compliance alternatives.

Monitoring in response to the Phase II regulations is in the early stages and is described in Section 8.0 of the Proposal for Information Collection to Address Compliance with the Clean Water Act, §316(b) Phase II Regulations at James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant (see attached Reference for RAI E-1-j-3).

RAI E-2-a Cultural Resources, Additional information required pursuant to 51.41, 51.45(c), 51.53(c)(3)(ii)(K), 51.70(b). If available, provide copies of aerial photos of the site prior to, during, and post construction.

RAI E-2-a Response:

Regulations in 51.41, 51.45(c), 51.53(c)(3)(ii)(K), 51.53(c)(3)(iii), 51.70(b) do not specifically require the requested aerial photos, however, available photos are attached. See attached Reference for RAI E-2-a-1.

Attachment 2 Page 13 of 26 JAFP-06-0167 **RAI E-2-b** Cultural Resources, If available, provide copies of any old maps and any description relating to prior use of the site and any structures onsite prior to plant construction.

RAI E-2-b Response:

Prior to the construction of JAFNPP, the area surrounding the plant was used as an artillery range and as farmland. See attached map (Reference for RAI E-2-b-1) showing prior land owners.

RAI E-2-c Cultural Resources, If available, provide a copy of any readable map showing ground disturbances as a result of initial construction and subsequent operation activities.

RAI E-2-c Response:

Refer to attached Reference for RAI E-2-c-1.

RAI E-2-d Cultural Resources, If available, provide copies of any previous archaeological surveys of the JAFNPP site.

RAI E-2-d Response:

There were no archaeological surveys conducted prior to construction of the plant based on agency discussions in Appendix I of the JAFNPP Final Environmental Statement. In addition, based on discussions with plant personnel, there have been no surveys conducted during the operational period. However, surveys have been conducted by third parties that involve portions of the site as discussed below. JAFNPP is attempting to obtain copies of these archaeological assessments.

In 1977, Pratt & Pratt Archaeological Consultants, Inc. performed a Phase I investigation (literature search) of the Nine Mile 2 – Volney 765 kV transmission line, which included a small portion of the JAFNPP area. This project was revisited and reviewed again by Pratt & Pratt Associates in 1983 for construction of the Scriba substation, which involved a new transmission line 10 miles in length to the Scriba substation.

A second Phase IA literature review and archaeological sensitivity assessment was conducted by Hartgen Archaeological Associates (HAA), Inc. in 2003, involving new water lines for the Town of Scriba and which included a portion of the JAFNPP property.

A more comprehensive literature review and sensitivity assessment of the plant property is being conducted by JAFNPP in order to provide better information for land management purposes.

Attachment 2 Page 14 of 26 JAFP-06-0167 **RAI E-2-e** Cultural Resources, Provide copies of all procedures (including stop work procedures) related to the protection of historic and archaeological resources, for sites and structures.

RAI E-2-e Response:

A copy of Entergy Nuclear procedures related to the protection of potential historic and archaeological resources on site are included in attached Reference for RAI E-2-e-1.

RAI E-3 Transportation of Spent Fuel, Additional information required pursuant to Table B-1 Appendix B Subpart A of Part 51, 51.41, 51.45(c), 51.70(b). Provide information to support the applicability of Table B-1 for transportation of spent fuel, specifically provide the maximum fuel enrichment level and the peak rod average burn-up level at JAFNPP.

RAI E-3 Response:

Fuel enrichment will not exceed 5 percent uranium-235 by weight and the average burnup of the peak rod (burnup averaged over the length of the rod) will not exceed 60,000 MWD/MTU.

RAI E-4 Zoning Regulations, Additional information required pursuant to 51.41, 51.45(c), 51.45(d), 51.70(b). Provide information regarding the status of compliance with applicable zoning and land-use regulations imposed by state or local agencies. Specifically, identify how the site and areas immediately surrounding the site are zoned and the restrictions or requirements associated with this zoning.

RAI E-4 Response:

See ER Section 2.8.1 which discusses zoning in Scriba, NY. Also, Section 2.2.2 of the Generic Environmental Impact Statement for License Renewal of Nuclear Plants Regarding Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2 (NUREG-1437, Supplement 24) Final Report.

Comment E-5 Severe Accident Mitigation Alternatives

Additional information required pursuant to 51.41, 51.45(c), 51.53(c)(3)(ii)(L), 51.70(b). The staff continues to have concerns with the level of information Entergy is submitting for the Severe Accident Mitigation Alternatives (SAMA) portion of the ER. After performing the acceptance review of the application, the NRC staff has concluded that RAIs similar to RAIs issued for other Entergy applications are warranted. It is evident from the SAMA section that the SAMA review guidance developed by NEI and endorsed by the NRC has not been consistently followed, and no lessons learned from previous SAMA reviews were incorporated in the FitzPatrick Environmental Report.

• The staff expects to issue RAIs related to the FitzPatrick SAMA analysis through separate correspondence by December 2006.

Attachment 2 Page 15 of 26 JAFP-06-0167

Comment E-5 Response:

The JAFNPP LRA Environmental Report provides the SAMA information required pursuant to 51.41, 51.45(c), 51.53(c)(3)(ii)(L), 51.53(c)(3)(iii), 51.70(b). The VYNPS and PNPS SAMA analyses and ERs were completed before NRC comments were incorporated in NEI 05-01, "Severe Accident Mitigation Alternative (SAMA) Analysis Guidance Document". Therefore, some of the RAIs on these analyses stemmed from changes made to the guidance after the analyses were completed.

Although the JAFNPP LRA was not submitted until July 31, 2006, the JAFNPP SAMA analysis was also completed before NRC comments were incorporated in the guidance document. The VYNPS and PNPS RAIs were reviewed and those items that applied to JAFNPP and could be resolved during the ER review process were resolved before the ER was submitted. The lessons learned with the highest potential of altering the conclusions of the SAMA analysis were incorporated in the ER before it was submitted for NRC review. Attachment 3 provides a list of changes incorporating lessons learned before ER submittal and supplemental information to address the remaining lessons learned.

James A. Fitzpatrick Nuclear Power Plant (JAFNPP) Response to Requests for Additional Information Part 2 Questions

RAI Appendix A-1

SRP-LR states that the reviewer should confirm that the applicant has identified and committed in the LRA to any future aging management activities, including enhancements and commitments, to be completed before entering into the period of extended operation.

The Nuclear Energy Institute letter dated Feb. 26, 2006, in response to NRC letter dated Dec. 16, 2002, the industry has agreed to identify the high level future commitments in their updated final safety report supplement (Appendix A of the LRA).

JAF LRA did not include a "Commitment List"; therefore, descriptions of any proposed new aging management programs (AMPs) and AMP "enhancements" are incomplete. The staff requests the applicant to provide a commitment list to show all regulatory commitments. In addition, for each commitment that is placed on the application in either the original version or subsequent revisions of the commitment list, the staff requests that the applicant amend the applicable UFSAR Supplement summary description section in the JAF LRA Appendix A for each of the respective AMP or TLAA. This will provide the appropriate reference for each of the specific commitment that has been placed on the LRA for the AMP or TLAA under review.

RAI Appendix A-1 Response

LRA Appendix A is revised as follows to identify commitments associated with new and enhanced programs.

Section A.2.1.1, Buried Piping and Tanks Inspection Program, add "This program will be implemented prior to the period of extended operation."

Section A.2.1.2, BWR CRD Return Line Nozzle Program, add

"This program will be enhanced to examine the CRDRL nozzle-to-vessel weld and the CRDRL nozzle inside radius section per Section XI Table IWB-2500-1 category B-D items B3.10 and B3.20. This enhancement will be implemented prior to the period of extended operation."

Section A.2.1.7, BWR Vessel Internals Program, add

"This program will be enhanced to perform inspections of the core plate rim hold down bolts in accordance with ASME Section XI Table IWB-2500-1, Examination Category B-N-2 or in accordance with a NRC approved revision of BWRVIP-25 that provides a feasible method of inspection.

This program will be enhanced to ensure the effects of aging on the steam dryers are managed in accordance with the guidelines of BWRVIP-139 as approved by the NRC and accepted by the BWRVIP Executive Committee.

These enhancements will be implemented prior to the period of extended operation."

Attachment 2 Page 17 of 26 JAFP-06-0167 Section A.2.1.9, Diesel Fuel Monitoring Program, add

"This program will be enhanced to include periodic draining, cleaning, visual inspections, and ultrasonic measurement of the bottom surfaces of the fire pump diesel fuel oil tanks, EDG day tanks, and EDG fuel oil storage tanks. Also, this program will be enhanced to specify acceptance criteria for UT measurements of diesel generator fuel storage tanks within the scope of this program. These enhancements will be implemented prior to the period of extended operation."

Section A.2.1.11, External Surfaces Monitoring Program, add

"This program will be enhanced to include periodic inspections of systems in scope and subject to aging management review in accordance with 10 CFR 54.4(a)(1) and (a)(3). Inspections shall include areas surrounding the subject systems to identify hazards to those systems. Inspections of nearby systems that could impact the subject systems will include SSCs that are in scope and subject to aging management review for license renewal in accordance with 10 CFR 54.4(a)(2). These enhancements will be implemented prior to the period of extended operation."

Section A.2.1.13, Fire Protection Program, add

"This program will be enhanced to inspect fire barrier walls, ceilings, and floors at least once every refueling outage. Inspection results will be acceptable if there are no visual indications of degradation such as cracks, holes, spalling, or gouges. This program will be enhanced to inspect at least one randomly selected seal of each type every 24 months. These enhancements will be implemented prior to the period of extended operation."

Section A.2.1.14, Fire Water System Program, add

"This program will be enhanced to include inspection of hose reels and spray and sprinkler systems internals for evidence of corrosion. The acceptance criteria will be enhanced to verify no unacceptable signs of degradation. A sample of sprinkler heads will be inspected using guidance of NFPA 25 (2002 Edition) Section 5.3.1.1.1. This program will also be enhanced to include wall thickness evaluations of fire protection piping using non-intrusive techniques (e.g., volumetric testing) to identify evidence of loss of material due to corrosion. Wall thickness inspections will be performed before the end of the current operating term and at intervals thereafter during the period of extended operation. Results of the initial wall thickness evaluations will be used to determine the appropriate inspection interval to ensure aging effects are identified prior to loss of intended function.

These enhancements will be implemented prior to the period of extended operation."

Section A.2.1.16, Heat Exchanger Monitoring Program, add "This program will be implemented prior to the period of extended operation."

Section A.2.1.19, Metal-Enclosed Bus Inspection Program, add "This program will be implemented prior to the period of extended operation."

Section A.2.1.20, Non-EQ Instrumentation Circuits Test Review Program, add

Attachment 2 Page 18 of 26 JAFP-06-0167 "This program will be implemented prior to the period of extended operation."

Section A.2.1.21, Non-EQ Insulated Cables and Connections Program, add "This program will be implemented prior to the period of extended operation."

Section A.2.1.22, Oil Analysis Program, add

"This program will be enhanced to periodically sample oil in the oil-filled cable system, the security generator, and the fire pump diesel. This program will be enhanced to include viscosity and neutralization number determination of oil samples from components that do not have regular oil changes. This program will be enhanced to include particulate and water content for oil replaced periodically. These enhancements will be implemented prior to the period of extended operation."

Section A.2.1.23, One-Time Inspection Program, add "The inspections will be performed within the 10 years prior to the period of extended operation."

Section A.2.1.24, Periodic Surveillance and Preventive Maintenance Program, add "This program will be enhanced as necessary to assure that the effects of aging will be managed such that applicable components will continue to perform their intended functions consistent with the current licensing basis. These enhancements will be implemented prior to the period of extended operation."

Section A.2.1.26, Reactor Vessel Surveillance Program, add "This program will be enhanced to proceduralize the data analysis, acceptance criteria, and corrective actions to meet the requirements of the ISP as found in BWRVIP-86-A, 102, 116, and 135. This enhancement will be implemented prior to the period of extended operation."

Section A.2.1.27, Selective Leaching Program, add

"This program will be implemented prior to the period of extended operation."

Section A.2.1.30, Structures Monitoring – Structures Monitoring Program, add "This program will be enhanced to specify that manholes, duct banks, underground fuel oil tank foundations, manway seals and gaskets, hatch seals and gaskets, underwater concrete in the intake structure, and crane rails and girders are included.

This program will be enhanced to provide guidance for performing structural examinations of elastomers and rubber components to identify cracking and change in material properties.

This program will be enhanced to provide guidance for performing periodic inspections to confirm the absence of aging effects for lubrite surfaces in the torus radial beam seats and for lubrite surfaces in the torus support saddles. This program will be enhanced to perform an engineering evaluation on a periodic basis of groundwater samples to assess aggressiveness of groundwater to concrete. This program will be enhanced to inspect any inaccessible concrete areas that may be exposed by excavation for any reason, or any inaccessible area where observed conditions in accessible areas, which are exposed to the same environment, show that significant concrete degradation is occurring.

> Attachment 2 Page 19 of 26 JAFP-06-0167

These enhancements will be implemented prior to the period of extended operation."

- Section A.2.1.31, Thermal Aging and Neutron Irradiation Embrittlement of Cast Austenitic Stainless Steel (CASS) Program, add "This program will be implemented prior to the period of extended operation."
- Section A.2.1.32, Water Chemistry Control Auxiliary Systems Program, add "This program will be enhanced to provide guidance for sampling the control room and relay room chilled water, decay heat removal cooling water, and security generator jacket cooling water. This enhancement will be implemented prior to the period of extended operation."

Section A.2.1.35, Bolting Integrity Program, add

"This program will be enhanced to include guidance from EPRI NP-5769 and EPRI TR-104213. This program will be enhanced to clarify that actual yield strength is used in selecting materials for low susceptibility to SCC and to clarify the prohibition on use of lubricants containing MoS₂ for bolting. These enhancements will be implemented prior to the period of extended operation."

A JAF "Commitment List" is provided with this letter.

RAI B.1.16.2-1

The "scope of program" attribute for AMP B.1.16.2 states that the program implements applicable requirements of ASME Section XI, Subsections IWA, IWB, IWC, IWD and IWF, and other requirements specified in 10 CFR 50.55a with NRC approved relief requests.

The staff notes that there is no regulatory basis to include previously granted inservice inspection (ISI) relief requests within the scope of a license renewal application because the NRC's approval of the relief requests does not extend beyond the scope of the current operating period and because these requests are not subject to processing under the requirements of 10 CFR Part 54. The same holds true for alternative programs that have previously been granted on applicable ASME Section XI inservice testing (IST) requirements.

The staff therefore requests that the applicant either amend the LRA to delete any and all references to relief requests for ASME ISI or IST requirements or amend the LRA to provide a commitment that any new or renewed relief requests that are sought for during the period of extended operation will be processed through the NRC's 10 CFR 50.55a relief request provisions after the operating license for the facility has been renewed.

RAI B.1.16.2-1 Response

Since ASME code relief requests have their own process under 10 CFR 50.55a, reference to relief requests in the LRA is unnecessary. The following changes are made to the LRA to remove reference to relief requests.

 Table of Contents, Page xx, replace "Relief" with "Exemption" in the title of Section 4.2.5.

> Attachment 2 Page 20 of 26 JAFP-06-0167

- Table 4.1-1, Page 4.1-3, replace "relief" with "exemption" in the row for section 4.2.5, first column.
- Section 4.2.5, Page 4.2-8, replace "Relief" with "Exemption" in the title. Also replace the words "relief" and "relief request" with "exemption" in the text.
- Table 4.2-4, Page 4.2-9, revise the second Plant / Parameter Description as follows (strike-outs deleted).

Neutron fluence at the end of the requested relief period, n/cm2

- Section 4.2.6, Page 4.2-10, replace the word "relief" with "exemption" in the text.
- Section 4.7.3.5, Page 4.7-3, replace the word "relief" with "exemption" in text of item (4).
- Appendix A Table of Contents, Page A-ii, replace "Relief" with "Exemption" in the title of Section A.2.2.1.5.
- Section A.2.2.1.5, Page A-22, replace "Relief" with "Exemption" in the title. Also replace the words "relief" and "relief request" with "exemption" in the text.
- Section A.2.2.1.6, Page A-23, replace the word "relief" with "exemption."
- Section B.1.6, Page B-26, in exception Note 1, delete the last sentence.
- Section B.1.7, Page B-29, in exception Note 3, delete the last sentence.
- Section B.1.16.2, page B-58, first paragraph in Scope of Program is revised as shown below (strike-outs deleted).

The ISI Program manages cracking, loss of material, and reduction of fracture toughness of reactor coolant system piping, components, and supports. The program implements applicable requirements of ASME Section XI, Subsections IWA, IWB, IWC, IWD and IWF, and other requirements specified in 10 CFR 50.55a with approved alternatives and relief requests. Every 10 years the ISI Program is updated to the latest ASME Section XI code edition and addendum approved by the NRC in 10 CFR 50.55a.

RAI 3.6.1-4-1

In JAFNPP LRA Table 3.6.1-4, the applicant states that aging effects defined in NUREG 1801 are not applicable to the inaccessible medium-voltage cables which are not subject to 10 CFR 50.49 EQ requirements. The staff requests the applicant to provide the following information:

a. A detailed explanation of how the review was conducted and the criteria used to determine that JAFNPP has no inaccessible medium-voltage cables requiring aging management. Provide a list of cables considered for the review.

b. If medium-voltage safety-related cable such as residual heat removal service water pump is inaccessible, provide a technical justification of why an AMP is not required or provide an AMP that contains the required ten elements.

Attachment 2 Page 21 of 26 JAFP-06-0167

RAI 3.6.1-4-1 Response

a. The cables that are susceptible to water treeing are those exposed to significant moisture and subject to significant voltage (energized at least 25% of the time at 2kV to 35kV). In Section 2.5 of the LRA, inaccessible medium-voltage cables were excluded from aging management review based on the statement, "JAFNPP does not have any inaccessible underground medium volt cables that perform a license renewal intended function."

The method used for identifying medium-voltage cables was to review the electrical cable and raceway information system for all "H" level cables. At JAFNPP, the "H" designation is for 2kV to 35kV insulated cables. A review of JAFNPP drawings and cable information system identified inaccessible medium voltage cables. The medium-voltage cables were then screened for exposure to moisture (was the routing underground), and type of service (was the cable energized > 25%). The core spray pump motor cables and the residual heat removal pump motor cables are the only inaccessible medium-voltage cables that have a license renewal intended function, are potentially exposed to moisture, and are energized greater than 25% of the time. These cables are in the EQ program and therefore, are replaced based on qualified life and are not subject to aging management review.

JAFNPP has no non-EQ inaccessible medium voltage cables that support an intended function.

b. The RHR service water pump motor cables are not exposed to moisture; therefore, they were screened out. As stated previously, the only cables that met the criteria for inaccessible medium-voltage cables are subject to 10 CFR 50.49 EQ requirements. EQ cables are replaced based on qualified life, therefore, in accordance with 10 CFR 54.21(a)(1)(ii), they are not subject to aging management review.

RAI 3.6.2-1

In JAFNPP LRA Table 3.6.2-1, the applicant states that 115 KV oil-filled cable (passive electrical for station blackout) has no aging effect requiring management for meeting the component's electrical intended function. The staff requests the applicant to provide a technical justification of why an AMP is not required or provide a plant-specific AMP that contains the required ten elements to manage the aging effects due to aging mechanisms such as insulation degradation, moisture intrusion, elevated operating temperature, and galvanic corrosion. In addition, explain what periodic tests are planned prior to and during the extended period of operation.

RAI 3.6.2-1 Response

The JAFNPP aging management review determined there were no aging effects requiring management for the oil-filled cables for the "provide electrical connection" function.

The underground oil-filled cable environment is constant temperature soil, ambient temperature, and moisture. The underground oil-filled cables are 350 MCM hollow core

Attachment 2 Page 22 of 26 JAFP-06-0167 copper, oil with impregnated paper / copper wall / intercalated with paper tape / copper bearing lead wall and a polyethylene protective jacket. The underground oil-filled cables use a lead sheath to prevent effects of moisture on the cables. This cable is designed with a thick layer of lead over the cable insulation and an overall jacket over the lead and insulation. Lead sheath cables are designed for submergence for extended periods.

Operating experience was reviewed by searching JAF condition reports and interviewing knowledgeable plant staff. No failures were identified. This is consistent with the industry operating experience for this type of cable system.

The mechanisms / stressor identified in this question are not an issue for this type of cable. A lead-sheathed cable is not susceptible to moisture intrusion. There are no environment issues associated with degradation of the paper insulation. This is supported by plant and industry OE. Elevated operating temperature is not an issue since the cables are designed for the load and do not operate in an area of elevated temperatures. There are no dissimilar metal connections, so galvanic corrosion is not an issue. Since the cable is lead-sheathed, the insulation material is protected from moisture

Since there are no aging effects requiring management, an aging management program is not required. This is consistent with the position accepted by the staff for the Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant, which is documented in Section 3.6.2.3.5 of NUREG-1825. The staff agreed that no AMP for the electrical connection function of the oil-static cables at Farley is required since plant-specific and industry operating experience reviews identified no cases where failure of the oil impregnated paper insulation system occurred and since the connections between the oil filled cables and the switchyard conductors were subject to aging management review as part of the switchyard bus component type. Since JAFNPP has experience cited by Farley is also applicable to JAFNPP, and since the connections at JAFNPP are subject to aging management review as part of the switchyard bus component type, this conclusion is also applicable to JAFNPP.

RAI Appendix B-1

In JAF LRA Section B.0.6, "Correlation with NUREG- 1801 Aging Management Programs", the applicant states that the following NUREG AMPs are not applicable to JAFNPP:

1. XI.S7 - Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.127 Water Control Structures

2. XI.S8 - Protective Coating

3. XI.M23 - Inspection of Overhead Heavy Load and Light Load Handling Systems

- 1. Degradation of water-control structures has been detected, through RG 1.127 programs, at a number of nuclear power plants, and in some cases, required remedial actions. The staff requests the applicant to provide an AMP that contains the required ten elements or provide a technical justification of why an AMP is not required.
- 2. NRC Generic Letter 98-04 and RG 1.54, Rev. 1 describe industry experience pertaining to coatings degradation inside containment and the consequential

Attachment 2 Page 23 of 26 JAFP-06-0167 clogging of sump strainers. Monitoring and maintenance of Service Level I coatings conducted in accordance with Regulatory Position C4 is expected to be an effective program for managing degradation of Service Level I coatings, and consequently an effective means to manage loss of material due to corrosion of carbon steel structural elements inside containment. The staff requests the applicant to provide a technical justification of why an AMP is not required or provide an AMP that contains the required ten elements.

3. Explain how the effects of general corrosion on the crane and trolley structural components for those cranes that are within the scope of 10 CFR 54.4, and the effects of wear on the rails in the rail system are managed at JAFNPP. The staff requests the applicant to provide a technical justification of why an AMP is not required or provide an AMP that contains the required ten elements.

RAI Appendix B-1 Response

- 1. The AMP that addresses water-control structures is the Structures Monitoring Program (SMP). The water-control structures at JAFNPP are the intake structure, intake canal, and discharge canal. The intake structure, intake canal, and discharge canal are not an earthen structures, but comprise typical structural elements and commodities that are the same as those included in the Structures Monitoring Program. The attributes of the NUREG-1801 XI.S7 AMP applicable to the intake structure, intake canal, and discharge canal structural elements and commodities are included in the Structures Monitoring Program, Section B.1.27.2 of Appendix B. Attributes of the NUREG-1801 XI.S7 AMP that are not included in the Structures Monitoring Program apply to earthen structures and are not applicable to the intake structure, intake canal, and discharge canal.
- 2: The Containment Inservice Inspection (LRA Section B.1.16.1) and Containment Leak Rate programs (LRA Section B.1.8) are credited for managing loss of material due to corrosion for the drywell steel shell and attachments. Coatings are not credited for managing degradation of the drywell shell and preventive measures to protect the drywell shell are not dependent on coating condition.

However, JAFNPP coatings applications are controlled and inspected per site specification IS-M-01. Accordingly, drywell and torus interior coating (service level 1) are inspected under the IWE Program every refueling outage for signs of degradation such as flaking, peeling, cracking, blisters, and discoloration.

3: Loss of material due to general corrosion on crane and trolley structural components for cranes that are within the scope of 10 CFR 54.4 and loss of material due to wear on the rails in the rail system are managed via visual inspection under the plant-specific Periodic Surveillance and Preventive Maintenance Program, LRA Section B.1.22 and the Structures Monitoring Program, LRA Section B.1.27.2 enhancements.. For managing loss of material, the preventive maintenance task and structures monitoring are consistent with the program described in XI.M23, Inspection of Overhead Heavy Load and Light Load (Related to Refueling) Handling Systems, which states that crane rails and structural components are visually inspected on a routine basis for degradation.

Attachment 2 Page 24 of 26 JAFP-06-0167

RAI B.1.16-1

The staff notes that the "operating experience" attribute for containment inservice inspection aging management program (LRA AMP B.1.16.1) did not identify the recent operating experience related to torus cracking identified in 2005 at JAFNPP. The staff requests the applicant to provide a detailed discussion of the plant-specific operating experience and its impact on the aging management of the torus in LRA.

RAI B.1.16-1 Response

The root cause of the cracking leading to the torus leak identified at JAFNPP on June 27, 2005, was the design of the torus in the area of the HPCI exhaust line. The physical cause of the torus crack initiation was a localized stress, high cycle fatigue from pressure pulses due to rapid condensation of HPCI turbine exhaust (condensation oscillation) during HPCI operation in combination with a high stress concentration at the torus ring girder gusset weld and increased mean stress levels from residual welding stress in the weld heat-affected zone. Fatigue occurred in the torus shell area immediately adjacent to large, highly restrained attachment welds on the inner and outer diameter of the torus shell. Once crack initiation began, crack propagation was mainly due to continuing condensation oscillation loads during HPCI operation. The lack of an end return for the torus ring girder gusset welds, which created a stress riser due to the discontinuity at this location, was identified as a contributing cause.

Corrective actions included the following.

- Forensic analysis and NDE were completed for this location and other areas considered potentially susceptible.
- The fatigued portion of the torus shell was repaired, tested, and inspected.
- A steam exhaust sparger assembly was added to the end of the HPCI exhaust line, and a modification was made in the area of the ring girder gusset attachment.

A latent root cause was inadequate information transfer to JAFNPP from General Electric and other nuclear facilities due to a lack of formality in sharing operating experience prior to the advent of General Electric Service Information Letters (SILs) and the JAFNPP operating experience (OE) program. Ten of the thirteen stations having Mark I containments and HPCI systems had already installed condensing spargers to prevent this problem. Since these stations had four different architect-engineering firms, there is an implication that the information leading to sparger installation was obtained from General Electric although no documentation was found that confirms this.

Corrective actions included the following:

• An organizational and programmatic evaluation was performed. The results indicated that no additional corrective actions were required as the error relates to inadequate organizational interface prior to establishing SILS and the JAFNPP OE program.

Attachment 2 Page 25 of 26 JAFP-06-0167 Industry containment inspection programs (such as ASME Section XI, IWE for Class MC Components), which rely on visual examinations without identification of areas subject to fatigue due to high local stresses for augmented inspections, were identified as a contributing cause of this failure.

Corrective actions included the following:

- The Containment ISI program was revised to incorporate augmented inspections of the torus based on the results of this failure analysis.
- Other nuclear stations were notified of the results of this JAFNPP investigation by a report issued to the EPRI Risk Informed ISI Project Manager, a Licensee Event Report, and an updated Industry Operating Experience message.

Attachment 2 Page 26 of 26 JAFP-06-0167

Attachment 2

James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant

License Renewal Application – Amendment 1

Reference for RAI E-1-g-1

Figure E-1-g-1



Figure E-1-g-1. Actual average monthly total intake water withdrawal flow rate (millions of gallons per day) at JAFNPP (red bars) compared to the maximum permitted total intake water withdrawal flow rate (blue line) for the period 2001-2005.



Figure E-1-g-2

Figure E-1-g-2. Percent flow reduction for the actual average monthly total intake water withdrawal at JAFNPP compared to the maximum permitted total intake water withdrawal for the period 2001-2005.

JAFP-06-0167 Docket No. 50-333

Attachment 2

James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant

License Renewal Application – Amendment 1

Reference for RAI E-1-g-2
Marton

NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER CORPORATION POWER AUTHORITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK



1978 NINE MILE POINT AQUATIC ECOLOGY STUDIES

MAY 1979



J. M. Toennies

FORM 1. 2 R 1-51

тс

Mr. R. C. Clancy

SUBJECT 1978 Nine Mile Point Aquatic Ecology Studies

Syracuse

June 5, 1979

The attached report entitled, "1978 Nine Mile Point Aquatic Ecology Studies," was submitted to the NRC on May 31, 1979 in accordance with the Environmental Technical Specifications for Nine Mile Point Unit 1 and the James A. Fitzpatrick Nuclear Power Plant.

B. Gorman

DISTRICT

DATE

mToennies

CAB/mal

Attachment

cc: Mes

Messrs. W. C. Hiestand J. L. Hilke T. J. Perkins M. Hedrick (2) J. Leonard B. Gorman(2) Public Relations Energy Information Center Engineering Library 1978

NINE MILE POINT AQUATIC ECOLOGY STUDIES

Prepared for

NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER CORPORATION Syracuse, N.Y. and POWER AUTHORITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

ι.

i .

: :

. 2 2

1

1 i .

•••

New York, N.Y.

Prepared by

TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED Ecological Services P.O. Box 225621 Dallas, Texas 75265

May 1979

science services division

· -3

FOREWORD

This 1978 Annual Report presents the results of aquatic ecology studies conducted in the vicinity of Nine Mile Point on Lake Ontario (Oswego County, New York) during 1978. Nine Mile Point is the site of the 610-MWe Nine Mile Point Unit I and 821-MWe James A. FitzPatrick nuclear power stations. The studies were conducted by Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation (NMPC) and the Power Authority of the State of New York (PASNY) and represent a continuation of ecological studies that were initiated as the stations were being constructed (Nine Mile Point began producing power in 1969; FitzPatrick in 1975). The sampling program included surveys in Lake Ontario in the vicinity of the Nine Mile Point promontory from April through December and impingement and entrainment studies at both power stations during the entire year. The ecological studies were conducted in accordance with the Environmental Technical Specifications prepared by the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ALC: NO. OF

Percentanan

- -----

The objective of this report is to sumarize the results of the 1978 program, presenting data on the major biotic components in the lake in the vicinity of the plant, including phytoplankton, zooplankton, periphyton, benthic invertebrates, and fish (including eggs and larvae). Emphasis in this report is placed on descriptions of the composition of each biotic component and the distribution of these biotic groups with respect to time and space. Comparisons are made among samples from the discharge plume areas, from areas of the lake that are outside the immediate influence of the discharges, and from within the plants. Conclusions are presented regarding the effects of power plant operation on the temporal and spatial distribution of the biota and on water quality in the area.

The data base for the 1978 studies has been presented previously in tabulated form (1978 Data Report, Texas Instruments Incorporated 1979) and provides supportive information for this report.

iii

TABLE OF CONTENTS

.

er querrer un

.

barran sana

Provinsional of

· Sharan ·

- Andrewski -

- International

- Constanting

- Users Aslanda

- transmission

bernara .

- Announded

harmon and here and

Section	Title	Page
	FOREWORD	iii
I	SUMMARY	I-1
	A. SUMMARY OF LAKE ONTARIO STUDIES	I-1
	 Phytoplankton Microzooplankton Macrozooplankton Periphyton Benthic Invertebrates Ichthyoplankton Fish Water Quality 	I-1 I-2 I-2 I-3 I-3 I-4 I-5 I-6
	B. SUMMARY OF IMPINGEMENT AND ENTRAINMENT STUDIES	I-6
	 Impingement — Nine Mile Point Unit 1 Impingement — James A. FitzPatrick Entrainment — Nine Mile Point Unit 1 Entrainment and Viability — James A. FitzPatrick 	I-6 I-7 I-7 I-8
II	INTRODUCTION	II-1
	A. STUDY OBJECTIVES B. NINE MILE POINT AND JAMES A. FITZPATRICK POWER STATIONS C. LAKE ONTARIO	II-1 II-2 II-6
	 Physical and Limnological Characteristics General Lake Currents Local Currents 	11–6 11–9 11–11
	D. PREVIOUS STUDIES	II -12
	 Phytoplankton Zooplankton Benthic Invertebrates Ichthyoplankton Fish 	II-13 II-15 II-17 II-18 II-20
III	METHODS AND MATERIALS	III-1
	A. LAKE ONTARIO STUDIES	III-1
	 Phytoplankton Zooplankton Periphyton Benthic Invertebrates Ichthyoplankton Fisheries 	III-4 III-10 III-12 III-14 III-15 III-16
	7. Water Quality and Thermal Profiles	III -22

v

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTD)

Section		Title	Page	
III	В.	IN-PLANT STUDIES	III-26	·[]
		 Impingement Entrainment/Viability 	111-28 111-31	
IV	RES	ULTS AND DISCUSSION - LAKE ONTARIO STUDIES	IV-1	'n
	Α.	PHYTOPLANKTON	IV-2	.[]
·		 Phytoplankton Densities Chlorophyll <u>a</u> and Phaeophytin <u>a</u> Primary Production Overview of Year-to-Year Results 	IV-2 IV-6 IV-7 IV-8	
	Β.	ZOOPLANKTON	IV-9	
		 Microzooplankton Macrozooplankton Overview of Year-to-Year Results 	IV-9 IV-13 IV-17	
	C.	PERIPHYTON	IV-19	<i>ر</i> با ب
·		 Bottom Periphyton Suspended Periphyton Overview of Year-to-Year Results 	IV-19 IV-24 IV-29	
•	D.	BENTHIC INVERTEBRATES	IV-29	
		 Species Composition Temporal Distribution Spatial Distribution Description of Bottom Sediment Overview of Year-to-Year Results 	IV-29 IV-32 IV-32 IV-36 IV-37	
· .	E.	ICHTHYOPLANKTON	IV-38	
		 Species Composition Temporal Distribution Spatial Distribution Overview of Year-to-Year Results 	IV-38 IV-38 IV-42 IV-48	farmer 1 and 1 farmer 1
	F.	FISHERIES	IV-49	Ĩ
		 Species Composition Temporal and Spatial Distribution Selected Species Studies Overview of Year-to-Year Results 	IV-49 IV-51 IV-58 IV-77	
	G.	WATER QUALITY	IV-79	{]
		 Lake Ontario Thermal Profiles Temporal and Spatial Distribution of Selected Parameters Including Rediclosical Data 	IV-79 IV-81	
		3. Overview of Year-to-Year Results	IV-86	

And in case of the second s

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTD)

Section	Title	Page
v	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION - IN-PLANT STUDIES	V-1
	A. INTRODUCTION B. IMPINGEMENT	V-1 V-3
	 Nine Mile Point Unit 1 James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Station 	V-3 V-7
•	C. ENTRAINMENT/VIABILITY	V-17
	 Nine Mile Point Unit 1 James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Station Overview of Year-to-Year Results 	V-17 V-19 V-34
VI	CITED REFERENCES	VI-1

APPENDIXES

Appendix Title PHYTOPLANKTON Ä ZOOPLANKTON В PERIPHYTON С BENTHIC INVERTEBRATES D **ICHTHYOPLANKTON** Е FISHERIES F G WATER QUALITY IMPINGEMENT H J ENTRAINMENT AND VIABILITY

Party and a second

And a subscription of the subscription of the

Konsensensky
 Konsensensky
 Konsensensky
 Konsensensky
 Konsensensky

Constant in America

and an and a second second

and the second

Construction of the

.

ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure	Description	Page
II - 1	Sampling Area for Nine Mile Point Aquatic Ecology Studies Showing Location of Sampling Transects and Intake and Discharge Structures	II-4
111-1	Sampling Locations for Lake Ontario Ecological Studies near Nine Mile Point and James A. FitzPatrick Power Plants, 1978	III-2
111-2	Serial Dilution Sequence Used To Simulate Temperature Reduction in Thermal Plume from Discharge Outlet to 2°F Isotherm	III-33
IV-1	Temporal Distribution in Abundance of Benthic Invertebrate Groups Collected in the Vicinity of Nine Mile Point, 1978	IV-34
IV-2	Temporal Distribution in Biomass of Benthic Invertebrate Groups Collected in the Vicinity of Nine Mile Point, 1978	IV-35
IV-3	Daytime Temporal Distribution of Larvae in Vicinity of Nine Mile Point, Lake Ontario, April-September 1978	IV-43
IV-4	Distribution of Total Prolarvae Densities in Vicinity of Nine Mile Point, June through Mid-September 1978	IV-45
IV-5	Distribution of Total Postlarvae Densities in Vicinity of Nine Mile Point, June through Mid-September 1978	1V-45
: IV-6	Spatial Distribution of Prolarvae in Vicinity of Nine Mile Point, June through Mid-September 1978	IV-46
IV-7	Spatial Distribution of Postlarvae in Vicinity of Nine Mile Point, June through Mid-September 1978	IV-47
IV-8	Monthly Occurrence of Fish Collected by All Gear, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978	1V-51
UV-9	Temporal Abundance of Fish Collected by Gill Net, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978	IV-52
IV-10	Day and Night Catch Rates for Total Fish Collected by Gill Net, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978	IV-54
IV-11	Spatial Distribution of Total Fish Collected by Gill Net, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978	IV-55
IV-12	Analysis of Stomach Contents of White Perch Collected by Gill Net at Control and Experimental Transects, Nine Mile Vicinity, 1978	IV-67

4

i .

ILLUSTRATIONS (CONTD)

Supervision of Supervision

Annual States

, ersonal

and the second s

· · · · · · · · · · · · ·

······

	Figure	Description	Page
	IV-13	Analysis of Stomach Contents of Yellow Perch Collected by Gill Net at Control and Experimental Transects, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978	IV-72
	IV-14	Analysis of Stomach Contents of Smallmouth Bass Collected by Gill Net at Control and Experimental Transects, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978	IV-76
	IV-15	Seasonal Variation in Water Temperatures at Surface and Bottom Strata along the 100-Foot Depth Contour	IV-80
•	V-1	Seasonal Variation in Impingement Rates at Nine Mile Point Unit 1, January-December 1978	V-6
	V-2	Diel Variation in Impingement Rates at Nine Mile Point Unit 1 during 1978	V-7
	V-3	Seasonal Variation in Impingement Rates at James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Station, January-December 1978	V-10
	V-4	Diel Variation in Impingement Rates at James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Station during 1978	V-11
	V-5	Temporal Distribution of Total Zooplankton Density in Day and Night Entrainment Samples and in Lake Ontario Samples from the 20-Foot Depth Contour, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978	V-26
	V-6	Percent Mortality of Total Zooplankton Due to Plant Entrainment at James A. FitzPatrick Power Station, 1978	V-31
			•
		TABLES	
	Table	Title	Page
	11-1	Operating and Structural Characteristics of Nine Mile Point Unit 1 and James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Stations	II-2
	II- 2	Record of Outages during 1978 at the Two Power Stations Located on the Nine Mile Point Promontory	II-5
	11-3	Water Quality Values Characteristic of Offshore Waters of Lake Ontario under Mixed Conditions	II - 9
	111–1	Sampling Schedule for Aquatic Ecology Studies in Lake Ontario near Nine Mile Point and James A. FitzPatrick Power Plants, 1978	111-3

ix

TABLES (CONTD)

Table	Title	Page	
III-2	Recommended Sampling and Preservative Methods and Analysis Locations for Water Quality Samples Collected in Vicinity of Nine Mile Point on Lake Ontario	111-23	
III-3	Analytical Methods and Detection Limits for Selected Physicochemical Parameters	III-24	
111-4	Schedule for Impingement and Entrainment/Viability Studies at Nine Mile Point and James A. FitzPatrick Power Plants, Lake Ontario, 1978	III-27	
IV-1	Monthly Occurrence and Relative Abundance of the More Abundant Phytoplankton Collected in Whole Water Samples in Vicinity of Nine Mile Point, April-December 1978	IV-3	
IV-2	Mean Density and Relative Abundance of Major Phytoplankton Groups Collected in Surface Samples, and Associated Chlorophyll <u>a</u> Concentrations and Primary Production Rates, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, April-December, 1978	IV-5	
IV-3	Monthly Occurrence and Relative Abundance of Microzooplankton from Wisconsin Net Oblique Tows, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978	IV-11	•
IV-4	Percent Relative Abundance of Major Microzooplankton Groups and Total Density of Microzooplankton from Wisconsin Net Oblique Tows, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978	IV-13	
IV-5	Total Microzooplankton Abundance, Wisconsin Net Oblique Tows, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978	IV-14	
IV-6	Monthly Occurrence and Relative Abundance of Macrozooplankton Collected in the Vicinity of Nine Mile Point, 1978	IV-15	
IV-7	Percent Relative Abundance of Major Macrozooplankton Groups and Total Density of Macrozooplankton Collected from Composited Hensen Net Tows in the Vicinity of Nine Mile Point, 1978	IV-16	
IV-8	Abundance of Macrozooplankton from Composited Hensen Net Tows, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978	IV-18	
IV-9	Percent Relative Abundance of Major Bottom Periphyton Groups Collected on Artificial Substrates, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978	IV-20	
IV-10	Monthly Occurrence and Relative Abundance of Bottom Periphyton Collected on Artificial Substrates, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978	IV-21	

And a statement of the statement of the

. С. 15 г. т. т. с.

1

x

TABLES (CONTD)

Provincial Andrews

Protocological State

and a second second

 \square

A Service of the serv

Management (1990)
 Management (1990)<

An and a second s

Nector Sector

Tab1	e .	Title	Page
IV-1	.1	Abundance of Total Bottom Periphyton Collected on Artificial Substrates, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978	IV-22
IV-1	.2	Ash-Free Dry Weight of Total Bottom Periphyton Collected on Artificial Substrates, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978	IV-23
IV-1	.3	Percent Relative Abundance of Major Suspended Periphyton Groups Collected on Artificial Substrates, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978	IV-25
IV-1	_4	Monthly Occurrences and Relative Abundance of Suspended Periphyton Collected on Artificial Substrates, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978	IV-26
IV-1	5	Abundance of Total Suspended Periphyton on Artificial Substrates, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978	IV-27
IV-]	L6	Ash-Free Dry Weight of Total Suspended Periphyton Collected on Artificial Substrates, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978	IV-28
IV-I	L7 ·	Seasonal Occurrence and Relative Abundance of Benthos Collected on Artificial Substrates, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978	IV-30
IV-1	L8	Percent Composition of Benthic Invertebrate Groups and Total Density of Benthic Organisms Collected by Suction Sampler in the Vicinity of Nine Mile Point, 1978	IV-31
IV-I	L9 ·	Percent Composition of Benthic Invertebrate Groups and Total Biomass of Benthic Organisms Collected by Suction Sampler in the Vicinity of Nine Mile Point, 1978	IV-33
IV-2	20	Composition of Bottom Sediment Determined by Visual Examination at Benthic Sampling Stations in the Vicinity of Nine Mile Point, 1978	IV-37
IV-2	21	Seasonal Occurrence of Fish Eggs and Larvae Collected in Vicinity of Nine Mile Point, Lake Ontario, April- December 1978	IV-39
IV-:	22	Temporal Distribution in Density of Fish Eggs Collected in Vicinity of Nine Mile Point, Lake Ontario, April-December 1978	IV-40
IV-	23	Relative Numerical Abundance of 11 Most Abundant Prolarvae and Postlarvae Collected in Vicinity of Nine Mile Point, Lake Ontario, April-December 1978	IV-41
IV-	24	Numbers and Percent Composition of Fish Collected by Each Sampling Gear, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978	IV-50

xi

TABLES (CONTD)

Table	Title	Page
IV-25	Temporal Distribution of Fish Collected by Bottom Trawl, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978	IV-56
IV-26	Temporal Distribution of Fish in Beach Seines, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978	IV-57
IV-27	Length-Weight Relationships and Condition Factors for White Perch Collected by Gill Net at Control and Experimental Transects, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978	IV-65
IV-28	Length-Weight Relationships and Condition Factors for Yellow Perch Collected at Control and Experimental Transects, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978	IV-71
IV-29	Length-Weight Relationships and Condition Factors for Smallmouth Bass Collected at Control and Experimental Transects, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978	IV-75
IV-30	Monthly Variation in Selected Water Quality Parameters Collected in the Vicinity of Nine Mile Point, 1978	IV-82
IV-31	Spatial Distribution of Selected Water Quality Parameters Collected from Experimental and Control Areas, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978	IV-83
V-1	Number and Weight of Fish Collected during Impingement Sampling and Estimated Annual Impingement, Nine Mile Point Unit 1, 1978	V-4
V-2	Number and Weight of Fish Collected during Impingement Sampling and the Estimated Annual Impingement, James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Station, 1978	V-9
V-3	Occurrence of Fish Eggs and Larvae in Entrainment Samples from the Cooling-Water Intakes of the Nine Mile Point and James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Stations, Lake Ontario, 1978	V-18
V-4	Density of Eggs and Larvae Entrained at the Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Lake Ontario, 1978	V-19
V-5	Percent of Zooplankton Dead in the Zooplankton Collections Taken at the Intake and Discharge, or Subject to Thermal Plume Simulation, James A. FitzPatrick Plant, 1978	V-28
V-6	Percent Mortality of Major Zooplankton Groups Due to Plant Passage, James A. FitzPatrick Plant, 1978	V-30
V-7	Densities of Eggs and Larvae Entrained at James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Station, Lake Ontario, 1978	V-32

science services division

}

·)

Law and

SECTION I SUMMARY

A. SUMMARY OF LAKE ONTARIO STUDIES

1. Phytoplankton

. .

 \int

<u>,</u> .

Contraction of

(interesting)

<u>r</u> -

r Jerrennange

- monthly - taking and

A CONTRACT OF

During the 1978 study, 223 phytoplankton taxa were observed in the vicinity of Nine Mile Point, but only 51 accounted for 2 percent or more of the number of organisms collected during any one sampling period. Blue-green and green algae and diatoms represented 78 percent of the taxa observed. Diatoms dominated the phytoplankton community during the months of April, May, June, and December, while blue-green algae dominated from July through November. The second most abundant groups were green algae in July-October and phytoflagellates in April and November.

Total phytoplankton cell densities were lowest in April and highest in November. A late-spring peak in cell densities occurred in May and June.

No spatial trends in abundance with respect to stations or control and experimental areas in the vicinity of Nine Mile Point were observed for total phytoplankton or major groups, although, based on annual contour means for total phytoplankton, there was a slight decreasing density gradient from the 10- to the 60-foot contour. Wind-induced turbulence tends to prevent significant monthly differences in spatial distribution.

Examination of the temporal distribution of chlorophyll <u>a</u> revealed peak concentrations in May and June (coinciding with spring cell density peaks) and lowest concentrations in September when phytoplankton densities were low. There were no spatial distribution trends among stations or between control and experimental areas. Based on annual contour means, chlorophyll <u>a</u> concentrations slightly decreased from the 10- to the 60-foot depth contour.

Primary production rates were highest in June and lowest in September, generally exhibiting trends similar to those of phytoplankton cell densities. There were no monthly spatial differences among stations, but annual mean primary production values along depth contours indicated that the 60-foot depth contour had the lowest and the 20-foot depth contour the highest.

The phytoplankton study during 1978 revealed no appreciable influence by power-plant operations in the Nine Mile Point vicinity on the number of taxa, temporal or spatial distribution, chlorophyll <u>a</u> concentrations, or primary production.

2. Microzooplankton

During 1978 sampling, 47 microzooplankton taxa were collected with rotifers dominating the samples. Number of organisms peaked in July following gradual monthly increases from the initiation of sampling in April. Rotifers were typically more abundant in samples from the shallower depth contours (10and 20-foot) than from the deeper ones (40- and 60-foot) and, at those shallower contours, higher numbers of total microzooplankton also were observed during July and August.

No differences between transects or experimental (NMPP and FITZ) and control (NMPW and NMPE) areas were observed, indicating that operations of the power plants had no effect on the microzooplankton community.

3. Macrozooplankton

Cladocerans were the dominant group of macrozooplankton collected during the 1978 sampling regime. However, calanoid copepods represented virtually 100 percent of the organisms collected during April and May.

Macrozooplankton densities peaked three times: April, dominated by calanoid copepods; June, with a mixed dominance of copepods and cladocerans; and September, dominated by cladocerans. Densities of copepods were slightly greater at the 60-, 80-, and 100-foot contours than at the 20- and 40-foot contours, but no onshore-offshore trends were discerned for total macrozooplankton. The differences noted between transects were transient, probably representing natural variation in local populations and nearshore current effects. There were no differences between experimental and control areas, indicating little or no power-plant impact on the macrozooplankton populations.

science services division

4. Periphyton

province and

ار از میروند. مسلمان میروند. مسلمان میروند In both bottom and suspended periphyton samples, green algae were the most diverse (greatest number of taxa), while the blue-greens were numerically dominant. In both bottom and suspended samples a blue-green, <u>Lyngbya</u> sp., was the numerically dominant taxon, often representing more than 90 percent of the total density. Suspended periphyton densities were greatest in June, while bottom periphyton densities were greatest in August and October. Biomass was greatest during August (suspended) and May (bottom) sampling.

Density and biomass for both bottom and suspended periphyton generally decreased with depth and the concomitant loss of light for photosynthetic activity. Although suspended periphyton density and biomass were slightly greater at experimental than at control transects, no differences between control and experimental areas were found for bottom periphyton.

Although the thermal plume may stimulate growth of suspended periphyton, this probably has little biological consequence in the natural lake ecosystem because suspended periphyton is an artificial situation (i.e., the sampling technique provides substrates within the water column where none exist naturally). Also, bottom periphyton are not affected by power-plant discharges because light is limited at the discharge (20-foot) contour and the plume mixes efficiently and moves upward to the surface.

5. Benthic Invertebrates

The 1978 benthic invertebrate samples were numerically dominated by amphipods (scuds) and oligochaetes (aquatic earthworms). The scud, <u>Gammarus</u> <u>fasciatus</u> comprised 40 percent of the total number of benthic organisms collected and was most numerous at the experimental transects.

Total annual biomass appeared to be dominated by Bryozoa; however, this resulted from collection of a large mass of colonies in a single June sample. Without the influence of this single sample, scuds represented the greatest biomass.

I-3

In general, numbers and biomass per sample increased from May through September and decreased with increasing water depth. Experimental and control areas showed no real differences in total benthic densities. Taxonomic composition differences between transects apparently resulted from differences in substrate type.

6. Ichthyoplankton

The eggs of five fish taxa were collected in the vicinity of Nine Mile Point from early May through mid-August. Alewife eggs dominated the collections, peaking in density in mid-July and exhibiting consistently more abundance at night. No consistent trend was observed in egg distribution at stations along the 20- and 40-foot depth contours, suggesting no apparent influence of thermal discharges from the two power stations.

The larvae of 20 taxa were collected from April through November. Alewives dominated larval catches. Densities were greatest during July and August, but two minor peaks occurred prior to July: the first, in mid-May, was due primarily to an increase in yellow perch; the second, in mid-June, was the result of increasing densities of rainbow smelt and <u>Morone</u> spp. The number of larvae decreased rapidly during late August, and densities were low after mid-September. Larval densities were greatest at night. Younger larvae generally decreased in density as distance from shore increased (i.e., at deeper depth contours), whereas older larvae were more uniformly distributed with respect to depth contours. A similar onshore- offshore distribution of younger larvae was observed in previous years at Nine Mile Point. Prolarvae and postlarvae distribution along the 20- and 40-foot depth contours was relatively uniform and exhibited no consistent trend, suggesting no distributional trend with respect to the thermal plumes from the two power stations.

Species composition and temporal and spatial distribution patterns suggested that operation of the two power stations had no detrimental effect on fish eggs and larvae in the area. 7. Fish

 $\left[\right]$

-

 \Box

 $\left(\right)$

 \int

 $\left[\right]$

- ----

- Anno - Contraction - Contrac

Gill net, trawl, beach seine, and box trap caught 37 species in the Nine Mile Point vicinity during the 1978 study. Relative to frequency of occurrence, ten species were present in the area during every month of sampling, and five other species occurred during at least seven of the nine months. The species that dominated were alewife, spottail shiner, rainbow smelt, white perch, and yellow perch.

Temporal distribution varied according to gear. Gill-net catches; dominated by alewives, rainbow smelt, spottail shiners, white perch, and yellow perch were largest during May-July and October-November, and were significantly larger at night than during the day. Largest trawl catches were in May, when threespine stickleback comprised the majority of the catch, and in August and September, when young-of-the-year alewives and rainbow smelt dominated; night catches were usually larger than day catches. Beach-seine catches were small from April through July and increased markedly in August and September as young-of-the-year alewives became vulnerable to the gear. Young-of-the-year and adult spottail shiners were abundant in seine hauls during August and September, and threespine stickleback and brown trout were relatively abundant in May and June. The temporal distribution patterns were typical for fish populations in eastern Lake Ontario: large catches during spring and the first part of summer; small catches during mid-summer; and secondary peaks in abundance during late summer and fall when young-of-the-year grew to catchable size.

Spatial distribution based on gill-net catches indicated that fish were most abundant along the 15-foot depth contour and least abundant along the 60-foot contour. Catches along the 15-foot depth contour were usually smallest at the westernmost station (NMPW). Catches at the four stations along the 30- and 40-foot contours displayed no distinct abundance trends during spring and summer, although catches were usually larger at the easternmost stations (FITZ and NMPE) during fall. Catches along the 60-foot depth contour were largest at FITZ during eight of the nine months of the study but varied from month to month, displaying no consistent temporal trend. Overall, spatial distribution of gill-netted fish displayed no consistent trends with respect to the experimental and control areas. Trawl catches along the 20-foot

I-5

contour were generally larger at stations NMPW and NMPP/FITZ during May-August and at stations NMPW/FITZ and NMPE during September. Along the 40-foot contour, abundances were greater at stations NMPW and NMPP/FITZ during April-September, and along the 60-foot contour, they were largest at experimental transect NMPP/FITZ during May-July and September and were equally large at control transects NMPW and NMPE in August. After September, trawl catches at all depth contours were small and sporadic. Beach-seine annual mean catch rates were highest at experimental station NMPP, primarily because of an extremely large catch of alewife during September. During May, June and August when seine catches were also relatively large, the catch was larger at control transect NMPE than at the other three seining locations.

8. Water Quality

Evaluation of temperature and water quality data revealed that values were well within normal ranges for the Nine Mile Point area specifically and Lake Ontario generally. Neither the thermal cycle nor the physicochemical conditions appeared to be disrupted by operation of the Nine Mile Point and James A. FitzPatrick power plants. No consistent differences in thermal or physicochemical conditions existed between control and experimental transects. Thermal effects were observed at the experimental transects on a minority of sampling dates, indicating that the thermal plume influenced only a relatively small zone which commonly did not impact the fixed sampling stations.

Although not specifically determined, the greatest influences in the Nine Mile Point area appeared to come from the Oswego River, the west-to-east longshore currents, and the upwelling and inshore movement of colder hypolimnetic waters.

B. SUMMARY OF IMPINGEMENT AND ENTRAINMENT STUDIES

1. Impingement - Nine Mile Point Unit I

There were 41 taxa in impingement samples collected at the Nine Mile Point power plant during 1978. Estimated annual impingement was approximately 267,000 fish weighing approximately 4,350 kilograms, and compared with previous years this estimated total impingement was low. No threatened or endangered species were collected during 1978.

Numerically, threespine sticklebacks, alewives, or rainbow smelt dominated the catch during each month. In terms of biomass, however, gizzard shad, alewives, and rainbow smelt were dominant. Impingement rates were highest in spring (April) and winter (January and December). Length-frequency distribution showed that primarily adults and subadults were impinged in winter and spring while impingement samples were primarily young-of-the-year in summer and fall. Impingement was usually greater at night than during the day.

2. Impingement - James A. FitzPatrick

issaum.

.

Summer of the second

provide the second of the seco

fared weren

· ·

And and a second

ليحرب المحلي

At the James A. FitzPatrick power plant during 1978 an estimated 424,000 fish of 45 taxa weighing approximately 6,400 kilograms were impinged. As at Nine Mile Point, impingement at James A. FitzPatrick during 1978 was low compared with most of the previous years and no threatened or endangered species were collected.

Numerically, threespine sticklebacks, rainbow smelt, and alewives dominated impingement sampling; gizzard shad and alewives comprised approximately 65 percent of the total biomass. Impingement rates were highest during spring and lowest during late summer. Most fish impinged during winter and spring were adults and subadults; during summer and fall, most were young-ofthe-year. Impingement was greater at night than during the day.

3. Entrainment - Nine Mile Point Unit 1

Compared with the variety of fish egg and larval species observed in Lake Ontario samples, few were collected in entrainment samples taken at Nine Mile Point. Low numbers of eggs were collected in entrainment samples from late June through early August, and only alewife and unidentified eggs were represented. Larvae were entrained from May through August, but samples contained only four taxa: alewife, rainbow smelt, yellow perch, and tessellated darter.

science services division

Entrainment and Viability - James A. FitzPatrick 4.

a. Phytoplankton

Chlorophyll <u>a</u> concentrations of intake samples for the 7-hour incubation period ranged from a high of 13.72 micrograms per liter in May to lows of 0.47 and 0.61 micrograms per liter in February and September respectively. Lake chlorophyll <u>a</u> concentrations reflected a similar temporal trend. The samples incubated for 24, 48, and 72 hours exhibited temporal trends similar to the 7-hour incubation-period samples. No consistent differences in chlorophyll <u>a</u> concentrations among day and night samples were observed.

Chlorophyll <u>a</u> discharge/intake ratios (a measure of the effects of plant entrainment) for the 7-, 24-, and 48-hour incubation periods revealed a small reduction in concentrations at the discharge during approximately 60 percent of the sampling periods, indicating that chlorophyll <u>a</u> within the phytoplankton community was reduced somewhat due to entrainment through the plant. The plume simulation/intake ratios, suggested that entrainment into the thermal plume in the lake had no effect on chlorophyll a.

Primary production values for intake samples were low during January-March and early September. Production peaked during April-August. Lake samples exhibited a similar temporal trend for primary production. When day and night primary production data at the intake were compared, 60 to 79 percent of the day samples had higher production than night samples.

Discharge/intake ratios indicated a slight decrease in primary production values in the discharge when compared with the intake, suggesting some impact during plant entrainment. Plume simulation/intake ratios indicated that plume entrainment decreased primary production slightly.

b. Zooplankton

Rotifers, copepods, cladocerans, and protozoans were the dominant groups of organisms found in entrainment samples taken in 1978 at the James A. FitzPatrick intake. A total of 70 taxa were identified. Density estimates exhibited temporal trends similar to those observed in Lake Ontario microzooplankton samples from the 20-foot depth contour (approximate area where intake cooling water is taken).

Entrained organisms are subject to mortality as a result of thermal and mechanical stresses. For only a portion of the 1978 sampling season was there a direct relationship between plant operations (water volume, ΔT , and discharge temperature) and zooplankton mortality. Changes in modes of plant operation confounded results of the viability studies. No consistent relationships were observed between ΔT and percent mortality. However, high discharge temperatures, especially in some summer samples, coincided with high percent mortality of zooplankton.

c. Ichthyoplankton

(Contraction)

 $\left[\right]$

,

 $\left[\right]$

 $\left[\right]$

Processing and the particular of the particular

- Survey

Fish eggs, including those of alewife, tessellated darter, and white perch, were collected from the James A. FitzPatrick intake or discharge during July and August. Nine taxa of larvae were observed in entrainment samples, but only alewife and rainbow smelt larvae were present during more than two sampling periods. Eggs and larvae were generally observed in entrainment samples when they were most abundant in the lake. Average larval densities in entrainment samples were lower than in Lake Ontario and represented fewer taxa (9 versus 20).

Viability sampling yielded primarily alewife and rainbow smelt. The low numbers of eggs and larvae in viability samples precluded any conclusions with respect to mortality or survivability following entrainment.

SECTION II INTRODUCTION

A. STUDY OBJECTIVES

Philippine and the second

r,

and in the

(

Ecological studies in the vicinity of the Nine Mile Point promontory during 1978 represent continuing efforts begun in the late 1960s by the Power Authority of the State of New York (PASNY) and Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation (NMPC) to evaluate the potential effects of existing power station operations at Nine Mile Point on the near-field aquatic ecosystem of Lake Ontario.

Two nuclear electric generating stations are located on the Nine Mile Point promontory on the south shore of Lake Ontario: Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station Unit 1, which has been operating since December 1969; and James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Station, which began operating in July 1975. A third nuclear station (Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station Unit 2) is under construction at this site.

This annual report fulfills the utility's commitment to assess changes, if any, in the aquatic ecosystem caused by power plant operations. The studies fulfill monitoring requirements imposed by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) in licenses issued to the Nine Mile Point Unit 1 and James A. FitzPatrick plants. Other aspects of these studies fulfill the requirements of a Stipulation Agreement between the utilities and the Aquatic Advisory Committee for the Nine Mile Point site.

In addition to the requirements noted above, the program is designed to provide the following information:

- Postoperational data relating to aquatic ecology in the vicinity of the Nine Mile Point Unit 1 and James A. FitzPatrick plants
- Analyses to support future recommendations for more costeffective monitoring of the aquatic environment that would still assure protection of the ecosystem over the life of the stations

B. NINE MILE POINT AND JAMES A. FITZPATRICK POWER STATIONS

Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station Unit 1 uses a boiling water reactor to provide 610 MWe (net) of electrical power capacity. The maximum cooling water flow of 597 cubic feet per second (cfs) for this unit is taken from the lake through a submerged intake approximately 850 feet offshore of the site (Table II-1). This flow is returned to the lake through a submerged discharge at temperatures up to 17.3°C (31.2°F) higher than the intake temperature.

Table II-1

Operating and Structural Characteristics of Nine Mile Point Unit 1 and James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Stations*

<u>Nine Mile </u>	Point UNIT 1	James /	1. FitzPatrick
		•	· . 1
610		821	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
250,000 18,000		352,300 17,900)
4.0 x 10 ⁹		5.7 x 1	109
31.2		31.5	
Intake	<u>Discharge</u>	Intake	<u>Discharge</u>
850 ft	335 ft	900 ft	- 1260 ft
6	6	4	· 12
5.5 ft high x 10.3 ft wide	3.5 ft high x 7.3 ft wide	8 ft high x 17.7 ft wide	2.5 ft (inside diameter)
3-ft sill 6-in. roof	3-ft sill 2-ft roof	3-ft sill 6-in. roof	5-6 ft above lake bed Double ports at 150-ft spacing
1.8 fps	4 fps	1.2 fps	14 fps
8 fps	8 fps].4 fps (maximum)	4.7 fps
78 ft ²	78 ft ²	117 ft ²	117 ft ²
0.85 fps	-	1.4 fps	-
24.5 ft (LWD)	17 ft (LWD)	24 ft (LWD)	30 ft (LWD) (aver.)
15.3 ft (LWD)	10.0 ft (LWD)	10 ft (LWD)	23 ft (LWD) (aver.)
268,000 gpm (597 cfs)	268,000 gpm (597 cfs)	370,200 gpm (825 cfs)	370,200 gpm (825 cfs)
	Nine Mile 1 610 250,000 18,000 4.0 x 109 31.2 Intake 850 ft 6 5.5 ft high x 10.3 ft wide 3-ft sill 6-in. roof 1.8 fps 8 fps 78 ft ² 0.85 fps 24.5 ft (LWD) 15.3 ft (LWD) 268,000 gpm (597 cfs)	Nine Mile Point UNIT 1 610 250,000 18,000 4.0 x 10 ⁹ 31.2 Intake Discharge 850 ft 335 ft 6 6 5.5 ft high x 10.3 3.5 ft high x 7.3 ft wide 3-ft sill 3-ft sill 6-in. roof 2-ft roof 1.8 fps 4 fps 8 fps 8 fps 78 ft ² 78 ft ² 0.85 fps - 24.5 ft (LWD) 10.0 ft (LWD) 15.3 ft (LWD) 10.0 ft (LWD) 268,000 gpm 268,000 gpm (597 cfs) 268,000 gpm	Nine Mile Point UNIT 1 James A 610 821 $250,000$ $352,300$ 18,000 17,900 4.0 x 10 ⁹ 5.7 x 1 31.2 31.5 Intake Discharge Intake 850 ft 335 ft 900 ft 6 6 4 5.5 ft high x 10.3 3.5 ft high x 7.3 8 ft high x 17.7 ft wide 3-ft sill 3-ft sill 3-ft sill 6-in. roof 2-ft roof 6-in. roof 1.8 fps 4 fps 1.2 fps 8 fps 8 fps 1.4 fps 8 fps - 1.4 fps 24.5 ft (LWD) 17 ft (LWD) 24 ft (LWD) 15.3 ft (LWD) 10.0 ft (LWD) 10 ft (LWD) 268,000 gpm 268,000 gpm 370,200 gpm (597 cfs) 268,000 gpm 370,200 gpm

*Based on LMS (1975a)

science services division

The James A. FitzPatrick plant uses a boiling water reactor to provide 821 MWe (net) of electrical power capacity. The maximum cooling water flow of 825 cfs for this unit is taken from the lake approximately 900 feet offshore of the site (Table II-1). This flow is returned to the lake through a high-speed, submerged diffuser-type discharge at temperatures up to 17.5°C (31.5°F) higher than the intake temperature.

т ⁷

diaman (

Antonio de la construcción de la const

An and the second secon

the second the second the second to be a second to

The James A. FitzPatrick plant intake is in approximately 24 feet of water. The intake openings face toward shore. The Nine Mile Point Unit 1 intake is in approximately 25 feet of water about 0.5-mile to the west of the FitzPatrick intake and discharge. The Nine Mile Point Unit 1 intake withdraws water from 360° in the horizontal plane. The Nine Mile Point discharge design is for a lower velocity than the FitzPatrick design and subsequently achieves less initial dilution of the discharge waters. The FitzPatrick discharge is designed with submerged jets to achieve rapid dilution of the discharge waters with ambient lake water. The locations of the intakes and discharges of the two plants are such that the main influence of plant operations would be at the 20- and 40-foot depth contours in the lake at the NMPP and FITZ transects (Figure II-1). The 316(a) Demonstrations for these two power stations (NMPC 1975, LMS 1976b) describe plant facilities in detail.

The James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Station achieved criticality in November 1974 and began commercial operation on 28 July 1975. Table II-2 summarizes the plant generation outages for 1978. The average daily power output during 1978 for the James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Station is given in Appendix Table H-2. Since commercial operation began, the plant has almost always operated above 500 MWe (gross output) when the unit was on line.

Nine Mile Point Unit 1 began commercial operation on 14 December 1969. Table II-2 summarizes the plant generation outages for 1978 and Appendix Table H-1 gives the average daily power output during 1978 for Unit 1. When the plant is on line, power generation usually exceeds 500 MWe and, like the FitzPatrick station, at least one circulating water pump (278 cfs) is usually running whenever power production is off.



Table II-2

Contraction of the second

Contraction and

A Description of the later

Antistic and a state of the sta

<u>Nine Mile Point Unit I</u>		James A. FitzPatrick		
Start Date	Duration Generator Off* (days)	Start Date	Duration Generator Off* (days)	
Jan 20	3	Feb 24	7	
Feb 6	2	Mar 17	2	
May 16	2	Apr 18	4	
20	. 7	26	. 6	
Aug 3	2	Jun 21	2	
Sep 30	7	Sep 8	1	
•		1 6	85	
		Dec 17	2	
•		24	3	

Record of Outages during 1978 at the Two Power Stations Located on the Nine Mile Point Promontory

*Dates are inclusive in the outage duration. An outage could span two consecutive dates but have a total duration ranging from less than 2 hours to more than 47 hours. The incident on 20 January, for example, could be 1 complete day plus a few hours on the first and third days, or nearly 3 complete days.

Figure II-1 is a map of the area showing the general location of the two nuclear power stations, their submerged intakes and discharges, and sampling transects. The exact sampling locations and methods for each task of this study are presented in Section III of this report. For the purpose of this study, the "vicinity" of Nine Mile Point is defined as the area within a 3-mile radius of the generating stations.

C. LAKE ONTARIO

1. Physical and Limnological Characteristics

Lake Ontario, the easternmost of the five Great Lakes, is roughly oval, 193 miles long, and 53 miles (maximum) wide. The maximum reported depth is approximately 840 feet, and average depth is around 300 feet. Lake Ontario has a surface area of 7,340 square miles and a volume of 390 cubic miles (U.S. Atomic Energy Commission 1973).

Approximately 80 percent of the water supplied to Lake Ontario enters through its natural inlet, the Niagara River, which discharges approximately 200,000 cfs into the lake. The outflow from the lake into the St. Lawrence River averages about 239,000 cfs. Presently, Lake Ontario has a consumptive use near 300 cfs.

The levels and outflows of Lake Ontario are regulated by control structures on the St. Lawrence River under the supervision of the St. Lawrence River Board of Control. The mean monthly water levels of Lake Ontario are maintained between a minimum elevation of 243.06 feet above mean sea level and a maximum elevation of 248.04 feet.

Lake level data for 1978 showed minimum and maximum elevations of 243.7 and 246.6 feet respectively. The average elevation of Lake Ontario during 1900-1977 was 244.0 feet above mean sea level (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 1979).

The temperature of Lake Ontario varies from about 0°C to 24°C and has a mean value of 7°C. During winter, the temperature of the lake is usually above 0°C. Normally, the lake freezes only along the shore and in sheltered bays; the center of the lake remains open and maintains a temperature near 4°C. The lake begins to warm by May, reaching highest summer temperatures in late July or early August. The temperature declines during the fall, reaching winter levels in middle to late December.

Lake Ontario was formed about 10,000 years ago during periods of severe glaciation and today is underlain by marine sedimentary rock-strata composed largely of shale and limestone. The shoreline is eroding at a relatively rapid rate, contributing a source of unconsolidated sands, clays, and gravels, which, $\left[\right]$

].

].

with other sources, has deposited a sediment layer that is as thick as 35 feet in the deeper regions of the lake but is generally thinner elsewhere. There is very little sediment deposition along the New York shoreline near Nine Mile Point, especially in areas where water depth is less than 40 feet. Bottom subtrates within the study area are composed primarily of bedrock overlain with large boulders or rubble (see Section IV, Table IV-20). Some sand and gravel deposits exist at the 40- and 60-foot depth contours.

Materia

 $\hat{\mathbf{0}}$

t. -

 $\left[\right]$

remaining manadation provides and the second second

The large area of Lake Ontario and its heat capacity provide periodic onshore and offshore breezes due to the heat differential of land and water surfaces. The exposure of the surrounding area to Lake Ontario and the flatness of the terrain allow wind speeds to be higher near the lake than in most inland areas [International Joint Commission (IJC) 1969].

Major cities on Lake Ontario include Toronto and Hamilton in the northwestern region and Rochester and Oswego in the southeastern region.

The major source of most pollutants in Lake Ontario is Lake Erie and its watershed via the Niagara River. The Oswego River, which empties into Lake Ontario about 6 miles west of the Nine Mile Point area, is also a major point source of several pollutants. The Oswego River drains some 5,100 square miles and receives municipal wastes equivalent to that of some 500,000 people (IJC 1969).

The water quality of Lake Ontario is dependent upon the interaction of numerous factors, including geomorphology and hydrology, hydrodynamics, meteorology, and man-made inputs. Intensive interest in Lake Ontario water quality has been a fairly recent phenomenon. Studies of Lake Ontario were completed around 1915 and again in 1947, but truly comprehensive studies were not undertaken until the early 1960s. A number of studies were executed throughout the 1960s, and studies are continuing. Lake Ontario generally has the highest concentration of inorganic pollutants of all of the Great Lakes; this is because inorganic pollutant concentrations in the other Great Lakes have been increasing steadily since about 1910, and much of this flows through the lakes into Lake Ontario. Because of its great depth and dilution capacity, adverse eutrophication effects have been minimal in Lake Ontario compared with those for parts of Lake Erie. Oxygen saturation is usually above 80 percent in the hypolimnion during the summer and averages over 90 percent in the epilimnion throughout the year. Epilimnion values may exceed 120 percent, suggesting high primary productivity or wind-induced mixing (most probably the latter). During thermal stratification, significant chemical stratification may occur, but at relatively low mean values of nutrients. This chemical stratification is a result of seasonal variation in productivity and chemical composition. Nutrients such as orthophosphate, nitrate, and silica generally increase from surface to bottom, reflecting uptake by phytoplankton in the photosynthetic zone and perhaps release from the bottom sediments. During spring and fall overturns, the lake becomes homogeneous. Based on an assessment of oxygen saturation, transparency, nutrient concentrations, nutrient loadings, morphometry, and biological populations, Lake Ontario has been estimated to be between oligotrophic and mesotrophic (IJC 1969).

Data from many studies have been analyzed and are presented in Table II-4 as values representative of offshore waters of Lake Ontario under mixed conditions (QLM 1974). As discussed above, some of the nutrient values vary temporally and vertically. The major ionic species vary little, but the trace elements and compounds may vary greatly. For example, copper was found to range between 5 and 177 micrograms per liter during 1968 (Weiler and Chawla 1969) and between 0 and 2,200 micrograms per liter during 1967 (IJC 1969).

Water quality of nearshore stations has been found to vary from that of offshore stations in an irregular manner, affected by local sources of pollution, increased productivity of shallow waters, and the vagaries of currents. Nevertheless, water quality of stations several hundred to several thousand feet from shore and several thousand feet from pollutant sources would be expected to be similar to that presented in Table II-4. Contamination of certain game and nongame fish in Lake Ontario by Mirex and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) has led New York to closely monitor the levels of these chemicals in fish. Neither Mirex nor PCB levels have been found in concentrations that would make Lake Ontario waters unsafe for consumption or recreation.

Table II-3

	Concentration
Parameter	(mg/l unless shown otherwise)
Calcium	40
Magnesium	8
Sodium	12
Potassium	1.5
Chloride	28
Sulfate	30
Bicarbonate	115
рН	8.0 (units)
Total dissolved solids	200
Specific conductance	300 (µmhos/ст)
Orthophosphate phosphorus	0.015
Total phosphate phosphorus	0.025
Ammonia nitrogen	0.03
Nitrate nitrogen	0.20
Nitrite nitrogen	0.002
Total Kjeldahl nitrogen	0.2
Silicon dioxide	0.5
Turbidity	2 (JTU)
Total suspended solids	3 .
Pheno1	0.002
Total coliform	<1(counts per 100 m1)
Cadmium	0.0001
Chromium	0.001
Cobalt	0.0001
Copper	0.01
Iron	0.01
Lead	0.003
Lithium	0.002
Manganese	0.001
Nickel	0.002
Strontium	0.18
Zinc	0.01

Water Quality Values Characteristic of Offshore Waters of Lake Ontario under Mixed Conditions*

Based on QLM (1974)

1.1

Constraint of the second

- Service -

. ,

and the second

2. General Lake Currents**

In its simplest form, the large-scale general circulation of Lake Ontario is counterclockwise (cyclonic flow) with flow to the east along the south shore in a relatively narrow band and a somewhat less pronounced flow to the west along the north shore. The conceptual model that explains this average circulation is presented here with a minimum of detail.

** Most of this material is extrapolated from PASNY (1977). a. Summer Circulation

A cool mound of water extends from surface to bottom in spring and from below the thermocline to the bottom in summer and fall (Sweers 1969). The baroclinic flow resulting from the horizontal temperature differences is initially directed outward from midlake toward shore. Although the flow is turned clockwise by the Coriolis effect, it is diminished due to bottom friction. This outward flow brings water inshore, where it begins to pile up. A surface slope (higher inshore than in midlake) develops into a barotropic current initially directed lakeward. The barotropic current is bent clockwise because of the Coriolis effect. The result is that the Coriolis effect and the barrier effect of the coastline trap the flow against the shoreline. Generally, the flow continues along the shoreline in an easterly direction as long as the surface slope is maintained.

Inflow from the Niagara River causes the water level at the western end of the lake to be higher than it is at the eastern end (on the average). The resulting flow down the gradient is held against the lake's south shore by the Coriolis effect, thereby enhancing the already existing barotropic flow along the south shore. Wind stress averaged over the year tends further to accelerate the flow to the east and decelerate the flow to the west.

b. Winter Circulation

The general circulation in winter is less well-documented. In late fall after overturn has occurred, the lake is essentially isothermal, thereby permitting a free exchange of water from surface to bottom. Average wind direction in winter is primarily from the west-northwest. The net surface flow that results is eastward, with westward return flow developing below the surface. The surface layer in the western end is advected to the east and is replaced by subsurface water (Sweers 1969). This large-scale upwelling at the upwind end of the lake and downwelling at the downwind end mix the surface and subsurface water on a scale that is not likely to occur during the rest of the year.

Pollutants that are limited to the upper layer during the time of a well-developed thermocline are diluted when the hypolimnetic water is made available for mixing. In spring, with the development of the thermocline, the bottom water is again partially insulated from the surface layer.

science services division

c. Transient Response

The second second

 $\left[\right]$

 \int

The general circulation just described is documented by observations collected over long periods (months). The circulation patterns that are observed at any given time, however, are more complex as a result of the transient wind distribution and the lake's response to the nonsteady wind. Sometimes, a major wind shift can alter the currents in a matter of hours; at other times, some features of the current pattern continue, even with an opposing wind (Csanady 1972). The response time of the currents to a shift in wind distribution is partially related to the scale of the current: large features such as the coastal jet respond more sluggishly, whereas the response nearer to shore is more rapid — 6 hours or less. Additionally, the deeper the current, the more slowly it responds. A shift in the currents as a result of wind shifts eventually changes the lake surface slope and the temperature field, forcing an alteration in much of the lake's circulation pattern.

3. Local Currents

In the course of preoperational studies for the James A. FitzPatrick plant, currents off the Nine Mile Point promontory were measured from May to October 1969 and from July to October 1970 (Gunwaldson et al 1970, PASNY 1971). The field data clearly illustrated a correlation between summer currents and wind speed. The correlation, an accepted principle of hydrodynamics, was theorized by Ekman (1928) and subsequently has been verified by numerous oceanographers (e.g., Neumann and Pierson 1966). Measurements of wind currents at lightships (Haight 1942) have been analyzed to determine the ratio of current speed to wind speed; reported values, commonly called the "wind factor," range between 0.005 and 0.030.

Wind-speed frequency data averaged over a 6-hour period indicate that winds exceeding 32 kilometers per hour (20 miles per hour) occurred 21.6 percent of the time over the year. For the summer months (June through September), winds exceeding 32 km/hr (20 mph) occurred 13.9 percent of the time. The current speed of 6-hour duration that was exceeded with comparable frequency in 14 meters (46 feet) of water was about 15 centimeters per second (0.5 feet per second). For a persistence of 24 hours, the current speed that was exceeded 13.9 percent of the time was 13.7 cm/sec (0.45 fps).

II-11

The predominant direction of currents in the studies previously described was alongshore, as dictated by continuity. On those occasions when onshore or offshore currents were observed, their magnitudes were substantially less than those of alongshore currents. During the summer, alongshore currents from both the west or east were equally frequent about 33 percent of the time. Onshore and offshore currents each accounted for nearly 5 percent of the observations; the remaining 30 percent of the observations were below the flowmeter threshold, 0.05 knots (2.5 cm/sec, 0.09 fps). At the 6.4-meter (21-foot) depth in 14.0 meters (46 feet) of water, the mean onshore current speed was 3.0 cm/sec (0.09 fps) and the mean offshore current speed was 6.0 cm/sec (0.2 fps). On the other hand, alongshore currents from the west and east averaged 9 cm/sec (0.3 fps).

Lake currents were measured at selected locations in the immediate vicinity of the Oswego Steam Station (about 6 miles west of Nine Mile Point) for 5 days between 12 October and 19 November 1970. These surface current velocities were mostly alongshore, with speeds ranging from less than 2.5 cm/sec (0.08 fps) to 15 cm/sec (0.50 fps). These data were consistent with measurements at Nine Mile Point and with wind current frequencies reported by Palmer and Izatt (1970) for Ontario waters of similar depth near Toronto, Canada.

D. PREVIOUS STUDIES

In order to assess the effects of an electric generating station on the aquatic communities of a water body, the water quality of the area and the abundance, species composition, and distribution of the biota in relation to power plant operation must be delineated. This section provides background information for the Nine Mile Point area based on studies there as well as at other areas of Lake Ontario. Previous studies dealing with the major biological groups present in the study area are considered.

Prior to 1971, ecological investigations in the vicinity of Nine Mile Point were conducted by Dr. J.F. Storr under contract to Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation (Storr 1973). Dr. Storr collected data concerning the basic current flow patterns and the plankton, benthos, and fish populations observed in the area from 1963 to the early 1970s. In addition, Dr. Storr has continued to conduct extensive fish movement (tagging) studies in the area (Storr 1977). Lawler, Matusky, and Skelly (LMS) conducted investigations of the aquatic ecosystem in the vicinity of Nine Mile Point from 1972 through early 1977. These studies were associated with Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation's fossil-fueled Oswego Steam Station as well as the two nuclear stations at Nine Mile Point. Because the generating stations at Oswego and Nine Mile Point are in close proximity (Oswego is approximately 6 miles to the west), ecological data from both sites are utilized to establish ecological conditions in the nearshore area.

The programs conducted by LMS (QLM 1973a, 1973b, and 1974; LMS 1975a, 1976a, and 1977a) at Nine Mile Point consisted of surveys of plankton (phytoplankton, zooplankton, and ichthyoplankton), benthos, and fish populations during spring through fall at various depths and transect locations. Impingement and entrainment of nektonic and planktonic populations were also monitored at the stations' intakes. Water quality was investigated by LMS through 1976 in the vicinity of Nine Mile Point, including monthly determinations of inorganic nutrients, metals, dissolved oxygen (DO), temperature, pH, and BOD concentrations.

Other studies in the immediate vicinity of the study area have been conducted by the Lake Ontario Environmental Laboratory (LOTEL) for Rochester Gas and Electric (RGE 1974) and by McNaught and Fenlon (1972) and McNaught and Buzzard (1973). The latter studies were concerned with the effects of plant operation on phytoplankton productivity and zooplankton populations.

1. Phytoplankton

activities to the

de marina

 $\left(\right)$

And the second second

 $\hat{\mathbf{D}}$

- Hereit

- States

There is a limited amount of information available on the phytoplankton community of the Great Lakes, especially Lake Ontario. Some of the more recent studies have been listed in literature reviews or previous environmental reports by Davis (1966, 1969), QLM (1972, 1974), and LMS (1975a). These studies showed that all phytoplankton divisions are present in Lake Ontario. Diatoms make up as much as 80 percent of the nearshore phytoplankton during the winter and spring. Summer phytoplankton consists of green and blue-green algae and a few diatoms. Over the entire yearly cycle, the most important constituents of the phytoplankton are the diatoms, phytoflagellates, and green algae. Previous taxonomic studies indicate that more than 300 phytoplankton taxa exist in Lake Ontario, the majority being green algae (Munawar and Nauwerck 1971). Primary production (¹⁴C) and chlorophyll <u>a</u> estimates place Lake Ontario in a state between oligotrophy and mesotrophy (Wetzel 1975).

Several investigators have described seasonal patterns of phytoplankton occurrence in Lake Ontario (Davis 1966; Nalewajko 1966, 1967; Munawar and Nauwerck 1971; QLM 1972, 1974). The seasonal patterns are correlated closely with natural changes in physical conditions, i.e., water temperature and light intensity, and with the supply of dissolved inorganic nutrients. Although there is some phytoplankton growth throughout the year, the annual cycle is usually characterized by two periods of rapid and unusually intense phytoplankton growth, termed "pulses" or "blooms." One pulse occurs during the spring and is dominated by diatoms; the other pulse occurs during the fall and is usually dominated by green and/or blue-green algae.

The seasonal patterns of phytoplankton observed in the vicinity of Nine Mile Point reflect those previously reported in Lake Ontario. The diatom community during winter and spring is composed principally of <u>Asterionella</u> spp., <u>Fragilaria</u> spp., <u>Cyclotella</u> spp., <u>Melosira</u> spp., and <u>Tabellaria</u> spp. During the summer and fall, blue-green algae such as <u>Oscillatoria</u> spp. and <u>Microcystis</u> spp. and green algae such as <u>Scenedesmus</u> spp., <u>Pediastrum</u> spp., and <u>Ankistrodesmus</u> spp. are the major taxa of the community. <u>Cryptomonas</u> spp. and <u>Rhodomonas</u> spp., both phytoflagellates, appear as members of the community throughout the year exhibiting their greatest density during winter.

During previous years, the larger aquatic vegetation in Lake Ontario at Nine Mile Point has been dominated by <u>Cladophora glomerata</u> (IJC 1975). <u>Cladophera</u> is a long filamentous alga attached by a holdfast to rocks and other submerged substrates. Colonization and propagation of <u>Cladophora</u> extends out to a depth of about 20 feet, and the long, growing strands of <u>Cladophora</u> in water 5 feet deep or less are constantly being broken off by wave activity. Maximum growth usually occurs in water about 10-15 feet deep, but this will vary, depending upon turbidity (Wezernak et al 1974). <u>Cladophora</u> grows at water temperatures ranging from 53°F to 77°F, but has an optimum growing temperature of 64°F. Growth of <u>Cladophora</u> begins in late May, reaches a peak in late June or early July, and declines during the warmer summer period of late July and early II-14

August (Storr and Sweeney 1971). As temperatures drop, a secondary peak may occur in late August. Growth ceases in September due to decreasing light and temperature.

2. Zooplankton

DUNING STREET

Without the

 $\left[\right]$

Sector of

-

Classifications according to size are widely used for distinguishing smaller and larger members of the zooplankton community. For the purposes of surveys in the Nine Mile Point vicinity, the term "macrozooplankton" is defined as those invertebrate zooplankton retained in a 571-micrometer mesh plankton net. Microzooplankton are functionally defined as the zooplankton ranging in size from 76 to 571 micrometers. However, invertebrate crustaceans of the same species may be found in both the macrozooplankton and microzooplankton collections due to the wide range of sizes encompassed by the developmental stages of these organisms.

Eleven major macrozooplankton taxa have been identified from collections made in the vicinity of Nine Mile Point and Oswego (QLM 1974; LMS 1975a, 1976a). The dominant macrozooplankton groups are cladocerans, copepods, and amphipods; and the macrozooplankton community is frequently dominated by the cladoceran <u>Leptodora kindtii</u>. The amphipod <u>Gammarus fasciatus</u> is also abundant. Nematodes, hydroids, insect larvae (mainly Diptera), gastropods, and isopods are observed occasionally in macrozooplankton samples. Two macrozooplankton, <u>Pontoporeia affinis</u> and <u>Mysis oculata relicta</u>, which are cold-water glacial relict species, are observed primarily during periods of cold-water upwellings.

Some macrozooplankton typically exhibit diel vertical migrations. For example, <u>Gammarus fasciatus</u> and <u>Leptodora kindtii</u> move into the water column during the night, but are found mostly in an epibenthic habitat (LMS 1977a) during the day. A decrease in the relative abundance of <u>Leptodora kindtii</u> and <u>Gammarus fasciatus</u> during 1977-78 in comparison to 1973-76 data was related to changes in the field program (for example, night collections were discontinued in 1977).

The microzooplankton component of the total zooplankton community in the vicinity of Nine Mile Point is typically composed of four major taxonomic groups: rotifers, cladocerans, copepods, and protozoans (LMS 1975a, 1975b, 1976a, 1976b, 1977a, 1977b; Storr 1973).
Rotifers generally contribute the greatest percentage of microzooplankton abundance. Members of this group exhibit a bimodal pattern of seasonal abundance, with the first and normally largest pulse occurring during July and a second pulse in early fall. Sampling conducted by both Storr (1973) and QLM (1974) indicated that the dominant rotifer was <u>Keratella</u> spp.

Cladocerans generally form the second highest percentage of the total microzooplankton population (QLM 1974). The seasonal pattern of cladoceran abundance is bimodal: the first peak occurs during July; the second and usually greater peak during October or November. Storr (1973) found <u>Bosmina longirostris</u> to be the dominant cladoceran, its abundance peaking in late summer/early fall; and <u>Daphnia</u> spp. to be the most abundant spring cladoceran. The Oswego River may influence biotic communities along Nine Mile Point, especially the western end of the study area. Differences have been noted in species composition and seasonal trends between the Oswego and Nine Mile Point areas, and were most likely the result of Oswego River influence on the lake biota (Storr 1973).

Copepods in the vicinity of Nine Mile Point exhibit a seasonal cycle similar to cladocerans, with nauplii typically abundant during the spring and adults in late summer (LMS 1976a).

Protozoan abundance has been found to be highly variable; however, the general trend is for abundance to be lowest during winter and highest during summer (LMS 1975a). The dominant protozoans identified belong to the family Vorticellidae.

Glooschenko et al (1972) found a bimodal pattern in the seasonal abundance of zooplankton at a station in eastern Lake Ontario. The occurrence of two peaks of abundance was similar to that observed by LMS in the vicinity of the Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, but the number of organisms found by Glooschenko et al was about an order of magnitude less than the number of organisms found in the vicinity of the Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station (QLM 1972, 1974). **[**]

3. Benthic Invertebrates

 $\left[\right]$

Studies of the benthic community in Lake Ontario show that several organisms exhibit distinct distributional patterns. Brinkhurst (1969, 1970) reported that the general distribution of benthos in Lake Ontario followed the distribution of benthos in temperate oligotrophic water bodies having some inshore areas supporting eutrophic forms. Historically, benthic studies have been concentrated in the eastern portion of Lake Ontario (Johnson and Matheson 1968; Johnson and Brinkhurst 1971a, 1971b). Hiltunen (1969) and Kinney (1972) sampled the entire lake, including some stations in the Nine Mile Point area, while other studies concentrated entirely in and around Oswego (Judd and Gemmel 1971, Storr 1973, QLM 1972).

The species composition and abundance of benthic macroinvertebrates in Lake Ontario have been shown to vary with depth. For example, benthic fauna was reported to increase in abundance and diversity with increasing depth (Judd and Gemmel 1971), and Brinkhurst (1969) reported the presence of eutrophic species in the inshore area of the lake.

In the deeper portions of Lake Ontario, benthic populations are domnated primarily by the amphipod <u>Pontoporeia affinis</u> and oligochaetes (Cook and Johnson 1974). In the nearshore zone, the natural assemblage apparently consists of <u>Pontoporeia affinis</u>, <u>Stylodrilus spp.</u>, <u>Limnodrilus spp.</u>, <u>Tubifex spp.</u>, plus a variety of chironomids and sphaeriids.

Species of seven phyla (Nematoda, Mollusca, Platyhelminthes, Arthropoda, Annelida, Coelenterata, and Nemertea) constitute the benthic community in the Nine Mile Point vicinity (LMS 1977a). These phyla include approximately 85 genera.

Phylum Arthropoda, represented by 45 species, includes the most abundant organisms in the area; for example, <u>Gammarus fasciatus</u> is frequently the dominant species collected. Members of the class Oligochaeta are relatively abundant throughout the year, and tubificid worms (Family Tubificidae) are abundant in all seasons and at most transects. The majority of the organisms collected represent species associated primarily with the surface of the substrate, i.e., epibenthic species such as <u>Gammarus fasciatus</u>. However, several infaunal forms, including members of the class Nematoda, have been collected. Differences observed in the distribution and species abundance of benthic invertebrates among stations and transects are attributed to animal/ substrate relationships. For example, <u>Gammarus</u> and <u>Manayunkia</u> are associated with bedrock substrate, while the nematode <u>Dorylaimus</u>, tubificids, and the dipteran Cryptochironomus are abundant where substrates are mostly sand and silt.

Benthic invertebrates in the Nine Mile Point vicinity have a seasonal growth and reproduction pattern similar to that reported by Fretwell (1972) and Odum (1971) for temperate zones. Seasonally, the abundance of benthic macroinvertebrates may exhibit the following typical sequence: polychaetes and gastropods dominate in the spring, while oligochaetes and ostracods are abundant in early summer. The amphipod <u>Gammarus fasciatus</u> is frequently the domiant organism during late summer and through the fall (October-December), but polychaetes and oligochaetes also may be common in the fall.

The trend of greater benthic invertebrate abundance during spring and fall may be due in part to the presence of actively growing <u>Cladophora</u>, a filamentous green alga which provides food and refuge for many invertebrate populations, but is most probably the result of life-stage changes with seasons and the subsequent abundance of adults and larger immatures. During 1974 and 1975, <u>Cladophora</u> exhibited a maximum seasonal abundance in June (LMS 1976a). <u>Cladophora</u> biomass decreased rapidly with depth and was either scarce or nonexistent at depths of 30 and 40 feet. This was previously noted by Neil and Owen (1964). Christie (1974) attributes the increased productivity observed in Lake Ontario during recent years to the growth of Cladophora and its associated fauna.

4. Ichthyoplankton

Fish eggs and larvae are most abundant in the Nine Mile Point area of Lake Ontario from April through September; however, some eggs have been collected as early as February and larvae have been collected in December. Published data on the abundance and distribution of ichthyoplankton in the eastern end of Lake Ontario are limited primarily to annual reports of aquatic ecology studies in the vicinity of Nine Mile Point (QLM 1974; LMS 1975a, 1976a, and 1977a) and studies related to the effects of entrainment and thermal discharges at the three existing power stations in the Oswego-Nine Mile Point area (NMPC 1975, []

II-18

1976b, 1976c, and 1976d; LMS 1976b, 1977b). However, information on distribution of ichthyoplankton near Mexico Bay just east of Nine Mile Point has recently been published by NYSEG (1978). Additional information on fish eggs and larvae in the Great Lakes includes studies on Lake Michigan (Norden 1968, Jude et al 1975, Jude 1976, TI 1976, Consumers Power 1975 and 1976, Detroit Edison 1976, and Cole et al 1978), Lake Erie (Nelson and Cole 1975; TI 1977a, 1977b, 1977c; Wolfert et al 1977), Lake Huron (O'Gorman 1975) and Lake St. Clair (Detroit Edison 1977).

Providence of

- Landing - Contraction

Over the last 5 years, annual surveys have reported between 15 and 22 taxa of eggs and larvae in the Nine Mile Point area. Alewife has consistently dominated the ichthyoplankton community (LMS 1977a, 1977b). Other relatively abundant species in the area are rainbow smelt, white perch, sculpin, and johnny (tessellated) darter. The temporal distribution of eggs and larvae in the Nine Mile Point area is characterized by two basic spawning groups: species typically spawning in the winter and early spring, e.g., burbot, <u>Coregonus</u> spp., rainbow smelt, and yellow perch; and late spring and summer spawning species, e.g., alewife, white perch, and carp. Eggs and larvae of the first group are most abundance during April, May, and early June. The larvae of the species in the second group are most abundant in July and August.

Eggs and young larvae are apparently more abundant at the 20-foot than the 40-foot depth contour near Nine Mile Point (LMS 1975a), but larvae tend to move offshore into deeper water as they mature. A similar onshoreoffshore distribution for larvae was observed during an ecological study in-Lake Erie (NMPC 1976a).

Egg and larvae densities in the Nine Mile Point area are relatively low except for alewives. During a review of Nine Mile Point studies, Williams et al (1975) indicated that the area does not contain desirable spawning and nursery sites because of nearshore wave action, bedrock/rubble substrate, and sometimes extensive beds of Cladophora.

II-19

5. Fish (Nekton)

The Great Lakes contain an extensive fish fauna which includes representatives of most of the important families of North American freshwater fishes. Hubbs and Lagler (1958) list 173 species of native and introduced fish in 28 families for the Great Lakes and their tributaries.

Lake Ontario has one of the most diverse fish communities of the five Laurentian Great Lakes consisting of 112 species in 25 families (Ryder 1972). Historically, the offshore fish community in Lake Ontario was composed principally of oligotrophic or cold-water fish such as Coregonus spp. (whitefish, ciscos, and chubs), lake trout, and burbot, while the nearshore waters contained a more diverse fish fauna composed of many varieties of basically warmwater fish (Christie 1974). However, the combined effects of commercial fishing, modification of the drainage basin through construction of dams and canals, invasion of marine species such as the alewife and sea lamprey, cultural eutrophication (Smith 1972a, 1972b), and possibly other factors changed the Lake Ontario fish community so that it is dominated now by alewife, rainbow smelt, white perch, and yellow perch (Christie 1973, 1974). Associated with this shift in species composition was a corresponding change in the use of Lake Ontario by the present fish community. Whereas the historically prominent fish species were wide-ranging piscivores (feed on fish) and pelagic (open water) plankton feeders that utilized the entire area of the lake, the present fish community has definite patterns of movement that vacate areas of the lake during certain seasons. During spring, alewife and rainbow smelt migrate extensively from the depths of the lake to spawn in nearshore areas or in tributaries and small streams. After spawning, these species migrate out into the lake and occupy varying strata of water during summer. During fall, alewives migrate to the deeper waters to overwinter while rainbow smelt migrate to and overwinter in nearshore areas.

The fish community in the Nine Mile Point area of Lake Ontario was intensively sampled from March 1973 through December 1978 by trawling, gill netting, and seining (QLM 1974; LMS 1975a, 1976a, 1977a; TI 1978b, 1979). Prior to 1973, fish were collected intermittently by Storr (1973) using gill nets and

science services division

trap nets. Approximately 50 species were identified in samples taken during this period (1969-1978), and alewife was the dominant species collected. Other abundant species were rainbow smelt, spottail shiner, yellow perch, and white perch.

÷ .

And Anna and

and the second second

Seasonal abundance of fish in the Nine Mile Point vicinity is typical of that observed for the Lake Ontario fish community. The greatest abundance of fish is usually observed during the spring months, corresponding with the spawning of rainbow smelt and the shoreward spawning migration of alewives. Abundance and diversity are lowest during the warm summer months and then increase, especially diversity, during the fall. Lower abundance and diversity during summer are due, in part, to postspawning migrations from the area by adults and selectivity of the sampling gear in relation to collecting the smaller juvenile fish.

Studies concerning fish impingement at power stations prior to 1970 are limited, but substantial data on this subject have become available in recent years. Edsall and Yocum (1972) provided a fairly complete summary of the earlier industry-related fish impingement studies on the Great Lakes, and Sharma and Freeman (1977) presented a more recent review. Impingement of fish has been documented in Lake Huron (Edsall and Yocum 1972), Lake Erie (TI 1977b, 1977c), Lake Michigan (TI 1976), and at various locations in Lake Ontario (LMS 1977b).

Impingement monitoring studies in the Nine Mile Point area of Lake Ontario were initiated in 1972 at the Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station and in 1975 at the James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Station and have continued to the present (QPM 1973b, 1974; LMS 1975b, 1976b, 1977a, 1977b; TI 1978b, 1979). Approximately 60 species have been identified from samples taken at the two nuclear plants during this period. Alewife, rainbow smelt, and, in later years, threespine stickleback have been the dominant species collected. Other relatively abundant species have been gizzard shad, emerald shiner, spottail shiner, and sculpin. The total number of fish impinged has ranged from less than 0.5 to 5 million fish annually at the Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station and was approximately 4 million fish during the first complete year 1976) of sampling at the James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Station. Impingement rates are usually highest during spring, coinciding with the spawning of rainbow smelt and the inshore spawning migration of alewives. Impingement rates are lowest during summer, probably reflecting postspawning migrations by adults to deeper water. Fall rates usually show a secondary peak in impingement rates as the young-of-the-year fish become large enough to be impinged.

Murarka (1976) recommended gathering additional fisheries data on Lake Ontario populations for properly determining the ecological significance of fish impingement in the vicinity of Nine Mile Point on the Lake Ontario fishery. However, in a report evaluating the potential impact of impingement at the James A. FitzPatrick station, LMS (1977b) indicated that current impingement losses attributable to power plants on Lake Ontario, including both Nine Mile Point and James A FitzPatrick, have no measurable direct or indirect impact on the present sport or commercial fisheries.

science services division

SECTION III METHODS AND MATERIALS

A. LAKE ONTARIO STUDIES

terra any sur-

The sampling design and methods described in this section represent a program that has evolved during several years of ecological studies on Lake Ontario in the vicinity of Nine Mile Point. Two nuclear power stations, Nine Mile Point Unit 1 (NMP) and James A. FitzPatrick (JAF), which began operation in December 1969 and July 1975, respectively, are located on the Nine Mile Point promontory. Biological surveys began in this area of Lake Ontario in the mid-1960s, and intensive ecological studies that employed methods similar to those described in this section have been conducted since the early 1970s.

Most sampling for the 1978 program was conducted along four transects extending perpendicular from the Lake Ontario shoreline (Figure III-1 and Table III-1). The transects - NMPP (Nine Mile Point Plant) and FITZ (J.A. Fitz-Patrick Plant) - represent a zone in the lake near the two plants' submerged intake and discharge structures. This zone can be influenced by the removal of cooling water and by subsequent thermal discharges and has been referred to as the experimental area. The transect to the west of the power stations, NMPW (Nine Mile Point West), is upcurrent of the experimental area most of the time with respect to the prevailing currents and thus represents a zone considered outside the influence of the intakes and thermal discharges; this area has been referred to as a control area. The NMPE (Nine Mile Point East) transect is usually downcurrent from the discharge structures with respect to the prevailing currents and represents an area that might be influenced by the thermal discharges; this zone has been referred to as the farfield or control area. A transect called NMPP/FITZ is intermediate between the two experimental-zone transects (Figure III-1); it represents the trawling stations in the experimental zone, since trawling was conducted along depth contours and normally began near the FITZ transect and terminated near the NMPP transect. Also, along the NMPP/FITZ transect, some water quality samples were collected.



Table III-1

Sampling Schedule for Aquatic Ecology Studies in Lake Ontario near Nine Mile Point and James A. FitzPatrick Power Plants, 1978

Task	Frequency*	Season	Depth Contour {ft}	Transect	Depth	Samples per Year**	Comments
Phytoplankton Densities Chlorophyll <u>a</u>	Monthly (D) Monthly (D)	Apr-Dec Apr-Dec	10,20,40,60 10,20,40,60	NMPW, NMPP, FITZ, NMPE NMPW, NMPP, FITZ, NMPE	Surface and light levels		At the 40-ft contour on the NMPE transect, phytoplankton, chloro-phyll \underline{a} , and \underline{l}_{4} samples are collected at the 50, 25, and 1 percent
Primary production (¹⁴ C)	Monthly (D)	Apr-Dec	10,20,40,60	NMPW, NMPP, FITZ, NMPE		513	Hight Transmittance levels in addition to surface samples. Primary production sampling involves a larger number of samples per year because there are two light and one dark bottle per sampling location.
200plankton Microzooplankton Macrozooplankton	Monthly (D) Monthly (D)	Apr-Dec Apr-Dec	10,20,40,60 20,40,60,80,100	NMPW.NMPP.F]TZ.NMPE O.5-, }-, 3-mi radij	Oblique tows Composite of surface mid- depth, bottom 'tows	288 135	There are six sampling stations along both the 20- and 40-ft contours within areas bounded by 0.5 -, 1-, and 3 -mile radii and single stations at the 60-, 80-, and 100-ft contours along NMPP (Figure III-1).
Periphyton Bottom substrates	Monthly (D)	Apr-Dec	5,10,20,30,40	NMPW,NMPP,FITZ,NMPE	Bottom	640	Artificial substrates are set in April and retrieved for the first
Suspended substrates	Monthly (D)	May-Sep	40	NMPW,NMPE,FITZ	2, 7, 12, 17 ft from surface	120	time in May. The NMPP/FITZ transect is located midway between the two transects extending offshore from the Nine Mile Point and James A. FitzPatrick Power Plants (Figure III-1).
Benthic Invertebrates	Bimonthly ⁺ (D)	Apr-Dec	10,20,30,40,60	NMPW, NMPP, FITZ, NMPE	Bottom	200	
Ichthyoplankton	Weekly (D) Weekly (N) Semimonthly ⁺ (D)	Apr-Nov (D) Jun to mid-Sep (N) Dec	20,40,60,80,100	0.5, 1-, 3-mi radii	Surface, mid- depth,bottom tows	2340	See Figure III-1 for transect locations.
Fisheries		A B					
trawis .	Semimonthly (D/N)	Apr-Dec	20,40,60	NMPW, NMPE, NMPP/FITZ	Bottom	324	Trawl tows for the NMPP/FITZ transect begin near the FITZ transect
Gill net	Semimonthly (D/N)	Apr-Dec	15,20,30,40,60	NMPW, NMPP, FITZ, NMPE	Bottom	1260	Along the 20-ft contour, the NMPP transect is not sampled. Each gill net sample is approximately 12 hr long, representing the time between
Beach seine Trap net	Semimonthly (D) . Semimonthly (N)	Apr-Dec Apr-Dec	Shoreline 20	NMPW,NMPP,FITZ,NMPE NMPW,NMPP,FITZ,NMPE	Bottom Bottom	72	
Water Quality 11 parameters (Group I) 17 parameters (Group II) 48 parameters (Group III)	Monthly (D) Semimonthly (D) Monthly (D)	Apr-Dec Apr-Dec Apr-Dec	20,40 20,60 25,45	NMPW,FITZ,NMPE NMPW,NMPP,NMPE NMPP/FITZ	Surface Surface Surface,bottom	54 108 36	
Temperature Profiles	Weekly (D)	Apr-Dec	100	NMPH, FITZ, NMPE	At l-m intervals from surface to bottom)17 	

*(D) = day sampling

 (N) = night sampling
 (N) = night sampling requirements (number of replicates, samples per month, etc) are presented in Section II of the SOP for the Nine Nile Point Ecological Monitoring Program.
 SOP for the Nine Nile Point Ecological Monitoring Program.
 *Bimonthly is defined as every other month; semimonthly as twice per month.

III-3

Ichthyoplankton (fish eggs and larvae) samples were collected at 15 stations shown in Figure III-1. Along the 20- and 40-foot contours, tows were made both to the east and west within zones located approximately 0.5, 1, and 3 miles from the Nine Mile Point station. Directly north of the Nine Mile Point station, ichthyoplankton tows were made at the 60-, 80-, and 100-foot contours on the NMPP transect. Macrozooplankton were sampled at the same locations used for ichthyoplankton (Table III-1).

The periphyton community and planktonic components of the aquatic ecosystem except ichthyoplankton were sampled monthly (Table III-1). To monitor life stages that may be in the area for only a few weeks, ichthyoplankton were sampled weekly except in December. The fish community was sampled twice per month; the relatively sedentary benthic invertebrate community every other month. Various water quality parameters were measured monthly or twice per month, and temperature profiles were obtained weekly at three stations on the 100-foot contour to determine the temperature structure offshore of the power plants and document the extent of stratification.

1. Phytoplankton

Phytoplankton are primary producers, forming the base of the food chain in most aquatic ecosystems. They are usually microscopic and suspended in the water column. In this study, the phytoplankton community was characterized by determining cell densities, chlorophyll <u>a</u> concentrations, and primary production rates in the control and experimental areas.

a. Field Sampling

Replicate whole-water samples were collected with a Van Dorn water bottle from 1 meter below the surface along the four principal transects at the 10-, 20-, 40-, and 60-foot depth contours (Figure III-1 and Table III-1). In addition, on the 40-foot contour of the NMPE transect, samples were collected at the 50-, 25-, and 1-percent light-transmittance levels determined with a Kahlsico Model 268WA310 submarine photometer. Water temperatures were measured in situ at all phytoplankton sampling locations.

The two replicate samples at each location were composited before subsamples were removed. For phytoplankton densities, two 3.8-liter subsamples

III-4

were withdrawn and preserved with acid Lugol's (1:100 concentration) solution. Two 2-liter subsamples were withdrawn for chlorophyll <u>a</u> analysis and were placed on ice in the dark. For primary productivity, one dark and two light BOD bottles (300 milliliters) were filled from each composite sample and allowed to overflow at least once. The water was passed through a 300-micrometer mesh net to exclude larger zooplankton and detritus. The capped BOD bottles were placed on ice in the dark to reduce productivity and respiration of phytoplankton until lab processing could begin. A 100-milliliter water sample was collected at each location for alkalinity determinations required for productivity analyses, and two 300-milliliter water samples used for primary productivity background analysis were collected at each of the 20-foot contour locations. The alkalinity and background samples were placed on ice in the dark.

b. Laboratory Processing

H

1) Phytoplankton Density

At the field laboratory, the phytoplankton density samples, each consisting of about 2 liters of thoroughly mixed sample placed in an individual chamber with one drop of dishwashing detergent, were settled in aluminum-covered glass settling chambers (Weber 1973). After each sample had settled for 48 to 72 hours, approximately 1800 milliliters of the cell-free water was drawn off with a vacuum pump and the remaining 200 milliliters centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 12 minutes until a small pellet of organisms remained. All except 10 milliliters of the centrifuged sample was drawn off, leaving the pellet intact. The pellet was then resuspended into the remaining volume and emptied into an 8-dram glass vial. Then, 3 to 4 milliliters of a solution of three parts 95 percent ethanoi and one part formalin was added as a final preservative.

Phytoplankton identification and enumeration were performed at 400X magnification using a Palmer cell (APHA 1976) and 20 randomly picked fields (10 fields per subsample).

2) Chlorophyll <u>a</u> Concentration

Filtration of water samples to determine the chlorophyll <u>a</u> concentration was initiated immediately after return from the field. Between 500 and 2000 milliliters was filtered through a Whatman GF/A glass-fiber filter at approximately 15 pounds per square inch. Before the last 50 milliliters of the sample were filtered, 1 milliliter of magnesium carbonate suspension (1.0 gram in 100 milliliters of distilled water) was added. The filter was folded carefully with the plankton to the inside, placed into an 8-dram glass vial, and frozen at $-18^{\circ}C$ (0°F).

Chlorophyll was extracted from the phytoplankton cells using 90 percent acetone and a tissue grinder to break up the cells. Samples were placed in a darkened refrigerator at 4-8°C for 24 hours, then centrifuged to remove any filter fragments from the extract. The extracted chlorophyll was then placed into a Beckman Model 26 spectrophotometer using a 5-centimeter path-length spectrophotometer cell, and extinction values were measured at 665 and 750 nanometers Next, two drops of 50 percent hydrochloric acid were added to the cell, the contents agitated, and extinction values measured again at 665 and 750 nanometers for the degradation product, phaeophytin <u>a</u>. The mathematical conversion of extinction values to chlorophyll <u>a</u> concentrations is presented in the discussion on data reduction that follows (subsection c).

3) Primary Productivity

As soon as they had been returned to the field laboratory, all light and dark bottles were inoculated with 5 microcuries of radioactive carbon (^{14}C) in the form of sodium bicarbonate. After the radioactive material was added, the bottles were inverted several times and placed in an incubator at ambient lake surface temperature under a fluorescent light at approximately 200 footcandles for 4 hours, then fixed with 1 milliliter of neutral full-strength formalin to stop all production. Each sample was filtered slowly through a Gelman membrane filter composed of a blend of nitrocellulose and cellulose acetate. After all excess liquid had been drawn through the filter, the sample was removed using forceps and placed into a scintillation vial to dry for 4-6 hours at 20-25°C. After drying, 10 milliliters of Aquasol (New England Nuclear) was added to the vial, which was shaken until the filter pad broke into small pieces; 2 milliliters of water was immediately added, forming a gel, with the broken filter pad in suspension. Vials were sealed and labeled.

Primary productivity samples were analyzed with liquid scintillation techniques using a Beckman LS-100 scintillation counter. Counts per minute

were used to calculate primary productivity values according to the formula presented in the data reduction discussion that follows. Sample disposal was according to established procedures for handling radioactive material.

c. Data Reduction

1) Phytoplankton Density

Densities (number per milliliter) of individual taxa and major summary groups (divisions) were calculated for each sample.

Mean density of two replicate samples from the same location on the same date was calculated with the following estimators:

Density of sample =
$$\frac{x}{f} \cdot \frac{s}{n}$$

where

States and the

Contraction of the

x = number of organisms within the microscopic fields (or aliquot analyzed)

s = volume of lab sample

V = total volume of lake water sampled

and

Mean density at specific location = $\frac{(d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_x)}{r_x}$

where

d = density of replicates

r = number of replicates

The standard error of the means of replicate samples (Snedecor and Cochran 1967) was calculated to indicate variation between replicates using the following estimator:

Standard error =
$$\frac{|D_1 - D_2|}{2}$$

science services division

(1)

(2)

(3)

where

 $D_1 = density$ in replicate 1 $D_2 = density$ in replicate 2

To evaluate temporal and spatial distributions of the phytoplankton, the following estimator was used to calculate each taxon's mean density by individual sampling periods for the site (all stations combined), specific contour, and area (experimental or control):

Mean density =
$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i}{n}$$

where

d_i = density for ith location n = number of locations sampled

The variation among phytoplankton densities at the sampling locations was determined by calculating standard errors of the contour means, the control and experimental area means, and the site means using the following estimator for each summary group:

Standard error =
$$\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i^2 - \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i\right)^2}{n}}{\frac{1}{n}}}$$

where

d_i = density for ith location (or ith replicate)
n = number of station locations sampled (or number
of replicates)

The formula assumed that selected stations, contours, and control and experimental areas were approximately equivalent to randomly selected stations, contours, and control and experimental areas.

(5)

 \square

(4)

2) Chlorophyll a Concentration

The concentration of chlorophyll <u>a</u> and phaeophytin <u>a</u> in each sample was derived using extinction values (spectrophotometer readings) for acidified and unacidified samples. Individual sample concentrations were calculated using equations provided by Strickland and Parsons (1972). Values were reported as micrograms per liter:

Chlorophyll a =
$$\frac{26.7 \ (665 - 665) \times v}{V \times l}$$
(6)

Phaeophytin a =
$$\frac{26.7 (1.7[665 - 665]) \times v}{\sqrt{3}}$$
 (7)

where

665_a = extinction at 665 nanometers after acidification 665_o = extinction at 665 nanometers before acidification v = volume of acetone used for extraction (milliliters) V = volume of water filtered (liters) L = cell path length (centimeters)

Equations 2 and 3 were used to calculate the mean concentration and standard error, respectively, at each location. Equations 4 and 5 were used to calculate the means and standard errors of the contours, control and experimental areas, and site.

3) Primary Productivity

Primary production was calculated using the following equation and was reported as milligrams of carbon assimilated per cubic meter during the incubation period:

$$Production = \frac{(cpm_L - cpm_D) \left(\frac{volume \text{ of bottle}}{volume \text{ filtered}}\right)}{Stock \text{ cpm}} . (1000) (IC) (1.06) (8)$$

where

Production = amount of carbon assimilated per cubic meter per unit time

cpm₁ = counts per minute of light bottle

science services division



cpm_D = counts per minute of dark bottle

Stock cpm = counts per minute determined from stock solution

The 1.06 in Equation 8 represents the factor accounting for the isotopic effect of carbon.

2. Zooplankton

Zooplankton, or invertebrate animal plankton, are at an intermediate stage in the food web; i.e., they feed upon phytoplankton or other zooplankton and are fed upon by larger organisms. Most zooplankton, like phytoplankton, cannot sustain mobility against water currents.

a. Field Sampling

A 12-centimeter-diameter Wisconsin net with 76-micrometer mesh net and length-to-mouth diameter ratio of 3:1 was used to sample zooplankton. An electronic flowmeter towed alongside the boat to determine towing speed was checked frequently via a readout in the boat cabin and was calibrated each month.

Duplicate microzooplankton samples were collected simultaneously by towing two nets obliquely for 2 to 4 minutes at a velocity of 1.0 to 1.5 meters per second. Four depth contours along the NMPW, NMPP, FITZ, and NMPE transects were sampled monthly during the day (Figure III-1 and Table III-1), and surface and bottom temperatures were recorded at the end of each tow.

Fifteen minutes after being fixed with a rose bengal stain/acid Lugol's solution, microzooplankton samples were preserved by adding buffered formalin to achieve a 10 percent formalin concentration.

Larger zooplankton (macrozooplankton) were collected once per month during the day (usually the second week) in conjunction with ichthyoplankton sampling by horizontally towing a 1-meter-diameter Hensen net having a 571micrometer mesh and a length:diameter ratio of 6:1. Two digital flowmeters mounted near the center of the net mouth provided volumetric data, and an electronic flowmeter determined towing velocity. The tows (5 minutes at a velocity of 1 meter per second) were at subsurface, mid-depth, and off-bottom at the locations indicated in Figure III-1 and Table III-1. At each sampling location, surface and bottom water temperatures were taken; if the difference between the two was more than 2°C, a mid-depth temperature was also taken.

b. Laboratory Processing

The two microzooplankton samples from each location were composited prior to laboratory processing. Two subsamples were removed from each composite sample for density analysis. The samples were mixed thoroughly. An aliquot was withdrawn using a wide-bore pipette and was placed in a Sedgwick-Rafter cell. All microzooplankton within five strips of each chamber for three Sedgwick-Rafter chambers (cells) were identified to species level whenever practical and were enumerated at 100X magnification. Densities were reported as number per cubic meter. Additional strips were counted if necessary to obtain a 200-organism minimum.

Macrozooplankton densities were determined using ichthyoplankton samples. After the ichthyoplankton in subsurface, mid-depth, and off-bottom samples had been analyzed, the three depth samples were composited into one sample for each location and the composited sample split in half using a modified Folsom splitter. Each fraction was analyzed within a gridded petri dish. Macrozooplankton were identified to species level whenever practical and data recorded as organisms per 1000 cubic meters.

c. Data Reduction

Individual taxon densities in each microzooplankton or macrozooplankton sample were calculated. Equation 2 (subsection A.l.c) was used to calculate the mean density for the two replicate microzooplankton samples collected at each location. Equation 3 (subsection A.l.c) was used to determine the standard error of the mean density of these replicates. The densities of each major group were obtained from the sums of the mean densities of each taxon within the group, while total densities (all taxa combined) were obtained by summing group densities.

Temporal and spatial distributions of zooplankton (either micro- or macrozooplankton) were determined by calculating contour, site, and experimental

and control area mean densities for individual sampling periods using Equation 4 (subsection A.1.c). To indicate variation among densities at these locations, standard errors were calculated using Equation 5 (subsection A.1.c).

3. Periphyton

For purposes of density estimation, periphyton were defined as the assemblage of algae growing on surfaces of submerged objects such as colonization slides. The species composition of this sessile community can provide important information relative to the quality of the aquatic environment. For purposes of biomass determination, periphyton were defined as organisms, including algae and smaller invertebrates, present on the surface of colonization slides.

a. Field Sampling

Periphyton were collected monthly in the vicinity of Nine Mile Point on Lake Ontario using 51.6-square-centimeter plexiglass slides. Collections from the artificial substrates were used to determine seasonal patterns, community composition, and spatial distribution of periphyton. Bottom samples were from five depth contours on the NMPW, NMPP, FITZ, and NMPE transects (Figure III-1 and Table III-1). In addition, samplers were suspended at depths of 2, 7, 12, and 17 feet along the 40-foot contour on the NMPW, NMPP, and FITZ transects. Four plexiglass slides for bottom periphyton samples and two slides for suspended periphyton were placed into position at each location each month and harvested approximately 30 days later. Bottom periphyton samples were collected from May through December, while suspended periphyton samples were collected from May through September. Periphyton samples were scraped from both sides of each substrate, placed in individual vials, fixed with 1 percent acid Lugol's solution, and preserved with 10 percent buffered formalin.

b. Laboratory Processing

Periphyton were identified and enumerated in a Sedgwick-Rafter cell at 200X magnification. Sample vials were inverted several times to gently homogenize the samples just before their transfer to the Sedgwick-Rafter cell. Randomly chosen fields were analyzed until 200 organisms had been counted. Density was reported as number of cells per square millimeter of substrate surface. To obtain biomass data, samples were dried at 105° C for 36 hours (or until a constant weight had been attained), then cooled in a desiccator for 2 hours before being weighed to the nearest 10^{-5} gram on an analytical balance. Then, the dried samples were heated for 0.5 hour in a muffle furnace at 500°C, cooled in a desiccator for 2 hours, and reweighed to the nearest 10^{-5} gram. The difference in weight represented the ash-free dry weight of periphyton (all species combined) per square decimeter.

c. Data Reduction

The density of each identified taxon was calculated using the following estimate:

Density (No./cm²) =
$$\frac{x}{f} \cdot \frac{s}{a}$$

where

and the second second

- x = number of specimens in each taxon
 within aliquot
- f = volume of aliquot enumerated
- s = volume of sample
- a = area of slide surface (51.6 cm²)

Total biomass was calculated using the following equation:

Fotal biomass
$$(mg/dm^2) = \left(\frac{\text{total ash-free dry weight}}{\text{area of slide (51.6 cm}^2)}\right) \cdot 100$$
 (10)

Mean densities and mean total biomass for each location were calculated using Equation 2 (subsection A.l.c). The density of each major group was obtained from the sums of the mean densities of the taxa within a group, and total density was obtained from the sums of the major groups.

Equation 3 (subsection A.l.c) was used to estimate the standard error of the mean of replicate suspended periphyton samples. Equation 5 was used to estimate the standard error of bottom periphyton.

science services division

(9)

ć i

Trends in temporal and spatial distribution were examined from the mean densities (using Equation 4) for specific contours, the entire site, and the experimental and control areas. The standard errors of these mean densities were calculated using Equation 5.

4. Benthic Invertebrates

a. Field Sampling

Benthic macroinvertebrates live part or all of their life cycle within or upon available substrates in the aquatic environment. These consumers, like zooplankton, are at an intermediate stage in the food web.

To sample benthos in the Nine Mile Point area, a scuba diver used a self-contained, 0.166-square-meter submersible suction sampler similar to that described by Gale and Thompson (1975).

Duplicate benthic samples were collected every 2 months from the substrate present at 20 stations (Figure III-1 and Table III-1), and attempts were made to sample the same substrate type from month to month. Bottom water temperature was taken at each station.

Samples were washed in the field on a U.S. Standard No. 30 sieve (590-micrometer mesh) and preserved with buffered formalin.

b. Laboratory Processing

In the laboratory, samples were sieved through a U.S. Standard No. 35 (500-micrometer mesh) to supplement field sieving and wash off the formalin. Then the benthic organisms were separated from the remaining debris and placed in vials of 70 percent ethanol. All benthic organisms were subsequently identified to the lowest practical taxon and enumerated using a dissecting microscope.

To obtain the wet-weight biomass, organisms were sorted by major group, blotted to remove excess alcohol, and weighed immediately to the nearest 0.1 milligram. Biomass was reported in grams per square meter. A group of only a few individuals in a sample was combined with the same group from the replicate sample or with individuals from stations along the same depth contour if necessary to obtain a sufficient number for weight determination.

III-14

Since all benthic organisms within each sample were analyzed, the density or biomass of benthic invertebrates in each sample was simply the number (or weight) of organisms divided by the cross-sectional area of the sampler.

The mean density or biomass and standard error at each station were derived using Equations 2 and 3 respectively (subsection A.l.c). The density of each major group was obtained from the sums of the mean densities of the taxa within the group, and group densities were summed to obtain total densities (all taxa combined).

To determine temporal and spatial distributions of the benthic invertebrates, mean densities and associated standard errors were calculated by sample periods for the entire site, various depth contours, and control and experimental areas using Equations 4 and 5 (subsection A.1.c).

5. Ichthyoplankton

Ichthyoplankton are the early developmental stages (eggs and larvae) of fish. The larvae of most fish species are planktonic (drifting or suspended in water), whereas the eggs are either planktonic or demersal (heavier than water).

a. Field Sampling

Ichthyoplankton samples were collected from subsurface, mid-depth, and off-bottom strata using the same sampling techniques and locations (Figure III-1 and Table III-1) described for macrozooplankton sampling (subsection 2). During each sampling period, one horizontal tow was made at each depth strata at all stations. Day samples were collected weekly from April through November and night samples weekly from June through mid-September. In December, samples were collected twice per month during the day.

b. Laboratory Processing

Ichthyoplankton samples were strained with a 300-micrometer screen to remove silt and preservative. The following definitions were established for life stages:

> Egg Prior to hatching Prolarvae From time of hatching until absorption of the yolk sac (yolk-sac larvae)

III**-**15

science services division

Postlarvae From time of yolk-sac absorption until acquisition of fin-ray complement, body form, and pigmentation of an adult (post yolk-sac larvae)

If there were more than 400 specimens per sample, the sample was divided into two aliquots with a modified Folsom plankton splitter (Lewis and Garriott 1970). The larger debris was removed prior to splitting. Splitting continued until about 200 fish eggs and larvae remained in each reduced portion. The whole sample or aliquot was examined and the ichthyoplankton separated by life stage. Each life stage was identifed to species level when possible and enumerated. Juveniles (the life stage following postlarvae) were not considered to be ichthyoplankton because they are free-swimming organisms.

c. Data Reduction

The density of each species collected at each depth strata was calculated using Equation 1 (subsection A.1.c) and reported as number of eggs, prolarvae, or postlarvae per 1000 cubic meters of water sampled. A mean density for each sampling station (subsurface, mid-depth, and off-bottom depth strata combined) and a mean density for each depth strata along the 20- and 40-foot depth contours were calculated using Equation 2. The station mean densities were averaged to obtain mean densities for the 20- and 40-foot depth contours and a site density. Since there was only one station each at the 60-, 80-, and 100-foot depth contours, the station mean density at these locations was equivalent to the contour mean density.

6. Fisheries

The fish population in the vicinity of Nine Mile Point includes both primary and secondary consumers. Fish represent the higher consumer levels in an aquatic ecosystem and provide a base for the sport and commercial fishing industries.

a. Field Sampling

To reduce the selectivity that is inherent in the use of only one gear, adult and juvenile fish populations in the Nine Mile Point study area were sampled with a variety of gear including experimental gill nets, bottom trawls, beach seines, and trap nets. The experimental gill nets were 8 feet deep and had six 25-foot-long panels. Mesh sizes of the panels ranged from 0.5 to 2.5 inches bar measure. Gill net sets were made twice monthly from April through December at 19 locations. The nets were set parallel to shore around sunrise or sunset and retrieved at approximately 12-hour intervals for 48 hours (Table III-1) except at the 20-foot depth contour where there were two 12-hour sets. As each net was retrieved, bottom water temperature was recorded.

The otter trawl had 1-inch mesh wings and body and a 30-foot foot rope, a 27-foot head rope, and a vertical mouth opening of about 6 feet; the cod end was equipped with a 0.25-inch mesh liner. Trawl samples were taken twice per month during both day and night at nine sampling locations. Day trawling began about sunrise; night trawling about sunset. Trawls were towed parallel to the shoreline along the respective depth contour at 1 meter per second for approximately 15 minutes. Bottom water temperatures were taken with each sample.

The 50-foot beach seine was 8 feet deep with a bag or pocket of 0.25inch mesh nylon centered between wings of the same mesh. A brail attached to each end of the net maintained maximum separation between the lead and float lines during the seine haul. A small boat was used to deploy the beach seine parallel to and 100 feet offshore; then, the wings were hauled simultaneously toward shore with ropes, forcing the catch into the bag. Surface water temperature was taken 100 feet offshore at each seine location. Beach seining was done twice each month during daylight hours from April through December (Table III-I). Shoreline samples were taken at the following four locations:

- NMPW transect: 10 yards west of a creek on an open, gradually sloping beach
- NMPP transect: approximately 10 yards west of the storm-drain discharge pipe by the Nine Mile Point Visitors Center within a small bay-like area
- FITZ transect: on the small pebble beach by the James A. FitzPatrick power station
- NMPE transect: at the base of Shore Oaks Road on an open beach

Trap nets were used in this study to supplement data collected with the gill nets, trawls, and seines. The box trap had 0.25-inch mesh nylon netting

science services division

supported on a 3-x 3-x 6-foot aluminum pipe frame. The box trap had 25-foot wings and a 50-foot center lead. The trap was deployed on the lake bottom at the 20-foot contour with the lead stretched perpendicular to the shoreline and the wings set at 45° angles to the lead. The trap nets were set twice monthly from April through December on transects NMPW, NMPP, FITZ, and NMPE (Table III-1) around sunset and were picked up shortly after sunrise.

After being removed from the nets, the fish were placed in labeled plastic bags and stored in a cooler for later processing. During the expected spawning season of several select species (alewife, rainbow smelt, white perch, yellow perch, and smallmouth bass), there were checks to see if either milt or eggs could be stripped from the gonads to indicate that spawning was progressing. All fish selected for analysis of stomach contents were injected with 10 percent formalin (through the body wall into the stomach and through the mouth into the pharynx) to abate gastric digestion.

b. Laboratory Processing

1) General Analyses

All fish were identified to the species level and enumerated. Total lengths (millimeters) and total weights (grams) were determined for a maximum of 40 individuals per species per catch. Three key species (white perch, yellow perch, and smallmouth bass) were further processed to determine age, stomach contents, and coefficient of maturity. Gonads from these key species as well as from rainbow smelt and alewife were removed, placed in Gilson's fluid, and used to estimate fecundity.

The sex and the stage of sexual maturity were determined for individuals of the three key species to supplement the age and coefficient-of-condition and maturity data.

2) Coefficients of Condition and Maturity

Coefficients of condition and maturity were calculated by sex for yellow perch, white perch, and smallmouth bass randomly subsampled from net catches. If available, 50 males and 50 females of each species were obtained each month from the experimental area (NMPP and FITZ) and from the control area (NMPW and NMPE). 3) Fecundity

honorusal

- Andrewski - A

the second the second

During the spring spawning season (April through June), there was an attempt to collect gonads from 25 gravid females of five species (alewife, rainbow smelt, yellow perch, white perch, and smallmouth bass) for estimating fecundity. Females of several different sizes were used so results would not be biased toward larger or smaller fish.

Fecundity, defined as the number of ripening ova in a female prior to spawning (Ricker 1971), was determined using a gravimetric procedure. The eggs (both immature and mature for alewife and white perch) within a subsample were counted and this number then multiplied by a factor representing the ratio between subsample weight and total gonad weight to estimate the total number of eggs in both ovaries.

Ova in the following size (diameter) classes were enumerated:

Alewife	0.5-0.8 mm	(and	0.2-0.4	mm)
White perch	0.5-0.9 mm	(and	0.2-0.4	mm)
Rainbow smelt	0.4-1.1 mm			
Smallmouth bass	1.2-2.5 mm			
Yellow perch	0.6-1.5 mm			

The percentage of the total number of ova in each of two size categories was determined by measuring the diameters of 75 randomly selected ova with an ocular micrometer.

4) Age

Fish selected for age analysis were distributed over the size classes present in the Lake Ontario population. Fifty fish were taken from samples collected in the area potentially affected by the thermal plumes of the two plants (transects NMPP and FITZ), while another 50 were from the transects farthest from the power stations (NMPW and NMPE). Scales were removed and analyzed. Scales of yellow perch and smallmouth bass were removed from the left side below the lateral line at the distal tip of the depressed pectoral fin (Lagler 1956). Scales of white perch were removed from the left side above the lateral line and below the gap between the spinous and softrayed dorsal fins (Mansueti 1960). To prepare the scales for analysis, wet mounts or cellulose acetate impressions were made. Annuli on the scales were identified and counted using a Tri-Simplex microprojector. All fish were considered to have been born on 1 January; therefore, fish caught between 1 January and the current year's annulus formation were aged as the number of annuli plus 1 year. After annulus formation for the current year, the age of the fish was equal to the number of annuli.

5) Stomach Contents

Knowledge of the food habits of fish is important in determining foodweb interrelationships among the fish and forage components of the aquatic ecosystem. Fifty fish of each key species (yellow perch, white perch, and smallmouth bass) were captured in gill nets during August 1978 at stations along the 15-foot contour for stomach-contents analysis. As in the age studies, half of the fish were obtained from the area near the power stations (NMPP and FITZ) and the other half from the two outside transects (NMPW and NMPE).

Stomach contents were teased out into a petri dish and the food items identified to the lowest practical taxon and enumerated. Quantitative data were used to determine each taxon's frequency and percentage with respect to total number of organisms counted. Qualitative estimates of stomach fullness and degree of digestion were also recorded for each fish examined. To more accurately represent each food item's importance, food items were "weighted" by multiplying the individual percentage volume of each food item by the percent stomach fullness of each individual stomach. Thus, a food organism representing 50 percent of the volume in a stomach would be rated 37.5 percent in a 75 percent full stomach (i.e., $0.50 \times 0.75 = 0.375$). Importance indices for each species were added and the food items' importance expressed as a percentage of the total food values in all stomachs.

c. Data Reduction

Catch data for the various gear were expressed as a catch-per-unit effort (C/f) based on the following definitions:

Beach seine Number of individuals per seine haul Trap net Number of individuals per overnight set

science services division

 $\prod_{i=1}^{n}$

III-20

Trawl Gill net Number of individuals per 15-minute tow Number of individuals per gill net set standardized to a 12-hour set

The gill net C/f, for example, was estimated as:

Gill net C/f =
$$\frac{(x_i) (12)}{T_1}$$
 (12)

where

. Vocar useral . Management . Secondaries

Name of Street, Street

Consisting Consisting Consisting Consisting Consisting Consisting Constrained Constrained

 x_i = number of fish caught in ith sample T₁ = duration of set in hours

Fecundity estimates for each fish were calculated using the equation:

Fecundity =
$$\frac{N \cdot W_1}{W_2}$$

where

N = number of ova in subsample
W₁ = weight of both right and left ovaries
W₂ = weight of subsample

Aged fish were grouped by area of capture (experimental or control) and by age class. Mean total length for each age class was calculated using the equation:

$$\overline{\mathbf{X}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_{i}}{N}$$

(14)

(13)

where

N = number of fish x_i = value of ith fish Coefficients of maturity for the three key species were expressed as the simple percentage of gonad weight to total body weight. Maturity values were grouped by sex (male or female), month, and location of capture (experimental or control area), and an average value was calculated using Equation 14.

Length and weight data for individuals of the three key species were grouped by sex (male or female), season (spring, summer, fall), and location of capture (experimental or control area). For each group, length-weight relationships were calculated from the logarithms (base 10) of the lengths and weights using the equation:

$$\log W = \log \alpha + \beta \log (TL)$$

where

W = weight in grams α and β = empirically derived constants TL = total length in millimeters

Condition factors (K) also were calculated for these same groups of fish using the equation:

$$K_{(TL)} = \frac{W \times 10^5}{TL^3}$$

where

W = weight in grams
TL = total length in millimeters

Equation 14 was used to average the condition factors of each group.

7. Water Quality and Thermal Profiles

The water quality sampling program was developed to monitor water quality in the vicinity of the two operating power plants. A 9-liter PVC Van Dorn water bottle was used to collect samples for general chemical analyses. For tasks such as coliform bacteria and biochemical oxygen demand (BOD), specialized techniques (described below) were used. Holding times, required preservatives, and analytical methods are indicated in Tables III-2 and III-3.

(15)

(16)

<u>___</u>

Table III-2

		Volume	<u>Coll</u>	ection		
Group	Parameter	Required (mg)	Container Material†	Preservative	Holding Time*	Analysis Locatio
• 111	Alkalinity	100	P.G	4°C	24 hr	Field
11.111	BOD-	1000	P.G	4°C	6 hr	Field
11.111	COD	50	P.G	H-SO.	7 davs	Dallas
1.11.111	Total solids	100	P.G	None	7 davs	Dallas
III	Total dissolved solids	100	P.G	4°C	7 days	Dallas
1.11.111	Total suspended solids	.100	P.G	4°C	7 davs	Dallas
III	Total volatile solids	100	P.G	4°C	7 davs	Dallas
11.111	Total Kieldahl nitrogen	500	P.G	4°C.H.SO.	24 hr	Dallas
11.111	Ammonia nitrogen	400	P.G	4°C.H.SO.	24 hr	Dallas
H.III -	Nitrate nitrogen	100	P.G	4°C.H.SO.	24 hr	Dallas
.н.нт	Total phosphorus	50	P.G	4°C	7 days	Dallas
.,, TIT	Color	50	PG	4°C	24 hr	Dallas
· II. III	Specific conductance	100	P.G	4 0	24 hr	Field
111	Total coliform bacteria	100		4°C	6 hr	Subcontract
111	Fecal coliform bacteria	100	6	4^^	6 hr	Subcontract
111	Organic nitrogen	500	P.6	-4°C.H-50	24 hr	Dallas
11.111	Orthophosphate	50	P.G	4°C	24 hr	Dallas
1 111	Sulfate	50	PG	4°C	7 days	Dallas
.,	Chloride	50	PG	None	7 days	Dallas
111	Aluminum	100**	P.G.	HNO	6 m0	Dallas
111	Cadmium	100**	PG	HNO 3	6 100	Dallas
1 111	Calcium	100**	P G	нюз	6 m/a	. Dallas
, T TTT	Chromium	100**	P.G	11103	6 m0	Dallas
·, ···	Copper	100**		11103 HNO -	6 m0	Dallar
111	Popullium	100**	F, G	¹¹¹⁰ 3.	6	Dallas
711	Iron	100**	,u	^{DMO} 3	6 70	Dallac
111	Load	100**	, G	1003	6 0	Dallas
111	Magnasium	300**	P,0	. ¹⁰⁰ 3	6 70	Dallas
111	Moneyny	100**	r,0	HNO3	12 4546	Dallas
111	Nickol	100**		HNO3	13 days	Dailas
111	Botaccium	100**	P,0	^{NNU} 3	5 m0	Dallas
111	Fotassium	100**	P.G	HNU3	6 mu	Dallas
1, 111 TTT	- Sodium ,	100**	P,6	1NU3	6 110	Dallas
111		500	P,6	HNU3	. 6 mo	
111	Phenois	500	. 6	1.0 g CuSo ₄	24 nr	Darias
III	Vanadium	100*	P,G	HNO3	6 mo -	Dallas
11,111	Silica	50	Р	4°C	7 days	Dallas
111	ABS ·	. 250	P,G	4°C	24 hr	Dallas
111	Arsenic	100**	P,G	HNO3	6 то	Dallas
III	Barium	100**	P,G	HNO3	δmo	Dallas
111	Carbon chloroform extract	60£	G	None	48 hr	Dallas
111	Cyanide	500	P,G	4°C,NaOH	24 hr	Dallas
111	Fluoride	300	P,6	4°C	7 days	Dallas
111	Mangànese	100	P,G	HNO 3	6 m0	Dallas
. 111	Selenium	100	P,G	HNO	6 mo	Dallas
111	Ferro- and ferricyanide	500	P,G	4°C,NaOH	24 hr	Dallas
in	Silver	100	P,G	HNO	6 mo	Dallas
11,111	Turbidity	100	P,G	4°C	7 days	Field
II	c0,	500	G	4°C	6 hr	Field
1, 111	Radioactivity	81	P.G	None	6 m0	Subcontract
1,11	DH	In site	1		1	Field
1.11	Temperature	Insitu	1		1	Field
1.11	Dissolved oxygen	Insitu	6	see Winkler	4 hr	Field
•	1	or 300	1	Method	1	1

Recommended Sampling and Preservative Methods and Analysis Locations for Water Quality Samples Collected in Vicinity of Nine Mile Point on Lake Ontario

Same and the second sec

- Company

The second particular fraction of the second particular second particular second particular second particular second s

III-23

science services division



Table III-3

Analytical Methods and Detection Limits for Selected Physicochemical Parameters

Parameter	Method	Reference*	Detection Limits
Temperature	Thermistor	SM 162	0.1°C
Dissolved oxygen	Polarographic probe (in situ) or titration	EPA p. 56 & 51	0.1 mg/l
Specific conductance (salinity)	Wheatstone bridge	SM 154	5 µmhos
Turbidity	Nephelometric	SM 163A	1 FTU
На	Electrometric (in situ)	SM 144A	0.01 units
Alkalinity	Electrometric titration	SM 102	0.1 mg/L
Total dissolved solids	Gravimetric (105°C)	SM 148B	l mg/l
Total suspended solids	Glass-fiber filter (103-105°C)	SM 148C	0.1 mg/L
Color	Automated (APHA)	SM 118	5 APHA
Carbon chloroformextract (CCE)	Gravimetric	SM 506	0.2 mg/L
Ammonia nitrogen	Automated (phenolate)	EPA p. 168	0.002 mg/L
Nitrate nitrogen	Automated (cadmium reduced)	EPA p. 201	0.02 mg/L
Nitrite nitrogen	Automated (diazo)	EPA p. 215	0.002 mg/L
Organic nitrogen	Manual (digestion distillation),	EPA p. 182	0.03 mg/2
· · ·	automated digestion phenolate	1 }	ŧ
Total inorganic phosphate	Digestion (acid) + automated	EPA p. 256	0.002 mg/l
Total phosphate	Digestion (persulfate) + automated	EPA 1. 256	0.002 mg/L
Sulfide	Automated	EPA p. 280	0.005 mg/l
Silica	Automated (molybdosilicate method)	EPA p. 281	0.05 mg/2
Biochemical oxygen demand	Polarographic probe	EPA p. 11	0.1 mg/t
Total coliform bacteria	Multiple tube fermentation	SM 408D	
Fecal coliform bacteria	Multiple tube fermentation	SM 408C	i,
Sulfates	Automated (barium, chloranilate)	EPA p. 279	0.2 mg/l
Chlorides	Titration (mercuric nitrate), automated	•	1
Hardness	EDTA titrimetric	SM 122B	0.1 mg/L
Surfactants	Methelene blue method	SM 159A	0.01 mg/l
Phenols	4-aminoantipyrine method	EPA p. 256	0.005 mg/L
Oil and grease	Trichloratrifluoroethane extraction	EPA p. 229	0.1 mg/l
Aluminum	Atomic absorption	SM 129A	0.001 mg/t
Beryllium	Atomic absorption	SM 123A	0.001 mg/L
Boron	Carmine method, automated	SM 107B	0.1 mg/l
Cadmium	Atomic absorption	SM 109Å	0.001 mg/2
Calcium	Atomic absorption	EPA p. 103	0.002 mg/L
Chromium	Atomic absorption	SM 117A	0.001 mg/2
Cobalt	Atomic absorption	SM 116A	0.001 mg/L
Copper	Atomic absorption	SM 119A	0.001 mg/t
Cyanice	Pyridine-pyrazaione method	SM 207C	0.005 mg/t
Fluoride T	lon selective electroge	EPA p. 61	0.04 mg/c
Tron	Atomic absorption	EPA p. 14/	0.001 mg/c
Marnesium	Atomic absorption	SM 1435	0.001 mg/c
Magnestun	Atomic absorption	SM 141D	0.0001 mg/2
Manganese Molvbdenum	Atomic absorption	5M 140A	0.001 mg/c
Nickel	Atomic absorption	FPA p. 141	0.03 mg/
Potassium	Flame emiceion	GM 147A	0.005 mg/v
Selenium	Atomic absorption	FDA D. 145	0.0003 mg/l
Sodium	Flame emission	SM 1534	0.0003 mg/0
Titanium	Atomic absorption	FPA p. 143	0.0007 mg/2
/Tin	Atomic absorption	EPA p. 143	0.001 mg/1
Vanadium	Atomic absorption	EPA p. 144	0.001 mg/t
Zinc	Atomic absorption	SM 165A	0.001 mg/L
Radioactivity	Cas-flow proportional counter, scin-	PMC	or corr mg, c
	tillation counter, and gamma spec.	NIC	
l			<u> </u>
* ASTM - Annual Book of ASTM Standar	de Part 31 Water American Society for Testine or	1 Managara milat	
"M Chandand Makkada fam aka mara	10, TALL JA WALDLE AMERICAN SOCIELY IVI IBOLIUK AN	d Materials, Phil	adelphia.
SM - Standard Methods for the Exami	ination of Water and Wastewater, 14th ed., 1976, AP	HA, AWWA, WPCF.	

EPA - Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes, 1976a, and various technical leaflets:

RMC - Radiation Management Corporation Analytical and Quality Control Procedures, RMC-TM-75-3, July 1976

science services division

-

Sampling regimes for the water quality tasks required different parameters and frequencies. For convenience, the sampling regimes were categorized into three groups.

a. Group I

Water samples were collected monthly at the 20- and 40-foot depth contours on the NMPW, FITZ, and NMPE transects and examined for the 11 parameters specified in Table III-2. Whole-water samples were collected from 0.5 meter below the surface in a 9-liter PVC Van Dorn water bottle, then dispensed into polyethylene containers and placed on ice. Temperature, dissolved oxygen (D.O.), and pH were determined <u>in situ</u> with a Yellow Springs Instruments (YSI) Model 57 D.O. meter or titration and an Instrumentation Laboratories (IL) Model 175 Portomatic pH meter (or equivalent). Except for radioactivity samples, which were analyzed by Radiation Management Corporation (RMC 1976), samples were sent via airfreight to Dallas and analyzed according to techniques described in Tables III-2 and III-3.

b. Group II

Samples were collected at the 20- and 60-foot depth contours of the NMPW, NMPP, and NMPE transects and were examined for the 16 parameters specified in Table III-2, as well as for chlorophyll <u>a</u> concentrations. Whole-water samples were collected from the 0.5-meter depth in a 9-liter PVC Van Dorn water bottle, then dispensed into polyethylene containers and placed on ice. Temperature, dissolved oxygen, conductivity, and pH were measured <u>in situ</u>. Free carbon dioxide was determined with standard titration techniques. Samples for BOD analysis were placed in sterilized glass BOD bottles, which were allowed to overflow at least three times their volume. The BOD samples were placed on ice in the dark, and incubation was begun within 6 hours. Turbidity was determined at the field lab with a Hach Model 2100A turbidimeter. Chlorophyll <u>a</u> samples were prepared according to methods described in subsection A.1.b, then frozen and shipped to Dallas, along with the remaining Group-II parameters for analyses (Table III-3). c. Group III

Water quality samples were collected monthly from April through December along the NMPP/FITZ transect. Whole-water samples were taken from 0.5 meter below the surface and 0.5 meter off the bottom at the 25- and 45-foot depth contours and analyzed for the 48 parameters listed in Table III-2. At each location, surface and bottom water temperatures were recorded and specific conductance measured in situ. For general water chemistry and analysis, six 1-liter polyethylene containers were filled and placed on ice. Samples for phenol determination were placed in a precleaned 500-milliliter glass container with a teflon-lined cap. Samples for BOD determination were collected as described for Group II, and water for coliform analysis was collected in sterilized glass bottles using a J-Z sampler. Incubation of coliform samples was initiated within 6 hours. For carbon chloroform extract (CCE) analysis, 60 liters of water was collected at each station and stored in glass containers. These samples were subsequently passed (for 48 hours) through a miniature CAM II-A sampler having a sample column packed with 70.0 grams of activated carbon. The activated-carbon samples were then shipped to Dallas for analysis according to the method in Table III-3.

d. Thermal Profiles

Three temperature profiles were made weekly during the day from April through December. Temperatures were recorded at 1-meter intervals from surface to bottom at the 100-foot depth contour of the NMPW, FITZ, and NMPE transects.

B. IN-PLANT STUDIES

Both the Nine Mile Point and James A. FitzPatrick power stations use once-through cooling-water systems to dissipate waste heat. In accord with the requirements of NRC's Environmental Technical Specifications, impingement rates were monitored three times a week at both power stations (Table III-4).

Planktonic organisms such as phytoplankton, zooplankton, and fish eggs and larvae pass through the screening devices and subsequently through the entire cooling-water system. This passive incorporation of planktonic organisms into a circulating water system is referred to as entrainment.

at Nine	Mile Point and	James	A. FitzPatrio	ck Power P	lants, La	ike Ontario, 1978
Task	Frequency*	Season	Location	Depth	Samples per Year**	Comments
impingement Nine Mile Point	Three times/week (hourly on Wed)	Jan-Dec	Traveling screens and bar racks	Entire water column	156	On Mondays and Fridays, a composite 24-hr sample is collected; on Wednesdays, sam- pling is hourly until 24 one-hr samples are obtained.
J.A. FitzPatrick	Three times/week (D/N on Wed)	Jan-Dec	Traveling screens and bar racks	Entire water column	156	On Mondays and Fridays, a composite 24-hr sample is collected; on Wednesdays, sep- arate day and night samples corresponding with sunrise and subset are taken.
ntrainment Nine Mile Point Ichthyoplankton	Semimonthly [†] (D)	Apr-Oct	Intake forebay	2 and 7.ft below sur- face	28	Intake samples taken by drift nets set in forebay just upcurrent from travel-
J.A. FitzPatrick Ichthyoplankton	Semimonthly (D/N)	Jan-Dec	Intake forebay	14 and 20 ft below surface	96	Intake samples taken by drift nets set in central area of intake
Zooplankton	Semimonthly (D/N)	Jan-Dec	Intake forebay	5 ft below surface	96	Zooplankton samples pumped from central area of intake.
/iability J.A. FitzPatrick Phytoplankton						
Chlorophyll <u>a</u>	Semimonthly (D/N) ^	Jan-Dec	Intake, discharge, 2° and 3° ∆T, and lake samples	5 ft below surface	1536	
Primary production (¹⁴ C)	Semimonthly (D/N)	Jan-Dec	Intake, discharge, 2° and 3° ∆T, and lake samples	5 ft below surface	1536	Lab processing for primary production in- volves a larger number of samples per yea because each sample is represented by one light bottle and one dark bottle.
Zooplankton	Semimonthly (D/N)	Jan-Dec	Intake, discharge, 2° and 3° ∆T, and lake samples	5 ft below surface	384	
Ichthyoplankton	Semimonthly (D/N)	Jan-Dec	Intake, discharge, 2° and 3° ∆T, and lake samples	14 and 20 ft below surface at intake; 5 ft below sur- face at dis- charge	384	

** Details on sampling requirements are presented in Section II of the SOP for the Nine Mile Point Ecological Monitoring Program.

[†]Semimonthly is defined as twice per month.

III-27

science services division

.

Entrainment of ichthyoplankton was monitored at the Nine Mile Point plant by collecting intake samples twice per month from April through October. At the James A. FitzPatrick plant, entrainment rates and percent mortality due to entrainment were documented twice per month during the entire year (Table III-4). Entrainment rates for zooplankton and ichthyoplankton were documented by determining the number of organisms in intake samples per volume of cooling water used.

To estimate the mortality of phytoplankton, zooplankton, and ichthyoplankton caused by entrainment through the James A. FitzPatrick plant, intake and discharge samples were collected from the same water mass and the percent mortality of the two then compared. To estimate mortality due to plume entrainment the percentage of dead organisms in samples from a simulated or the actual discharge plume was compared to the percent mortality in the intake samples (Table III-4).

1. Impingement

a. Field Sampling

Impingement was monitored concurrently at both power stations (Table III-4) for a 24-hour period on Monday, Wednesday, and Friday of each week from January through December. Monday and Friday samples at both stations were cummulative 24-hour samples; the collection baskets remained in sampling position until the end of the 24-hour period. Each Wednesday, impinged fish were collected at the end of each hour throughout the 24-hour period at Nine Mile Point and at the end of day and night photoperiods at James A. FitzPatrick. Impingement monitoring generally began at 0001 (military time) on each sampling day.

Just before the fish collection basket was placed into sampling position, the bar racks and traveling screens were cleaned and the debris and fish discarded. The collection basket, a large rectangular metal basket constructed of 1-inch stretch mesh hardware cloth and lined with 3/8-inch mesh nylon netting, was placed at the end of the screen washwater sluiceway where it dumps into the discharge canal. All fish and debris washed off the traveling screens were collected in the basket, and the fish were identified and enumerated to document impingement. Plant operational data were obtained for each sampling date to determine cooling-water flow rates, intake and discharge temperatures, and power production.

When impingement rates at either plant exceeded 20,000 fish per 24-hour period, impingement sampling was continued on a daily basis until the rate dropped below 20,000 fish per 24-hour period at the affected plant.*

b. Laboratory Processing

 \cap

J

. Semanting

- Andrew

A second s

All impinged fish were identified to species when possible and enumerated as soon as possible after collection. Total numbers and weights for each species and individual total lengths (millimeter) and weights (nearest 0.1 gram) for a maximum of 60 fish of each species from each day, night, or 24-hour sample (or for 10 individuals of each species collected in each hourly sample) were recorded. Unusual conditions (e.g., damaged individuals or presence of fish tags) were documented.

Impinged fish were used also for fecundity and age analysis. There was an attempt to remove gonads from 25 gravid females of five species (alewife, rainbow smelt, yellow perch, white perch, and smallmouth bass) during the spring spawning season (April through June) to estimate the fecundity of these fish. Gonads were selected and analyzed using the same procedure described for fecundity analysis of lake fish (subsection A.6.b).

Scales for age analysis were removed from 25 individuals of the two most abundant species collected during each season sampled during 1978: winter (January-March), spring (April-June), summer (July-September), and fall (October-December).

When alewives were selected, scales were removed from the left side below the lateral line and above the vent (Marcy 1969). When rainbow smelt were selected, scales were removed from the left side midway between the lateral line and dorsal fin, but from the area just posterior to the dorsal fin (Burbidge 1969, McKenzie 1958). Ages of threespine sticklebacks were determined using lengthfrequency data.

Age analysis was by the same procedure described for Lake Ontario fish (subsection A.6.b).

*NOTE: At the James A. FitzPatrick there is also a plan for additional impingement sampling to meet New York DEC requirements.
c. Data Reduction and Analysis

Data were tabulated to present impingement rates (number and weight) for each species as well as all species combined. Two estimation techniques were used to calculate monthly impingement from the Monday, Wednesday, and Friday catches:

No.
$$m = \frac{(x_n) (N)}{n}$$

where

No. m = estimated impingement for month (number or weight)

- x = total number (or weight) of species (or all species combined) collected during n sample days
- N = number of days in sample month

n = number of days sampled during sample month

and

No $\cdot_{mr} = \left(\frac{(x_n) (G)}{\sigma}\right) \cdot 1000$

where

No.mr = estimated number of fish impinged per 1000 cubic meters of cooling water used

- x_n = total number (or weight) of species (or all species combined) collected during n sample days
- G = total number of cubic meters of water taken
 into plant during sampling month
- g = total number of cubic meters of water taken into plant during days sampled

Annual impingement was estimated by summing the monthly impingement values calculated by Equation 17.

Occasionally, high debris loads inhibited the collection of all fish and debris impinged during a 24-hour sampling period. When this occurred, a volumetric subsampling technique was employed. The total catch (numbers and weight) was estimated using the formula:

No $\cdot_d = \frac{(x_n) \cdot y}{f}$

science services division

(18)

(17)

where

.

- Canada

- Constanting

Anderson and Andrewson

Nord	=	estimated	impingement	for	24-hour	period
------	---	-----------	-------------	-----	---------	--------

- x_n = total number (or weight) of species (or all species combined) within subsample
- f = volume of subsample
- V = volume of total 24-hour catch

A concerted effort was made to obtain a subsample of at least 25 percent of the total catch.

Age composition data were grouped by season of capture and by age class. Mean total lengths by age class and season were calculated using Equation 14 (subsection A.6.c).

Fecundity was calculated using the same procedure that was used for Lake Ontario fish (Equation 13, subsection A.6.c).

2. Entrainment/Viability

a. Nine Mile Point

Sampling within the Nine Mile Point plant documented entrainment of ichthyoplankton. Twice per month from April through October, two samples were collected during the day from the intake forebay at the Nine Mile Point (Unit 1) plant (Table III-4) by lowering two 0.5-meter-diameter 571-micrometer mesh plankton nets simultaneously into the center forebay and setting them at depths of 2 and 7 feet. The stationary nets were set for 15 to 30 minutes, depending on how many pumps were running. The volume of water sampled was determined with a center-mounted digital flowmeter. The samples were preserved in 5 percent buffered formalin. Lab processing and data analysis techniques were the same as those used for Lake Ontario ichthyoplankton samples (subsection A.5).

b. James A. FitzPatrick

At the James A. FitzPatrick plant, entrainment and viability samples were collected twice monthly from January through December. Sampling involved all three major components of the planktonic biota in Lake Ontario: ichthyoplankton (fish eggs and larvae), zooplankton, and phytoplankton (Table III-4). LE.

1) Ichthyoplankton

a) Field Sampling

To document entrainment rates for ichthyoplankton at the James A. FitzPatrick plant, day and night samples were collected from the intake forebay twice each month (Table III-4) by simultaneously lowering two 0.5-meterdiameter plankton nets (571-micrometer mesh) into the common forebay area. The metered nets were set for about 5 to 15 minutes approximately 14 and 20 feet below the water surface. Upon retrieval, the samples were processed first as viability samples (see below), then preserved with formalin and processed later for entrainment data. For entrainment, all ichthyoplankton were identified and enumerated by life stage using procedures described in subsection A.5.b.

To estimate mortality due to plant entrainment, the percentage of dead eggs and larvae in intake and discharge samples were compared. To insure that the same water mass was sampled at both the intake and discharge, a calculated time lag (Table III-5) was used between discharge and intake sampling. Discharge samples (two from day and two from night) were taken by pumping water with a 750-gallon-per-minute centrifugal pump for about 5 minutes from a depth of 5 feet. After the pumped samples were filtered through a 571-micrometer mesh net, they were held at the ambient discharge temperature for a period comparable to the travel time required for discharge water to flow from the point of collection to the discharge outlet in the lake (Table III-5). The samples then were diluted with filtered intake water to simulate the temperature change experienced by ichthyoplankton traveling from the discharge outlet to the 2°F isotherm in the plume (Figure III-2). The samples were then processed to determine the percentage of live and dead eggs and larvae.

Table III-5

Time Required for Mass of Cooling Water To Flow from Intake Forebay to Discharge Aftbay and from Aftbay to Lake Ontario Discharge Structure

No. of	Approximate 1	Travel Time (minutes)
Water Pumps Operating	Intake Forebay to Discharge Aftbay	Discharge Aftbay to Lake Discharge Structure
1	9-12	18
2	5-6	9
3	3-4	6

III-32



Figure III-2. Serial Dilution Sequence Used To Simulate Temperature Reduction in Thermal Plume from Discharge Outlet to 2°F Isotherm

Second States and St

and the second se

To estimate mortality due to entrainment of Lake Ontario ichthyoplankton in the thermal plume, the percentage of dead eggs and larvae in simulated or actual plume samples was compared with mortality in the intake samples. Actual lake plume samples were collected from a depth of 5 feet by towing two 0.5-meterdiameter 571-micrometer mesh nets from the visible boil area above the discharge structure through the plume toward the 2°F isotherm. Sampling duration and tow velocity were similar to those during intake sampling. Two replicate plume simulation samples were obtained by collecting intake samples as previously described and simulating increasing and decreasing temperatures within the plume by adding filtered discharge water and then ambient filtered intake water (see Appendix II and Table II-7, LMS 1977).

b) Laboratory Processing

Viability samples were examined under a dissecting microscope soon after being collected. Dead eggs and larvae were removed, identified, enumerated, and preserved in 10 percent buffered formalin in a labeled vial. Live eggs and larvae were placed in a separate vial, preserved, and later identified and enumerated. Fish eggs were considered dead if they were opaque, had disrupted membrane structure or obvious surface abrasions, or were infected with fungus. The live/dead status of fish larvae was based primarily on movements, but larvae infected with fungus or exhibiting surface abrasions also were considered to be dead.

- 2) Zooplankton
 - a) Field Sampling

Zooplankton samples for documenting entrainment during the day and night were collected from the intake forebay twice each month (Table III-4). Replicate zooplankton samples were pumped from approximately 5 feet below the surface of the forebay into a 76-micrometer mesh plankton net suspended in a barrel of ambient water. The pump was calibrated before each sampling period, and sufficient water was pumped to yield a concentration of approximately 200 zooplankton per counting chamber (Davies and Jensen 1974). The net contents were washed into a incubation container, held in a water bath at ambient intake temperature for 8 to 10 hours, and then used as both viability and entrainment samples. Densities were determined with lab techniques identical to those used for lake zooplankton samples (subsection A.2.b).

Discharge aftbay samples were collected using the same procedures previously described for intake samples, and intake and discharge samples were compared to estimate mortality due to plant entrainment. To insure that the intake and discharge samples came from the same mass of water, the replicate discharge samples were collected after the proper lag time (Table III-5). After the discharge samples were collected, they were held at the discharge water temperature to simulate travel time to the discharge structure in Lake Ontario; then, to lower the temperature of the zooplankton samples to lake ambient, the samples were diluted with filtered intake water (Figure III-2). The samples were transferred to incubation chambers and held at ambient intake temperature for 8 to 10 hours before live/dead counts were made.

Procedures for measuring the effects of plume entrainment on zooplankton were similar to those used for ichthyoplankton (see Appendix II and Table II-5, LMS 1977).

b) Laboratory Processing

After the 8- to 10-hour incubation period, the viability sample was carefully washed into a 250-milliliter graduated beaker and uniformly mixed. A 1-milliliter aliquot was withdrawn with a wide-bore pipette and placed in a clean Sedgwick-Rafter cell. All nonmotile organisms in the chamber were identified to major taxonomic groups and counted using a Whipple grid and 100X magnification. Motility was defined as the ability of zooplankton to show any movement or activity whatsoever (e.g., appendicular and visceral movements). After the nonmotile organisms were counted, the Sedgwick-Rafter cell was placed on a hot plate for 5 minutes at 65°C to heat-kill all live organisms. Then, the entire chamber was examined again and all zooplankton identified to major taxonomic groups and counted. Live/dead counts from the two replicate samples at each location were used to calculate a mean percent mortality.

3) Phytoplankton

, ,

a) Field Sampling

The effect of entrainment on the phytoplankton community was determined by examining chlorophyll <u>a</u> concentrations and primary production rates (¹⁴C method) in the intake forebay, the discharge aftbay, the 3°F mixing zone in Lake Ontario near the diffuser discharge, and ambient Lake Ontario waters near the 2°F isotherm (or 3° and 2°F simulation samples). Phytoplankton viability samples were collected twice per month both day and night (Table III-4).

At the intake forebay, two water samples were collected with a pump from a depth of about 5 feet. From each sample, four 2-liter aliquots were withdrawn for chlorophyll <u>a</u> determinations. The four chlorophyll <u>a</u> samples were placed inside a black plastic bag and held at ambient temperature for temporary storage, then transferred to the lab for further processing. For primary production measurements, four sets of BOD bottles (one light and one dark bottle per set) were filled and each bottle allowed to overflow at least once its volume before being capped. These light and dark bottles were stored temporarily in a cool, dark area, then transported to the lab for additional processing.

After the appropriate lag time (Table III-5), two discharge aftbay samples were pumped from approximately 5 feet below the water surface. The two discharge samples were held at the discharge water temperature for 6 to 18 minutes to simulate the travel time to the discharge structure. Then, both samples were placed in an ice bath until their temperatures approached to within $3^{\circ}F$ of the intake (ambient) water temperature; at that time, four 2-liter aliquots were removed from each sample for chlorophyll <u>a</u> analysis and four sets of light/dark bottles were prepared using procedures identical to those used for the intake samples. To determine the effect of plume entrainment, samples were collected from the 3°F and 2°F isotherms in the discharge area of the lake or thermal plume conditions were simulated. Lake samples were obtained by pumping the required water from 5 feet below the surface and prepared in the same manner used for intake samples. For a simulation sample, approximately 30 liters of unfiltered intake water was mixed with 2.5 liters of discharge water (at prevailing discharge temperature) using a serial dilution scheme similar to that used for zooplankton simulation samples (LMS 1977).

b) Laboratory Processing

The light and dark bottles for primary production were brought into the laboratory within 2 hours of collection. These samples were injected immediately with 1 milliliter of $NaH^{14}CO_3$ (5 microcuries per milliliter) and placed in an incubator at ambient (intake) temperature under fluorescent lighting (about 200 foot-candles). Two sets (one light and one dark bottle per set) from each sampling location (intake, discharge, plume, simulation) were removed after 7, 24, 48, and 72 hours' incubation and processed according to the methods described in subsection A.1.b. Primary production was reported as milligrams of carbon assimilated per cubic meter during the incubation period (Equation 8, subsection A.1.c).

The chlorophyll <u>a</u> samples were incubated with the productivity samples. Two 2-liter samples per location were removed after the 7, 24, 48, and 72 hours' incubation and filtered using the same techniques described for Lake Ontario samples (subsection A.1.b). Chlorophyll <u>a</u> and phaeophytin <u>a</u> concentrations were determined spectrophotometrically following laboratory and data reduction procedures described in subsection A.1.b and A.1.c.

SECTION IV

A DESCRIPTION OF A DESC

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION - LAKE ONTARIO STUDIES

Two nuclear electric generating plants, Nine Mile Point Unit I and James A. FitzPatrick, are located on the New York shoreline of southeastern Lake Ontario on a promontory called Nine Mile Point. Both plants withdraw cooling water from the lake for once-through cooling systems and discharge into the lake.

This section presents results of ecological studies conducted in the vicinity of Nine Mile Point during 1978 to monitor the lake ecosystem in order to detect potential plant influences, if any, and assess their importance. The 1978 results represent the most recent ecological data available from a continuing program that has been administered by the utilities operating the stations - Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation and the Power Authority of the State of New York.

The Lake Ontario monitoring program was designed to describe the composition and relative abundance, both spatially and temporally, of the major components of the aquatic biota, including phytoplankton, zooplankton, periphyton, benthic invertebrates, and fish. The program also monitored water quality in the area.

The study has two major objectives:

- Monitor the aquatic ecosystem in the vicinity of the two plants following guidelines established by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission in the Environmental Technical Specifications for the plants
- Compare abundance, species composition, and distribution of aquatic biota in the vicinity of Nine Mile Point, especially in the area immediately adjacent to the power stations (the experimental area) and in areas farther away from the stations (the control area) that usually are not influenced by plant operations.

A. PHYTOPLANKTON

Phytoplankton, which are minute aquatic plants and the primary food source for higher levels of organisms in an aquatic ecosystem, use the sun as an energy source for biochemically incorporating carbon needed for respiration and reproduction.

1. Phytoplankton Densities

In this study, cell density estimates for species composition and temporal and spatial distribution were used to describe the phytoplankton community. Chlorophyll <u>a</u> concentrations and rates of carbon assimulation (utilizing radioactive carbon⁻¹⁴ tracer methods) were used as an estimate of phytoplankton biomass and productivity.

a. Species Composition

Changes in species composition may indicate changes in those physical and chemical factors that affect the composition of the phytoplankton community (Schelske et al 1971). In the vicinity of Nine Mile Point, 223 phytoplankton taxa were observed from April through December 1978 (Appendix Table A-1), however only 51 of these taxa comprised 2 percent or more of th total number of phytoplankton collected during any sampling month (Table IV-1). The most abundant taxa during the 9-month sampling period (Table IV-1) were <u>Microcystis</u> sp. (a blue-green alga) and <u>Rhodomonas minuta</u> (a phytoflagellate). Although all major phytoplankton divisions were observed, the majority of the taxa were blue-green algae (Cyanophyta), green algae (Chlorophyta), and diatoms (Bacillariophyta-Centric and Pennate combined); these three divisions were represented by 21, 107, and 47 taxa, respectively.

Several taxa of nuisance algae were identified throughout the study, but none were at levels high enough to create nuisance problems. <u>Cladophora</u>, a filamentous green alga capable of producing nuisance blooms, was not encountered in phytoplankton samples during 1978. Although it was observed in nearshore waters by divers during benthic sampling, <u>Cladophora</u> did not exhibit extensive large mats of growth along the shoreline as it has in previous years (Mantai 1974). · · · · ·

Monthly Occurrence and Relative Abundance of the More Abundant Phytoplankton Collected in Whole Water Samples in Vicinity of Nine Mile Point, April-December 1978

Таха	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct /	Nov	Dec	Annual Mean (%)
Cyanophyta										
Chroococcales Appanothece sp				T*		14				1 [.]
Gomphosphaeria aponina	T					14	10		1	i
Gomphosphaeria lacustris				Т			27	4		4
Microcystis sp.	1	7	7	24	47	-14	14	17		18
Oscillatoriales			•				••			
Lyngbya contorta		т	т		т	11	2	1	2	1
<u>Oscillatoria</u> sp.	4	ś	5	10	3	9	1	ź	22	5
Nostocales							~	-		
Anabaena sn.		1	I T	Ť	2	4	Ť	9	т	2
Aphanizomenon flos-aquae				2	ī	Ī.	i	6	2	2
Chlorophyta										
Chlamydomonas sp.	2	2	1	1	1	1	т	1	1	ı
Chlorococcales			-	_		-		_		-
Ankistrodesmus falcatus	I T	T	T	1	1	Ť	т	ł	3	1 T.
Coelastrum microporum	•	•	i	ż	2	3	4	i	Ť	2
Dictyosphaerium sp.	Ţ	T	,	-	2		•	,	•	Ţ
Occystis sp.	,	1	2	Ť	ζ τ	ſ	2	1	2	i
Pediastrum boryanum		1	Ţ	2	2	!	1		1	1
<u>Pediastrum duplex</u> Scenedesmus ecornis	2	1	1	2	3	لم ا	T	т	Ţ	1
Scenedesmus quadricauda	5	i	i	4	i	1	i	i	ī	1.
Scenedesmus sp.	T	T	1	2	Ţ	Т	Ţ	т	2	Ţ
Chlorococcales unid.		۱	8.	8	2	т	1	т		2 .
Ulotrichales			•	-	-	•	•	•		-
Dedogoniales		4					•			1
Oedogonium sp.		3	т		т	4	1	6	1	2
Chlorophyta unid.				•	1	т				1
Rhizochloridales										
Stipitococcus sp.			13	1	4	Т		т	т	3 🗤
Chrysophyta Chrysoponadales										
Chrysochromulina parva	2	T	т	3	Ť	, T	т	т		. T
Dinobryon sociale			6	•	-	Ţ			-	ļ
Monosigales	I		2			'			•	I
Stelexomonas dichotoma	, 1	т							6	т
Bacillariopnyta-Centric Eupodiscales										
Cyclotella sp.	Ť	. 4	T	. T			Τ.	. T	Т	1
Melosira islandica	1	2	1	+		a .		+	3	ļ
Stephanodiscus astraea	3	Ť		· · ·		· T		ŀ	1	Ť
Stephanodiscus binderana		_	4	_		Ť	· _		2	1
Eupodiscales unid	48 T	16	7	T 5	т	Ţ	Ť	T	13	2
Bacillariophyta-Pennate	,	ιν,	,	5	. •			•	15	•
Fragilariales	4		2			+	,	-	,	2
Diatoma tenue	3	21	2		т	ł	÷	ŕ	-4	4
Fragilaria capucina			2		_	_				Ţ
Fragilaria sp.	1 T	2	10	Ť	T.	2	3	1	2	3
Cryptophyta	,	Ŀ	•	•		•		•	•	•
Cryptomonadales Chroomonas	+	Ŧ	-			•	,	+		
Cryptomonas marssonii	÷	'	Ť	4 T	r T	1	2	10	3	1 3
Cryptomonas sp.	1	1	ż	ż	3	2	2	3	ĩ	2
Cryptomonadales unid	15 T	5 T	3 T	8	3	2	6 7	23	4 T	8
Unidentified alga	Ť	. 1	1	1	·	1	ť	ť	4	1
Density (No./mg)	1016.1	4029.2	4168.6	1206.7	4970.1	1899.8	3801.3	5357.9	1490.3	3104.4

*T = <0.5%.

Concession of the second

Anna mana

- -----

antitica film

and a second second

acceleration of the second

- American - Antonio - American - Ameri American - Amer

a and the second

Į

IV-3

b. Temporal Distribution

Total phytoplankton cell densities fluctuated throughout the 1978 sampling season (Table IV-2). Phytoplankton cell densities were lowest in April and highest in November. A late spring peak (total cell densities of 4000-4200 per milliliter) was observed in May and June and a late summer peak (nearly 5000 cells per milliliter) in August. A total cell density of more than 5300 cells per milliliter was observed in November.

The three most abundant groups in the vicinity of the Nine Mile Point and James A. FitzPatrick plants during 1978 were blue-green algae, which accounted for about 39 percent of the algae collected, and green algae and diatoms, which accounted for 20 percent each (Appendix Tables A-2 through A-5). Phytoflagellates (Cryptophyta) were fourth in abundance (Appendix Table A-6).

Diatoms were dominant during April, May, June, and December when water temperatures were low (Table IV-2). Blue-green algae dominated the community from July through November; during July through October, the greens were second in abundance. These two groups typically peak during warmer-water periods. Phytoflagellates (Cryptophyta) were second in abundance during April and codominated with the blue-green algae during November. Other phytoplankton divisions collected included the Euglenophyta, Chrysophyta, Xanthophyta, and Pyrrhophyta (dinoflagellates). Densities of these groups were low throughout the study period (Table IV-2 and Section I-A, pages I-A 1 through I-A 20 of the 1978 Data Report prepared by Texas Instruments Incorporated 1979).

The presence of a filamentous red alga, <u>Batrachospermum</u> sp. (Rhodophyta) in April was atypical. This genus is usually found within cool, flowing streams rather than in open waters of a lake (Hynes 1972) and perhaps it was washed into the lake by spring runoff.

c. Spatial Distribution

There were no apparent spatial trends among sampling stations within each sampling month for either individual divisions or total phytoplankton densities, nor were there specific trends among depth contours (Appendix Tables A-2 through A-7). In addition, there were no apparent differences of monthly \int

5

1

 $\hat{\Box}$

IV-4

Concernance and the second

Mean Density and Relative Abundance^{*} of Major Phytoplankton Groups Collected in Surface Samples, and Associated Chlorophyll <u>a</u> Concentrations and Primary Production Rates, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, April-December, 1978

	A	or**	Ma	v	Jun	1	Jul		Aug		Sep)	0ct		No	,	Dec		Annua Mea	an '
Division	No./me	x	No./me	%	No./ml	%	No./me	*	No./me	x	No./mt	%	No./me	x	No./me	%	No./mæ	x	No./me	x
Cyanophyta	55.0	5.4	662.3	16.4	643.7	15.4	494.4	41.0	2795.6	56.2	1249.1	65.7	2476.1	65.1	2097.Ò	39,1	410.3	27.5	1209.3	38.9
Chlorophyta	96.7	9.5	860.1	21.3	913.6	21.9	372.5	30.9	1437.6	28.9	384.7	20.2 [.]	661.5	17.4	706.4	13.2	259.7	17.4	632.5	20.4
Euglenophyta	1.6	0.2	1.4	<0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	<0.1
Chrysophyta	31.6	3.1	42.7	1.1	378.1	9.1	42.9	3.6	12.7	0.3	14.1	0.7	1.7	<0.1	22.5	0.4	89.1	6.0	70.6	2.3
Xanthophyta	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	532.9	12.8	7.1	0.6	204.9	4.1	3.9	0.2	14.0	0.4	12.9	0.2	3.0	0.2	86.5	2.8
Bacillariophyta	626.5	.61.7	20 96 .7	:52.0	1401.0	33.6	75.3	6.2	54.9	1.1	123.6	6.5	233.4	6.1	483.2	9.0	528.1	35.4	624.7	20.1
Pyrrhophyta-Dinophycea	e 14.8	1:5	41.3	1.0	9.7	0.2	0.8	<u><</u> 0.1	11.5	0.3	12.2	0.6	2.1	<0.1	8.4	0.2	7.4	0.5	12.0	0.4
Cryptophyta	176.1	17.3	277.9	6.9	252.3	6.1	199.0	16,5	412.4	8.3	96.4	5.1	398.9	10.5	2011.1	37.5	127.5	8.6	439.1	14.1
Unidentified algae	0.8	< 0.1	46.8	1.2	37.3	0.9	14.7	1.2	40.5	0.8	15.8	0.8	13.6	0.4	16.4	0.3	65.2	4.4	27.9	0.9
Total density	1016.1		4029.2		4168.6		1206.7		4970.1		1899.8		3801.3		5357.9		1490.3		3104.4	
Chlorophyll <u>a</u> (mg/2)	3.01		8,69		9.08		2.29		5.01		0.73		2.34	ł	4.07	,	4.98		4.47	,
Primary production (mgC/m ³ /4 hr)	9.63	۱.	18.58		21.86		8.53		19.78		1.06	•	7.69)	18.26	;	9.07		12.72	?

*Mean density based on 32 samples per sampling date, and relative abundance equals major group density divided by total density times 100.

**The occurrence of Rbodophyta (red algae) during April is discussed in the text (Section IV A l.b.). <u>Batrachospermum</u> sp comprised of 13.0 cells/m2 approximately 1.3% of the monthly density.

IV-5

total phytoplankton among the control (transects NMPW and NMPE) and experimental areas (transects NMPP and FITZ). Turbulence is probably the most important condition influencing short-term variations, and it tends to negate physical and chemical factors that are necessary for spatial differences to develop within individual sampling periods.

Observation of annual mean data for all depth contours combined revealed no apparent differences between the control and experimental areas, but comparison of individual transect means for total phytoplankton cell densities suggested a west to east gradient; that is, cell densities at NMPW and NMPP transects were approximately 30 percent higher than the FITZ and NMPE transects. This west-to-east density gradient was not apparent in monthly samples. A gradient with respect to depth contours was observed in surface samples, showing the density at the 10- and 20-foot contours was higher than the density at the 40- and 60-foot contours based on annual mean values (Appendix Table A-7).

Spatial distribution data for the total phytoplankton community at depths equivalent to the 50, 25, and 1 percent light penetration levels along the 40-foot depth contour indicated a general trend of equally abundant densities at the three light penetration levels (Appendix A-7).

2. Chlorophyll a and Phaeophytin a

a. Temporal Distribution

Chlorophyll <u>a</u> and phaeophytin <u>a</u> concentrations are presented in Appendix Tables A-8 and A-9.

Highest monthly averages for chlorophyll <u>a</u> concentration occurred during May and June, coinciding with the late spring peak in cell densities (Table IV-2). A smaller late-fall peak in chlorophyll <u>a</u> was observed in November when cell densities peaked. The lower than expected chlorophyll <u>a</u> values were probably a result of peak phaeophytin values in November. Lowest chlorophyll <u>a</u> concentrations occurred in September when densities were also low. Generally, phytoplankton cell densities can be directly correlated to chlorophyll <u>a</u> concentrations; however, during certain periods when the chlorophyll <u>a</u> degradation product (phaeophytin <u>a</u>) is present, the determination of

the chlorophyll <u>a</u> concentrations may be affected because phaeophytin <u>a</u> is spectrophotometrically inactive. The monthly mean phaeophytin <u>a</u> concentrations were generally low throughout the study, but during November high phaeophytin concentrations were found. These results support the low chlorophyll <u>a</u> concentrations in relation to high cell density which occurred in November.

Chlorophyll <u>a</u>/phaeophytin <u>a</u> concentrations were surveyed with water quality collections semimonthly at the 20- and 60-foot depth contours. Throughout the study, trends in chlorophyll <u>a</u> concentrations at the 20- and 60-foot contours were similar to trends observed in concurrent lake phytoplankton samples (Appendix Table G-3). Peaks in chlorophyll <u>a</u> concentrations in May and June water quality samples were similar to those in lake samples collected with phytoplankton. Phaeophytin <u>a</u> concentrations at the 20- and 60-foot water quality stations were low throughout the study.

b. Spatial Distribution

and a second second

A Contractor and Contractor

mention manufacture metaling

- Andrews

And the second

Part of the second s

There were no distinct spatial distribution differences for chlorophyll <u>a</u> or phaeophytin <u>a</u> concentrations among sampling stations within a monthly sampling period (Appendix Tables A-8 and A-9). From the 10- out to the 60-foot depth contours, chlorophyll <u>a</u> concentrations slightly decreased (based on an annual mean). There were no overall differences among control and experimental transects for chlorophyll <u>a</u> and phaeophytin <u>a</u> (Appendix Tables A-8 and A-9).

Sampling at the 50, 25, and 1 percent light-transmittance levels showed that chlorophyll <u>a</u> concentrations were generally higher at the 50 percent level and lowest at the 25 percent level. Phaeophytin <u>a</u> values showed a distinct trend; based on the annual mean concentrations, phaeophytin <u>a</u> concentrations were higher at the 25 percent level than at the 50 or 1 percent levels.

3. Primary Production

a. Temporal Distribution

Primary productivity is a measure of the amount of carbon assimilated per unit of time by the phytoplankton community. Rates of productivity for lake samples were highest in June and lowest in September (Appendix Table A-10). Monthly primary productivity rates followed the same seasonal trend that total phytoplankton cell densities exhibited during 1978. However, primary productivity rates frequently do not follow cell density trends because productivity rates will respond to short-term effects that may not produce a change in cell densities. Results of the primary production studies conducted by Vollenweider et al (1974) on Lake Ontario near Oswego support this year's results.

b. Spatial Distribution

Primary productivity values along the depth contours were lowest at the 60-foot depth contour and highest at the 20-foot contour (Appendix Table A-10). There were no consistent trends in productivity among stations within each monthly sampling, nor trends among stations based on annual means. There were also no consistent differences in productivity rates among experimental and control transects. Productivity rates were higher at the 50 percent lighttransmittance level than at the 25 and 1 percent levels, and rates at the latter two levels were similar.

The overall results indicate that there were no discernable temporal or spatial patterns of rates of carbon assimilation during the 1978 study in the Nine Mile Point vicinity.

4. Overview of Year-to-Year Results

Phytoplankton samples have been collected in the vicinity of Nine Mile Point since 1973 to document species composition, temporal and spatial distribution, chlorophyll <u>a</u> concentrations, and primary production (¹⁴C tracer method). Species composition has been similar from year to year, and the number of taxa has ranged between 223 and 254. The majority of the taxa were Chlorophyta (green algae), followed by Bacillariophyta (diatoms), and Cyanophyta (blue-green algae). Other taxa included representatives of 6 Cryptophyta, Pyrrhophyta, Euglenophyta, Xanthophyta, Chrysophyta, and Rhodophyta.

1

Total phytoplankton cell densities usually increased in April and exhibited a spring pulse (generally in May) and a large late-summer pulse in August. In addition to similar temporal patterns among years, total phytoplankton cell densities were similar from year to year. The diatoms generally dominated during spring, while the combination of green and blue-green algae was characteristically most abundant during the summer pulse. The phytoflagellates were present during most of the year, but were usually more abundant during fall. Based on annual mean densities, spatial distribution trends were similar from year to year. Total phytoplankton cell densities decreased from west to east, and it was generally noted that densities decreased from the l0-foot to the 60-foot depth contours.

Chlorophyll <u>a</u> and phaeophytin <u>a</u> concentrations have been measured since 1973. Chlorophyll <u>a</u> showed a similar temporal distribution each year with peaks in late spring and late summer. However, no consistent spatial trends were observed from year to year. Phaeophytin <u>a</u> concentrations were generally low throughout all of the years.

Primary production was variable within a given year and from year to year. Temporal distribution generally followed total phytoplankton cell density.

B. ZOOPLANKTON

in the second second

and the second

- Account - Account - Account - Account - Account - Account

The zooplankton community in the vicinity of Nine Mile Point was sampled using two different types of gear: a 12-centimeter-diameter Wisconsin net with 76-micrometer mesh to sample microzooplankton (smaller zooplankton); and a 1-meter-diameter Hensen net with 571-micrometer mesh to sample macrozooplankton (larger zooplankton). Micro- and macrozooplankton data are presented independently.

1. Microzooplankton

The microzooplankton community is composed of protozoans, rotifers, small microcrustaceans, and early life stages of macrozooplankters. For this study, microzooplankton were defined as invertebrates larger than 76 micrometers but less than 571 micrometers. a. Species Composition

Of the 47 microzooplankton taxa collected during 1978, Rotifera was the dominant group (Table IV-3). All other taxa combined, including Protozoa, Calanoida, Cyclopoida, Cladocera, and Copepoda nauplii, accounted for only 20 percent of the microzooplankton community sampled. Numbers of microzooplankton taxa fluctuated from month to month in no definite pattern, ranging from a low of 18 in July when density was greatest to a high of 31 in September, the period of lowest density.

b. Temporal Distribution

The seasonal distribution pattern of total microzooplankton abundance was unimodal (Table IV-4); density increased throughout the spring, peaked in July (188,687 organisms per cubic meter), declined precipitously through September, and increased slightly in October and November. No samples were collected in December because of severe winter weather and ice.

Rotifers totally dominated the microzooplankton community throughout the study except during April when five taxonomic groups shared dominance. In percent composition, rotifers ranged from 13 percent in April to 90 percent in August (Table IV-4). Rotifera density was highest in July, accounting for the major portion of the peak in total density observed for that period (Appendix Table B-1). The most abundant organism throughout this survey was the rotifer <u>Keratella</u> sp., which ranged in percent composition from 1 percent in April to 56 percent in June and had an annual mean of 35 percent (Table IV-3). Copepoda nauplii was the second most abundant major group during 1978, but accounted for only 8 percent of the microzooplankton community (Table IV-4). The Cladocera group had an annual mean of only 5 percent, with peak relative abundance during October. Cyclopoida and Protozoa accounted for only 3 and 4 percent, respectively.

c. Spatial Distribution

Among individual transects and between experimental and control transects, no salient differences were observed in total microzooplankton density (Table IV-5). With respect to depth contours, there were more organisms in inshore waters except during July and August (Table IV-5). $\left[\right]$

ij

Table IV-3 (Page 1 of 2)

Monthly Occurrence and Relative Abundance (by Number) of Microzooplankton from Wisconsin Net (76 Micrometers) Oblique Tows, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

Таха	 Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Annua Mean
Protozoa Mastigophora	٩	10		T*		т	T	т		2
Ciliophora	,	Ť		•		Ť	Ť	÷ †	÷	T
Suctoria	11	Ť	т		т	•	•	. •		τ
Protozoa unid.	1	10	ż		•					i
Coelenterata (Cnideria)										Т
Hydra sp.		Т								т
Rotifera										
Brachionidae	_									
<u>Keratella</u> sp.	1	2	56	36	27	21	21	52		35
<u>Brachionus</u> sp.	Ţ	1	1	т	Τ.	9	1	Т		1
Euchlanis sp.	Ţ	-	2.	•	-	•		•		Ţ
Keliicottia sp.	3	3	5	3	5	3		3		4
Notholca sp.	3 T	0	1			· I				Ļ
Lecane sp.	4	. т	1 T			1				T
Monostyla sp		•	Ť							+ T
Conochilidae			•							•
Conochilus sp.			1	11	1	Т				5
Filinidae			• ·	••	•	•				-
Filinia sp.		Т	т	Т	Т	Т				T
Asplanchnidae										
<u>Asplanchna</u> sp.	· .		1	-		т	1	T		Т
Synchaetidae	_	-								
Polyarthra sp.	Т	1	11	24	55	14	31	8		23
<u>Synchaeta</u> sp.		I	2							1
Trichoceridae			-		т	т	1	т		т
Ploosomatidao			I I		1	I	I	I		
			т	1	т	2	т			1
Rotifer unid	6	58	13	4	'n	21	7	7		ni
lematoda	-			•	•		•	•		
Name Ander and A	т		т							Т

IV-11.

Table IV-3 (Page 2 of 2)

Таха	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Annua I Dec Mean
Nematoda (Cont)									
Cladocera							· .		
Bosminidae unid.	ΤÌ	т	2	. 6	т	4.	13	6	4
Chydoridae						•			
Alona sp.					•	Т			т
Chydorus sp.	Т	т	т	Т		1			Ť
Daphnidae	_								
Daphnia retrocurva	Ť		Т	Т	1	1	6	1	1
Daphnia sp.	т		Т	T	_	T	_	Ţ	· <u>T</u>
<u>Ceriodaphnia</u> sp.				Т	Т	. T	Т	т	Т
Leptodoridae			_	· _	_	· _			_
Leptodora kindtii			Т	T	T	Т			Т
Sididae						+			-
Diaphanosoma sp.						1			1
Copepoda									
Calanoida						_			_
Utaptomus oregonensis	-					T			т
Diaptomus ashlandi	ļ	+							Т
Diaptomus Sicilis	ź	I							т
Diaptomus minutus	1		-					_	τ
Ulaptomus sp.	1		1				-	r	Ţ
<u>Eurycemora</u> sp.	10	-					1		1
Limnocalanus macrarus	13	+	-	-	-	+	<u> </u>	-	1
Cuclonoida (Copepoulds) unid.		I	1	1	1	ł	I	5	1
Cuplons biouspidatus themasi	E		.	-	-	,	-		-
Cyclops Dicuspidatus thomasi	5		1	I	1	Ļ	1	ļ	ļ
Tropocyclops pracinus mexicana					т	÷		3	l T
Tropocyclops prasmas mexicana			•	•	. 1	÷	,		1
(vclopoida (Copenodide) unid	18	т	3	2	2	2	17	0	1
Cyclopoida unid	.0	•	5	2	Ť	2	• 1	э	3
Harpacticoida					. '				I
longinedia sp.				т		•			. T
Caligues sp.				ι.	т				
Copenda nauniti unid.	25	3	2	10	Ŕ	^{, .} 20	٩	8	l Q
			-		Ŷ		3	v	0
lotal density (No./m ²)	4,938	37,615	87,782	188,687	58,550	3,477	16,315	11,424	51,099
Number of taxa	25	21	28	20	21	31	19	19	47

*T = <0.5%.

IV-12

Percent Relative Abundance of Major Microzooplankton Groups and Total Density of Microzooplankton from Wisconsin Net Oblique Tows, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

Taxa	<u>Apr</u>	May	<u>Jun</u>	Jul	Aug	<u>Sep</u>	<u>Oct</u>	Nov	Dec*	Annua] Mean
Protozoa	21	29	2	T**	т	т	1	1		4
Rotifera	13	68	90	80	. 88	71	70	71		80
Cladocera	т	т	3	7	2	5	16	7		5
Calanoida	19	т	Т	т	т	Т	1	Т		· T
Cyclopoida	23	Ť	3	2	2	3	5	13		3
Copepoda nauplii	25	3	2	10	8	20	7	8		8
Others	т	т	Т	т	0	0	0	0		т
Total density (No./ m^3)	4,913	37,615	87,782	188,687	58,550	3,477	16,103	11,424		51,069

No samples collected due to severe winter weather and ice.

[™]T = <0.5%.

.

1,11111

Depth-related differences in total microzooplankton density were primarily a function of rotifers (Appendix Table B-1). From May through November rotifers comprised a higher percentage of the total microzooplankton community (from 68 to 90 percent) than any other taxonomic group. Generally, numbers of rotifers were inversely related to water-depth contours except in July. Neither major nor consistent density differences between control and experimental transects were observed for the major microzooplankton groups (Appendix Tables B-1 through B-4).

2. Macrozooplankton

a. Species Composition

Of the 33 taxa of macrozooplankton collected during the 1978 study (Table IV-6), cladocerans were dominant; however, calanoid copepods were present to the exclusion of all other macrozooplankton during April and virtually so during May. Although scuds (Amphipoda) <u>Gammarus fasciatus</u> and <u>Pontoporeia</u> <u>affinis</u>, and a mysid shrimp, <u>Mysis oculata relicta</u> (Mysidacea), were designated as selected species for this study, only a few <u>Pontoporeia affinis</u> were collected in 1978 macrozooplankton samples (Table IV-6). All three species are epibenthic during the day and migrate up into the water column only during evening hours. Since <u>G. faciatus</u> and <u>P. affinis</u> were common taxa in 1978 benthic collections (Appendix Tables D-2 and D-3), their temporal and spatial distribution is discussed in subsection D.

IV-13

Table IV D	Tab	1e	IV-5
------------	-----	----	------

Total Microzooplankton Abundance (No./m³), Wisconsin Net (76-Micrometer) Oblique Tows, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

Septh			Apr		lay	3	lun	3	lu1	A	wg		Sep	•	Oct	llev		Dec
(ft)	Transect	Nean	S.E.*	Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.	Hean	\$.E.	Rean	\$.E.	Hean	S.E.*	Hean	S.E.	Mean S.E.
10	NMPW	7065.62	656.36	47148.25	4635.17	123721	4674	209167.37	17801.47	70847.75	3484.34	4174.60	195.77	15407	125	10859	558	No samples taken
	NMPP FIT7	7659.65	1457.91	39307.33	1558.44	87849	3695	160435.75	14908.81	71189.31	7523.37	4/50.40	89.74	14500	211	8277	519	strong winds
	NMPE	5332.39	212.16	66629.62	\$721.63	71955	3422	156403.62	2873.62	50091.16	4863.22	5024.09	466.55	23370	879	14566	820	50.00J
	Contour mean	6114.88	754.82	: 45812.21	7758.54	94594	10826	180888.12	13212.96	63370.70	4978.69	4325.27	371.47	17009	2129	12218	1623	
20	NMPW	3177.22	441.37	43247.73	651.04	92262	3770	131474.69	14169.47	89880.87	2976.19	3121.61	11.68	12574	670	12730	867	
••	NMPP	5540.36	372.67	43513.93	2052.54	73610	7740	152406.12	24522.53	79649.75	213.56	4931.66	111.80	16120	705	14756	721	
	FITZ	5266.61	79.00	37598.80	3585.22	. 87344	4633	246059.12	17710.97	41064.40	3753.50	2580.42	86.91	17677	738	9888	535	
	NMPE	3401.36	583.72	44085.45	5721.02	84454	5039	190969.31	2467.25	58730.12	3802.14	3153.79	93.68	18362	1233	76152	356	· •
•	Contour mean	4346.39	614.57	42111.48	1514.34	84418	3947	180227.31	25166.20	67331.25	10849.02	3446.87	512.11	16183	1291	13381	1360	
40	. NMPW	5569.75	55.59	26752.98	1242.81	75317	1923	120975.37	650.41	72715.50	2252.25	4127.57	352.94	13834	533	8380	326	
	NMPP	5506.71	183.15	35917.77	1322.75	95635	4365	126022.87	4324.31	87155.75	1092.22	3107.40	285.02	13256	745	11334	836	
	FIT7	3967.52	280.88	37933-35	1122.58	100115	0.03	234026.75	9093.06	32357.99	841.46	2541.87	177.34	13885	628	15589	377	
	NMPF	4257.70	268.91	36688.77	3344.92	89190	2528	259258.87	9700.12	50384.01	5529.95	3409.30	44.47	18645	201	6288	72	
	Contour mean	4825.42	415.98	34323.21	2557.34	90064	5403	185070.94	35934.47	60653.31	12089.80	3296 .53	330.23	14905	1255	10398	2016	
60	MMPH	3970.81	119.60	22844.25	321.75	83616	4843	120659.94	2276.62	76385.37	1625.12	3447.97	202.82	14663	513	8102	187	
	NMPP	4529.00	187.15	44600.91	111.78	78760	5896	192077.87	51854.25	41727.17	2663.44	2640.19	7.35	12130	193	7074	462	
	FITZ	5048.76	458.98	23384.32	1700.68	92761	6662	249262.31	14405.56	23298.41	635.74	2434.06	159.34	14750	656	11099	132	
	NMPE	3908.26	105.11	22028.33	0.00	73072	4203	272246.12	9620.00	29965.49	390.64	2833.53	47.23	23716	1842	12528	256	
	Contour mean	4364.21	267.46	28214.45	5469.26	82052	4169	208561.56	33801.73	42844.36	11811.47	2838.94	218.78	16315	2541	9701	1272	•
ontrol mean**		4585.39	463.11	38711.92	5314.92	86698	5905	182644.41	21390.53	62370.66	6669.11	3661.56	256.39	17571	1495	11201	1208	
enericontal moan		5240.06	398.28	36552.51	2458.43	88866	3165	194729.59	162B7.44	54724.67	8271.61	3292.25	356.07	14635	601	11648	1145	
anthly mean		4912.77	306.88	37615.34	3943.55	87782	2825	188687.00	13080.36	58549.92	5226.32	3476.90	217.24	16103	866	11424	806	
onthly range		3177.22-	-7659.65	21683.64-	72351.31	71955-	123721	272246.12-	-120659.94	89880.87	-23298.41	2434.06	- 5024.09	12130-2	3716	6288-16	52	

Standard error.

Control represents NHPH and NHPE, experimental represents NHPP and FITZ.

IV-14 -

Таха	Aor	Mau	lum	101			- 0at	North	D	Annua 1
					Au	y		NOV	Dec	mean
Hydrozoa							-			
Hydra sp.									3	·т
Cordlyophora lacustri	s		T*						•	ŕ
Nematoda Unid.	- /								т	Ť
Annelida	<u>.</u>									
Oligochaeta			_							
Naldidae unid. Anachmida			T .							Т
Prostigmata										
Hydracarina so			т	т			-		-	Ŧ
Arthropoda			, 1	1			ł		1	1
Cladocera									•	
Bosminidae unid.	• •		· T	1		Т	Ť	1	1	т
Eurycercus lamellatus				Ť		•	• •	•	•	Ť
Daphnia ambigua	•		Ť	Ť						Ť
<u>Daphnia</u> galeata mendo	tae	T	8	1	Т	4	2	3	9	2
Daphnia retrocurva			32	71	67	71	68	91	55	38
Daphnia parvula			Ţ	-	T		Т			T
Ceriodanhnia so			4	1	-		-	-		Ţ
Holopedium dibberum			3		1	٦	10	1	т	1
Leptodora kindtii			. 30	10	31	24	10	12	÷	11
Ilyocryptus sordidis			2	10	51	24		12		Ť
Polyphemus pediculus				3						ŕ
Sida crystallina				Ť						Ť
Diaphanosoma sp.			т							τ.
Ostracoda unid.			1						Т	T
Colonado						-				
Diantomus onogononsis			,				-	-	-	-
Diantomus ashlandi			I				1	i		
Diaptomus sicilis	т	т	т	т			т		1	1 T
Eurytemora affinis	•		!				Ť	т	J T	÷
Limnocalanus macrurus	100	99	12	11	1		Ť	,	5	47
Limnocalanus sp.			4				•		-	Ť
Epischura lacustris			Т					Т		Т
Calanoida immature	Т	1	Т	1			· T		1	Т
Cyclopoida										
Cyclops bicuspidatus 1	chomas 1		-		Ţ		T	Ţ	T	Ţ
Amphipoda Amphipoda			1		Ţ.		T	Т	T	Т
Pontoporeia affinic			т						+	-
Isopoda unid			Ť						i	I T
Insecta			1							,
Diptera										
Chironomidae unid.			Т	т. Т					т	т
Total (No. (1000 m ³))	1 802 877	26 336	16 101	60 792	736 330	1 214 722	169 566	105 535	08 180	506 655
Number of taxas	1,004,077	40,000	10,131	03,702	/30,339	1,214,722	109,000	400,000	30,403	500,055

10000700.000.000 .

2100500

(.....

()

COLOR N

•

Fine-11-1-1-1-1

*T = <0.5%

IV-15

b. Temporal Distribution

The seasonal distribution pattern of total macrozooplankton abundance was essentially trimodal (Table IV-7). Density was highest in April, then declined through June. A second major peak occurred in September. Densities subsequently declined, but another minor peak was observed in November. Calanoid copepods accounted for all of the macrozooplankton during the initial density peak in April, while cladocerans were the only organisms present during September. During the minor peak (November), cladocerans were also predominant.

Table IV-7

Percent Relative Abundance of Major Macrozooplankton Groups and Total Density of Macrozooplankton Collected from Composited Hensen Net Tows in the Vicinity of Nine Mile Point, 1978

Taxa	Apr	May	Jun	<u>לחן</u>	Aug	Sep	<u>Oct</u>	Nov	Dec	Mean	
Cladocera	0	T**	80	88	99	100	100	100	85	· 59	
Calanoida	100	100	18	12	1	Ō	т	т	11	41	
Cyclopoida	· 0	0	1	0	т	0	Т	т	т	т	
Amphipoda	0	0	т	Ò	0	0	0	0	• т	т	
Diptera	0	0	т	т	0	0	0	. 0	ĩ	T	
Other	0	0	ſ	0	0	0	0	0	4	Ţ	
Density (No./1000 m ³)	1,802,877	46,336	16,191	69,782	.736,399	1,214,722	169,566	405,535	98,489	506,655	

*Composite of surface, mid-depth and bottom horizontal tows.

**T = 0.5%.

Since cladocerans totally dominated the macrozooplankton community during most of the sampling period, the temporal distribution pattern for cladocerans generally (except during April and May) determined monthly total density distributions. Copepods dominated in April and May because of a "bloom" of Limnocalanus macrurus. In September, the cladoceran community was composed almost exclusively of Daphnia retrocurva and Leptodora kindtii (Table IV-6). In December, Daphnia galeata mendotae, D. retrocurva, and D. pulex were the dominant cladocerans and Diaptomus sicilis and Limnocalanus macrurus were the dominant copepods. Calanoids and/or cladocerans accounted for almost 100 percent of the macrozooplankton collected in monthly samples; in December, however Hydra sp. (Coelenterata) represented 4 percent of the density. All other taxa,

including cyclopoid copepods, scuds (amphipods), and dipterans, comprised no more than 1 percent of the total macrozooplankton community during any month in 1978.

c. Spatial Distribution

Contract of the local division of the local

Contraction of

al control

The distribution of total macrozooplankton during 1978 (Table IV-8) was a reflection of the concentrations of cladocerans, which were widely distributed over the study area, and copepods, which were found in slightly higher concentrations off shore (Appendix Table B-5). On an annual basis, cladoceran concentrations were greater in 40 feet of water than in 20 feet; however, no consistent monthly depth-related distribution pattern was evident. There were no apparent cladoceran concentration differences between stations near the thermal discharges [stations on the 20- and 40-foot depth contours within the 0.5-mile radius and within the 1-mile radius east zone (Figure III-1 in Section III, Methods and Materials)] and other stations. Calanoid copepod densities were higher at the deeper contours than at the 20-foot contour and decreased from west to east along all contours.

3. Overview of Year-to-Year Results

Microzooplankton were collected monthly during 1973-78 (except for twice monthly collections during summer 1973-75) at four depth contours (10, 20, 40, and 60-feet) along four transects (NMPW, NMPP, FITZ, and NMPE). Rotifers usually dominated the samples in both density and number of taxa, with species of the genus Keratella numerically the most important. Cladocerans and copepods were of secondary importance (based on annual trends), typically dominating for 1 or 2 months annually in spring (either cladocerans or copepods) and/or early fall (cladocerans). Bosmina sp. was the dominant cladoceran, and Daphnia retrocurva was often a major component of the cladoceran group. Copepods were represented by several genera, including Diaptomus, Limnocalanus, Cyclops, Tropocyclops, and Diacyclops. Temporal trends in abundance were bimodal, with summer and fall peaks and often a late spring peak. Spatial trends included a decrease in abundance from shallow to deeper waters and, during some years of the study (1973), a higher abundance of rotifers at experimental transects than at control transects. Annual fluctuations in densities were apparently related to many complex physicochemical and biological interactions. The

		Tab	le IV-	-8			
Abundance*	of	Macrozooplankton Nine Mile Poin	from nt Via	Composited**	Hensen	Net	Tows,

Total Macrozooplankton

	20-Ft Contour 40-Ft Contour													- CO - FA		100 51		
Date	· 3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	60-Ft NMPP	80-Ft NMPP	100-Ft NMPP	Grand Mean
Apr	380538	756036	2880809	798522	348499	90833	875873	3933013	4902058	4107945	1198632	1050185	642656	2639081	3395762	1375240	1182421	1802877
Мау	20865	27143	64744	1 64 870	11695	37236	54426	57446	26165	40026	88382	53499	59414	54155	13659	6433	23457	46336
Jun	22862	14278	14971	14105	33713	30994	21821	9730	9050	11719	8924	11765	18101	11548	14903	8360	19387	16191
Jul -	50708	54563	24424	70062	34096	20247	42350	62666	52930	6081 9	89920	44953	61872	62193	168680	150894	99896	69782
Aug	751719	782222	911693	339898	812168	236451	639025	595749	720783	572394	908814	1304006	1709249	968500	538769	541405	320665	736399
Sep	929048	1695454	585109	2091500	926086	1428640	1275973	1404650	1530692	1620982	1280772	905144	1590894	1388856	1500273	382980	348608	1214722
Oct	167116	272219	149274	113195	221109	140677	177265	189504	122445	177643	148218	186968	189471	169042	173812	146592	145247	169566
Nov	185612	420998	162102	157338	159774	1156479	373717	533786	596292	419470	162790	419577	1291914	570638	140553	147039	129301	405535
Dec	99842	270855	97735	61 394	108201	110964	124832	107609	68648	138022	139879	101477	80542	106030	38195	27861	26111	98489

^{*}No./1000 m³.

"** Composite of surface, mid-depth, and bottom horizontal tows.

same species have dominated the community throughout the studies (1973-78). Based on findings, the two power plants have had negligible effects on the microzooplankton populations near Nine Mile Point.

Macrozooplankton samples have been collected weekly or monthly in 1-meter nets since 1973 and analyzed weekly through 1974 and monthly since 1975. During 1973-76, Leptodora kindtii was the most abundant organism collected, but large numbers of Gammarus fasciatus were also present. During 1977 and 1978, the dominant organisms were Daphnia galeata mendotae, D. retrocurva, and Limnocalanus macrurus. Leptodora kindtii was abundant but of lesser importance. Differences between the periods (1973-76 vs 1977-78) may be related to changes in the ecological study. Since both L. kindtii and G. fasciatus are epibenthic during the day but migrate vertically into the water column at night, they are frequently more abundant in night samples. Benthic samples taken with a diver-operated suction sampler during both 1977 and 1978 were dominated numerically by Gammarus fasciatus. Over the 6 years of study, macrozooplankton have been variable; some trends indicated slight density increases at deeper depth contours. Operation of the Nine Mile Point and James A. FitzPatrick power plants apparently has had no effect on the macrozooplankton community.

C. PERIPHYTON

-

- Contraction

- Versenand

1. Bottom Periphyton

a. Species Composition

Seven algal divisions were represented in periphyton collected from early May through mid-December from artificial substrates placed on the lake bottom (Table IV-9). Green algae (Chlorophyta) were most numerous taxonomically, followed by blue-green algae (Cyanophyta), then diatoms (Bacillariophyta-Centric and Pennate combined). All other algal divisions combined represented approximately 10 percent of the total number of periphyton taxa present.

In the bottom periphyton community, green algae consistently accounted for higher numbers of taxa than did any of the other divisions. The number of diatom and blue-green taxa were relatively high but variable throughout the study (Appendix Table C-1). All other divisions were represented by relatively few or no taxa during each sampling period. Of the 206 taxa collected during the study, only 12 accounted for 1 percent or more of the total annual abundance (Table IV-10 and Appendix Table C-1). These 12 comprised 86 percent of the total bottom periphyton. The most abundant alga was Lyngbya spp., a filamentous blue-green making up 72 percent of the bottom periphyton community.

Table IV-9

Percent Relative Abundance of Major Bottom Periphyton Groups Collected on Artificial Substrates, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

Division	Majy	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Noy	Dec	Annual Mean
Cyanophyta	5	83	85	86	61	79	56	35	73
Chlorophyta	45	14	14	12	34	9	37	58	17
Euglenophyta	T*				· .	т			т
Chrysophyta	т	́Т.	τ	Т	т	Т		Т	Τ .
Bacillariophyta	48	3	۱	2	5	7	7	6	8
Pyrrhophyta-Dinophyceae	• T	т	т	т	Т	T	T		Т
Cryptophyta	ı	Т	Т	Т	Т	5	T	T	2
No. of taxa	99	93	77	103	95	89	78	56	206

*T = <0.5%

b. Temporal Distribution

Bottom periphyton were most abundant during August and October (Table IV-11). Periphyton biomass exhibited highest values during May, September, and October (Table IV-12). Abundance and biomass were maximum during the spring-summer and summer-fall transition periods, producing a bimodal growth cycle.

Blue-green algae dominated from June through November 1978, comprising 73 percent of the bottom periphyton (Table IV-9). Maximum numbers per unit of area were observed in October, although the highest percent composition occured in August. Green algae had their greatest relative abundance in December but highest numbers of organisms in September. Diatoms were most numerous and had highest relative abundance during May. The only other periphyton group to comprise more than 1 percent of the annual composition was the phytoflagellates (cryptophyta) (Appendix Tables C-1 through C-5).

IV-20

Monthly Occurrence and Relative Abundance of Bottom Periphyton Collected on Artificial Substrates, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

Taxa	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct_	Nov	Dec	Annua I Mean
Cvanophyta									
Chamaesiphonales									
Chamaesiphon sp.	T**	т	Т	т	т	т	1	12	Т
Oscillatoriales									
<u>Oscillatoria</u> sp.		1	T	Τ.	т	· T	T	1	т
Lyngbya sp.	3	85	84	85	60	78	55	22	72
chiorophyta									
Uniorococcales	•	·	-	_	_	· -			_
Histricha Los	2	I	1	ſ	ť	I			т
llothrix zonata	•	-				+			
Ulothrix sp	17	J				J.	-		!
illotrichales unid				÷		1		1	1
Chaetophorales	3			ı				1	ι
Gongrosira sp.			4		3	Ť	27	1	1
Pseudulvella americanum	2		i	t	, S	1	2/	ł	1
Stigeoclonium sp.	3	Q	à	ġ	20	Å	ວ ຮ		1
Chaetophorales unid.	Ť	· 1	i	'Ť		Ť	.т .т	52	0
Dedogoniales		•	•			•		. JE	•
Oedogonium sp.	т	i	2	T	2	т	т	, T	т
Bacillariophyta-Centric		•	-		-	•.	•		•
Eupodiscales unid.	6	Ť	т	Ť	Т	T	т	Т	Т
Bacillariophyta-Pennate									
Fragilariales									
<u>Diatoma tenue</u>	20	Ţ	т	Т	T	· T	Ť	Т	2
Fragilaria sp.	. 2	т	Т	1	T	т	, T	т	ť
Naviculales		-	_		_	•		• _	
Navicula sp.	. 2	_ T	т	1 T	T	Т	Ť	т	T
Bacillariales	·	*	-	1				-	
Nitzenia sp.	5	1	- 1	T	1	1	1	11	1
Cryptophyta	4	1	1	1	4	3	3	4	2
Cryptopnodales			•						
Rhodomonas minuta	т	-	· •	÷	~		-	· _	
Mildolionas Innaca		1		1	1	4	I	ſ	2
Total density (No./mm 2)	241,063	442,364	147,337	633,392	361,695	1,151,482	59,247	35,282	383,983
Total number taxa	17 ·	15	14	16	15	18	17	17	19
						•-	••		

*Taxa listed include only those that accounted for at least 1% of the bottom periphyton collected during one or more of the 1977 sampling periods.

**T = <0.5%.

 \int

 $\left(\right)$

- Votestation

Abundance (No./mm²) of Total Bottom Periphyton Collected on Artificial Substrates, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

,	5			May	Ji	m	J	ul	A	ug	Se	P	C)ct	Ne	w	De	20
ļ	Contour (ft)	Transect	Mean	S.E.★	Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.	Mean	5.E,	Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.*	Nean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.
:	5	NMPW NMPP FITZ NMPE	227551 24098 797625 178379	26746)4099 300148 222746	2526958 697651 300946 909792	2174393 149405 95469 645339	131228 206011 187973	51149 60474 78233	321608 9736741 626227	86956 6396476 175489	421268 457785 ***** 4810	34791 269699 ***** 2412	78042† 12159371 88038 54521	38308 3091294 28914 42559	65756 ‡ ***** 22671 22251	21757 ***** 6079 8266	***** ***** 2647 ‡	***** ***** ***** 775
·		Contour mean	708246	394096	1108836	489255	175071	22531	3561525	3088859	294621	145288	3094993	3021467	36892	14432	2647	775
;	10	NMPW NMPP FITZ NMPE	291163 186565 445658 391536	91778 62927 75113 173923	1896836 45557 157439 1019193	1247809 17525 59202 571426	199775 239843 139766 28532	90593 180614 52588 3631	40580 64488 143146 313596	7198 40451 46648 148147	1309918 1083875 711636 1175138	538270 272525 227456 262417	843733 4045925 384202 545400	217765 1137524 218806 287701	219180 60444 27381 118960	76060 15025 8734 44392	110172 279728 ^{††} 62739 15750	49801 <100 11217 1454
		Contour mean	328730	57183	779756	431231	151979	46001	140453	61733	1070141	128203	1454815	868933	106491	42064	117097	57534
-	20	NMPW NMPP FITZ NMPE	77826 174805 81349 98887	6887 11017 11750 21476	662540 128383 19647 61022	550212 91984 6826 34842	31016 509887 205650	12799 390945 109184	5294 5599 74810	2946 461 31234	95215 449289 189540 288073	9165 221730 71357 53955	170099 53429 79731 136853	95228 45216 9637 76916	230597 26172 124741 100066 ‡	104229 13409 31210 15635	28528 38873 13297 1031 ‡	16120 10950 3879 94
		Contour mean	108217	22669	222898	154844	248851	139916	28568	23121	255529	75640	110028	26535	120394	42283	20432	8331
	30	NMPW NMPP FITZ NMPE	20003 20150 69977 78417	2861 4856 5260 5418	26455 62085 139803 62411	3361 33404 70196 42856	4020 5433† 346363 17529	534 1370 276190 9386	8524 11522 15253 8847	3564 7982 8289 2308	9130 71049 215668 5881	1723 29157 84387 2106	5883 13551 14165 6609	1525 5285 1059 3098	6317 24046 ***** 2603	602 13663 ***** 1388	1629 3885 ***** 2659	408 1966 1111
		Contour mean	47137	15718	72689	23909	93336	84397	11035	1558	75432	49091	10052	2206	10989	6616	2724	652
	40	NMPW NMPP FITZ NMPE	6117 1791 27805 16235	1240 482 1408 2611	14880 12158 21344 62176	2293 3520 4122 54299	384489 7048 3966 3541	257637 2436 1332 1610	672) 6995 557) 5534	1603 1045 1257 2117	4529 10118 7587	724 4632 301 1	3768 5107 1434 4799782	1823 759 373 4796995	5716 3805 2286 3459	2179 2330 524 793	933 636 379 1628	359 145 83 418
		Contour mean	12987	5793	27640	11672	99761	94912	6205	381	7411	1616	1202523	1199087	3816	712	894	270
	Control me Experiment Monthly me Monthly ra	ean** tal mean** ean ange	299144 182982 241063 1791-178	170067 80240 129077 371	726226 144092 442364 12158-3	279964 61543 154405 2526958	109789 184885 147337 3541-5098	43129 57033 35860 87	88838 1069035 633392 5294-9736	50096 964933 536904	332155 398620 361695 4529-13099	158581 127408 102134 918	664469 1684495 1151482 1434-12	467724 1229316 651731 159371	77491 36443 59247 22862	27937 14101 1 704 1 30597	18331 57077 35282 379-279	11901 38136 17934 9728

IV-22

11

Ash-Free Dry Weight (mg/dm²) of Total Bottom Periphyton Collected on Artificial Substrates, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

Depth		M	ky		lun	j.	ul Î	hu		Se	ю.	0	ct	N	DV	· D	ec
Contour (ft)	Transect	Mean	S.E.*	Mean	\$.E.	Mean	\$.E.	Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.*	Mean	S.E.	Hean	\$.E.
5	NMPW NMPP FITZ NMPE	27.46 19.24 72.61 96.99	6.50 2.86 2.56 9.30	17.57 13.04 12.12 22.79	3.96 1.70 1.82 12.66	12.39 12.43 12.43 8.29	1.79 ***** 1.65 1.91	14.49 49.25 23.83	1.39 13.51 6.09	26.06 28.58 ***** 24.32	2.71 2.45 4.20	6.52 † 59.61 6.57 4.13	1.10 7.20 1.84 1.39	8.23 ‡ 9.85 5.71	1.09 2.78 0,66	***** ***** 14.37 †	***** ***** ***** *****
10	Contour mean NMPW NMPP FITZ NMPE Contour mean	54.08 24.14 19.59 39.60 16.72 25.01	18.50 0.75 6.59 8.68 3.72 5.10	16.20 10.58 7.59 7.37 18.39 11.26	2.29 4.97 0.43 0.88 1.52 2.66	11.04 8.45 7.14 8.63 4.94 7.29	1.37 1.67 1.22 2.91 1.47 0.85	29.19 11.80 7.28 16.85 15.37 12.82	10.39 2.13 1.27 4.15 3.17 2.13	26.32 26.70 27.95 44.53 38.73 34.48	1.24 2.33 4.04 3.18 6.07 - 4.30	19.21 19.18 33.95 15.21 50.15 29.62	13.34 1.68 7.56 3.88 33.33 7.49	7.93 11.34 10.35 10.53 17.27 12.33	1.20 1.42 1.89 2.72 4.05 1.64	14.37 20.66 37.97** 36.94 25.19 30.19	2.89 2.29 0 6.12 3.38 4.30
20	NMPW NMPP FITZ NMPE Contour mean	36.43 19.78 18.48 16.05 22.69	2.03 1.80 0.91 3.00 4.65	8.38 7.35 4.79 10.14 7.36	1.06 2.03 0.75 1.29 1.18	3.98 7.76 10.08 ***** 7.27	0.71 1.76 2.92	14.97 9.64 7.96 *****	2.95 2.62 1.10	15.78 30.31 23.71 15.95 21.44	4.93 6.33 5.77 2.35 3.49	35.67 22.37 20.88 9.60 22.13	3.77 5.05 3.27 2.37 5.34	16.84 22.35 10.79 11.38 ‡ 15.34	3.81 4.97 4.56 1.64 2.71	17.77 29.86 26.96 19.07 † 23.40	6.19 8.83 4.41 5.17 2.96
30 .	NNPW NNPP FITZ NNPE Contour mean	52.19 22.03 13.99 13.38 25.40	31.23 7.98 3.09 1.80 .9.15	8.34 19.22 26.40 20.21 18.67	1.11 2.10 1.80 6.62 3.64	9.22 6.91† 17.02 5.86 9.75	2.98 0.50 1.48 1.44 2.52	8.84 8.98 9.32 12.21 9.84	2.76 1.52 1.62 5.74 0.80	7.71 21.95 40.57 5.75 19.00	1.35 11.59 5.19 .90 8.05	13.02 28.45 34.12 8.92 21.12	0.64 3.95 7.08 3.42 6.04	8.85 16.34 ***** 11.32 12.17	0.67 7.46 ***** 1.00 2.20	18.20 20.26 ***** 22.44 20.30	3.27 1.49 4.28 1.22
40	NNPW NNPP FITZ NMPE Contour mean	16.01 24.59 13.12 13.62 16.84	5.41 6.93 2.16 4.94 2.66	11.97 5.23 9.59 4.81 7.90	0.82 1.26 3.13 0.76 1.73	8.93 8.09 9.10 6.20 8.08	1.98 1.85 1.05 1.79 0.66	3.63 6.34 19.20 39.00 17.04	0,74 1,11 3,99 6,52 8,07	7.50 13.13 ***** 24.56 15.06	2.50 3.81 ***** 4.08 5.02	22.73 31.12 10.18 12.45 19.12	6.02 6.43 0.73 3.09 4.85	27.75 19.23 10.49 13.40 17.72	9.63 5.26 0.64 3.30 3.81	18.11 23.00 16.63 29.20 21.74	2.16 3.73 4.16 3.60 2.84
Control me Experiment Monthly me Monthly ra	an** al mean** an ange	31.30 26.30 28.80 4.41	8.27 5.64 4.91 57.25	11.53 11.38 12.40 4.80	1.63 2.14 1.40 26.40	7.59 9.68 8.63 3.98	0.86 1.08 0.72 17.02	15.04 15.86 15.50 3.63	3.69 4.14 2.75 —49.25	16.75 28.84 23.54 7.50 —	4.21 3.55 2.63 	18.24 26.25 22.24 4.1359	4.60 4.80 3.35 9.61	13.21 13.75 13.45 5.71 — 27	1.98 1.73 1.30 7.76	20.55 27.37 23.54 14.373	1.49 3.07 1.75 7.97

*Standard error. **Control represents NMPW and NMPE, experimental represents NMPP and FITZ.

*****Substrates lost during severe weather. *One of four replicates missing due to weather.

IV-23

The blue-green algae Lyngbya sp. was the dominant taxa found in bottom periphyton sampling during 1978. Only during May and December were other taxa relatively more abundant: <u>Ulothrix</u> sp., a green alga, and <u>Diatoma</u> <u>tenue</u>, a diatom, during May; and an unidentified Chaetophorales during December. Lyngbya produced no problems at either the Nine Mile Point or James A. FitzPatrick power plants during 1978, although extensive growths can interfere with passage of cooling waters through power plants (Round 1965).

c. Spatial Distribution

Numerical abundance and biomass of bottom periphyton decreased with increasing water depth, especially during periods when peak densities were observed (Tables IV-11 and IV-12). A comparison of the data from experimental (NMPP and FITZ) and control (NMPW and NMPE) transects indicated that bottom periphyton in both areas exhibited the same temporal trend. Densities and biomass were variable, with monthly changes occurring between control and experimental transects so that no definite annual differences could be determined. Monthly variability masked any differences that could have been attributed to thermal discharges from the power plants (i.e., increases in bottom periphyton at the experimental transects).

2. Suspended Periphyton

a. Species Composition

Taxa from six algal divisions were collected from early May through September from substrates suspended at depths of 2, 7, 12, and 17 feet on the 40-foot contour (Table IV-13). Blue-green algae (Cyanophyta) were most abundant, followed by diatoms (Bacillariophyta), then green algae (Chlorophyta). All other divisions combined accounted for less than 1 percent of total numbers.

During the study, 88 taxa were collected, including seven that had at least 1 percent relative abundance (by number) during any one sampling month (Table IV-14 and Appendix Table C-6); the other 81 taxa combined accounted for less than 1 percent of the total periphyton population. -----

-

-

- Contract

Table IV-13

Percent Relative Abundance of Major Suspended Periphyton Groups Collected on Artificial Substrates, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

Division	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Annua1 Mean
Cvanophyta	T*	98	98	99	88	98
Chlorophyta	1	ı	1	1	1	T
Chrysophyta	•				Т	Т
Bacillariophyta	·97	1	1	1	11	1
Pyrrhophyta-Dinophyceae	Т				T	T
Cryptophyta	Ť		Т		T	Т
No. of taxa	59	24	31	24	60	88

*T = <0.5%.

b. Temporal Distribution

Both density and biomass of suspended periphyton peaked during June and August (Tables IV-15 and IV-16). Maximum density was in June, while maximum biomass was in August. Only during May did taxa other than Lyngbya reach high abundance. The major suspended periphyton components at this time were the diatoms <u>Gomphonema olivaceum</u> (56 percent), <u>Diatoma tenue</u> (17 percent), and <u>Achnanthes</u> sp. (18 percent). During the remainder of the 1978 sampling program, however, diatoms represented less than 1 percent of the suspended periphyton.

Lyngbya was so dominant that other taxa, although occasionally quite numerous, composed a very small percentage of the suspended periphyton density. However, as previously stated, Lyngbya did not cause problems at either the Nine Mile Point or James A. FitzPatrick power plants during 1978.

Green algae consistently exhibited a greater diversity of taxa than did other divisions, comprising about half of the taxa collected during each sampling period. Diatom and blue-green algal diversity varied throughout the sampling season, (Appendix Table C-6). All other divisions showed little diversity across sampling periods.

Table IV-14

Monthly Occurrences and Relative Abundance of Suspended Periphyton Collected on Artificial Substrates, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

Taxa	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Annual Mean
Unidentified Algae	۱	Т	т		т	т
Cyanophyta						
Oscillatoriales						
Lyngbya sp.		98	97	99	88	98
Bacillariophyta-Pennate						
Fragilariales						
Diatoma tenue	17	1	Т			i
Achnanthales						-
Achnanthes sp.	18	Т		• •		i
Naviculales						
<u>Gomphonema olivaceum</u>	56	•				T
Gomphonema montana	1					Т
Bacillariophyta-Pennate unid.	1	т	1	T	9	· T
Total density (No./mm ²)	602,970	273,298,432	9,196,302	45,290,279	9,457,771	67,569,151
Total number of taxa	6	5	4	2	3	7

*Taxa listed accounted for at least 1% of the suspended periphyton collected during one or more of the 1978 sampling periods.

**T = <0.5%

c. Spatial Distribution

Generally, total suspended periphyton density decreased as depth increased from the 2 to 17-foot strata; during May and August, however, densities were highest at the 7- and 12-foot depths respectively (Table IV-15). Biomass of suspended periphyton was highest at the 2-foot depth strata during June, July, and August and at the 7-foot depth during May and September. As expected, biomass typically decreased as water depth increased inasmuch as photosynthesis is limited by decreasing light levels (Table IV-16). During peak densities in June all groups of periphyton were most abundant (by number) at the 2-foot depth strata.

During three of the five sampling months, periphyton densities were noticeably higher at the experimental transects; during the other two sampling periods, densities at control and experimental transects were similiar. Thermal

Abundance (No./mm²) of Total Suspended Periphyton on Artificial Substrates, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

Depth		May			լոլ ոոր			Aug		Sep		
Strata (ft)	Transect	Mean	S.E.*	Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.	Mean	\$.E.	
2	NMPW NMPP FIT7	460155 407221 97060	10047¢ 108581 13542	72614352 1829906940	528648 360916480 *****	23023488 121424† 17779200	14296757 110855 10423715	***** 18309744 *****	***** 6096584 *****	169772 21853504 *****	162743 20700592 *****	
	Strata mean	321479	113245	951260416	878646272	13641370	6927417	18309744	9144248	11011638	10841865	
7	NMPW NMPP FIT7	924108 [†] 985751 780546	190817 36535 178755	43056640 47808928 151183200	21636768 25217776 107907584	1621420 [†] 4661592 17393376	82896 1852671 3226725	53002736 5849824 *****	35954960 52780320 *****	332098 16462666 15957325	6517 9027861 6669891	
	Strata mean	896802	60791	80682912	35276816	7892129	4831008	55826272	2823536	10917363	5294642	
12	NMPW NMPP	421702 1150956 542955	49514 62165 247898	11708127 13458926 122973152	5733713 970242 65328352	18787920 10842298 8576929	1954296 7868037 2786701	42847088 135145152 *****	4809904 125600528 *****	294775 14383358 11669906	207163 5211986 4115847	
	Strata mean	705204	225608	49380064	36800000	12735715	3095957	88996112	46149024	8782679	4315634	
17	NMPW NMPP FITZ	356568 202949 [†] 905669	61589 60035 21420	2096083 2158762 31359040	268566 . 862727 15575384	4664823 [†] 2774192 108965	1082175 2187045 14780	3565760 5512351 *****	724447 1923863 *****	21663 20453568 2436848	7995 10187500 1316900	
	Strata mean	488395	213298	11871295	9743889	2515993	1321483	4539055	973294	7637359	6445920	
Control me Experiment Monthly me Monthly ra	an** al mean** an nge	540633 588326 602970 97060-115	129600 152508 125550 0956	32368801 314121278 273298432 2096083-	16013627 253106383 226424512 1829906940	12024413 7782247 9196302 108965-	5236972 2516711 2354548 18787920	33138528 54404268 45290279 135145152	15092083 29198107 17133805 - 3565760	204577 14745311 9457771 21663	70158 2432989 2671855 21853504	

*Standard error.

Control represents NMPW and NMPE, experimental represents NMPP and FITZ. ***Substrates lost during severe weather. *One of four replicates missing due to weather.

Ash-Free Dry Weight (mg/dm²) of Total Suspended Periphyton Collected on Artificial Substrates, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

Depth		1	May		in	Ju	1	Aug	•	Sep	
Strata (ft)	Transect	Mean	S.E.*	Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.
2	NMPW NMPP FITZ	18.23 12.00 4.84	11.91 0.60 0.05	125.87 166.89	6.53 1.62	107.04 2.87 1 99.47	12.57 0 2.49	·***** 344.10 *****	***** 38.48 *****	21.53 253.20 *****	3.06 70.79 *****
	Strata mean	11.69	3.87	146.38	20.57	69.79	33.53	344.10	38.48	137.37	115.84
7	NMPW NMPP Fitz	35.14 [†] 27.90 24.48	0 2.28 0.39	60.87 68.77 210.53	3.99 0.63 11.64	24.94† 47.72 67.22	0 5.28 0.07	141.27 149.06 *****	27.72 4.14 *****	72.83 254.35 130.14	36.30 12.76 4.88
	Strata mean	27.98	1.95	113.39	48.68	46.63	12.22	145.16	3.90	152.40	53.57
12	NMPW NMPP FITZ	13.66 24.06 30.47	0.06 2.68 5.93	31.37 30.23 216.10	2.55 2.81 58.45	54.91 40.26 38.50	1.13 7.86 4.66	168.26 273.97 *****	15.68 24.46 *****	198.93 59.66 34.15	194.50 9.47 29.96
,	Strata mean	22.73	4.90	92.57	62.09	44.56	5.20	221.11	52.86	97.58	51.21
17	NMPW NMPP FITZ	14.73 5.02 ⁺ 32.82	0.73 0 3.42	20.20 21.40 92.17	7.78 0.35 23,99	21.43+ 13.60 5.13	0 1.01 0.90	42.64 81.19 *****	9.07 9.49 *****	3.58 101.16 28.60	1.35 3.92 1.71
	Strata mean	20.02	5.52	44.59	23.82	13.39	4.71	61.91	19.28	44.45	29.26
Control m Experimen Monthly m Monthly r	ean** tal mean** ean ange	18.34 21.21 20.61 4.84 -	2.90 3.87 3.40 - 35.14	59.58 115.16 94.95 20.20 -	23.70 31.06 22.39 - 216.10	52.08 39.35 43.59 2.87 -	19.80 11.67 9.83 - 107.04	117.39 212.08 171.50 42.64 -	38.18 59.42 39.79 - 344.10	74.22 123.04 105.28 3.58 -	44.08 36.37 27.79 254.35

*Standard error. **Control represents NMPW and NMPE, experimental represents NMPP and FITZ. *****Substrates lost during severe weather. *One of four replicates missing due to weather.

IV-28

.

discharges from the power plants may have stimulated periphyton growth on the suspended artificial substrates; however, plant operations would not affect naturally occurring periphyton as they occur only on bottom substrates.

3. Overview of Year-to-Year Results

Both bottom and suspended periphyton have been sampled since 1973 using artificial substrates in the control and experimental areas in the vicinity of Nine Mile Point. During the 6 years of study, species compositions have been similar, with Lyngbya sp. always dominating numerically. During 1975, 1976, and 1978, suspended periphyton biomass was greater at the experimental transects than at the control transects. Bottom periphyton sampling data, however (a more realistic measure of the naturally occurring periphyton populations in the area), showed the experimental transects to have higher periphyton densities during only 1 year, but the natural variability observed that year (1977) suggested that the difference was not significant. No consistent trends (increases or decreases) were apparent for bottom periphyton during the 6 years of study, which would indicate no influence from the two power plants on periphyton. Controlling factors for natural periphyton populations are substrate availability and light penetration. Location of the discharges at about the 20-foot contour and the presence of a mixed or floating plume preclude thermal stimulation of the natural periphyton.

D. BENTHIC INVERTEBRATES

Bing to Line Work

1. Species Composition

An average of 50 taxa of benthic invertebrates per month were collected during the 1978 study (Table IV-17), and 24 of these each accounted for at least 1 percent of the total number collected during any one sampling month. The most abundant group was scuds (Amphipoda), which accounted for about 52 percent of all the benthic invertebrates collected (Table IV-18), followed by oligochaete worms, then polychaete worms and midges (Diptera), third and fourth, respectively. All other groups combined accounted for only 12 percent of the total population present (Table IV-18).
Seasonal Occurrence and Relative Abundance of Benthos Collected on Artificial Substrates, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

Contenteres T <th< th=""><th>Coelenterate Tristica T T T T Iteration 1 4 1 4 3 Coefdophong lacestris T T T T T Turbellaris T T T T T T Turbellaris T T T T T T Nematoda T T T T T T Nematoda T T T T T T Menatoria Sectors 6 T 1 Annotica T Menatoria T T T T T T Menatoria Sectors 6 T 1 T Menatoria Sectors F T T T Menatoria Sectors T T T T Menatoria T T T T T Menatoria</th><th>Taxa</th><th>Apr</th><th>Jun</th><th>Aug</th><th>0ct</th><th>Annual Mean</th><th></th></th<>	Coelenterate Tristica T T T T Iteration 1 4 1 4 3 Coefdophong lacestris T T T T T Turbellaris T T T T T T Turbellaris T T T T T T Nematoda T T T T T T Nematoda T T T T T T Menatoria Sectors 6 T 1 Annotica T Menatoria T T T T T T Menatoria Sectors 6 T 1 T Menatoria Sectors F T T T Menatoria Sectors T T T T Menatoria T T T T T Menatoria	Taxa	Apr	Jun	Aug	0ct	Annual Mean	
Plydicas prices in the second	Hydro aserican T T T T T T Lydro aserican 1 4 1 7 7 7 Turbellarianis T T T T T 1 Hydro aserican T T T T T 1 Hydro aserican T T T T T 1 Hydro aserican T T T T 1 1 Hydro aserican T T T T 1 1 Hydro aserican Hidda T T T 1 1 Armei Ida T T T T 1 1 1 Armei Ida Hiddade and. 10 0 13 T 10 1 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 <td>lenterata</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	lenterata						
Image: spin-lange 1 4 1 4 3 Cardy Dopon Lacestrie T T T T T Tartes and d. T T T T T Memory State and d. T T T T T Memory State and d. T T T T T Memory State and d. T T T T T Memory State and d. T T T T T Memory State and d. T T T T T Mand State and d. T T T T T Mand State and d. T T T T T State and d. T T T T T Mand State and d. T T T T T Mand State and d. T T T T T Mand State and d. T T T	Image: space	lydrozoa Hudra americana				T*	т	
Correlation lacestric is in it is in the image of the ima	Correly lobbers Just Prime T <tht< th=""> T</tht<>	Hydra sp.	1	4	1	4	3	
Turbeilaria unid	Turbellaris unid. T 2 T 1 1 Henertes unid. T T T T 1 T Henertes unid. 1 2 1 1 T T Memascolaunid. 1 2 1 1 1 T Memory of the sectors 42 5 6 7 14 Oligochesters 6 T 1 7 2 Turifis de unid. 10 1 3 T 7 1 Sectors 7 1 1 T 7 7 7 Sectors 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 Sectores	Cordylophora lacustris	т	т	т	т	T	
Medicate T<	Henerica T<	Turbellaria unid.	т	2	т	1	1	
Neme adds Neme of the second of	Nema took unid. Arrelida Polychaeta pol	ertea mertea unid.	т	т	т	1	т	
description 1 2 1 1 1 Manayantia spectors 42 5 6 7 14 Objected 7 1 1 1 1 1 Mail dise unid. 10 6 13 8 10 1 Mail dise unid. 0 6 13 8 10 1 Mail dise unid. 1 7 7 7 7 1 Percessis sp. 7 1 1 7 7 1 Physis sp. 7 1 1 7 7 1 Plancoss sp. 2 1 1 1 7 Plancoss sp. 2 1 1 1 1 Plancoss sp. 2 1 1 1 1 Plancoss sp. 2 1 1 1 1 Plancoss sp. 7 2 1 1 1 Plancoss sp.	nemes accos a unito. i i i i i Particular Polycolar (Dipochastar sp. Nais 50. 42 5 6 i i Chartogesizer sp. Nais 50. i i i i i i Nais 50. i i i i i i i Gastropodo infonda sp. pyrmaes sp. infonda sp. infond	natoda	,	•	,			
Polychesta Polychesta Polychesta Polychesta Polychesta Construction 13 T 1 Name yourk (see note) 13 T 1 Name yourk (see note) 10 13 T 10 Formation (see note) 10 13 T 10 Formation (see note) 10 13 T 10 Formation (see note) 1 T T T Formation (see note) 1 2 T T T Formation (see note) 1 2 T T T Formation (see note) 1 2 T T T	Polychecka 42 5 6 7 14 Oligochecka Spectras 6 7 1 <t< td=""><td>atoda unid. Helida</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>1</td><td>ı</td><td>I</td><td></td></t<>	atoda unid. Helida	1	2	1	ı	I	
Official space loss Construction Construction Construction Chart space 7 1 1 Natifies unid. 10 8 13 8 10 Natifies unid. 10 8 13 8 10 Natifies unid. 10 8 13 8 10 Gast ropods 7 7 7 7 7 7 Ferrisits sp. 1 7 7 7 7 7 Physics sp. 1 1 7 7 7 7 Plancocker sp. 7 1 1 7 7 7 Plancocker sp. 7 7 7 7 7 7 Plancocker sp. 7 7 7 7 7 7 Plancocker sp. 7 7 7 7 7 7 Patterpoot sp. 7 7 7 7 7 7 Plancocker s	Officiency C D I I Chartogastier sp. 7 1 1 Naidfdae unid. 10 8 13 8 10 Hindinae unid. 10 8 13 8 10 Hindinae unid. T T T T 1 Sattonoda sp. 2 2 1 1 1 Sattonoda sp. T T T T T Sattonoda sp. T T T T T Bitchinia sp. T T T T T Gastonoda sp. 2 2 1 1 T Gastonoda sp. T T T T T Gastonoda sp. 2 2 1 1 T Pelecycoda unid. T T T T T Sphaerium sp. T 2 T T T Pelecycoda unid. T </td <td>'olychaeta</td> <td>42</td> <td>E</td> <td>.</td> <td>,</td> <td>14</td> <td></td>	'olychaeta	42	E	.	,	14	
Charts pp. 6 T 1 Naits pp. 10 13 8 10. Hurdshaudid. 10 1 T 7 2 Hurdshaudid. 10 1 T T 2 Kaits pp. 1 T T T 7 Kaits pp. 2 1 1 T T Kaits pp. 1 1 T T T Kaits pp. 2 1 1 T T Kaits pp. 1 1 T T T Kaits pp. 1 1 T T T Bassen pp. 1 1 T T T Bassen pp. 1 1 T T T Bassen pp. 2 1 T T T Bassen pp. 7 5 1 T T Bassen pp. 7 5 1 T <td>Chains of products 6 T 1 Nais of the unid. 13 T T 2 Instriction unid. T T T 2 Gasta T T T T T Constration unid. T T T T T Mais Soc. 2 2 1 1 1 SiteAnis Soc. T T T T T Provestissoc. T T T T T SiteAnooda unid. T T T T T Castropoda unid. T T T T T Sophaer Una Soc. T T T T T Sophaer Una Soc. T T</td> <td>ligochaeta</td> <td>42</td> <td>5</td> <td>8</td> <td>'</td> <td>14</td> <td></td>	Chains of products 6 T 1 Nais of the unid. 13 T T 2 Instriction unid. T T T 2 Gasta T T T T T Constration unid. T T T T T Mais Soc. 2 2 1 1 1 SiteAnis Soc. T T T T T Provestissoc. T T T T T SiteAnooda unid. T T T T T Castropoda unid. T T T T T Sophaer Una Soc. T T T T T Sophaer Una Soc. T T	ligochaeta	42	5	8	'	14	
Print of the second s	Bit Table 13 T T 2 Tubificiae unid. I I I I I I Gastropoda I I I I I I Ferrissia sp. I I I I I I Ferrissia sp. I I I I I I Barcoll sp. I I I I I I Barcoll sp. I I I I I I Gontabalis sp. I I I I I I Pleurocera sp. I I I I I I Sobactium sp. T 2 I I I I Pleurocera sp. T T T T T I Sobactium sp. T 2 I T T I Pleurocera sp. T T T T	Chaetogaster sp.		6		т	1	
Turb fictose unid. T T T T T Series and the space of the	Tubificidae unid. T T T T T Mirudinae unid. T T T T T Bastropoda T T T T T Sastropoda T T T T T AdmitOl sp. 2 Z 1 T T MamitOl sp. T T T T T Phoysa Sp. T T T T T Velisors Sp. T T T T T PleucyCoccides unid. T T T T T PalexyDod anid. T T T T T PalexyDod anid. T T T T T PalexyDod anid. T T T T T Astropoda T T T T T Astropoda T T T T T	Naididae unid.		13	т	т	2	
Notification Notification Notification Notification Ferrissia Sp. T T T T T Ministry Sp. Z Z 1 T T Phines Sp. T T T T T Sprantsp. T T T T T Phines Sp. T T T T T Point Sp. T T T T T Point Sp. T Z 1 T T Point Sp. T Z T T T Castropoda unid. T T T T T Pelecypada T T T T T Pelecypada T T T T T Archropada T T T T T Archropada T T T T T Archropada T </td <td>Nollussa T T T T T Gastropodo Farrissia sp. T T T T Auricola sp. 2 2 1 1 T Bitchinia sp. 2 2 1 T T Privas sp. T T T T T Gondballs sp. T T T T T Gastropodo sp. T T T T T Gondballs sp. T T T T T Campelona sp. T 2 T T T Castropodo unid. T T T T T Pelexypoda unid. T T T T T Archropoda T T T T T Archropoda T T T T T Archropoda T T T T T</td> <td>Tubificidae unid. Hirudinae unid.</td> <td>10 T</td> <td>8 T</td> <td>13</td> <td>8 T</td> <td>10. T</td> <td></td>	Nollussa T T T T T Gastropodo Farrissia sp. T T T T Auricola sp. 2 2 1 1 T Bitchinia sp. 2 2 1 T T Privas sp. T T T T T Gondballs sp. T T T T T Gastropodo sp. T T T T T Gondballs sp. T T T T T Campelona sp. T 2 T T T Castropodo unid. T T T T T Pelexypoda unid. T T T T T Archropoda T T T T T Archropoda T T T T T Archropoda T T T T T	Tubificidae unid. Hirudinae unid.	10 T	8 T	13	8 T	10. T	
Castropoda sp. r r r Principal sp. r r r r r r Principal sp. r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r	castropolog T <th< td=""><td>lusca</td><td></td><td>•</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	lusca		•				
Lymaxis 5p. T <th< td=""><td>Tempsée Sp. T</td><td>Ferrissia sp.</td><td>·</td><td></td><td></td><td>т</td><td>т</td><td></td></th<>	Tempsée Sp. T	Ferrissia sp.	·			т	т	
Philips Philips <t< td=""><td>mm 101 sp. f f f</td><td>Lymnaea sp.</td><td>Ţ</td><td>Ţ</td><td>Ţ</td><td>· Ţ</td><td>Ţ</td><td></td></t<>	mm 101 sp. f f f	Lymnaea sp.	Ţ	Ţ	Ţ	· Ţ	Ţ	
Photos 50. T <tht< th=""> <tht< th=""> <tht< <="" td=""><td>Physis Sp. T<</td><td>Additola sp. Bithinia sp.</td><td>Ť</td><td>2</td><td></td><td>ť</td><td>T</td><td></td></tht<></tht<></tht<>	Physis Sp. T<	Additola sp. Bithinia sp.	Ť	2		ť	T	
Description I I I I I I Senibalis sp. T T T T T T Pleurocera sp. T T T T T T Gastropoda unid. T T T T T T Pelecypoda p.p. T T T T T T Sobserfdae unid. T T T T T T Pelecypoda unid. T T T T T T Archropoda T T	Optimize sp. Freitischer Sphilterie Preiforder Sphilterie Der Sphilterie Sph	Physa sp.	т	Ţ	Ţ	Ţ	Ţ	
Son ibasis sp. T I T T T Pleuroscenta sp. T T T T T Valvat sp. 2 1 1 T T Valvat sp. 2 2 1 1 T Valvat sp. T T T T T Pateryopide T T T T T Polecypode unid. T T T T T Arthropode unid. T T T T T Asphifode unid. 1 2 T 1 1 Bendods unid. 1 T T T T Samarus factatus factatus 7 6 36 60 1	Soniobasis Sp. T I T I T I T I T I T I T I T I T T I T <t< td=""><td>Helisoma sp.</td><td></td><td>ı</td><td>ť</td><td>1</td><td>· †</td><td></td></t<>	Helisoma sp.		ı	ť	1	· †	
pieuroscientide T T T Valvato sp. 2 1 1 Gebropoda unid. T T T Sohaerum sp. T 2 1 1 Gestropoda unid. T T T Sohaerum sp. T 2 T T Pelecypoda unid. T T T T Archopoda T T T T Archopoda unid. T T T T Archonida T T T T Archopoda T T T T Archonida T T T T Sammarus mucronatus 1 T T T Gammarus foccanatus 1 T T T Marchida T T T	Plaupochicaje unid. T T T Valutzice 2 1 1 1 Gestropoda T T T T Gestropoda T 7 5 1 1 Seconda T T T T T Seconda T 2 T T T Seconda T 2 T T T Seconda T T T T T Arbonoda T T T T T Seconda	Goniobasis sp.	т	T	1	т	Ţ	
Value 1 sp. 2 2 1 1 1 Campel and sp. T T T T T Schwartum sp. T 2 T T T Arachupoda T T T T T Arthropoda T T T T T Arthropoda T T T T T Gammarus fasciaus T 6 38 65 40 Gammarus fasciaus T T T T T Marcinaus fasciaus T T T T T	vivata sp. 2 2 1 1 1 Campelong sp. T T T T T Gastropoda unid. T T T T T Sphaerium sp. T 2 T T T Psisidium sp. T 2 T T T Langsilis sp. T T T T T Unitonicae unid. T T T T T Archenida T T T T T Hydracarina unid. 1 2 T 1 1 Isopoda T T T T T Gasmarus facconatus T T T T T Gasmarus facconatus T T T T T Gasmarus facconatus T T T T T Gasmarus faccoluta T T T T T	Pleuroceridae unid.	Ť	•		Ţ	ţ	
Gastrippoda_mid. T T T Pslecypod T Z T T Pslecypod T Z T T Pslecypod T T T T Langsilis sp. T T T T Pelecypod unid. T T T T Archrogoda Arachida T T T Arschida D D D D D Gamarus sp. T T T T T Caeris sp. T T T T T Caeris sp. T T T T T	Gastropoda unid. T T T Pelecypoda sphaertum sp. T 2 T T Sphaertum sp. T 2 T T T Sphaertum sp. T 2 T T T Sphaertum sp. T T T T Sphaertum sp. T T T T Unionicae unid. T T T T Pelecypoda T T T T Arachnida Hydracarina unid. 1 2 T 1 Hydracarina unid. 1 2 T T T Amphilpoda T T T T T Gammarus macronatus 1 T T T Gammarus fasciatus 7 6 38 65 40 Gammarus fasciatus 7 6 38 65 40 Gammarus fasciatus 7 6 38 65 40 Gammarus fasciatus 7 T T T T Panotipoda cunid. T T T T T Caents sp. T T T T <t< td=""><td>Valvata sp. Campeloma sp.</td><td>2</td><td>2</td><td>1</td><td>1 T</td><td>1. T</td><td></td></t<>	Valvata sp. Campeloma sp.	2	2	1	1 T	1. T	
Pelecypoda T Z T <tht< td=""><td>Pelecypoda Spheer fide T Z T</td><td>Gastropoda unid.</td><td></td><td></td><td>T</td><td>ŕ</td><td>Ť</td><td></td></tht<>	Pelecypoda Spheer fide T Z T	Gastropoda unid.			T	ŕ	Ť	
P\$Sidium sp. 7 5 1 1 2 Spher fdae unid. T T T T Anachnida T T T T Pelecypoda unid. T T T T Arachnida Hydracerina unid. 1 Z T T Hydracerina unid. 1 Z T T T Agallus Sp. T T T T T Chenoda T T T T T Applitoda Sp. T T T T Caenis sp. T Gamarui fiscon T T T Applitoda unid. T T T T T Caentis sp. T T T T T Aracylea sp. T T T T T Occentis sp. T T T T T Occentis sp. T <td>PSisian 7 5 1 1 2 Sphser/Idse 01 T T T T Lampsilis sp. T T T T Aratholds T T T T Sammarus sp. T T T T Gammarus factures sp. T T T T Agentize sp. T T T T T Agentize sp. T T T T T T Agentize sp. T T T T T T Agentize sp. T T T T T T Agentize sp. T</td> <td>Pelecypoda Sphaerium sp.</td> <td>т</td> <td>2</td> <td>т</td> <td>т</td> <td>т</td> <td></td>	PSisian 7 5 1 1 2 Sphser/Idse 01 T T T T Lampsilis sp. T T T T Aratholds T T T T Sammarus sp. T T T T Gammarus factures sp. T T T T Agentize sp. T T T T T Agentize sp. T	Pelecypoda Sphaerium sp.	т	2	т	т	т	
spreeriede unid. Lange 115 sp., T T T T Pultion Case unid. Arbitropical unid. Arbitropical unid. Hydracarina unid. 1 2 T 1 1 Isopoda Ericksonella sp. T T T T Agentides sp. T T T T Agentides sp. T T T T Gammarus sp. T Saciatus 7 6 38 65 40 Gammarus sp. T 1 T T Portopores a affinis 10 19 14 6 11 Hydracies and 10 19 14 7 10 Hydracies and 10 19 14 10 19 14 10 Hydracies and 10 19 14 10 H	spader Vade Wind. Lampstills Sp. T T T T Unitonicae unid. Artchropoda Arachnida Hydracarina unid. Isopoda Erichsonella Sp. T T T T Ascilus Sp. T T T T Gammarus mucronatus Erichsonella Sp. T T T T Ambhipoda Gammarus Tasciatus T 6 338 65 40 Gammarus Tasciatus T 6 338 65 40 Gammarus Sp. T 6 338 65 40 Gammarus Sp. T 6 38 65 40 Gammarus Sp. T 6 11 Hydropreta Affinis Caenis Sp. T T T T Ephemeroptera Caenis Sp. T T T T Hydropsyche Sp. T T T Trichoptera unid. T T T Diptera Ceratopogonidae unid. Cryptocentronomus Sp. T 3 Cryptocentronomus Sp. T 1 T 1 Hydropsyche Sp. T 1 Cryptocentronomus Sp. T 1 T 1 T 1 Micropted Sp. T 1 Cryptocentronomus Sp. T 1 T 1 T 1 T 1 T 1 T 1 T 1 T 1	Pisidium sp.	7	5	i	į	2	
Unforfate unid. T T T Pelecypode unid. T T T Aracharida Hydracarina unid. 1 2 T 1 Hydracarina unid. 1 2 T 1 1 Isopoda Frictsconella sp. T T T T Aracharids 1 T T T T Amarinidus Sp. T T T T Amarinidus Sp. 1 T T T Gammarus sucronatus 1 T T T Gammarus Sp. 0 19 14 6 11 Marchilde aunid T T T T Pontoporetia affinis 10 19 14 6 11 Marchilde aunid T T T T T Pontoporetia affinis 10 19 14 6 11 Marchilde aunid T T T T T Pontoporetia affinis 10 19 14 6 11 Marchilde aunid T T T T T Pontoporetia affinis 1 T T T	Unifonicate unid. T T T T Arthropoda T T T T Arthropoda Hydracartina unid. 1 2 T 1 Hydracartina unid. 1 2 T 1 1 Isopoda T T T T T Amphilpoda T T T T T Gammarus mucronatus 1 T T T T Gammarus fasciatus 7 6 38 65 40 Gammarus fasciatus 7 6 38 65 40 Gammarus fasciatus 7 7 T T T Pontoporeis affinis 10 19 14 6 11 Hydropsythe sp. T T T T T Cerectis sp. T T T T T Maryles sp. T 1 T T T Oecetis sp. T 1 T T T Detectis	Spnaeridae Unid. Lampsilis sp.	т			Ť	T	
retexpload unid. 1 2 T 1 1 Archingda Arachinda Hydrazarina unid. 1 2 T 1 1 Isopoda Ericptonaila sp. T T T T Minimum murrenatus Ericptonaila sp. T T T T Minimum murrenatus Ericptonaila sp. T T T T Minimum murrenatus Ericptonaila sp. T T T T Minimum murrenatus Display a sp. Sp. T T T T Pantoporeis affinis Display a sp. T T T T Advaryles sp. T T T T Hydrogstehe sp. T T T T Advaryles sp. T T T T Display a sp. T T T T Trichoptera unid. T T T T Display a sp. T T T T Display a sp. T T T T Trichoptera unid. T T T T Display a sp. T T T T Display a sp. T T T T Advaryles sp. T T T T Display a sp. T T T T Trichoptera unid. T T T T Display a sp. T T T T Hydrogstehe sp. T T T T Display a sp. T T T T Hierotopias sp. T T T T Minoradis sp. T T T T Minoradis sp. T T T T Paracladus sp. T T T T Paracladus sp. T T T T Paracladus sp. T T T T Minoradis sp. T T T T Paracladus sp. T T T T Paracladus sp. T T T T Paracladus sp. T T T T Minoradis sp. T T T T Paracladus sp. T T T T Pa	Perictypula unity: 1 1 Arthropoda Arachnida 1 2 1 1 Hydracerina unity: 1 2 1 1 1 Isopoda I T T T T Amphipoda 1 T T T T Gammarus: sp. T T T T Amphipoda 1 T T T T Gammarus: sp. 7 6 38 65 40 Gammarus: sp. T T T T T Eptencorpotera 1 1 T T T T Caentis sp. T 1 T T <td>Unionicae unid.</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>т</td> <td>Ţ</td> <td>Ţ</td> <td></td>	Unionicae unid.			т	Ţ	Ţ	
Arachida Hydracsrina unid. 1 2 T 1 Hydracsrina unid. 1 2 T 1 1 Isopoda T T T T Amphipoda T T T T Gammarus mucronatus 1 T T T Gammarus mucronatus 1 T T T Gammarus sp. 1 T T T Pantopoda unid. T T T T Pantopoda unid. T T T T Carents Sp. T T T T Carents Sp. T T T T Carents Sp. T T T T Agrayloads unid. T T T T Carents Sp. T T T T Addroposties Sp. T T T T Ortocolations sp.	Arachnida 1 2 T 1 Hydracerina unid. 1 2 T 1 Isopoda T T T Erichsonella sp. I T T Agsilus sp. I T T Gammarus mucronatus 1 T T Gammarus mucronatus 1 T T Gammarus sp. 7 6 38 65 Gammarus sp. 7 7 7 7 Pontoporeis affinis 10 19 14 6 11 Hydrogesche sp. T T T T T Caenis sp. T 1 T T T Aftripsedes sp. T 1 T T T Caenis sp. T 1 T T T Trichoptera T T T T T Ceriotopogonidae unid. T T	thropoda				1	1	
myoracchina unito. i i i i i i isopoda i i i i i i i Applipoda incronatus i i i i i Gamaarut sarten i i i i i Maphipoda iid i i i i i Postcharde iid iid iid iid iid iid Carents sp. iid iid iid iid iid Postchard iid iid iid iid iid iid Carents sp. iid iid iid iid iid Postchard iid iid iid iid iid iid Carents sp. iid iid iid iid iid </td <td>Isopoda Erichsonella sp. T Amphipoda Erichsonella sp. T Amphipoda Gammarus mucronatus Sp. T Gammarus mucronatus Sp. T Gammarus mucronatus Sp. T T richoporeta affinis Caenis sp. T T richoptera Caenis sp. T T richoptera Sp. T Mydropsyche sp. T Leptoceridae unid. T T richoptera unid. T Caenis sp. T Diptera U Ceratopogonidae unid. T T richoptera unid. T Diptera U Ceratopogonidae unid. T Chronomus sp. T Cryptocnifonomus sp. T T richoptera sp. T Diptera Sp. T Chronomus sp. T Cryptocnifonomus sp. T T richoptera sp. T Diptera Sp. T Chronomus sp. T T richoptera sp. T Diptera Sp. T Chronomus sp. T Chronomus sp. T T Microtendipes sp. T Microtendipes sp. T Microtendipes sp. T Sittocohironomus sp. T Sittocohiro</td> <td>Arachnida Hudracariaa unid</td> <td>,</td> <td>2</td> <td>т</td> <td>,</td> <td>1</td> <td></td>	Isopoda Erichsonella sp. T Amphipoda Erichsonella sp. T Amphipoda Gammarus mucronatus Sp. T Gammarus mucronatus Sp. T Gammarus mucronatus Sp. T T richoporeta affinis Caenis sp. T T richoptera Caenis sp. T T richoptera Sp. T Mydropsyche sp. T Leptoceridae unid. T T richoptera unid. T Caenis sp. T Diptera U Ceratopogonidae unid. T T richoptera unid. T Diptera U Ceratopogonidae unid. T Chronomus sp. T Cryptocnifonomus sp. T T richoptera sp. T Diptera Sp. T Chronomus sp. T Cryptocnifonomus sp. T T richoptera sp. T Diptera Sp. T Chronomus sp. T T richoptera sp. T Diptera Sp. T Chronomus sp. T Chronomus sp. T T Microtendipes sp. T Microtendipes sp. T Microtendipes sp. T Sittocohironomus sp. T Sittocohiro	Arachnida Hudracariaa unid	,	2	т	,	1	
TTTTAgsilius Sp.TTTTAgmirus Sp.TTTTCammarus Fasciatus76386540Gammarus Sp.TTTTPontoporeis affinis101914611HyleII aztecaTTTTChemeropteraTTTTChemeropteraTTTTChemeropteraTTTTChemeropteraTTTTChemeropteraTTTTCotetis sp.TTTTAdminicta Sp.TTTTCotetis sp.TTTTDictoceridaeunid.TTTDictoceridaeunid.TTTDictoceridaeUnid.TTTDictoceridaeTTTTDictoceridaeSp.TTTDictoceridaesSp.TTTDictoceridisesSp.TTTParachironomus sp.TTTTParachironomus sp.TTTTParachironomus sp.TTTTParachironomus sp.TTTTParachironomus sp.TTTTParachironomus sp.TTTT	I IIIIAsellus sp.IIIIAmphipodaITTGammarus fasciatus763865Gammarus sp.IIIIPontoporeia affinis1019146Hyalella aztecaTTTAmphipoda unid.TTTEphemeropteraTTTCaenis sp.TTTTrichopteraTTTAgraylea sp.ITTQecetis sp.TTTAgraylea sp.TTTDipteraTTTCeratopogonidae unid.TTTDipteraT3TTDipteraTTTDipteraTTTDipteraTTTDipteraTTTDipteraTTTDipteraTTTDipteraTTTDipteraTTTDipteraTTTDipteraTTTDipteraTTTDipteraTTTDipteraTTTDipteraTTTDipteraTTTDipteraTTTDipteraTTTDipte	Isopoda	,	2	•	,	•	•
Autificities T T T Gammarus marcines T T T Gammarus T 6 38 65 40 Gammarus T T T T T Pontopores sfinis 10 19 14 6 11 Prontopores T T T T T T T Appriload and() T T T T T T Ephemeropices T T T T T T T Caretis Sp. T T T T T T T Attripodes Sp. T T T T T T Ceratopogonidae unid. T T T T T T Difference Sp. T T T T T T Dipototonic nonnus Sp. <t< td=""><td>Importance Importance Importance Gammarus nucronatus 1 T T Gammarus sp. 7 6 38 65 40 Gammarus sp. T 1 T T Pontoporeia affinis 10 19 14 6 11 Hyalella aztecca T T T T Amphipoda unid. T T T T Approvention sp. T T T T Approvention sp. T T T T Caentis sp. T T T T Agraylea sp. T T T T Agraylea sp. T T T T Oecetis sp. T T T T Uerotoceridae unid. T T T T Caratopogonidae unid. T T T T Orthociadinus sp. T T T T Orthociadinus sp. T T T T Orthociadinus sp. <td< td=""><td>Erichsonella sp. Asellus so</td><td>Ţ</td><td></td><td>. т</td><td>т</td><td>Ţ</td><td></td></td<></td></t<>	Importance Importance Importance Gammarus nucronatus 1 T T Gammarus sp. 7 6 38 65 40 Gammarus sp. T 1 T T Pontoporeia affinis 10 19 14 6 11 Hyalella aztecca T T T T Amphipoda unid. T T T T Approvention sp. T T T T Approvention sp. T T T T Caentis sp. T T T T Agraylea sp. T T T T Agraylea sp. T T T T Oecetis sp. T T T T Uerotoceridae unid. T T T T Caratopogonidae unid. T T T T Orthociadinus sp. T T T T Orthociadinus sp. T T T T Orthociadinus sp. <td< td=""><td>Erichsonella sp. Asellus so</td><td>Ţ</td><td></td><td>. т</td><td>т</td><td>Ţ</td><td></td></td<>	Erichsonella sp. Asellus so	Ţ		. т	т	Ţ	
Gammarus I I I I I I Gammarus 59. 7 6 38 65 40 Gammarus 59. 1 1 1 T 1 Pontoportia affinis 10 19 14 6 11 HypleIIa azteca T T T T T Apphipoda unid. T T T T T Caents sp. T 1 T T T T Apphipoda unid. T T T T T T Caents sp. T 1 T T T T Orcectis sp. T 1 T T T T Ichronomus sp. T 1 T T T T Orthocladinus sp. T T T T T	Gammarus I<	Amphipoda				•		
Totoporeia Totoporeia Totoporeia Totoporeia Totoporeia Pontoporeia T T T T Amphipoda T T T T Amphipoda T T T T Caenis Sp. T T T Psychonylidae unid. T T T T Adravica Sp. T T T Adravica T T T T Adravica T T T T Occetis Sp. T T T T Diptera Unid. T T T T Chronomus Sp. T T T T Tayotzynako Sp. T T	Gammarus sp. T T T Pontoporeta affinis 10 19 14 6 11 Hyale 11 a arteca T T T T Amphipoda unid. T T T T Ephemeroptera T T T T Caenis sp. T T T T Psychomylidae unid. T T T T Agraylea sp. T T T T Achripsodes sp. T T T T Achripsodes sp. T I T T Chronocaus sp. T T T T Chronocaus sp. T T T T Orthocladinus sp. T T T T Chronocaus sp. T T T T Orthocladinus sp. T T T T Diroctendipes sp. T T T T Polypedilum sp. T T T T Procladinus sp. T T T T Procladinus sp. T T T T Procladius sp. T T	Gammarus mucronatus Gammarus fasciatus	17	6	T 38	65	т 40	
runsporters attrintsto191461Hystelia attecaTTTTAmphipoda unid,TTTCaenis sp.TTTCaenis sp.TTTTrichopieraTTTPsychomyidae unid.TTTHydropsyche sp.TTTAttripsdes sp.TTTUccetis sp.TTTUccetis sp.TTTUcptoceridae unid.TTUrthociadinus sp.TTUrthociadinus sp.TTTrichoptera unid.TTUrthociadinus sp.TTUrthociadinus sp.TTTinytarsus sp.TTTorotendiges sp.TTTorotendige sp.TTTorotendige sp.TTTorotendige sp.TTTorotendige sp.TTTorotendige sp.TTTorotendige sp. <td< td=""><td>runcoverent artifitistu1914611Hyale 11 a arteccaTTTTAmphfpoda unid.TTTTEphemeropteraTTTTCaenis sp.TTTTPsychonylidae unid.TTTHydropsyche sp.TTTAgraylea sp.TTTAthribsodes sp.TTTUcptoceridaeUnid.TTTrickhoptera unid.TTTUptoceridae unid.TTTChrinomaus sp.TTTOrthocladinus sp.TTTChrinomaus sp.TTTTortcotopus sp.TTTDicrotendiges sp.TTTDicrotendiges sp.TTTPolypedilum sp.TTTProcladinus sp.TTTProcladinus sp.TTTProcladius sp.TTTProcladius sp.TTTPhaenopsectra sp.TTTPhaenopsetra sp.TTTNamocladius sp.TTTPhaenopsetra sp.TTTPhaenopsetra sp.TTTPhaenopsetra sp.TTTPhaenopsetra sp.TTTPhaenopsetra sp.TTT<t< td=""><td>Gammarus sp.</td><td>10</td><td>10</td><td>Ť</td><td>ĩ</td><td>Ţ</td><td></td></t<></td></td<>	runcoverent artifitistu1914611Hyale 11 a arteccaTTTTAmphfpoda unid.TTTTEphemeropteraTTTTCaenis sp.TTTTPsychonylidae unid.TTTHydropsyche sp.TTTAgraylea sp.TTTAthribsodes sp.TTTUcptoceridaeUnid.TTTrickhoptera unid.TTTUptoceridae unid.TTTChrinomaus sp.TTTOrthocladinus sp.TTTChrinomaus sp.TTTTortcotopus sp.TTTDicrotendiges sp.TTTDicrotendiges sp.TTTPolypedilum sp.TTTProcladinus sp.TTTProcladinus sp.TTTProcladius sp.TTTProcladius sp.TTTPhaenopsectra sp.TTTPhaenopsetra sp.TTTNamocladius sp.TTTPhaenopsetra sp.TTTPhaenopsetra sp.TTTPhaenopsetra sp.TTTPhaenopsetra sp.TTTPhaenopsetra sp.TTT <t< td=""><td>Gammarus sp.</td><td>10</td><td>10</td><td>Ť</td><td>ĩ</td><td>Ţ</td><td></td></t<>	Gammarus sp.	10	10	Ť	ĩ	Ţ	
Amphipoda unid. T T T Ephemeroptera T T T Caenis sp. T T T Psychowylidae unid. T T T Hydropsyche sp. T T T Agrayles sp. T T T Athripsodes sp. T T T Athripsodes unid. T T T Uptoceridae unid. T T T Christoptera unid. T T T Diptera T T T Christoptera unid. T T T Orthocladinus sp. T T T Christopus sp. P T T T T T T T Orthocladinus sp. T T T Tanytarsus sp. T T T T T T T T Procladius sp. T T T T T T T T Parachrinonomus sp. T T T Parachrinonomus sp. T T T Parachrinonomus sp. T T	Amphipoda unid. T T T Ephemeroptera T T T Caenis sp. T T T Psychonyitidae unid. T T T Agraylea sp. T T T Athripsodes sp. T T T Deccetis sp. T T T Trichoptera unid. T T T Uptoceridae unid. T T T Chrinonomus sp. T T T Orthocladinus sp. T T T Tanytarsus sp. P T T T T T T T Procladinus sp. T T T Tanytarsus sp. T T T Procladinus sp. T T T	Hyalella azteca	Ť	19	14	БТ	Ϋ́τ	
Cannel sp.TTTCannel sp.TTTPsychonylidae unid.TTTAgraylea sp.TTTAthripsodes sp.TTTTrichoptera unid.TTTTrichoptera unid.TTTCeratopogonidae unid.TTTChrionomus sp.TTTChrionomus sp.TTTTanytarsus sp.TTTThreededifironomus sp.TTTParachironomus sp.TTTTheotochironomus sp.TTTTheotochironomus sp.TTTThreededifironomus sp.TTTThreededifironomus sp.TTTThreededifironomus sp.TTTThreedecladus sp.TTT <td>Caenis sp. T T Caenis sp. T T Psychonyildae unid. T T Agraylea sp. T T Agraylea sp. T T Trichoptera T T Oecetis sp. T T T T T Agraylea sp. T T T T T Trichoptera unid. T T Ceratopogonidae unid. T T Chrionomus sp. T T T T T Orthocladinus sp. T T T T T Tanytarsus sp. Sp. T T T T T T T T T T Tanytarsus sp. T T T T T T T T T T T T <td< td=""><td>Amphipoda unid.</td><td></td><td></td><td>т</td><td>т</td><td>т</td><td></td></td<></td>	Caenis sp. T T Caenis sp. T T Psychonyildae unid. T T Agraylea sp. T T Agraylea sp. T T Trichoptera T T Oecetis sp. T T T T T Agraylea sp. T T T T T Trichoptera unid. T T Ceratopogonidae unid. T T Chrionomus sp. T T T T T Orthocladinus sp. T T T T T Tanytarsus sp. Sp. T T T T T T T T T T Tanytarsus sp. T T T T T T T T T T T T <td< td=""><td>Amphipoda unid.</td><td></td><td></td><td>т</td><td>т</td><td>т</td><td></td></td<>	Amphipoda unid.			т	т	т	
Trichoptera T T T Psychomylidae unid. T T T Agraylea sp. T T T Maraylea sp. T T T Trichoptera unid. T T T Ceratopogonidae unid. T T T Orthocladinus sp. T T T Tanytarsus sp. T T T Tanytarsus sp. T T T Marcotendipes sp. T T T Procladius sp. T T T Procladius sp. T T T Parachironomus sp. T T T <t< td=""><td>TrichopteraPsychomyildae unid.IIHydropsyche sp.IIAgraylee sp.IIOecetis sp.IIAthripsodes sp.IIITTAthripsodes sp.IIITTTrichoptera unid.TTChronomus sp.IIChronomus sp.IIITIITIIIIOrthocladinus sp.II<t< td=""><td><u>Caenis</u> sp.</td><td></td><td>т</td><td></td><td></td><td>T</td><td></td></t<></td></t<>	TrichopteraPsychomyildae unid.IIHydropsyche sp.IIAgraylee sp.IIOecetis sp.IIAthripsodes sp.IIITTAthripsodes sp.IIITTTrichoptera unid.TTChronomus sp.IIChronomus sp.IIITIITIIIIOrthocladinus sp.II <t< td=""><td><u>Caenis</u> sp.</td><td></td><td>т</td><td></td><td></td><td>T</td><td></td></t<>	<u>Caenis</u> sp.		т			T	
Hydrogsyche sp. T T T Agraylea sp. I T T Adthripsodes sp. T T T Athripsodes sp. T I T Microperidae unid. T T T Introduceridae unid. T T T Orthooladinus sp. T T T Orthooladinus sp. T T T Introduceridae unid. T T T Crivotochus sp. T T T Orthooladinus sp. T T T Innytarsus sp. T T T Innytarsus sp. T T T Tortochalpes sp. T T T Microtendipes sp. T T T Procladius sp. T T T Procladius sp. T T T T T T T T Procladius sp. T T T Procladius sp. T T T T T T T Microperidae unid. T T T Procladius sp. T T T	Hydropsyche sp. T T Agraylea sp. I T T Qecetis sp. T T T Athripsodes sp. T T T Athripsodes sp. T I T Trichopters unid. T T T Ceratopogonidae unid. T T T Caratopogonidae unid. T T T Cortacoladinus sp. T T T Orthocidalinus sp. T T T Cryptocnronomus sp. I T T Tanytarsus sp. F I I Varianti sp. T I I Varianti sp. T I I Tanytarsus sp. F I I Varianti sp. T I I Varianti sp. T I I Varianti sp. T I I Polypedilum sp. T I I Polypedilum sp. T T I Procladius sp. T T I Procladius sp. T T T Procladius sp. T T T	Trichoptera Psychomyiidae unid		. т			т	
Agrayies sp. i T T Oeccits sp. T T T Athripsdes sp. T T T Athripsdes sp. T T T Athripsdes sp. T T T Trichoptera unid. T T T Diptera T T T Ceratopogonidae unid. T T T Chronomus sp. T T T Orthocidafinus sp. T T T Tricotopus sp. T T T Important sp. T T T Tricotopus sp. T T T Torotendiges sp. T T T T T T T Microtendiges sp. T T T Procladius sp. T T T Phaenopsectra sp. T T T Paracidironomus sp. T T T Procladius sp. T T T Phaenopsectra sp. T T T Nannocladius sp. T T T Paraciadopeltma sp. T T T	Agrayies Oeccilis sp.iITTAthripsdes sp.TTTTAthripsdes sp.TTTTAthripsdes introductionTTTTIITTTTIITTTTIITTTTIITTTTIITTTTIITTTTIITTTTIITTTTIITTTTIITTTTIITTTTIITTTTIITTTTIITTTTIITTTTIITTTTIITTTTIIITTTIIITTTIIITTTIIIITTIIIITTIIIIITIIIIIIIIIIII </td <td>Hydropsyche sp.</td> <td>т</td> <td></td> <td>· _</td> <td></td> <td>İ</td> <td></td>	Hydropsyche sp.	т		· _		İ	
Athripsodes sp. T I T Leptoceridae unid. T T T Diptera T T T Biptera T T T Ceratopogonidae unid. T T T Chironomus sp. T T 2 Orthocladinus sp. T T T Image: Control of the sp. T T T Cryptocnironomus sp. T T T Cricotopus sp. T T T Image: Control of the sp. T T T Image: Control of the sp. T T T Torotend pes Sp. T T T Procladius sp. T T T T Stictochronomus sp. T T T T P	Athripsodes sp.T1TLeptoceridae unid.TTTTrichoptera unid.TTBipteraTTCeratopogonidae unid.TTChironomus sp.TTCortocladinus sp.TTCrobicoladinus sp.TTCrobotopus sp.9TT16Crobous sp.T1Crobous sp.9TCrobous sp.9TTanytarsus sp.T1Tanytarsus sp.T1Tanytarsus sp.TTTanytarsus sp.TTTanytarsus sp.TTTanytarsus sp.TTTanytarsus sp.TTTanytarsus sp.TTTanytarsus sp.TTTorcendipes sp.TTProcladius sp.TTParatolirononus sp.TTTTTStictochirononus sp.TTTTTStictochirononus sp.TTTTTStictochirononus sp.TTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT <td>Agravica sp. Decetis sp.</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>T T</td> <td>т</td> <td>T</td> <td></td>	Agravica sp. Decetis sp.		1	T T	т	T	
Leptocer1 dag unid.iiiiIntrichoptera unid.TTDipteraTTCeratopogonidae unid.TTChironomus sp.TTDirthocladinus sp.TTCryptocontronomus sp.TTITTITTITTITTITTITTITTITTITTITTITTITTITTT	Leprosertide unid.iiiiIntrichoptera unid.TTDipteraTTCeratopogonidae unid.TTChironomus sp.TTDirthocladinus sp.TTCryptocnironomus sp.TTITTTanytexsus sp.9TTorteotopus sp.9TTorteotopus sp.9TTTanytexsus sp.1T1Torteotopus sp.7T1Tanytexsus sp.TT1Torteotopus sp.TT1Torteotopus sp.TT1Polypedilum sp.TTTTTProcladius sp.TTTTTParachironomus sp.TTTPhaenopsectra sp.TTTStictochironomus sp.TTTParachidaus sp.TTTParachidaus sp.TTTParachidaus sp.TTTParachidaus sp.TTTParachidaus sp.TTTParachidaus sp.TTTParachidaus sp.TTTParachidaus sp.TTTParachidaus sp.TT	Athripsodes sp.	т	1	-		Ţ	
Diptera T T T Cervatopogonidae unid. T T T T Orthocladinus sp. T T 1 T T Orthocladinus sp. T T T T T T Cryptoconronomus sp. 9 T	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Leptoceridae unid. Trichoptera unid.		I	Ţ	т	Ť	
$\begin{array}{c c} \label{eq:chironomus}{} \begin{tabular}{c}{chironomus}{} $	Chronomus sp.TT21Orthocladinus sp.TT1ITTT1Cryptocnironomus sp.9TTIT16TIT16TIT1TIT1TIT1TIT1ITTITTITTPolypedilum sp.TTITTProcladius sp.TTITTParachironomus sp.TTTTTPhaenopsectra sp.TTStictochironomus sp.TTStictochironomus sp.TTRancoladius sp.TTRancoladius sp.TTTTTPhaenopsectra sp.TTRecordadius sp.TTRecordadius sp.TTParatendipes sp.TT	Diptera				-	-	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Chironomus sp.	T	т	2	1	i	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Orthocladinus sp.	Ţ	3 . T	1	т	1	
Tanytarsus sp. T 1 6 T 2 Dicrotendipes sp. T 2 T T 1 Polypedilum sp. T 1 T T 1 Microtendipes sp. T T T T T Praciadius sp. T T T 1 T Praciadius sp. T T T 1 T Paracinfornomus sp. T T T T T Pseudochironomus sp. T T T T T Paracindius sp. T T T T T Nannocladius sp. T T T T T Paraciadopeluma sp. T T T T T Paracladopeluma sp. T T T T T Potthastia sp. T T T T T Paracladopeluma sp. T T T T T Potthastia sp. T T T T T <td>$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$</td> <td>Cricotopus sp.</td> <td>9</td> <td>Ť</td> <td></td> <td>ť</td> <td>i</td> <td></td>	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Cricotopus sp.	9	Ť		ť	i	
Polypedilum sp. T T T T Microstendiges sp. T T T T Procladius sp. T T T T Parachironomus sp. T T T T Phaneopsectra sp. T T T T Phaneopsectra sp. T T T T Vannocladius sp. T T T T Stictochironomus sp. T T T T Stictochironomus sp. T T T T Nannocladius sp. T T T T Paracladopeltma sp. T T T T Paracladus sp. T T T T Paracladopeltma sp. T T T T Potthastia sp. T T T T Pottopsectra sp. T T T T Paracladopeltma sp. T T T T Pottopsectra sp. T T T T <	Polypedilum sp. T I T T Ablabesmyta sp. T T T T Microcredifies sp. T T I T Procladius sp. T T T I Parachironomus sp. T T T Pseudachironomus sp. T T T Procladius sp. T T T Pseudachironomus sp. T T T Phaenopsetra sp. T T T Phaenopsetra sp. T T T Stictochironomus sp. T T T Rancolladius sp. T T T Psectrocladius sp. T T T Paratendippes sp. T T T Paratendippes sp. T T T	Tanytarsus sp. Dicrotendines sp	Ţ	1	6 T	T	2 1	
Abiabesmyta sp. I I I I Microstendipes sp. I I I I Procladius sp. I I I I Parachironomus sp. I I I I Parachironomus sp. I I I I Preceductironomus sp. I I I I Phaenopsectra sp. I I I I Phaenopsectra sp. I I I I Editochironomus sp. I I I I Stictochironomus sp. I I I I Nannocladius sp. I I I I Nanocladius sp. I I I I Parachaopeltma sp. I I I I Paraciadopeltma sp. I I I I Parachaopeltma sp. I I I I Parachaopeltma sp. I I I I Potthastia sp. I I I I <td>Abiabesmyta sp. 1 I T Microstendiges sp. T T 1 T Procladius sp. T T T 1 T Procladius sp. T T T T T Procladius sp. T T T T T Procladius sp. T T T T Pseudochironomus sp. T T T Phaenopsectra sp. T T T Stictochironomus sp. T T T Stictochironomus sp. T T T Rancocladius sp. T T T Psectrocladius sp. T T T Paratendipes sp. T T T Paratendipes sp. T T T Paratendipes sp. T T T</td> <td>Polypedilum sp.</td> <td>Ť.</td> <td>ī</td> <td>ť</td> <td>ť</td> <td>į</td> <td></td>	Abiabesmyta sp. 1 I T Microstendiges sp. T T 1 T Procladius sp. T T T 1 T Procladius sp. T T T T T Procladius sp. T T T T T Procladius sp. T T T T Pseudochironomus sp. T T T Phaenopsectra sp. T T T Stictochironomus sp. T T T Stictochironomus sp. T T T Rancocladius sp. T T T Psectrocladius sp. T T T Paratendipes sp. T T T Paratendipes sp. T T T Paratendipes sp. T T T	Polypedilum sp.	Ť.	ī	ť	ť	į	
Procladius sp.TTTIProcladius sp.TTTTPrecedochironomus sp.TTTGlyptotendipes sp.TTTPhaenopsectra sp.TTTEuklefferiella sp.TTTStictochironomus sp.TTTStictochironomus sp.TTTRamocladius sp.TTTPrecending sp.TTTParacladopeltana sp.TTTParacladopeltana sp.TTTPotthastia sp.TTTPotthastia sp.TTTChironomidae unid.312TBryozoaTTTTPumatella repensTTTPinmatella sp.TTTInvertebrate unid.TTTInvertebrate unid.TTTInvertebrate unid.TTT	Procladius sp. T T T Parachironomus sp. T T T Pseudochironomus sp. T T T Glyptotendipes sp. T T T Phaenopsectra sp. T T T Stictochironomus sp. T T T Stictochironomus sp. T T T Stictochironomus sp. T T T Rancolladius sp. T T T Psectrocladius sp. T T T Paratendipes sp. T T T Paratendipes sp. T T T	<u>Abiabesmyia</u> sp. Microtendipes sp.	T T	т	т	1	Ť	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	raractifrontomus sp. I Pseudochironomus sp. T T T Glyptotendipes sp. T T T Phaenopsectra sp. I T T Euklefferfella sp. T T T Stittochironomus sp. T T T Rancocladius sp. T T T Psectrocladius sp. T T T Paratendipes sp. T T T Paratendipes sp. T	Procladius sp.	Ţ	Ţ	Ť	i	i	
Glyptotendipes sp. T T Phaenopsectra sp. I T T Euklefforiella sp. T T T Stictochtronomus sp. T T T Nanocladius sp. T T T Euklefforiella sp. T T T Pisectrocladius sp. T T T Paratendipes Sp. T T T Paratendipes Sp. T T T Paratendipes Sp. T T T Paratendipes sp. T T T T Potthastia sp. T T T Bryozoa Lophopdella carteri T T T Plumatella	Glyptotendipes sp. T T Phaenopsectra sp. 1 T T Eukiefferiella sp. T T T Stictochironomus sp. T T T Rancocladius sp. T T T Psectrocladius sp. T T T Micropsectra sp. T T T Paratendipes sp. T T	Pseudochironomus sp.	I	I	т	т	· †	
rinkenuyset, if a sp. i i Eukifefreila sp. T T Stictochironomus sp. T T Nannocladius sp. T T Endochironomus sp. T T Pancendius sp. T T Psectrocladius sp. T T Paratendipes sp. T T Potthastia sp. T T Potthastia sp. T T Bryozoa 3 1 2 Lophopodella carteri T T Predecella sultana T T Predecella sultana T T Paludicella articulata T T Invertebrate unid. T T	rinkensysteries pice i i Eukleffertella sp. T T Stictochironomus sp. T T Nannocladius sp. T T Endochironomus sp. T T Psectrocladius sp. T T Micropsectra sp. T T Paratendipes sp. T T	Glyptotendipes sp.		1	Ť.	-	Ţ	
Stictochironomus sp. T T T Nannocladius sp. T T T Endochironomus sp. T T T Psectrocladius sp. I T T Prectocladius sp. I T T Paratendipes sp. T T T Potthastia sp. T T T Recording actering T T T Bryozoa I 2 T I Bryozoa T T T T Plumatella carteri T T T T Plumatella repens T T T T Paludicella articulata T T T T	Stictochironomus sp. T	Euklefferiella sp.		Ť	1		Ť	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Endochironomus sp. T T Sectrocladius sp. T T Micropsectra šp. T T T Paratendipes sp. T T T Paratendipes sp. T T T Paracladoeltma sp. T T T	Stictochironomus sp.		т	+	т	Ţ	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Psectrocladius sp. 1 T Micropsectra sp. T T T Paratendipes sp. T T T Paracladopeltma sp. T T T	Endochironomus sp.		Ţ	1		ŕ	
Paracladopeltma sp. T T T Paracladopeltma sp. T T T Potthastia sp. T T T Potthastia sp. T T T Meterotrissocladius sp. T T T Bryozoa T T T Lophopdella carteri T T T Plumatelia repens T T T Prindecila sp. T T T Plumatelia repens T T T Paludicella articulata T T T Invertebrate unid. T T T	Paratendipes sp. T T T Paracladoeltma sp. T T T T	Psectrocladius sp.		1	. т		Ţ	
Paracladopeltma sp. T T	Paracladopeltma so. TTTTT	Paratendipes sp.		t	ť		÷	
Neterotrissociatius sp. T T Reterotrissociatius sp. I I Chironomidae unid. 3 1 2 T Bryozoa I I I Lophopadella carteri T T T Lophopadella carteri T T T Plumatella repens T T T Plumatella sultana T T T Paludicella articulata T T T Invertebrate unid. T T T	Potthastia sp. T T T	Paracladopeltma sp.	Ť	Ť	т	т	Ţ	
Chironomidae unid. 3 1 2 T 1 Bryozoa Lophopodella carteri T T T Lophopodella sp. T T T Plumatella repens T T T Plumatella repens T T T Paludicella articulata T T T Paludicella articulata T T T Invertebrate unid. T T T	Heterotrissocladius sp. T T T T	Heterotrissocladius sp.	,	т	т	•	ť	
Lophopodella carteri T T Lophopodella sp. T T Plumatella repens T T Fredecella sultana T T Paludicella articulata T T Tristatella sp. T T Invertebrate unid. T T	Chironomidae unid. 3 1 2 T 1 Brvozoa	Chironomidae unid.	3	1	2	т	1	
Lophopodella sp. T T Plumatella repens T T Fredecella sultana T T Paludicella articulata T T Cristatella sp. T T Invertebrate unid. T T	Lophopodella carteri T T	Lophopodella carteri	т	_			Ţ	
Fredecella sultana T T T T Paludicella articulata T T T T Cristatella sp. T T Invertebrate unid. T T	Lophopodelia sp. T T T Plumatella recens T T T	Lophopodella sp. Plumatella repons		T T		т	Ţ	
rauguseria articulata i i T T Cristatella sp. T T Invertebrate unid. T T	Fredecella sultana T T T T	Fredecella sultana	Ţ		т	į	į	
Invertebrate unid. T	raiuoiceilă articulată IIII TT Cristatella sp. TTT	ralugicella articulata Cristatella sp.	1	I		T	7 7	
•	Invertebrate unid, T T	vertebrate unid,		T		-	Т	

IV-30

science services division

.

Percent Composition of Benthic Invertebrate Groups and Total Density of Benthic Organism: Collected by Suction Sampler in the Vicinity of Nine Mile Point, 1978#

		Co	Apr ntour D	il epth (ft	:)			Coi	Ju Itour D	ne epth (fi	t)			Co	; Aug Intour D	ust Depth (f	t)			1	Oct Contour	ober Depth (ft)		
Group	10	20	30	40	60	Monthly Mean	10	20	30	40	60	Monthly Mean	10	20	30	40	60	Monthly Mean	10	20	30	40	60	Monthly Mean	Annua 1 Mean
oplanterata	5.8	1.9	0.4	0.9	0.5	1.5	3.2	11.8	6.2	1.0	2.7	4.3	0.1	2.7	Ó.2			0.6	1.0	10.8	4.3	2.7	1.2	4.5	3.0
latubolminther	0.4		T*	0.3	•	0.1	1.6	0.5	0.4	5.4	3.2	2.3	0.4	0.1		0.1		0.2	0.4	0.3	0.9	1.2	τ	0.6	0.7
in cylie tai in ches	0.4	0.6	0.2	0.5		0.2			0.4		т	0.1		0.4				0.1		0.7	0.6	0.4		0.4	0.3
lemer cea	0 ¢	0.0	0.2	1.6	14	0.6	1.4	1.6	1.0	2.0	3.9	2.2	0.1	0.3	3.0	3.0	1.6	1.1			1.4	1.4	2.4	0.7	1.1
	4 1	66 5	73.8	0.3	0 1	41.9	0.2	3.1	34.3	1.5		5,4	4.8	73.5	12.0	0.6	2.0	17.9	0.2	3.2	29.7	5.0	0.6	6.6	13.6
olycnae us	4.1	3.2	.75.0	21.4	29 1	10.2	59.8	56.6	16.4	16.0	15.3	34.2	0.9	6.7	34.2	39.3	16.1	13.7	T	0.3	20.1	22.6	15.2	8.1	14.8
11 gocnaeta	0.1	3.2	0.4	21.4	0.1	0 1	05.0			0.3		0.1										0.2	0.2	т	T
11 ruoinea	• •			0.0 r A	11 1	2 5	1.5	15	- 0 9	13.2	8.2	5.1	0.8	4.3	3.3	2.0	1.4	2.0	0.5	2.4	5.8	3.8	2.7	2.4	2.9
as tropoda	0.6	0.8	1.9	5.0	11.1	3.5		т	0.2	15 4	16.7	7 1	т	0.5	2.6	1.7	1.0	0.8	т	т	2.9	1.5	2.1	0.9	2.8
Pelecypoda		0.7	2.2	19.0	23.1	1.2		, , , ,	0.2 A A	2.0	2 1	2 0		0.6	0.1	0.1		0.1	0.2	1.0	0.6	1.1	5.5	1.1	1.0
lydracarina	0.1	0.9	0.7	0.6	Ų. 3	0.6	0.2	2.5	4.4	3.0	2.1	2.0				0.1	0.1	Ţ	т			т	т	т	0.3
i sopoda	0.1		0.1	0.2		0.1			~ ~ ~			24.2	62.3	63	23 3	33.2	52 9	52.4	96.9	80.1	31.1	56.6	56.8	71.8	51.6
Amphipoda	22.0	11.7	11.9	44.9	30.6	20.1	5.9	9.9	23.8	30.2	44.0	24.3	52.5	0.5	20.0	33.2	52.5								
Ephemeroptera										0.1			т		0.4			0.1				т	0.1	T	0.3
frichoptera	0.1		0.2			0.1	1.4	3.7	1.8	1.2	0.3	1.4	07		21.0	20.2	26.0	11 1	0.7	1.1	3.5	3.2	12.9	2.8	8.0
Diptera	65.8	15.7	1.9	4,4	4.9	13.8	24.7	8.6	9.7	4.2	3.5	11.4	0.7	4.0	21.0	20.2	23.0			0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	T
Bryzoa	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2		0.2	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.4	т	0.2			0.1			•							
iotal density (No./m ²)	643	761	1905	492	833	927	2208	1009	1025	1117	2135	1499	4091	2211	1180	1474	2341	2260	5448	4617	2803	2384	1638	3378	2016

 $T^* = <0.1$ %. #No samples collected during December due to winter weather.

IV-31

Bryozoa accounted for 41 percent of the annual benthic biomass (wet-weight) during 1978 (Table IV-19) due to the fact that large colonies were collected in a single sample at the 40-foot depth contour in June. Scuds, snails (Gastropoda), and clams (Pelecypoda) followed respectively in decreasing biomass, while all other groups of benthic invertebrates comprised only about 6 percent of total biomass.

2. Temporal Distribution

The number of invertebrates per area of substrate steadily increased from April through October (Table IV-18 and Figure IV-1). Scuds and polychaete worms were dominant in April, with oligochaete worms and scuds dominant in June. During August and October, scuds dominated (52 percent), with <u>Gammarus fasciatus</u> being the most numerous species of this group (41 percent) and <u>Pontoporeia affinis</u> of secondary numerical importance (11 percent annually). Oligochaetes and polychaetes decreased in number in October (Figure IV-1). Immature flies (midges, Diptera) were found in similar numbers throughout all sampling periods (Figure IV-1). Ice formation and severe winds precluded the collection of December benthic samples.

Total biomass, excluding the large weight of bryozoan colonies collected in June, increased consistently throughout the collection period (April, June, August, October). Only biomass was determined for the June bryozoan colonies, accurate determination of density is almost impossible because of the nature of the gelatinous mass. Density and biomass during June, then, do not represent an actual organism-weight relationship.

Biomass of clams was highest in April and October. Snail biomass increased through August, then decreased slightly in October. Scud (amphipod) biomass increased throughout the 1978 sampling period, peaking in October (Figure IV-2).

3. Spatial Distribution

During April, total densities were lowest at the 10- and 40-foot depth contours, but this trend did not continue and more organisms were found at the 10-foot contour during the remainder of the study than at other contours . .

Percent Composition of Benthic Invertebrate Groups and Total Biomass of Benthic Organisms Collected by Suction Sampler in the Vicinity of Nine Mile Point, 1978 $^{\#}$

		Con	Ap tour D	ri) epth (ft)	No. at 3.		Cor	Jun tour De	e pth (f	t)	Monthly		Con	Augu tour í	ust Depth (ft)	Nonthly		Con	Octo tour [ber lepth (ft)	Monthly	ânnua l
Group	10	20	30	40	60	Mean	10	20	30	40	60	Mean	10	20	30	40	60	Mean	10	20	30	40	60	Mean	Mean
Coelenterata	0.8	0.3	т	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	Т	0.2	0.1			0.1	0.1	1.5	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.2
Platyhelminthes	0.1		т	0.2		т	• 3.	0.5	т	Ţ	0.5	0.2	0,1	т		т		0.1	0.4	т	0.6	1.4	Т	0.4	0.2
lemertea		τ				т			т		T	T		0.5			τ	0.1		0.1	T	۱.		0.2	0.1
iema toda	0.3		т	0.6	0.6	0.2	0.1	т	́Т	T	T	T	т	0.1	0.1	0.1.	0.1	0.1			0.2	0.1	0.1	т	т
Polychaeta	1.1	8.8	3.4	0.2	0.1	3.0	0.1	0.3	1.3	τ		0.1	. T	3.2	0.7	Т	0.2	0.9	T	0.2	1.6	0.2	т	0.3	0.5
)ligochaeta	т	0.4	1.1	1.7	5.4	1.6	6.4	6.2	11.7	0,1	4.1	0.9	0,1	4.0	10.4	19.3	10.4	6.6	T	т	8.6	7.9	2.0	2.6	2.3
lirudinea			0.1	0.2		0.1				т		T										т		т	т
astropoda	6.1	65.1	1.4	39.7	26.5	14.3	60.6	36.8	9.7	2.9	31.6	7.7	35.1	88.5	15.5	8.5	7.3	37.8	12.1	29.8	50.4	24.5	12.7	22.5	16.5
Pelecypoda		0.8	88.6	24.6	21.1	58,2	0.1	0.3	0.2	1.2	41.0	4.0	0.1	0.6	52.4	22.9	10.3	11.8	0.2	0.1	11.0	24.2	42.8	12.8	11.8
lydracarina	T	0.5	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.5	0.3	Т	0.2	т	•	0.1				т	0.1	0.1	T	0.2	0.5	0.2	0.1
sopoda	0.4		T	т		т										T	0.2	Т				т	т	T	T
Amphipoda	47.0	10.3	3.6	30.9	43.8	16.0	13.5	39.5	16.8	1.1	21.4	3.7	64.6	1.3	11.1	41.8	57.1	37.2	86.8	67.6	23.9	37.9	135.4	:58.5	22.4
phemeroptera																0.1		т							T
richoptera	0.4		·Τ			T	2.0	8.6	1.5	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.1		1.7			0.3				Т	0.3	T	0.3
liptera	44.0	10.5	0.4	1.4	2.2	5.5	14.0	5.4	6.1	T	0.4	0.8	0.3	2.0	7.8	7.5	14.6	5.2	0.2	0.5	2.4	2.5	6.1	1.9	2.2
Bryzoa	T	т	т	т	т	Т	т	т	51.9	93.8	0.2	81.9			0.4			0.1		0.2	0.8	0.7	0.1	0.3	41.3
iotal biomass (gm/m ²)	0.74	0.77	5.01	Ò.65	1,21	1.67	1.88	0.37	1.15	41.77	3.39	9.71	5.11	4.21	2.25	1.82	3.03	3.28	7.13	4.49	2.54	3.24	3.81	4.24	4.73

 $T^* \approx <0.12$. #No samples collected during December due to winter weather.



TV-34

(Appendix Table D-1). Densities at all contours generally increased throughout 1978, and there were only small, inconsistent differences between control and experimental transects.

personal curve

A. Insurantian J.

Arra Inc. 14

د. ور الدر الم



Groups Collected in the Vicinity of Nine Mile Point, 1978

science services division

Scuds (all species combined) were more numerous at the 10- and 20foot contours than at the deeper sampling locations. The dominant organism collected, <u>Gammarus fasciatus</u>, was most numerous at the shallow-water locations. <u>Pontoporeia affinis</u>, the second most abundant scud, was most abundant at the deeper sampling locations. Numbers of <u>G. fasciatus</u> and <u>P. affinis</u> were typically higher at the two experimental transects (NMPP and FITZ) than at the two control transects (Appendix Tables D-2, and D-3).

Oligochaetes were distributed over the entire study area but, except for June samples, were more numerous at the deeper sampling locations; numbers also increased from west to east. Except for October, monthly mean densities were greater at experimental transects (NMPP and FITZ) than at the controls (Appendix Table D-4). Variability between samples was noted throughout the study; however, the differences noted were probably well within the natural variability of the existing benthic community.

Midges were collected in increasing numbers during the course of the year at progressively deeper contours (Appendix Table D-5). This phenomenon was probably related to an increase in bottom-water temperatures outward from shallower to deeper contours as the summer progressed. Emergence occurred first at the shallow depth contours, therefore decreasing densities of immature flies in this area, while at deeper contours apparent densities increased as immature flies developed to larger instars and became susceptible to the sampling gear.

In general, benthic invertebrates were distributed over the entire study area. The high variabilities observed in samples preclude all but the broadest generalities. Total densities at control and experimental transects showed no real differences that could be attributed to plant operations.

4. Description of Bottom Sediment

Visual observations of the bottom sediments in the vicinity of Nine Mile Point indicated that the area is primarily bedrock, which is covered in some areas with boulders and rubble (Table IV-20).

Depth Contour	T	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Commonts
(TC)	Iransect	Description	
10	NMPW	100% bedrock	
	NMPP	70% boulders, 20% rubble, 10% gravel	Some algae on rocks
	FITZ	80% boulders, 10% gravel, 10% sand	Some algae
	NMPE	70% boulders, 20% gravel, 10% sand	Some algae
20	NMPW	50% bedrock, 50% rubble	
	NMPP	50% boulders, 30% rubble, 20% gravel	All lying on bedrock
	FITZ	50% boulders, 20% gravel, 20% rubble, 10% sand	·
	NMPE	40% bedrock, 30% boulders, 25% gravel, 5% sand	
30	NMPW	100% bedrock	Some rubble
	NMPP	100% bedrock	Some boulders
	FITZ	80% bedrock, 20% rubble	Some sand
	NMPE	100% bedrock	Some rubble and sand
40	NMPW	50% bedrock, 30% rubble, 20% sand -	
	NMPP	80% boulders, 20% bedrock	
	FITZ	50% bedrock, 20% boulders, 50% rubble	
	NMPE	100% bedrock	Some scattered sand
60	NMPW	100% bedrock	
	NMPP	80% boulders, 10% rubble, 10% gravel	
	FITZ	80% bedrock, 20% boulders	Some rubble
	NMPE	80% bedrock, 20% rubble	Some sand

Composition of Bottom Sediment Determined by Visual Examination at Benthic Sampling Stations in the Vicinity of Nine Mile Point, 1978

^{*}Description based on USEPA (1973) field evaluation method for categorizing soils.

5. Overview of Year-to-Year Results

(in the second s

Month Street

· Supermutation of

Benthic invertebrates in the Nine Mile Point vicinity have been investigated since 1973. Differences in species composition and density were generally related to substrate differences: on the NMPW and NMPP transects, substrates are primarily bedrock and rubble; at NMPE and FITZ, they are bedrock and rubble inshore and sand and silt offshore. Maximum abundances were observed in mid-summer, with densities increasing from west to east (i.e., NMPW to NMPE). Annually, <u>Gammarus fasciatus</u> was the dominant organism collected. The control and experimental areas exhibited no differences that could be attributed to operation of the power plants.

E. ICHTHYOPLANKTON

1. Species Composition

Samples from Lake Ontario in the vicinity of Nine Mile Point in 1978 yielded five taxa of eggs and 20 taxa of larvae [prolarvae (yolk-sac stage) and postlarvae (post yolk-sac stage) combined] (Table IV-21). April samples contained only burbot and lake herring (cisco) larvae. During May, larvae of early spring spawners such as rainbow smelt and yellow perch were collected, along with eggs of rainbow smelt and <u>Morone</u> spp. The highest diversity (number of taxa) of ichthyoplankton occurred during June and July when 15 taxa were present. After mid-September, only alewife larvae were captured in the study area; no eggs or larvae were observed in December samples.

2. Temporal Distribution

a. Eggs

Fish eggs of five taxa were collected in the study area from 2 May through 14 August (Table IV-22). Rainbow smelt eggs, which are adhesive and demersal, were collected only during May; average site densities were always less than one egg per 1000 cubic meters of water sampled. Similarly, very few eggs of <u>Morone</u> spp. and carp were collected, the former being captured in late May and early June and the latter observed in June and late July.

Alewife eggs were present from mid-June through mid-August, accounting for 99 percent of the fish eggs collected in 1978. Egg densities peaked during night sampling on 24-25 July when average site density for alewife eggs was slightly more than 160 eggs per cubic meter. Alewife egg densities were usually higher in night than in day samples, perhaps the result of greater spawning activity during the night (LMS 1975a). The low catches for the other species exhibited no day/night trends.

b. Larvae

Larvae were present in ichthyoplankton samples from early April through late November (Tables IV-21 and IV-23). Only prolarvae were collected during April, but both prolarvae and postlarvae were common from May through en una filla. Terresteri

North States

And the Annual Ann

Table IV-21

Seasonal Occurrence of Fish Eggs and Larvae Collected in Vicinity of Nine Mile Point, Lake Ontario, April-December 1978

Species*		Apr		May		Ju	n	Jul		Aug	Sep	0ct	Nov	Dec ⁺⁺
·	1**	234	1	2345	l	2	34	1234		12345	1234	1234	12345	
Alewife						E**	*E E	EEEE		EEE				
						L**	*L L	LLLL		LLLL	LLLL	LLLL	LLLL	
Bluegill										L				
Burbot		LLL	Ĺ											
Carp					E	Ε	E	Ε						
					L	L	LL	LLLL		LLL				
Clupeidae ^T								LLLL		LLLL	LL			
Freshwater drum								£						
						Ł					L			
Goldfish					L	L	L	LLL		L				
Gizzard shad						L·		LL	•	• -				
-Lake herring		LLL		L								w.		
Minnows ⁺⁺					L	L	LĹ	LLLL	•	LL				
Morone sp. ⁺⁺⁺	·			Е	E							•		
				L	٤	L	LŁ	ιιιι	•	LLL				
Rainbow smelt			Ε	ΕE										
			L	LLL	L	L	LL	LLL		ιιι	. LL			
Sculpin#						Ľ,	ιL	LLLL	•	LLL				
Spottail shiner								L	Ł				•	
Sunfish##						L	ι	ει ι	L	L				
Tessellated darter						L	L	LLLI	L	LL				
Threespine sticklebac	:k						Ĺ	L L						
Trout-perch						I	11							
White perch							ы. П							
mitte perch						Ľ.	ь,							
Yellow perch				LLL	ι	. L	L							

*Common names are those recognized by the American Fisheries Society (Bailey et al 1970).

**Weeks of the month.

***E = eggs, L = larvae (prolarvae and postlarvae combined).

[†]Most Clupeidae are probably alewife.

⁺⁺Includes species of Cyprinidae, except carp and goldfish.

⁺⁺⁺Most <u>Morone</u> sp. are probably white perch.

[#]Includes the mottled sculpin and a few slimy sculpins.

##Includes species of Centrarchidae,

⁺Includes tessellated and johnny darters, previously considered as subspecies and reported under the name of johnny darter in earlier Nine Mile Point studies.

**No ichthyoplankton caught during December.

Temporal Distribution in Density^{*} of Fish Eggs Collected in Vicinity of Nine Mile Point, Lake Ontario, April-December 1978^{**}

			May						Ju	ine									ไขไ								Aug				
	2	8	15	22	30	5	6-7	12	15-16	19	19-20	26	26	5	5-6	10	12-13	17	17-18	24	24-25	31	31-1	7	7-8	14	14	21	21	28	28
Taxa	Dt	D	D	D	D	D	Nt	D	N	D	N	D	N	D	N	D	N	D	N	D	N	D	N	D	N	D	N	D	N	D	N
Alewife	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	0.1	12.6	0.4	0,9	0.3	5.2	1.1	17.0	12.0	1.2	1.1	5.6	12.3	161.6	0.4	NC	2.6	46.7	NC	0.1	NC	NC	NC	NC
Carp	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	0.2	0.3	NC	NC	NC	NC	0.6	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	0.1	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
Freshwater drum	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	0.2	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
Morone sp.	NC	NC	NC	0.1	NC	0.2	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
Rainbow smelt	0.9	NC	0,1	0.2	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
Unidentified	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	0.1	0.4	0.1	NC	NC	0.3	1.4	NC	NC	0.1	NC	NC	NC	T++	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC
Total	0.9	NC	0.1	0.3	NC	0.2	0.2	0.5	13.0	0.5	0.9	0.3	6.1	2.5	17.0	12.2	1.3	1.1	5.6	12.3	161.7	0.4	NC	2.6	46.7	NC	0.1	NC	NC	NC	NC
*Nean density	(No./	1000	m ³) of	all s	urface	l e, mic	-depth	, and b	ottom tow	vs at 1	5 station	15.														:			<u> </u>		
** No fish eggs (olle	cted	during	April	or at	fter A	ugust.				•																				
[†] D = daý colled	tion	s. N :	= nigh	t coll	ection	ns.																									

⁺⁺T = trace (<0.1).

NC = No catch.

IV-40

.

Contraction and

Sent Income

And the second sec

\$

Table IV-23

Relative Numerical Abundance of 11 Most Abundant Prolarvae and Postlarvae Collected in Vicinity of Nine Mile Point, Lake Ontario, April-December 1978

		A	r			Ma	У						J	m							J	u1			
	-1+	Z	3	4	1	2	з	4	5		1		2		3		4	1	1		2		з		4
	D**	D	D	D	Ð	D	D	0		D	H*:	• 0	N	D	N	Ð	N	D	H	٥	N	D	N	0	N
Prolarvae									Т									Γ							
Alewife [†]														6	1	89	28	97	46	94	11	99	81	99	78
Burbot		82	31	50	88									•				1							
Carp-Goldfish									ľ		93		10		4		32	2	42	6	35	1	8	T	10
Lake herring		18	69	50																					
Minnows ^{††}									- 1		2		5		1		19	1	ົ		2		2	T	2
Morone sp. ***				1					- 1	26	2		59	92	92	10	9	1	۱	T	5	Т	٩		
Rainbow smelt				1	12	1	00	3 10	0	72	4	100	11	2	1	1									
Sculpin#									- 1				6	·	۱		8	1	T		T				
Sunfish##									- 1															т	
Tessellated darter###						•			- 1				1				3	T	9		46		9		11
Yellow perch								27					1			*						Т			
Destinues									- 1																
Alauide														•		~	70		-			100	100	100	-
Runhet					1.00								1	3	2	30	30	30	30	39	39	100	100	100	33
Com Coldfich					100											,		1.	,	Ŧ	т.		т	-	
Larp-donarisa								Ŧ	- 1							3	•	1.	•	. 1				'	
Kinnows																2		 ₊	т	٠		т		т	۲
Norone CD								т	1		31	10	16	,	17	10	10	I÷.		, T	т	· .		Ť	÷
Rainhow smelt		·						, 17	,	89	69	74	78	85	77	48	29		1	Ť	÷	'	т	· '	
Sculpin								• •		••	•••		1		••		12	1	i	•	Ť		т		τ
Sunfish												7	1	3	3			Τ	т	т	τ		·	т	•
Tessellated darter																			т	T	т		т		Ţ
Yellow perch					1		100	98 2	7	2			т	4	2			1	т			Ť			
	D	1 N	1	2	N	ר ס	3 3	t	4	N	5 D	N	۱ ۱	סא	2 N	3 4 D 1		2 D	34 D C		2 7	3 D	45 DD	1	- 3 D
Prolarvae			_				-						╂──		• • •		+			┿				-	
Alevife	44		76		9	100	97						1			•									
Burbot					•								1												
Carp-Goldfish	56	97	2	;	90		3						i i							1					
Lake herring																									
Minnows																		•				•			-
Morone sp.			1		т												1								
Rainbow smelt					•												1								
Sculpin					•																			1	
Constant.					•																				
Sumrish					·										·										
Junrish Tessellated darter		3													·										
Sunrish Tessellated darter Yellow perch		3																							
Yellow perch		3			·										·										
Summers Tessellated darter Yellow perch Postlarvae Alewife	100	3	10	1	99	100		10	ונ		94	98	99	99 10		100 10	0 100	100 1	00 100		100	100	100		
Jumrsn Tessellated darter Yellow perch Postlarvae Alewife Burbot	100	3	10	0	99	100	100	10	5	00	94	9R	99	99 10	10 30	100 10	0 100	100 1	00 100	9 100	0 100	100	100		
Sumrish Tessellated darter Yellow perch Postlarvae Alewife Burbot Carp-Goldfish	100	3 99 1	10	D	99 99	100	100	10	1	00	94	9R	99	99 1C	0 30	100 10	0 100	100 1	00 100) 100	0 100	100	100		
Sum isn Tessellated darter Yellow perch Postlarvae Alewife Burbot Carp-Goldfish Lake herring	100	3 99 1	10	D	99 1	100	100	10	5 1	00	94	98	99	99 10	0 30	100 10	0 100	100 1	00 100) 100	0 100	100	100		
Sumisin Tessellated darter Yellow perch Postlarvae Alewife Burbot Carp-Goldfish Lake herring	100	3 99 1	10	D T	99 1	100	100	10	3 1	00	94	98	99	99 10	1030	100 10	0 100	100 1	00 100	0 100	0 100	100	100		
Sumish Tessellated darter Yellow perch Postlarvae Alevife Burbot Carp-Goldfish Lake herring Sinows Horone Sp.	100 T	3 99 1	10	D T T	99 1 T	100 T	100	10	1	00	94	98	99	99 1C	030	100 10	0 100	100 1	CO 100) 100	0 100	100	100		
Sumish Tessellated darter Yellow perch Postlarvae Alewife Burbot Carp-Goldfish Lake herring Sumows <u>Morone</u> Sp. Reinbow smelt	100 T	3 99 1	10	D T T	99 1 T T	100 T	100	100	וכ	00 T	94	98 1	99 1	99 10 1	10 30 1	100 10	0 100	100 1	CO 100	9 100	0 100	100	100		
Sumisin Tessellated darter Yellow perch Postlarvae Alwife Burbot Carp-Goldfish Lake herring Sinnows Morone Sp. Reinbow smelt Sculpin	100 T	3 99 1 1	10) Г Т	99 99 1 T T T	100 T	100	100	ונ	00 T	94	98 }	99	99 10 1	10 30 1 70	100 10	0 100	100 1	CO 100) 100	0 100	100	100		
Sumish Tessellated darter Yellow perch Postlarvee Alewife Burbot Carp-Goldfish Lake herring 'innows <u>Morone</u> sp. Rainbow smelt Sculpin Sunfish	100 T	3 29 1 1	10	D T T	99 1 ד ד ד	100 T	100	100	ו כ	00 T	94	9R 1 T	99	99 10 1	00 30 ° 70	100 10	0 100	100 1	co 100) 100	0 100	100	100		
Sumish Tessellated darter Postlarvae Alewife Burbot Carp-Goldfish Lake herring '\fnows <u>Morone</u> Sp. Reinbow smelt Sculpin Sumfish Tessellated darter	100 T	3 99 1 1	. 10	D T T	999 1 T T T T	100 ד ז	100	104	ו כ	00 T	94 5	98 1 7	99	99 10 1	0 30 ⁻ 70	100 10	0. 100	100 1	co 100) 100	000 t 000 t	100	100		

* Weeks of the month.

** D = day, N = Night.

*** † No larvae collected during December.

Alewife includes the unidentified herring since most Clupeidae in the area were alewifes. ++

Minnows include the unidentified species of Cyprinidae except for carp and goldfish. <u>Morone</u> sp. includes white perch and white bass. t++

, Sculpin includes the mottled and the slimy sculpin.

Includes species of Centrarchidae. ..

Includes tessellated and johnny darters, previously considered as subspecies and reported under the name johnny darter in earlier *** Nine Mile Point studies.

I = Trace (<0.5%)

science services division

mid-August. After mid-August, alewife postlarvae dominated ichthyoplankton samples.

Table IV-23 illustrates that for several species (e.g., alewife and <u>Morone</u> spp.) the prolarval and postlarval stages attained maximum relative abundance at about the same time. Prolarvae of burbot and rainbow smelt, how-ever, were abundant in the area for a week or more before substantial numbers of postlarvae were collected.

Highest densities of larvae (all species of prolarvae and postlarvae combined) were observed during July and August; however, two minor peaks occurred prior to July (Figure IV-3) as a result of the sequential spawning of several species. The first minor peak was due primarily to yellow perch, which accounted for 98 percent of the postlarvae collected on 22 May (Table IV-23). The second minor peak occurred in mid-June when rainbow smelt and <u>Morone</u> spp. larvae densities were at their highest. After June, alewife larvae completely dominated the ichthyoplankton population, accounting for most of the prolarvae and almost all of the postlarvae collected from July through November. Larvae densities decreased rapidly during late August, and no larvae were collected (Figure IV-3) after November.

Day/night sampling indicated that larvae densities were generally higher at night, based on total catch (all species combined) and on catches of alewife larvae, the most abundant taxa during the summer months. There was also a distinct day/night difference in the catches of several species that were not very abundant in the area; these species, including sculpin, tessellated darter, and trout-perch, were frequently present in night collections but often were not found in day samples (Table IV-23). These day/night differences reflect both diel movement or behavior patterns and daytime gear avoidance.

3. Spatial Distribution

a. Eggs

The small catches of eggs prevented analysis of spatial distribution except for alewife (Appendix Tables E-1 through E-4). Alewife eggs were consistently more abundant along the 20- than the 40-foot depth contour during


Figure IV-3. Daytime Temporal Distribution of Larvae in Vicinity of Nine Mile Point, Lake Ontario, April-September 1978

night sampling; also, egg densities were highest at the stations to the west of the power plants (upcurrent of the intake and discharge structures) along both the 20- and 40-foot depth contours (Appendix Table E-4). Alewife egg distribution exhibited no consistent trend with respect to the three depth (surface, mid-depth, and bottom) strata.

b. Larvae

(and the second

·

WARRAN CONTRACT

Data collected from June through mid-September were used to illustrate the spatial distribution of fish larvae within the study area, because both day and night data were available and total larvae densities were highest during this period. Prolarvae are purely planktonic while postlarvae attain the ability to maintain or change their position in a moving water medium as they develop. Densities of prolarvae (all species combined) decreased from the 20to the 100-foot contour (Figure IV-4). This trend was uniform both day and night. Although postlarvae catches were somewhat greater at the 100-foot contour during night sampling, postlarvae densities overall were relatively equal at all contours during both day and night sampling (Figure IV-5). As observed during previous studies (LMS 1975a, NMPC 1976a, TI 1978), the larvae of several species common in the study area, including rainbow smelt and alewife, move farther offshore as they mature. The relative similarity in postlarvae densities observed at all depth contours may result from offshore movement of the older postlarvae.

Data from six stations along the 20- and 40-foot depth contours were used to determine the influence, if any, of the two plant discharges on the spatial distribution of larvae (Section III.A.5 and Figure III-1). Based on day samples, mean densities of prolarvae along the 20- and 40-foot depth contours were slightly higher at stations just east of the Nine Mile Point Unit I discharge (Figure IV-6 and Appendix Tables E-5 and E-6). Night sampling, however, indicated that average densities were highest at stations west (1/2 and 1 mile west, upstream with respect to the prevailing current) of the Nine Mile Point and James A. FitzPatrick discharges. No consistent trends were observed in prolarvae densities along the 40-foot contour during night collections.

Mean station densities of postlarvae along the 20-foot contour were fairly uniform during the day but generally higher at night at the western most stations (1 and 3 miles west) (Figure IV-7 and Appendix Tables E-13 and E-14). Along the 40-foot contour, average postlarvae densities at all stations were fairly uniform, at night but higher both to the east and west of the discharge area during the day.

From June through August when larvae were most abundant, both prolarvae and postlarvae were usually more abundant in surface than in mid-depth and bottom samples (Appendix Tables E-5 through E-22). Alewives usually accounted for more than 90 percent of the catches during this period. Prior to the influx of the alewives, rainbow smelt larvae were usually most abundant, their densities being generally highest in the bottom or mid-depth water strata (Appendix Tables E-11, E-12, E-19, and E-20). No pattern was observed in the vertical distribution of yellow perch larvae.

IV-44

the second secon





Nine Mile Point, June through mid-September 1978



Nine Mile Point, June through mid-September 1978

4. Overview of Year-to-Year Results

The distribution of fish eggs and larvae was monitored weekly at depths ranging from 20 to 100 feet in the Nine Mile Point vicinity during April-December 1973-78. Thermally influenced and control areas were sampled over a range of depths in order to characterize the temporal and spatial distribution of the ichthyoplankton community in the Nine Mile Point vicinity and to detect potential effects attributable to Nine Mile Point and James A. Fitz-Patrick station operations.

Egg collections, which included up to six species during any one year, were consistently dominated by alewife and rainbow smelt; the eggs of other species were collected infrequently and/or in relatively low numbers. Larval samples, also dominated by alewife, included up to 22 species in a given year. Although yellow perch, rainbow smelt, and <u>Morone</u> spp. (white bass and white perch) larvae were consistently present over the years, they and other species generally occurred either in low numbers or were collected infrequently during each year. These data indicate that significant spawning in the study area is limited to the two introduced species, alewife and rainbow smelt, and that the Nine Mile Point area is not a major spawning habitat for the majority of the Lake Ontario fish community.

Two major periods of egg and larval occurrence and abundance were observed in the Nine Mile Point vicinity during each of the 6 years studied: a spring peak in late April or early May and a summer peak during July-August. This temporal pattern, reflecting the seasonal abundance of dominant species, was similar to patterns observed at other southeastern Lake Ontario locations and could not be directly attributed to plant operations. Late fall and early spring spawners, including burbot, yellow perch, and rainbow smelt, comprised the majority of catches during the spring peak period, while alewife, <u>Morone</u> spp., and sometimes rainbow smelt accounted for the greatest portion of the summer spawning peak.

Eggs and larvae were more abundant along the 20-foot than 40-foot depth contour during at least the past 6 years. Additionally, egg and larvae densities were usually lowest at the deeper (60-, 80-, and 100-foot) stations. Older larvae consistently displayed a pattern of offshore migration to deeper 1

1

waters; during the spawning season, however, no consistent spatial distribution patterns attributable to plant operation were discerned.

Relative to diel distribution in the Nine Mile Point vicinity, alewife eggs were more abundant in night samples (generally near the bottom) during the 6-year study. Alewife larvae also were more abundant during the night (generally near the surface). These findings were consistent with data from studies performed in other Great Lakes areas. Although the vertical distribution of rainbow smelt eggs was not consistent from year to year, smelt larvae proved more abundant more often near the bottom, especially at night.

In conclusion, analyses of egg and larval catches in the thermally influenced and control areas along the 20- and 40-foot depth contours uncovered no consistent temporal or spatial patterns that indicate plant-induced alterations of normal spawning patterns or egg and larval abundance and distribution in the vicinity of the Nine Mile Point study area over the past 6 years (1973-78).

F. FISHERIES

And Andrewson and

Participant and

... (]•

1. Species Composition

From the approximately 45,700 fish collected in the Nine Mile Point vicinity during 1978, 37 taxa were identified (Table IV-24). The highest number and diversity of fish were collected by beach seine and gill net, respectively; box traps collected the least number of species and individuals. Alewives dominated beach-seine collections and were second most abundant in gill-rest and trawl catches. Spottail shiners and alewives accounted for 56 percent of gill-net collections, while rainbow smelt and alewives comprised almost 70 percent of trawl catches. The five most abundant species in the Nine Mile Point area, ranked in decreasing order of abundance based on the combined data from all gear, were alewife, spottail shiner, rainbow smelt, white perch, and yellow perch (Table IV-24). Ten taxa — alewife, brown trout, gizzard shad, lake chub, rainbow smelt, <u>Salvelinus</u> spp., spottail shiner, white perch, white sucker, and yellow perch — were collected during each month of the study; and 5 other species were collected during at least seven of the nine months (Figure IV-8).

	Gill	Net	Tr	awl	Beach	Seine	Box	Trap	Tot	al .
Species*	No.	%	No.	. %	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Alewife	4,216	23.7	1,172	23.9	22 . 578	98.4			27,966	61.1
American eel	8	T**	1	Т	• ·				9	Т
Banded killifish					3	Т			3.	т
Black bullhead	1	Т						•	1	Т
Brook stickleback					1	T			1	т
Brown bullhead	67	0.4							67	0.1
Brown trout	117	. 0.7	1	T	11	Т			129	0.3
Burbot	12	0.1							12	т
Carp	: 4	T ·			•				4	Т
Chinook salmon	11	0.1			3	Т			14	Ţ
Coho salmon	4	Т			2	т			6	Ť
Emerald shiner			1	т	12	т			13	Т
Gizzard shad	258	1.5	4	0.1	10	т			272	0.6
Golden shiner					3	т			3	Т
Lake chub	123	0.7							123	0.3
Largemouth bass					3	Т			3	Т
Longnose dace					1	T			1	Т
Northern pike	2	Т							2	Т
Pumpkinseed	6	Т			•				6	Т
Rainbow smelt	2,031	11.4	2,246	45.9	1	Т			4,278	9.4
Rainbow trout	1.3	0.1			3	Т			16	Т
Rock bass	154	0.9					112	86.8	266	0.6
Sculpin	11	0.1	95	1.9			l	0.8	107	0.2
Salvelinus spp.	189	1.1							189	0.4
Sea lamprey	3	· T						•	3	т
Shorthead redhorse	1	T ·							1	T
Smallmouth bass	126	0.7			3	T	1	0.8	130	0.3
Spottail shiner	5,777	32.5	12	0.2	192	0.8	7	5.4	5,988	13.1
Stonecat	96	0.5							96	· 0.2
lessellated dartert		_	242	4.9	_				242	0.5
Inreespine stickleback	3	T	894	18.3	72	0.3	3	2.3	• 972	2.1
Irout-perch	657	3.7	226	4.6					883	1.9
Walleye	8	T.			1	Τ.			9	Т
White bass	18	0.1			1	Т			-19	Т
White perch	1,757	9.9	4	0.1	16	0.1	2	1.6	1,779	3.9
White sucker	473	2.7			2	τ	2	1.6	477	1.0
Tellow perch	1,636	9.2			21	0.1	1	0.8	1,658	3.6
Total	17,782		4,898		22,939		129		45,748	

Numbers and Percent Composition of Fish Collected by Each Sampling Gear, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

*Common names according to the American Fisheries Society (Bailey et al 1970). **T = <0.1%

+Includes tessellated and johnny darters, previously considered as subspecies and reported under the name of johnny darter in earlier Nine Mile Point studies.

IV-50

science services division

		<u></u>					···· <u>·</u> ·····		•
SPECIES	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	ост	NOV	DEC
Alewife		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Mininini i	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	())))))))))			
American eel	T	V/////////////////////////////////////					l		1
Banded killifish									1
Black bullhead	<u> </u>		r					<u> </u>	
Brook stickleback		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,						[
Brown bullhead	1								
Brown trout			<i>V////////////////////////////////////</i>	<i></i>			V/////////////////////////////////////	V/////////////////////////////////////	N/////////////////////////////////////
Burbot	T			V/////////////////////////////////////				VIIIIIIIIII	1
Carp	V/////////////////////////////////////							T in the second s	V/////////////////////////////////////
Chinook salmon	V/////////////////////////////////////	<i>\////////////////////////////////////</i>					1	t	ſ
Coho salmon	V/////////////////////////////////////			T T			1		
Emerald shiner	V/////////////////////////////////////	<i>\////////////////////////////////////</i>							1
Gizzard shad	V/////////////////////////////////////		V/////////////////////////////////////		<i>\////////////////////////////////////</i>		X/////////////////////////////////////	V//////// ////////////////////////////	¥/////////////////////////////////////
Golden shiner	T	V/////////////////////////////////////		· ·			1		T
Lake chub	V/////////////////////////////////////	V//////// ////////////////////////////		X ////////////////////////////////////	<i>\////////////////////////////////////</i>		X/////////////////////////////////////		
Largemouth bass	T		Γ		<i>\////////////////////////////////////</i>				
Longnose dace					{//////////////////////////////////////				
Northern pike					<i>\////////////////////////////////////</i>				
Pumpkinseed					\//////////////////////////////////////				
Rainbow smelt	V/////////////////////////////////////	<i>\////////////////////////////////////</i>	X/////////////////////////////////////	X/////////////////////////////////////	V/////////////////////////////////////	<i>\////////////////////////////////////</i>	X/////////////////////////////////////		X/////////////////////////////////////
Rainbow trout		<i>\////////////////////////////////////</i>	1			<i>\////////////////////////////////////</i>	X/////////////////////////////////////	<i>\ </i>	1
Rock bass	V/////////////////////////////////////	X/////////////////////////////////////	X/////////////////////////////////////	£/////////////////////////////////////	<i>\////////////////////////////////////</i>		X/////////////////////////////////////	X/////////////////////////////////////	á ·
Sculpin	V/////////////////////////////////////	X/////////////////////////////////////	X/////////////////////////////////////	X/////////////////////////////////////		<i>\////////////////////////////////////</i>	1		X/////////////////////////////////////
Salvelinus spp.	V/////////////////////////////////////			X/////////////////////////////////////		X/////////////////////////////////////	X/////////////////////////////////////	X/////////////////////////////////////	X/////////////////////////////////////
Sea lamprey			V/////////	X/////////////////////////////////////					
Shorthead redhorse							V/////////////////////////////////////		
Smallmouth bass		V/////////////////////////////////////	X/////////////////////////////////////	X/////////////////////////////////////	<i>\////////////////////////////////////</i>	X/////////////////////////////////////	X/////////////////////////////////////	X/////////////////////////////////////	X/////////////////////////////////////
Spottail shiner		X/////////////////////////////////////	X/////////////////////////////////////	X/////////////////////////////////////		¥/////////////////////////////////////	X/////////////////////////////////////	X/////////////////////////////////////	X/////////////////////////////////////
Stonecat		V/////////////////////////////////////	X/////////////////////////////////////	N/////////////////////////////////////	V/////////////////////////////////////	¥/////////////////////////////////////	X/////////////////////////////////////	X ////////////////////////////////////	X ////////////////////////////////////
Tessellated darter *		<u> </u>	¥/////////////////////////////////////			X/////////////////////////////////////	4		
Threespine stickleback		¥/////////////////////////////////////	X/////////////////////////////////////			· ·		1	1
Trout-perch		X/////////////////////////////////////	X/////////////////////////////////////	X/////////////////////////////////////		X/////////////////////////////////////	X/////////////////////////////////////	X/////////////////////////////////////	4
Walleye	1	L		V/////////////////////////////////////	1	<u> </u>	X/////////////////////////////////////	N//////// ////////////////////////////	X/////////////////////////////////////
White bass	<i>\////////////////////////////////////</i>	X/////////////////////////////////////	1	1		L		¥/////////////////////////////////////	X/////////////////////////////////////
White perch	<i>\////////////////////////////////////</i>	X/////////////////////////////////////	X/////////////////////////////////////	X/////////////////////////////////////	V/////// /////////////////////////////	X/////////////////////////////////////	8//////////////////////////////////////	X/////////////////////////////////////	X/////////////////////////////////////
White sucker	<i>\////////////////////////////////////</i>	X/////////////////////////////////////	X/////////////////////////////////////	X/////////////////////////////////////	¥/////////////////////////////////////	X/////////////////////////////////////	X/////////////////////////////////////	X/////////////////////////////////////	X/////////////////////////////////////
Yellow perch	V/////////////////////////////////////	X/////////////////////////////////////	¥/////////////////////////////////////	X/////////////////////////////////////	V ////////////////////////////////////	X/////////////////////////////////////	X/////////////////////////////////////	X/////// /////////////////////////////	X/////////////////////////////////////

*Includes tessellated and johnny darters, previously considered as subspecies and reported under the name of johnny darter in earlier Nine Mile Point studies.

 Account of the second secon

· management · management · transmission

 $\hat{\mathbb{D}}$

· Viterational

Figure IV-8. Monthly Occurrence of Fish Collected by All Gear, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

2. Temporal and Spatial Distribution

a. Gill Net

The temporal distribution of fish collected by gill nets was characterized by periods of peak abundance (catch per 12-hour set) during May-July and October-November and low catch rates during April, September, and December (Figure IV-9). Alewives, rainbow smelt, spottail shiners, white perch, and yellow perch dominated monthly catches.





Temporal Abundance of Fish Collected by Gill Net, Figure IV-9. Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

science services division

1 Because of weather conditions and catch sizes, the time expended setting and retrieving gill nets at all stations varied during the study period, precluding the use of all gill-net data for describing day/night catch differences (Appendix Tables F-1 through F-10); therefore, additional effort was made at the 15- and 40-foot depth contours to insure better representation of day/night catch rates at these locations. At stations along these two contours, catch rates were considerably higher at night than during the day (Figure IV-10). In all cases, total catches as well as day/night differences were greater along the shallower contour.

ودلاردة بالجمال

Participants -

and the second second

- Alter State

Anna ann

Based on catch data from both day and night collections (combined) and equal effort at all four depth contours, fish were more abundant at stations along the 15-foot contour than along the 30-, 40-, and 60-foot contours (Figure IV-11). Gill-net catch rates (catch per 12-hour set) for samples taken at stations on the 20-foot depth contour are excluded from this discussion because catch data at this contour were based on two rather than four samples of approximately 12-hour duration, as were taken at the other four depth contours. Catch data for the 20-foot depth contour and more detailed gill-net data for all contours appear in the 1978 Data Report (Texas Instruments 1979).

Catch rates at stations along the 15-foot contour (Figure IV-11) were frequently lowest at control station NMPW (the westernmost station, which was not subject to thermal influence from power plant discharges). Largest catches along the 15-foot depth contour were usually at the station farthest east (control station NMPE) in May, July, August, and December or at the station near the James A. FitzPatrick plant (experimental station FITZ) in April, September, October, and November.

Gill-net catches at stations along the 30-foot depth contour exhibited seasonal peaks in abundance (similar to that displayed in Figure IV-9) during July and October-November. Distribution of largest catches along the 30-foot contour was variable during spring and summer; during October-December, however, catches were larger in the eastern portion of the study area (FITZ and NMPE transects).





Catch data for the 40-foot contour displayed lower numbers but the same seasonal abundance trend and fall dominance of eastern stations (FITZ and NMPE transects) that were observed at the 30-foot contour.

Abundances along the 60-foot contour were variable, displaying no consistent temporal trend, but catches were largest at station FITZ during eight of the nine months of the study.

b. Trawls

Trawl catches (catch per 15-minute tow) were largest during May, August, and September (Table IV-25). Threespine stickleback comprised the majority of the catch during May, while young-of-the-year alewives and rainbow smelt dominated August and September collections (Appendix Tables F-16 through F-19).

A comparison of day and night trawl catch rates during the 9-month study period revealed predominantly larger night than day catches for individual sampling dates along the three depth contours sampled. However, a comparison of mean monthly catches revealed significantly larger night than day catches during only five of the nine months (Appendix Table F-16).

		Table IV-25	•
Temporal	Distribution* Nine Mile	of Fish Collected by Point Vicinity, 1978	Bottom Trawl,

Species	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec**
Alewife	0	0.3	2.3	0.3	22.8	6.3	0.4	0.4	0.1
Rainbow smelt	0.5	0.2	2.6	5.8	13.3	37.8	0.8	0.1	3.7
Threespine stickleback	0.7	22.6	1.5	0.1	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	5.6**	** 6.5†	1.3	2.6	0.	0	0.2
Total catch	1.2	23.1	12.0	12.7	37.4	46.7	1.2	0.4	4.0

*Mean monthly catch per 15-min tow.

**Day samples in late December missed due to weather.

***Mostly tessellated darters.

+Mostly trout-perch.

Trawl catches along the 20-foot contour were generally larger at stations NMPW and NMPP/FITZ during May-August and at stations NMPP/FITZ and NMPE during September. Catches during April and October-December were small and sporadic, yielding no discernible spatial patterns (Appendix Table F-16).

Trawls captured highest numbers at stations NMPW and NMPP/FITZ along the 40-foot contour during April-September. Monthly abundances were highest at experimental station NMPP/FITZ during April-August and at control station NMPW during September. As at the 20-foot contour, catches during October-December were small and sporadic, displaying no obvious trends.

Along the 60-foot contour, trawl catches were largest at experimental transect NMPP/FITZ during May-July and in September and were equally large at control transects NMPW and NMPE in August. No spatial differences were discerned from the small and sporadic catches taken during October-December.

c. Beach Seine

Beach-seine catches were small from April through July, then increased markedly in August and September as young-of-the-year alewives became available to the seining effort (Table IV-26). Spottail shiners (both youngof-the-year and adults) were also common in August and September seine catches. Catches were again small from October through December. Threespine stickleback and brown trout were relatively abundant in May and June catches.

Table IV-26

Temporal Distribution of Fish in Beach Seines, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

Species	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	0ct	Nov	Dec**
Alewife	0	0	0	0	286.5	2535.0	0.3	0	0
Spottail shiner	· · 0	0.2	0.4	0	9.6	13.6	0.6	0	0
Threespine stickleback	0	2.4	6.4	0	0	0	0.3	0	0
Other	1.2	3.7	1.0	1.2	3.9	0.7	0.6	0.3	0
Total catch	1.2	6.3	7.8	1.2	300.0	2549.3	1.8	0.3	0

*Mean monthly number of fish collected per seine haul.

**Samples not collected in late December due to weather.

Annual mean catch rates (number of fish per beach-seine haul) were highest at experimental station NMPP, primarily because of an extremely large catch of alewives (15,575 fish) during September (Appendix Tables F-20 and 21). As noted in TI's interpretive report for 1977 data (TI 1978b), NMPP was located on a section of shoreline that was visibly different from the other three seining sites; it possessed within its boundaries a relatively large, shallow vegetated area generally protected from the surf. However, an examination of monthly catches indicates that fish were abundant also at NMPE. During three of the 4 months when seine catches were relatively substantial (May, June, August, September; see Appendix Table F-20), NMPE yielded larger catches than did the other three seining stations.

d. Box Trap

Box-trap catches were largest in June, July and September (Appendix Table F-24). Rock bass, spottail shiners, and threespine sticklebacks accounted for almost 95 percent of all fish collected in trap nets (Table IV-24). Frequency of capture was highest at control station NMPW, while experimental stations FITZ and NMPP displayed the largest annual mean catches (Appendix Table F-24).

3. Selected Species Studies

Species selected for detailed studies of several of their population characteristics were alewife, rainbow smelt, smallmouth bass, white perch, and yellow perch. They were chosen because of their classification as representative important species by Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, the Power Authority of the State of New York, EPA, and the New York Department of Environmental Conservation.

This subsection discusses the temporal and spatial distribution, length-frequency distribution, spawning season, and fecundity of each species, as well as the age-class structure, coefficients of maturity, length-weight relationships, and stomach contents of white perch, yellow perch, and smallmouth bass. , **,** ,

a. Alewife

. .

Antonia and Antonia Antoni Antonia Ant

Same est estates

1) Temporal and Spatial Distribution

Gill-net catches (catch per 12-hour set) of alewives increased during May and June, reached peak levels in July, then declined sharply during late summer and exhibited a minor peak in the fall (Figure IV-9). Very few alewives were collected by gill net in April and September. Alewives were usually most abundant along the 15-foot contour. Annual mean catch rates were highest at experimental transect NMPP along the 15-, 30-, and 40-foot contours and at experimental transect FITZ along the 60-foot contour (Appendix Table F-11).

In bottom-trawl catches, alewife abundance peaked in August and declined through the fall; no alewives were collected in April (Table IV-25). Catches along the 20-foot contour were quite sporadic throughout the study period. Greatest numbers occurred at experimental transect NMPP/FITZ and control transect NMPW in August and at easternmost transect NMPE in September (Appendix Table F-17). Alewife catches after September were quite small and displayed no discernible spatial patterns. Along the 40- and 60-foot contours, numbers of alewives were highest at transects NMPW and NMPP/FITZ during May-mid August and at eastern control transect NMPE during late August. Catches at the 40- and 60-foot contours after August were small.

Beach seines captured alewives only in August, September, and October (Table IV-26 and Appendix Table F-21). Less than half the seine hauls in August and September captured alewives, but they usually contained several hundred individuals. This reflects recruitment of young-of-the-year alewives into a catchable size range, as well as the schooling nature of this typically pelagic species.

2) Length-Frequency Distribution

Alewives collected by gill net during 1978 ranged from approximately 51 to 220 millimeters in total length and were primarily adult and subadult fish (Appendix Table F-25). Modal lengths of alewives collected in June and July were slightly greater than those collected during the remainder of the study. Adult and subadult alewives were collected by trawl during May-December and by beach seine during October (Appendix Tables F-30 and F-33). Young-of-the-year alewives first occurred in seine and trawl collections in August, the same month in which this age class appeared in impingement samples at the Nine Mile Point and James A. FitzPatrick plants (Appendix Tables H-6 and H-15).

3) Spawning and Fecundity

Adult alewives in Lake Ontario reside in the open lake but migrate inshore during spring and summer to spawn. Spawning occurs in streams or nearshore shallows with sand and gravel bottoms generally when water temperatures are between 16° and 28°C. Spawning females randomly broadcast from 10,000 to 22,400 (Scott and Crossman 1973, Norden 1967) eggs that are demersal and essentially nonadhesive (Mansueti 1956).

Alewife spawning in the Nine Mile Point vicinity was first detected on 12 June (when eggs were first collected) and continued through mid-August (Appendix Tables E-3 and E-4). During this period, surface water temperatures at the 20-foot depth contour ranged from approximately 14.9° to 24° C (Appendix Tables G-2 and G-3). Fecundity (total number of yolk eggs) of alewives selected for analysis ranged from approximately 5,400 to 44,900 (Appendix Table F-38). Alewife fecundity was extremely variable; however, data indicated a general increase in fecundity with increasing specimen length.

b. Rainbow Smelt

1) Temporal and Spatial Distribution

Gill-net catches of rainbow smelt were largest in April and May, declining during the summer and increasing somewhat in early fall (Figure IV-9). Few rainbow smelt were caught in gill nets during August. Smelt abundance apparently shifted from nearshore stations (15-foot contour) in early spring to offshore stations (30-, 40-, 60-foot contours) during June-August, probably reflecting an offshore movement of postspawning adults (Appendix Table F-12). Catches along all contours increased during early fall, possibly reflecting movement associated with lower water temperatures. Mean monthly catches were generally largest at experimental station FITZ and control

science services division

-

transect NMPE during the study period; annual mean catches were largest at these two stations along all depth-contours (Appendix Table F-12).

In trawl catches, rainbow smelt abundance increased from April through September (37.8 fish per haul) and fluctuated during October-December (Table IV-25). Along the 20-foot contour, catches were sporadic and displayed no discernible abundance trends. Along the 40-foot contour, catches were more or less evenly distributed among the three transects through August, but were significantly larger at control transect NMPW than at either NMPP/FITZ or NMPE (Appendix Table F-18) during September, the month of peak abundance. After September, catches became quite sporadic at all transects along the 40-foot contour. Based on relatively sporadic trawl catch data, rainbow smelt appeared to be most numerous along the 60-foot contour during July-September, primarily at control transect NMPW and experimental transect NMPP/FITZ. After September, catches of rainbow smelt declined sharply at all stations along this contour.

Only one rainbow smelt was collected by beach seine (Table IV-26), suggesting that this species was not abundant in the vicinity of the seining stations.

2) Length-Frequency Distribution

• ·

t i

ter and terms

Rainbow smelt collected by gill nets ranged from approximately 111 to 260 millimeters in total length. During the spring, the predominant size class was from 131 to 160 millimeters in total length, plus a slight second peak in the 181- to 220-millimeter length range. Few specimens were collected during July or August but the predominant size range captured during late summer was 151 to 180 millimeters (Appendix Table F-26).

Trawls collected rainbow smelt ranging from 21 to 200 millimeters in total length. During early spring, a slight bimodal length-frequency was apparent in trawl catches, with individuals grouped in the 51- to 80-millimeter and 151- to 180-millimeter length ranges. Yearling (age I) and older fish dominated trawl catches during late spring and early summer; young-of-the-year smelt dominated trawl catches beginning in August and continuing through December (Appendix Table F-31). 3) Spawning and Fecundity

Rainbow smelt leave the open water of lakes in spring and spawn in streams or shallow lakeshore waters over gravel shoals (Rupp 1965). Spawning migrations or "runs" of ripe smelt usually begin in March and continue through May when water temperatures range from 8.9° to 18.3° C (McKenzie 1964). The number of demersal (and adhesive) eggs spawned depend on the size of the female but ranges from approximately 8,000 to 30,000 eggs (Scott and Crossman 1973).

Smelt spawning in the Nine Mile Point vicinity was documented by small catches of eggs in ichthyoplankton samples during May and sporadic catches of prolarvae from 2 May through 26 June (Appendix Tables E-11 and E-12). Fecundity of smelt collected for analysis ranged between approximately 8,200 and 39,000 eggs for individuals in the 129- to 226 millimeter length range. Increased fecundity estimates correlated well with increasing rainbow smelt length (Appendix Table F-38).

c. White Perch

1) Temporal and Spatial Distribution

White perch, which comprised approximately 10 percent of the 1978 gill-net catch in the Nine Mile Point area, were most abundant during May-August and least abundant during November and December (Figure IV-9). Abundance was greatest along the shallowest (15-foot) depth contour; catch rates decreased with increasing depth (Appendix Table F-13). Along the 15-foot depth contour, the highest annual mean catch was at experimental station NMPP and control station NMPE. Along the 30-, 40-, and 60-foot contours, catch data indicated no obvious spatial patterns with respect to experimental and control areas.

Seine hauls in 1978 took 16 white perch (0.1 percent of the total seine catch). Trawling took only four individuals and trap nets only two specimens. No temporal or spatial trends could be discerned from these low catches (Table IV-24).

2) Length-Frequency Distribution

Adult and subadult white perch were collected by gill net during each month of the study (Appendix Table F-27). Young-of-the-year white perch first

. []

appeared in gill nets during September, occurred in greatest abundance during October, and remained in catches through December.

3) Age-Class Distributon

 $\left(\right)$

,

White perch collected at control (NMPW and NMPE) and experimental (NMPP and FITZ) transects ranged in age from young-of-the-year to age XI (Appendix Table F-39). Few age-II perch were collected. Based on the mean total length for each age class and the length-frequency distribution for gill-netted fish (Appendix Table F-27), most fish in the Nine Mile Point vicinity were in age classes III through V. Mean total lengths of fish from control and experimental transects were similar for ages 0 through V. Based on relatively few data points, mean total length at control transects was greatest for ages VI through VIII, while at experimental transects it was greatest for age X.

4) Spawning and Fecundity

Lake Ontario white perch spawn in shallow water over a variety of substrates from mid-May through June (Sheri and Powers 1968). Spawning usually occurs over a period of 1 to 2 weeks when water temperatures are 11° to 15° C. The number of demensal and adhesive eggs spawned depends on the size of the female but may range from 20,000 to 300,000 eggs (Scott and Crossman 1973).

Spawning activity in the vicinity of Nine Mile Point was documented by the collection of <u>Morone</u> spp. (white perch and/or white bass) eggs during late May and early June when water temperatures along the 20-foot depth contour ranged between 9.9° and 15.5°C. <u>Morone</u> spp. prolarvae were collected from 5 June through 7-8 August (Appendix Tables E-9 and E-10) when surface-water temperatures ranged between approximately 15.5° and 23.8°C (Appendix Table G-3).

Coefficients of maturity, an indication of gonad development, rose from April to May, then declined through August or September, reflecting a peak and general decline in spawning activity during this period (Appendix Table F-35). Coefficients increased through November, reflecting development of white perch gonads for spawning the following spring. Predictably, coefficients of maturity during any given month were higher for females than for

males, while male gonad development increased at a faster rate than females' during the fall. Coefficients of maturity each month were similar at control and experimental transects.

Fecundity (total number of yolk eggs) determined for fish ranging from 204 to 321 millimeters in total length was 43,800-463,900 eggs. Fecundity values displayed a general increase with increasing fish length, although some variability was noted (Appendix Table F-38).

5) Length-Weight Relationships

Length-weight relationships were calculated for white perch males, females, and male, female, and undefined sexes combined from gill-net samples taken at control (NMPW and NMPE) and experimental (NMPP and FITZ) transects (Table IV-27). Coefficients of determination (r^2) , a measure of the linear association of length and weight, were generally high for length-weight relationships calculated for white perch during spring, summer, and fall, indicating that a high degree of the variation in fish weight was due to variaton in length. The low coefficient in spring for white perch males from the experimental transects may have resulted from collecting fish in various stages of gonad development during the spawning season, since mature, gravid, ripe, and spent white perch of similar lengths can vary considerably in weight. During the spring, the slope (b) of the length-weight relationship for males from control transects was steeper than the slope for males from the experimental area, indicating that males taken at control transects proportionally grew faster in weight per unit increase in length than those from the experimental transects. This difference in length-weight relationships between control and experimental areas may have been an artifact of collecting fish in different stages of gonad development or collecting fish over a narrow range of lengths (e.g., an adult population during the spawning season). Length-weight relationships for females, males, and the sexes combined during summer and fall were similar (Table IV-27).

Condition factors, an indication of the relative plumpness or wellbeing of the fish, were calculated for the same white perch used for lengthweight relationships. Condition factors for males, females, and the sexes combined generally decreased from spring through fall. This seasonal pattern Non section?

Length-Weight Relationships and Condition Factors for White Perch Collected by Gill Net at Control and Experimental Transects, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

		Control	Transe	cts (NMPE	and NMPW)	Experimental Transects (NMPP and FITZ)				
Season	Sex	Length-Weight Rel	ationsh	ip No.	r ^{2*}	KTL ± S.D.**	Length-Weight Relationship	No.	r ²	K _{TL ±} S.D.
Spring	Males	log w =-5.21 + 3.	18 log	TL 110	0.92	1.65 ± 0.14	log w = -2.82 + 2.17 log TL	126	0.65	1.81 ± 1.22
(Apr-Jun)	Females	log w =-4.76 + 3.	00 log	TL 124	0.88	1.77 ± 0.43	log w = -5.49 + 3.30 log TL	185	0.95	1.72 ± 0.18
	Pooled***	log w =-5.11 + 3.	14 log	TL 310	0.91	1.70 ± 0.30	log w = -4.17 + 2.75 log TL	409	0.82	1.76 = 0.81
Summer	Males	log w =-3.77 + 2.	57 log	TL 135	0.79	1.72 ± 0.93	log w = -4.74 + 2.98 log TL	80	0.96	1.64 ± 0.13
(Jul-Sep)	Females	log w =-5.00 + 3.	10 log	TL 130	0.97	1.71 ± 0.13	log w = -5.09 + 3.14 log TL	144	0.97	1.70 ± 0.14
	Pooled	log w =-4.95 + 3.	07 log	TL 417	0.92	1.67 ± 0.54	log w ≕ -4.74 + 2.98 log TL	303	0.92	1.71 ± 0.63
Fall	Males	log w =-5.21 + 3.	18 log	TL 18	0.97	1.65 ± 0.11	log w = -5.55 + 3.33 log TL	22	0.99	1.54 ± 0.15
(Oct-Dec)	Females	log w =-5.58 + 3.3	35 log	TL 71	1.00	1.62 ± 0.19	log w = -5.31 + 3.22 log TL	40	0.99	1.57 ± 0.15
	Pooled	log w =-5.56 + 3.3	34 log	TL 132	1.00	1.47 ± 0.23	log w = -5.50 + 3.30 log TL	141	1.00	1.43 ± 0.20

* Coefficient of Determination.
** Condition factor (based on total length in mm)±standard deviation.
*** Males, females and undefined sex.

IV-65

1

ł
was expected, since mature fish with gravid gonads (in spring) weigh more per unit length than do spent or maturing fish during summer and fall. Condition factors calculated for males, females, and pooled sexes were similar at control and experimental transects during each season (Table IV-27).

6) Stomach Contents

The stomach contents of 50 adult white perch ranging in size from 123 to 282 millimeters in total length were examined to determine what food items were ingested by fish collected at control and experimental areas (Figure IV-12 and Appendix Table F-42). Numerically, Amphipoda comprised 95 percent of the stomach contents of perch collected in the control and 62 percent in the experimental areas. Dominant amphipods included Gammarus faciatus and unidentified amphipods. In experimental areas, amphipods, copepods, cladocerans, and chironomids accounted for the majority of stomach contents. Gammarus faciatus and unidentified amphipods, cyclopoid copepods, chironomid pupae, and bosminid and chydorid cladocerans were the dominant forms encountered. In terms of frequency of occurrence, Gammarus faciatus and filamentous algae were encountered in 86 percent and 67 percent respectively, of the stomachs examined from control transects NMPW and NMPE, while Gammarus fasciatus, cyclopoid copepods, filamentous algae, and unidentified fish each occurred in at least 40 percent of the white perch taken from experimental transects NMPP and FITZ. Importance indices (Section III.A.6) calculated for food items in stomachs of white perch from control and experimental transects were highest for Gammarus fasciatus and postlarval and older fish (Appendix Table F-42). In terms of volume occupied, Gammarus fasciatus appeared to be more important to white perch at control than at experimental transects (56.9 percent versus 24.3 percent); postlarval and older fish were more important to white perch at experimental than at control transects (46 percent versus 22 percent).

d. Yellow Perch

1) Temporal and Spatial Distribution

Catches of yellow perch increased steadily in number through spring and early summer. Peak gill-net catches occurred in August and October, then declined through late fall (Figure IV-9). Gill-net catches indicated that yellow perch were more abundant along the nearshore (15-foot) depth contour



IV-67

than along the deeper contours (Appendix Table F-14). Based on annual mean catches, yellow perch abundance tended to increase from west to east at near-shore stations. Catches were largest at the two control stations (NMPW and NMPE) along the 30- and 40-foot depth contours, but yellow perch were equally abundant at all four stations along the 60-foot contour.

No yellow perch were collected by trawling during 1978 in the Nine Mile Point area, and trap nets caught only one specimen. Seines caught 21 yellow perch, representing 0.1 percent of the total catch, during June-September (Appendix Table F-23); 10 of these occurred during August in a single haul at control station NMPE.

2) Length-Frequency Distribution

Adult yellow perch were collected by gill net during each month (Appendix Table F-28) of the study; the predominant total-length range during the spring (April-June) was 181 to 210 millimeters, representing primarily age-III and some age-IV fish. Bimodal peaks were observed during July and August, predominantly in the 81- to 140-millimeter and 171- to 230-millimeter size ranges. The predominant total-length range in gill-net catches during late summer and fall was 131 to 160 millimeters, primarily representing age-II and age-III fish. Persisting throughout the study was a small group of fish with a length-frequency range of 251 to 270 millimeters in total length, representing ages IV through VI.

3) Age-Class Distribution

Ages of yellow perch collected at control and experimental transects ranged from (young-of-the-year) to VII (Appendix Table F-40). Based on the mean total length of each age class and the length-frequency distribution of gill-netted fish (Appendix Table F-28), most yellow perch collected at control and experimental transects were ages I, III, IV, and V. The mean total length of age-V perch collected at the control transects was somewhat greater than for fish of the same age collected at experimental transects, while age-II and age-III fish were slightly longer at experimental transects. Age-I, -IV, and -VI fish were similar in length at both areas.

4) Spawning and Fecundity

Contraction of the local division of the loc

. Company

-

and and a second second

Party and a state

, to constant

. New York

Yellow perch migrate into the shallows of lakes to spawn during spring (usually mid-April to early May) when water temperatures range from 8.9° to 12.2°C, however, spawning may extend into July in some areas (Scott and Crossman 1973). Yellow perch eggs are extruded in long gelatinous, accordianfolded strings that usually become entangled in rooted vegetation or other substrates over which spawning occurs. Fecundity of yellow perch from the Bay of Quinte, Lake Ontario, ranges from approximately 3,000 to 61,000 eggs for fish 131 to 250 millimeters in fork length (Sheri and Power 1969). Hatching usually takes approximately 8 to 10 days but has been reported to take as long as 27 days at 8.3°C (Scott and Crossman 1973).

No yellow perch eggs were collected in the Nine Mile Point study area during 1978, but yellow perch larvae were collected from 15 May through 20 June (Appendix Table E-21 and E-22) when surface water temperatures along the 20foot depth contour ranged from approximately 9.9° to 14.7° C (Appendix Table G-3). Highest concentrations of prolarvae occurred in late May (Texas Instruments 1979).

Coefficients of maturity were highest in April, declining steadily through July and August for males and females, respectively (Appendix Table F-36). The apparent lag between peak coefficients of maturity and peak larval catches suggests relatively long egg incubation times because of the relatively low water temperatures (as previously noted by Scott and Crossman 1973). In 1978, maturity values for both sexes generally increased through December; some minor fluctuations reflected the development or maturation of yellow perch gonador for spawning early the following spring. Low maturity coefficients for yellow perch males and females during December at experimental and control transects, respectively, perhaps were the result of low specimen numbers. Overall, coefficients of maturity at control and experimental transects were similar.

Fecundity estimates determined for three yellow perch females ranging from 146 to 270 millimeters in total length were approximately 6,700 to 33,800 yolk eggs (Appendix Table F-38). Trends could not be established from this low number of specimens. Four gravid yellow perch from impingement samples exhibited a similar range in fecundity — from 10,600 eggs for a 118-millimeter-long perch to approximately 54,700 for a perch of 255 millimeter (Appendix Table H-25).

IV-69

5) Length-Weight Relationships

All length-weight relationships calculated for yellow perch displayed high coefficients of determination (r^2) , indicating that a high degree of the variation in weight was due to variation in length (Table IV-28) and that gonadal weight differences due to spawning occurred prior to spring collections for length-weight analysis. All length-weight relationships displayed values of more than 3 for the slope, indicating allometric growth, i.e., increasing in weight (becoming plumper) faster than in length (becoming longer). Lengthweight relationships and condition factors calculated for yellow perch at control and experimental transects were similar (Table IV-28).

6) Stomachs Contents

The stomach contents of 50 yellow perch ranging from 102 to 286 millimeters in total length were examined to determine the food items that had been ingested by fish at control and experimental transects (Figure IV-13 and Appendix Table F-43). Numerically, amphipods (unidentified adult amphipods and <u>Gammarus fasciatus</u>) were the dominant food items (94 percent) in stomachs of yellow perch taken from control and experimental transects. These food items, as well as filamentous algae, unidentified fish, and ostracods, occurred frequently in fish taken from experimental transects NMPP and FITZ, while filamentous algae, gastropods, and unidentified fish occurred frequently in fish collected at control transects NMPW and NMPE. Importance indices (Section III. A.6) ranked Amphipoda, fish, and crayfish as the most important food items in stomachs of fish collected at both control and experimental transects.

e. Smallmouth Bass

1) Temporal and Spatial Distribution

Gill nets captured 126 smallmouth bass, comprising 0.7 percent of the total catch (Table IV-24). Catches were small except in August and September, and no smallmouth bass were caught in April or May (Appendix Table F-15). Catches were largest along the nearshore (15-foot) contour and generally decreased with depth. No distinct distribution pattern at control and experimental stations could be discerned because of the low catches. Beach seines captured three smallmouth bass, and box traps collected a single specimen. No smallmouth bass were collected by bottom trawl during the 1978 study. IV-70

Table IV-28

pro glassica coma

1.2 Common 5-153

Length-Weight Relationships and Condition Factors for Yellow Perch Collected at Control and Experimental Transects, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

Length-Weight Relationships and Condition Factors (KTL) for Yellow Perch Collected by Gill Net at Control and Experimental Transects.

			Control	Transects	(NMPE and NM	PW)	Experimental Transe	ects (NMPP	and FI	(TZ)
Season	Sex	Length-We	ight Rel	ationship	No. r ^{2*}	KTL ± S.D**	Length-Weight Relationship	No.	r ²	K _{TL ± S.D.}
Spring	Males	log w = -	5.75 + 3	1.37 log TL	21 0.9	8 1.21 ± 0.18	log w = -5.37 + 3.20 log TL	- 38	0.98	1.23 ± 0.13
(Apr-Jun)	Females	log w = -	5.69 + 3	3.34 log TL	67 0.9	9 1.29 ± 0.15	log w = -5.50 + 3.27 log TL	54	0.99	1.28 ± 0.14
	Pooled***	log w = -	5.66 + 3	.33 log TL	107 0.9	8 1.26 ± 0.17	log w = -5.50 + 3.26 log TL	95	0.99	1.25 ± 0.15
Summer	Males	log w = -!	5.57 + 3	.31 log TL	96 0.99	9 1.33 ± 0.17	log w = -5.39 + 3.23 log TL	96	0.99	1.35 ± 0.14
(Jul-Sep)	Females	log w = -!	5.46 + 3	.27 log TL	262 0.98	8 1.38 ± 0.65	log w = -5.64 + 3.35 log TL	193	0.92	1.41 ± 0.86
	Pooled	log w = -{	5.52 + 3	.29 log TL	483 0.98	8 1.35 ± 0.49	log w = -5.63 + 3.34 log TL	356	0.95	1.36 ± 0.64
Fall	Males	log w = -8	5.42 + 3	.24 log TL	76 0.99	9 1.29 ± 0.12	log w = -5.71 + 3.37 log TL	50	0.96	1.29 ± 0.15
(Oct-Dec)	Females	log w = -5	5.61 + 3	.32 log TL	178 0.98	3 1.27 ± 0.14	log w = -5.41 + 3.23 log TL	178	0.99	1.30 ± 0.12
	Pooled	log w = -5	5.57 + 3	.30 log TL	313 0.98	3 1.27 ± 0.14	log w = -5.50 + 3.27 log TL	261	0.98	1.30 ± 0.13

*Coefficient of determination.

Marine and a print of a comp

Condition factor (based on total length in mm) ± standard deviation. *Males, females and undefined sex.

CONTROL TRANSECTS EXPERIMENTAL TRANSECTS LENGTH RANGE (mm) 102-286 LENGTH RANGE (mm) 105-285 NO. OF STOMACHS EXAMINED 25 NO. OF STOMACHS EXAMINED 25 NO. OF EMPTY STOMACHS 4 NO. OF EMPTY STOMACHS 3 AMPHIPODA 94% AMPHIPODA 94% OTHER 6% OTHER 6% CONTROL TRANSECTS EXPERIMENTAL TRANSECTS IMPORTANCE INDEX (%)¹ AMPHIPODA 44% AMPHIPODA 65% FISH 25% FISH 15% CRAYFISH 16% CRAYFISH 10% **OTHER 15%** OTHER 10% CONTROL TRANSECTS EXPERIMENTAL TRANSECTS

NUMERICAL ABUNDANCE (%)

Importance index = (% stomach fullness) x
 (% stomach volume occupied by a particular food item).

Figure IV-13. Analysis of Stomach Contents of Yellow Perch Collected by Gill Net at Control and Experimental Transects, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

2) Length-Frequency Distribution

automa a

• •

.

and the state

r, waiting

Materia Ind

. bronstand

Smallmouth bass captured in gill nets ranged from approximately 181 to 430 millimeters in total length (Appendix Table F-29). Few smallmouth bass were collected during spring and fall, but those captured during the summer were distributed rather uniformly across a range of lengths (241 to 430 millimeters). Based on length-frequency distribution data all smallmouth bass collected by gill net were yearlings and older.

3) Age-Class Distribution

Ages of smallmouth bass collected at control and experimental transects ranged from 0 (young-of-the-year) to XI (Appendix Table F-41). Based on mean total length at a given age, the majority of smallmouth bass collected in the vicinity of Nine Mile Point were ages III, IV, and V. No consistent mean length differences in a given age class were observed at either control or experimental transects, and in both areas mean total lengths of males, females, and pooled sexes, ages III through VIII, were similar (Appendix Table F-41). Small catches of ages 0, I, II, and IX through XI precluded their comparison at control and experimental areas.

4) Spawning and Fecundity

Smallmouth bass spawn in late spring and early summer (usually from late May to early July) in 2 to 20 feet of water over a sandy, gravel, or rocky-substrate often near rocks or logs and sometimes in dense vegetation (Scott and Crossman 1973). Nest-building occurs over a wide range of temperatures (12.8 to 20.0° C), but actual spawning most often occurs when temperatues range from 16.1° to 18.3°C. The number of eggs spawned (fecundity) varies with the size of the female, ranging from 5,000 to 14,000 eggs.

During the 1978 study, no smallmouth bass eggs or larvae occurred in ichthyoplankton samples from the Nine Mile Point vicinity (Section IV.E). Although relatively few smallmouth bass were captured in 1978, coefficients of maturity for these specimens appeared to peak during June and decline through November and September for males and females, respectively (Appendix Table F-37). Maturity coefficients for females increased through October and November, based on single specimens taken during each month. No smallmouth bass were processed for coefficients of maturity during December. During months in which smallmouth were collected at both control and experimental transects, coefficients of maturity were similar.

Fecundities for four females (333 to 398 millimeters in total length) collected from Lake Ontario ranged from approximately 2,500 to 7,300 yolk eggs per female (Appendix Table F-38). Fecundities for an additional 12 females collected during impingement sampling at the James A. FitzPatrick plant ranged from approximately 5,300 to 33,800 yolk eggs per female (Appendix Table H-25).

5) Length-Weight Relationships

Length-weight relationships were calculated for males, females, and both sexes combined (Table IV-29). No smallmouth bass were processed for length-weight relationships analysis during the spring. The high coefficients of determination for smallmouth bass collected during summer and fall indicated that the variation in weight for males, females, and pooled sexes was due to variations in length. All length-weight equations for bass collected during the summer displayed slopes higher than 3, indicating allometric growth, i.e, increasing in weight faster than in length. Length-weight relationships and condition factors (K_{TL}) for bass collected at experimental and control transects were similar during the summer and fall (Table IV-29).

6) Stomach Contents

The stomach contents of 15 adult smallmouth bass ranging from 250 to 382 millimeters in total length were examined to determine what food items had been ingested by fish at control and experimental transects (Figure IV-14 and Appendix Table F-44). Of the 15 stomachs examined, three were empty. Unidentified postlarval and older fish and crayfish (Astacidae) were the only food items encountered in bass stomachs. Although fish were found more frequently than crayfish in bass taken at control stations NMPW and NMPE, fish ranked second to crayfish in numerical abundance. This pattern was reversed at experimental transects NMPP and FITZ, where crayfish occurred more frequently but fish numerically dominated the contents (Figure IV-14). Importance index patterns displayed by fish and crayfish food items at control and experimental transects were the opposite of numerical abundance trends, showing that fish ·)

1 . .

Length-Weight	Relationships	and Co	ndition	Factors	for	Smallmouth	Bass
Coll	lected at Contr Nine Mile	col and	Experin	nental Tr tv. 1978	anse	ects,	
			1202020	., .,			

Table IV-29

presta di con

and income in the

particular production production production production productions

ا نیسیسیا

1.

nainenne¹

		Control Transects	(NMPE a	and NMPW)		Experimental Transects	(NMPP an	d FITZ)
Season	Sex	Length-Weight Relationship	No.	r ^{2***}	KTL ± S.D.**	Length-Weight Relationship	No,	r ²	^K TL ± S.D.
Spring	Males	NC				NC			
(Apr-Jun)	Females	NC				NC			
	Pooled***	NC				NC			
Summer	Males	log w = -5.21 + 3.17 log TL	25	0.98	1.61 ± 0.18	log w = -5.30 + 3.20 log TL	20 (0.97	1.59 ± 0.16
(Jul-Sep)	Females	log w = -5.19 + 3.15 log TL	21	0.99	1.60 ± 0.11	log w = -4.94 + 3.06 log TL	21	0.98	1.65 ± 0.15
	Pooled	log w = -5.25 + 3.18 log TL	55	0.98	1.61 ± 0.15	log w = -5.00 + 3.08 log TL	49 (0.99 [.]	1.61 ± 0.15
Fall	Males	NC				log w = -4.80 + 3.00 log TL	6.	1.00	1,64 ± 0.15
(Oct-Dec)	Females Pooled	log w = -5.24 + 3.18 log TL NC	4	0.95	1.68 ± 0.24	log w = -5.13 + 3.13 log TL NC	4 (0.99	1.56 ± 0.10

* Coefficient of determination.
 ** Condition factor (based on total length in mm) ±standard deviation
 *** Males, females, and undefined sex.
 NC= Relationship was not calculated because of low catches.

. •

IV-75

lancers were a

pinanti sussia 🤊 🐂



were more important volumetrically at control transects NMPW and NMPE and that crayfish were more important at experimental transects NMPP and FITZ. This finding, which indicates that numerical abundance is not necessarily important in terms of stomach volume occupied, emphasizes the need for more than a single analysis method to fully describe food habits via stomach contents.

4. Overview of Year-to-Year Results

batamana

, -

. Energy of

1

The temporal and spatial distribution of fishes in the vicinity of Nine Mile Point in Lake Ontario were monitored at varying levels of effort from 1969 through 1978. Preoperational and early postoperational studies (1969-72) used fathometric techniques, gill nets, and traps. Subsequent higher-intensity postoperational surveys (1973-78) employed a combination of gear (gill nets, trawls, seines, and traps), depending on sample location and desired information. These studies examined data from a thermally influenced area and control regions to the east and west of the discharge area.

Fish community structure in the Nine Mile Point vicinity varied seasonally during any given year, changing from a simple system in winter and early spring to a highly complex community in late spring, summer, and fall. Data provided by preoperational and postoperational studies indicated that the fish community in this area of Lake Ontario is not diverse; rather, for most of the year, it is dominated by one or two species and has a small number of other species in low and intermediate numbers. Species diversity proved to be highest in spring because of an inshore movement of a number of lake fish species. During months in which alewives are most abundant, typically June-August, diversity values remain low. Diversity usually rebounded in the fall, coinciding with the offshore movement of alewives.

During the past 10 years, sampling in the vicinity of Nine Mile Point has collected 72 fish species. During a typical sampling year, alewives comprised a majority of the total catch at lake stations, with rainbow smelt, spottail shiners, yellow perch, and white perch accounting for the majority of the remaining catch.

Overall, normal life-cycle development patterns were observed for species designated as representative of the area (e.g., alewife, rainbow smelt,

smallmouth bass, white perch, and yellow perch). Temporal and spatial distribution patterns have depended on the species, the stage of development, and the temporal and longitudinal temperature patterns and gradients.

Seasonally, fish have been collected in greatest numbers during the spring, coinciding with the shoreward migration of the two most abundant species, alweife and rainbow smelt. Abundances typically decline during the warmer summer months and rise during the fall, corresponding to increased catches of young-of-the-year fish.

During 1973-78, the shorezone fish community typically remained low in abundance and was dominated by young-of-the-year alweives. Cyprinids, primarily forage species such as spottail and emerald shiners, centrarchids, and white perch, comprised the other major community constituents. In the lake, fish concentrations were highest at the two easternmost transects, control transect NMPE and experimental transect FITZ, and lowest at control transect NMPW; typically, abundances at experimental transect NMPP were intermediate between these high and low values.

Yearly gill-net catch data for rainbow smelt, white perch, and smallmouth bass in the Nine Mile Point vicinity displayed no significant changes among years (1969-78). Alweife abundance oscillated, displaying highest numbers in 1974 and 1976 and declining through 1977 and 1978; abundance trends based on gill-net data generally mimicked the patterns displayed for impingement catches at the Nine Mile Point and FitzPatrick plants. The yellow perch population declined from 1969 through 1974 but rebounded threefold in 1975, then declined slightly from 1977 through 1978. Data on gizzard shad indicated a generally increasing population in the Nine Mile Point vicinity through 1975 and a decline during 1977 and 1978; greatest concentrations were at the NMPP and FITZ transects (vicinity of plant thermal discharges) during the fall. Salmonids such as brown trout, chinook, and coho salmon appeared infrequently in gill-net catches through the years and typically reflected stocking intensity for any given year.

To date, no incidents of cold-shock fish mortality due to plant shutdown at either the Nine Mile Point or the James A. FitzPatrick stations have Ц Ц

1)

been reported; nor have rare, endangered, or threatened fish species been collected in the Nine Mile Point area since the onset of preoperational studies. In summary, comparisons of temporal and spatial abundances based on catch-pereffort data as well as length-frequency distribution, age and growth, fecundity, gonad maturity, and diet analysis between experimental and control areas in the Nine Mile Point vicinity for 1969-78 have revealed no distinct or consistent alterations to the normal seasonal life-cycle patterns of the fish community directly attributable to operations at the Nine Mile Point or James A. FitzPatrick nuclear stations.

G.. WATER QUALITY

ton to out

During 1978, water was sampled and temperature measured in Lake Ontario in the vicinity of Nine Mile Point to monitor and evaluate the effects of operation of the Nine Mile Point and James A. FitzPatrick power plants on nearshore water quality.

1. Lake Ontario Thermal Profiles

Thermal profiles were obtained at the 100-foot contour of the NMPW, FITZ, and NMPE transects each week during the study (Appendix Table G-1). Examination of these profiles and of the temperature of surface (3-foot) and bottom (100-foot) strata at these three transects revealed the presence of cold, hypolimnetic water intrusions during the summer (Figure IV-15). Comparisons of weekly temperature profiles among the three transects revealed that surface water temperatures at the FITZ transect (nearest the discharges) exceeded those at either or both the NMPW and NMPE transects by 1° C or more on only four pacasions (once each in May, July, August, and September).

Surface temperatures taken during collection of monthly and semimonthly water quality samples at the FITZ transect (near the James A. Fitz-Patrick discharge) were elevated only on one occasion at the 20-foot contour and on four sampling days at the 40-foot contour, and maximum elevation was only 3° C (Appendix Appendix Tables G-2 and G-3.) At the NMPP transect near the Nine Mile Point discharge, surface temperatures exceeded by at least 1° C those of one or both control transects, NMPW and NMPE, on 15 of 18 and 7 of 18 sampling dates at the 20-foot and 60-foot contours, respectively. The maximum LE



Figure IV-15. Seasonal Variation in Water Temperatures at Surface and Bottom Strata along the 100-Foot Depth Contour

IV-80

science services division

:)

thermal difference between experimental and control transects occurred on April 11, when the NMPP 20-foot contour surface temperature was 5[°]C higher than that recorded at the NMPE transect (Appendix Tables G-2 and G-3). The thermal plume at the NMPP transect is more pronounced, probably because of the difference between the Nine Mile Point and James A. FitzPatrick discharge structures (jet diffusion at the FitzPatrick plant).

2. Temporal and Spatial Distribution of Selected Parameters, Including Radiological Data

Three water quality sampling programs were conducted during the 1978 study. (Refer to subsection III.A.7 for a complete description of the sampling programs.) To describe spatial and temporal trends in the water quality parameters, the 20- and 25-foot contour surface data were compared with combined surface data from the 40-, 45-, and 60-foot contours for each transect. Bottom samples from the 25- and 45-foot contours were evaluated individually inasmuch as no bottom samples were collected at the other contours. The groupings were made in order to compare inshore versus offshore areas in the Nine Mile Point vicinity. Of the water quality parameters measured, nine are discussed in detail - dissolved oxygen (DO), nitrate nitrogen, total and orthophosphorus, silica, calcium, sulfate, and total and suspended solids - because of their roles in the biological processes in the waters around Nine Mile Point or their importance in general water quality evaluations. Also briefly discussed are toxic and trace metals, organic contaminants and radioactivity data.

a. Dissolved Oxygen

Dissolved oxygen concentrations were lowest during July and August, dropping to 7.4 milligrams per liter (mg/l) during the latter month (Table IV-30). At no time was DO low enough to stress aquatic organisms. Oxygen levels were lower during summer because of decreased solubility of dissolved oxygen in the warmer water and not because of increases in oxygen demand from organic or reduced metals contamination.

There were no observed differences between inshore (20- and 25-foot) and offshore (40-, 45-, and 60-foot) or between control and experimental areas (Table IV-31).

Table IV-30

Monthly Variation in Selected Water Quality Parameters Collected in the Vicinity of Nine Mile Point, 1978

Parameter	Unit		Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Dissolved oxygen	mg/2-D0	Mean Range Std dev* No	14.9 14.2-15.5 0.5 18	15.1 14.2-16.7 0.6 18	13.1 12.0-14.6 1.0 18	8.8 8.3-9.7 0.5 18	8.6 7.4-9.0 0.6 18	9.3 8.5-11.1 1.0 18	9.1 8.8-9.7 0.3 18	.10.7 10.2-11.3 0.4 18	13.6 13.3-14.0 0.2 18
Nitrate	`mg∕ℓ+N	Mean Range Std dev No	0.31 0.28-0.38 0.04 16	0.26 0.20-0.35 0.05 16	0.18 0.15-0.27 0.03 16	0.03 <0.01-0.06 0.02 16	<0.04 <0.04 0.00 16	0.13 0.05-0.17 0.04 16	0.14 0.12-0.19 0.02 16	0.18 0.16-0.22 0.02 16	0.29 0.27-0.33 0.02 16
Total phosphorus	mg∕⊥-P	Mean Range Std dev No	0.021 0.005-0.048 0.009 22	0.018 0.008-0.033 0.008 22	0.024 0.018-0.033 0.005 22	0.028 0.017-0.044 0.007 22	0.012 0.004-0.022 0.005 22	0.013 0.008-0.020 0.003 22	0.027 0.016-0.048 0.010 22	0.012 0.005-0:022 0.004 22	0.038 0.008-0.110 0.030 22
Orthophosphorus	mg∕ደ-P	Mean Range Std dev No	0.009 0.004-0.019 0.004 16	0.011 0.006-0.018 0.005 16	0.004 0.003-0.006 0.001 16	0.004 <0.002-0.008 0.002 16	0.004 <0.002-0.012 0.004 16	0.003 <0.002-0.004 0.001 16	0.002 <0.002-0.006 0.001 16	0.004 0.002-0.006 0.002 16	0.008 <0.003-0.022 0.007 16
Silica	mg∕ℓ-SiO3	Mean Range Std dev No	0.37 0.31-0.49 0.08 16	0.08 <0.05-0.13 0.03 16	0.11 <0.05-0.17 0.05 16	0.19 0.09-0.30 0.08 16	0.18 0.11-0.30 0.07 16	0.21 0.13-0.27 0.05 16	0.14 0.10-0.17 0.02 16	0.18 0.11-0.25 0.04 16	0.29 0.14-0.37 0.07 16
Calcium	mg/l-Ca	Mean Range Std dev No	37.0 33.1-38.4 1.9 10	41.3 36.4-50.6 5.7 10	41.9 39.2-45.3 2.1 10	44.7 37.5-53.8 4.6 10	40.9 38.8-43.8 2.0 10	33.0 30.7-37.8 2.2 10	36.7 30.5-50.0 7.1 10	41.0 36.4-47.0 3.6 10	34.6 28.6-43.0 6.0 10
Sulfate	mg/1-50 ₄	Mean Range Std dev No	33.4 27.7-40.7 5.9 10	31.5 27.2-42.0 5.8 10	27.9 25.8-30.9 1.7 10	25.0 24.3-25.9 0.5 10	25.8 23.7-28.2 1.8 10	27.9 24.6-30.7 1.9 10	28.8 27.6-29.7 0.8 10	31.1 29.9-32.9 1.2 10	27.6 25.8-30.8 1.7 10
Total solids	.mg/1-TS	Mean Range Std dev No	204 146-248 29 22	251 176-419 62 22	212 167-251 20 22	168 136-222 25 22	185 147-211 34 22	233 163-316 55 22	202 160-225 14 22	226 196-266 17 22	217 178-249 18 22
Total suspended solids	mg/l-TSS	Mean Range Std dev No	1.6 <0.1-4.0 1.3 22	3.1 0.8-15.8 3.5 22	1.4 0.2-4.0 0.9 22	4.8 0.6-7.4 2.3 22	1.1 <0.1-4.0 1.0 22	0.3 <0.1-1.2 0.5 22	1.1 <0.1-3.8 0.9 22	2.0 <0.1-7.6 2.2 22	7.3 <0.1-21.0 8.0 22

* Standard deviation

IV-82

			Surface (20- and 25-ft co	ontours)	Surface (40- to 45- and 60-ft contours)			
Parameter	Unit		West Control* (NMPW)	Experimental (NMPP-FITZ)	East Control (NMPE)	West Control (NMPW)	Experimental (NMPP-FITZ)	East Control (NMPE)	
Dissolved oxygen	mg/l-DO	Mean Range Std dev** No.	11.3 8.2-14.8 2.5 27	11.5 8.2-15.5 2.6 27	11.6 8.1-15.4 2.7 27	11.4 8.2-16.7 2.8 27	11.4 7.4-15.5 2.7 27	11.6 8.3-16.6 2.7 27	
Nitrate	mg/e-N	Mean Range Std dev No.	0.17 <0.01-0.33 0.10 18	0.18 <0.01-0.37 0.11 27	0.17 <0.01-0.34 0.10 18	0.17 <0.01-0.37 0.10 18	0.18 0.01-0.37 0.10 27	0.17 <0.01-0.37 0.10 18	
Total phosphorus	mg/2-P	Mean Range Std dev No.	0.021 0.009-0.044 0.010 27	0.022 0.005-0.047 0.010 36	0.018 0.009-0.033 0.008 27	0.019 0.004-0.052 0.011 27	0.025 0.005-0.110 0.025 36	0.019 0.004-0.044 0.010 27	
Orthophosphorus	mg/l-P	Mean Range Std dev No.	0.005 <0.002-0.017 0.005 18	0.006 <0.002-0.022 0.005 27	0.004 <0.002-0.012 0.003 18	0.004 <0.002-0.012 0.003 18	0.005 <0.002-0.016 0.004 27	0.005 <0.003-0.01 0.004 18	
Silica	mg/2-SiO ₂	Mean Range Std dev No.	0.19 <0.05-0.44 0.11 18	0.20 <0.05-0.49 0.11 27	0.19 <0.05-0.46 0.11 18	0.20 <0.05-0.49 0.11 18	0.19 <0.05-0.45 0.10 27	0.19 0.05-0.44 0.10 18	
Calcium	mg/l-Ca	Mean Range Std dev No.	37.6 29.2 -48. 8 6.5 9	39.5 29.2-50.6 5.7 18	36.0 28.6-41.9 4.3 9	38.2 29.9-53.8 7.6 9	39.2 31.1-43.8 4.5 18	37.0 30.7-48.8 5.8 9	
Sulfate	mg/2-S0 ₄	Mean Range Std dev No.	27.8 25.0~30.7 1.9 9	29.4 24.4-42.0 4.7 18	27.7 24.4-30.2 •2.1 9	28.0 24.6-30.9 2.0 9	28.7 24.4-39.9 3.9 18	27.4 24.6-31.7 2.6 9	
Total solids	mg/l-TS	Mean Range Std dev No.	216 153-337 42 27	223 139-419 57 36	212 154-300 35 27	207 1 39-282 36 27	203 144-300 39 36	206 153-294 30 27	
Total suspended solids	mg/l-TSS	Mean Range Std dev No.	2.0 <0.1-10.6 2.6 27	2.6 <0.1-19.0 4.2 36	2.2 <0.1-15.8 3.2 27	2.0 <0.1-21.0 4.0 27	2.3 <0.1-15.8 3.6 36	2.1 <0.1-6.6 2.0 27	

Spatial Distribution of Selected Water Quality Parameters Collected from Experimental and Control Areas, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

Table IV-31

P/441505

prositivities ""

prison and

personal second

*See section III.A.7 for details of sampling locations.

** Standard deviation.

IV-83

para ana ana ana

b. Nitrate

Nitrate concentrations at all transects decreased from April through August and increased from September through December (Table IV-30). Monthly mean values were highest in April and December. There were no observed differences between control and experimental areas or between inshore (20- and 25foot) contours and offshore (40- 45-, and 60-foot) contours (Table IV-31 and Appendix Tables G-3 and G-4).

c. Phosphorus

Highest total phosphorus occurred in December, lowest in August and November (Table IV-30). The December values (maximum, 0.110 mg/1) came from samples collected at the 45- and 60-foot contours on the experimental transects (Appendix Tables G-3 and G-4). These high values at the offshore contours produced a higher annual mean at the experimental transects than at the control transects. These higher experimental transect levels could not be directly attributed to power-plant operation.

Orthophosphorus was low throughout 1978, with April, May, and December exhibiting highest values (Table IV-30). There were no observed spatial differences among transects, between inshore and offshore groups, or with depth (Table IV-31 and Appendix Table G-4).

d. Silica

Silica values were lowest during May and June, and many were at or near the 0.05-mg/l detection limit (Table IV-30). No differences could be seen between inshore and offshore samples or between control and experimental transects (Table IV-31). Temporal changes and variability in silica could not be attributed to power-plant operation.

e. Calcium and Sulfate

Only small monthly variations occurred in the concentrations of these two parameters. No specific temporal trends were apparent (Table IV-30). Levels of both were only slightly elevated from the 1977 data base, (Table IV-31, Appendix Tables G-2 and G-4, and TI 1978b). The levels found during the 1978 program were well within ranges expected for Lake Ontario and no effects of plant operation could be determined.

f. Solids

. .

, **.**

In 1978, total solids were lowest during July and August; during the rest of the year, mean monthly values exhibited only slight variation (Table IV-30). Mean monthly suspended solid concentrations were at a low in September and reached a high in December (Table IV-30). Relatively calm weather in September and winter storms in December played a major role in creating these extremes. Winter storms with high winds were significant because the absence of the usual shoreline ice cover created extreme wave-action, which increased the suspended sediment load.

Total and suspended sediments in nearshore transect samples (20- and 25-foot contours) were only slightly higher than in offshore transect samples (Table IV-31), and there were no apparent differences between experimental and control transects, indicating that plant operation had no effect on suspended or total solids (Table IV-31 and Appendix Tables G-2, G-3, and G-4).

g. Common, Trace, and Toxic Metals

Concentrations of nickel and magnesium relative to the other common metals were very uniform throughout 1978, while sodium, iron, and manganese were somewhat more variable (Appendix Table G-2 and G-4). Sodium levels were highest in May and December at the 25- and 45-foot contours. Iron was generally more variable from sample to sample than were the other metals, but there were no apparent temporal trends. Manganese concentrations fluctuated monthly and were below detection (0.001 mg/1) in June (Appendix Table G-3).

Of the trace metals, beryllium and vanadium had levels during the entire year that were at or below the detectability limit. Selenium was detectable only during August, September, and December (Appendix Table G-4). Cadmium and silver concentrations never exceeded their detection limits. Chromium levels at or only slightly above detection limits were noted only in November and December; during all other months, concentrations were below detection. Detectable levels of mercury were very low (Appendix Table G-4) and occurred only in December. Copper, lead, zinc, and arsenic were commonly encountered at levels above those of minimum detection but never at levels harmful to organisms in the area or exceeding EPA standards (USEPA 1976b).

No spatial trends could be determined among transects, since metal analyses were conducted only on samples from the NMPP/FITZ transect (Appendix Table G-4). No inshore-offshore or surface-bottom differences were found for any of the trace metals.

h. Indicators of Organic Pollution

No temporal or spatial trends among transects were apparent for biological oxygen demand (BOD_5) , chemical oxygen demand (COD), total coliform bacteria, or carbon chloroform extract (CCE). Phenols and MBAS (analysis for anionic surfactants) were at or below limits of detection except during August when phenols were slightly elevated (Appendix Table G-4).

Total coliform bacteria counts were slightly higher in nearshore than in offshore samples. No pollution problem was indicated from either coliform or COD analyses, because no monthly value exceeded either state or federal regulations. No effects of plant operations on these parameters were observed.

i. Radioactivity

Gross alpha and gamma radiation values were below detection limits except for some very low gross alpha counts in October. Gross beta counts and tritium concentrations were low and did not exceed ambient Lake Ontario levels. No differences were determined between experimental and control areas (Appendix Tables G-2 and G-4).

3. Overview of Year-to-Year Results

Monthly and semimonthly water quality sampling programs conducted in the Nine Mile Point vicinity from 1973 through 1978 included weekly thermal profiles at the 100-foot depth contour. Although many of the parameters analyzed fluctuated monthly and annually, there were no persistent trends. During any given year, there were temporal cycles for many of the parameters, particularly nutrients (nitrogen and phosphorus compounds) and water temperatures. For example, inorganic nitrogen and phosphorus characteristically increased during winter and decreased during summer with a corresponding summer increase in organic nitrogen and organic phosphorus compounds. Annual and monthly parameter means were typical of those reported by other investigators for the Nine Mile Point area of Lake Ontario. Data collected over the past 6 years showed no short-term or long-term effects from operation of the Nine Mile Point Unit 1 and James A. FitzPatrick power plants. The Oswego River, west-to-east longshore currents, and hypolimnetic upwellings of cold, often nutrient-rich waters exert the most influence on the physicochemical parameters at Nine Mile Point.

Constrained and the second

SECTION V

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION - IN-PLANT STUDIES

A. INTRODUCTION

And the second second

The second second

And the second s

C. South Lands

and a second sec

from characterized

When a natural water body such as Lake Ontario is used by an electric power station for once-through cooling, debris, fish, larger invertebrates, and small planktonic organisms are drawn into the cooling-water system. The debris, fish, and large invertebrates are impinged on the bar racks and traveling screens and consequently removed from the cooling water. The small planktonic organisms, on the other hand, pass through the screens and subsequently through the entire cooling-water system (entrainment).

Both the Nine Mile Point and James A. FitzPatrick power stations have once-through cooling systems with offshore submerged intakes and discharges (see Section II). At maximum operation, the Nine Mile Point plant requires 597 cubic feet per second (cfs) of cooling water, while the James A. FitzPatrick plant requires 825 cfs.

Water from Lake Ontario enters the cooling-water systems at the Nine Mile Point and James A. FitzPatrick plants through separate submerged intake structures at velocities of approximately 1.8 and 1.2 feet per second (fps) respectivley, with all circulating pumps running. The intakes are located directly offshore of each plant near the 25-foot depth contour. Fish entering the cooling-water systems are impinged on traveling screens and subsequently backwashed from the screens into washwater sluiceways where the impingement collection baskets are located. The impinged fish are removed from the Lake Ontario ecosystem since neither plant has facilities for returning them to the lake.

Phytoplankton, zooplankton, and fish eggs and larvae in the cooling water pass through the traveling screens and subsequently through the circulating pumps, condenser tubes, and discharge structures. These organisms are exposed to such stresses as temperature changes, mechanical abrasion, shear forces, pressure changes, and exposure to biocides, which act independently or synergistically to affect the entrained organisms. Although entrainment kills some of the aquatic biota in the cooling water, it may also produce subtle

V-1

J.

nonlethal short- or long-term effects, benefits, or in some cases no identifiable response. Phytoplankton, as a group, can survive higher temperatures than can zooplankton and ichthyoplankton (Marcy 1975) and are less vulnerable to mechanical damage because of their smaller size. Not only are phytoplankton more tolerant to entrainment effects, but they also have the greatest capacity to regenerate losses following entrainment (Morgan and Stross 1969). Zooplankton also have relatively short regeneration times and can replace entrainment losses quickly (Churchill and Wojtalik 1969, Heinle 1969).

Specific studies of fish impingement at Nine Mile Point Unit 1 began in the spring of 1972 and were initiated at FitzPatrick when the plant began operating in 1975. The impingement of fish on the traveling screens at these two plants has been monitored in order to estimate total loss of fish, in terms of numbers and weights, each year. In addition to estimating annual impingement, the principal objectives of the 1978 impingement program were to:

- Determine species composition of impinged fish
- Describe seasonal patterns of impingement rates
- Characterize daily variations in impingement rates

Entrainment studies at the Nine Mile Point and James A. FitzPatrick plants were initiated about the same time as the impingement studies, with comprehensive results appearing in the 1973 Nine Mile Point Report (QLM 1974) and the 1976 Annual Report for Nine Mile Point (LMS 1977a). The 1978 entrainment program at Nine Mile Point was conducted to document the species composition and seasonal variation in entrainment of ichthyoplankton. Previous entrainment studies at Nine Mile Point on phytoplankton and microzooplankton (QLM 1974 and LMS 1975a), established no significant impact on these two biotic groups. At the James A. FitzPatrick plant, the 1978 program included both entrainment and viability (mortality) studies on phytoplankton, zooplankton, and ichthyoplankton. The major objectives were to:

- Determine entrainment rates for the zooplankton and ichthyoplankton communities at the FitzPatrick plant
- Describe the potential effect of entrainment on the phytoplankton community by monitoring chlorophyll a levels and primary production (¹⁴C tracer method) at several locations at the plant and in the lake

Estimate the percent mortality due to entrainment in the zooplankton and ichthyoplankton components of the aquatic biota

Since plant operations have a direct impact on the effects of impingement and entrainment (i.e., changing intake velocities and discharge temperatures), certain parameters describing plant operation for each day of 1978 are presented in Appendix Tables H-1 and H-2.

The results presented in this section of the report document the entrainment and impingement at both power stations during 1978, satisfying the NRC and NPDES permit requirements to monitor the plants for potential effects on the aquatic biota.

B. IMPINGEMENT

And a second sec

· All and a state of the state

Just a second second

الارد ومعمد المناطقة مع الارد و معمد المناطقة الم

Parameter -

a diama tanan A

1. Nine Mile Point Unit 1

a. Species Composition

Impingement sampling at Nine Mile Point during 1978 resulted in the collection of 41 fish taxa, 36 of which were identified to species (Table V-1). Of the total number of fish collected, threespine sticklebacks and rainbow smelt comprised approximately 74 percent (Appendix Table H-3); threespine sticklebacks dominated February-July samples, while rainbow smelt were dominant in mid-winter (January and December) and late summer (August and September). Threespine sticklebacks were absent only during September. During October and November, alewife was the most abundant species in impingement collections. Eight species — alewife, gizzard shad, rainbow smelt, smallmouth bass, spottail shiner, trout-perch, white perch, yellow perch — and specimens of the genus <u>Cottus</u> were consistently present in impingement samples and seven other species were found during at least 10 of the 12 months.

Gizzard shad, alewife, and rainbow smelt comprised 77 percent of the total fish biomass collected (Table V-1 and Appendix Table H-4) during impingement sampling: gizzard shad dominated during January-April and October-December. Alewife were dominant during May and June, and rainbow smelt during September. White sucker and burbot were the dominant species, respectively, in July and August. LE)

Table V-1

Number and Weight of Fish Collected during Impingement Sampling and Estimated Annual Impingement, Nine Mile Point Unit 1, 1978

Common Name*	Number Collected	Weight Collected (g)	Estimated Number	Estimated Weight (g)
Alewife	8,074	218,728.2	18,252	488,260.9
American eel	39	17,472.2	90	40,827.7
Black crappie	1	3.0	2	7.2
Bluegill	16	178.7	38	426.1
Brook stickleback	17	27.3	41	66.3
Brown bullhead	28	2,128.1	65	5,024.1
Brown trout	5	12.477.5	11	29,754.1
Burbot	29	32,604.4	68	76,773.2
Central mudminnow	28	135.9	68	333.3
Cisco	1	74.7	15	502.3
Cottus sp.**	2,098	7,254.3	4,919	17,011.9
Cyprinidae	3	7.3	7	17.4
Emerald shiner	1,312	3,456.5	3,051	8,017.1
Fathead minnow	4	15.5	10	35.6
Freshwater drum	11	3,005.6	25	6,793.9
Gizzard shad	4,282	1,047,236.7	10,167	2,487,502.2
Golden shiner	2	12.3	5	30.0
Goldfish	18	618.5	43	1,411.5
Lake chub	39	598.1	79	1,079.6
Largemouth bass	2	28.5	5	68.0
Lepomis sp.	1	1.3	2	3.1
Longnose dace	1	17.9	2	41.8
Longnose gar,	1	131.3	2	313.1
Oncorhynchus sp.	1	865.7	2	2064.4
Pumpkinseed	24	152.3	57	363.2
Rainbow smelt	25,331	155,338.6	59,866	366,469.5
Rainbow trout	2	1,407.0	4	3,309.7
Rock bass	176	22,218.0	417	52,579.6
<u>Salvelinus</u> sp.***	44	4,807.7	103	11,232.2
Sea lamprey	20	3,177.5	. 47	7,522.3
Smallmouth bass	136	27,159.5	320	63,622.4
Spottail shiner	1,325	6,296.1	3,097	14,754.0
Stonecat	68	3,656.1	159	8,568.9
Tessellated darter+	375	712.9	867	1,630.4
Threespine stickleback	57,857	83,821.7	139,579	201,788.7
Trout-perch	1,027	6,910.2	2,349	15,743.2
Walleye	. 11	715.4	27	1,694.7
White bass	2,350	34,308.0	5,550	80,631.6
White perch	3,784	96,655.3	8,830	226,053.3
White sucker	58	24,168.9	137	57,608.4
Yellow perch	3,992	29,055.9	8,951	67,600.0
Unidentified	3	NA	7	NA
Total	112,596	1,847,640.6	267,336	4,347,536.9

*Common names are according to the American Fisheries Society list of common and scientific names of fishes from the United States and Canada (Bailey et al 1970).

**Primarily mottled sculpin.

***Species identification of lake trout and splake remains tentative because of overlapping identifying characteristics of native and stocked populations.

+Includes tessellated and johnny darters, previously considered as subspecies and reported under the name of johnny darter in earlier Nine Mile Point studies.

b. Temporal Distribution

In the providence of the providence

- anterior

A provide the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second

and a second sec

The temporal distribution for total catch rate (number collected per 1000 cubic meters cooling of water used) during 1978 was characterized by peak periods of abundance (Figure V-1) in spring (April) and winter (January and December). Threespine sticklebacks accounted for 96 percent of the fish impinged during the April peak and were a major component of impingement samples from January through June. Rainbow smelt were most abundant during the winter (January and December) but also exhibited a minor peak in abundance during September. The catch rate for alewife was highest during May (Figure V-1).

Diel variations in catch rates (number impinged per hour) were observed in day and night samples collected each Wednesday during 1978. Generally, catch per hour was greater at night than during the day but the magnitude of this difference varied from month to month (Figure V-2). During the peak in impingement rates in April when threespine sticklebacks dominated catches, catch rates were higher during the day than at night.

c. Estimated Impingement

The total number of fish impinged at Nine Mile Point Unit 1 during January-December 1978 was estimated to be approximately 267,000 (Table V-1) over half of which were threespine sticklebacks. Total weight was estimated to be approximately 4,350 kilograms, with gizzard shad contributing 57 percent of the total biomass. The estimated numbers and weights of fish impinged during each month of 1978 are presented in Appendix Table H-5.

d. Length Frequency

Alewife, rainbow smelt, smallmouth bass, threespine stickleback, white perch, and yellow perch length-frequency distibutions (Appendix Tables H-6 through H-11) showed that adults and subadults generally were impinged during January-July. Young-of-the-year were first encountered during summer (usually July or August) and dominated samples from September through December. Bimodal length-frequency distributions of alewives during May and June suggested that impinged alewives were predominantly yearlings with an adult contingent.





Figure V-2. Diel Variation in Impingement Rates at Nine Mile Point Unit 1 during 1978

Total lengths of impinged threespine sticklebacks fell within a range of 31 to 80 millimeters (Appendix Table H-9), but most sticklebacks were between 50 and 64 millimeters.

2. James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Station

a. Species Composition

- National State

and the second

1. Contractor

*Contractions

· Englisherentertik

· Vagsferrugerad

Pression of

Anomalians,

Martine Contractor

ور سائده رود .

and the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of

A music growth

Impingement sampling at James A. FitzPatrick in 1978 resulted in the collection of 45 fish taxa, 42 of which were identified to species (Table V-2).

Of the total number of fish collected, threespine sticklebacks, rainbow smelt, and alewives comprised approximately 86 percent. Threespine sticklebacks dominated impingement samples from February through June, rainbow smelt in January and September-December, and alewives in July and August (Appendix Table H-12). Two species — rainbow smelt and spottail shiner — and specimens of the genus <u>Cottus</u> were consistently present in impingement samples, and 11 other species were found during at least 10 of the 12 months.

Gizzard shad and alewives comprised approximately 65 percent of the total fish biomass collected at FitzPatrick (Table V-2 and Appendix Table H-13). Gizzard shad was the dominant species collected during January-April and was a major component of the biomass in November and December. Alewives dominated samples from May through July and contributed much of the biomass during September and December. Smallmouth bass dominated in August, American eel in October; alewives and rainbow smelt were equally dominant in September.

b. Temporal Distribution

The temporal distribution of impingement rates (number of fish impinged per 1000 cubic meters of water sampled) was characterized (Figure V-3) by highest catch rates during the spring (March and May) and lowest rates in late summer (July). Although catch rates were also low during October and November, cooling-water flow rates were down at least 50 percent during these months while the reactor was being refueled. Impingement rates were intermediate during the fall (September) and winter. Most of the fish impinged during the spring maxima were threespine sticklebacks. Catch rates for rainbow smelt were highest during the winter (January) and during the late fall (September through December). Although alewives were common in catches from May through August and in December, impingement rates for alewives were highest in August. Since the traveling screens were inoperable from 8 to 13 November, the November catch rates are based on approximately 3 weeks of data.

Diel variations in catch rates (number impinged per hour) were observed in day and night impingement samples collected each Wednesday during 1978 (Figure V-4). Although catch per hour was greater at night than during the day during 10 of the 12 months, day/night differences were frequently small. The noticeably higher night than day catch rates during September, V-8



and a second

- the second - the second -

and the second s

Science Contraction of

Table V-2

Common Name*	Number Collected	Weight Collected (g)	Estimated Number	Estimated Weight (g)
				1 054 000 5
Alewife	28,691	580,593.5	67,311	1,354,300.5
American eel	12	3,863.3	28	9,174.5
Black crappie	4 .	307.5	9	766.6
Bluegill	20	468.5	47	.1,116.0
Bowfin	1	350.8	2	836.5
Brook stickleback	30	40.6	74	100.9
Brown bullhead	24	2,430.8	56	5,747.9
Brown trout	21	51,957.1	49	122,816.8
Burbot ,	12	6,013.4	28	14,333.1
Carp	5	305.1	11	724.5
Central mudminnow	. 44	203.2	105	487.4
Channel catfish	2	80.3	4	177.9
Cisco	1	36.2	2	86.3
Cottus sp.**	1,452	4,502.7	3,425	10,627.0
Cyprinidae	9 ·	19.8	21	47.8
Emerald shiner	2,416	5,488.4	5,715	12,984.4
Fathead minnow	13	30.1	30	68.4
Freshwater drum	20	4,988.0	47	11,802.6
Gizzard shad	6,497	1,185,250.1	15,468	2,805,680.3
Golden shiner	17	61.2	41	106.4
Goldfish	27	1,744.6	64	4,197.6
Lake chub	81	422.3	193	1,001.5
Lake chubsucker	1	524.0	2	1,209.2
Logperch	4	24.8	10	59.1
Longnose dace	10	103.3	24	243.5
Longnose gar	2	141.3	5	326.1
Northern pike	3	3,088,8	. 7	7,134.9
Pirate perch	1	12.9	3	32.3
Pumpkinseed	38	3,474,5	90	8,280,3
Rainbow smelt	31,992	152,700,6	74,962	359,488.7
Rock bass	529	98.569.4	1.258	233,910,2
Salvelinus sp.***	28	1,876,9	65	4,472,4
Sea lamprev	10	1.059.6	24	2,512.7
Smallmouth bass	478	231,498,3	1.135	549,622.0
Spottail shiner	2.732	11,805,9	6.459	27,954,9
Stonecat	58	1.834.2	135	4.313.2
Tadpole madtom	5	13.4	12	32.0
Tessellated dartert	917	1.390.2	2.157	3,253.9
Threespine stickleback	98.347	167,216,6	222.837	378,514,8
Trout-perch	1,510	11,262,0	3,479	25,815,6
Walleve	20	1,446 5	47	3,449 4
White bass	1,197	18,152 7	2.843	43.047 7
White nerch	2 488	81 147 1	5 263	100 200 D
White sucker	72	26 272 6	172	62 202 0
Yellow perch	4,403	39,624.2	9,874	93,157.3
Total	184,244	2,702,797.2	424,193	6,357,647.0

Number and Weight of Fish Collected during Impingement Sampling and the Estimated Annual Impingement, James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Station, 1978

*Common names are according to the American Fisheries Society list of common and scientific names of fishes from the United States and Canada (Bailey et al 1970).

**Primarily mottled sculpin.

***Species identification of lake trout and splake remains tentative because of overlapping
identifying characteristics of native and stocked populations.

+Includes tessellated and johnny darters, previously considered as subspecies and reported under the name of johnny darter in earlier Nine Mile Point studies.



V-10



Figure V-4. Diel Variation in Impingement Rates at James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Station during 1978

and management

December, and January probably were related to the high relative abundance of rainbow smelt during these 3 months. Although the very high night impingement rate in April occurred when threespine sticklebacks dominated samples, day/ night differences were negligible during May and June when sticklebacks again dominated catches.

c. Estimated Impingement

The total number of fish impinged at James A. Fitzpatrick during 1978 was estimated to be approximately 424,000 (Table V-2), over half of which were threespine sticklebacks. The total weight of all impinged fish was estimated to be approximately 6,400 kilograms, with gizzard shad contributing 44 percent of the total biomass. The estimated numbers and weights of fish impinged during each month of 1978 are presented in Appendix Table H-14).

d. Length Frequency

Alewife, rainbow smelt, smallmouth bass, threespine stickleback, white perch, and yellow perch length-frequency distributions (Appendix Tables H-15 through H-20) showed the general trends that have already been discussed for these same species in Nine Mile Point plant impingement samples.

e. Age Composition

During each season of impingement sampling, the two most abundant species were chosen for age analysis to define the relative sizes of the fish in the age classes observed. These data, combined with length-frequency data, provided an estimation of ages for the more frequently impinged fish. Rainbow smelt and threespine sticklebacks were chosen for age analysis for winter (January-March) and spring (April-June). The most frequently impinged species during summer (July-September) were alewife and threespine stickleback; and during fall (October-December), alewife and rainbow smelt. As noted by Eddy (1969), sticklebacks have no scales so they are typically aged by examining otoliths (Jones and Hynes 1950, cited in Scott and Crossman 1973) or length frequencies (Greenbank and Nelson 1959, cited in Carlander 1969). The latter method was used to age threespine sticklebacks impinged at the James A. FitzPatrick plant. .

·

Alewives collected for age analysis ranged during the summer from young-of-the-year (age class 0) to age class IV and during the fall from youngof-the-year to III. The seasonal age-class distributions (Appendix Tables H-23 and H-24) and the length frequency for impinged alewives (Appendix Table H-15) indicated that ages 0, I, and II dominated impingement samples during the summer and that young-of-the-year (age 0) and age III dominated in the fall. The strong contingent of young-of-the-year alewives in August and September was the result of young-of-the-year recruitment into an impingeable size range (Appendix Table H-15).

and the second se

providence providence providence providence providence providence

Annual Contraction

· based and a

. Marine and and

Rainbow smelt from impingement collections ranged from yearlings to age VI during winter and spring and from young-of-the-year to age IV in the fall (Appendix Tables H-21, H-22, and H-24). Length-frequency distributions during the winter (January-March), spring (April-June), and fall (October-December) were distinctly bimodal (Appendix Table H-16), with large numbers in the 51- to 100-millimeter and 131- to 180-millimeter length ranges. Age-class data indicated that fish in the 51- to 100-millimeter length range were predominantly yearlings during winter and spring and young-of-the-year (age 0) during the fall (Appendix Tables H-21, H-22, and H-24). Individuals in the 131- to 180-millimeter length range during winter and spring were mostly ages II and III; in the fall, yearlings and 2-year-olds (age classes I and II) comprised most of the smelt in the larger category (131 to 180 millimeters).

Length frequencies of threespine stickleback, a dominant species in impingement catches at FitzPatrick from January through September, ranged from about 25 millimeters to 84 millimeters, representing young-of-the-year (age 0) to age-IV fish [Jones and Hynes 1950 (for Alaskan waters) and Greenbank and Nelson (for English waters), cited in Carlander 1969]. The predominant threespine stickleback year classes impinged during the winter through summer were a mixture of predominantly age-II and yearling (age-I) fish plus some age-III and possibly age-IV specimens. Otolith data from English waters indicate that sticklebacks probably don't live longer than 3.5 years. Although 2.5 years is the typical lifespan in Alaskan lakes, some age-IV threespine sticklebacks (approximately 75-millimeter mean fork length) have been reported, with all age-IV specimens being females (Rogers 1962, cited in Carlander 1969). Therefore, the

science services division

V-13

impinged threespine sticklebacks that were longer (total length) than 75 millimeters may have included some age-IV individuals (probably females).

f. Fecundity

Alewives generally spawn in late spring when water temperatures are between 16^o and 28^oC. Spawning females randomly broadcast from 10,000 to 22,400 (Scott and Crossman 1973, Norden 1967) demersal and essentially nonadhesive eggs (Mansueti 1956). During the 1978 study, fecundity estimates of impinged alewives were extremely variable; however, larger specimens generally possessed the most yolk eggs. Fecundity estimates for alewives collected by gill net from the lake ranged from 5,400 to 44,900 eggs (Appendix Table F-38). Estimates for lake-caught specimens were also highly variable, but data indicated a general increase in fecundity with increasing fish length.

Rainbow smelt spawn in streams or shallow lakeshore waters (Rupp 1965) over gravel shoals. Spawning runs of ripe smelt usually begin in March and continue through May when water temperatures range from 8.9° to 18.3°C (McKenzie 1964). The number of demersal and adhesive eggs spawned depends on the size of the female but generally ranges from approximately 8,000 to 30,000 (Scott and Crossman 1973). In 1978, the fecundity (total number of yolk eggs) of impinged rainbow smelt 133 to 224 millimeters in total length ranged from approximately 6,000 to 30,600 eggs. Fecundity estimates displayed some variation among individuals of comparable sizes but generally followed a pattern of increasing numbers of yolk eggs with increasing length and weight (Appendix Table H-25). Fecundity of smelt 129 to 226 millimeters in length collected from the lake ranged from approximately 8,200 to 39,000 eggs and displayed a positive correlation between increasing fecundity and total length (Appendix Table F-38).

White perch usually spawn over a period of 1 to 8 weeks in the spring when water temperatures range from 11° to 15° C. The number of eggs produced per female may range from 20,000 to 300,000 (Scott and Crossman 1973). At the James A. FitzPatrick station in 1978, the total number of yolk eggs for impinged white perch 205 to 305 millimeters in total length ranged from approximately 35,400 to 267,400 (Appendix Table H-25). Although fecundity estimates for certain fish of comparable lengths varied, there was generally an increase •

V-14
with increasing length and weight. Estimates of fecundity for lake-caught white perch 204 to 321 millimeters in total length varied from 43,800 to 463,900 yolk eggs.

Yellow perch spawn from mid-April to early May when water temperatures range from 8.9° to 12.2°C (Scott and Crossman 1973). Yellow perch eggs are extruded in long, gelatinous masses that frequently become entangled in aquatic vegetation. Fecundity of four yellow perch 118-255 millimeters impinged at the James A. FitzPatrick plant ranged from approximately 10,600 to 54,700 yolk eggs (Appendix Table H-35). Fecundity of three yellow perch ranging from 146 to 270 millimeters in total length taken from Lake Ontario during the 1978 study ranged from approximately 6,000 to 33,800 yolk eggs (Appendix Table F-38). Fecundity of Lake Ontario yellow perch from the Bay of Quinte (131-257 millimeters in fork length) ranged from 3,035 to 61,465 eggs (Sheri and Power 1969). Fecundity of Maryland yellow perch 147 to 254 millimeters in total length was 36,600 to 109,000 eggs (Scott and Crossman 1973).

Smallmouth bass spawn in late spring and early summer, often near rocks, or logs and sometimes in dense vegetation (Scott and Crossman 1973). Nest building takes place over a wide range of temperatures $(12.9^{\circ} to 20.0^{\circ}C)$, but actual spawning usually occurs at temperatures between 16.1° and $18.3^{\circ}C$. The number of eggs spawned (fecundity) per female ranges from 5,000 to 14,000 and is reported to average 7,000 eggs per pound of female (Scott and Crossman 1973). The total number of yolk eggs for 12 smallmouth bass impinged at the Fitzpatrick station in 1978 ranged from approximately 5,300 to 33,800 (Appendix Table H-25). Fecundity for 58 percent of these fish were between 11,000 and 16,000. Fecundity estimates for four smallmouth bass 333 to 398 millimeters for total length, collected from Lake Ontario in the vicinity of Nine Mile Point during 1978 ranged from approximately 2,500 to 7,300 eggs (Appendix Table F-38).

3. Overview of Year-to-Year Results

Annonimiant - Announcement

- Services

Commence -

a provincianara

Production of the second second

Automatical and the second sec

The second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second se

t f

Fish have been collected from the traveling screens at Nine Mile Point Unit 1 since 1972 and at the James A. FitzPatrick plant since late 1975. Sample's have been taken every Monday, Wednesday, and Friday since June 1973 and at James A. FitzPatrick since January 1976. On Mondays and Fridays impingement

V-15

was monitored for a 24-hour period; Wednesday sampling was divided into day and night photoperiods. Nine Mile Point impingement collections have yielded between 37 and 48 species of fish each year since 1973; the James A. FitzPatrick. power plant has yielded between 43 and 54 species each year since 1976. Alewives consistently dominated impingement samples at both plants through 1977: they comprised at least 80 percent of the fish impinged annually at Nine Mile Point during 1973-76 and 90 percent of the 1976 catch at James A. FitzPatrick. Rainbow smelt have been second in abundance at both power plants except in 1976 when threespine sticklebacks were second at Nine Mile Point. Rainbow smelt were relatively more abundant in 1977 than in earlier years, accounting for 27 percent and 30 percent, respectively, of the fish impinged annually at Nine Mile Point and J.A. FitzPatrick; alewives comprised 48 percent and 56 percent of the annual catch respectively at the plants in 1977. In 1978, threespine sticklebacks were very abundant in impingement samples, replacing alewife as the dominant species, while smelt again were second in abundance; e.g., sticklebacks, smelt, and alewives comprised 52, 22, and 7 percent, respectively, of the total 1978 catch, at Nine Mile Point.

Estimated annual 1974-78 impingement at Nine Mile Point Unit 1 ranged between 135,000 and 3.4 million fish with 1976 exhibiting the largest impingement. James A. Fitzpatrick also had its largest estimated annual impingement in 1976 (compared with other years), when 4.3 million fish were impinged on the traveling screens. Additionally, FitzPatrick has had higher impingement rates than Nine Mile Point since 1976.

Both power plants exhibited a major spring peak in impingement, corresponding with the onshore movement and spawning season of many of the major species. Impingement rates typically decreased through the summer and increased to a minor peak in the fall. Alewives and rainbow smelt characteristically dominated the spring peak. Alewives were most abundant in the vicinity of the power stations (and therefore in impingement samples) from April or May through the summer. Rainbow smelt, in contrast, were most abundant in late fall and winter (December-March). Impingement rates were usually higher at night than during the day. During several years, the day/night difference was statistically significant for rainbow smelt.

science services division

-

Several methods were used to assess the impact of impingement on selected fish species, including comparing annual impingement estimates to standing-stock estimates, lake-stocking data, and commercial-fishing harvests. Based on individual species analysis using the above comparisons, the numbers of fish impinged at Nine Mile Point Unit 1 and James A. FitzPatrick represent a negligible portion of the Lake Ontario fish community and the existing fish populations are not expected to be altered by power plant operations.

C. ENTRAINMENT/VIABILITY

Announced Announced Announced Announced

A reason of the second of the

1. Nine Mile Point Unit 1

The cooling-water system at the Nine Mile Point power station was sampled at the intake forebay from April through October 1978 to determine the abundance and composition of entrained fish eggs and larvae. Eggs, mostly those of alewife, occurred only during June and August (Table V-3). Larvae were collected from early May through late August, with peak abundance occurring in early August. Except for some tessellated darters in early July, alewives comprised the entire July and August catches. The entire early June catch was rainbow smelt, and the May catch was yellow perch. Except for some prolarval tessellated darters, postlarvae predominated larval catches [Table V-3 and Section VI.A of the 1978 Data Report (TI 1979)].

The abundance of eggs and larvae in entrainment samples did not correspond closely with periods of peak ichthyoplankton abundance in Lake Ontario. Day catches of both eggs and larvae in Lake Ontario peaked in July, whereas entrainment sampling yielded eggs only in late June and early August, with the peak in abundance occurring in early August.

Although egg densities were slightly higher in entrainment samples than along the 20-foot depth contour in Lake Ontario, larval densities were usually lower inside the plant intakes than in the lake. Lake samples from the 20-foot contour were chosen for comparison with intake (entrainment) samples because the submerged offshore intakes for both stations are located near the 24-foot depth contour. Lake egg densities along the 20-foot contour ranged between 0 and 5 eggs per 1000 cubic meters on 26 June and between 0 and 43 per 1000 cubic meters on 7 August compared with densities of 87 and 47 per 1000 Table V-3

Occurrence of Fish Eggs and Larvae in Entrainment Samples from the Cooling-Water Intakes of the Nine Mile Point and James A. Fitzpatrick Nuclear Stations, Lake Ontario, 1978

	Apr		r		May Jun			J	ul	A	ug	Sep	Oct		
	Location*	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2
Alewife	NMP JAF						E**	L*** E/L	L E/L	E/L E/L	լ (Ը/ւ	(L) [†]			
Carp	NMP JAF			No	carp c	aught	at NMP L								
Goldfish	NMP JAF			No	goldfi	sh ca	ught at L	NMP L							
Herring, unid. [#]	NMP			No	herrin	ig cau	ight at	NMP							
_	JAF					-	-		0	ſ	Û	(L)			
Minnows unid.	NMP JAF			No	minnow	is cau	ight at L	nmp	Ū	Ŭ	•	•		·	
Rainbow smelt	NMP JAF					L L	(L)	L				•			
Sculpin	NMP JAF			No	sculpi	in cau	ight at L	NMP							
Sunfish	NMP JAF			No	sunfis	sh cau	ight at	nmp			Ū				
Tessellated darter $\underline{\zeta}$	NMP JAF							լ (Ը/լ							
Trout-perch	NMP JAF			No	trout-	-perci	n caught	: at NM L	1P						
White perch	NMP JAF			No	white	percl	n caught	: at NM E	1P						
Yellow perch	NMP JAF			L	L L										
Unidentified eggs	NMP JAF						Ē	E.	E						

* Nine Mile Point or James A. FitzPatrick Power Plant.

** JAF shut down in late September and intake velocity was insufficient for sampling.

*** E=eggs, L=larvae.

+ Eggs or larvae collected during viability studies but not in intake samples.

++ Most were probably alewife.

cubic meters in entrainment samples on 27 June and 8 August, respectively (Table V-4 and Appendix Table E-1). In early and late August when larval abundance peaked in entrainment samples at 383 and 140 larvae per 1000 cubic meters, larvae densities in the lake ranged from about 50 to more than 400 per 1000 cubic meters in early August and from 5 to more than 650 per 1000 cubic meters in late August (Appendix Tables E-5 and E-13). In addition to differences in densities, entrainment samples contained only a few taxa in contrast to the 20 taxa of eggs and larvae identified in Lake Ontario samples.



And a second sec

- Commencer

· grant to see a

Kerner A. Kerner, A. Kerner, A. Kerner, K.
Table V-4

Density of Eggs and Larvae Entrained at the Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station, Lake Ontario, 1978

lifo Stano	ļ	Apr		May		Jun		Jul		Aug		Sep		t
Life Stage	3	17	9	23	16	27	11	25	8	22	13	29	10	24
Eggs	0	0	0	0	0	87	0	0	47	0	0	0	0	0
Larvae	0	0	10	26	132	0	16	11	383	140	. 0	0	0	0

*Mean density of two daytime samples per date, expressed as No./1000 m^3 .

2. James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Station

a. Phytoplankton

The potential effect of the James A. FitzPatrick power station operation on the phytoplankton community in the vicinity of the station was monitored by determining chlorophyll <u>a</u> concentrations and primary production levels inside the power station (intake and discharge) and within the thermal plume (actual lake samples or simulation of the thermal plume; refer to Section III.B.2 and LMS 1977a). Concentrations of chlorophyll <u>a</u>, a photosynthetic pigment, were measured as an estimate of phytoplankton abundance. Also determined were levels of phaeophytin <u>a</u> (a degradation product of chlorophyll <u>a</u>), which optically interferes with the quantitative measurement of chlorophyll <u>a</u>, and also provides an estimate of chlorophyll molecule loss due to either entrainment effects or oxidation during cellular respiration. A radioactive carbon tracer (¹⁴C) technique was used to determine the amount of carbon that the phytoplankton community can incorporate (primary production) per unit of time.

Chlorophyll <u>a</u> and primary production samples were collected within the intake forebay to monitor the relationship between in-plant samples and monthly lake phytoplankton samples. Discharge samples were compared with intake samples to measure the potential effects of passage of the phytoplankton through the power station. In addition, simulation studies were conducted to estimate the effects of entrainment in the thermal plume out to the 3°F and 2°F

science services division

isotherms. These simulation studies were made by collecting phytoplankton samples at the intake and subjecting them to temperature changes simulating the temperature regime within the thermal plume. The methods are further described in Section III.B.2.

1) Chlorophyll a and Phaeophytin a

a) Temporal Distribution

Chlorophyll <u>a</u> concentrations in the cooling-water intake, based on the average of two replicate samples incubated at ambient intake temperature for 7 hours, ranged from a low of 0.47 micrograms per liter in February day collections to a high of 13.72 in May night samples (Appendix Table J-1). Chlorophyll <u>a</u> concentrations were low in the winter (December-February) and mid-summer (July) and peaked in May. April-December lake surface samples exhibited similar seasonal changes, with chlorophyll <u>a</u> concentrations ranging from 0.73 micrograms per liter in September to 9.08 in June (Appendix Table A-9). Estimates of chlorophyll <u>a</u> concentrations at the intake forebay were comparable with results from lake surface waters. Chlorophyll <u>a</u> concentrations for intake samples incubated for 24, 48, and 72 hours revealed a temporal distribution pattern and concentrations similar to the 7-hour incubation samples (Appendix Tables J-2 through J-4).

Phaeophytin <u>a</u> concentrations in the samples collected semimonthly (twice per month) and incubated for 7 hours (Appendix Table J-5) ranged from below detection limits (<0.10 micrograms per liter) in early March, April, and early November to a high of 3.71 in early June. Phaeophytin <u>a</u> monthly mean concentrations from surface lake samples ranged from a low of 0.24 micrograms per liter in September to a high of 1.73 in November. A comparison of the monthly means of lake (Appendix Table A-10) and intake (7-hour incubation) samples indicated no consistent pattern among them. Intake phaeophytin <u>a</u> results for the 24-, 48-, and 72-hour incubation periods indicated a temporal distribution pattern similar to that of the 7-hour incubation samples (Appendix Tables J-6 through J-8). There was no significant increase in phaeophytin <u>a</u> concentrations with increase in incubation time.

There were no consistent differences among day and night samples collected at the intake or discharge for chlorophyll \underline{a} and phaeophytin \underline{a} during any of the incubation periods.

b) Plant Entrainment

Sector and

the second second

Summer of the second se

- Granner

and the decoded of the

A STATEMENT AND A STATEMENT

i

Discharge and intake concentrations of chlorophyll <u>a</u> and phaeophytin <u>a</u> were compared (as a ratio of discharge to intake) to evaluate the entrainment effect of the plant on the phytoplankton community. Discharge samples were collected after intake samples at a specific time interval, depending on the time required for the water to pass through the plant, to insure that the same mass of water would be sampled at both the intake and discharge. A ratio of less than 1 represents a decrease in chlorophyll during plant passage while a ratio greater than 1 indicates potential stimulation of the phytoplankton community.

Chlorophyll <u>a</u> discharge/intake ratios for the 7-hour incubated samples revealed that approximately 60 percent of the sampling periods showed a small reduction in chlorophyll <u>a</u> concentration at the discharge (Appendix Table J-1). Although discharge/intake ratios were frequently less than 1, the loss to the phytoplankton community was not great, as indicated by the frequency of ratios that fell within the range of 0.80-0.99. Results of the chlorophyll <u>a</u> discharge/intake ratios for the 24- and 48-hour incubations revealed a trend that was similar to that of the 7-hour incubation period (Appendix Tables J-2 through J-3). However, only about 54 percent of the samples incubated for 72 hours exhibited a reduction in chlorophyll <u>a</u> concentrations at the discharge (Appendix Table J-4). These results may indicate that phytoplankton losses to entrainment are small and that possibly, over a long incubation period, the phytoplankton begin to partially replace chlorophyll a lost during entrainment.

Throughout the 1978 study, discharge/intake ratios for phaeophytin <u>a</u> concentrations were variable for the four incubation periods. A majority of the discharge/intake ratios were greater than 1 (Appendix Tables J-5 through J-8). The ratios showed that there was an increase in phaeophytin concentrations in the discharge, indicating that the phytoplankton community had lost some chlorophyll <u>a</u> as a result of passage through the plant.

c) Plume Entrainment

To estimate the effect of entrainment of lake phytoplankton into the thermal plume, 3° and 2° simulation/intake ratios were determined. A ratio of less than 1 indicates a decrease in chlorophyll <u>a</u> due to entrainment into the thermal plume; a ratio greater than 1 indicates that entrainment of phytoplankton in the thermal discharge may stimulate the production of more chlorophyll and/or increase cell reproduction because of the elevated water temperatures.

Chlorophyll <u>a</u> results based on 7-hour incubation indicated that approximately 60 percent of the 3° simulation/intake ratios and 50 percent of the 2° simulation/intake ratios were greater than 1 (Appendix Table J-1). Ratios from the 24-, 48-, and 72-hour incubation periods showed similar results (Appendix J-2 through J-4). The 3° and 2° simulation/intake ratios for the four incubation periods suggested no affect or only a slight increase in chlorophyll <u>a</u> values in the plume area.

The 3° and 2° simulation/intake ratios for the four incubation periods indicated that phaeophytin <u>a</u> increased in approximately 57 percent of the thermal-plume samples (Appendix Tables J-5 through J-8).

Comparison of chlorophyll <u>a</u> samples collected in the 3^o and 2^o ΔT areas of the thermal plume in Lake Ontario indicated an overall slight increase in chlorophyll <u>a</u> concentrations, as was observed in the simulation studies. These comparisons also indicated an overall small loss in phaeophytin <u>a</u> concentrations.

Discharge/intake ratios indicated a small overall decrease in chlorophyll <u>a</u> concentrations, which may have been indicative of a slight depression in chlorophyll <u>a</u> in the phytoplankton community due to entrainment through the plant or into the thermal plume. The slight increase in phaeophytin <u>a</u> concentration tends to support the chlorophyll <u>a</u> observations. Although this depression may represent a loss of phytoplankton cells, the phytoplankton community typically exhibits rapid regeneration, which probably negates any entrainment effects within a few hours to several days. 1

 $\left[\right]$

Simulation/intake ratios for the 3° and 2° simulations (or the 3° and 2° lake plume samples) indicated an overall increase in chlorophyll <u>a</u> and phaeophytin a as a result of the temperature increase in the plume area.

2) Primary Production (¹⁴C)

... Antoneounal

. Alteration

- Low - Low - Company - Comment

No. Economicant

, Arrayana a

a) Temporal Distribution

Primary productivity samples were collected to determine the amount of carbon the phytoplankton community incorporates per unit of time, and to estimate plant and plume entrainment effects. These samples were collected and incubated at ambient intake temperatures for periods of 7, 24, 48, and 72 hours. The temporal distribution of primary production values for intake samples incubated for 7 hours showed that lowest production occurred during January-early March and again during early September. Production peaked during April-August (Appendix Table J-9).

Surface samples collected in the lake during phytoplankton surveys exhibited peak primary production values in June and secondary peaks in May, August, and November. Lowest monthly values for lake phytoplankton production were observed in September. The peaks and valleys observed in the lake samples were reflected in the intake samples during April-December. This indicated that the samples collected in the forebay area were representative samples for the viability studies.

Comparisons of day and night primary production data at the intake were made, considering each of the four incubation periods individually. Samples from the 7-hour incubation period indicated that day values were higher than night values on 60 percent of the sampling dates (Appendix Table J-9). For the 24-, 48-, and 72-hour incubation periods, production was higher during the day on 67, 71, and 79 percent of the sampling dates respectively (Appendix Tables J-10 through J-12). The trend for higher carbon uptake during the day would appear to be a natural phenomenon since phytoplankton utilize sunlight for their energy source and have their highest rate of respiration during the day. It should be noted, however, that during both short and long incubation periods the samples were incubated under a continuous light source. b) Plant Entrainment

About 70 percent of the discharge/intake ratios from the 7-hour incubation series over the 12-month study were less than 1, indicating a loss in phytoplankton abundance and/or productivity, probably because of plant entrainment (Appendix Table J-9). For the 24-, 48-, and 72-hour incubation periods, 65 percent of the discharge/intake ratios were less than 1 (Appendix Tables J-10 through J-12). However, the results for the longer incubation periods tended to be more variable than for the 7-hour incubation and therefore may not reflect actual depression in the rates of phytoplankton production as accurately as the 7-hour incubation. Data from all four incubation periods suggested that primary production was slightly lower in the discharge than in the intake.

c) Plume Entrainment

Approximately 55 percent of the 3° and 2° simulation/intake primary production ratios for the 7-hour incubation period were less than 1 (Appendix Table J-9). The plume simulation studies showed a slight decrease in production in the 7-hour incubation period. For the 24- and 48-hour incubation periods, 50 percent of the 3° and 2° simulation/intake ratios were less than 1, while about 63 percent of the 72-hour incubation ratios were less than 1. These data indicate that entrainment in the plume for a long period tends to decrease production. In many natural systems, entrainment in slightly higher water temperatures would stimulate phytoplankton production; however, if a loss in phytoplankton cells occurs, a decrease in production should also occur.

Primary production samples collected from the lake in the 3° and 2° ΔT plume areas exhibited rates of production that were similar to those of the simulation samples. An average of 63 percent of the 3° and 2° lake/intake ratios for the four incubation periods were less than 1. As in the simulation studies, entrainment in the actual plume area during 1978 decreased the rate of production. È

b. Zooplankton

Caratana a

1) Entrainment (Intake)

Entrainment sampling (day and night) at the James A. FitzPatrick Power station intake during 1978 yielded 79 zooplankton taxa comprising primarily Rotifera, Copepoda (Calanoida, Cyclopoida, and copepod nauplii), Cladocera, and Protozoa (Appendix Tables J-13 and J-14). No single group of organisms dominated 1978 collections. Three calanoid copepods — <u>Diaptomus</u> <u>oregonensis</u>, <u>D. sicilis</u>, and <u>Limnocalanus macrurus</u> — were most numerous during January-April, and rotifers began to dominate samples in April, maintaining this dominance through September. The numerically dominant rotifers were in the genera <u>Asplanchna</u>, <u>Keratella</u> (most species), <u>Polyarthra</u>, and <u>Synchaeta</u>. A cladoceran, <u>Bosmina</u> sp., was abundant in July and became the dominant taxon in October. A variety of rotifers — primarily <u>Keratella</u> sp., <u>Polyarthra</u> spp., and Synchaeta spp. — dominated the remainder of the year (November and December).

The temporal distribution of total zooplankton density (Figure V-5 and Appendix Table J-15) was characterized by an initial period of slightly fluctuating low levels from January through the first of April, followed by a sharp increase in late April and early May. High densities were sustained from June through August. After a secondary peak in late September, densities decreased through the remainder of the study. Density estimates ranged from a low of 1945/m³ in February (night samples) to a high of 1,721,938/m³ in June (day samples). Temporal distribution of zooplankton densities in day and night collections were quite similar through the study.

A comparison of zooplankton entrainment densities with Lake Ontario microzooplankton densities along the 20-foot depth contour (chosen as a basis for comparison because the intake structure is located in water approximately 24 feet deep) indicated close similarity in seasonal distributions although actual densities were often quite different (Figure V-5). A comparison of intake zooplankton density with operational data for the main circulating water pumps (Figure V-5) indicated no consistent cause/effect relationship between the number of pumps running and zooplankton density at the intake; i.e., density peaks at the intake occurred during periods of both high and low plant circulating-water intake.

INTAKE (DAY) INTAKE (NIGHT) LAKE ONTARIO MICROZOOPLANKTON AT 20-FT CONTOUR (DAY) 1,000,000 TOTAL DENSITY (NO./M³) 100,000 10,000 NO. CWP C APR JUN AUG JAN FEB MAR MAY JUL SEP 0CT NÓV DEC

*NUMBER OF CIRCULATING WATER PUMPS OPERATING: DURING SEPTEMBER, 1 OR 2 CIRCULATING PUMPS WERE OPERATING AT NIGHT; DURING DECEMBER, 3 CIRCULATING PUMPS WERE OPERATING AT NIGHT ON THE FIRST SAMPLING DATES.

Figure V-5. Temporal Distribution of Total Zooplankton Density in Day and Night Entrainment Samples and in Lake Ontario Samples from the 20-Foot Depth Contour, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

science services division

2) Viability (Intake and Discharge)

person come personal personal personal personal personal personal personal personal personal personal personal

a way on the second of the sec

Intake and discharge samples at the James A. FitzPatrick Power Station were examined to determine the number of live and dead organisms. The temporal distribution pattern of zooplankton mortality (percent dead) was characterized by a series of peaks and valleys occurring irregularly throughout the year (Table V-5 and Appendix Table J-16). Periods of highest zooplankton percent dead at the intake, a reflection of natural and sampling-induced mortality, occurred in July, August, and September in both day and night samples. Lowest intake percent dead generally occurred in late winter and early spring (February-May). In most respects, estimates of percent dead at the discharge, a reflection of natural and sampling-induced mortality due to plant passage, followed a temporal pattern similar to that described for intake samples. Estimates of percent dead at the discharge were similar for both day and night samples; however, at the intake, mortality was greatest during the daytime.

To estimate the impact of thermal-plume entrainment upon zooplankton, a series of experiments were conducted to simulate thermal-plume effects in Lake Ontario at the 3°F and 2°F isotherms (Section III.B). In general, no salient differences in mortality (percent dead) between the two isotherm simulations were observed (Table V-5). Seasonal distribution of zooplankton percent dead in simulation samples followed that of intake and discharge, with major peaks occurring in August and September. A comparison of day and night simulation data revealed no consistent trends in the 2° simulations, but mortality (percent dead) was frequently higher during the day in the 3° simulations. A comparison of discharge mortality (percent dead) with plume-entrainment mortality (percent dead) indicated that mortality was higher in the discharge samples than in either the 2° or 3° simulation samples throughout 1978. This indicated that mortality (percent dead) due to plant entrainment in 1978 was higher than mortality due to entrainment into the lake thermal plume.

As an estimate of zooplankton mortality due to plant passage, mortality was computed as the difference between intake and discharge survival divided by intake survival. This method of estimating zooplankton mortality was used because it compensates for the effects of sampling-induced mortality.

V-27

Table V-5

Percent of Zooplankton Dead^{*} in the Zooplankton Collections Taken at the Intake and Discharge, or Subject to Thermal Plume Simulation, James A. FitzPatrick Plant, 1978

		J	an	Fet	b	Ma	ir	Ap	r	Ma	У	յլ	in 	Ju	1	AL	ig 	Se	р рд. ос),	юt	No	v	Dec	Jar
unple Location	Period	17-18	25-26	7-8	21-23	7-8	21-22	6-10	21-22	11-12	25-26	18-19	27-30	13-14	27-28	10~11	24-25	15-17	27-28	12-13	26-27	8-9	29-30	20-21	4-
itake '	Day Night	53 50	56 47	43 43	27 17	23 40	49 34	39 15	11 27	30 19	37 32	58 50	29 37	43 73	53 59	79 71	56 41	69 79	75 57	44 35	69 21	44 41	36 39	24 31	7 4
ischarge	Day Night	63 68	65 80	71 76	52 21	29 74	73 61	61 44	31 64	61 62	57 56	47 78	66 66	84 84	98 96	92 98	90 66	81 84	70 73	63 62	51 28	90 75	62 56	66 60	8 7
Simulation	Day Night	53 71	66 46	53 81	53 34	25 64	67 35	32 28	18 18	56 20	34 35	58 45	42 43	51 46	61 48	86 68	59 63	67 82	65 64	35 37	49 38	49 38	54 41	39 41	6 6
Simulation	Day Night	76 58	60 77	56 62	58 27	7 56	43 49	45 49	23 39	52 22	46 47	71 57	54 5 2	61 64	63 54	94 77	61 69	66 78	73 70	61 61	44 23	65 56	48 54	53 42	7 6
lumber dead x	100																								
Samples for lat	e Decembe	rwere c	ollecte	dine	arly Ja	nuary	1979 be	cause c	of heavy	/ détrit	al load	is and a	olant op	eration	15.										
																		·							
													•												
						÷ ,												·							
· .					-																		•		
							•									•									
												•													

V-28

science services division

Percent mortality within the major zooplankton groups was generally highest among the Protozoa (Table V-6); Rotifera and Cladocera were intermediate and total Copepoda lowest. Within the Copepoda group, cyclopoids reflected the lowest percent mortality. In general, the percent mortality among the major zooplankton groups exhibited no consistent trend in day/night differences. Highest mortality for each of the major groups occurred in July and August.

Temporal distribution in percent mortality due to plant passage for all zooplankton taxa combined was characterized by several peaks (Figure V-6, which plots combined day and night mean values because of their close similarity). Total zooplankton percent mortality ranged from negative values in June, September, and October (a result of the intake percent dead exceeding the discharge percent dead), to a high of 95 percent in July (Figure V-6 and Table V-6). A close relationship between zooplankton mortality and plant operation was observed only during late June-September and December. Most noticeable was the sustained peak in total zooplankton mortality that occurred during July and August when discharge temperatures exceeded 30° C. No real relationship existed between Δ T and zooplankton mortality.

It should be noted that thermal stress was not the only factor affecting zooplankton mortality at the James A. FitzPatrick power station. During October and November when there was no increase in temperature (Figure V-6), mortality was high simply because of mechanical stresses due to plant passage and sample collection. Additionally, on November 7 and 8 no circulating-water pumps were operating, thereby stranding entrained zooplankton in the intake forebay and discharge aftbay; this, combined with sampling, resulted in higher than expected mortality.

c. Ichthyoplankton

ACCURATE OF

Adventure and

And the second se

 $\left[\right]$

- dismost

Companyation of the second sec

- -----

1) Entrainment

To monitor entrainment of fish eggs and larvae in the cooling-water system at the James A. FitzPatrick power station, samples were collected from the intake forebay day and night twice monthly throughout 1978.

Table V-6

Percent Mortality^{*} of Major Zooplankton Groups Due to Plant Passage, James A. FitzPatrick Plant, 1978

T a wa	Devide d	17.10	Jan or or	.	Feb	M	lar alar	/ /	Apr	M	ay	Ju	n 	J	Jul	Au	g .	S	ер	04	ct	N	v	Dec	J
laxa	Period	17-18	25-26	/-8	21-23	/-8	21-22	6-10	21-22	11-12	25-26	18-19	27-30	13-14	27-28	10-11	24-25	15-17	27-28	12-13	26-27.	8-9	29-30	20-21	_
Protozoa	Day Night	N N	17 26	N 66	ND ND	N 80	N 12	N N	ND 53	48 N	N N	N 50	57 N	N ND	ND ND	ND ND	100 ND	ND 100	N 14.3	75 78	ND 100	100 100	40 100	50 100	
Rotifera	Day Night	61 84	12 20	· 62 78	71 N	N 60	29 24	27 64	28 61	44 54	36 33	N 61	63 59	77 N	94 95	54 94	82 41	30 78	N 61	79 75	27 24	87 78	43 35	57 65	i
Cladocera	Day Night	100 58	N N	50 100	ND ND	ND ND	100 100	ND ND	33 ND	ND N	ND ND	5 22	26 23	24 68	54 79	83 86	34 44	N 13	2 8	14 13	N 4	68 17	ND 10	33 N	3
Calanoida	Day Night	N 65	N N	23 21	28 5	1 52	59 11	N 21	9 33	N O	100 N	100 ND	0 ND	0 100	100 75	100 100	ND 100	N N	100 40	0 100	0 11	0 ND	ND O	0	N
Cyclopoida	Day Night	26 N	18 43	79 89	N N	N N	N 54	49 73	33 62	N 7	43 N	N 46	33 44	51 68	94 84	87 95	42 27	45 5	3 9	18 37	N 43	68 25	29 7	0 13	5 5
Copepoda nauplii	Day. Night	N 59	1 47	50 51	33 5	10 55	60 48	44 · 34	N 46	N 42	43 60	2 52	21 67	91 74	100 90	67 86	85 71	63 20	N 51	5 N	52 N	67 1	39 53	100 78	3
Total Copepoda	Day Night	N 74	N 78	52 N	N N	11 59	N 55	71 59	N 28	N 53	46 76	N 56	33 78	74 73	97 100	51 94	78 63	42 16	N 28	14 19	N 12	67 20	34 N	22 12	59
Total Zooplankton	Day Night	21 36	19 62	. 49 58	34 5	7 57	46 41	36 34	22 50	44 53	31 35	N 56	52 46	73 40	95 91	59 93	76 42	38 24	N 37	35 42	N 8	82 57	41 29	55 42	45 61
*% Mortality = *Samples for late D N = Intake/dischar	¹ Live _I ecember were ge comparis	; I e collec ons not	= inta ted in possib	ke sam early le; int	ple; D = January take mor	discr 1979 tality	harge sa because v <u>></u> disc	mple. of hea harge m	wy detr mortalit	ital lo	ads and	plant (operati	ONS.											
ND = No organisms (collected at	: discha	rge.												•										
														,	•										
											•		-												
																						•			





Alewife and unidentified eggs occurred in entrainment (intake) samples from early July through early August (Table V-3); also during the same months, tessellated darter, white perch, and alewife eggs occurred in viability samples (from the discharge or intake). Almost all of the eggs in entrainment samples were those of alewife (Table V-3). Egg densities were highest in late July (Table V-7).

Table V-7

Densities^{*} of Eggs and Larvae Entrained at James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Station, Lake Ontario, 1978^{**}

Life Stage	May	J	un	J	ul	A	ug
	23	16	27	11	25	8	22
Eggs	0	0	0	5	87	11	0
Larvae	10	79	4	90	34	54	159

[^]Mean density of two daytime and two nighttime samples per sampling date, expressed as No./1000 m^3 .

**No fish eggs or larvae were collected in entrainment samples during January through April and September through December.

Larvae occurred in entrainment samples from late May through August. In addition to the nine taxa identified in entrainment samples, some herring and sunfish larvae were present in viability samples during late July through early September (Table V-3). Alewives dominated entrainment collections, especially in July and August. Larval density peaked in late August and was made up entirely of alewives. Rainbow smelt larvae made up the entire catch during the first half of June and were present also in early July (Table V-3). Yellow perch comprised the smaller May catch. Carp, sculpin, some unidentifiable minnows, tesselated darter, goldfish, and trout-perch larvae were collected in small numbers in late June and early July.

The monthly occurrence of eggs in entrainment samples reflected a period of peak abundance in Lake Ontario; during that period (July and early August), densities within intake samples and lake collections were not apparently different (Table V-7 and Appendix Tables E-1 through E-4). The temporal distribution of larvae in entrainment samples also generally coincided with changes in larval densities in lake samples, but densities in the lake were usually higher. For example, the peak density of yellow perch in entrainment samples in late May (10 larvae per 1000 cubic meters) coincided with the highest yellow perch densities in the lake (average of 72.8 larvae per 1000 cubic meters along the 20-foot depth contour); likewise, rainbow smelt densities were highest during mid-June in both lake and entrainment samples (Table V-7 and Appendix Tables E-19 and E-20). During July and early August, lake samples (from along the 20-foot depth contour) had significantly higher densities of alewife than did entrainment samples, but lake and entrainment densities of alewife were similar in late August (Table V-7 and Appendix Tables E-7, E-8, E-15, and E-16). Surprisingly, the larval density reported in entrainment samples in late August was the highest observed for larvae during 1978 entrainment sampling. In addition to the lower densities of larvae in entrainment samples compared with lake samples, egg and larval diversity (number of species) also was lower in entrainment samples.

2) Viability

Austa

- Connectantes

- energy - Accountry

An approximate a province of the province of t

and the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second

1

A comparison of the percent mortality of eggs and larvae, primarily alewife and rainbow smelt, in intake and discharge samples during 1978 was made to estimate the effect of entrainment. In addition, eggs and larvae from intake samples were subjected to temperature changes to simulate the potential impact of entrainment in the thermal plume in Lake Ontario. These mortality data have been presented in the 1978 Data Report for the Nine Mile Point aquatic ecology studies (TI 1979). Unfortunately, the numbers of eggs and larvae in viability samples were quite low, precluding any conclusions with respect to mortality or survivability following entrainment.

- JE
- 3. Overview of Year-to-Year Results
 - a. Ichthyoplankton Entrainment at Nine Mile Point

Entrainment of ichthyoplankton at the Nine Mile Point Unit 1 plant has been monitored either weekly or twice per month since 1973. Generally, the species in entrainment samples reflected the lake's species composition, except that species occurring infrequently or in low numbers often were not observed in entrainment samples. The temporal abundance of eggs and larvae in intake samples was generally similar to temporal patterns observed in Lake Ontario samples. However, densities in entrainment samples were sometimes lower than corresponding densities in lake samples, particularly larval densities in 1977 and 1978. Also, the diversity (number of species) of eggs and larvae was frequently lower in entrainment than in Lake Ontario samples. Although 100 percent mortality of entrained ichthyoplankton was assumed for the purposes of impact evaluation, station operation over the past 5 years had a minimal effect on ichthyoplankton populations in the vicinity of Nine Mile Point. For example, cropping estimates for larvae indicated that only about 0.26 percent of the alewives and rainbow smelt in Lake Ontario that were available for entrainment during 1976 were actually entrained, assuming both Nine Mile Point and the James A. FitzPatrick plant to be operating at full intake flow.

b. Phytoplankton Entrainment/Viability at James A. FitzPatrick

Phytoplankton entrainment and viability studies have been conducted at the James A. FitzPatrick plant since 1976. These studies used estimated phytoplankton cell densities (1976 only), chlorophyll <u>a</u> concentrations, and primary production rates (14 C tracer method), to measure potential effects on the phytoplankton community of entrainment through the power plant or into the thermal plume. To determine both short-term and longer-term effects of entrainment, viability studies have been conducted using incubation periods of 7, 24, 48, and 72 hours.

Each year, temporal changes in chlorophyll <u>a</u> concentrations were similar. Chlorophyll <u>a</u> concentrations in intake samples were generally low during January-March, then exhibited a spring peak in late April and May and smaller peaks in mid-summer. Chlorophyll a concentrations were low during October-December. The temporal trend of intake concentrations was similar to that of chlorophyll <u>a</u> concentrations in Lake Ontario. Overall, 1976-78 sampling results indicated lower chlorophyll <u>a</u> concentrations in the discharge compared with the intake. Concurrently, slightly higher concentrations of phaeophytin <u>a</u> (a degradation product of chlorophyll <u>a</u>) were observed in the discharge area. These results indicated that entrainment through the plant had some adverse impact on the entrained phytoplankton. The 3° and $2^{\circ}F$ simulation samples and samples from the thermal plume itself (conducted to measure the effect of plume entrainment) revealed no noticeable differences in chlorophyll <u>a</u> concentrations between intake and plume samples. Although plant entrainment had an adverse effect on phytoplankton, the lake phytoplankton community has the potential to regenerate losses quickly and therefore negate the possible adverse affects of plant entrainment.

 \square

the second is former in the second in the se

Primary production rates at the intake were low during March and exhibited peaks during late spring (May) and late summer (August). Temporal distribution patterns for intake production rates were similar to those in the lake. During all 3 years of sampling there was an overall yearly reduction in productivity in the discharge aftbay relative to intake rates prior to plant entrainment; however, when water temperatures were low, there was a tendency for production to be stimulated in the discharge area. The 3° and 2°F simulation and thermal plume studies in 1976 and 1977 showed that entrainment of lake phytoplankton in the thermal plume increased production; in 1978, however, increased production rates were not observed.

In summary, entrainment and viability study results showed that the power plant had little lasting effect on the phytoplankton community in the vicinity of Nine Mile Point. The ability of the phytoplankton community to quickly replace cells lost to either plant or plume entrainment resulted in negligible overall impact.

c. Zooplankton Entrainment/Viability at James A. FitzPatrick

Samples taken from the power-plant intakes contained zooplankton populations that were generally similar to those in lake samples collected during the same time period. Zooplankton entrainment typically increased from spring to summer, then decreased from fall to winter, following the temporal

V--35 ·



trend in the lake. Densities of entrained zooplankton during a typical year ranged from less than 10⁴ to more than 10⁶ organisms per cubic meter, with a maximum usually occurring in late summer (July or August). Entrainment samples were dominated by rotifers, followed by copepods, cladocerans, and protozoans. The latter three groups varied in numerical importance from year to year.

Mortality of zooplankton passing through the plant ranged from near 0 to almost 100 percent. Mortality after plant passage was generally less than 50 percent and was typically greatest during summer. Evaluation of plume entrainment mortality showed it to be considerably less than plant mortality. The greatest overall mortality was exacted upon the protozoan group. From the overall stability of the zooplankton population, as measured in lake samples, operation of the power plants had no discernible effects on these organisms, regardless of mortality caused by plant passage.

d. Ichthyoplankton Entrainment/Viability at James A. FitzPatrick

Entrainment of ichthyoplankton at the James A. FitzPatrick plant has been thoroughly monitored since 1976. During the first 8 months of 1976, replicate samples were collected every 6 hours on sampling dates twice per month. Replicate day and night samples have been collected twice per month since late September 1976. In addition to collecting samples from the intake to determine entrainment densities, samples were collected from the discharge and lake thermal plume (or thermal plume conditions were simulated) and compared with intake samples to determine egg and larval viability.

The number of species observed in entrainment samples in 1976 was similar to the diversity reported in Lake Ontario ichthyoplankton samples; also, peak densities of eggs and larvae in entrainment samples corresponded closely with those in lake samples. During 1977 and 1978, the temporal distribution of eggs and larvae in entrainment samples continued to reflect the temporal abundance in Lake Ontario, but the entrainment samples generally had fewer species. A comparison of egg and larval densities in entrainment and lake samples during 1976-78 indicated that egg concentrations within the intake were similar to or higher than those in the lake but that larval densities within the intake were frequently lower than in the lake. The number of eggs 1)

 $\left[\right]$

-T and larvae in viability samples was low during all 3 years of monitoring, precluding any conclusions with respect to mortality or survivability following entrainment. Assuming 100 percent mortality, the impact of cropping eggs and larvae of alewife and rainbow smelt (the two most abundant fish species in entrainment samples) has been estimated to represent an extremely small percentage of the reproductive potential of Lake Ontario populations. Thus, normal compensatory mechanisms should offset these minimal losses.

article and

.

- Comment - Comment - Comment

(January)

· • • • • • •

science services division

SECTION VI

CITED REFERENCES

American Public Health Association (APHA). 1976. Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater. 14th ed. New York, N.Y. 874 p.

fiction and the

Reit Contract

ÿ

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 1977. Annual book of ASTM Part 31, Water. Am. Soc. Testing Materials, Philadelphia, Pa.

Bailey, R.M., J.E. Fitch, E.S. Herald, E.A. Lachner, C.C. Lindsey, C.R. Robins, and W.B. Scott. 1970. A list of common and scientific names of fishes from the United States and Canada. AFS Spec. Pub. 6:1-149.

Brinkhurst, R.O. 1969. Changes in the benthos of Lake Erie and Ontario. Bull. Buffalo Soc. Nat. Sci. 25:45-71.

Brinkhurst, R.O. 1970. Distribution and abundance of tubificid (Oligochaeta) species in Toronto Harbour, Lake Ontario. J. Fish. Res. Bd. Can. 27:1961-1969.

Christie, W.J. 1973. A review of the changes in the fish species composition of Lake Ontario. Great Lakes Fish. Comm. Tech. Rpt. No. 23:65 p.

Christie, W.J. 1974. Changes in the fish species composition of the Great Lakes. J. Fish. Res. Bd. Can. 31:827-954.

Churchill, M.A. and T.A. Wojtalik. 1969. Effects of heated discharges: the TVA experience. Nuclear News Sep:80.

Cole, R.A. et al. 1978. The occurrence of young fishes in the Michigan coastal waters of the Great Lakes. Michigan Department of Natural Resources, Fisheries Division Technical Report 78-1.

Consumers Power Company. 1976. Section 316(b) - Intake study, J.H. Campbell Plant Units No. 1 and 2.

Consumers Power Company. 1975. Summary of the effects of once-through cooling at the Palisades Nuclear Power Plant.

Cook, D.G. and M.G. Johnson. 1974. Benthic macroinvertebrates of the St. Lawrence Great Lakes. J. Fish. Res. Bd. Can. 31:763-783.

Coutant, C.C. 1970. Biological aspects of thermal pollution. I. Entrainment and discharge canal effects. CRC Critical Review in Environmental Control. p. 341-380.

Csanady, G.T. 1972. The coastal boundary layer in Lake Ontario. II. The summer-fall regime. J. Physical. Oceanogr. 2:168-176.

- Davies, R.M. and L.D. Jensen. Electric Power Research Institute and Duke Power Company. 1974. Zooplankton entrainment. In Environmental responses to thermal discharges from Marshall Steam Station, Lake Norman, North Carolina (L.D. Jensen, ed). 235 p.
- Davis, C.C. 1966. Plankton studies in the Great Lakes of the world with special reference to the St. Lawrence Great Lakes of North America. Univ. Mich. Great Lakes Res. Div. Publ. 14:54.
- Davis, C.C. 1969. Plants in lakes Erie and Ontario and changes of their numbers and kinds. In Proceedings of Conference on changes in the biota of Lake Erie and Ontario. Bull. Buffalo Soc. Nat. Sci. 25:18-44.
- Detroit Edison Company. 1977. Belle River Power Plant, Thermal Discharge Demonstration.
- Detroit Edison Company. 1976. Enrico Fermi Power Plant No. 1, Study Report on Cooling Water Intake.
- Edsall, T.A. and T.G. Yocum. 1972. Review of recent technical information concerning the adverse effects of once-through cooling on Lake Michigan. Lake Mich. Enforcement Conf. Paper, Sep 19-21, 1972.
- Ekman, V.W. 1928. Eddy viscocity and skin friction in the dynamics of winds and ocean currents. Mem. Roy. Met. Soc. 2(20).
- Fretwell, S.D. 1972. Population in a seasonal environmental. Monographs on population biology-5. Princeton Univ. Press. Princeton, New Jersey. 217 p.
- Gale, W.F. and J.D. Thompson. 1975. A suction sampler for quantitatively sampling benthos on rocky substrates in rivers. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 104(2):398-405.

Glooschenko, W.A., J.E. Moore, and R.A. Vollenweider. 1972. The seasonal cycle of phaeo-pigments in Lake Ontario with particular emphasis on the role of zooplankton grazing. Limnol. Oceanogr. 17(2):597-605.

- Greenbank, J. and P.R. Nelson. 1959. Life history of the threespine stickleback, <u>Gasterosteus</u> aculeatus Linnaeus, in Kaluk and Bare Lakes, Kodiak Island, Alaska. Fish. Bull. U.S., 59(153):537-59.
- Gunwaldsen, R.W., B. Brodfeld, and G.E. Hecker. 1970. Current and temperature surveys in Lake Ontario for James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant. Proc. 13th Conf. Great Lakes Res. 1970:914-926.
- Haight, F.J. 1942. Coastal currents along the Atlantic Coast of United States. Dept. of Commerce, Coast and Geodetic Survey, Special Publ. 230.
- Heinle, D.R. 1969. Temperature and zooplankton. Natl. Res. Inst., Univ. Md. Ches. Sci. 10(3&4):186-209.

Hiltunen, J.K. 1969. The benthic macrofauna of Lake Ontario. Great Lakes Fish. Comm. Tech. Rpt. No. 14:39-50.

Hubbs, C.L. and K.F. Lagler. 1958. Fishes of the Great Lakes Region. Univ.

Mich. Press, Ann Arbor, 213 p.

Benerative States and States

- Andrew Contract Con

And the second sec

Hynes, H.B.N. 1972. The ecology of running water. University of Toronto Press, Toronto, 555 p.

International Joint Commission (IJC). 1969. Pollution of Lake Erie, Lake Ontario and the International Section of the St. Lawrence River-III. Report of the International Lake Erie Water Pollution Board and the International Lake Ontario-St. Lawrence River Water Pollution Board.

International Joint Commission (IJC). 1975. <u>Cladophora</u> in the Great Lakes, eds. Harvey Shear and Dennis E. Konasewich, Windsor, Ontario.

Johnson, M.G. and R.O. Brinkhurst. 1971a. Associations and species diversity in benthic macroinvertebrates of Bay of Quinte and Lake Ontario. J. Fish. Res. Bd. Can. 28:1683-1697.

Johnson, M.G. and R.O. Brinkhurst. 1971b. Production of benthic macroinvertebrates of Bay of Quinte and Lake Ontario. J. Fish. Res. Bd. Can. 28:1699-1714.

Johnson, M.G. and D.H. Matheson. 1968. Macroinvertebrate communities of the sediments of Hamilton Bay and adjacent Lake Ontario. Limnol. Oceanogr. 13:99-111.

Jones, J.W. and H.B.N. Hynes. 1950. The age and growth of <u>Gasterosteus acu-</u> <u>leatus</u>, <u>Pygosteus pungitius</u> and <u>Spinachia valgaris</u>, as shown by their otoliths. J. Anim. Ecol., 19(1):59-73.

Judd, J.H. and D.T. Gemmel. 1971. Distibution of benthic macrofauna in the littoral zone of southeastern Lake Ontario. Midwest Benthological Soc. 10th Annual Meeting, Contrib. 4 (State Univ. of N.Y. at Oswego). Lake Ontario Environ. Lab. Contrib. 3:9 p.

Jude D.J. 1976. Entrainment of fish larvae and eggs on the Great Lakes with special reference to the D.C. Cook Nuclear Plant, southeastern Lake Michigan. Proceedings 3rd Ann. Workshop on Entrainment and Impingement. N.Y., N.Y. 4 Feb 1975.

Jude, D.J., F.J. Tesar, J.A. Dorr III and J.T. Miller. 1975. Inshore Lake Michigan fish populations near the D.C. Cook Nuclear Power Plant, 1973. Great Lakes Res. Div., Univ. Mich., Spec. Rpt. No. 52:267.

Kinney, W.L. 1972. The macrobenthos of Lake Ontario. Proc. 15th Conf. Great Lakes Res. 1972:53-79.

Lagler, K.F. 1956. Freshwater fishery biology. W.C. Brown Co., Dubuque, Iowa. 421 p.

Lawler, Matusky and Skelly Engineers (LMS). 1975a. 1974 Nine Mile Point aquatic ecology studies. LMS Project Nos. 191-21, 22, 23. Prepared for NMPC and PASNY.

Lawler, Matusky and Skelly Engineers (LMS). 1975b. Oswego Steam Station -Unit 6 316(a) Demonstration Submission. Prepared for NMPC.

- Lawler, Matusky and Skelly Engineers (LMS). 1976a. 1975 Nine Mile Point aquatic ecology studies. LMS Project Nos. 191-31, 32, 33. Prepared for NMPC and PASNY.
- Lawler, Matusky and Skelly Engineers (LMS). 1976b. James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant 316(a) Demonstration Submission, Permit No. NY0020109. Prepard for PASNY.
- Lawler, Matusky and Skelly Engineers (LMS). 1977a. 1976 Nine Mile Point aquatic ecology studies. LMS Project Nos. 191-40, 41, 42. Prepared for Niagara Mohawk Power Corp. and Power Authority of the State of New York.
- Lawler, Matusky and Skelly Engineers (LMS). 1977b. James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant 316(a) Demonstration Submission, Permit No. NY0020109. Prepared for Power Authority of the State of New York.
- Lewis, S.A. and D.D. Garriott. 1970. A modified Folsom plankton splitter for analysis of meter net samples. Proc. 24th Ann. Conf. SE Assn. Game and Fish Comm. p. 332-337.
- Mansueti, R.J. 1956. Alewife herring eggs and larvae reared successfully in lab. Md, Tidewater News 13(1):2-3.
- Mansueti, R.J. 1960. Selection of body site for scale samples in the white perch, Roccus americana. Ches. Sci. 6(1):103-109.
- Mansueti, R.J. 1961. Movements, reproduction, and mortality of the white perch, <u>Roccus americana</u>, in the Patuxent estuary, Maryland. Ches. Sci. 2(3-4):142-205.
- Mantai, K.E. 1974. Some aspects of photosynthesis in <u>Cladophora</u> glomerata. J. Phycol. 10:288-291.
- Marcy, B.C. Jr. 1975. Entrainment or organisms at power plants, with emphasis on fishes - an overview. Pages 89-106. In: Fisheries and Energy production: a symposium (S.B. Saila, ed). Lexington Books, D.C. Health and Co., Lexington, MA.
- McKenzie, R.A. 1964. Smelt life history and fishery in the Miramichi River New Brunswick. Fish. Res. Bd. Can. Bull. 144-77 p.
- McNaught, D.C. and M. Buzzard. 1973. Changes in zooplankton populations in Lake Ontario (1939-1972). Proc. 16th Conf. Great Lakes Res. 1973:76-86.
- McNaught, D.C., and M. Fenlon. 1972. The effects of thermal effluents upon secondary production. Verh. Int. Verein. Limnol. 18:204-210.
- Munawar, M. and A. Nauwerck. 1971. The composition and horizontal distribution of phytoplankton in Lake Ontario during the year 1970. Proc. 14th Conf. Great Lakes Res. 1971:69-78.

- Murarka, I.P. 1976. An evaluation of environmental data relating to selected nuclear power plant sites. The Nine Mile Point Nuclear Power Station Site. ANL/EIS-7 Div. of Environmental Impact Studies. Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois.
- Nalewajko, C. 1966. Composition of the phytoplankton in surface waters of Lake Ontario. J. Fish. Res. Bd. Can. 23:1715-1725.

Ark current

And the second second

، ،

particular of

There are a second second second second second second second second second second second second second second s

۶۰

* *

Company Constants

a manager of the second of the second

- Nalewajko, C. 1967. Phytoplankton distribution in Lake Ontario. Proc. 10th Conf. Great Lakes Res. 1967:63-69.
- Neil, G.H. and G.E. Owen. 1964. Distribution, environmental requirements, and significance of <u>Cladophora</u> in the Great Lakes. Great Lakes Res. Div. Publ. No. 11:113-121.
- Nelson, D.N. and R.A. Cole. 1975. The distribution and abundance of larval fishes along the western shore of Lake Erie at Monroe, Michigan. Tech. Rpt. No. 324, Inst. Water Res., Michigan State Univ., East Lansing, Mich. 66 p.
- Neumann, G., and W.J. Pierson. 1966. Principals of physical oceanography. Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J.
- New York State Electric and Gas Co. (NYSEG). 1978. Environmental Report for New Haven Nuclear Station Units 1 and 2.
- Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation (NMPC). 1975. NMPC Nine Mile Point Unit 1. 316(a) Demonstratin Submission, NPDES Permit NY 0001015.
- Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation (NMPC). 1976a. Application to the New York State Board on Electric Generating Siting and the Environment, Lake Erie Generating Stations. Niagara Mohawk Power Corp., Syracuse, NY.
- Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation (NMPC). 1976b. NMPC, Oswego Unit 5, 316(a) demonstration submission NPDES Permit # 070 0X22 000632, NY (0003212).
- Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation (NMPC). 1976c. NMPC, Oswego Unit 5. Intake Considerations. NPDES Permit # 0700X22 000632, NY (0003212).
- Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation (NMPC). 1976d. NMPC Oswego Steam Station Units 1-4. 316(a) Demonstration Submission, NPDES Permit # NY 0002186.
- Norden, C.R. 1967. Age, growth, and fecundity of the alewife, <u>Alosa</u> <u>pseudoharengus</u> (Wilson) in Lake Michigan. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 96(4):387-393.
- Norden, C.R. 1968. Morphology and food habits of the larval alewife, <u>Alosa</u> <u>pseudoharengus</u> (Wilson) in Lake Michigan. Proc. 11th Conf. Great Lakes Res. Vol. 11:103-110.

Odum, E.P. 1971. Fundamentals of ecology. W.P. Sanders Co. Philadelphia, Pa. 574 p.

- O'Gorman, R. 1975. Distribution of fish fry in the nearshore waters of western Lake Huron, May-June 1973. Admin. Rep. Grate Lakes Fish. Lab, U.S. Bur. Sport Fish. and Wildlife, Ann Arbor, Mich.
- Palmer, M.D., and J.B. Izatt. 1970. Lakeshore two-dimensional dispersion. Proc. 13th Conf. Great Lakes Res. 1970(1):495-507.
- Power Authority of the State of New York (PASNY). 1971. Environmental report for James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant. Prepared for United States Atomic Energy Commission.
- Power Authority of the State of New York (PASNY). 1977. James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant 316(b) Demonstration Submission, Permit No. NY0020109.
- Quirk, Lawler, and Matusky Engineers (QLM). 1972. Effect of circulating water system on Lake Ontario water temperature and aquatic biology. (Oswego Steam Station Unit 6). Prep. for Niagara Mohawk Power Corp.
- Quirk, Lawler, and Matusky Engineers (QLM). 1973a. Effect of circulating water systems on Lake Ontario water temperature and aquatic biology. 1972 ecological investigations of Lake Ontario at Nine Mile Point. Rpt. to Miagara Mohawk Power Corp.
- Quirk, Lawler, and Matusky Engineers (QLM). 1973b. The effects of impingement at Nine Mile Point on the fish populations of Lake Ontario. Prep. for Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation, Syracuse, New York.
- Quirk, Lawler, and Matusky (QLM). 1974. 1973 Nine Mile Point Aquatic Ecology Studies. A report prepared for Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation, Syracuse, New York and Power Authority of the State of New York, New York, NY.
- Radiation Management Corporation. 1976. Radiation Management Corporation analytical and quality control procedures. RMC, Philadelphia, Pa.
- Richer, W.E. 1971. Methods for assessment of fish production in freshwater International Biological Programme Handbook No. 3, Blackwell Scientific Publication, Oxford. 348 p.
- Rochester Gas and Electric (RGE). 1974. The Sterling power project (August 1973, revised January 1974), Rochester, NY.
- Rogers, D.E. 1962. Reproduction of threespine stickleback. Univ. Wash. Fish. Res. Inst. Contr., 139:9-10.
- Round, F.E. 1965. The biology of the algae. Edward Arnold, Ltd., London. 269 p.
- Rupp, R.S. 1965. Shore-spawning and survival of eggs of the American smelt. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 94(2):160-168.

Ryder, R.A. 1972. The limnology and fishes of oligotrophic glacial lakes in North America (about 1800 A.D.). J. Fish. Res. Bd. Can. 29:617-628. fi

1

Schelske, C.L., E.F. Stoermer, and L.E. Feldt. 1971. Nutrients, phytoplankton productivity and species composition as influenced by upwelling in Lake Michigan. Proc. 14th Conf. of Great Lakes Res. 1971:102-113.

Scott, W.B. and E.J. Crossman. 1973. Freshwater fishes of Canada. Fish. Res. Bd. Can., Ottawa, Canada. 966 p.

yourselve

-

1

· Sources

- Contract

r -

La contrar

in the second

Sharma, R.K. and R.F. Freeman III. 1977. Survey of fish impingement at power plants in the United States. Vol. I - The Great Lakes. Argonne National Lab., ANL/ES-56, Vol. I.

Sheri, A.N. and G. Power. 1968. Reproduction of white perch, <u>Roccus</u> <u>ameri-</u> <u>canus</u> in the Bay of Quinte, Lake Ontario. J. Fish. Res. Bd. Can. 25(10): 2225-2231.

Sheri, A.M. and G. Power. 1969. Fecundity of yellow perch, Perca flavescens Mitchell, in the Bay of Quinte, Lake Ontario. Can. J. Zool. 47(1):55-58.

Smith, S.H. 1972a. Factors of ecologic succession in oligotrophic fish communities of the Laurention Great Lakes. J. Fish. Res. Bd. Can. 29:717-730.

Smith, S.H. 1972b. The future of salmonid communities in the Laurention Great Lakes. J. Fish. Res. Bd. Can. 19:951-957.

Snedecor, G.W. and W.G. Cochran. 1967. Statistical methods. Iowa State Univ. Press, Ames. 593 p.

Storr, J.F. 1973. Summary of studies to evaluate ecological effects from the introduction of a thermal discharge into Lake Ontario in the area of the Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station Unit One. Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation.

Storr, J.F. 1977. Lake Ontario fish tag report summary 1972-1976. Prepared for Niagara Mohawk Power Corp.

Storr, J.F. and R.A. Sweeney. 1971. Development of a theoretical seasonal growth response curve of <u>Cladophora glomerata</u> to temperature and photoperiod. Proc. 14th Conf. on Great Lakes Res. 1971:119-127.

Strickland, J.D.H. and T.R. Parsons. 1972. A practical handbook of seawater analysis. Bull. Fish. Res. Bd. Can. 167:310.

Sweers, H.E. 1969. Structure, dynamics and chemistry of Lake Ontario: investigations based on monitor cruises in 1966 and 1967. Mar. Sci. Branch, Dept. of Energy, Mines and Resources, Ottawa, Canada. Manuscript Rpt. Ser. 10:227 p.

Texas Instruments Incorporated (TI). 1976. 316(b) demonstration Bailly Station Units 7 and 8. Rpt. for Northern Indiana Public Service Company, Hammond, Indiana.

Texas Instruments Incorporated (TI). 1977a. Final Report, 1975-76 Nearfield Study at Pomfret and Sheridan Sites, Lake Erie Generating Station. Prep. for NMPC, Syracuse, NY. (je

- Texas Instruments Incorporated (TI). 1977b. 316(b) Demonstration, Dunkirk Steam Station on Lake Erie near Dunkirk, New York. Prep. for NMPC, Syracuse, NY.
- Texas Instruments Incorporated (TI). 1977c. NMPC Dunkirk Steam Station Units 1-4. Intake Considerations, NPDES Permit No. 070 0X22 000457, NY (0002321). Prep. for NMPC, Syracuse, NY.
- Texas Instruments Incorporated (TI). 1977d. Dunkirk Steam Station Units 1-4, Intake Considerations. A report prepared for NMPC, Syracuse, NY.
- Texas Instruments Incorporated (TI). 1978a. Nine Mile Point Aquatic Ecology Studies, 1977 Data Report. Report prepared for Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation, Syracuse, NY and the Power Authority of the State of New York, New York, NY.
- Texas Instruments Incorporated (TI). 1978b. 1977 Nine Mile Point Aquatic Ecology Studies. Report prepared for Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation, Syracuse, NY and the Power Authority of the State of New York, New York, NY.
- Texas Instruments Incorporated (TI). 1979. Nine Mile Point Aquatic Ecology Studies, 1978 Data Report. Report prepared for Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation, Syracuse, NY and the Power Authority of the State of New York, New York, NY.
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 1979. Monthly bulletin of lake levels for the Great Lakes, Detroit, Michigan.
- U.S. Atomic Energy Commission. 1973. Final Environmental Statement related to construction Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station Unit 2. Niagara Mohawk Power Corp. Docket No. 50-40.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1976a. Methods for chemical analysis of water and wastes. EPA-62/6-74-003a. Environmental Research Center, Cincinnati, OH. 298 p.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1976b. Quality criteria for water. EPA-440/9-76-023, Washington, D.C.
- Vollenweider, R.A., M. Munawar, and P. Stadelmann. 1974. A comparative review of phytoplankton and primary production in the Laurentian Great Lakes. J. Fish. Res. Bd. Can. 31:739-762.
- Weber, C.I. (ed). 1973. Biological field and laboratory methods for measuring the quality of surface waters and effluents. Off. Res. and Dev., USEPA, EPA-670/4-73-001, Cincinnati, OH.
- Weiler, R.R. and V.K. Chawla. 1969. Dissolved mineral quality of Great Lakes waters. Proc. 12th Conf. Great Lakes Res. 1969:801-818.

Wetzel, R.G. 1975. Limnology. W.B. Saunders Co., Philadelphia, 743 p.

[]

{

 \exists

Wezernak, C.T., D.R. Lyzenga, and F.C. Polcyn. 1974. <u>Cladophora</u> Distribution in Lake Ontario (IFYGL), National Environmental Research Center, Office of Research and Development, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Corvallis, Oregon, EPA-660/3-74-028, 76 p.

and the second se

A service from the second from

A second and the seco

- Williams, R.W., J. Simmons, and J. Hillegas. 1975. Species composition and distribution of fish larvae collected in the Nine Mile Point area of Lake Ontario. Proc. 18th Conf. Great Lakes Res.
- Wolfert, D.R., W.D.N. Busch, and H.D. Van Meter. 1977. Seasonal abundance of fish in an inshore area of southcentral Lake Erie, 1974-75. Administrative Rpt. Great Lakes Fish. Lab., U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Sandusky Biological Station, Ohio. 16 p.

APPENDIX A PHYTOPLANKTON

1

A set of the set of th

(1) The second secon

. .

· · · · · ·

science services division

· · ·



A-1

science services division

Table A-2 (Page 1 of 2)

Abundance (Cells/ml) of Phytoplankton in Whole Water Collections, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

Cyanophyta

DEDTU	70)11		APR	м	AY	. J	UN	JL	JL		/Ue	S	EP
CONTOUR	SECT	MEAN	S.E.*	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E
10	NMPW	761.5	5 587.7	780.4	780.4	1002.6	894.5	3587.7	2321.4	2562.7	811.0	0.0	0.0
	NMPP	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	777.4	777.4	42.9	42.9	2236.9	1069.8	378.8	378.8
	FITZ	0.0	0.0	667.1	667.1	264.9	264.9	119.4	26.0	5070.7	102.3	217.9	191.3
	NMPE	0.0	0.0	1841.6	1685.4	37.6	37.6	1109.2	513.3	1276.8	920.5	8746.9	87.7
CONTOUR	MEAN	190.4	190.4	822.3	380.9	520.6	223.0	1214.8	827.4	2786.8	808.7	2335.9	2138.4
20	NMPW	0.0	0.0	1418.5	780.9	821.4	385.4	326.1	326.1	1370.0	1276.6	240.7	37.1
	NMPP	0.0	0.0	2788.1	1689.4	2158.2	2158.2	0.0	0.0	7970.6	5034.5	3723.0	1713.5
	FITZ	0.0	0.0	640.4	640.4	1424.1	168.1	346.9	346.9	1003.5	657.4	1213.5	1213.5
	NMPE	11.8	11.8	0.0	0.0	91.9	91.9	0.0	0.0	13.4	13.4	19.9	19.9
CONTOUR	MEAN	3.0	3.0	1211.7	600.2	1123.9	439.4	168.3	97.2	2589.4	1816.5	1299.3	848.5
4 0	NMPU	. 41.0	41.n	392 5	327 6	205 7	205 7	60 N	49 n	1731 5	356 1	95 0	70 0
-i∙	NMPP	22.9	22.9	946.2	707.7	957 0	502.8	0.0		1077 Q	7 3	77 0	77 0
	FITZ	0.0	0.0	30.3	30.3	128.9	42.4	0.0	0.0	568.3	568 3	154 7	55
	NMPE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	91.7	91.7	303.4	243.7	453.0	453.0
CONTOUR	MEAN	16.0	9.9	342.3	220.2	322.9	215.6	35.2	22.1	920.3	314.6	194.9	87.6
41#	NMPE 50	z 0.0	0.0	1282.6	1282.6	418.4	418.4	91.7	91.7	303.4	243.7	59.0	59.0
	25	% 0.0	0.0	10.1	10.1	1571.0	1571.0	260.8	260.8	284.5	258.5	171.5	27.5
	- 1	% 58.4	58.4	0.0	0.0	940.3	940.3	13.3	13.3	618.5	618.5	32.4	32.4
60	NMPW	0.0	0.0	289.8	106.9	864.1	401.0	124.6	124.6	16965.1	15484.8	219.8	62.0
••	NMPP	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	238.3	143.2	1922.6	917.0	1466.3	791.5	490.1	135.0
	FITZ	11.0	11.0	667.5	310.0	177.3	84.2	174.8	174.8	624.2	372.4	3880.4	3740.3
	NMPE	31.6	31.6	134.9	134.9	1149.7	507.4	15.7	15.7	488.0	428.3	74.7	3.8
CONTOUR	MEAN	10.7	7.4	273.1	144.2	607.4	238.3	559.4	455.6	4885.9	4032.2	1166.3	908.8
ONTROL 1	1EAN**	105.7	93.8	607.2	243.5	521.6	170.3	663.0	437.5	3088.9	2004.0	1231.3	1074.9
XP. MEAN	12¥	• 4.2	3.0	717.4	323.3	765.8	256.7	325.8	232.0	2502.3	937.2	1266.9	567.1
IONTHLY N	IEAN	55.0	47.2	662.3	196.0	643.7	152.1	494.4	243.1	2795.6	1071.3	1249.1	587.1
	ANGE	0.0-	761.5	0.0- 27	88.1	0.0- 21	58.2	0.0- 35	87.7	13.4-16	965.1	0.0-87	746.9

40-FT SAMPLES COLLECTED AT VARIOUS LIGHT PENETRATION LEVELS

A-2

science services division

Table A-2 (Page 2 of 2)

Cyanophyta

algebraid and

		0	СТ	N	IOV	DE	C
	TRAN	- MEAN	S.E.*	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.
10	NMPW	3196.7	120.7	1996.8	1996.8	563.6	44.3
	NMPP	7333.8	1569.1	442.0	442.0	234.7	234.7
	FITZ	1316.3	1119.7	354.5	190.1	925.8	925.8
	NMPE	753.7	355.8	276.0	275.0	0.0	0.0
CONTOUR	MEAN	3150.2	1489.2	767.3	411.2	431.0	201.4
20	NMPH	5157.7	5043.5	1370.4	1197.4	40.7	40.7
	NMPP	4677.8	1439.0	15065.0	14532.5	1028.9	775.9
	FTTZ	2873.6	2572.3	2188.9	2188.9	109.2	9.7
	NMPE	5536.8	4232.4	1570.0	1570.0	78.9	78.9
CONTOUR	MEAN	4561.5	589.4	5048.6	3343.3	314.4	238.6
40	NMPU	2434 0	1169 6	907 7	907 7	192 6	145 6
	NMPD	1377 5	1377 5	252 2	252 2	143.8	143.8
	FTT7	2035 3	82 9	3792 4	2324 4	1796 9	652 5
•	NMPE	0.0	0.0	1473.4	184.1	527.8	527.8
CONTOUR	MEAN	1461.9	533.8	1606.4	770.2	665.3	386.7
41#	NMPF	502 0 0	0.0	1473 4	184 1	527 A	527 A
74.0		25/1248.5	908.8	1473.4	184 1	527.8	527.8
		1%4123.4	2258.9	0.0	0.0	311.5	11.8
60	NMPW	1545.4	1565 4	1324.0	1324 0	350.0	301.1
	NMPP	330.7	74.7	926.1	926.1	173.4	64.8
	FITZ	287.5	245.4	169.4	169.4	17.6	17.6
	NMPE	739.6	674.7	1443.4	1443.4	381.5	381.5
CONTOUR	MEAN	730.8	296.3	965.7	287.5	230.6	84.5
CONTROL M	EAN*	2423.1	731.9	1295.2	180.1	266.9	77.8
EXP. MEAN	××	2529.1	852.7	2898.8	1793.7	553.8	224. 0
MONTHLY M	IEAN	2476.1	543.0	2097.0	895.1	410.3	120.4
MONTHLY R	ANGE	0.0- 73	33.8	0.0-150	065.0	0.0- 17	96.9

¥

STANDARD ERROR MEANS ARE FOR SURFACE SAMPLES ONLY; CONTROL REPRESENTS ж× NMPW & NMPE, EXPERIMENTAL REPRESENTS NMPP & FITZ # 40-FT SAMPLES COLLECTED AT VARIOUS LIGHT PENETRATION LEVELS

A-3

scienc rvices division
Table A-3 (Page 1 of 2)

Abundance (Cells/ml) of Phytoplankton in Whole Water Collections, Nine Mile point Vicinity, 1978

Chlorophyta ·

			APR	M	AY	JL	N	JL	JL	A	UG	Şi	EP
CONTOUR	SECT	MEAN	S.E.*	MEAN	5.E.	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.
10	NHPW	378.8	152.9	3837.1	2015.8	1747.0	147.5	1116.5	522.8	1278.8	104.5	811.5	152.6
	NMPP	136.5	19.5	1207.7	567.9	464.8	186.6	23.8	23.8	1707.9	109.8	59.7	5.2
	FITZ	133.7	20.1	1007.7	68.3	800.4	231.7	454.2	90.8	1398.9	40.8	94.8	1.8
	NMPE	77.5	19.0	1000.2	167.9	1187.9	159.4	347.8	17.2	1538.1	837.3	657.1	293.8
CONTOUR	MEAN	181.6	67.1	1763.2	693.0	1050.0	275.3	485.6	229.4	1480.9	92.4	405.8	192.4
20	NMPW	125.1	53.5	589.8	210.1	1013.8	212.4	1036.6	240.9	478.1	338.5	588.6	192.0
	NMPP	67.9	58.4	2405.9	511.7	2067.5	467.2	236.2	33.7	2789.2	358.2	878.0	125.2
	FTTZ	75.5	30.0	1557.0	153.5	1298.2	41.6	448.2	240.0	1216.4	387.7	303.1	133.8
	NMPE	29.9	26.9	548.4	64.5	1440.4	300.6	99.0	17.7	1345.3	1027.5	396.9	188.0
CONTOUR	MEAN	74.6	19.6	1275.3	443.1	1455.0	222.6	455.0	206.7	1457.2	483.3	541.6	126.9
40	NHOW	25.8	13.2	284.0	46.5	167.6	123 9	421 5	205.4	1347.2	74.9	66.7	6 0
+v	NMOD	27.6	10.4	820 8	338 0	958 N	525 F	228 2	53 6	791 7	490 8	496 6	119.0
	ETT7	116 1	21 5	33.6	15.8	796.9	977 9	59.5	21 6	3210 2	590.0	363 9	136 6
	NITPE	102.1	33.2	117.6	4.7	662.4	77.2	162.5	36.0	501.4	192.0	502.2	75.7
CONTOUR	MEAN	67.9	24.0	313.9	176.8	646.1	170.6	220.5	76.3	1462.6	608.4	307.4	112.7
(3 A				107 7	F. 0				-		200.0	A17 (
41#	NEPE 5	0% 102.1	33.2	187.3	59.0	514.5	254.6	162.5	36.0	501.4	192.0	217.6	208.6
	2	1% 28.0	4.U 21.9	199.0	42.7	627.9	63.6 262.9	181.5	64.8 84.1	559.8 689.5	382.0 182.0	90.4 357.9	57.6 195.7
60	NMPW	134.7	40.7	85.1	52.0	150.3	14.0	285.6	122.1	1731.8	704.4	322.6	121.3
	NHPP	33.1	3.5	140.6	80.2	402.8	284.1	694.1	181.6	1218.7	706.9	408.1	26.0
	FITZ	55.0	31.8	38.1	12.1	411.9	255.0	66.1	19.5	1120.7	389.9	252.6	135.8
	NMPE	28.5	15.9	68.4	4.4	1048.6	54.2	269.7	34.0	1327.8	1042.8	153.0	7.5
CONTOUR	MEAN	62.8	24.7	88.0	21.0	503.4	191.6	328.9	131.6	1349.7	134.2	284.1	54.0
	1FAN**	112 A	47. N	818.8	445.6	927.2	201.6	467.4	137.7	1193.5	162 1	437 3	89 5
EXP. MEAN	·~~	80.7	15 3	901.4	295 3	900.0	199.1	277 6	A3 A	1681 7	306 2	ער גער ז ג גע	94.2
MONTHLY	TEAN	94 7	21 6	840.1	258 4	933.6	136 9	272 5	81 7	1637 4	178 0	386 7	64 9
MONTHLY	ANGE	25.8-	378.8	33.4- 38	37.1	147.1- 20	67.5	23 8- 11	16.5	478.1- 32	210.2	59.7- A	78 0
			2.3.0	35 50			¥. 14					2707 0	

STANDARD ERROR ¥

MEANS ARE FOR SURFACE SAMPLES ONLY; CONTROL REPRESENTS NMPW & NMPE, EXPERIMENTAL REPRESENTS NMPP & FITZ ж×

40-FT SAMPLES COLLECTED AT VARIOUS LIGHT PENETRATION LEVELS

A-4

Table A-3 (Page 2 of 2)

Chlorophyta

ba. er: en ant 1		00	CT .	N	0V	DE	C
CONTOUR	SECT	MEAN	S.E.*	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.
10	NMPW	1769.5	1610.2	612.9	255.3	224.9	3.9
	NMPP	584.6	141,5	988.1	582.0	209.3	82.0
	FITZ	261.0	105.5	409.3	30.4	407.5	155.2
	NMPE	564.8	350.4	357.8	195.1	151.1	8.1
CONTOUR	MEAN	795.0	333.2	592.0	143.1	248.2	55.4
20	NMPW	263.6	10.4	842.3	626.1	525.8	288.7
	NMPP	407.0	40.2	1277.3	976.0	92.9	0.8
	FITZ	795.2	439.2	478.8	152.6	150.0	41.8
	NMPE	1323.5	918.0	377.2	112.1	385.4	22.4
CONTOUR	MEAN	697.3	237.0	743.9	203.9	288.5	101.3
4 D -	NMPW	316 1	118.0	593 8	432.3	249.8	11.0
40	NMPP	887.8	28.0	690 0	49.7	247.8	97.1
	FTTZ	1022.3	355.7	473.0	269.1	315.3	25.4
	NMPE	547.6	84.4	980.3	269.9	154.9	29.7
CONTOUR	MEAN	693.5	160.6	684.3	108.2	241.9	33.0
41#	NMOR	507 547 6	86 6	7 080	269 9	154 9	29 7
7 🖬 🗤		25% 621 3	73 4	980 3	269.9	154.9	29.7
		1% 811.1	413.7	1883.6	1225.6	165.9	21.6
40	NMDU	448 B	235 0	752 4	9 9	197 7	91 7
00	NMOO	255 2	136 3	493 2	180 3	219 2	1 9
	FTTZ	651 9	6 9	315 7	315 7	619 8	88.8
	NMPE	486.4	153.4	1660.5	319.2	214.0	116.1
CONTOUR	MEAN	460.3	80.8	805.5	298.8	260.2	50.1
CONTROL	MEAN*	€ 740.0 ·	186.5	772.1	147.8	263.0	45.6
EXP. MEAL	**	583.0	102.7	640.7	116.8	256.5	40.4
MONTHLY	TEAN	661.5	104.8	706.4	92.5	259.7	29.5
MONTHLY	RANGE	255.2- 17	69.5	315.7- 18	88.6	92.9- 5	25.8

* STANDARD ERROR

** MEANS ARE FOR SURFACE SAMPLES ONLY; CONTROL REPRESENTS NMPH & NMPE, EXPERIMENTAL REPRESENTS NMPP & FITZ 40-FT SAMPLES COLLECTED AT VARIOUS LIGHT PENETRATION LEVELS

A-5

Table A-4 (Page 1 of 2)

Abundance (Cells/ml) of Phytoplankton in Whole Water Collections, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

Bacillariophyta-Centric

DEDTU	TT 4.1.1	A	PR	M	AY -	J	И	JU	JL .	A	JG	SE	EP
CONTOUR	SECT	MEAN	S.E.*	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	\$.E.	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E
10	NMPW	2370.8	129.2	4381.0	1147.9	914.9	93.1	330.6	259.2	40.3	4.6	175.4	23.5
	NMPP	398.1	18.0	1548.1	221.3	672.1	79.7	82.9	15.1	89.4	50.7	0.0	0.0
	FITZ	736.8	12.5	1167.3	44.5	544.1	72.7	23.4	23.4	14.1	3.2	0.0	0.0
	NMPE	501.8	84.1	450.9	60.2	822.7	135.3	149.2	89.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CONTOUR	NEAN	1001.9	461.8	1886.8	861.9	738.5	81.9	146.5	66.5	35.9	19.7	43.9	43.9
20	NMPW	1098.7	187.1	1371.7	685.6	970.3	96.3	12.7	1.4	28.0	22.2	127.3	127.3
	NMPP	179.2	10.2	1500.8	184.3	1246.7	622.2	7.8	7.8	15.8	15.8	0.0	0.0
	FITZ	651.1	237.7	1729.6	35.3	1213.4	501.6	40.3	8.3	13.0	13.0	134.8	21.5
	NMPE	357.5	49.7	484.9	68.6	815.0	98.1	0.0	0.0	51.3	51.3	49.2	49.2
CONTOUR	MEAN	571.6	200.8	1271.7	272.5	1061.4	102.6	15.2	8.8	27.0	8.7	77.8	32.4
40	NMPU	139.8	0.9	533.2	88.3	391.3	82.7	37.6	21.4	5.3	5.3	38.6	38.6
	NMPP	146.9	43.4	940.9	68.4	378.5	151.4	18.7	5.5	19.7	19.7	90.0	63.9
	FITZ	568.1	110.3	60.9	6.9	204.6	33.3	144.0	86.6	0.0	0.0	76.7	76.7
	NMFE	469.3	13.2	156.9	0.9	129.8	13.0	51.7	36.8	0.0	0.0	37.1	37.1
CONTOUR	MEAN	331.0	110.2	423.0	200.5	276.0	64.7	63.0	27.9	6.3	4.7	60.6	13.4
41#	NMDE	E07 449 3	17.2	278 6	44 2	627 7	491 7	E1 7	74 8	0 0	0.0	0.0	0.0
-7.4.17	toru 🗠	257 291 3	83.6	130.0	40.2	168 3	49 7	77 4	38 5	0.0	0.0	4 0	4 0
		1% 272.3	60.6	233.8	64.6	281.6	127.2	102.6	35.3	14.1	14.1	0.0	0.0
									_				
60	NMPW	376.3	128.1	135.4	31.8	240.9	128.9	14.8	8.5	36.1	12.1	0.0	0.0
	NMPP	198.8	49.4	120.6	11.9	272.6	39.0	29.1	7.5	22.1	9.1	122.7	103.0
	FITZ	203.0	96.9	41.2	27.8	182.1	101.7	14.3	2.4	66.5	25.0	16.2	16.2
	NMPE	231.6	65.2	74.1	21.2	675.0	142.1	0.0	0.0	7.5	7.5	9.3	2.2
CONTOUR	MEAN	252.4	41.9	92.8	21.6	342.7	112.4	14.5	5.9	33.0	12.6	37.1	28.7
CONTROL N	IEAN**	693.2	260.4	948.5	511.4	620.0	114.0	74.5	40.4	21.1	7.2	54.6	22.6
EXP. MEAN	′ ≮¥	385.3	84.0	888.7	253.2	589.3	151.7	45.0	16.4	30.1	10.9	55.0	20.4
MONTHLY 1	1EAN	539.2	138.0	918.6	275.8	604.6	91.8	59.8	21.4	25.6	6.4	54.8	14.7
MONTHLY F	RANGE	139.8- 23	\$70.8	41.2- 43	81.0	129.8- 12	46.7	0.0- 33	30.6	0.0-	89.4	0.0- 1	75.4
×	STAND	ARD ERROR											

** MEANS ARE FOR SURFACE SAMPLES ONLY; CONTROL REPRESENTS NMPW & NMPE, EXPERIMENTAL REPRESENTS NMPP & FITZ

40-FT SAMPLES COLLECTED AT VARIOUS LIGHT PENETRATION LEVELS

A-6

Table A-4 (Page 2 of 2)

Bacillariophyta-Centric

			1	DCT	N	vo	[DEC
CONTOUR	SECT	-	MEAN	S.E.*	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.
10	NMPW		0.0	0.0	178.8	178.8	278.8	159.9
	N'1PP		9.8	9.8	83.2	41.1	159.0	8.5
	FITZ		4.5	4.5	236.8	236.3	260.5	169.7
	NMPE		5.3	5.3	361.4	233.0	423.8	299.7
CONTOUR	MEAN		4.9	2.0	215.1	58.2	280.5	54.6
20	NMPU		n.n	0.0	180.5	180 5	, 977 7	169 3
	NMPP		0.0	0.0	197	19.7	ד ופו	59.2
	FTT7		31 2	27.7	1529.9	182 8	270 0	85
	NMPE		0.0	0.0	428.2	61.2	489.6	18.7
CONTOUR	MEAN		7.8	7.8	539.6	340.6	309.6	63.4
40	NMDLJ				547 6	478 4	316 6	76 6
40	NMOD		0.0	0.0	215 7	133 5	247 4	10.0
	FTTZ		0.0	0.0	836 0	305 8	498 4	2 5
	NMPE		28.3	11.2	628.1	36.1	781.0	206.5
CONTOUR	MEAN		7.1	7.1	556.8	128.9	460.9	119.1
41#	NMPE	50%	28.3	11.2	628.1	36.1	781.0	206.5
	,	25%	2.7	2.7	628.1	36.1	781.0	206.5
		1%	0.0	0.0	498.1	238.6	186.5	60.0
. 60	NMDU		0.0	n n	0.0		201 4	76 9
	NMPP		0.0	0.0	408 2	279.8	178 2	81
	FTTZ		5 6	5.6	399.9	399 9	159 8	112 8
	NMPE		0.0	0.0	21.7	21.7	211.2	45.7
CONTOUR	MEAN		1.4	1.4	207.5	113.6	210.1	29.1
CONTROL P	IEAN**		4.2	3.5	293.3	82.9	383.8	64.9
EXP. MEAN	××		6.4	3.8	466.2	176.1	246.8	39.6
MONTHLY M	IEAN .		5.3	2.5	379.7	96.7	315.3	40.7
MONTHLY R	ANGE		0.0-	31.2	0.0- 15	29.9	159.0-	781.0

* STANDARD ERROR ** MEANS ARE FOR SURFACE SAMPLES ONLY; CONTROL REPRESENTS NMPW & NMPE, EXPERIMENTAL REPRESENTS NMPP & FITZ

40-FT SAMPLES COLLECTED AT VARIOUS LIGHT PENETRATION LEVELS

. 1

A-7

Table A-5 (Page 1 of 2)

Abundance (Cells/ml) of Phytoplankton in Whole Water Collections, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

Bacillariophyta-Pennate

	TTT A 1 1	A	PR	M	AY	JL	IN	JU	L	. AL	6	SE	P
CONTOUR	SECT	MEAN	S.E.*	MEAN	\$.E.	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E
10	NMPW	706.0	110.7	.5773.0	354.9	434.9	67.3	37.3	11.3	16.4	5.2	13.1	0.7
	NMPP	192.5	84.9	1648.5	24.0	688.3	350.8	1.8	1.8	19.3	19.3	2.4	2.4
	FITZ	50.7	16.1	1243.9	115.2	487.0	99.0	11.4	6.7	4.5	4.5	9.3	9.3
	NMPE	103.1	103.1	1260.5	294.6	793.7	480.1	23.3	8.0	102.8	83.9	0.0	0.0
CONTOUR	MEAN	263.0	150.5	2481.4	1101,2	601.0	84.3	18.4	7.7	35.8	.22.6	6.2	3.0
20	NMPW	101.5	57.2	1530.3	972.7	1180.7	238.2	16.2	4.9	0.0	0.0	452.4	73 6
	NMPP	46.0	21.9	2383.8	815.4	797.6	157.5	45.9	45.9	15.6	0.2	23.7	8.7
	FITZ	13.3	0.3	1543.6	468.1	424.8	148.8	19.2	19.2	20.8	20.8	6.5	6.5
	NMPE	12.6	8.1	402.2	102.1	1659.3	859.1	20.3	20.3	6.4	6.4	165.9	36.6
CONTOUR	MEAN	43.4	20.9	1465.0	406.6	1015.6	264.3	25.4	6.9	10.7	4.6	162.1	103.2
40	NMPIJ	7.8	1 0	855 4	258 5	601 7	177 0	6.9	6.9	0.0	0 0	0.0	
	NMPP	1 4) 4	1200.2	21 8	401.3	220 0	7.7	20.6	89.8	89.9	0.0	0.0
	FTTZ	26.6	5 5	91 Å	8.8	275 2	184 4	0.0	20.0	162.8	162.8	170 0	141 6
	NMPE	88.5	77.0	201.4	2.3	1291.2	51.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.1	22.1
CONTOUR	MEAN	30.1	20,3	609.7	282,6	643.0	226.4	10.9	9.4	62.9	39.3	48.0	41.0
614	NMDE 502	/ 88 5	77 0	201 2	95.0	677 A	679 6		• •	0.0	• •	7 0	7 0
414	252	44 2	36 7	204 9	73 5	1812 5	412.0	32 4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	17	16.1	7.3	507.3	102.2	413.7	162.3	568.8	24.9	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.6
60	NMPW	0.0	0.0	224.2	56.7	739.5	272.6	3.9	3.9	16.0	8.0	163.8	104.6
	NMPP	11.6	11.6	247.4	73.6	891.5	283.2	5.4	5.4	13.0	13.0	38.3	20.9
	FITZ	4.9	4.9	46.0	20.5	838.0	264.1	8.3	8.3	3.1	3.1	8.1	8.1
•	NMPE	33.7	30.1	107.2	52.0	1235.6	72.8	11.7	11.7	0.0	0.0	25.0	25.0
ÇONTOUR	MEAN	12.5	7.4	156.2	47.9	926.2	107.9	7.3	1.7	8.0	3.8	58.8	35.5
ONTROL M	EAN**	131.1	83.5	1294.2	666.2	967.0	157.4	14.7	4.3	17.7	12.4	105.3	55.4
XP. MEAN	××	43.4	22.2	1061.9	300.2	625.9	77.0	16.4	6.1	41.0	19.9	32.3	20.2
ONTHLY M	EAN	87.3	43.3	1178.1	354.2	796.4	95.4	15.5	3.6	29.3	11.7	68.8	30.0
ONTHINR	ANGE	0.0- 7	06.0	46.0- 57	73.0	275.2- 18	12.5	0.0- 56	8.8	0.0- 10	52.8	0.0- 4:	52.4

NMPW & NMPE, EXPERIMENTAL REPRESENTS NMPP & FITZ

40-FT SAMPLES COLLECTED AT VARIOUS LIGHT PENETRATION LEVELS

A-8

Table A-5 (Page 2 of 2)

Bacillariophyta-Pennate

.....

		· .	001		NUV	. L	JEC .
CONTOUR	SECT	MEÁN	S.E.*	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.
10	NMPW	.4.3	1.4	21.7	21.7	108.1	5.4
	NHPP	103.5	62.3	238.3	238.3	184.2	25.3
	FITZ	123.2	27.6	52.9	29.3	194.2	3.6
	NMPE	134.4	132.2	15.6	15.6	302.3	140.5
CONTOUR	MEAN	91.3	29.7	82.1	52.7	197.2	40.0
20	NMPW	156.3	156.3	21.6	21.6	177.2	65.4
	NMPP	166.7	152.9	0.0	0.0	143.9	5.2
	FITZ	179.1	102.2	42.1	42.1	199.2	165.2
	NMPE	147.6	134.5	210.0	6.1	269.7	188.1
CONTOUR	MEAN	162.4	6.8	68.4	48.0	197.5	26.6
40	NMPU	32.9	32.9	0.0	0.0	417.3	124.8
-1.0	NMPP	64.0	11.4	276.2	111.8	386.9	323.9
	FITZ	450.8	245.9	0.0	0.0	133.0	31.8
	NHPE	682.0	668.2	136.7	136.7	318.9	16.5
CONTOUR	MEAN	307.4	156.9	103.2	66.1	314.0	63.7
41 9	NMBE EOV	482 A		176 7	176 7	219 0	16 5
717	257	64 E	83.9	136 7	136 7	318 9	16 5
	1%	68.0	40.5	18.4	18.4	126.8	93.0
4.0	NMPU	501 2	047 E	240 8	240 8	7 22	97 7
60	NMER	371.2	118 4	200.0	200.0	138 1	37 2
	6777	116 6	28.0	357 8	357 8	200.1 20 8	69.2
	NMPE	530.8	373.4	0.0	0.0	253.6	105.7
CONTOUR	MEAN	351.2	122.2	160.2	88.4	142.6	38.7
CONTROL	1EAN**	284.9	95.7	83.3	37.0	241.9	39.4
EXP. MEAN	**	171.3	42.2	123.7	50.7	183.8	31.8
MONTHLY N	1EAN	228.1	52.6	103.5	30.7	212.8	25.6
MONTHLY F	ANGE	4 3-	682.0	0.0-	357.8	88.1-	417.3

NMPH & NMPE, EXPERIMENTAL REPRESENTS NMPP & FITZ # 40-FT SAMPLES COLLECTED AT VARIOUS LIGHT PENETRATION LEVELS

STANDARD ERROR

¥ ** MEANS ARE FOR SURFACE SAMPLES ONLY; CONTROL REPRESENTS

A-9

science services division

	•	Т	able A-6 (Page	1	of 2)		
Abundance	(Cells/ml)	of	Phytoplankton	in	Whole	Water	Collections,

Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

Cryptophyta

ULP/IN IRAN- CONTOUR S.E. MEAN		~~			APR		MAY		JUN		JUL		AUG	S	EP	
10 NMPP 200.5 37.6 651.1 246.3 299.7 35.6 207.6 122.0 201.5 42.5 64.9 9.7 NTTP 205.5 55.9 252.7 253.3 7.7 164.1 24.4 64.0 95.5 160.2 24.6 35.7 20.5 35.7 20.7 15.8 149.2 22.1 149.2 24.6 35.6 100.2 24.6 35.7 166.1 20.0 71.6 CONTOUR MEAN 206.9 16.8 361.6 91.4 197.3 50.6 299.6 96.1 355.8 105.4 96.0 33.4 20 NMPW 240.2 122.9 622.4 152.3 271.2 1.3 141.3 6.3 200.0 178.1 190.3 43.8 362.3 314.3 510.6 69.4 64.9 0.2 9.9 NMPE 130.5 0.3 155.2 51.9 192.3 8.4 103.9 18.5 561.8 416.4	CONTOUR	SECT	-	MEAN	S.E.*	E MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	5.E.	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.	
NMPP 176.4 37.7 288.3 7.7 164.1 24.4 262.0 95.5 160.2 24.8 36.7 20.7 20.7 NMPE 254.7 4.1 334.7 96.0 256.2 44.4 579.3 314.5 661.7 118.1 190.2 122.7 CONTOUR MEAN 206.9 16.8 381.6 91.4 197.5 50.6 299.6 96.1 355.8 105.4 96.0 33.4 20 NMPM 240.2 122.9 622.4 152.3 271.2 1.3 141.3 6.3 202.0 178.1 93.0 17.3 NMPP 49.8 24.9 225.8 9.1 175.5 14.8 71.4 2.9 391.1 114.0 140.9 20.9 NMPE 130.5 0.3 156.2 51.9 192.3 8.4 103.9 1.8 561.8 416.4 79.9 111.5 197.7 CONTOUR MEAN 145.0 35.3 303.8 107.2 207.3 21.6 169.7 65.8 416.4 79.9 111.5 <td>10</td> <td>NMPW</td> <td></td> <td>200.1</td> <td>5 37.6</td> <td>651.1</td> <td>246.3</td> <td>299.7</td> <td>35.6</td> <td>207.6</td> <td>122.0</td> <td>201.5</td> <td>42.5</td> <td>64.9</td> <td>9.7</td>	10	NMPW		200.1	5 37.6	651.1	246.3	299.7	35.6	207.6	122.0	201.5	42.5	64.9	9.7	
FITZ 195.9 53.9 252.2 75.2 71.1 58.7 149.2 22.1 459.8 46.0 92.0 71.6 CONTOUR MEAN 206.9 16.8 381.6 91.4 197.3 50.6 299.6 96.1 355.8 105.4 96.0 33.4 20 NNPM 240.2 122.9 622.4 152.3 271.2 1.3 141.3 6.3 202.0 178.1 93.0 17.3 NNPM 240.2 122.9 622.4 152.3 271.2 1.3 141.3 6.3 202.0 178.1 93.0 17.3 NNPM 240.5 58.1 210.9 123.2 190.3 43.8 70.3 314.4 510.6 69.4 64.9 0.2 9.9 111.5 197.7 CONTOUR MEAN 145.0 35.3 303.6 107.2 207.3 21.6 169.7 65.8 416.4 79.9 111.5 197.7 40 NNPM 138.6		NMPP		176.4	37.7	283.3	7.7	164.1	24.4	262.0	95.5	160.2	24.8	36.7	20.5	
NMME 254.7 4.1 334.7 96.0 254.2 44.4 579.3 314.5 601.7 118.1 190.2 122.7 CONTOUR MEAN 206.9 16.8 361.6 91.4 197.3 50.6 299.6 96.1 355.6 105.4 96.0 33.4 20 NMPH 240.2 122.9 622.4 152.3 271.2 1.3 141.3 6.3 202.0 176.1 93.0 17.3 NMPF 69.8 24.9 225.8 9.1 176.5 14.8 71.4 2.9 391.1 140.0 100.9 20.9 NMPF 130.5 0.3 156.2 51.9 192.3 8.4 103.9 1.8 561.8 413.0 147.1 100.3 CONTOUR MEAN 145.0 35.3 303.8 107.2 207.3 21.6 169.7 65.8 416.4 79.9 111.5 19.7 40 NMPF 136.6 54.4 55.4 56.2		FITZ		195.4	3 53.9	252.2	75.2	71.1	58.7	149.2	22.1	459.8	48.0	92.0	71.6	
CONTOUR MEAN 206.9 16.8 381.6 91.4 197.3 50.6 299.6 96.1 355.8 105.4 96.0 33.4 20 NMPP 640.2 122.9 622.4 152.3 271.2 1.3 141.3 6.3 202.0 178.1 93.0 17.9 NMPP 69.4 24.9 225.8 9.1 175.5 14.8 71.4 2.9 501.1 141.0 140.9 20.9 FITZ 130.5 0.3 156.2 51.9 192.3 8.4 103.9 1.8 561.8 416.4 79.9 111.5 19.7 CONTOUR MEAN 145.0 35.3 303.8 107.2 207.3 21.6 169.7 65.8 416.4 79.9 111.5 19.7 40 NHPM 136.6 34.4 396.2 13.5 99.4 12.0 53.4 54.4 22.0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		NMPE		254.7	7 4.1	334.7	96.0	254.2	44.4	579.3	314.5	601.7	118.1	190.2	122.7	
20 NMPP 240.2 122.9 622.4 152.3 271.2 1.3 141.3 6.3 202.0 178.1 93.0 17.3 NMPP 69.8 24.9 225.8 9.1 175.5 14.6 71.4 2.9 391.1 114.0 140.9 20.9 NMPP 130.5 0.3 156.2 51.9 192.3 63.6 362.3 314.3 510.6 64.4 140.9 20.9 CONTOUR MEAN 145.0 35.3 303.6 107.2 207.3 21.6 169.7 65.8 416.4 79.9 111.5 19.7 40 NHFM 138.6 34.4 396.2 13.5 99.4 12.0 53.4 5.4 284.9 20.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 NMPP 128.1 5.1 459.2 74.6 302.8 106.1 183.3 20.6 347.9 80.3 185.1 76.6 NMPE 297.5 77.0 172.4 72.2 </td <td>CONTOUR</td> <td>R MEAN</td> <td></td> <td>206.9</td> <td>9 - 16.8</td> <td>381.6</td> <td>91.4</td> <td>197.3</td> <td>50.6</td> <td>299.6</td> <td>96.1</td> <td>355.8</td> <td>105.4</td> <td>96.0</td> <td>33.4</td>	CONTOUR	R MEAN		206.9	9 - 16.8	381.6	91.4	197.3	50.6	299.6	96.1	355.8	105.4	96.0	33.4	
Lo NUMP 60.6 24.7 22.5.8 5.1 17.5 14.6 71.4 2.9 391.1 114.0 140.9 20.9 FTTZ 139.5 58.1 210.9 123.2 190.3 43.8 362.3 314.3 510.6 69.4 64.9 0.2 NUMPE 130.5 0.3 156.2 51.7 192.3 8.4 103.9 1.8 561.8 413.0 147.1 100.3 CONTOUR MEAN 145.0 35.3 303.8 107.2 207.3 21.6 169.7 65.8 416.4 79.9 111.5 19.7 40 NMEP 138.6 34.4 356.2 13.5 99.4 12.0 53.4 5.4 284.9 20.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 111.5 19.7 HTZ 217.6 70.0 48.7 3.2 390.4 120.0 53.4 5.4 284.9 20.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 10.7 10.7 10.7 10.7 10.	2 0	NMDU		240 3	122 0	622 4	152 3	971 9	1 7	141 7	4 3	202 0	179 1	07.0	17 2	
FTTZ 13.5 54.1 12.2 19.5 43.6 362.3 314.3 51.6 69.4 64.9 0.2 NTPE 130.5 0.3 156.2 51.9 192.3 8.4 103.9 1.8 561.6 413.0 147.1 100.3 CONTOUR MEAN 145.0 35.3 303.6 107.2 207.3 21.6 169.7 65.8 416.4 79.9 111.5 197.7 40 NMEP 128.1 5.1 439.2 74.6 302.8 100.1 183.8 58.4 452.5 86.2 85.2 7.1 FTTZ 17.6 70.0 46.7 7.2 390.1 98.5 122.2 64.0 532.7 222.0 0.7 27.7 RMPE 297.5 77.0 172.4 7.2 325.5 21.0 188.3 20.6 347.9 80.3 195.1 74.6 CONTOUR MEAN 195.5 39.4 264.1 92.6 279.4 62.8 <	20	LINER L		20.0	20.0	225 8	192.3	175 6	14.9	71 4	0.5	202.0	170.1	140 0	20 0	
NHPE 139.5 36.1 120.7 123.5 190.3 83.6 130.9 1.8 50.18 67.4 64.7 0.2 CONTOUR MEAN 145.0 35.3 303.8 107.2 207.3 21.6 169.7 65.8 416.4 79.9 111.5 19.7 40 NHPM 138.6 54.4 39.2 74.6 302.8 108.1 183.8 58.4 46.4 79.9 111.5 19.7 40 NHPM 138.6 54.4 39.2 74.6 302.8 108.1 183.8 58.4 452.5 65.2 85.2 7.1 FIZ 217.6 70.0 48.7 2.2 39.1 89.5 122.2 84.0 532.7 222.0 20.7 20.7 KNPE 297.5 77.0 172.4 7.2 325.5 21.0 188.3 20.6 347.9 80.3 96.4 77.3 25% 161.9 12.6 77.0 280.2 43.0 <td></td> <td>2777</td> <td></td> <td>170 5</td> <td>· · · · · · · ·</td> <td>210.0</td> <td>107.0</td> <td>175.5</td> <td>14.0</td> <td>740 7</td> <td>716 7</td> <td>510 4</td> <td>114.0</td> <td>140.7</td> <td>20.9</td>		2777		170 5	· · · · · · · ·	210.0	107.0	175.5	14.0	740 7	716 7	510 4	114.0	140.7	20.9	
NNPE 130.5 0.3 156.2 51.9 192.3 8.4 103.9 1.8 561.8 413.0 147.1 100.3 CONTOUR MEAN 145.0 35.3 303.8 107.2 207.3 21.6 169.7 65.8 416.4 79.9 111.5 19.7 40 NMPP 138.6 34.4 396.2 13.5 99.4 12.0 53.4 5.4 284.9 20.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 MMPP 128.6 34.4 396.2 74.6 302.8 108.1 183.8 58.4 452.5 86.2 85.2 7.1 FITZ 217.6 70.0 48.7 3.2 390.1 89.5 122.2 84.0 532.7 222.0 20.7 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>134.5</td> <td>50.1</td> <td>210.9</td> <td>123.2</td> <td>190.5</td> <td>42.0</td> <td>302.3</td> <td>314.3</td> <td>510.8</td> <td>07.4</td> <td>64.9</td> <td>0.2</td>				134.5	50.1	210.9	123.2	190.5	42.0	302.3	314.3	510.8	07.4	64.9	0.2	
CONTOUR MEAN 145.0 35.3 303.6 107.2 207.3 21.6 169.7 65.8 416.4 79.9 111.5 19.7 40 NMMPH 138.6 34.4 396.2 13.5 99.4 12.0 53.4 5.4 284.9 20.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 NMPH 138.6 34.4 396.2 13.5 99.4 12.0 53.4 5.4 284.9 20.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 NMPH 128.1 71.4 39.2 390.1 89.5 122.2 84.0 532.7 222.0 20.7 20.7 20.7 NMPE 297.5 77.0 172.4 7.2 325.5 21.0 188.3 20.6 347.9 80.3 185.1 74.6 CONTOUR MEAN 195.5 39.4 264.1 92.6 279.4 62.8 136.9 347.9 80.3 96.4 77.3 25% 161.9 12.8 76.2 9.1 309.8		NNPE		130.5	0.5	120.2	51.9	192.5	8.4	103.9	1.8	561.8	413.0	147,1	100.3	
40 NHFW 138.6 34.4 396.2 13.5 99.4 12.0 53.4 5.4 284.9 20.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 FITZ 217.6 70.0 48.7 3.2 390.1 89.5 122.2 84.0 532.7 222.0 20.7 20.7 20.7 NMPE 297.5 77.0 172.4 7.2 325.5 21.0 188.3 20.6 347.9 80.3 185.1 74.6 CONTOUR MEAN 195.5 39.4 264.1 92.6 279.4 62.8 136.9 31.7 404.5 55.0 72.8 41.6 41# NHPE 50X 297.5 77.0 280.2 43.0 290.8 211.2 188.3 20.6 347.9 80.3 96.4 77.3 25X 161.9 12.8 76.2 9.1 309.8 10.1 262.3 59.5 461.1 115.9 31.9 7.9 1X 118.6 36.3 326.6 113.9 364.9 113.5 167.5 23.2 305.5 127.8 96.3	CONTOUR	MEAN		145.0	35.3	303.8	107.2	207.3	21.6	169.7	65.8	416.4	79.9	111.5	19.7	
NUMP Losis J.1. J.3.2 J.1. Losis J.1.	: <u>40</u>	инры		138.6	74 4	396 2	13 5	99 4	12 0	57 4	5 4	284 9	20.0	0.0	0.0	
NUME 123.1 37.2 74.5 390.0 103.1 133.0 390.7 490.3 000.7 100.1 10	. 40	- NOCH		120.0	59.7 E 1	470.2	20.5	77.4	100 1	107.0	5.4	604.7 604.7	20.0	0.0	7 7	
NNPE 297.5 77.0 172.4 7.2 325.5 21.0 188.3 20.6 347.9 80.3 185.1 74.6 CONTOUR MEAN 195.5 39.4 264.1 92.6 279.4 62.8 136.9 31.7 404.5 55.0 72.8 41.6 41# NMPE 50% 297.5 77.0 280.2 43.0 290.8 211.2 188.3 20.6 347.9 80.3 96.4 77.3 25% 161.9 12.8 76.2 9.1 309.8 10.1 262.3 59.5 461.1 115.9 31.9 7.9 1% 118.6 38.3 326.6 113.9 364.9 113.5 283.0 190.6 698.1 342.1 9.1 9.1 9.1 60 NMPH 172.9 41.4 188.9 56.7 335.6 131.5 167.5 23.2 305.5 127.8 96.3 2.4 NMPP 211.5 58.6 243.2 23.8 232.5 85.4 220.8 15.4 685.1 363.3 164.6 <		ET77		220.1	70.0	737.2	7 9	302.0	, 100.1	103.0	90.7	732.3	222.0	09.2	20 J	
NMPE 297.5 77.0 172.4 7.2 365.5 21.0 185.3 20.6 347.9 80.3 185.1 74.6 CONTOUR MEAN 195.5 39.4 264.1 92.6 279.4 62.8 136.9 31.7 404.5 55.0 72.8 41.6 41# NMPE 50% 297.5 77.0 280.2 43.0 290.8 211.2 188.3 20.6 347.9 80.3 96.4 77.3 25% 161.9 12.8 76.2 9.1 309.8 10.1 262.3 59.5 461.1 115.9 31.9 7.9 1% 118.6 38.3 326.6 113.9 364.9 113.5 263.0 190.6 698.1 342.1 9.1 9.1 60 NMPH 172.9 41.4 188.9 56.7 335.6 131.5 167.5 23.2 305.5 127.8 96.3 2.4 NMPP 211.5 58.6 51.1 14.8<		1112		271.0	70.0	40.7	3.2	370.1	07.5	166.6	04.0	232.1	222.0	20.7	20.1	
CONTOUR MEAN 195.5 39.4 264.1 92.6 279.4 62.8 136.9 31.7 404.5 55.0 72.8 41.6 41# NMPE 50% 297.5 77.0 280.2 43.0 290.8 211.2 188.3 20.6 347.9 80.3 96.4 77.3 25% 161.9 12.8 76.2 9.1 309.8 10.1 262.3 59.5 461.1 115.9 31.9 7.9 1% 118.6 38.3 326.6 113.9 364.9 113.5 283.0 190.6 698.1 342.1 9.1 9.1 9.1 60 NMPH 172.9 41.4 188.9 56.7 335.6 131.5 167.5 23.2 305.5 127.8 96.3 2.4 NMPP 211.5 58.6 245.2 23.8 232.5 55.4 220.8 15.4 665.1 363.3 164.6 26.4 PITZ 70.6 45.8 51.1 14.8 303.0 33.5 79.7 20.2 477.2 163.7 68.6 60.8 NMPE<		5018E		297.5	//.0	1/2.4	1.2	262.2	21.0	100.5	20.0	547.9	00.5	105.1	/4.0	
41# NMPE 50% 297.5 77.0 280.2 43.0 290.8 211.2 188.3 20.6 347.9 80.3 96.4 77.3 25% 161.9 12.8 76.2 9.1 309.8 10.1 262.3 59.5 461.1 115.9 31.9 7.9 1% 118.6 36.3 326.6 113.9 364.9 113.5 283.0 190.6 698.1 342.1 9.1 9.1 9.1 60 NMFW 172.9 41.4 188.9 56.7 335.6 131.5 167.5 23.2 305.5 127.8 96.3 2.4 NMPP 211.5 58.6 245.2 23.8 232.5 85.4 220.8 15.4 685.1 363.3 164.6 26.4 FITZ 70.6 45.8 51.1 14.8 303.0 33.5 79.7 20.2 477.2 163.7 68.6 60.8 NMPE 172.8 51.4 162.5 15.1 429.1 8.0 290.8 141.3 424.6 33.6 91.5 56.9	CONTOUR	MEAN		195.5	39.4	264.1	92.6	279.4	62.8	136.9	31.7	404.5	55.0	72.8	41.6	
25% 161.9 12.8 76.2 9.1 309.8 10.1 262.3 59.5 461.1 115.9 31.9 7.9 1% 118.6 36.3 326.6 113.9 364.9 113.5 283.0 190.6 698.1 342.1 9.1 9.1 9.1 60 NMFW 172.9 41.4 188.9 56.7 335.6 131.5 167.5 23.2 305.5 127.8 96.3 2.4 NMPP 211.5 58.6 245.2 23.8 232.5 85.4 220.8 15.4 665.1 363.3 164.6 26.4 FITZ 70.6 45.8 51.1 14.8 303.0 33.5 79.7 20.2 477.2 163.7 68.6 60.8 NMPE 172.8 51.4 162.5 15.1 429.1 8.0 290.6 141.3 424.6 33.6 91.5 56.9 CONTOUR MEAN 157.0 30.2 161.9 40.8 325.1 40.8 189.7 44.5 473.1 79.3 105.2 20.7 <td c<="" td=""><td>41#</td><td>NMPE</td><td>50%</td><td>297.5</td><td>77.0</td><td>280.2</td><td>43.0</td><td>290.8</td><td>211.2</td><td>188.3</td><td>20.6</td><td>347.9</td><td>80.3</td><td>96.4</td><td>77.3</td></td>	<td>41#</td> <td>NMPE</td> <td>50%</td> <td>297.5</td> <td>77.0</td> <td>280.2</td> <td>43.0</td> <td>290.8</td> <td>211.2</td> <td>188.3</td> <td>20.6</td> <td>347.9</td> <td>80.3</td> <td>96.4</td> <td>77.3</td>	41#	NMPE	50%	297.5	77.0	280.2	43.0	290.8	211.2	188.3	20.6	347.9	80.3	96.4	77.3
1% 118.6 38.3 326.6 113.9 364.9 113.5 283.0 190.6 698.1 342.1 9.1 9.1 60 NMPW 172.9 41.4 188.9 56.7 335.6 131.5 167.5 23.2 305.5 127.8 96.3 2.4 NMPP 211.5 58.6 245.2 23.8 232.5 85.4 220.8 15.4 665.1 363.3 164.6 26.4 FITZ 70.6 45.8 51.1 14.8 303.0 33.5 79.7 20.2 477.2 163.7 68.6 60.8 NMPE 172.8 51.4 162.5 15.1 429.1 8.0 290.8 141.3 424.6 33.6 91.5 56.9 CONTOUR MEAN 157.0 30.2 161.9 40.8 325.1 40.8 189.7 44.5 473.1 79.3 105.2 20.7 CONTROL MEAN** 201.0 20.8 335.6 72.7 275.9 35.0 216.5 57.5 366.2 53.8 108.5 22.5 24.8 19			25%	161.9	12.8	76.2	9.1	309.8	10.1	262.3	59.5	461.1	115.9	31.9	7.9	
60 NMPW 172.9 41.4 188.9 56.7 335.6 131.5 167.5 23.2 305.5 127.8 96.3 2.4 NMPP 211.5 58.6 245.2 23.8 232.5 85.4 220.8 15.4 685.1 363.3 164.6 26.4 FITZ 70.6 45.8 51.1 14.8 303.0 33.5 79.7 20.2 477.2 163.7 68.6 60.8 NMPE 172.8 51.4 162.5 15.1 429.1 8.0 290.8 141.3 424.6 33.6 91.5 56.9 CONTOUR MEAN 157.0 30.2 161.9 40.8 325.1 40.8 189.7 44.5 473.1 79.3 105.2 20.7 CONTOUR MEAN 157.0 30.2 161.9 40.8 325.1 40.8 189.7 44.5 473.1 79.3 105.2 20.7 CONTOUR MEAN 157.0 20.0 220.2 44.8 228.7 35.5 181.4 34.8 458.6 52.4 84.2 17.2			1%	118.6	38.3	326.6	113.9	364.9	113.5	283.0	190.6	698.1	342.1	9.1	9.1	
NMPP 211.5 58.6 245.2 23.8 232.5 85.4 220.8 15.4 685.1 363.3 164.6 26.4 FITZ 70.6 45.8 51.1 14.8 303.0 33.5 79.7 20.2 477.2 163.7 68.6 60.8 NMPE 172.8 51.4 162.5 15.1 429.1 8.0 290.8 141.3 424.6 33.6 91.5 56.9 CONTOUR MEAN 157.0 30.2 161.9 40.8 325.1 40.8 189.7 44.5 473.1 79.3 105.2 20.7 CONTOUR MEAN 157.0 30.2 161.9 40.8 325.1 40.8 189.7 44.5 473.1 79.3 105.2 20.7 CONTROL MEAN** 201.0 20.8 335.6 72.7 275.9 35.0 216.5 57.5 366.2 53.8 108.5 22.5 EXP. MEAN** 151.2 20.9 220.2 44.8 228.7 35.5 181.4 34.8 458.6 52.4 84.2 17.2	40	NMEW		179 0	61 4	189 0	56 7	77E 6	171 5	147 E	97.9	305 E	197 8	64 7	2 4	
FITZ 70.6 45.8 51.1 14.8 303.0 33.5 79.7 20.2 477.2 163.7 68.6 60.8 NMPE 172.8 51.4 162.5 15.1 429.1 8.0 290.8 141.3 424.6 33.6 91.5 56.9 CONTOUR MEAN 157.0 30.2 161.9 40.8 325.1 40.8 189.7 44.5 473.1 79.3 105.2 20.7 CONTROL MEAN** 201.0 20.8 335.6 72.7 275.9 35.0 216.5 57.5 366.2 53.8 108.5 22.5 EXP. MEAN** 201.0 20.8 335.6 72.7 275.9 35.0 216.5 57.5 366.2 53.8 108.5 22.5 EXP. MEAN** 201.0 20.8 335.6 72.7 275.9 35.5 181.4 34.8 458.6 52.4 84.2 17.2 MONTHLY MEAN 176.1 15.6 277.9 43.8 252.3 24.8 199.0 32.8 412.4 38.2<	00	1.100		176.7 911 E	F0 4	205.7	07.9	232.0	131.3	207.9	15.2	209.9 402 1	127.0	70.5	24 4	
PIT2 70.6 45.6 51.1 14.6 503.0 53.5 79.7 20.2 477.2 165.7 68.6 60.8 NMPE 172.8 51.4 162.5 15.1 429.1 8.0 290.8 141.3 424.6 33.6 91.5 56.9 CONTOUR MEAN 157.0 30.2 161.9 40.8 325.1 40.8 189.7 44.5 473.1 79.3 105.2 20.7 CONTROL MEAN** 201.0 20.8 335.6 72.7 275.9 35.0 216.5 57.5 366.2 53.8 108.5 22.5 EXP. MEAN** 151.2 20.9 220.2 44.8 228.7 35.5 181.4 34.8 458.6 52.4 84.2 17.2 MONTHLY MEAN 176.1 15.6 277.9 43.8 252.3 24.8 199.0 32.8 412.4 38.2 96.4 14.0 MONTHLY RANGE 69.8- 297.5 48.7- 651.1 71.1- 429.1 53.4- 579.3 160.2- 698.1 0.0- 190.2 <td></td> <td>15 (PP)</td> <td></td> <td>211.3</td> <td></td> <td>245.2</td> <td></td> <td>202.9</td> <td>77 5</td> <td>220.0</td> <td>12.4</td> <td>477 0</td> <td>303.3</td> <td>104.0</td> <td>20.4</td>		15 (PP)		211.3		245.2		202.9	77 5	220.0	12.4	477 0	303.3	104.0	20.4	
KAPE 172.8 51.4 182.5 15.1 429.1 8.0 290.8 141.3 424.6 53.6 91.5 56.9 CONTOUR MEAN 157.0 30.2 161.9 40.8 325.1 40.8 189.7 44.5 473.1 79.3 105.2 20.7 CONTROL MEAN** 201.0 20.8 335.6 72.7 275.9 35.0 216.5 57.5 366.2 53.8 108.5 22.5 EXP. MEAN** 151.2 20.9 220.2 44.8 228.7 35.5 181.4 34.8 458.6 52.4 84.2 17.2 MONTHLY MEAN 176.1 15.6 277.9 43.8 252.3 24.8 199.0 32.8 412.4 38.2 96.4 14.0 MONTHLY RANGE 69.8- 297.5 48.7- 651.1 71.1- 429.1 53.4- 579.3 160.2- 698.1 0.0- 190.2		1977		170.0	43.0	21.1	14.0	505.0	53.5	/7./	20.2	4//.2	103./	00.0	50.0	
CONTOUR MEAN 157.0 30.2 161.9 40.8 325.1 40.8 189.7 44.5 473.1 79.3 105.2 20.7 CONTROL MEAN** 201.0 20.8 335.6 72.7 275.9 35.0 216.5 57.5 366.2 53.8 108.5 22.5 EXP. MEAN** 151.2 20.9 220.2 44.8 228.7 35.5 181.4 34.8 458.6 52.4 84.2 17.2 MONTHLY MEAN 176.1 15.6 277.9 43.8 252.3 24.8 199.0 32.8 412.4 38.2 96.4 14.0 MONTHLY RANGE 69.8- 297.5 48.7- 651.1 71.1- 429.1 53.4- 579.3 160.2- 698.1 0.0- 190.2		NAPE		112.0	51.4	102.5	15.1	429.1	8.0	290.8	141.3	424.6	23.0	91.5	50.9	
CONTROL MEAN** 201.0 20.8 335.6 72.7 275.9 35.0 216.5 57.5 366.2 53.8 108.5 22.5 EXP. MEAN** 151.2 20.9 220.2 44.8 228.7 35.5 181.4 34.8 458.6 52.4 84.2 17.2 MONTHLY MEAN 176.1 15.6 277.9 43.8 252.3 24.8 199.0 32.8 412.4 38.2 96.4 14.0 MONTHLY RANGE 69.8- 297.5 48.7- 651.1 71.1- 429.1 53.4- 57.9 160.2- 698.1 0.0- 190.2	CONTOUR	MEAN		157.0	30.2	161.9	40.8	325.1	40.8	189.7	44.5	473.1	79.3	105.2	20.7	
EXP. MEAN** 151.2 20.9 220.2 44.8 228.7 35.5 181.4 34.8 458.6 52.4 84.2 17.2 MONTHLY MEAN 176.1 15.6 277.9 43.8 252.3 24.8 199.0 32.8 412.4 38.2 96.4 14.0 MONTHLY RANGE 69.8~ 297.5 48.7~ 651.1 71.1~ 429.1 53.4~ 579.3 160.2~ 698.1 0.0~ 190.2	CONTROL	MEAN**	e :	201.0	20.8	335,6	72.7	275.9	35.0	216.5	57.5	366.2	53.8	108.5	22.5	
MONTHLY MEAN 176.1 15.6 277.9 43.8 252.3 24.8 199.0 32.8 412.4 38.2 96.4 14.0 MONTHLY RANGE 69.8~ 297.5 48.7~ 651.1 71.1~ 429.1 53.4~ 579.3 160.2~ 698.1 0.0~ 190.2	EXP. MEAN	N**		151.2	20.9	220.2	44.8	228.7	35.5	181.4	34.8	458.6	52.4	84.2	17.2	
MONTHLY RANGE 69.8- 297.5 48.7- 651.1 71.1- 429.1 53.4- 579.3 160.2- 698.1 0.0- 190.2	MONTHLY	MEAN		176.1	15.6	277.9	43.8	252.3	24.8	199.0	32.8	412.4	38.2	96.4	14.0	
	MONTHLY	RANGE	6	9.8-	297.5	48.7-	651.1	71.1-	429.1	53.4-	579.3	160.2-	698.1	0.0- 1	90.2	

STANDARD ERROR ¥

** MEANS ARE FOR SURFACE SAMPLES ONLY; CONTROL REPRESENTS NMPW & NMPE, EXPERIMENTAL REPRESENTS NMPP & FITZ

40-FT SAMPLES COLLECTED AT VARIOUS LIGHT PENETRATION LEVELS

A-10

Table A-6 (Page 2 of 2)

Cryptophyta

and the second states

and maintain the

and all and and

and the second

maline and

essi ("L'Automa "

OCT NOV DEC DEPTH TRAN-SECT CONTOUR MEAN S.E.* MEAN S.E. MEAN S.E. ____ NMPW 343.9 84.4 1489.4 550.8 67.5 54.1 NMPP 311.7 130.3 2478.0 878.4 44.0 9.3 FITZ 335.7 27.3 770.5 162.4 76.5 36.1 NMPE 400.4 120.9 1232.7 331.6 244.8 116.9 CONTOUR MEAN 347.9 18.8 1492.7 360.5 108.2 46.1 NMFW 492.8 197.4 2573.5 475.7 186.1 98.0 NMPP 340.5 130.1 1757.2 1025.0 68.5 23.5 FITŻ 399.8 217.4 2450.5 664.4 75.5 18.6 NMPE 839.6 337.2 2314.2 438.4 203.2 33.5 CONTOUR MEAN 111.6 2273.8 133.3 518.2 180.2 35.6 928.0 NMPW 146.4 374.3 103.6 14.1 366.6 NMFP 461.6 184.8 1378.1 453.5 72.2 14.7 FITZ 496.7 151.5 1916.6 570.9 244.9 31.3 NMPE. 143.5 3314.9 592.0 439.8 133.0 43.5 CONTOUR MEAN 441.2 27.5 1884.4 517.9 138.4 37.6 NMPE 50% 439.8 143.5 3314.9 592.0 133.0 43.5 25% 283.4 53.3 3314.9 592.0 43.5 133.0 1% 245.0 14.9 1744.5 1017.9 136.5 40.0 NMPH 176.8 2839.4 972.1 134.2 28.9 498.8 NMPP 143.9 69.4 1779.7 796.4 129.4 10.3 FITZ 76.4 1665.8 649.4 18.4 276.2 81.8 NMPE 234.4 223.6 3289.6 204.9 174.9 6.7

CONTOUR MEAN	288.3	75.4	2393.6	398.8	130.1	19.0
ONTROL MEAN**	452.1	63.1	2247.7	328.2	155.9	20.3
XP. MEAN**	345.8	39.2	1774.5	195.9	99.1	22.5
IONTHLY MEAN	398.9	38.4	2011.1	194.5	127.5	16.3
IONTHLY RANGE	143.9-	839.6	770.5- 33	14.9	44.0- 24	4.9

* STANDARD ERROR

10

20

40

41#

60

ζ.

** MEANS ARE FOR SURFACE SAMPLES ONLY; CONTROL REPRESENTS NMFN & NMPE, EXPERIMENTAL REPRESENTS NMPP & FITZ

40-FT SAMPLES COLLECTED AT VARIOUS LIGHT PENETRATION LEVELS

A-11

······

Table A-7 (Page 1 of 2)

Abundance (Cells/ml) of Phytoplankton in Whole Water Collection, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

Total Phytoplankton

Depth .		· 4	pr		May	. J	un	ີມ	1	Â	nd		Sep
Contour (ft)	Transect	Mean	S.E.*	Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.	Nean	S.E.
10	NMPW	4526.6	862.3	15979.3	1205.9	4943.2	1067.8	5364.9	1357.8	4223.4	1081.1	1098.1	128.4
	NMPP	976.0	98.8	4727.3	758.3	3477.1	1141.8	485.8	128.9	4456.0	881.0	482.1	396.2
	FITZ	1214.8	68.7	4620.2	238.9	2519.9	291.5	792.6	84.1	7041.2	5.5	464.5	294.3
	NMPE	1013.5	186.5	5044.4	1018.8	3600.6	670.5	2285.3	339.7	3787.1	1906.9	9664.0	506.7
	Contour mean	1932.7	866.2	7592.8	2797.0	3635.2	498,4	2232.2	1115.8	4876.9	734.6	2927.2	2250.4
20	NMPW	1836.5	685.3	5640.4	2843.8	5043.1	1490.8	1552.5	546.0	2078.1	1815.3	1582.1	326.9
	NMPP	370.6	107.7	9707.1	3101.9	6948.1	3365.1	380.3	13.7	11982.7	5862,8	4796.7	1869.5
	FITZ	918.9	304.2	5766.6	222.8	5979.2	859.8	1234.2	427.6	2778.0	1136.2	1/86.1	1304.3
	NMPE	551.6	60.3	1668.6	128.2	4807.5	1027.0	223.3	4.4	2312.8	1765.7	783.5	251.3
	Contour mean	919.4	326.3	5695.7	1641.1	5694.5	488.5	847.6	323.3	4787.9	2402.7	2237.1	880.2
40	NMPW	369.7	78.6	2526.9	571.4	3460.2	959.2	830.6	550.6	3402.7	438.7	222.1	4.1
	NMPP	339.4	41.3	4504.0	215.5	4892.9	1075.9	492.2	96.4	2801.6	1052.0	937.4	455.5
	FITZ	983.8	188.6	285.2	7.9	2494.6	502.8	443.4	264.7	5569.7	360.5	598.5	391.8
	NMPE	1039.9	12.3	693.2	4.7	3726.4	353.4	662.4	150.6	1168.6	512.1	1306.9	398.1
	Contour mean	683.2	190.2	2002.3	. 965.9	3643.5	493.4	607.1	88.1	3235.7	910.0	765,2	232.0
43***	NMPE-50%	1039.9	12.3	2592.2	1051.6	2737.4	1352.6	662.4	150.6	1168.6	512.1	388.8	242.6
•	-25%	540.3	126.5	538.7	91.2	4624.7	1727.2	868.1	275.1	1390.2	841.3	315.8	24.3
	- 1%	516.5	175.6	1356.2	230.7	3746.9	1318.8	1450.7	166.6	2026.9	1150.0	459.8	166.5
60	NMPW	755.6	99.6	958.5	81.2	3967.1	1764.9	625.5	67.4	19106.5	16357.2	809.2	49.5
	NMPP	490.7	98.5	904.8	82.7	2825.9	454.4	2903.9	1126.2	3528.4	1978.5	1251.0	224.9
	FITZ	356.6	174.2	846.3	236.7	2559.7	236.8	351.6	194.6	2930.1	0.4	4254.0	3981.6
	NMPE	514.0	165.4	593.9	199.5	5453.6	1126.4	. 678.1	178.3	2355.1	1559.7	360.7	83.2
	Contour mean	529.2	83.0	825.9	80.6	3701.6	659.0	1139.8	592.4	6980.0	4049.3	1668.7	880,7
					- ·.								
Control mean**		1325.9	485.3	4138.2	1825.3	4375.2	272.0	1527.8	594.3	4804.3	2073.4	1978.3	1109.8
Experimental mean**		706.4	124.6	3920.2	1119.3	3962.2	620.8	885.5	306.3	5136.0	1115.1	1821.3	612.6
Monthly mean		1016.1	254.9	4029.2	1034.5	4168.7	331.7	1206.7	333.4	4970.1	1138.0	1899.8	612.6
monthly range		339.4-	4520.6	285.2-1	5979.3	2494.6-	6948.I	223.3-	5364.9	1168.6-	19106.5	222.1-	9664.0

*Standard error.

**Neans are for surface samples only; control represents NMPW and NMPE, experimental represents NMPP and FITZ.

***40-ft samples collected at various light penetration levels.

A-12

Table A-7 (Page 2 of 2)

Total Phytoplankton

Depth		Oct	L.	N	ַ ע	De	c
(ft)	Transect	Mean	\$.E.*	Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.
10	NNPW	5401.9	1650-5	4364.7	2711.0	1333.3	183.8
	NMPP	8366.0	1886.6	4457.7	319.9	1019.7	428.1
	FITZ	2090.8	1014.6	1835.8	541.5	1989.7	1176.2
	NMPE	1986.1	327.1	2243.5	109.2	1403.9	428.0
	Contour Mean	4461.2	1524.2	3225.4	689 .9	1436.7	202.4
20	NMPW	6106.3	5052.9	4988.3	2458.1	1474.3	486.3
	NMPP	5592.1	1502.1	18273.9	16635.3	1687.6	922.0
	FITZ	4288.1	3363.5	6690.2	2865.2	1119.7	150.8
	NMPE	7847.5	5353.2	4899.5	1311.0	1559.7	337.7
	Contour Mean	5958.5	736.8	8713.0	3213.5	1460.3	121.7
40	NMPW	3155.3	1461.9	3016.5	2232.2	1427.7	91.4
	NMPP	2817.2	1635.4	2812.2	901.5	1212.2	293.2
	FITZ	4037.5	803.6	7058.8	2891.2	3164.6	688.1
•	NMPE	1716.3	714.9	6710.7	712,3	2049.5	617.9
	Contour Mean	2931.6	479.9	4899,5	1149.1	1963.5	437.9
41++	NHPE-50%	1716.3	714.9	6710.7	712.3	2049.5	617.9
	-25%	2040.4	1116.7	6710.7	712.3	2049.5	617.9
	~ 1%	5251.0	2620.9	4168.0	67.7	1047.7	233.4
60	NMPH	3304.2	1891.1	5250.2	2573.4	1163.8	372.7
••	NMPP	938.2	13.4	3629.5	1640.6	1032.0	61.9
	FITZ	1137.7	196.7	2992.8	1637.6	814.1	145.4
	NMPE	2036.3	1452.4	6503.3	1392.9	1396.2	399.1
*	Contour Mean	1854.1	539.1	4594.0	794.3	1101.5	121.8
Control mean***		3944.2	796.4	4747.1	545,9	1476.1	91.4
Experimental mean***		3658.5	880.1	5968.9	1874.B	1505.0	273.7
Monthly mean		3801.3	574.4	5358.0	956.2	1490.5	139.4
Monthly range		938.2-	8366.0	1835.8-	18273.9	814.1-	3164.6

"Standard error.

** 40-ft samples collected at various light penetration levels.

Means are for surface samples only; control represents NMPW and NMPE, experimental represents NMPP and FITZ.

A-13

Table A-8 (Page 1 of 2)

Chlorophyll <u>a</u> Concentration (μ g/l) in Whole Water Collections, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

Depth		Ā	pr	ł	May	J	un	Ju	1	A	nd		Sep
Contour (ft)	Transect	Mean	S.E.*	Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.
.10	NMPW NMPP Fitz NMPE	6.45 2.82 3.48 5.37	0.12 0.10 0.00 2.21	14.77 11.99 2.95 6.76	0.41 0.03 0.00 0.19	12.32 8.79 10.41 11.57	1.21 0.30 2.51 4.25	3.53 1.44 2.01 4.36	0.69 0.16 0.51 0.52	7.32 6.09 5.82 3.58	0.54 0.06 0.96 0.80	0.51 0.86 0.72 1.20	0.14 0.06 0.08 0.03
	Contour mean	4.53	0.84	9.12	2.64	10,77	0.77	2.84	0.67	5.70	0.78	0.82	0.14
20	NMPW NMPP FITZ NMPE	4.01 2.76 2.82 2.68	1.01 0.12 0.06 0.32	13.57 12.18 12.02 8.28	1.61 1.82 2.30 0.70	6.57 14.07 11.83 16.00	0.43 0.88 1.15 2.81	3.37 1.26 1.74 2.27	0.17 0.30 0.19 0.03	6.86 4.49 4.86 4.03	0.83 0.70 0.86 1.15	0.45 0.64 0.62 1.04	0.08 0.11 0.03 0.24
	Contour mean	3.07	0.32	11.51	1.31	12,12	2.04	2.16	0.45	5.06	0.62	0.69	0.12
40	NMPW NMPP FITZ NMPE	2.46 2.44 2.68 2.68	0.06 0.12 0.16 0.12	14.85 15.51 10.08 2.43	8.92 0.83 1.09 0.19	7.53 8.84 7.53 5.40	1.18 0.46 1.76 0.11	2.70 1.66 1.63 2.56	0.14 0.27 0.51 0.16	6.38 6.11 4.04 3.98	0.19 0.24 0.30 0.14	0.56 0.51 0.24 1.23	0.03 0.14 0.14 0.06
	Contour mean	2.57	0.07	10.72	3.02	7.33	0.71	2.14	0.29	5.13	0.65	0.64	0.21
4}***	NMPE-50% -25% - 1%	2.68 2.60 2.48	0.12 0.20 0.36	2.22 2.51 2.51	0.19 0.05 0.11	6.94 8.68 7.91	0.75 0.78 0.06	2.56 1.63 2.24	0.16 0.08 0.16	3.98 2.30 2.70	0.14 0.22 0.14	1.50 0.75 0.48	0.00 0.16 0.05
60	NMPW NMPP FITZ NMPE	1.86 2.30 1.36 2.00	0.38 0.14 1.20 0.56	5.55 2.86 2.81 2.46	0.16 0.19 0.14 0.32	6.62 7.51 6.30 4.03	0.43 0.14 0.64 0.67	1.71 2.27 1.98 2.19	0.11 0.67 0.16 0.05	5.26 5.58 3.10 2.64	0.24 0.13 0.96 0.56	0.56 0.70 0.59 1.20	0.08 0.22 0.16 0.08
	Contour mean	1.88	0.20	3.42	0.72	6.12	0.74	2.04	0.13	4.15	0.74	0.76	0.15
							•						
Control mean** Experimental mean** Monthly mean Monthly range		3.44 2.58 3.01 1.36	0.59 0.21 0.32 6.45	8.58 8.80 8.69 2.43-	1.84 1.81 1.25 15.51	8.76 9.41 9.08 4.03 —	1.45 0.91 0.83 16.00	2.84 1.74 2.29 1.26-4	0.30 0.12 0.21 .36	5.01 5.01 5.01 2.64	0.60 0.38 0.35 7.32	0.84 0.61 0.73 0.24 -	0.12 0.06 0.07 1.50

*Standard error.

**Means are for surface samples only; control represents NMPW and NMPE, experimental represents NMPP and FITZ.

***40-ft samples collected at various light penetration levels.

A-14

Table A-8 (Page 2 of 2)

Chlorophy11 a

Depth		0	ct	No	v	De	9C
Contour (ft)	Transect	Nean	S.E.*	Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.
10	NMPW	2.39	0.12	4.49	0.04	4.66	1.41
	NMPP	2.34	0.29	4:29	0.32	5.09	0.43
	FITZ	.3.03	0.18	2.89	1.17	5.30	0.31
	NMPE	3.75	0.19	4.23	0,61	5.64	0.26
	Contour Mean	2,88	0.33	3.98	0,37	5.17	0.20
20	NMPW	2 82	0.08	A 71	0.51	4,94	0.03
	NMPP	1 72	0.50	3 64	0.01	5.72	0.23
	FITZ	1.99	0.50	2 50	0.04	5,90	0.12
	NMPE	1.27	1.17	1.48	0.97	5.21	0.14
	Contour Mean	1.95	0.33	3.11	0.69	5.44	0.22
40	NMPW	2.31	0.93	A 15	0.02	6.39	0.63
	NMPP	2.55	0.24	6.23	0.98	4.15	0.29
	FITZ	2 39	1 24	4 31	0.47	4.46	0.25
· .	NMPE	2.16	0.40	5.21	0.34	4.97	0.70
	Contour Mean	2.35	0.08	4,98	0.48	4.99	0.50
4]**	NMPE-50%	2.16	0 40 -	5 21	0.34	4.97	0.70
	-25%	1.03	0 93	5 21	0.34	4.97	0.70
	- 1%	3.16	0.40	2.97	0.31	5.99	0.18
60	NMPW	2.53	0 13	5 03	1.06	4,91	0,00
	NMPP	1.95	0.16	2 75	2.59	3.37	0.26
	FITZ	2.71	1.65	5.29	1.03	4.56	0.10
	NMPE	1.60	0.03	3.86	0.37	4.64	0.03
	Contour Mean	2.20	0.26	4.23	0.58	4.37	0.34
Control mean***	•	2.35	0.27	4.15	0.41	5.17	0,21
Experimental mean***		2.34	0.15	4.00	0.46	4,82	0.30
Monthly mean		2.34	0.15	4.07	0.30	4.98	0.18
Monthly range		1.27	-3.75	1.48	-6.23	3.37	-6.39

*Standard error.

***40-ft samples collected at various light penetration levels.

*** Means are for surface samples only; control represents NMPW and NMPE, experimental represents NMPP and FITZ.

A-15

Table A-9 (Page 1 of 2)

Phaeophytin <u>a</u> Concentration $(\mu g/l)$ in Whole Water Collections, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

Depth		· •	φ r		May	j	un	Ju	1 T		hnd		Sep
(ft)	Transect	Nean	S.E.*	Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.
10	NMPW NMPP FITZ NMPE	0.20 <0.10 <0.10 0.53	0.10 0.00 0.00 0.43	4.90 2.80 0.53 1.58	0.05 0.18 0.07 0.00	2.09 <0.10 2.77 2.82	1.99 0.00 2.67 2.72	2.77 0.86 1.00 2.98	0.36 0.14 0.21 0.41	1.47 1.99 1.55 0.95	0.46 0.10 0.91 0.10	0.24 0.12 0.16 0.50	0.14 0.02 0.03 0.14
	Contour mean	0.23	0.10	2.45	0.94	1.95	0.64	1.90	0.56	1.49	0.21	0.26	0.09
20	NMPW NMPP FITZ NMPE	0.93 0.18 <0.10 <0.10	0.83 0.08 0.00 0.00	<0.10 0.22 0.28 0.34 0.24	0.00 0.12 0.18 0.24	3.04 0.47 ≪0.10 0.10 0.93	0.28 0.37 0.00 0.00	1.77 0.95 1.56 1.15 1.36	0.21 0.12 0.12 0.12 0.12	1.57 1.40 1.14 0.94	0.09 0.22 0.40 0.20	0.37 0.35 0.20 0.21	0.12 0.02 0.10 0.11
40	NMPW NMPP FITZ NMPE	<0.10 0.13 0.21 <0.10	0.00 0.01 0.01 0.00	0.45 0.50 2.17 0.22	0.35 0.40 0.56 0.12	<0.28 <0.10 1.02 2.63	0.18 0.00 0.92 1.29	1.40 0.93 1.06 1.03	0.04 0.19 0.09 0.41	1.98 1.55 1.02 1.03	0.51 0.02 0.11 0.17	<0.10 0.11 0.73 <0.10	0.00 0.01 0.24 0.00
	Contour mean	0.14	0.03	0.84	0,45	1.01	0.58	1.11	0.10	1.40	0.23	0.26	0.16
41 ***	NMPE-50% -25% - 1%	<0.10 0.14 <0.10	0.00 0.04 0.00	0.18 0.44 0.35	0.08 0.06 0.06	0.38 ≰0.10 ≰0.10	0,28 0.00 0.00	1.03 1.36 1.55	0.41 0.07 0.16	1.03 0.64 0.92	0.17 0.03 0.04	<0.10 0.43 0.31	0.00 0.10 0.02
60	NMPW NMPP FITZ NMPE	<0.10 0.11 2.24 <0.10	0.00 0.01 2.14 0.00	0.64 <0.10 <0.10 0.22	0.05 0.00 0.00 0.12	0.10 0.42 0.63 4.87	0.00 0.32 0.36 0.26	1.15 1.60 1.21 1.61	0.02 0.10 0.24 0.16	1.43 1.19 1.06 0.37	0.21 0.24 0.39 0.10	0.12 0.17 0.23 0.13	0.01 0.07 0.13 0.02
	Contour mean	0.64	0.53	0.27	0.13	1.51	1.13	1.39	0.12	1.01	0.23	0.16	0.02
Control mean** Experimental mean** Monthly mean Monthly range		0.27 0.40 0.33 <0.10 -	0.11 0.26 0:14 2.24	1.06 0.84 0.95 <0.10 ~	0.57 0.37 0.33 4.90	1.99 0.70 1.35 <0.10 —	0.61 0.32 0.37 4.87	1.73 1.15 1.44 0.86	0.27 0.10 0.16 2.98	1.22 1.36 1.29 0.37 - 1	0.18 0.12 0.10 1.99	0.22 0.26 0.24 <0.10	0.05 0.07 0.04 0.73
*Standard error.	· ·												

**Means are for surface samples only; control represents NMPW and NMPE, experimental represents NMPP and FITZ.

***40-ft samples collected at various light penetration levels.

A-16

Table A-9 (Page 2 of 2)

Phaeophytin a

Depth		00	t	No	9V	De	20
Contour (ft)	Transect	Mean	S.E.*	Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.
10	NMPW NMPP FITZ NMPE Contour Mean	0.41 0.38 0.23 0.33 0.34	0.18 0.28 0.13 0.23 0.04	1.94 0.52 3.36 1.64 1.87	0.64 0.42 0.40 0.06 0.58	1.04 1.46 0.36 0.92 0.95	0.51 0.55 0.26 0.04 0.23
20	NMPW NMPP FITZ NMPE Contour Mean	0.65 0.62 0.70 0.18	0.05 0.14 0.52 0.08	0.30 1.45 1.30 5.32 2.09	0.20 0.12 0.48 1.40	1.19 0.79 0.64 1.21 0.96	0.61 0.37 0.24 0.19
40	NMPW NMPP FITZ NNPE Contour Mean	0.46 0.73 1.26 1.64	0.36 0.31 1.16 0.70	0.66 0.36 1.92 0.94	0.12 0.26 0.44 0.16 0.34	0.28 1.14 0.83 1.77	0.18 0.25 0.34 1.56 0.31
41**	NMPE-50% -25% - 1%	1.64 3.65 0.39	0.70 1.69 0.29	0.94 0.94 1.38	0.16 0.16 0.59	1.77 1.77 0.47	1.56 1.56 0.28
60	NMPW NMPP FITZ NMPE	0.32 0.47 1.05 1.06	0.15 0.05 0.95 0.14	1.41 3.67 0.86 2.00	1.31 2.52 0.35 0.44	0.42 1.07 0.34 0.53	0.28 0.02 0.06 0.40
	Contour Mean	0.73	0.19	1.99	0.61	0.59	0.16
Control mean*** Experimental mean*** Monthly mean Monthly range		0.63 0.68 0.66 0.18-	0.17 0.12 0.10 1.64	1.78 1.68 1.73 0.30-	0.55 0.44 0.34 5.32	0.92 0.83 0.87 0.28-	0.17 0.14 0.11 1.77

*Standard error. **40-ft samples collected at various light penetration levels.

*** Means are for surface samples only; control represents NMPW and NMPE, experimental represents NMPP and FITZ.

Table A-10 (Page 1 of 2)

Denth		Ap	r	I	May	J	un	Ju	1	A	ug	S	ер
Contour (ft)	Transect	Mean	S.E.*	Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.
10	NMPW	26.02	1.51	16.96	3.27	10.06	2.87	11.81	4.31	31.84	8.43	1.48	0.41
	NMPP	4.86	0.00	26.87	2.55	34.53	2.75	7.29	0.19	17.05	2.71	0.35	0.10
	FITZ	12.54	7.76	22.73	2.66	30.79	9.32	/.68	1.98	9.81	1.29	1.10	0.26
	NMPE	12.18	5.41	10.64	2.07	26.06	6.75	13.21	0.98	9.92	1.50	3.74	0.27
	Contour mean	13.90	4.41	19.30	3.53	25.36	5.39	10.00	1.48	17.16	5.18	1.67	0,73
					0 00	21 04	3 68	11 07	1 56	22.99	3.61	0.54	0.31
20	NMPW	17.70	9.85	37.00	9.38	10 00	1 00	8 14	2 55	28 72	18.94	0.73	0.25
	NMPP	8.84	0.77	33.49	5.10	12.55	2 04	8 74	2.89	20 35	8.02	0.77	0.22
	FITZ	5./4	0.58	33.17	7.82	32.00	0 70	8 37	0.66	6 70	5 71	0.86	0 16
	NMPE	13.80	0.20	11.49	0.07	32.03	0.70	0.5/	0.00	5.75	5.71	0.00	0.10
	Contour mean	11.52	2.65	28.79	5.83	21.43	3.99	9.31	0.90	20.46	3.96	0.73	0.07
	MADU	5 18	1 22	23 57	7 71	14.09	5.07	7.22	2.26	36.01	11.61	0.11	0.00
40		0 02	2 10	25.29	13.0	15.52	3.72	5.82	2.40	30.06	10.41	0.35	0.31
	NATT	10 00	5 95	1 63	0.50	33.82	6.06	4.60	0.16	16.48	6.80	0.83	0.11
	F112	10.33	2 04	4.03	2 57	23.84	3.82	6.56	2.70	12.58	6.87	2.76	0.03
	NMPE	10.52	5.04	0.21	2.57					00 70		1 01	0.00
	Contour mean	9.10	1.33	17 .9 4	7.09	21.82	4.54	6.05	0.56	23.78	5.54	1.01	0.00
43.444	NMBT COX	10 22	2 04	11.56	2.27	11.93	0.46	6.56	2.70	12.58	6.87	2.66	1.06
41 ***	NMPE-30%	10.32	3.04	5 35	2 10	14.10	3.18	7.18	0.27	5.37	2.43	1.15	0.31
	-25%	7.78	0.02	5.35	2.10	17.20	7.18	4.21	0.55	19.71	8.05	0.46	0.12
	- 1%	5.94	3.43	5.94	0.05	17.20			••••				
<u></u>	ALMON.	2 00	0.55	12 76	0 67	7 04	2 16	10.07	1.80	12.68	0.79	0.57	0.02
60	NMPD	3.98	0.55	13.70	0.0/	14 50	4 56	13 75	1 42	17.46	7.25	0,85	0.23
	NMPP	8.07	1.00	3.12	0.54	11 41	2 01	6 14	0 23	24.66	11.01	0.15	0.06
	FIIZ	2.02	0.20	2.95	0.40	42 24	2.51	5 10	0.23	16.03	2.02	1.82	0.31
	NMPE	1.9/	1.82	13.30	1.6/	42.34	6.71	5.10	0.44			0.05	0.05
	Contour mean	4.01	1.43	8.28	3.03	18.85	7,98	8.77	1.98	17.71	2.52	. 0.85	0.35
		· .											
Control mean**		11.30	2.82	16 87	3 31	22.06	4.15	9.29	1.03	18.97	3.60	1.49	0.44
Evnewimental mean##		7 87	1 23	20.28	5 10	21.66	3.47	7.77	0.98	20.57	2,42	0.64	0.11
Monthly mean		9 63	1.55	18 59	2 97	21.86	2.61	8.53	0.71	19.78	2.11	1.06	0.25
Monthly manne		1 97 -	26.02	2 05 -	37 00	7.04-	42.34	4.60 -	13.75	9.79-	36.01	0.11-3	.74

Primary Production (mg C/m³/4 hr) in Whole Water Collections Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

*Standard error.

**Means are for surface samples only; control represents NMPW and NMPE, experimental represents NMPP and FITZ.

***40-ft samples collected at various light penetration levels.

A-18

Table A-10 (Page 2 of 2)

Depth		00	:t	No	,	De	C
(ft)	Transect	Mean	S.E.*	Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.
10	NMPW	2.42	0.32	13.75	0.42	2.24	0.14
	NMPP	4.15	0.21	16.55	3.23	4.79	0.89
	FITZ	6.65	3.33	12.43	0.85	13.07	4.54
	NMPE	6.10	1.08	12.79	0.81	2.99	0.00
	Contour Mean	4.83	0.97	13.88	0.93	5.77	2.49
20	NMPW	6.57	0.43	19.43	1.14	4.18	0.00
	NMPP	3.34	1.05	21.72	0.51	9.06	2.32
	FITZ	8.83	0.90	15.57	4.75	10.34	2.87
	NMPE	16.06	7.31	18.24	0.79	6.82	0.81
	Contour Mean	8.70	2.70	18.74	1.28	7.60	1.35
40	NMPW	7.98	0.35	18.78	4.18	9.42	2.41
	NMPP	9.77	0.38	20.28	2.40	4.05	0.92
	FITZ	9.92	0.76	25.95	0.14	13.46	7.35
	NMPE	16.28	4.65	21.35	4.91	17.30	3.47
	Contour Mean	10.99	1.82	21.59	1.55	11.06	2.84
4 1* *	NMPE-50%	16.28	4.65	21.35	4.91	17.30	3.47
	-25%	7.83	1.16	21.35	4.91	17.30	3.47
	- 1%	8.03	2.90	13.22	1.49	12.57	4.99
60	NMPW	4.10	0.67	22.32	4.95	9.42	1.72
	NMPP	6.15	1.15	21.89	3.41	5.54	0.90
	FITZ	6.55	1.36	17.48	0.93	17.17	3.21
	NMPE	8.16	2.44	13.68	9.79	15.23	1.24
	Contour Mean	6.24	0.84	18.84	2.04	11.84	2.67
Control mean***	•	8.46	1.81	17.54	1.30	8.45	1.96
Experimental mean***		6.92	0.87	18.98	1.52	9.69	1.67
Monthly mean		7.69	0.99	18.26	0.98	9.07	1.25
Monthly range		2.42-	16.28	12.43-	25.95	2.24 ~	17.30

*Standard error.

** 40-ft samples collected at various light penetration levels.

*** Means are for surface samples only; control represents NMPW and NMPE, experimental represents NMPP and FITZ.

A-19

1

. .

Lo J. L. Stands V. P. S.

transminist Learnes of the

j.

Between the second secon

f

. .

.

APPENDIX B ZOOPLANKTON

.

.

science services division

and a second of the second second second second second second second second second second second second second

Table B-1 (Page 1 of 2)

Abundance (No./m³) of Rotifera (Microzooplankton), Wisconsin Net (76- μ) Oblique Tows, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

DEDT	-	A	PR	. i	MAY		NUL		JUL	· ·	AUG	. 5	EP
CONTOUR	SECT	MEAN	S.E.*	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	\$.E.
10	NMPW	1130.	242.	35416.	2970.	110758.	4938.	153538.	10236.	64045.	3650.	3485.	120.
	NMPP	720.	93.	20818.	1057.	82128.	3084.	132668.	2914.	56070.	2228.	3833.	218.
	FITZ	235.	9.	32381.	2078.	82307.	1847.	134179.	15354.	64971.	7219.	2512.	44.
	NMPE	651.	85.	55832.	3784.	65087.	3829.	117405.	1642.	44742.	4701.	3104.	351.
CONTOUR	MEAN	684.	163.	36112.	7287.	. 85070,	9467.	134447.	7406.	57457.	4686.	3233.	283.
20	NMPW	980.	212.	25205.	1581.	82936.	4762.	108130.	8246.	80556.	5159.	2185.	10.
	NMPP	547.	25.	21962.	205.	65870.	8196.	118946.	13063.	72817.	212.	3714.	162.
	FITZ	382.	39.	24821.	3309.	78936.	4461.	201169.	11807.	34118.	1513.	1897.	51.
	NMPE	450.	. 94 .	32391.	3449.	78408.	2217.	151493.	2467.	55592.	3322.	1991.	23.
CONTOUR	MEAN	590.	135.	26095.	2220.	76537.	3697.	144934.	20887.	60771.	10303.	2447.	427.
40	NMPW	678.	33.	181 8 4.	1047.	67278.	1922.	101789.	2276.	67728.	1448.	2858.	216.
	NMPP	696.	37.	22385.	1221.	85516.	4960.	99150.	3398.	76234.	4150.	2322.	139.
	FITZ	448.	9.	24306.	1593.	87233.	1472.	195262.	7656.	23867.	0.	1721.	184.
	NMPE	695.	314.	21781.	764.	81607.	359.	208994.	11464.	39836.	4609.	2231.	126.
CONTOUR	MEAN	629.	61.	21664.	1279.	80408.	4532.	151299.	29485.	51916.	12159.	2283.	233.
60	NMPW	671.	40.	16538.	322.	71186.	4358.	91804.	13489.	68584.	2275.	2566.	168.
	NMPP	378.	54.	29622.	111.	70295.	4989.	156462.	43230.	33737.	2260.	1868.	103.
	FITZ	566.	76.	13563.	1148.	81999.	4783.	211796.	10105.	17944.	791.	1275.	88.
	NMPE	621.	86.	11450.	109.	66565.	2847.	224627.	4329.	21652.	670.	1708.	87.
CONTOUR	MEAN	559.	64.	17793.	4079.	72511.	3317.	171172.	30309.	35479.	11539.	1854.	268.
CONTROL M	1EAN**	735.	76.	27100.	4983.	77978.	5294.	144722.	17611.	55342.	6719.	2516.	212.
EXP. MEAN	××	496.	59.	23732.	2017.	79285.	2630.	156204.	14833.	47470.	8069.	2393.	329.
MONTHLY M	1EAN	616.	56.	25416.	2633.	78632.	2861.	150463.	11220.	51406.	5173.	2454.	190.
MONTHLY R	ANGE	235	1130.	11450	55832.	65087	110758.	91804	224627.	17944	80556.	1275	3833.

* STANDARD ERROR ** Control Represents NMPW & NMPE, EXPERIMENTAL REPRESENTS NMPP & FITZ

B-1

Table B-1 (Page 2 of 2)

NEDTU	TDAN-	1	OCT	1	VOV	DI	EC
CONTOUR	SECT	MEAN	S.E.*	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.
10	NMPW	9473.	344.	7475.	397.	No sampl	les taken
	NMPP	10842.	758.	7894.	572.	due to i	ice and
	FITZ	11984.	316.	7602.	606,	strong w	vinds
	NMPE	18679.	826.	12174.	401.		
CONTOUR	MEAN	12744.	2044.	8786.	1133.		
20	NMPW.	7545.	937.	9026 -	821.		
•••	NMPP	10710.	778.	6610.	31.		
	FITZ	15041.	914	8371	414.		
	NMPE	14457.	1233.	12013.	432.		
CONTOUR	MEAN	11938.	1751.	9005.	1125.		
40	NMPW	8709.	573.	6079.	211.		
	NMPP	9102.	176.	7709.	422.		
	FITZ	10117.	628.	10856.	325.		
	NMPE	14420.	469.	5044.	157.		
CONTOUR	MEAN	10587.	1312.	7422.	1269.		
60	NMPW	8000.	770.	6258.	80.		
	NMPP	7784.	28.	4813.	51.		
	FITZ	9482.	342.	8146.	237.		
	NMPE	14918.	1376.	9843.	537.		
CONTOUR	MEAN	10046.	1667.	7265.	1097.		
CONTROL N	1EAN**	12025.	1452.	6489.	962.		
EXP. MEAN	l××	10633.	772.	7750.	600.		
MONTHLY M	1EAN	11329.	814.	8120.	556.		
MONTHLY F	RANGE	7545	18679.	4813	12174.		

* STANDARD ERROR ** CONTROL REPRESENTS NMPW & NMPE, EXPERIMENTAL REPRESENTS NMPP & FITZ

B--2

science services division

1

DEDTU	TOAL	A	PR	м	AY .	J	UN	•	JUL	A	UG	s	EP
CONTOUR	SECT	MEAN	S.E.*	MEAN	Ş,Ę,	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.
10	NMPW	1150.	223.	742.	199.	1631.	573.	36938.	3116.	 3982.	332.	492.	26.
	NMPP	1022.	70.	967.	223.	3085.	386.	8734.	416.	3626.	162.	692.	77.
	FITZ	1142.	32.	693.	Û.	1437.	205.	15799.	1113.	5001.	217.	695.	87.
	NMPE	1216.	113.	1661.	0.	718.	718.	28325.	2053.	5025.	162.	1404.	15.
CONTOUR	MEAN	1133.	40.	1016.	223.	1718.	496.	22449.	6303.	4409.	357.	821.	200.
20	NMDU	768	202	445	465	2781	397	14111	1916	6548	902	502	24
	NMDD	1301	149	1026	205	1062	759	13001	5500	5552	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	733	62
	FTTZ	1501	184	827	92	1544	515	24728	4678	4650	952	563	96
	NMPE	1135.	42.	1262.	252.	605.	202.	22699.	2961.	2455.	388.	960.	39.
CONTOUR	MEAN	1199.	163.	895.	169.	1398.	380.	18135.	3309.	4801.	873.	690.	102.
40	NMPЫ	1310.	15	1047	262	1747	Q	10081	325	3378	141	821	66.
10	NMPP	1477.	12.	1221.	407.	1389	198	11429	2162	5898	2403.	577	132.
	FTTZ	1132.	61.	1331	78	1288	184	20579	478	7650	459	519.	59.
	NMPE	964 -	874.	1370.	105.	542.	181.	33951.	1323.	7066.	717.	778.	52.
CONTOUR	MEAN	1223.	112.	1242.	72.	1242.	253.	19010.	5499.	5998.	946.	674.	74.
					•								
60	NMPW	1182.	23.	1737.	193.	1453.	807.	9941.	1442.	4713.	163.	591.	9.
	NMPP	1397.	0.	1453.	112.	907.	605.	22734.	4301.	4843.	161.	574.	59.
	FITZ	1430.	84.	1318.	383.	1708.	683.	16920.	1354.	2693.	898.	544.	115.
	NMPE	1424.	48.	1363.	491.	678.	136.	27417.	1443.	5208.	595.	740.	31.
CONTOUR	MEAN	1358.	59.	1468.	94.	1186.	238.	19253.	3774.	4364	567.	612.	44.
CONTROL M	1EAN**	1145.	72.	1206.	154.	1219.	240.	22933.	3729.	4797.	545.	786.	105.
EXP. MEAN	l¥¥	1312.	65.	1105.	95.	1553.	237.	16490.	2071.	4989.	526.	612.	29.
MONTHLY N	1EAN	1228.	52.	1155.	88.	1386.	169.	19712.	2222.	4893.	367.	699.	57.
MONTHLY F	ANGE	768	1501.	465	1737.	542	3085.	8734	36938.	2455	7650.	492	1404.

Abundance (No./m³) of Copepoda nauplii (Microzooplankton), Wisconsin Net (76-µ) Oblique Tows, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

Table B-2 (Page 1 of 2)

* STANDARD ERROR

** CONTROL REPRESENTS NMPW & NMPE, EXPERIMENTAL REPRESENTS NMPP & FITZ

в-3

science services division

Table B-2 (Page 2 of 2)

		0	СТ	N	IOV	DEC	
DEPTH	TRAN-						
CONTOUR	SECT	MEAN	S.E.*	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.
10	NMFW	1333.	308.	1502.	167.	No sample	s taken
	NMPP	989.	Ο.	1373.	0.	due to 10	e and
	FITZ	668.	387.	173.	35.	strong wi	1145
	NMPE	914.	141.	259,	111.		
CONTOUR	MEAN	976.	137.	827.	354.		
20	NMPW	1391.	573.	1594.	47.		
	NMPP	1334.	148.	1504.	251.		
	FITZ	668.	35.	653.	141.		
	NMPE	719.	445.	1213.	6.		
CONTOUR	MEAN	1028.	194.	1241.	212.		
40	NMPU	952	468	616	77		
-14	NMDD	094	161	014.	81		
	FTT7	837	70	1385	19		
	NMPE	1341.	201.	210.	116.		
CONTOUR	MEAN	1029.	109.	800.	252.		
40	ADMINI.I	1454	07	FF7			
6U	NOPA	1020.	CJ.	553.	90.		
	NULLA ETT7	000.	220	600. 405	100.		
	NMDE	970.	230.	002.	102		
	NAPE	2493.	404.	10/.	102.		
CONTOUR	MEAN	1507.	370.	651.	47.		
CONTROL 1	1EAN**	1350.	196.	839.	190.		
EXP. MEAN	{ ××	920.	76.	921.	167.		
MONTHLY N	1EAN	1135.	116.	830.	122.		
MONTHLY R	ANGE	668	2493.	173	1594.		

* STANDARD ERROR ** CONTROL REPRESENTS NMPW & NMPE, EXPERIMENTAL REPRESENTS NMPP & FITZ

B--4

Table B-3 (Page 1 of 2)

Abundance (No./m³) of Cyclopoida (Microzooplankton), Wisconsin Net (76- μ) Oblique Tows Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

NEDTU	TRAM.	4	PR	M	AY .		JUN	J	IUL	4	UG	SE	P
CONTOUR	SECT	MEAN	S.E.*	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.
10	NMFM	347.	39.	36.	36.	3660.	573.	6676.	445.	1161.	498.	0.	0.
	NMPP	1034.	128.	491.	253.	771.	0.	2495.	2.	406.	406.	26.	0.
	FITZ	1214.	185.	Ο.	0.	411.	411.	779.	111.	609.	261.	9.	9.
	NMPE	1627.	156.	92.	92.	0.	0.	7389.	1642.	81.	81.	274.	66.
CONTOUR	MEAN	1055.	267.	155.	114.	1210.	831.	4335.	1603.	564.	227.	77.	66.
20	NMPW	583.	84.	0.	0.	2183.	198.	3020.	1858.	1190.	794.	112.	24.
	NMPP	1391.	50.	411.	205.	3035.	304	1261.	573.	214.	214.	75.	0.
	FITZ	1685.	53.	184.	184.	2402	3.	1114.	1114.	560.	560.	19.	4.
	NMPE	733.	233.	168.	0.	0.	0.	9869.	1974.	277.	18.	101.	23.
CONTOUR	MEAN	1098.	263.	191.	84.	1905.	660.	3816.	2064.	560.	223.	77.	21.
60	SIMPLI	603	70	• •	•	4104		7050	1701	1104	497	117	24
40	NEIPH	901.	/8.	U. 100	U.	4194.	699. For	3252.	1301.	1126.	485.	115.	26.
	NNPP	1404.	250.	102.	102.	4167.	575.	24/1.	618.	2840.	218.	49.	
		1115.	79.	/0.	/8.	5337.	1288.	5/43.	. U	012.	306.	158.	13.
	NRPE	1425.	/8.	105.	105.	5430.	181.	5/32.	441.	2355.	102.	148.	89.
CONTOUR	MEAN	1211.	125.	71.	25.	4282.	394.	4299.	845.	1733.	519.	117.	25.
60	NMPW	843.	53.	0.	0.	6780	323.	285.	285.	1950.	325.	150.	9.
	NMPP	1402.	71.	224.	0.	4384.	454.	3138.	1163.	2098.	2.	118.	29.
	FITZ	1469.	92.	0.	0.	4783.	683.	7058.	1644.	1471.	125.	269.	49.
	NMPE	640.	105.	164.	164.	2169.	1.	4329.	481.	1972.	409.	165.	39.
CONTOUR	MEAN	1088.	205.	97.	57.	4529.	945.	3702.	1404.	1873.	138.	176.	33.
CONTROL M	1EAN**	887.	153.	71.	25 . '	2802.	795.	5069.	1055.	1264.	286.	133.	27.
EXP. MEAN	4 ××	1339.	74.	186.	64.	3161.	651.	3007.	802.	1101.	333.	. 90.	31.
MONTHLY M	1EAN	1113.	101.	128.	37.	2982.	498.	4038.	694.	1183.	213.	112.	21.
MONTHLY R	RANGE	347	1685.	0	491.	0	6780.	285	9869.	81	2840.	0	274.

* STANDARD ERROR

** CONTROL REPRESENTS NMPW & NMPE, EXPERIMENTAL REPRESENTS NMPP & FITZ

B-5

Table B-3 (Page 2 of 2)

DEDTI	TRAL	0	CT	N	ov ·	DEC	;
CONTOUR	SECT	MEAN	S.E.*	MEAN	5.E.	MEAN	S.E.
10	NMPW	1348.	117.	1254.	219.	No sample	s taken
	NMPP	527.	0.	3106.	498.	due to ic	eand
	FITZ	246.	35.	121.	17.	strong wi	nas
	NMPE	158.	53.	641.	25.		
CONTOUR	MEAN	570.	271.	1281.	651.		
20	NMPH	893.	0.	1284.	64.		
	NMPP	630.	111.	5232.	407.	*	
	FITZ	141.	70.	555.	90.		
	NMPE	685.	206.	1327.	260.		
CONTOUR	MEAN	587.	159.	2099.	1059.		
40	NMPW	1259.	355.	1074.	192.		
	NMPP	822.	91.	1409.	29.		
	FITZ	767.	0.	2366.	26.		
	NMPE	469.	67.	533.	31.		
CONTOUR	MEAN	830.	163.	1346.	385.		
60	NMPW	1664.	47.	856.	277.		
	NMPP	1183.	27.	1148.	257.		
	FITZ	747.	21.	1503.	132.		
	NMPE	2065.	279.	895.	128.		
CONTOUR	MEAN	1414.	286.	1100.	149.		
CONTROL I	MEAN**	1068.	225.	983.	106.		
EXP. MEAN	\ **	633.	117.	1930.	578.		
10NTHLY 1	MEAN	850.	135.	1456.	309.		
MONTHLY	RANGE	141	2065.	121	5232.		

* STANDARD ERROR ** CONTROL REPRESENTS NMPW & NMPE, EXPERIMENTAL REPRESENTS NMPP & FITZ

B-6

science services division

- ¥--21 *

10 28

Table B-4 (Page 1 of 2)

Abundance (No./m³) of Cladocera (Microzooplankton), Wisconsin Net (76-µ) Oblique Tows, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

	-	AF	R	MA	Y.	•	IUN	•	JUL	A	UG	S	EP
CONTOUR	SECT	MEAN	S.E.*	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.
10	NMPW	0.	0.	0.	0.	2249.	926.	12016.	4005.	1659.	664.	198.	49.
	NMPP	12.	12.	Ο.	0.	2313.	2.	53649.	417.	974.	108.	141.	90.
	FITZ	Ο.	0.	87.	87.	1642.	1232.	9680.	1669.	391.	43.	96.	9.
	NMPE	0.	0.	0.	0.	2584.	287.	2874.	1232.	243.	81.	185.	0.
CONTOUR	MEAN	3.	3.	22.	22.	2197.	199.	19555.	11529.	817.	322.	155.	23.
20	NMPW	13.	13.	0.	٥.	3175.	3.	5923.	2439.	1587.	397.	287.	32.
	NMPP	12.	12.	0.	0 .	1670.	152.	20283.	4469	1068.	641.	373.	0.
	FITZ	13.	13.	0.	· 0.	2574.	172.	18602.	1894.	1625.	616.	94.	49.
	NMPE	0.	0.	84.	84.	1814.	1008.	4935.	987.	406.	111.	55.	23.
CONTOUR	MEAN	10.	3.	21.	21.	2308.	350.	12436.	4065.	1171.	285.	202.	76.
40	MMC11.1	54	77	•	•	1700	600	FORA	•	407	143	715	0/
40			33.	0.	0.	- 1370.	699. E05	3034. 10755	1057	102.	101.	319,	90.
		47.	0.	0.		2103.	272.	11404	1093.	1700.	1092.	153.	14.
	NMPE	11.	11.	· 0.	0.	1986.	903.	10141.	2205.	1024.	205.	215.	33. 37.
CONTOUR	MEAN	29.	14.	0.	0.	2772.	931.	9959.	1442.	926.	384.	205.	40.
60	NMPW	. 19.	1	Ó.	0.	3228.	3	6792.	1100.	1138	163.	141	75.
	NMPP	46	29.	0.	0.	1209.	0.	9436.	2853.	1049.	242	74.	0.
	FITZ	27.	4	0.	0.	3075.	342.	11748.	435.	1091.	305.	308.	0.
	NMPE	10.	10.	0.	0.	2440.	1085.	13468.	2886 .	1079.	37.	181.	39.
CONTOUR	MEAN	25.	8.	0.	0.	2488.	459.	10361.	1448.	1089.	18.	176.	49.
CONTROL 1	1EAN**	14.	6.	11.	11.	2359.	226.	7750.	1310.	952.	188.	197.	. 29.
EXP. MEAN	/ **	20.	7.	11.	11.	2523.	476.	18405.	5229.	1049.	202.	172.	38.
MONTHLY N	1EAN	17.	5.	11.	7.	2441.	255.	13078.	2945.	1001.	134.	184.	23.
MONTHLY F	RANGE	0	56.	0	87.	1209	5521.	2874	53649.	229	1966.	55	373.

* STANDARD ERROR

** CONTROL REPRESENTS NMPW & NMPE, EXPERIMENTAL REPRESENTS NMPP & FITZ

B-7

Table B-4 (Page 2 of 2)

DEDTU	TRAN	0	СТ	н	νo VO	Di	EC
CONTOUR	SECT	MEAN	S.E.*	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.
10	NMPW	3128.	51.	506.	46.	No sampi	es taken
	NMPP	2010.	165.	2717.	658.	due to 1	ce and
	FITZ	1792.	176.	260.	87.	strong w	rinds
	NMPE	3514.	70.	1196.	395.		
CONTOUR	MEAN	2611.	420.	1170.	552.		
20	NMPW	2552.	350.	774.	70.		
	NMPP	3261.	371.	1284.	94		
	FITZ	1757.	70.	237.	88.		
	NMPE	2432.	240.	1435.	292.		
CONTOUR	MEAN	2501.	308.	933.	272.		
40	NMPW	2817.	170.	518.	19.		
	NMPP	2130.	513.	1123.	308.		
	FITZ	1919.	105.	813.	33.		
	NMPE	2012.	335.	363.	13.		
CONTOUR	MEAN	2219.	204.	704.	168.		
4.0	LIMOLI	2000		700	100		
60		1020	200.	307. 445	49		
	ETT7	7714	214	44J. 580	158		
	NMPE	3683.	781.	793.	128.		
CONTOUR	MEAN	2969.	366.	552.	90.		
CONTROL 1	1EAN¥¥	2880.	197.	747.	138.		
EXP. MEAN	/ ¥X	2270.	226.	932.	288.		
MONTHLY 1	1EAN	2575.	165.	840.	156.		
MONTHLY P	ANGE	1757	3683.	237	2717.		

* STANDARD ERROR ** CONTROL REPRESENTS NMPW & NMPE, EXPERIMENTAL REPRESENTS NMPP & FITZ

B-18

science services division

Ľ.

Table B-5 (Page 1 of 2)

Abundance (No./1000 m³) of Cladocera, Calanoida, and Cyclopoida (Macrozooplankton), Composited* 1-m Henson Net $(571-\mu)$ Tows, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

Cladocer	a	
----------	---	--

			20-	-Ft Contou	r					40-	Ft Contou	r			60 51	00 54	100 5+	Grand
Date	3-West	l-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	l-East	3-East	Mean	NMPP	NMPP	NMPP	Mean
Apr			N	lo Catch						No	Catch					No C	atch	
May	85	0	0	٥	٥	0	14	689	0	0	0	0	0	115	0	0	109	59
Jun	22457	14003	14752	13966	33430	30185	21466	8967	8571	11498	7788	10952	17705	10914	12519	5788	9968	14837
Jul	50708	53943	24424	70062	34096	20155	42231	62666	52724	60415	89204	43908	61872	61798	143874	141092	73,493	65509
Aug	751719	782222	907372	338505	809358	236451	637604	590764	720783	572396	908818	1304007	1709249	967670	538769	519257	311428	733406
Sep	929048	1695454	585109	2091500	926086	1428640	1275973	1404650	1530692	1620982	1280772	905144	1590894	1388856	1500273	382980	348608	1214722
Oct	166411	272219	149274	112756	219087	140677	176737	188844	122057	177643	145421	186968	189471	168401	172557	145935	144071	168893
Nov	184587	420998	162103	156641	159124	1156480	373322	533786	596292	4181179	162790	419577	1291914	570423	139934	146371	128126	405127
Dec	95908	266244	91341	56157	93384	107726	- 118460	95696	62715	131418	133678	95733	72568	98634	30244	11121	14921	90590

Calanoida

Apr	380538	756036	2880809	798522	348499	90833	875873	3933013	4902058	4107945	1198632	1050185	642656	2639081	3395762	1375240	1182421	1802877
May	20780	27143	64744	164870	11695	37236	54411	56757	26165	40026	88382	53499	59414	54041	13659	6433	23348	46277
Jun	303	206	73	0	142	809	255	572	420	221	1038	759	247	543	2325	2429	7771	1154
Jul			No	Catch				0	0	404	717	1045	0	361	24806	9802	26403	4212
Aug			No	Catch						No	Catch				0	22148	9237	2092
Sep			No	Catch						No	Catch					No Ca	atch	· ·
0ct	0	0	0	0	674	0	112	660	387	0	699	0	0	291	1255	0	0	245
Nov	513	0	0	0	0	0	86			No	Catch				619	ა	1175	256
Dec	3935	4610	5480	5237	14473	3238	6162	11914	5085	5944	6202	5265	7576	6998	7667	6077	9792	6833

*Composite of surface, mid-depth, and bottom horizontal tows.

** T = density less than 0.05/cubic meter.

В−9

Table B-5 (Page 2 of 2)

			20-F	t Contour						40-F	t Contour				60-Ft	80-Ft	100-Ft	Grand
Date	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	NMPP	NMPP	NMPP	Mean
Apr			No Catch							No Ca	tch				No	Catch		
May			No Catch		,		1			No Ca	tch				No	Catch		Į
Jun	101	69	0	140	142	0	75	191	0	Ö	65	54	148	76	60	143	628	116
Jul	0	0	0	0	0	92	15	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	6
Aug	. 0	0	4321	1393	2810	0	1421	4985	0	0	0	0	0	831	0	0	0	901
Sep	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0ct	705	0	0.	439	1348	0	415	0	0	0	1398	0	0	233	0	657	1176	382
Nov	513	0	0	696	649	0	309	0	0	1291	C	0	0	215	0	668	0	254
Dec	0	0	913	· 0	345	0	210	0	848	660	0	479	399	398	142	0	. 0	252

Cyclopoida

*Composite of surface, mid-depth, and bottom horizontal tows.

Ĩ

APPENDIX C

. .

Constant days

And and a state of the

Constraints

Antonian Contraction (Second

 $\left(\right)$

a for a second sec

· · · · · ·

PERIPHYTON

- Bottom
- Suspended

Table C-1

Species List of Bottom Periphyton Collected on Artificial Substrates in the Vicinity of Nine Mile Point, 1978

Taxa Cyanophyta Chroococcales Agmenellum sp. Chroococcus sp. <u>Gomphosphaeria</u> <u>aponina</u> <u>Gomphosphaeria</u> <u>lacustris</u> <u>Gomphosphaeria</u> <u>naegelianum</u> Gomphosphaeria sp. Microcystis sp. Chroococcales unid. Chamaesiphonales <u>Chamaesiphon</u> sp. <u>Pleurocapsa</u> sp. Oscillatoriales Oscillatoria sp. Lyngbya martensiana Lyngbya sp. Oscillatoriales unid. Nostocales <u>Anabaena circinalis</u> <u>Anabaena</u> sp. Aphanizomenon flos-aque Chlorophyta Volvocales <u>Carteria</u> sp. <u>Chlamydomonas</u> sp. <u>Eudorina</u> sp. Pandorina sp. Pandorina morum Pandorina sp. Volvocales unid Tetrasporales <u>Gloeocystis</u> sp. Tetrasporales unid. Chlorococcales Actinastrum hantzschii Actinastrum sp. Ankistrodesmus convolutus Ankistrodesmus falcatus Sp. Ankistrodesmus sp. Ankyra sp. Characium sp. Chlorella sp. Chodatella ciliata Chodetella quadriseta Coelastrum cambrium Coelastrum microporum Coelastrum sp. Coelastrum sp. Crucigenia rectangularis Crucigenia tetrapedia Dictyosphaerium ehrenbergianum Dictyosphaerium sp. Francia sp. Golenkinia sp. Micractinium pusillum Micractinium sp. Micractinium sp. Nephrocytium sp. (Jocystis sp. Pediastrum boryanum Pediastrum duplex Pediastrum simplex Pediastrum tetras Pediastrum tetras Pediastrum sp. Quadrigula chodatii Guadrigula sp. Scenedesmus acuminatus Scenedesmus acutus Scenedesmus arcutus Scenedesmus arcutatus Scenedesmus bicaudatus Scenedesmus denticulatus Scenedesmus ecorris Scenedesmus ecorris Scenedesmus incrassatulus Scenedesmus poliensis Scenedesmus guadricauda Scenedesmus spinosus Scenedesmus spinosus Scenedesmus sp. Schroederia setigera

Taxa Chlorophyta (Contd) Schroederja sp. Selenastrum sp. Sphaerocystis sp Tetraedron candatum Tetraedron minimum Tetraedron sp. Treubaria triappendiculata Westella sp. Chlorococcales unid. Ulotricales Geminella interrupta Geminella sp. <u>Microspora</u> sp. <u>Schizomeris</u> sp. <u>Ulothrix</u> <u>zonata</u> <u>Ulothrix</u> sp. Ulotricales unid. Chaetophorales <u>Chaetophora</u> sp. <u>Draparnaldia</u> sp. <u>Uraparnatota</u> sp. <u>Leptosira</u> sp. <u>Leptosira</u> sp. <u>Pseudulvella</u> sp. <u>Stigeoclonium tenue</u> <u>Stigeoclonium sp.</u> <u>Stigeoclonium sp.</u> Chaetophorales unid. Oedongoniales Oedogonium sp Cladophorales <u>Cladophora</u> sp. Cladophorales unid. Zygnematales ygnematales Closterium moniliferum Cosmarium botrytis Cosmarium cosmetum Cosmarium cyclicum Cosmarium sp. Mougeotla sp. Spircovra sp. <u>Spirogyra</u> sp. <u>Spondylosium</u> sp. <u>Staurastrum longiradiatum</u> <u>Staurastrum paradoxum</u> <u>Staurastrum tetracerum</u> <u>Staurastrum sp.</u> Staurastrum sp. Chlorophyta unid. Euglenophyta Euglenales <u>Euglena sp.</u> Trachelomonas sp. Xanthophyta Rhizochloridales Stipitococcus sp. Chrysophyta Chrysomonadales <u>Chrysomonadales</u> <u>Chrysochromulina</u> parva <u>Chrysochromulina</u> sp. <u>Dinobryon</u> <u>sertularia</u> <u>Dinobryon</u> <u>sertularia</u> Dinobryon sociale Dinobryon sp. Mallamonas sp. Synura sp. Monosigales Codosiga sp. Monosiga sp. <u>Stelexomonas dichotoma</u> Monosigales unid. Bacillariophyta-Centric Eupodiscaies <u>Cyclotella</u> sp. <u>Cyclotella</u> sp. <u>Melosira</u> granulata <u>Melosira</u> herzogii <u>Melosira</u> islandica <u>Melosira</u> varians <u>Melosira</u> sp. <u>Cyclotonema</u> notamus Skeletonema potamus

Taxa Bacillariophyta-Centric (Contd) Skeletonema subsalsa Skeletonema subsalsa Stephanodiscus astraea Stephanodiscus niagarae Stephanodiscus sp. Stephanodiscus sp. Eupodiscales unid. Bacillariophyta-Pennate Fragilariales <u>Asterionella</u> formosa <u>Asterionella</u> sp. <u>Diatoma</u> tenue Diatoma vulgare Diatoma sp. Fragilaria crotonensis Fragilaria capucina Fragilaria vaucheriae Fragilaria sp. Meridion circulare Synedra tabulata Synedra ulua Synedra ulna Synedra sp. Tabellaria flocculosa Tabellaria sp. Fragilariales unid. Eunotiales Eunotia sp. Achnanthales Achnanthes sp Cocconeis sp. Rhoicosphenia curvata Naviculales Amphora sp. Cymbella prostrata Cymbella sp. Gomphonema auminatum Comphonema olivaceum Gyrosigma sp. Gyrosigma sp. Navicula cryptocephala Navicula platystoma Navicula sp. Pinularia sp. Pleurosigma sp. Naviculaes unid. Bacillariales Epithemia sp. Nitzchia acicularis Nitzchia holsatica Nitzchia sigmoideae Nitzchia sp. Surirellales <u>Cymtopleura solea</u> <u>Cymtopleura</u> sp. <u>Surirella ovata</u> <u>Surirella sp.</u> Bacillariophyta-Pennate unid. Pyrrhophyta-Dinophyceae <u>Gympodiniales</u> **Gymnodiniales** Gymnodiniales <u>Gymnodiniam</u> fuscum Peridiniales <u>Ceratium hirundinella</u> <u>Peridinium aciculiferum</u> <u>Peridinium cinctum</u> Peridinium gymnodinium Peridinium sp. Peridiniales unid. Pyrrhophyta-Dinophyceae unid. Cryptophyta ryptophyta Cryptomonodales <u>Chroomonas</u> sp. <u>Cryptomonas</u> <u>marssonii</u> <u>Cryptomonas</u> <u>ovata</u> <u>Cryptomonas</u> sp. <u>Cyanomonas</u> sp. <u>Rhodomonas lens</u> <u>Phodomonas lens</u> Rhodomonas minuta Rhodomonas sp. Cryptomonadales unid. Alga unid.

Table C-2 (Page 1 of 2)

Abundance (No./mm²) of Cyanophyta (Bottom Periphyton) Collected on Artificial Substrates, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

		1	MAY		JUN		JUL		AUG	:	SEP
		23	-23 MAY	28	-28 JUN	25-	-26 JUL	24	-24 AUG	27	-27 SEP
CONTOUR	SECT	MEAN	S.E.*	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.
5	NMPN	32431.	16894.	2392675.	2145155.	93037.	49591.	100828.	47620.	166930.	17374.
	NMPP	3023.	3023.	556123.	79249.	******	0.	8715516.	6072561.	430756.	258174.
	FITZ	58772.	49547.	181009.	81620.	103565.	48339.	417495.	62020.	*****	0.
	NMPE	17275.	17275.	851186.	634888.	94097.	46427.	******	0.	3581.	176 0.
CONTOUR	MEAN	27875.	11921.	995248.	485573.	96900.	3347.	3077946.	2820266.	200422.	124447.
10	NMPW	9831.	4127.	1759791.	1222723.	165642.	95976.	34997.	8065.	729038.	321783.
	NMPP	41548.	20294.	16901.	10586.	223874.	173050.	48609.	33267.	633638.	104469.
	FITZ	15790.	9484.	69443.	42763.	109527.	43778.	48274.	10514.	309243.	78241.
	NMPE	50876.	45238.	941760.	578438.	21608.	3864.	288790.	142615.	783558.	207458.
CONTOUR	MEAN	29511.	9903.	696974.	412896.	130163.	43061.	105168.	61289.	613869.	106163.
20	LIMPLI	34.04	74.04	204204	278021	12621	5437	4322	2703	55445	4303
20		J470. 6451	2650	18179	13352	505168	349693	3481	2305.	226854	70409
		4451.	2050.	101/7.	5866	193398	103026	43813	16584	155700	59425
	NMPE	0.	0.	48707.	34545.	******	0.	******	0.	228660.	47829.
CONTOUR	MEAN	. 2824.	973.	93290.	68148.	237062.	143852.	17205.	13306.	166220.	40486.
			•		1040	2001	(50	50/7	2400	0/77	
30	NMPW	0.	υ.	8937.	1048.	2001.	650.	5763.	2490.	2673.	618.
	NMPP	U.	U.	36582.	20505.	2530 1	070.	9100.	D777. 7071	25675.	11/20.
	FIIZ	2504.	2504.	/03/5.	· 55229.	335153.	274045.	10507.	/2/1.	1/0515.	00563.
	NULF	0.	0.	45529.	37374.	10334.	9404.	42704	2200.	3025.	1975.
CONTOUR	MEAN	626.	626.	40356.	12680.	89010.	82118.	75 <u>3</u> 7.	1370.	51872.	41883.
40	NMPW	64.	64.	4545.	1777.	380648.	257413.	3629.	1591.	2602.	583.
	NMPP	Ο.	٥.	5966.	2177.	4768.	2200.	5411.	1217.	7172.	4722.
-	FITZ	σ.	Ο.	7903.	3648.	1489.	369.	3906.	1346.	******	0.
	NMPE	232.	232.	57289.	53814.	2836.	1642.	1445.	818.	4088.	2103.
CONTOUR	MEAN	74.	55.	18926.	12806.	97435.	94407.	3598.	818.	4621.	1346.
	IEAN¥¥	11420.	5521.	640662.	267172.	87671.	41092.	55569.	35400.	197982.	96425.
EXP. MEAN	××	12944.	6498.	97255.	53684.	164363.	57089.	930611.	865903.	245394.	74112.
MONTHLY M	EAN	12182.	4153.	368958.	146540.	126017.	35365.	541703.	481536.	219054.	61420.
MONTHLY R	ANGE	0	58772.	45452	392675.	1489	505168.	14458	715516.	2602	783558.

* Standard error
** Control represents NMPW & NMPE, experimental represents NMPP & FITZ
******** Substrates lost during severe weather
† One of four replicates missing due to weather

C-2

Table C-2 (Page 2 of 2)

and some the

Charles St.

march 1 and 1

terra a di se

the second second second

		C	CT:	-	NOV	D	EC
		24-	-25 OCT	22	-22 NOV	20-	22 DEC
DEPTH	TRAN- SECT	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.*	MEAN	S.E.
5	NMPW	33789.1	13643.	42155,	† 17888.	*******	0.
	NMPP	12159371.	3073998.	** *****	0.	****	0.
	FITZ	37557.	15041.	13861.	3140.	******	Ο.
	NMPE	46625.	. 39099.	15564.	7120.	2210.‡	808.
CONTOUR	MEAN	2971819.	2932497.	23860.	9161.	2210.	2210.
10	имры	588334	197665	100366.	24973.	67826	44118.
10	NMDD	3098367	866004	45831	11313.	6376.11	0
	ETT7	269512	222370	16723	4451	45512	8804
	NMPE	260369.	99253.	12926.	4650.	10361.	3054.
CONTOUR	MEAN	1074145.	678283.	43961.	20187.	32519.	14691.
				1			
20	NMPW	142806.	91862.	10/080.	65880.	23491.	16319.
	NMPP	48981.	45243.	18979.	14208.	25167.	7284.
	FITZ	67673.	10327.	111717.	29711.	9790.	3357.
	NMPE	120753.	71379.	72776.	+ 1400.	579.T	135.
CONTOUR	MEAN	95053.	22010.	77638.	21394.	14757.	5848.
30	ммрш	3510.	1188.	4296.	562.	919.	343.
	NMPP	7486.	4499.	20027.	13400.	2752.	1461.
	FTTZ	6927	1732.	******	0.	******	0.
	NMPE	3231.	2037.	1975.	1237.	834.	422.
CONTOUR	MEAN	5288.	1115.	8766.	5670.	1502.	626.
	1114791			4042	1074	447	970
40	NAM	2132.	1503.	9092.	1734. 2270	901.	232.
	NOPP	1589.	/01.	6/11.	22/V. 770	635.	.00
	riz War	925.	.136	1937.	3/0.	200.	•1+ •37
	NMPE	1981556.	14/4424.	,1032.	909.	527.	150.
CONTOUR	MEAN	496545.	494997.	2511.	574.	364.	76.
CONTROL	MEAN**	318308.	193377.	36301.	13286.	11912.	7444.
EXP. MEAL	N**	1538832.	1176468.	28914.	12773.	12867.	6344.
MONTHLY	MEAN	928570.	596880	33018.	9087.	12330.	4866.
MONTHLY	RANGE	925	12159371	1459	111717.	233	67826.
* Standa	nd onw			•			

Standard error
 ** Control represents NMPW & NMPE, experimental represents NMPP & FITZ
 ******** Substrates lost during severe weather
 f One of four replicates missing due to weather
 † One of four replicates lost during shipment ++Three of four replicates missing due to weather.

C--3

Table C-3 (Page 1 of 2)

Abundance (No./mm²) of Chlorophyta (Bottom Periphyton) Collected on Artificial Substrates, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

		I	MAY		IUN	J.	UL	A	UG	9	EP
hentu	7045-	23	-23 MAY	28-	28 JUN	25-	26 JUL	24-	24 AUG	27-	27 SEP
CONTOUR	SECT	MEAN	S.E.*	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	5.E
5	NMPW	68619.	18080.	120363.	32777.	32748.	4202.	216160.	42129.	250778.	25428.
	NMPP	14832.	7094.	121289.	88794.	*****	0.	771997.	278083.	3353.	2466.
	FITZ	389936.	188655.	114791.	34165.	100086.	30650.	203928.	118038.	******	0.
	NMPE	1084737.	264775.	32932.	20896.	84976.	38037.	*****	0.	168.	64.
CONTOUR	MEAN	389531.	246085.	97344.	21518.	72604.	20399.	397361.	187351.	84766.	83011.
10	NMPW	152245.	89047.	117747.	57032.	32741.	13595.	5047.	2438.	568142.	219887.
	NMPP	93316.	22688.	24681.	7821.	14857.	8131.	15625.	7341.	375091.	171208.
	FITZ	207687.	0.	81052.	35518.	25182.	10359.	93429.	35701.	380326.	202016.
	NMPE	143798.	78949.	44666.	20080.	4626.	910.	15903.	5589.	351087.	56922.
CONTOUR	MEAN	149262.	23420.	67037.	20539.	19351.	6126.	32501.	20466.	418661.	50232.
20	NMPLI	7321	3217	373589	309773	18170	13575	1842	697	20469	8587
20	NMDD	4076	1718	99485	74392	2048	1379	1440	270	180767	157713
•	FTT7	9277	3451	5207	1566	8015	5575	28506	26347	16896	17618
	NMPE -	10898.	3479.	3455.	900.	******	0.	******	0.	46078.	16667.
CONTOUR	MEAN	7893.	1468.	120434.	87315.	9714.	4674.	10603.	8952.	66053.	38786.
30	NMPM	417.	274	3630.	337.	914.	343.	2063.	1499.	1025.	216.
20	NMPP	532.	276	7535.	2043.	2159.+	1094.	2084	960.	9753	4451
	FTTZ	4481.	718.	23511.	11165.	4534	2357.	3686.	953	7137.	2568.
	NMPE	2846.	950.	7595.	3371.	549.	257.	3092.	308.	1098.	771.
CONTOUR	MEAN	2069.	979.	10568.	4413.	2039.	900.	2731.	399.	4753.	2197.
40	NMPU	937.	763	4110.	1150.	628.	291	2155	761 .	1101.	279.
	NMPP	123.	51.	1557.	522	705.	279.	850.	231.	897.	104.
	FIT7	971	378.	4163-	879	430	292	1068	268.	*****	
	NMPE	763.	162.	2061.	717.	259.	141.	3480.	1345.	1786.	557.
CONTOUR	MEAN	698.	197.	2973.	680.	517.	92.	1889.	603.	1261.	269.
CONTROL M	1EAN ××	147258	105859.	71015.	36617.	19518.	9347.	31221.	26471.	124173.	63008.
XP. MEAN	X×	72523.	41047.	48327.	15729.	17658.	10656.	112261.	76110.	121778.	59735
10NTHLY N	IEAN	109890	55916.	59671.	19568.	18588.	6379.	76243.	43902.	123108.	42670.
IONTHIY R	ANGE	1231	084737.	1557 3	373589.	299 - 1	100086.	850 7	71997.	168.~ 4	568142.

** Control represents NMPW & NMPE, experimental represents NMPP & FITZ ******** Substrates lost during severe weather * One of four replicates missing due to weather

C-4

Table C-3 (Page 2 of 2)

ا هير ا

		C C	ЮТ		NOV	D	EC
DEDTH	TRAN-	24-	-25 OCT	22	-22 NOV	20-2	22 DEC
CONTOUR	SECT	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.*	MEAN	S.E.
5	NMPW	41009.†	-24505.	12047.	+ 1091.	*******	0.
	NMPP	8563.	4946.	******	0.	*****	0.
	FITZ	49105.	19956.	6757.	2540.	*****	ο.
	NMPE	3962.	1348.	4851.	2709.	237.	147.
CONTOUR	MEAN	25660.	11359.	7885.	2152.	237.‡	i 237 .
10	NMPW	242137.	102214.	115322	52608	41387	27782
	NIPP	716614.	319907.	7916	3047	267112 **	23302.
	FITZ	20756	8638	8102	7654	7519	2477
	NMPE	265009.	200687.	105048.	41571.	3946.	2180.
CONTOUR	MEAN	311129.	145952.	59120.	29557.	79989.	62942.
20	NMPW	6288	1807	110010	01 707		105/
	NMPP	1563	426	110019.	91/9/.	23/3.	1956.
•	FTTZ	2780	1168	1477.	0/3.	40/5.	21/6.
	NMPE	4593.	1795.	23073.7	14231.	291.‡	166.
CONTOUR	MEAN	3806.	1035.	33 76%.	25945.	1920.	839.
30	NMPLI	596	194		F 1		
	NMPD	2390	405	250.	51.	48.	20.
	FTTZ	1797	075.	1000.	293.	50.	35.
	NMPE	1622	789	177	· • • •	******	U. 707
				1//.	00.	1203.	/05.
CONTOUR	MEAN	1601.	373.	478.	262.	460.	411.
40	NMPW	897.	376.	616.	201	61	34
	NMPP	1512.	376.	390.	102	50	27
	FITZ	47.	20.	315.	109	52	18
	NMPE	837197.	837000.	674.	344.	607.	309.
CONTOUR	MEAN	209913.	209095.	499.	87.	192.	138.
CONTROL M	EAN**	140331.	83970.	37208	14089	5501	4497
EXP. MEAN	* ¥	80512.	70840.	31200.	1275	39971	37879
MONTHLY M	EAN	110421.	53904.	22147	9649	20627	16627
-MONTHLY R	ANGE	47 8	37197.	177	115322.	48 - 24	57112
						-TU	

* Standard error
 ** Control represents NMPW & NMPE, experimental represents NMPP & FITZ
 ******** Substrates lost during severe weather
 † One of four replicates missing due to weather
 ‡ One of four replicates lost during shipment ⁺⁺Three of four replicates missing due to weather.

ი -კ

Table C-4 (Page 1 of 2)

Abundance (No./mm²) of Bacillariophyta-Centric (Bottom Periphyton) Collected on Artificial Substrates, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

			MAY	J	UN	JU	IL .	AL	JG	SE	P
05070	70411	23	-23 MAY	28-	28 JUN	25-2	6 JUL	24-2	AUG	27-2	7 SEP
CONTOUR	SECT	MEAN	S.E.*	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.
5	NMPW	20449.	8577,	484.	402.	0.	0.	985.	985.	297.	297.
	NMPP	1191.	1139.	2451.	1492.	******	٥.	0.	0.	0.	Ο.
	FITZ	82877.	37654.	1580.	1081.	Ο.	٥.	0.	0.	******	0.
	NMPE	104599.	20436.	472.	472.	0.	0.	******	0.	0.	0.
CONTOUR	MEAN	52279.	24659.	1247.	478.	0.	0.	328.	328.	99.	99.
10	NMPW	17105.	7691.	2533.	2168.	0.	0.	0.	ο.	0.	Ο.
	NMPP	5016.	2447.	1163.	872.	Ο.	0.	0 .	· 0.	0.	ο.
	FITZ	60622.	0.	1482.	1405.	357.	274.	0.	0.	0.	Ó.
	NMPE	14768.	8851.	2999.	1890.	37.	37.	112.	112.	524.	524.
CONTOUR	MEAN	24378.	12362.	2044.	432.	98.	86.	28.	28.	131.	131.
20	NMDL	5341	1719	2874	2105	A	م	c .	E	n	n
29	NMDD	14260	2236	484	363	0.	0.	16	<i>9</i> .	469	469
	FTTZ	2678	500			0.		. 74.	0.		-07.
	NMPE	4134.	1858.	1944	521.	******	0.	******	0.	0.	0.
CONTOUR	MEAN	6603.	2610.	1345.	648.	3.	3.	7.	4.	140.	112.
30	NMPW	542.	139.	940.	321.	0.	0.	30.	17.	56.	47.
	NMPP	879.	247.	2143.	728.	15.+	15.	75.	56.	405.	366.
	FITZ	3019.	1000.	4288.	458.	173.	139.	75.	53.	913.	913.
	NMPE	2497.	607.	1441.	528.	47.	40.	155.	14.	0.	0.
CONTOUR	MEAN	1734.	604.	2203.	737.	59.	39.	84.	26.	343.	210.
40	NMPW	330.	150.	1699.	663.	165.	145.	3.	3.	7.	7.
	NMPP	27.	15.	931.	562.	271.	222.	29.	15.	46.	22.
	FITZ	1146	114.	3097-	1570.	21.	8.	26.	14.	******	0.
	NMPE	961.	511.	154.	69.	38.	24.	48.	12.	230.	176.
CONTOUR	MEAN	616.	263.	1470.	627.	124.	59.	26.	9.	94.	69.
CONTROL	MEAN**	17073.	10005.	1554.	327.	33.	18.	167.	118.	111.	57.
EXP. MEA	N**	17171.	9335.	1770.	400.	93.	46,	22.	10.	240.	11/.
MONTHLY	MEAN	17122.	6659.	1662.	253.	63.	25.	87.	54.	169.	61.
10NTHLY	RANGE	27. -	104599.	80	4288.	0.~	357.	0	985.	Q	91 3 .

* Standard error
 ** Control represents NMPW & NMPE, experimental represents NMPP & FITZ
 ******** Substrates lost during severe weather
 + One of four replicates missing due to weather

C--6

Table C-4 (Page 2 of 2)

		l	DCT	NO	v	DE	C
DEDTU	70 441	24	-25 OCT	22-2	2 NOV	20-2	2 DEC
CONTOUR	SECT	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	<u>S.E.*</u>	MEAN	5.E.
5	NMPW	0.	+ 0.	0.‡	0.	******	0.
	NAPP STT7	, U	<u> </u>	******		******	0.
	NMPE	33. 7.	3 3. 7.	150.	108.	8.‡	6.
CONTOUR	MEAN	10,	8.	83.	44.	8.	8.
10	NMPW	0.	0.	٥.	٥.	0.	0.
	NMPP	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.**	0.
	FITZ	٥.	0.	11.	11.	0.	0.
	NMPE	0.	0.	316.	259.	140.	140.
CONTOUR	MEAN	0.	0.	82.	78.	35.	35.
20	NMPW	45.	45.	0.	٥.	0.	0.
	NMPP	17.	17.	0.	0.	0.	0.
	FITZ	90.	. 90.	751.	751.	6.	6.
	NMPE	19.	19.	0.‡	0.	15. [‡]	14.
CONTOUR	MEAN	43.	17.	188.	188.	5.	4.
30	NMPW	· 3.	τ.	12.	7.	٥.	0.
	NMPP	86.	86.	8.	8.	. 2.	2.
	FITZ	106.	106.	******	0.	******	0.
	NMPE	0.	0.	75.	29.	21.	19.
CONTOUR	MEAN	49.	28.	32.	21.	8.	7.
40	NMPW	18.	16.	7.	4.	1.	1.
	NMPP	15.	8.	107.	79.	12.	12.
	FITZ	2.	2.	127.	51.	0.	٥.
	NMPE	81665.	81663.	161.	100.	1.	1.
CONTOUR	MEAN	20425.	20413.	100.	33.	4.	3.
CONTROL N	1EAN**	8176.	8165.	72.	34.	21.	15.
EXP. MEAN	√ **	35,	13.	138.	90.	3.	2.
MONTHL / N	1EAN	4105.	4082.	101.	43.	13.	9.
MONTHLY F	RANGE	0	81665.	0	751.	0	140.

* Standard error
 ** Control represents NMPW & NMPE, experimental represents NMPP & FITZ
 ******* Substrates lost during severe weather
 + One of four replicates missing due to weather

+ One of four replicates lost during shipment +Three of four replicates missing due to weather.

C-7

Table C-5 (Page 1 of 2)

Abundance (No./mm²) of Bacillariophyta-Pennate (Bottom Periphyton) Collected on Aritficial Substrates, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

		1	MAY	•	NUL	J	UL		AUG	ę	SEP
NEDTH	TPAN-	23	-23 MAY	28-	-28 JUN	25-	26 JUL	24	-24 AUG	27-	-27 SEP
CONTOUR	SECT	MEAN	S.E.*	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.I
5	NMPW	94258.	18296.	6682.	4019.	3999.	365.	1473.	1115.	3263.	2019
	NMPP	4237.	2113.	11890.	4944.	******	0.	245796.	234567.	23675.	13073
	F112	258839.	55163.	3499.	1345.	2233.	1298.	3841.	1784.	******	0
	NMPE	525125.	17400.	20830.	15156.	7194.	5507.	******	0.	953.	516
CONTOUR	MEAN	220615.	114373.	10725.	3786.	4475.	1452.	83704.	81049.	9297.	7220
10	NMPW	99816.	11546.	11643.	11101.	1050.	208.	255.	167.	11363.	1939
	NMPP	41745.	16207.	1899.	587.	553.	217.	26.	15.	75147.	40810
	FITZ	299046.	0.	4623.	1189.	4416.	3242	1410.	511.	21727.	1255
	NMPE	179645.	51751.	29598.	8023.	835.	660.	8169.	4157.	38807.	13426
CONTOUR	MEAN	155063.	55699.	11941.	6233.	1713.	907.	2465.	1925.	36761.	13990.
20	ымаы	59774	EQD4	7052	7600	70	70	400	101	14084	750/
20	NMDD	166961	9765	7052.	J477. 4754	2672	30.	409.	101.	10700.	079CC 07771
	FTTZ	64477	13267	7731.	409	2072.	1703.	2047	447	41300.	19//9
	NMPE	82018.	22744.	6435.	1927.	******	0.	******	0.	12245.	3142
CONTOUR	MEAN	88302.	20123.	6502.	1308.	1837.	879.	1065.	592.	21679.	6641
30	NMDU	18518	2732	11064	2428	667	66		107	74.98	1404
50	NMOD	18377	4481	14673	2739	280 +	130	270.	24	3020.	14550
	FTT7	59187	7317	37494	4633	5667	2303	207	405	33271.	7487
	NMPF	71528	4273	6564	929	86	67	558	147	1534	7055
		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	76146	0204.	767.		0/.	.000	147.	13341	540
CONTOUR	MEAN	41903.	13774.	17672.	6819.	1619.	1351.	440.	152.	17167.	8455
40	NMPW	4578.	1206.	3301.	602.	2663.	2241.	540.	121.	400.	204.
	NMPP	1530.	395.	3288.	560.	369.	135.	124.	57.	932.	305.
	FITZ	23425.	956.	4461.	272.	207.	120.	176.	88.	*****	0.
	NMPE	13241.	2472.	684.	243.	38.	16.	229.	183.	1204.	292.
CONTOUR	MEAN	10694.	4916.	2933.	799.	819,	618.	267.	94.	845.	236
ONTROL I	TEAN**	114850.	48411.	10474.	2752.	1821.	808.	1488,	965.	9038.	3779
XP. MEAN	/ ★¥	91780.	34008.	9435.	3398.	2128.	658.	25509.	24479.	30309.	7704.
IONTHLY I	TEAN	103315.	28914.	9955.	2131.	1975.	507.	14833.	13594.	18492.	4651.
NONTHLY I	RANGE	1530	525125.	684	37496.	38	7194.	26	245796	400	75147.

******** Substrates lost during severe weather

One of four replicates missing due to weather

C-8
Table C-5 (Page 2 of 2)

Constant of Car

Contraction of the

		. (ост	· 1	VOV	וס	EC
ana han Machada a		24	-25 OCT	22-	-22 NOV	20-2	22 DEC
CONTOUR	TRAN- SECT	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.*	MEAN	S.E.
5	NMPW	2743.1	569.	11366.	3051.	- *******	0.
	NMPP	380408.	.45462.	*****	0.	*****	0.
	FITZ	1221.	346.	1876.	872.	******	Ο.
	NMPE	3711.	2534.	1671.	446.	168.‡	82.
CONTOUR	MEAN	97021.	94464.	4971.	3198.	168.	168.
10	NMPW	13262.	7668.	3179.	835.	958.	480.
	NMPP	230946.	39846.	6621.	2793.	5969 **	0.
	FITZ	13885.	3676.	2012.	658.	9714.	4106.
	NMPE	18545.	5256.	630.	197.	1283.	153.
CONTOUR	MEAN	69159.	53942.	3110.	1281.	4481.	2086.
20		00054	~~ 1	17070	4549	1740	94 E
20	NAPA	20854.	/311.	13617.	0542.	1/80.	1700
	NMPP	2528.	1/1.	5014.	1001.	7033.	1/92.
	FITZ	9033.	2769.	11/00.	1033.	2550. 117 +	1249.
	NMPE	11051.	4266.	4176.	856.7	11/17	24.
CONTOUR	MEAN	10866.	3793.	8709.	2243.	3517.	2101.
30	NMDL	1737	43]	1648.	193.	467.	114.
	NMPP	2990.	265.	2972.	539.	1079.	533.
	FTTZ	4963.	484	******	0.	******	0.
	NMPE	911.	278.	370.	113.	447.	136.
CONTOUR	MEAN	2650.	881.	1663.	751.	664.	207.
				1010	A (A	770	
40	NMFW	563.	390.	1010.	240.	332.	97.
	NMPP	1620.	728.	521.	82.	. 338.	79.
	FITZ	167.	55.	3/6.	4/.	62.	18.
	NMPE	735511.	734791.	681.	190.	487.	60.
CONTOUR	MEAN	184465.	183682.	647.	136.	305.	89.
CONTROL N	1EAN**	80889.	72774.	3801.	1476.	669.	184.
EXP. MEAN	(¥¥	64776.	41687.	3970.	1366.	4193.	1600.
MONTHLY P	1EAN	72832.	40858.	3876.	991.	2211.	814.
NONTH'LY F	RANGE	167	735511.	370.~	13279.	62	9714.

* Standard error
** Control represents NMPW & NMPE, experimental represents NMPP & FITZ
******** Substrates lost during severe weather
† One of four replicates missing due to weather
† One of four replicates lost during shipment tithree of four replicates missing due to weather.

.

C-9

.

Table C-6

Taxonomic List of All Suspended Periphyton Collected on Artificial Substrates in the Vicinity of Nine Mile Point, May-September 1978

Taxa

Cyanophyta · Chroococcaceae Gomphosphaeria lacustris Microcystis sp. Chamaesiphonaceae Chamaesiphon sp. Oscillatoriaceae Lyngbya sp. Oscillatoria sp. Pseudoanabaena sp. Oscillatoriaceae unid. Nostocaceae Anabaena circinalis Anabaena sp. Chlorophyta Volvocales Chlamydomonas sp. Pandorina sp. Chlorococcales Actinasťrum hantzschii Ankistrodesmus convolutus Ankistrodesmus falcatus Ankistrodesmus sp. <u>Chodatella quadrisetta</u> Coelastrum microporum Coelastrum sp. Crucigenia rectangularis Oocystis sp. Pediastrum boryanum Pediastrum duplex Pediastrum simplex Pediastrum tetras Quadrigula chodatii Scenedesmus acuminatus <u>Scenedesmus</u> acutus Scenedesmus bicaudatus Scenedesmus ecornis Scenedesmus intermedius Scenedesmus quadricauda Scenedesmus spinosus Scenedesmus sp. Schroederia setigera Sphaerocystis sp. <u>Tetraedron</u> caudatum <u>Tetraedron</u> minimum Tetraedron trigonum Chlorococcales unid. Ulotrichales <u>Ulothrix</u> zonata Ulothrix sp. Chaetophorales Stigeoclonium sp. Chaetophorales unid. Oedogoniales Oedogonium sp. Zygnematales Closterium moniliferum Cosmarium botrytis Cosmarium sp.

Chlorophyta (Contd) Mougeotia sp. Spirogyra sp. Chlorophyta unid. Chrysophyta Chrysophyta unid. Bacillariophyta Eupodiscales Cyclotella sp. Melosira variens Melosira sp. Stephanodiscus banderana Eupodiscales unid. Fragilariales Asterionella formosa Diatoma vulgare Diatoma tenue Fragilaria crotonensis Fragilaria vaucheriae Fragilaria sp. Meridion sp. Synedra cyclopum Synedra sp. Tabellaria sp. Fragilariales unid. Achnanthales Achnanthes sp. Cocconeis sp. Naviculales Cymbella prostrata Cymbella sp. Gomphonema olivaceum Gomphonema montanum Gomphonema sp. Gyrosigma sp. Navicula sp. Naviculales unid. Bacillariales Nitzchia acicularis <u>Nitzchia holsatica</u> Nitzchia sp. Bacillariophyta unid. Pyrrhophyta-Dinophyceae Gymnodiniales Gymnodinium fuscum Gymnodinium sp. Peridiniales Peridinium sp. Ceratium hirudinella Pyrrhophyta-Dinophyceae unid. Cryptophyta Cryptomonodales Chroomonas sp. Cryptomonas marssoni Cryptomonas sp.

<u>Taxa</u>

Rhodomonas minuta

Cyanomonas sp.

		•	MAY		JUN		JUL		AUG		SEP
		2:	3-23 MAY		29-29 JUN		26-26 JUL		23-23 AUG		27-27 SEP
DEPTH CONTOUR	TRAN- SECT	MEAN	S.E.*	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.#
2	NMPW	0.	0.	71314672.	461087.	22819520.	14460224.	******	0.	131599.	129496.
	NMPP	0.	Ο.	1792603650.	363120128.	102206.†	92212.	17405440.	6108069.	20765072.	19647392.
	FITZ	0.	0.	******	0.	17560432.	10399363.	******	0.	*****	0.
CONTOUR	MEAN	0.	0.	931959040.	860644608.	13494048.	6865876.	17405440.	17405440.	10448328.	10316740.
7	NMPW	۵.	0.	41861120.	21775216.	1440879.	54132.	52579888.	35975232.	228782.	1425.
•	NMPP	0.	0.	46460720	24784160.	4627345.	1861370.	58568496.	52802992.	14306312.	8844728.
•	FITZ	. 0.	0.	149635200.	106601600.	16880816.	3443331.	******	0.	11344736.	6760549.
CONTOUR	MEAN	0.	0.	79325680.	35180048.	7649680.	4706331.	55574192.	2994285.	8626608.	4285066.
12		n	0	11243152	552921A	18486896.	1733068.	42405088.	5039831.	218029.	187591
12	NMPP	ů.	0.	13066704.	1115857.	10620128.	7741371.	133482064.	124285232.	13847648.	4967149.
	FITZ	0.	0.	121975184.	65762512.	8306373.	2581570.	******	0.	9761864.	3627848.
CONTOUR	MEAN	0.	0.	48761680.	36610528.	12471130.	3081143.	87943568.	45538496.	7942512.	4038321.
17		6149.	6149.	1868752.	301247.	4396642.	1288688.	3528016.	713745.	13329.	8290.
	NMPP	0.	0.	1852685.	811159.	2752942.	2169536.	5428029.	1930175.	18773104.	10165688.
	FITZ	0.	0.	30208832.	15598046.	100977.	11902.	******	C.	1756337.	976044.
CONTOUR	MEAN	2050.	2050.	11310085.	9449373.	2416853.	1251385.	4478022.	950003.	6847589.	5983942.
CONTROL 1	MEAN##	1537.	1537.	31571920.	15760925.	11785980.	5230239.	32837664.	14946272.	147934.	49862.
EXP. MEAN	M××	0.	٥.	307974400.	248327776.	7618898.	2463096.	53720992.	28919952.	12936436.	2368779.
MONTHLY 1	MEAN	512.	512,	207464480.	159186880.	9007925.	2326256.	44770960.	16990432.	8286068.	2434590.
MONTHLY F	RANGE	0	6149.	999991	792603650.	99999	22819520.	99999	133482064.	13329	20765072.

Abundance (No./mm²) of Cyanophyta (Suspended Periphyton) on Artificial Substrates, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

Table C-7

Standard error
 ** Control represents NMPW & NMPE, experimental represents NMPP & FITZ
 ******** Substrates lost during severe weather
 + One of four replicates missing due to weather

C-11

Table C-8	
-----------	--

Abundance (No./mm²) of Chlorophyta (Suspended Periphyton) on Artivicial Substrates, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

		MAY		JUN		JUL		AUG		SEP
TRAN	2	3-23 MAY		29-29 JUN	2	6-26 JUL		23-23 AUG		27-27 SEP
SECT	MEAN	S.E.*	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.*
NMPW	2492.	2492.	213619.	213619.	167237.	126716.	******	0.	969.	969.
NMPP	3869.	3869.	9108328.	5516673.	16733.†	16523.	512458.	87259.	256070.	256070.
FITZ	1665.	250.	******	0.	40504.	40504.	*****	٥.	*****	0.
MEAN	2675.	643.	4660973.	4447354.	74825.	46713.	512458.	5124 58.	128519.	127551.
NMPW	٥.	р. С.	433991.	328283.	158693.	20709.	291170.	10807.	4598.	1349
NMPP	0 .	0.	266341.	2064	17180.	17180.	68299.	35706.	315934.	54044
FITZ	30472.	8669.	1349085.	1187910.	456659.	210007.	******	0.	168682.	168682.
MEAN	10157.	10157.	683139.	336472.	210844.	129519.	179735.	111435.	163071.	89919.
				70/70						
NMPW	U.	0.	704/2.	70472.	149225.	149225.	338440.	235550.	3085.	3085.
NMPP	33602.	/1/5.		U.	54390.	40747.	585690.	497369.	14729.	3079.
F112	6822.	1008.	477390.	477390.	81/8.	81/8.	******	0.	35236.	35236.
MEAN	13475.	10255.	182621.	148782.	70598.	41515.	462065.	123625.	17683.	9398.
NMPH	9439.	6960.	٥.	0.	0.	0.	10485.	8894.	2164.	2056.
NMPP	5082.	5082.	30832.	30832.	935.	935.	28104.	14676.	192052.	17460.
FITZ	9271.	9271.	157700.	124189.	3692.	1137.	******	0.	8262.	8262.
MEAN	7930.	1425.	62844.	48256.	1542.	1108.	19295.	8809.	67493.	62305.
MFANNS	2983	2231.	179520.	95759	118789	39767.	213365	102354	2704.	744
N¥¥	11348.	4636.	1627096	1259007.	74784	54943	298638-	145592.	141567.	46793.
MEAN	8559.	3314.	1100704.	809142.	89452	38257.	262092.	88598.	91071.	35809.
RANGE	0	33602.	0	9108328.	0	456659.	10485	585690.	969	315934.
	TRAN- SECT NMPW NMPP FITZ MEAN NMPW NMPP FITZ MEAN NMPW NMPP FITZ MEAN NMPW NMPP FITZ MEAN NMPW NMPP FITZ MEAN	2 TRAN- SECT MEAN NMPW 2492. NMPP 3869. FITZ 1665. MEAN 2675. NMPW 0. NMPP 0. FITZ 30472. MEAN 10157. NMPH 0. NMPP 33602. FITZ 6822. MEAN 13475. NMPH 9439. NMPP 5082. FITZ 9271. MEAN 7930. MEAN#* 2983. N** 11348. MEAN 8559.	HAY 23-23 MAY TRAN- SECT MEAN S.E.* NMPW 2492. 2492. NMPP 3869. 3869. FITZ 1665. 250. MEAN 2675. 643. NMPW 0. 0. NMPW 0. 0. FITZ 30472. 8669. MEAN 10157. 10157. NMPW 0. 0. NMPP 3602. 7175. FITZ 6822. 1008. MEAN 13475. 10255. NMPH 9439. 6960. NMPP 5082. 5082. FITZ 9271. 9271. MEAN 7930. 1425. MEAN 7930. 1425. MEAN 8559. 3314. PANCF 0. 3362.	HAY 23-23 MAY TRAN- SECT MEAN S.E.* MEAN NMPW 2492. 2492. 213619. NMPP 3869. 3869. 9108328. FITZ 1665. 250. ******* MEAN 2675. 643. 4660973. NMPW 0. 0. 433991. NMPP 0. 0. 266341. FITZ 30472. 8669. 1349085. MEAN 10157. 10157. 683139. NMPH 0. 0. 70472. NMPP 33602. 7175. 0. FITZ 6822. 1008. 477390. NMPH 9439. 6960. 0. NMPP 5082. 5082. 30832. FITZ 9271. 157700. 157700. MEAN 13475. 1425. 62844. MEAN 7930. 1425. 62844. MEAN 8559. <td>HAY JUN 23-23 MAY 29-29 JUN TRAN- SECT MEAN S.E.* MEAN S.E. NMPW 2492. 2492. 213619. 213619. NMPW 2492. 2492. 213619. 213619. NMPW 2492. 250. ******* 0. MEAN 2675. 643. 4660973. 4447354. NMPW 0. 0. 433991. 328283. NMPP 0. 0. 266341. 2064. FITZ 30472. 8669. 1349085. 1187910. MEAN 10157. 10157. 683139. 336472. NMPW 0. 0. 70472. 70472. NMPP 33602. 7175. 0. 0. FITZ 6822. 1008. 477390. 477390. MEAN 13475. 10255. 182621. 148782. NMPP 5082. 5082. 30832. 30832. FIT</td> <td>HAY JUN 23-23 MAY 29-29 JUN 2 TRAN- SECT MEAN S.E.* MEAN S.E. MEAN NMPH 2492. 2492. 213619. 213619. 167237. NMPP 3869. 39089. 9108328. 5516673. 16733.* FITZ 1665. 250. ******** 0. 40504. MEAN 2675. 643. 4660973. 4447354. 74825. NMPW 0. 0. 433991. 328283. 158693. NMPP 0. 0. 266341. 2064. 17180. FITZ 30472. 8669. 1349085. 1187910. 456659. MEAN 10157. 10157. 683139. 336472. 210844. NMPP 0. 0. 70472. 149225. NMPP 33602. 7175. 0. 0. 54390. FITZ 6822. 1008. 477390. 8178. MEAN</td> <td>HAY JUN JUL 23-23 MAY 29-29 JUN 26-26 JUL TRAN- SECT MEAN S.E.# MEAN S.E. NMPW 2492. 213619. 213619. 167237. 126716. NMPP 3669. 3869. 9108328. 5516673. 16733.* 16523. FITZ 1665. 250. ####### 0. 40504. 40504. MEAN 2675. 643. 4660973. 4447354. 74825. 46713. NMPP 0. 0. 433991. 328283. 158693. 20709. NMPP 0. 0. 266341. 2064. 17180. 17180. FITZ 30472. 8669. 1349085. 1187910. 456659. 210007. MEAN 10157. 10157. 683139. 336472. 210844. 129519. NMPP 0. 0. 70472. 70472. 149225. 149225. NMPP 33602. 7175. 0.<!--</td--><td>HAY JUN JUL 23-23 HAY 29-29 JUN 26-26 JUL 2 TRAN- SECT MEAN S.E.# MEAN S.E. S.E. MEAN S.E. S.E. MEAN S.E. S.E. MEAN S.E. S.E.</td><td>HAY JUN JUL AUG 23-23 MAY 29-29 JUN 26-26 JUL 23-23 AUG SECT MEAN S.E.* MEAN S.E. MEAN S.E. NMPP 2692. 213619. 213619. 167237. 126716. ###### 0. NMPP 3669. 9100328. 5516673. 167237. 126716. ####### 0. NMPP 3669. 9100328. 5516673. 167237. 126716. ####### 0. HEAN 2675. 643. 4660973. 4447354. 74825. 46713. 512458. 512458. NMPP 0. 0. 433991. 328283. 158693. 20709. 291170. 10807. NMPP 0. 0. 266341. 2064. 17180. 68299. 35706. PTIZ 30472. 26690. 134700. 149225. 138440. 235550. NHPM 0. 0. 70472. 70472. 149225. <td< td=""><td>HAY JUN JUL AUE 23-23 MAY 29-29 JUN 26-26 JUL 23-23 AUG SECT HEAN S.E. HEAN S.E. MEAN S.E. HIPP 2492. 213619. 213619. 167237. 126716. ####### 0. 969. HIPP 3669. 3669. 9106326. 5516673. 167237. 126716. ####### 0. 969. FITZ 1665. 250. ####### 0. 969. ####### 0. ####### 0. ####### 0. ####### 0. ####################################</td></td<></td></td>	HAY JUN 23-23 MAY 29-29 JUN TRAN- SECT MEAN S.E.* MEAN S.E. NMPW 2492. 2492. 213619. 213619. NMPW 2492. 2492. 213619. 213619. NMPW 2492. 250. ******* 0. MEAN 2675. 643. 4660973. 4447354. NMPW 0. 0. 433991. 328283. NMPP 0. 0. 266341. 2064. FITZ 30472. 8669. 1349085. 1187910. MEAN 10157. 10157. 683139. 336472. NMPW 0. 0. 70472. 70472. NMPP 33602. 7175. 0. 0. FITZ 6822. 1008. 477390. 477390. MEAN 13475. 10255. 182621. 148782. NMPP 5082. 5082. 30832. 30832. FIT	HAY JUN 23-23 MAY 29-29 JUN 2 TRAN- SECT MEAN S.E.* MEAN S.E. MEAN NMPH 2492. 2492. 213619. 213619. 167237. NMPP 3869. 39089. 9108328. 5516673. 16733.* FITZ 1665. 250. ******** 0. 40504. MEAN 2675. 643. 4660973. 4447354. 74825. NMPW 0. 0. 433991. 328283. 158693. NMPP 0. 0. 266341. 2064. 17180. FITZ 30472. 8669. 1349085. 1187910. 456659. MEAN 10157. 10157. 683139. 336472. 210844. NMPP 0. 0. 70472. 149225. NMPP 33602. 7175. 0. 0. 54390. FITZ 6822. 1008. 477390. 8178. MEAN	HAY JUN JUL 23-23 MAY 29-29 JUN 26-26 JUL TRAN- SECT MEAN S.E.# MEAN S.E. NMPW 2492. 213619. 213619. 167237. 126716. NMPP 3669. 3869. 9108328. 5516673. 16733.* 16523. FITZ 1665. 250. ####### 0. 40504. 40504. MEAN 2675. 643. 4660973. 4447354. 74825. 46713. NMPP 0. 0. 433991. 328283. 158693. 20709. NMPP 0. 0. 266341. 2064. 17180. 17180. FITZ 30472. 8669. 1349085. 1187910. 456659. 210007. MEAN 10157. 10157. 683139. 336472. 210844. 129519. NMPP 0. 0. 70472. 70472. 149225. 149225. NMPP 33602. 7175. 0. </td <td>HAY JUN JUL 23-23 HAY 29-29 JUN 26-26 JUL 2 TRAN- SECT MEAN S.E.# MEAN S.E. S.E. MEAN S.E. S.E. MEAN S.E. S.E. MEAN S.E. S.E.</td> <td>HAY JUN JUL AUG 23-23 MAY 29-29 JUN 26-26 JUL 23-23 AUG SECT MEAN S.E.* MEAN S.E. MEAN S.E. NMPP 2692. 213619. 213619. 167237. 126716. ###### 0. NMPP 3669. 9100328. 5516673. 167237. 126716. ####### 0. NMPP 3669. 9100328. 5516673. 167237. 126716. ####### 0. HEAN 2675. 643. 4660973. 4447354. 74825. 46713. 512458. 512458. NMPP 0. 0. 433991. 328283. 158693. 20709. 291170. 10807. NMPP 0. 0. 266341. 2064. 17180. 68299. 35706. PTIZ 30472. 26690. 134700. 149225. 138440. 235550. NHPM 0. 0. 70472. 70472. 149225. <td< td=""><td>HAY JUN JUL AUE 23-23 MAY 29-29 JUN 26-26 JUL 23-23 AUG SECT HEAN S.E. HEAN S.E. MEAN S.E. HIPP 2492. 213619. 213619. 167237. 126716. ####### 0. 969. HIPP 3669. 3669. 9106326. 5516673. 167237. 126716. ####### 0. 969. FITZ 1665. 250. ####### 0. 969. ####### 0. ####### 0. ####### 0. ####### 0. ####################################</td></td<></td>	HAY JUN JUL 23-23 HAY 29-29 JUN 26-26 JUL 2 TRAN- SECT MEAN S.E.# MEAN S.E. S.E. MEAN S.E. S.E. MEAN S.E. S.E. MEAN S.E. S.E.	HAY JUN JUL AUG 23-23 MAY 29-29 JUN 26-26 JUL 23-23 AUG SECT MEAN S.E.* MEAN S.E. MEAN S.E. NMPP 2692. 213619. 213619. 167237. 126716. ###### 0. NMPP 3669. 9100328. 5516673. 167237. 126716. ####### 0. NMPP 3669. 9100328. 5516673. 167237. 126716. ####### 0. HEAN 2675. 643. 4660973. 4447354. 74825. 46713. 512458. 512458. NMPP 0. 0. 433991. 328283. 158693. 20709. 291170. 10807. NMPP 0. 0. 266341. 2064. 17180. 68299. 35706. PTIZ 30472. 26690. 134700. 149225. 138440. 235550. NHPM 0. 0. 70472. 70472. 149225. <td< td=""><td>HAY JUN JUL AUE 23-23 MAY 29-29 JUN 26-26 JUL 23-23 AUG SECT HEAN S.E. HEAN S.E. MEAN S.E. HIPP 2492. 213619. 213619. 167237. 126716. ####### 0. 969. HIPP 3669. 3669. 9106326. 5516673. 167237. 126716. ####### 0. 969. FITZ 1665. 250. ####### 0. 969. ####### 0. ####### 0. ####### 0. ####### 0. ####################################</td></td<>	HAY JUN JUL AUE 23-23 MAY 29-29 JUN 26-26 JUL 23-23 AUG SECT HEAN S.E. HEAN S.E. MEAN S.E. HIPP 2492. 213619. 213619. 167237. 126716. ####### 0. 969. HIPP 3669. 3669. 9106326. 5516673. 167237. 126716. ####### 0. 969. FITZ 1665. 250. ####### 0. 969. ####### 0. ####### 0. ####### 0. ####### 0. ####################################

* Standard error ** Control represents NMPW & NMPE, experimental represents NMPP & FITZ ******** Substrates lost during severe weather * One of four replicates missing due to weather

C-12

	Abunda	nce (No./	mm) of Ba	cillariop N	hyta-Centric ine Mile Poi	. (Suspende nt Vicinit	ed Periphy y, 1978	ton) on Ar	tificial S	ubstrates,		
			MAY		JUN		JUL		AUG		SEP	
DEPTH	TRAN-	2	3-23 MAY		29-29 JUN	26	26-26 JUL		23-23 AUG		27-27 SEP	
CONTOUR	SECT	MEAN	S.E.*	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S. E.	
2	NMPW	0.	0.	42724.	42724.	0,	0.	******	0.	 66.	6 6 .	
	NMPP	C	0.	3591666.	3591667.	o.†	0.	21260.	21260.	0.		
•	FITZ	0.	0.	******	0.	0.	0.	*****	0.	*******	0.	
CONTOUR	MEAN	0.	0.	1817194.	1774471.	0.	0.	21260.	21260.	33.	33.	
. 7	ŃMDLI	· •	•	71011								
	NMDB	7947	70/7	/1911.	33/9/.	\$738.	3738.	12582.	12582.	271.	271.	
		7207.	/20/.	132135.	132135.	0.	0.	0.	0.	8809.	8809.	
	r114	19202.	15262.	υ.	0.	0.	0.	******	0.	39690.	39690.	
CONTOUR	MEAN	7510.	4407.	68015.	38194.	1246.	1246.	6291.	6291.	16257.	1197 3.	
12	NMPW	0	n	16970	14279	•	•	14000				
	NMPP	ñ.	ů.	20254	477	, o,	v.	14000.	14000.	0.	0.	
	FITZ	5369	2442	30354.	4//.	0.	U .	0.	0.	5825.	5825.	
		3307.	2402.	υ.	۷.	, U.	υ.	******	0.	0.	0.	
CONTOUR	MEAN	1790.	1790.	15544.	8770.	0.	0.	7000.	7000.	1942.	1942.	
17	MMDU	4710	7400					. –				
•1	NMDB	4/17. 1970	3400.	5005.	3605.	0.	σ.	4393.	1971.	653.	544.	
	ETT7	1670.	12/0.	0.	U.	0.	0.	0.	0	0.	0.	
	F414	193/3.	1468,	16755.	16755.	183.	183.	******	0.	0.	0.	
CONTOUR	MEAN	7121.	4245.	6787.	5092.	61.	61.	2197.	2197.	218.	218.	
ONTROL M	EAN**	. ' 1180.	1180.	33630	15140	034	974	10705	0004			
XP. MEAN	××	5568.	2332	538701	509139	737. 97	734. 91	10325.	- 2994.	247.	147.	
ONTHLY M	EAN	4105.	1680	365030	397237.	6J. 797	CJ. 710	3315.	5315.	7761,	5489.	
IONTHLY R	ANGE	0	15375.		201444	.22/.	310.	/402.	3221.	5029.	3579.	
		••		· · ·		. v	3730.	· ••-	CT500'	· 0	39690.	

* Standard error
 ** Control represents NMPW & NMPE, experimental represents NMPP & FITZ
 ******** Substrates lost during severe weather
 + One of four replicates missing due to weather

2.

C-13

]

ł

science services division Table C-9

Zerman and G

.

August 11100 -----

Kernen and

more a could

11 May 11

Sugar Barrow

	•					•					
. 1			MAY		JUN		JUL		AUG		SEP
			23-23 MAY		29-29 JUN	2	6-26 JUL		23-23 AUG		27-27 SEP
DEPTH Contour	TRAN- SECT	MEAN	S.E.*	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.4
2	NMPW	456838.	98809.	1043354.	188880.	36744.	36744.	******	Q. 56544	34983.	34096.
	FITZ	95155.	13052.	******	7054164.	178270.	64859.	370833. ******	0.	******	///⊒ч€. ₿.
CONTOUR	MEAN	315870.	111763.	11361248.	10317898.	72474.	53819.	370633.	370633.	433508.	398 525.
7	NMPW	919218.	185929.	689629.	156037.	18112.	4313.	119143.	436 48.	96791.	` 34 57 .
	NMPP FITZ	978484. 723912.	29269. 161261.	929777. 198856.	303485. 118268.	17068. 31250.	8478. 31250.	13037. ******	13037.	1831639. 4404234.	2460 17. 1177 20.
CONTOUR	MEAN	873871.	76906.	606087.	215093.	22143.	4563.	66090.	53053.	2110887.	1251266.
12	NMPW	421702.	49514.	378224.	150317.	151809.	72029.	89659.	196 61 .	70987.	25330.
	NMPP FITZ	1099045. 523216.	63107. 244147.	361867. 520584.	146046. 43194.	167780. 262378.	85920. 213309.	1077400. ***** **	817946. Ø.	515158. 1872801.	242094. 45277 8 .
CONTOUR	MEAN	681321.	210908.	420225.	50402.	193989.	34504.	583530.	4938 70.	819649.	541962.
17	NMPW	332161.	40900.	216518.	43495.	268182.	206519.	22869.	3778.	3537.	2655.
	NMPP Fitz	180371. 851742.	38147. 1448.	275246. 975764.	20737. 130098.	20315. 3748.	18445. 2288.	56219. ******	209 89. . 0 .	1488405. 672251.	39281. 349118.
CONTOUR	MEAN	454758.	203271.	489176.	243884.	97415.	85517.	39544.	166 75.	721398.	429348.
CONTROL N	1EAN ××	532479.	131557.	581931.	182468.	118712.	57934.	77224.	284 79.	51574.	20421.
EXP. MEAN	1¥¥ 1EAN	605943. 581455.	130203. 94205.	3563028. 2478989.	3021557. 1922293.	85402. 96505.	35888. 29562.	379322. 249851.	2459 59. 145 346 .	1659502. 1074801.	502537. 395347.
HONTHLY F	RANGE	95155	1099045.	99999	21679152.	2407	268182.	13037	1077400.	3537	4404234.

Abundance (No. mm²) of Bacillariophyta-Pennate (Suspended Periphyton) on Artificial Substrates, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

Table C-10

Standard error
 Control represents NMPW & NMPE, experimental represents NMPP & FITZ
 Control represents lost during severe weather
 One of four replicates missing due to weather

C-14

acience services division

Ĺ

APPENDIX D BENTHIC INVERTEBRATES

Sector Sector

na and a second s

And an and a second sec

 provide a second se second sec

, | ____

Depth		. Ap	r	Ju	n	P	lug	00	t,	1	Dec
(ft)	Transect	- Mean	S.E.*	Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.
10	NMPW	610,8	129.4	1143.3	66.2	3107.9	989.8	4076 7	346.0	No. 0000	-1
	NMPP	418.2	183.5	2091.0	1170.3	7518.5	1802.1	11444.7	1594.6	NO Samu	Jies Lak
	FITZ	436.3	99.3	2891.3	664.9	3772.8	2864.2	845.4	652.9	aue to	ice and
	NMPE	1107.2	950.7	2707.7	812.3	1964.6	21.1	5424.5	201,6	strong	winds
	Contour mean	643.1	160.7	2208.3	394.1	4090.9	1202.0	5447.8	2217.8		
20	NMOL	833.4	520.5	285.8	75.2	7377.1	6961.9	3640.4	1414.0		
20	ALALA MADD	475.4	60.2	1149.3	1016.9	478.4	171.5	5574.9	3095.8		
	ampp	3645 7	791.3	547.6	451.3	782.2	228.6	6188.7	490.4		
	FIIZ NMPF	90.3	12.0	2051.9	162.5	207.6	129.4	3065.8	2229.4		
	Contour mean	761.2	331.6	1008.6	391.1	2211.3	1725.9	4617.4	749.9	•	
30	MMDL	2906.3	198.6	291.8	135.4	499.4	288.8	3691.6	1651.7		
50	NMDO	3116.9	1299.7	1868.3	1140.3	1414.0	12.0 .	3285.4	679.9		
	11117 EI T7	791 3	67.2	965.8	39.1	1197.4	391.1	1056.0	33.1		
	NMPF	806.3	282.8	974.8	192.5	1609.6	580.7	3180.1	2091.0		
	Contour mean	1905.2	640.2	1025.2	323.4	1180.1	242.0	2803.3	592.8		
40	MMDU	99.3	9.0	174.5	168.5	1016.9	968.8	111.3	69.2		
40	APPL M	51.2	33 1	60.2	48.1	189.5	15.0	4488.8	842.4		
	NMPP	1381 0	382 1	1290.7	1086.1	3174.1	1477.2	2966.5	36.1		
	NNPF	436.3	418.2	2942.4	108.3	1516.3	1251.6	1970.6	574.6		
	Contour mean	491.9	308.5	1116.9	668.8	1474.2	629.2	2384 3	917 7		
			-				00010	200410			
60	NMPW	3.0	3.0	162.5	126.4	1609.6	3.0	120.3	6.0		
	NMPP	36.1	6.0	216.6	162.5	547.6	102.3	899.6	117.3		
	FITZ	2160.2	403.2	3365.6	1459.2	3011.6	2223.3	1778.1	436.3		
	NMPE	1134.2	195.6	4792.7	550.6	4197.0	941.7	3754.7	60.2		
	Contour mean	833.4	514.5	2134.6	1160.1	2341.4	798.2	1638.2	782.6		
Control mea	an**	802.7	267.5	1552.7	489.2	2310.6	674.7	2903 6	538 9		
Experiment	al mean**	1051.2	319.2	1444.7	348.9	2208.6	712.9	3852 8	1040 6		
Monthly me	an	927.0	251.3	1498.7	292.7	2259.6	477.8	3378 2	580.6		
Manth Lu way		3.0	3116 9	60 2 -	4792 7	7519 5	207 6	111 7 1	1444 7		

Abundance (No. m²) of Total Benthos in 0.17-m² Suction Sampler, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

Table D-1

*Standard error. **Control represents NHPW and NMPE, experimental represents NMPP and FITZ.

D-1

Abundance	(No./m ²) of	<u>Gammarus</u> Nine Mile	<u>fasciatus</u> Point Vici	Collected by nity, 1977	y Suction	Sampler,
Depth Contour						
(ft)	Transect	Apr	Jun	Aug	Oct	Dec*
10	NMDLI	150	79	2 054	1 022	
10	NMDD		260	3,004	4,032	
	1447	220	200	7,300	11,303	
		230	12	3,009	//9	
	NMPE	108	144	1,104	4,384	
	Contour mea	n 141	124	3,774	5,124	
20	NMPW	117	0	238	2,891	
	NMPP	78	93	6	3,902	
	FITZ	114	18	129	5,301	
	NMPE	42	241	21	2,587	
	Contour mean	ņ 88	88	99	3,670	
30	NMPW	15	30	18	1.555	
30	NMPP	51	36	18	1,155	
	FITZ	36	21	78	162	
	NMPE	0	0	45	196	
	Contour mea	n 29	. 22	40	767	
40	NMPW	63	12	6	51	
	NMPP	6	3	36	3.496	
	FTT7	60	554	999	475	
	NMPF	0	304	36 -	109	
•		0 0.0	5	50	100	
	Contour mean	າ 32	143	269	1,033	
60	NMPW	0	0	6	12	
	NMPP	12	12	30	475	
	FITZ	27	199	21	469	
	NMPE	30	3	289	460	
•	Contour mean	n 17	53	87	354	
Control**	t	53	51	499	1,628	
Experimer	ntal mean**	69	122	1,226	2,752	
Monthly m	nean	62	86	854	2,190	
Monthly 1	range	0-238	3 0-554	6-7380	12-1130	3

Table D-2

*Samples not taken due to ice and severe weather. **Control represents NMPW and NMPE; experimental represents NMPP and FITZ.

 $\left(\right)$

 $\left[\right]$

 $\left[\right]$

7

,,,]

-[]

.]

D-2

Table D-3

Anna an tao an

(Summe)

1. 1.

•

- Training

the second states

Abundance	$(No./m^2)$	of Pontoporeia	affinis	Collected	by	Suction	Sampler,
		Nine Mile Poir	t Vicini	ity, 1978			

Depth						
Contour		_	_	_		
<u>(ft)</u>	Transect	Apr	Jun	Aug	Oct	Dec*
10	NMPW	0	0	0	. 0	
	NMPP	0	0	0	0	
	FITZ	0	24	0	9	
	NMPE	0	0	0	0	• .
	Contour mean	0	. 6	0	2	
20	NMPW	0	12	0	0	
	NMPP	0	•6	0	0	
	FITZ	0	27	36	0	
	NMPE	3	3	27	12	
	Contour mean	1	12	16	3	
30	NMPW	0	3	3	0	
	NMPP	0	3	51	. 3	
	FITZ	499	433	379	235	
	NMPE	294	451	508	150	-
	Contour mean	200	223	235	97	
40	NMPW	0	0	0	0	•
	NMPP	0	3	3	18	
	FITZ	120	165	469	683	
1	NMPE	138	879	403	569	
	Contour mean	65	262	219	317	
60	NMPW	0	15	27	6	
	NMPP	.0	3	298	33	
	FITZ	614	1,273	1,835	397	
	NMPE	244	2,253	2,419	1,871	
	Contour mean	212	8 86	1,145	577	
Contro	1 mean **	63	362	339	261	•
Experi	mental mean**	123	194	307	138	
Monthl	y mean	95	278	323	199	
Monthl	y range	0-614	0-2253	0-2419	0-1871	

*Samples not taken due to ice and severe weather. **Control represents NMPW and NMPE; experimental represents NMPP and FITZ.

[ab]	le I)-4
------	------	-----

Abundance (No./m²) of Oligochaeta (Benthic Invertebrates) in 0.17-m² Suction Sampler, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

		API	R	JL	М	AL	JG	0	СТ	Γ	DEC
DEPTH	TRAN- SECT	MEAN	S.E.*	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	SE
10	NMPW	3.0	3.0	550.6	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		_
	NMPP	0.0	0.0	1308.7	604.7	30.1	18.1	0.0	0.0	No sam	oles
	FITZ	0.0	0.0	2220.3	607.7	114.3	66.2	3.0	3.0	taken (due to
	NMPE	0.0	0.0	1203.4	324.9	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	ice and winds.	d strong
CONTOUR	MEAN	0.8	0.8	1320.8	343.6	37.6	26.4	2.3	1.4		
20	NMPW	15.0	15.0	27.1	27.1	6.0	6.0	0.0	0.0		
20	NMPP	0.0	0.0	643.8	643.8	63.2	15.0	0.0	0.0		
	FTTZ	12 0	12.0	291.8	231.7	508 5	159 5	27 1	15 0		
	NMPE	9.0	3.0	1320.8	15.0	12.0	12.0	27.1	27.1		
CONTOUR	MEAN	9.0	3.2	570.9	280.1	147.4	121.0	13.5	7.8		
70	NMDU	0.0	0.0	33 1	33.1	2.0	3.0	7.0	7.0		
50	NMDD	0.0	0 0	36 1	6.0	707 0	241 7	3.0	3.0		
	STT7	207 6	511	424 2	21 1	203.7	201.7	10.1	12.0		
	NMPE	279.8	57.2	180.5	90.3	698.0	312.9	1805.2	1353.9		
CONTOUR	MEAN	121.8	71.9	168.5	91.9	403.2	157.8	561.1	425.7		
40	NMPW	3.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	87 2	87 2	30 1	6.0		
40	NMDD	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0,15	07.0	30.1	20		
	6777	327 9	99.3	57 2	57.2	1445 2	947 7	1667 1	57 2		
	NMPE	90.3	84.2	658.9	99.3	764.2	577.7	676.9	39.1		
CONTOUR	MEAN	105.3	77.1	179.0	160.5	579.2	341.2	539.3	340.3		
60	NMPW	0.0	0.0	252.7	0.0	18.1	18.1	6.0	6.0		
••	NMPP	0.0	0.0	6.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	99.3	81.2		
	FTTZ	640.8	9.0	848.4	559.6	613.8	252.7	388.1	45.1		
	NMPE	294.8	6.0	321.9	171.5	878.5	294.8	502.4	33.1		
CONTOUR	MEAN	233.9	152.4	357 .3	177.2	377.6	219.6	249 .0	117.3	÷	
CONTROL	1EAN**	69.5	37.3	454 .9	151.4	247.3	117.4	305.7	183.7		
EXP. MEAN	1**	118.8	68.3	583.7	227.1	370.7	144.8	240.4	143.5		
MONTHLY	IEAN	94.2	38.3	519.3	133.6	309.0	91.8	273.0	113.7		
MONTHLY	ANGE	0.0- 6	40.8	0.0- 22	20.3	0.0-14	65.2	0.0- 18	305.2		

* STANDARD ERROR ** CONTROL REPRESENTS NMPW & NMPE, EXPERIMENTAL REPRESENTS NMPP & FITZ

D--4

						• •					
		· A	PR	JL	IN	AL	JG	00	т	DE	С
CONTOUR	TRAN- SECT	MEAN	S.E.*	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	S.E.	MEAN	SE
10	NMPW	442.3	165.5	337.0	18.1	6.0	6.0	9.0	9.0	••	1-6
-	NMPP	207.6	21.1	252.7	96.3	69.2	21.1	6.0	6.0	No samp	185
	FITZ	66.2	18.1	388.1	39.1	18.1	6.0	0.0	0.0	taken d	strong
	NMPE	977.8	863.5	1200.4	484.4	15.0	15.0	132.4	102.3	winds.	30.019
CONTOUR	MEAN	423.5	200.4	544.6	220.4	27.1	14.3	36.9	31.9		
20	NMPW	30.1	24.1	87.2	27.1	162.5	66.2	9.0	9.0		
	NMPP	291.8	15.0	24.1	0.0	69.2	63.2	0.0	0.0		
	FITZ	138.4	66.2	60.2	54.2	93.3	21.1	60.2	30.1		
	NMPE	18.1	0.0	174.5	18.1	84.2	42.1	141.4	135.4		
CONTOUR	MEAN	119.6	63.5	86.5	32.1	102.3	20.7	52.7	32.4		
30	NMPW	36.1	18.1	42.1	36.1	45.1	15.0	3.0	3.0		
	NMPP	15.0	3.0	54.2	42.1	752.1	150.4	0.0	0.0		
	FITZ	36.1	6.0	42.1	6.0	48.1	0.0	87.2	3.0		
	NMPE	54.2	0.0	258.7	60.2	144.4	.90.3	297.9	189.5		
CONTOUR	MEAN	35.4	8.0	99.3	53.2	247.5	169.8	97.0	69.9		
40	NMPW	3.0	3.0	12.0	12.0	884.5	860.5	6.0	6.0		
	NMPP	9.0	9.0	3.0	3.0	141.4	27.1	21.1	15.0		
	FITZ	66.2	0.0	96.3	84.2	84.2	42.1	180.5	0.1		
	NMPE	9.0	9.0	75.2	21.1	78.2	66.2	105.3	27.1		
CONTOUR	MEAN	21.8	14.9	46.6	23.1	297.1	196.3	78.2	40.5		
60	NMPW	0.0	0.0	18.1	0.0	1480.2	84.2	84.2	0.0		
	NMPP	9.0	3.0	54.2	12.0	189.5	87.2	108.3	12.0		
	FITZ	63.2	15.0	117.3	9.0	352.0	261.7	207.6	69.2		
	NMPE	90.3	24.1	120.3	60.2	315.9	147.4	445.3	0.0		
CONTOUR	MEAN	40.6	21.6	77.5	25.0	584.4	300.6	211.4	82.4		
CONTROL M	EAN**	166.1	99.4	232.6	112.6	321.6	152.8	123.4	46.0		
EXP. MEAN	**	90.3	29.9	109.2	38.0	181.7	70.2	67.1	24.5		
MONTHLY M		128.2	51.3.	170.9	59.5	251.7	83,4	95.2	26.2		
MUNTHLY R	ANGE	0.0- 9	77.8	3.0-12	00.4	6.0- 14	80.2	0.0- 4	445.3		-

Abundance (No./m²) of Diptera (Benthic Invertebrates) in 0.17-m² Suction Sampler, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

Table D-5

* STANDARD ERROR

** CONTROL REPRESENTS NMPW & NMPE, EXPERIMENTAL REPRESENTS NMPP & FITZ

D-5

APPENDIX E ICHTHYOPLANKTON

 $\left(\right)$

 $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n}$

- Construction

Contraction of the second

The second

Table E-1 (Page 1 of 3)

Abundance* of Total Eggs (All Species Combined) in Day Ichthyoplankton Collections, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

	Sample			20-Ft	Contour**	*				_	40-Ft	Contour**	*			60-Ft	80-Ft	100-Ft	Grand
Date	Depth**	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	NMPP	NMPP	NMPP	Mean
APR 4	S M B Mean			· No Ci	atch						- No C	Catch					No Ca	itch	
APR 10	S M B Mean			No Ca	atch .						No C	atch					No Ca	itch	-
APR 17	S M B Mean			Ņo Ca	atch						. No C	atch					No Ca	itch	
APR 24	S M B Mean			No Ca	itch				1		No C	atch					No Ca	tch	
MAY 2	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	0 4.9 0 1.6	0 0 0 0	3.8 0 4.5 2.8	4.1 0 4.5 2.9	0 0 0 0	1.3 0.8 1.5 1.2	0 0 4.5 1.5	0 0 0 0	4.2 0 0 1.4	4.2 0 0 1.4	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1.4 0 0.8 0.7	3.6 0 0 1.2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1.3 0.3 0.9 0.9
MAY 8	S M B Mean			. No Ca	itch						No C	atch					No Ca	tch	
MAY 15	S M B Mean			No Ca	itch						No C	atch				0 0 0 0	0 0 4.0 1.3	0 0 0	0 0 0.3 0.1
MAY 22	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 9.8 3.2	0 0 0 0	4.4 0 0 1.5	0 0 0 0	0.7 0 1.6 0.8			No C	atch					No Ca	tch	0.3 0 0.6 0.3
MAY 30	S M B Mean			No Ca	tch .						No C	atch	•				No Ca	tch	

(No fish eggs were collected in day samples after August)

^{*}Number per 1000 m³.

** S = surface, M = mid-depth, B = bottom.

*** Stations along contours are established within 3-, 1-, and 1/2-mile radii east and west of Nine Mile Point Station, Unit 1.

E÷1

Table E-1 (Page 2 of 3)

<u> </u>	Sam la			20-Ft	Contour**	*					40-Ft	Contour**	*			60-E+	P0_F+	100 5+	Grand
Date	Depth**	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	NMPP	NMPP	NMPP	Mean
JUN 5	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	9.2 0 0 3.1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1.5 0.0 0.0 0.5			No C	Catch					No Cat	ch	0.6 0.0 0.0 0.2
JUN 12	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	0 5.5 0 1.8	12.6 0 4.2	0 5.7 0 1.9	0 0 0	0 0 0	2.1 1.9 0.0 1.3			No C	Catch	<u></u>				No Cat	ch	0.8 0.7 0.0 0.5
JUN 19	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	0 0 10.2 3.4	0 0 4.7 1.6	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 2.5 0.8	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 5.7 1.9	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 1.0 0.3		No Cat	ch	0.0 0.0 1.4 0.5
JUN 26	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	4.9 0 4.9 3.3	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.8 0.0 0.8 0.6			No C	atch				0 0 0 0	4.9 0 0 1.6	0 0 0 0	0.7 0.0 0.3 0.3
JUL 5	S M B Mean	4.8 0 0 1.6	0 0 0 0	4.7 0 0 1.6	4.2 0 21.6 8.6	0 0 26.4 8.8	0 0 25.4 8.5	2.3 0.0 12.2 4.8	4.5 0 0 1.5	0 0 0 0	0 0 6.2 2.1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 5.1 1.7	0.8 0.0 1.9 0.9	0 0 10.1 3.4	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1.2 0.0 6.4 2.5
JUL 10	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	294.3 56.1 145.5 165.3	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	49.1 9.4 24.3 27.6	4.6 0 0 1.5	10.2 0 19.0 9.7	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 5.2 0 1.7	0 0 0 0	2.5 0.9 3.2 2.2	0 11.6 0 3.9	3.9 0 0 1.3	0 0 0 0	20.9 4.9 11.0 12.2
JUL 17	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 9.0 3.0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 1.5 0.5	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	16.5 4.6 19.2 13.4	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	2.8 0.8 3.2 2.2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1.1 0.3 1.9 1.1
JUL 24	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 5.6 0 1.9	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.9 0.0 0.3	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	77.3 143.3 327.7 182.8	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0.	12.9 23.9 54.6 30.5	ľ	No Catc	1	5.2 9.9 21.8 12.3

*Number per 1000 m³.

**S = surface, M = mid-depth, B = bottom.

*** Stations along contours are established within 3-, 1-, and 1/2-mile radii east and west of Nine Mile Point Station, Unit 1.

E--2

Table E-1 (Page 3 of 3)

	Sample			20-Ft	Contour**	r#		· •			40-Ft	Contour**	rite	*		60-E+	80-5+	100-54	Grand
Date	Depth**	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	NMPP	NMPP	NMPP	Mean
31 July	S M B Mean			NO CA	TCH			0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 4.7 0 1.6	0 4.7 8.7 4.5	0000	0 1.6 1.5 1.0		NO CATC	Н	0 0.6 0.6 0.4
7 Aug	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 43.4 14.5	0 0 0 0	0 0 9.8 3.3	0 0 8.9 3.0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	12.2 4.9 45.5 20.9	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	2.0 0.8 7.6 3.5		NO CATC	H	0.8 0.3 6.6 2.6
14 Aug	S M B Mean			NO CA	TCH						NO CI	АТСН					NO CATC	H	
21 Aug	S M B Mean			NO CA	тсн						NO C	АТСН					NO CATC	н	
28 Aug	S M B Mean			NO CA	тсн						NO CI	Атсн					NO CATC	н	
5 Sept	S N B Mean			NO CA	тсн						NO CI	АТСН				1	NO CATCI	4	•
11 Sept	S N B Mean			NO CA	тсн						NO CA	ATCH				I	IO CATC	ł	
18 Sept	S M B Mean			NO CA	тсн						NO CA	АТСН	<u>.</u>			I	IO CATCH	1	
26 Sept	S M B Nean			NO CA	тсн			•			NO CA	АТСН				۱	IO CATCH	1	

*Number per 1000 m³.

** S = surface, M = mid-depth, B = bottom.

Stations along contours are established within 3-, 1-, and 1/2-mile radii east and west of Nine Mile Point Station, Unit 1.

円 13

Table E-2 (Page 1 of 2)

	Cample			20-Ft	Contour**	*					40-Ft	Contour**	*			60-Et	80-Ft	100-5+	Grand
Date	Depth**	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	NMPP	NMPP	NMPP	Mean
JUN 6-7	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	4.0 0 1.3	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.7 0.0 0.0 0.2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 4.9 1.6	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.8 0.3	. N	o Catch		0.3 0.0 0.3 0.2
JUN 15-16	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	118.2 102.8 334.3 185.1	0 4.6 0 1.5	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	19.7 17.9 55.7 31.1	0 0 0 0	0 0 5.1 1.7	0 4.5 4.9 3.1	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0.0 0.8 1.7 0.8	4.4 5.5 0 / 3.3	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	8.2 7.8 23.0 13.0
JUN 19-20	S N B Mean	0 0 0 0	0 0 8.8 2.9	0 0 15.9 5.3	4.8 0 1.6	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.8 0.0 4.1 1.6	0 0 0 0	4.7 0 0 1.6	0 0 0 0	4.4 0 0 1.5	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1.5 0.0 0.0 0.5	N	o Catch		0.9 D.D 1.6 0.9
JUN 26	S M B Mean	0 9.6 0 3.2	40.9 33.3 61.5 45.2	14.0 0 4.8 6.2	0 0 10.0 3.3	0 0 0 0	0 19.3 26.6 15.3	9.2 10.4 17.2 12.2	0 0 0 0	0 0 14.7 4.9	4.4 0 25.5 10.0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 9.1 3.0	0.7 0.0 8.2 3.0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 5.0 1.7	4.0 4.2 10.5 6.2
JUL 5-6	S M B Mean	86.3 18.5 0 34.9	48.9 9.9 0 19.6	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	294.7 0 5.4 100.0	41.3 0 13.8	78.5 4.7 0.9 28.0	27.8 0 5.4 11.1	137.1 0 0 45.7	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	27.5 0.0 0.9 9.5	0 0 0 0	87.9 0 29.3	0 0 0 0	48.3 1.9 0.7 17.0
JUL 12-13	S M B Mean	8.3 0 0 2.8	0 0 0	3.9 0 0 1.3	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	2.0 0.0 0.0 0.7	3.7 0 0 1.2	14.2 0 29.1 14.4	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	3.0 0.0 4,9 2.6	No	Catch		2.0 0.0 1.9 1.3
JUL 17-18	S M B Mean	207.9 0 5.3 71.1	4.2 0 5.7 3.3	8.1 0 4.9 4.3	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	36.7 0.0 2.7 13.1	8.6 0 5.2 4.6	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1.4 0.0 0.9 0.8	No	a Catch		15.3 0.0 1.4 5.6
JUL 24-25	S M B Mean	0 4021.7 10.7 1344.1	231.9 1063.7 1179.5 825.0	0 0 0	115.3 85.1 172.8 124.4	0 0 0	0 0 0	57.9 861.8 227.2 382 3	0 6.3 21.7 9.3	0 90.5 277.8 122 8	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0.0 16.1 49.9 22.0	No	Catch		23.1 351.2 110.8

Abundance* of Total Eggs (All Species Combined) in Night Ichthyoplankton Collections, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

^{*}Number per 1000 m³.

 ** S = surface, M = mid-depth, B = bottom.

*** Stations along contours are established within 3-, 1-, and 1/2-mile radii east and west of Nine Mile Point Station, Unit 1.

E-4

Table E-2 (Page 2 of 2)

	Sample			20-Ft	Contour**	rik .			[40-Ft	Contour**	nir .			60-E+	80-5+	100-5+	Grand
Date	Depth**	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	NMPP	NMPP	NMPP	Mean
31 July & 1 Aug	S M B Mean			NO CA	ATCH .						NO CA	тсн					NO CATCI	ł	
7-8 Aug	S M B Mean	4.2 1333.9 0 446.0	206.1 82.7 459.1 249.3	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	35.1 236.1 76.5 115.9	0 0 0	0 4.3 14.0 6.1	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0. 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0.7 2.3 1.0		NO CATCI	ł	14.0 94.7 31.5 46.7
14 Aug	S M B Mean			NO CA	NTCH				0 5.2 0 1.7	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0.9 0 0.3	•	NO CATCI	1	0 0.3 0 0.1
21 Aug	S M B Mean			NO CA	тсн						NO CA	тсн					NO CATCH	4	
28 Aug	S N B Mean			NO CA	TCH				-		NO CAT	ТСН					NO CATCH	(
5 Sept	S M B Mean			NO CA	TCH				•		NO CAT	тсн					NO CATCH		
14 Sept	S M B Neari		•	NO CA	ТСН			,			NO CAT	ТСН					NO CATCH		

*Number per 1000 m³.

** S = surface, M = mid-depth, B = bottom.

Stations along contours are established within 3-, 1-, and 1/2-mile radii east and west of Nine Mile Point Station, Unit 1.

E~5

Table E-3 (Page 1 of 2)

Abundance* of Alewife Eggs in Day Ichthyoplankton Collections, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

(No alewife eggs were collected in day samples before June and after August)

	Sama la		_	20-Ft	Contour**	rite					40-Ft	Contour**	*		-	60 E+	00 5+	100 51	Count
Date	Depth**	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	NMPP	NMPP	NMPP	Mean
JUN 5	S M B Mean			No Cat	ch ·						No Ca	itch					No Ca	tch	
JUN 12	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	4.2 0 0 1.4	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.7 0.0 0.0 0.2			No Ca	itch					No Ca	tch	0.3 0.0 0.0 0.1
JUN 19	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	0 0 10.2 3.4	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 1.7 0.6	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 5.6 1.9	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.9 0.3		No Ca	tch _	0.0 0.0 1.1 0.4
JUN 26	S M B Mean	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	4.9 0 4.9 3.3	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.8 0.0 0.8 0.6			No Ca	tch				0 0 0 0	4.9 0 0 1.6	0 0 0 0	0.7 0.0 0.3 0.3
JUL 5.	S M B Mean	4.8 0 0 1.6	0 0 0 0	4.7 0 0 1.6	4.2 0 21.6 8.6	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	2.3 0.0 3.6 2.0	4.5 0 0 1.5	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.8 0.0 0.0 0.3	0 0 10.1 3.4	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1.2 0.0 2.1 1.1
JUL 10	S M B Mean	0 0 0	294.3 56.1 145.5 165.3	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	49.1 9.4 24.3 27.6	4.6 0 0 1.5	10.2 0 19.0 9.7	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 5.2 0 1.7	0 0 0 0	2.5 0.9 3.2 2.2	0 5.8 0 1.9	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	20.6 4.5 11.0 12.0
JUL 17	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 9.1 3.0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 1.5 0.5	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	16.5 4.6 19.2 13.4	D D O O	0 0 0 0	2.8 0.8 3.2 2.2		No Cat	ch	1.1 0.3 1.9 1.1
JUL 24	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 5.6 0 1.9	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.9 0.0 0.3	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0	77.3 143.3 327.7 182.8	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	12.9 23.9 54.6 30.5		No Cat	ch	5.2 9.9 21.8 12.3

*Number per 1000 m³.

**S = surface, M = mid-depth, B = bottom.

*** Stations along contours are established within 3-, 1-, and 1/2-mile radii east and west of Nine Mile Point Station, Unit 1.

E-6

Table E-3 (Page 2 of 2)

		· ·····						:	· · · ·									r	
Date	Sample Depth**	3-West	1-West	20-Ft 1/2-West	Contour**	1-East	3-East	Mean	3-West	1-West	40-Ft 1/2-West	Contour**	1-East	3-East	Mean	60-Ft NMPP	80-Ft NMPP	100~Ft. NMPP	Grand Mean
31 July	S M B Mean			NO CA	Атсн	L		0 0 0 0	0 0 0 .0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 4.7 0 1.6	0 4.7 8.7 4.5	0 0 0 0	0 1.6 1.5 1.0	N	IO CATCH	1	0 0.6 0.6 0.4
7 Aug	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 43.4 14.5	<u>0</u> 0 0 0	0 0 9.8 3.3	0 0 8.9 3.0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	12.2 4.9 45.5 20.9	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	2.0 0.8 7.6 3.5	N	ю сатсн		0.8 0.3 6.6 2.6
14 Aug	S M B Mean			NO CA	NTCH .		•	-			NO (CATCH				N	IO CATCH		
21 Aug	S M B Mean			NO CA	ТСН						NO	CATCH				N	IO CATCH		
28 Aug	S M B Mean			NO CA	ITCH						NO (CATCH				N	O CATCH		
5 Sept	S M B Mean			NO . CA	ТСН						NO (CATCH				N	0 CAȚCH	-	
11 Sept	S M B Mean			NO CA	тсн						NO (CATCH				Ņ	O CATCH		
18 Sept	S M B Mean			NO CA	тсн						NO C	CATCH				N	0 CATCH	. <u>.</u>	
26 Sept	S M B Mean			NO CA	тсн						NO C	CATCH				N	O CATCH	-	

*Number per 1000 m³.

** S = surface, M = mid-depth, B = bottom.

*** Stations along contours are established within 3-, 1-, and 1/2-mile radii east and west of Nine Mile Point Station, Unit 1.

E-7

Table E-4 (Page 1 of 2)

Abundance* of Alewife Eggs in Night Ichthyoplankton Collections, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

	Sample			20-Ft	Contour*	**					40-Ft	Contour**	*			60-F+	80-Et	100-5+	Grand
Date	Depth**	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-Éast	1-East	3-East	Mean	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	NMPP	NMPP	NMPP	Mean
JUN 6-7	S M B Mean			No Ca	stch	•					No Cat	ich	-				No Cato	h	
JUN 15-16	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	118.2 102.8 329.3 183.4	0 4.6 0 1.5	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	19.7 17.9 54.9 30.8	0 0 0 0	0 0 5.1 1.7	0 0 4.9 1.6	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 1.7 0.6		No Cato	'n	7.9 6.9 22.6 12.5
JUN 19-20	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	0 0 8.8 2.9	0 0 15.9 5.3	4.8 0 0 1.6	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.8 0.0 4.1 1.6	0 0 0 0	4.7 0 0 1.6	0 0 0	4.4 0 1.5	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1.5 0.0 0.0 0.5		No Cato	h	0.9 0.0 1.7 0.9
JUN 26	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	40.9 33.3 61.5 45.2	14.0 0 4.8 6.2	0 0 10.0 3.3	0 0 0	0 D 26.6 8.9	9.2 5.6 17.2 10.6	0 0 0 0	0 0 14.7 4.9	4.4 0 25.5 10.0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.7 0.0 6.7 2.5		No Catc	h	4.0 2.2 9.5 5.2
JUL 5-6	S N B Mean	86.3 18.5 0 34.9	48.9 9.9 0 19.6	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	294.7 0 5.4 100.0	41.3 0 13.8	78.5 4.7 0.9 28.0	27.8 0 5.4 11.1	137.1 0 45.7	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	27.5 0 0.9 9.5	0 0 0 0	87.9 0 0 29.3	0 0 0 0	48.3 1.9 0.7 17.0
JUL 12-13	S M B Mean	8.3 0 2.8	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1.4 0 0 0.5	3.7 0 0 1.2	14.2 0 29.1 14.4	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	3.0 0 [.] 4.9 2.6		No Catc	h	1.7 0 1.9 1.2
JUL 17-18	S M B Mean	207.9 0 5.3 71.1	4.2 0 5.7 3.3	8.1 0 4.9 4.3	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	36.7 0 2.7 13.1	8.6 0 5.2 4.6	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1.4 0 0.9 0.8	N	o Catch		15.3 0 1.4 5.6
JUL 24-25	S M B Mean	0 4021.7 10.7 1344.1	231.9 1063.7 1179.5 825.0	0 0 0 0	115.3 85.1 167.2 122.5	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	57.9 861.8 226.2 382.0	0 6.3 21.7 9.3	0 90.5 277.8 122.8	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 16.1 49.9 22.0	N	o Catch		23.1 351.2 110.5 161.6

*Number per 1000 m³.

**S = surface, M = mid-depth, B = bottom.

*** Stations along contours are established within 3-, 1-, and 1/2-mile radii east and west of Nine Mile Point Station, Unit 1.

E-8

Table E-4 (Page 2 of 2)

	Sample			20-Ft	Contour**	*					40-Ft	Contour**	* .			60-E+	80-5+	100-5+	Grand
Date	Depth**	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	NMPP	NMPP	NMPP	Mjean
31 July & 1 Aug	S M B Mean			NO CA	ITCH						NO	CATCH		Ň		Ň	O CATCH		
7-8 Aug	S M B Nean	4.2 1333.9 0 446.0	206.1 82.7 459.1 249.3	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	35.1 236.1 76.5 115.9	0 0 0 0	0 4.3 14.0 6.1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0.7 2.3 1.0	N	O CATCH		14.0 94.7 31.5 46.7
14 Aug	S M B Mean			. NO CA	ТСН				0 5.2 0 1.7	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0.9 0 0.3	Ň	О САТСН		0 0.3 0 0.1
21 Aug	S M B Mean-			NO CA	†сн					•.	NO (CATCH				N	O CATCH		
28 Aug	S M B Mean			NO CA	тсн						NO (CATCH				N	О САТСН		
5 Sept	S M B Mean			NO CA	тсн						· NO (CATCH				N	О САТСН	•	
14 Sept	S M B Mean		c .	NO CA	тсн						NOC	CATCH				N	D CATCH		

• ;

*Number per 1000 m³.

** S = surface, M = mid-depth, B = bottom

S = surface, M = mig-depin, b = boctom

Stations along contours are established within 3-, 1-, and 1/2-mile radii east and west of Nine Mile Point Station, Unit 1.

E--9

science services division

٠.

Table E-5 (Page 1 of 3)

Abundance* of Total Prolarvae (All Species Combined) in Day Ichthyoplankton Collections Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

		r****		20-Ft	Contour**	*.					40-Ft	Contour**	*			(0. F/	00 54	100 5	a i
Date	Sample Depth**	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	OU-Ft NMPP	NMPP	NMPP	Grand Mean
Apr 4	S M B Mean			No Catch	_						No Catch						No Cai	tch	-
Apr 10	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	4.0 0 0 1.3	0 5.3 0 1.8	0 0 0 0	0 5.3 0 1.8	0.7 1.8 0 0.8	0 0 0 0	3.9 0 0 1.3	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.7 0 0 0.2	4.1 0 0 1.4	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.8 0.7 0 0.5
Apr 17	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 5.2 ¹ 1.7	0 0 5.5 1.8	0 0 0 0	0 0 1.8 0.6			No Catch					0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 4.9 0 1.6	0 0.3 0.7 0.3
Apr 24	S M B Mean	0 0 4.6 1.5	0 4.7 0 1.6	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0.8 0.8 0.5			No Catch						No Cat	ch	0 0.3 0.3 0.2
Мау 2	S M B Mean	0 4.4 0 1.5	0 4.9 0 1.6	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	4.1 0 0 1.4	0 0 0	0,7 1.6 0 0.8	4.0 4.7 0 2.9	0 0 0 0	0 4.7 4.5 3.1	0 5.2 0 1.7	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.7 2.4 0.8 1.3	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.5 1.6 0.3 0.8
May 8	S M B Mean			No Catch							No Catch						No Cat	ch	
May 15	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	13.1 14.4 8.9 12.1	13.8 69.1 59.7 47.6	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	4.5 13.9 11.4 10.0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 4.7 0 1.6	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0.8 0 0.3		No Cat	.ch	1.8 5.9 4.6 4.1
May 22	S M B Mean	4.4 0 0 1.5	0 5.0 0 1.7	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 5.0 0 1.7	0 5.6 15.5 7.0	0.7 2.6 2.6 2.0			No Catch						No Cat	ch	0.3 1.0 1.0 0.8
May 30	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 4.9 0 1.6	0 0 9.7 3.2	0 0.8 1.6 0.8	0 0 5.3 1.8	0 0 0 0	0 4.9 0 1.6	0 0 0 0	0 4.4 0 1.5	0 0 0 0	0 1.6 0.9 0,8	0 0 0 0	3.8 0 0 1.3	D 0 0 0	0.2 0.9 1.0 0.7

(No prolarvae were collected in day samples after August)

^{*}Number per 1000 m³.

** S = surface, M = mid-depth, B = bottom.

*** Stations along contours are established within 3-, 1-, and 1/2-mile radii east and west of Nine Mile Point Station, Unit 1.

¹Surface and bottom samples at 1/2 east mislabeled; Prolarvae assigned to bottom sample.

E-10

Table E-5 (Page 2 of 3)

	Sample			20-Ft	Contour**	n k					40-Ft	Contour**	*			60-Ft	80-Ft	100-Et	Grand
Date	Depth**	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	3-West	l-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	NMPP	NMPP	NMPP	Mean
JUN 5	S M B Mean	0 5.1 0 1.7	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	Q 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.9 0.0 0.3	0 0 5.2 1.7	0 4.8 0 1.6	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0.0 0.8 0.9 0.6	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	4.1 0 0 1.4	0.3 0.7 0.4 0.5
JUN 12	S M B Mean			No Catch	1				0 5.6 0 1.9	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 · 0 0 0	0.0 0.9 0.0 0.3		No Catci	ו 	0.0 0.4 0.0 0.1
JUN 19	S M B Mean	56.1 5.7 49.4 37.1	36.6 0 10.2 15.6	10.9 0 4.7 5.2	D 0 0 0	0 0 5.4 1.8	0 0 5.3 1.8	17.3 1.0 12.5 10.2	9.2 11.6 5.5 8.8	0 0 5.3 1.8	0 37.2 5.6 14.3	0 0 0 0	0 5.7 0 1.9	0 0 0	1.5 9.1 2.7 4.5	7.5 0 0 2.5	4.6 0 0 1.5	0 0 0	8.3 4.0 6.1 6.1
JUN 26	S N B Mean	13.8 0 19.3 11.0	0 0 4.8 1.6	10.0 0 0 3.3	0 55.0 14.7 23.2	46.7 0 5.0 17.3	0 5.3 0 1.8	11.8 10.1 7.3 9.7	9.6 5.0 4.7 6.5	8.8 0 14.2 7.7	0 4.9 14.7 6.6	20.9 5.2 10.3 12.2	34.6 0 19.9 18.2	0 4.6 0 1.5	12.3 3.3 10.6 8.8	9.5 0 0 3.2	0 0 0	0 4.4 0 1.5	10.3 5.6 7.2 7.7
JUL 5	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	5.2 0 0 1.7	9.3 0 29.8 13.0	67.1 1320.0 420.7 602.6	453.9 462.9 79.2 332.0	155.9 74.7 30.5 87.0	115.2 309.6 93.4 172.7	4.5 0 0 1.5	22.3 0 0 7.4	43.2 0 0 14.4	498.7 44.9 18.2 187.3	451.5 165.6 20.3 212.5	107.3 0 20.4 42.6	187.9 35.1 9.8 77.6	130,2 0 5.0 45.1	58,9 0 5.3 21.4	20.6 0 6.9	135.2 137.9 42.0 105.0
JUL 10	S M B Mean	23.1 0 41.1 21.4	413.1 0 6.1 139.7	46.5 0 20.4 22.3	34.4 0 5.4 13.3	208.8 22.3 23.1 84.7	12.9 21.8 0 11.6	123.1 7.4 16.0 48.8	36.4 0 6.2 14.2	15.3 5.4 19.0 13.2	0 0 38.6 12.9	204.5 14.5 5.6 74.9	327.3 15.5 14.6 119.1	13.3 0 5.4 6.2	99.5 5.9 14.9 40.1	126.0 0 26.2 50.7	172.1 12.5 41.3 75.3	23.8 6.2 20.1 16.7	110.5 6.5 18.2 45.1
JUL 17	S M B Mean	176.4 49.4 86.4 104.1	453.5 103.7 109.8 222.3	680.8 208.6 145.2 344.9	0 0 27.3 9.1	4.7 9.0 13.4 9.0	0 0 5.1 1.7	219.2 61.8 64.5 115.2	67.4 84.6 0 50.7	37.0 53.9 4.0 31.6	76.3 629.6 0 235.3	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	30.1 128.0 0.7 52.9	8.1 0 0 2.7	4.2 0 0 1.4	0 4.2 3.7 2.6	100.6 76.2 26.3 67.7
JUL 24	S M B Mean	70.2 22.2 70.1 54.2	27.2 20.5 23.0 23.6	9.5 0 14.0 7.8	53.2 116.6 93.9 87.9	99.0 76.8 85.1 87.0	122.0 31.2 56.1 69.8	63.5 44.6 57.0 55.0	0 19.4 18.6 12.7	12.6 9.7 9.1 10.5	17.3 20.4 4.6 14.1	65.7 60.1 41.0 55.6	72.3 92.5 60.8 75.2	88.5 10.1 4.4 34.3	42.7 35.4 23.1 33.7	136.1 76.4 37.3 83.3	12.9 19.8 17.9 16.9	0 0 0	52.4 38.4 35.7 42.2

*Number per 1000 m³.

** S = surface, M = mid-depth, B = bottom.

Stations along contours are established within 3-, 1-, and 1/2-mile radii east and west of Nine Mile Point Station, Unit 1.

E-11

Table E-5 (Page 3 of 3)

1

	Sample			20-Ft	Contour**	*		······	· · · · ·		40-Ft	Contour**	*			60 FA	00.54	100 50	Creat
Date	Depth**	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	NMPP	NMPP	NMPP	Grand Mean
31 July	S M B Mean	0 0 4.4 1.5	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	4.5 0 0 1.5	8.1 4.5 0 4.2	2.1 0.8 0.7 1.2	0 4.6 0 1.5	0 . 0 0	4.5 0 0 1.5	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	4.3 4.5 4.2 4.3	1.5 1.5 0.7 1.2	0 0 0 0	4.0 0 1.3	0 0 0 0	1.7 0.9 0.6 1.1
7 Aug	S M B Mean	4.2 9.0 0 4.4	21.3 0 0 7.1	0 0 5.9 2.0	12.2 0 0 4.1	41.8 0 0 14.0	0 0 0 0	13.3 1.5 1.0 5.2	3.9 4.6 4.2 4.2	10.6 24.6 8.4 14.5	4.4 0 27.8 10.7	4.1 4.9 9.1 6.0	49.9 5.6 14.7 23.4	78.1 0 26.0	25.2 6.6 10.7 14.2	30.0 0 10.0	7.5 0 0 2.5	17.1 0 0 5.7	19.0 3.2 4.7 9.0
14 Aug	S M B Mean	4.5 0 0 1.5	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.8 0 0 0.3			NO CA	ATCH			0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 8.3 0 2.8	0 0 0 0	0.3 0.6 0 0.3
21 Aug	S M B Mean			NO CA	ATCH .						NO CA	АТСН				N	O CATCH		
28 Aug	S M B Mean	-		NO CA	ATCH			2			NO CA	ATCH				N	O CATCH		
5 Sept	S M B Mean			NO CA	тсн						NO CA	NTCH				N	О САТСН		
11 Sept	S M B Mean			NO CA	TCH .						NO CA	ТСН				N	D CATCH		
18 Sept	S M B Mean			NO CA	тсн						NO CA	ITCH				N	D CATCH	<u>.</u>	
26 Sept	S M B Mean	,		NO CA	тсн						NO CA	тсн				N) CATCH		

"Number per 1000 m³.

s = surface, M = mid-depth, B = bottom.

*** Stations along contours are established within 3-, 1-, and 1/2-mile radii east and west of Nine Mile Point Station, Unit 1.

E~12

Table E-6 (Page 1 of 2)

Abundance* of Total Prolarvae (All Species Combined)/in Night Ichthyoplankton Collections, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

	Sample			20-Ft	Contour**	*					40-Ft	Contour**	*			60-Ft	80-E+	100-5+	Grand
Date	Depth**	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	NMPP	NMPP	NMPP	Mean
JUN 6-7	S M B Mean	0 4.8 0 1.6	3.9 0 0 1.3	81.2 89.7 5.0 58.6	4.0 0 0. 1.3	4.1 5.0 0 3.0	4.2 0 0 1.4	16.2 16.6 0.8 11.2	8.4 4.5 0 4.3	3.5 0 1.2	27.3 4.7 0 10.7	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	6.5 1,5 0.0 2.7		No Cato	h	9.1 7.3 0.3 5.6
JUN 15-16	S M B Mean	21.1 0 5.0 8.7	38.0 15.4 5.0 19.5	30.4 18.5 9.7 19.5	9.0 14.9 9.9 11.3	14.1 5.1 10.7 10.0	15.6 25.8 17.8 19.8	21.4 13.3 9.7 14.8	12.8 0 5.5 6.1	0 19.5 0 6.5	8.7 22.6 4.9 12.1	0 4.8 10.6 5.1	9.7 5.2 0 4.9	0 0 0 0	5.2 8.7 3.5 5.8	4.4 5.4 0 3.3	4.5 0 5.7 3.4	3.7 0 8.5 4.1	11.5 9.1 5.2 9.0
JUN 19-20	S M B Nean	27.8 10.1 9.9 16.0	84.5 46.9 39.4 56.9	97.5 64.0 15.9 59.1	57.0 16.7 41.9 38.5	22.6 31.2 9.9 21.2	4.4 4.8 4.6 4.6	49.0 29.0 20.3 32.7	13.6 0 5.1 6.2	32.9 0 4.9 12.6	40.8 4.1 3.9 16.3	0 0 0	66.9 5.3 0 24.1	0 0 4.5 1.5	25.7 1.6 3.1 10.1	25.5 0 0 8.5	17.4 0 0 5.8	0 0 4.8 1.6	32.7 12.2 9.7 18.2
JUN 26	S M B Mean	4.6 4.8 0 3.1	290.8 71.4 66.2 142.8	37.2 24.2 4.8 22.1	4.8 0 0 1.6	8.8 0 4.8 4.5	0 0 0 0	57.7 16.7 12.6 29.0	15.1 4.3 0 6.5	9.3 9.7 9.8 9.6	13.2 8.6 21.2 14.3	4.4 0 8.9 4.4	12.7 0 0 4.2	4.6 0 1.5	9.9 3.8 6.7 6.8	14.9 0 0 5.0	9.4 0 15.2 8.2	27.2 0 0 9.1	30.5 8.2 8.7 15.8
JUL 5-6	S M B Mean	298.0 161.5 134.9 198.1	93,4 24.8 44.1 54.1	251.1 250.7 120.8 207.5	-51.8 5.4 0 19.1	60.6 16.6 10.9 29.3	251.9 64.8 17.7 111.5	167.8 87.3 54.7 103.3	412,2 42.3 21.4 158.6	18.3 0 21.7 13.3	47.1 9.7 4.9 20.6	15.2 0 5.1	8.9 4.8 0 4.6	21.7 0 7.2	87.2 9.5 8.0 34.9	16.1 0 5.4	000000	0 0 0 0	103.1 38.7 25.1 55.6
JUL 12-13	S M B Mean	116.6 181.4 96.8 131.6	36.6 41.9 41.5 40.0	62.8 69.9 55.8 62.8	25.9 25.7 21.7 24.4	34.2 115.5 107.0 85.6	4.3 0 14.4 6.2	46.7 72.4 56.2 58.4	36.8 47.7 34.4 39.6	35.5 8.5 4.2 16.1	26.9 21.3 8.5 18.9	35.1 5.9 10.9 17.3	19.8 5.3 0 8.4	4.0 0 1.3	26.4 14.8 9.7 16.9	11.3 0 0 3.8	7.0 0 2.3	0 0 3.9 1.3	30.5 34.9 26.6 30.6
JUL 17-18	S M B Mean	76.4 24.7 15.8 39.0	33.3 25.0 39.7 32.7	153.2 104.2 112.0 123.1	110.6 79.6 295.8 162.0	33.6 39.5 51.9 41.7	8.4 5.3 30.7 14.8	69.3 46.4 91.0 68.9	43.0 13.8 57.3 38.0	25.8 21.8 5.9 17.8	35.9 23.9 5.3 21.7	75.7 22.3 80.1 59.4	12.6 20.9 0 11.2	195.8 907.6 140.3 414.6	64.8 168.4 48.2 93.8	67.4 10.2 5.7 27.8	16.1 0 0 5.4	0 0 0 0	59.2 86.6 56.0 67.3
JUL 24-25	S M B Mean	9.1 15.2 16.0 13.4	50.0 49.6 17.5 39.0	8.3 33.8 10.1 17.4	73.0 17.0 27.9 39.3	16.2 23.4 21.5 20.3	53.0 12.0 29.5 31.5	34.9 25.2 20.4 26.8	0 37.7 10.8 16.2	75.3 51.7 0 42.3	9.4 26.7 27.9 21.3	28.0 22.4 0 16.8	12.5 0 0 4.2	16.7 5.1 6.3 9.4	23.7 23.9 7.5 18.4	82.5 27.5 0 36.7	85.6 16.2 0 33.9	21.0 0 7.0	36.0 22.6 11.2 23.3

*Number per 1000 m³.

** S = surface, M = mid-depth, B = bottom.

*** Stations along contours are established within 3-, 1-, and 1/2-mile radii east and west of Nine Mile Point Station, Unit 1.

E-13

(Table E-6 (Page 2 of 2)

ي**مد**م

	Samla			20-F	t Contour*	k#					40-Ft	Contour**	*						
Date	Depth**	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	NMPP	NMPP	NMPP	Grand Mean
31 July 1 Aug	S M B Mean	53.7 94.2 10.1 52.7	112.9 77.8 116.4 102.4	8.7 4.8 0 4.5	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	29.2 29.5 21.1 26.6	137.4 14.2 0 50.5	0 4.5 0 1.5	0 0 13.3 4.5	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	22.9 3.1 2.2 9.4	0 4.4 4.1 2.8	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	20.8 13.3 9.6 14.6
7&8 Aug	S M B Mean	8.4 68.5 14.5 30.5	185.9 300.3 126.5 204.2	535.5 1055.4 314.6 635.2	0 0 0 0	4.2 0 0 1.4	0 0 0 0	122.3 237.4 75.9 145.2	4.0 45.3 4.5 17.9	12.5 13.0 0 8.5	337.0 253.3 8.7 199.7	3.7 8.4 0 4.0	0 5.0 0 1.7	8.7 32.8 0 13.8	61.0 59.6 2.2 40.9	23.4 11.6 0 11.7	7.5 0 0 2.5	7.5 0 3.4 3.6	75.9 119.6 31.5 75.7
14 Aug	S M B Mean	9.7 5.7 0 5.2	42.4 4.7 0 15.7	0 0 0 0	0 · 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	8.7 1.7 0 3.5	34.0 5.2 0 13.1	34.5 4.9 0 13.1	4.3 4.9 0 3.1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	12.1 2.5 0 4.9	8.5 0 0 2.8	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	8.9 1.7 0 3.5
21 Aug	S N B Mean			NO C	ATCH						NO CA	ATCH					NO CATC	н	
28 Aug	S M B Mean			NO C.	АТСН						NO CA	ATCH					NO CATC	н	
5 Sept	S M B Mean			NOC	ATCH						NO CA	ATCH					NO CATC	н.	
14 Sept	S M B Mean	, ,		NO C	ATCH						NO CA	ATCH	•				NO CATC	ď	

*Number per 1000 m³.

** S = surface, M = mid-depth, B = bottom.

Stations along contours are established within 3-, 1-, and 1/2-mile radii east and west of Nine Mile Point Station, Unit 1.

E-14

science services division

Ţ

Table E-7 (Page 1 of 2)

phonese and and a

Abundance* of Alewife Prolarvae in Day Ichthyoplankton Collections, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

(No alewife prolarvae were collected in day samples before June or after August)

	Sample			20-Ft	Contour**	nit			1		40-Ft	Contour**	*			50-5+	90-5+	300-5+	Grand
Date	Depth**	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	NMPP	NMPP	NMPP	Mean
JUN 5	S M B Mean			No C	atch						No C	atch			-		No Cat	ch	
JUN 12	S M B Mean			No C	atch						, No Ci	atch					No Cato	ch	
JUN 19	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	0 0 10.2 3.4	7.3 0 0 2.4	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	1.2 0.0 1.7 1.0			· No Ca	itch			0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		No Catc	h	0.5 0.0 0.7 0.4
JUN 26	S M B Mean	13.8 0 19.3 11.0	0 0 4.8 1.6	5.0 0 0 1.7	0 55.0 9.8 21.6	46.7 0 5.0 17.3	0 5.3 0 1.8	10.9 10.1 6.5 9.2	4.8 5.0 0 3.3	8.8 0 9.5 6.1	0 0 9,8 3,3	20.9 5.2 10.3 12.2	34.6 0 19.9 18.2	0 4.6 0 1.5	11.5 2.5 8.3 7.4	9.5 0 0 3.2	4.9 0 0 1.6	0 0 0 0	9.9 5.0 5.9 6.9
յսլ 5	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	9.3 0 29.8 13.0	62.9 1320.0 420.7 601.2	439.6 441.7 79.2 320.2	151.5 74.7 30.5 85.6	110.6 306.1 93.4 170.0	4.5 0 0 1.5	17.9 0 0 6.0	43.2 0 0 14.4	494.0 44.9 12.1 183.7	425.9 155.5 10.1 197.2	103.4 0 10.2 37.9	181,5 33,4 5,4 73,4	126.1 0 5.0 43.7	54.4 0 5.3 19.9	20.6 0 0 6.9	130.2 135.8 40.2 102.1
JUL 10	S M 8 Mean	23.1 0 41.1 21.4	413.1 0 6.1 139.7	46.5 0 20.4 22.3	30.1 0 0 10.0	204.2 16.7 23.1 81.3	12.9 21.8 0 11.6	121.7 6.4 15.1 47.7	36.4 0 6.2 14.2	15.3 5.4 19.0 13.2	0 0 38.6 12.9	187.5 9.7 5.6 67.6	323.1 5.2 14.6 114.3	13.3 0 5.4 6.2	95.9 3.4 14.9 38.1	126.0 0 19.7 48.6	168.2 0 34.4 67.5	7.9 0 0 2.6	107.2 3.9 15.6 42.2
JUL 17	S M B Mean	176,4 49,4 86,4 104,1	453.5 95.4 109.8 219.6	671.8 208.6 140.8 340.4	0 0 27.3 9.1	4.7 9.0 13.4 9.0	0 0 5.1 1.7	217.7 60.4 63.8 114.0	63.4 84.6 0 49.3	37.0 53.9 4.0 31.6	76.3 629.6 0 235.3	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	29.5 128.0 0.7 52.7	8.1 0 0 2.7	4.2 0 0 1.4	0 4.2 3.7 2.7	99.7 75.6 26.0 67.1
JUL 24	S M B Mean	70.2 22.2 70.1 54.2	27.2 20.5 23.0 23.6	9.5 0 14.0 7.8	44.3 116.6 93.9 84.9	99.0 76.8 85.1 87.0	122.0 31.2 56.1 69.8	62.0 44.6 57.0 54.5	0 19.4 18.6 12.7	12.6 9.7 9.1 10.4	17.3 20.4 4.6 14.1	61.9 50.8 41.0 51.2	72.3 87.7 60.8 73.6	88.5 10.1 4.4 34.3	42.1 33.0 23.1 32.7	132.0 76.4 37.3 81.9	12.9 19.8 17.9 16.9	0 0 0 0	51.3 37.4 35.7 41.5

*Number per 1000 m³.

**S = surface, M = mid-depth, B = bottom.

*** Stations along contours are established within 3-, 1-, and 1/2-mile radii east and west of Nine Mile Point Station, Unit 1.

E-15

Table E-7 (Page 2 of 2)

	610			20-Ft	Contour**	*					40-Ft	Contour**	*			60 Ft	00 F+	100 5+	Grand
Date	Depth**	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	NMPP	NMPP	NMPP	Mean
31 July	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	8.1 4.5 0 4.2	1.4 0.8 0 0.7	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	4.5 0 0 1.5	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.8 0 0 0.3	0 0 0 0	4.0 0 1.3	0 0 0 0	1.1 0.3 0 0.5
7 Aug	S M B Mean	4.2 0 0 1.4	17.1 0 0 5.7	0 0 0 0	12.2 0 0 4.1	37.7 0 0 12.6	0 0 0 0	11.9 0 0 4.0	0 0 0 0	7.1 0 0 2.4	4.4 0 0 1.5	4.1 4.9 9.1 6.0	49.9 5.6 14.7 23.4	78.1 0 26.0	23.9 1.8 4.0 9.9	30.0 0 0 10.0	7.5 0 0 2,5	17.1 0 0 5.7	18.0 0.7 1.6 6.7
14 Aug	S M B Mean	4.5 -0 0 1.5	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.8 0 0 0,3			NO C	ATCH			0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 8.3 0 2.8	0 0 0 0	0.3 0.6 0 0.3
21 Aug	S M B Mean			NO CA	тсн						NOC	ATCH					NO CATC	н	
28 Aug	S M B Mean			NO CA	тсн					•	NO CA	ATCH					NO CATCI	H	
5 Sept	S M B Mean			NO CA	TCH		·				NO C/	АТСН				-	NO CATCI	H _	
11 Sept	S M B Mean			NO CA	ТСН						NO CA	ATCH					NO CATC	4	
18 Sept	S M B Mean			NO CA	TÇH					- -	NO CA	ATCH					NO CATCH	1	
26 Sept	S M B Hean			NO CA	тсн	•					NO CA	АТСН					NO CATCH	1	

^{*}Number per 1000 m³.

** S = surface, M = mid-depth, B = bottom.

*** Stations along contours are established within 3-, 1-, and 1/2-mile radii east and west of Nine Mile Point Station, Unit 1.

E-16

Table E-8 (Page 1 of 2)

Abundance* of Alewife Prolarvae in Night Ichthyoplankton Collections, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

	Samle			·20-Ft	Contour**	* .					40-Ft	Contour**	*			60-F+	80-Et	100-Et	Grand
Date	Depth**	3-West	l-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	NMPP	NMPP	NMPP	Mean
JUN 6-7	S H B Mean			No Cat	ch						No Ca	tch					No Catch	1	
JUN 15-16	S M B Mean			No Cat	ch		•				No Ca	tch					No Catch)	
JUN 19-20	S M B Mean	4.6 0 1.5	0 0 0 0	4.1 D 0 1.4	0 0 0	0 D 0 0	0 0 0	1.5 0 0 0.5			No Ca	tch					No Catch	1	0.6 0 0.2
JUN 26	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	45.4 0 28.4 24.6	27.9 4.8 0 10.9	4.8 0 0 1.6	4.4 0 0 1.5	0 0 26.6 8.9	13.8 0.8 9.2 7.9	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	13.2 4.3 0 5.8	4.4 0 4.5 3.0	12.7 0 0 4.2	4.6 0 1.5	5.8 0.7 0.8 2.4	9.9 0 0 3.3	9,4 0 0 3,1	22.7 0 0 7.6	10.6 0.6 4.0 5.1
JUL 5-6	S M B Mean	133.3 0 4.8 46.0	57.8 0 4.9 20.9	155.2 0 19.3 58.2	37.7 0 12.6	52.5 0 0 17.5	251.9 64.8 17.7 111.5	114.7 10.8 7.8 44.4	217.7 5.3 0 74.3	9.1 0 0 3.1	43.2 9.7 4.9 19.3	15.2 0 0 5.1	8.9 4.8 0 4.6	21.7 0 7.2	52.6 3.3 0.8 18.9	16.1 0 0 5.4	87.9 0 29.3	0 0 0 0	73.9 5.6 3.4 27.6
JUL 12-13	S N B Mean	16.7 20.7 17.6 18.3	6.7 9.3 0 5.3	0 0 0 0	0 6.4 0 2.1	0 0 0 0	4.3 0 1.4	4.6 6.1 2.9 4.5	0 8.7 4.3 4.3	7.1 4.3 0 3.8	0 0 0 0	17.5 0 0 5.8	11.9 5.3 0 5.7	4.0 0 1.3	6.8 3.1 0.7 3.5	3,8 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 . 0	4.8 3.6 1.5 3.3
JUL 17-18	S M B Mean	29.7 19.8 0 16.5	25.0 15.0 5.7 15.2	125.0 58.9 87.6 90.5	84.1 58.4 262.3 134.9	33.6 34.6 36.3 34.8	8.4 5.3 30.7 14.8	51.0 32.0 70.4 51.2	12.9 4.6 36.5 18.0	8.6 5.4 0 4.7	18.0 14.4 0 10.8	60.6 0 12.3 24.3	12.6 20.9 0 11.2	195.8 907.6 140.3 414.6	51.4 158.8 31.5 80.6	67.4 5.1 0 24.2	16.1 0 0 5.4	0 0 0 0	46.5 76.7 40.8 54.7
JUL 24-25	S M B Mean	9.1 10.2 10.7 10.0	22.7 36.1 0 19.6	8.3 24.2 10.1 14.2	61.5 5.7 11.2 26.1	8.1 17.5 5.4 10.3	53.0 12.0 17.7 27.6	27.1 17.6 9.2 18.0	0 31,4 0 10,5	70.9 45.3 0 38.7	9.4 16.0 5.6 10.3	24.0 16.8 0 13.6	4.2 0 0 1.4	16.7 5.1 6.3 9.4	20.9 19.1 2.0 14.0	82.5 27.5 0 36.7	81.5 16.2 0 32.6	21.0 0 7.0	31.5 17.6 4.5 17.9

"Number per 1000 m³.

** S = surface, M = mid-depth, B = bottom.

Stations along contours are established within 3-, 1-, and 1/2-mile radii east and west of Nine Mile Point Station, Unit 1.

E-17

Table E-8 (Page 2 of 2)

	61e	<u> </u>		20-Ft	Contour**	n#	•		r		40-Ft	Contour**	*			60-Ft	80-Ft	100-Ft	Grand
Date	Depth**	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	NMPP	NMPP	NMPP	Mean
31 July 1 Aug	S M B Mean			NO CI	АТСН					•	NO C/	ATCH				N	D CATCH		
7-8 July	S M B Mean	4.2 59.4 0 21.2	4.0 39.2 0 14.4	4.4 33.4 0 12.6	0 0 0 0	4.2 0 0 1.4	0 0 0	2.8 22.0 0 8.3	0 20.6 0 6.9	8.4 4.3 0 4.2	11.1 24.9 0 12.0	3.7 8.4 0 4.0	0 5.0 0 1.7	8.7 32.8 0 13.8	5.3 16.0 0 7.1	11.7 11.6 0 7.8	7.5 0 0 2.5	7.5 0 3.4 3.6	5.0 16.0 0.2 7.1
14 Aug	S M B Mean	9.7 5.7 0 5.2	38.2 4.7 0 14.3	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	8.0 1.7 0 3.2	34.0 5.2 0 13.1	34.5 4.9 0 13.1	4.3 4.9 0 3.1	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	12.1 2.5 0 4.9	8.5 0 0 2.8	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	8.6 1.7 0 3.4
21 Aug	S N B Mean			NO CI	ATCH						NO CI	ATCH				N	O CATCH		
28 Aug	S M B Mean			NO CA	ATCH						NO CI	ATCH				N	O CATCH		
5 Sept	S N B Mean			NO C	ATCH						NO C	ATCH				N	O CATCH		
14 Sept	S M B Mean			NO C.	ATCH		•				NO C.	ATCH	-			N	O CATCH		

*Number per 1000 m³.

** S = surface, M = mid-depth, B = bottom.

*** Stations along contours are established within 3-, 1-, and 1/2-mile radii east and west of Nine Mile Point Station, Unit 1.

E-18

Table E-9 (Page 1 of 2)

man

Abundance* of Morone sp. Prolarvae in Day Ichthyoplankton Collections, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

(No Morone sp. prolarvae were collected in day samples before June or after August)

	Sample			20-Ft	Contour**	e de			1		40-Ft	Contour**	*			CO 54	00.54		
Date	Depth**	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	NMPP	NMPP	NMPP	Mean
Jun S	S M B Nean	0 5.1 0 1.7	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 . 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.9 0.0 0.3			No Catch						No Ca	tch	0.0 0.3 0.0 0.1
Jun 12	S M B Mean			No Catch	• •						No Catch						No Ca	tch	
Jun 19	S M B Mean	56.1 5.7 49.4 37.1	36.6 0 12.2	3.6 0 4.7 2.8	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 5.3 1.8	16.1 1.0 9.9 9.0	9.2 11.6 5.5 8.8	0 0 5.3 1.8	0 37.2 5.6 14.2	0 0 0 0	0 5.7 0 1.9	0 0 0 0	1.5 9.1 2.7 4.5	7.5 0 0 2.5	4.6 0 0 1.6	0 0 0	7.8 4.0 5.1 5.6
Jun 26	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	0 0 - 0 0	5.0 0 0 1.7	0 0 4.9 1.6	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.8 0.0 0.8 0.6	4.8 0 4.7 3.2	0 0 4.7 1.6	0 0 4.9 1.6	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.8 0.0 2.4 1.1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 4.4 0 1.5	0.7 0.3 1.3 0.7
Jul 5	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	4.5 0 0 1.5	0.8 0 0 0.3	0 0 0 0	4.5 0 0 1.5	0 0 0 0	4.7 0 6.1 3.6	0 0 0 0	0 0 10.2 3.4	1.5 0 2.7 1.4		No Cat	ch:	0.9 0 1.1 0.7
Jul 10	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 5.0 0 1.7	4.5 0 0 1.5	0 0 0 0	0.8 0.8 0 0.5			No Catch				0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	3.9 0 0 1.3	0 0 0 0	0.6 0.3 0 0.3
Jul 17	S M B Mean	0 0 0	0 4.2 0 1.4	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0.7 0 0.2			No Catch				0. 0 0 0		No Cat	ch	0 0.3 0 0.1
Ju1 24	S M B Mean			No Catch	1						No Catch						No Cato	2h	

^{*}Number per 1000 m³.

** S = surface, M = mid-depth, B = bottom.

*** Stations along contours are established within 3-, 1-, and 1/2-mile radii east and west of Nine Mile Point Station, Unit 1.

E-19

Table E-9 (Page 2 of 2)

	Samola			20-Ft	Contour**	*	<u> </u>				40-Ft	Contour**	*			50 E+	00 C+	100 54	Canad
Date	Depth**	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	NMPP	NMPP	NMPP	Mean
31 July	S M B Mean			ND C	Атсн						NO CA	ATCH				N	D CATCH		
7 Aug	S M B Mean			NO CA	ЛТСН				0 0 0 0	0 4.1 0 1.4	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0.7 0 0.2	N	D CATCH		0 0.3 0 0.1
14 Aug	S M B Mean			NO C/	ATCH ·						NO CA	ATCH				N) САТСН		
21 Aug	S M B [,] Mean			NO CA	ATCH						NO CA	Атсн				N) CATCH		
28 Aug	S M B Mean			NO CA	лтсн						NO CA	ATCH				NC) САТСН		
5 Sept	S M B Mean			NO CA	тсн		-				NO CA	ATCH				NC) CATCH		
11 Sept	S M B Mean			NO CA	TCH						NO CA	NTCH				NC) CATCH		
18 Sept	S M B Mean			NO CA	тсн						NO CA	ТСН				· NC	CATCH		
26 Sept	S M B Mean			NO CA	тсн						NO CA	лтсн	• •			NC	САТСН		

^{*}Number per 1000 m³.

 s^{**} S = surface, M = mid-depth, B = bottom.

*** Stations along contours are established within 3-, 1-, and 1/2-mile radii east and west of Nine Mile Point Station, Unit 1.

E-20

Table E-10 (Page 1 of 2)

Abundance* of Morone sp. Prolarvae in Night Ichthyoplankton Collections, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

	Sample			20-Ft	Contour**	*		î	<u> </u>		40-Ft	Contour**	*			60 54	00 54	100 54	Canad
Date	Depth**	3-West	l-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	NMPP	NMPP	NMPP	Mean
JUN 6-7	S M B Mean		·	No Catc	h				0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	4.6 0 0 1.5	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.8 0.0 0.0 0.3	No	Catch		0.3 0.0 0.0 0.1
JUN 15-16	S M B Mean	16.9 0 0 5.6	33.8 5.1 5.0 14.6	8.7 9.3 0 6.0	9.0 0 3.0	14.1 5.1 5.3 8.2	15.6 25.8 11.9 17.8	16.4 7.6 3.7 9.2	12.8 0 0 4.3	0 19.5 0 6.5	0 13.6 0 4.5	0 0 5.3 1.6	9.7 5.2 0 4.9	0 0 0 0	3.8 6.4 0.9 3.7	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	3.7 0 0 1.2	8.3 5.6 1.8 5.2
JUN 19-20	S M B Mean	23.2 10.1 9.9 14.4	80.0 42.2 39.4 53.9	89.4 50.3 7.9 49.2	52.2 16.7 36.6 35.2	18.8 31.2 9.9 20.0	4.4 4.8 4.6 4.6	44.7 25.9 18.1 29.5	13.6 0 5.1 1.2	32.9 0 11.0	40.8 0 3.9 14.9	0 0 0 0	66.9 5.3 0 24.1	0 0 4.5 1.5	25.7 0.9 2.3 9.6	25.5 0 0 8.5	17.4 0 0 5.8	0 0 4.8 1.6	31.0 10.7 8.4 16.7
JUN 26	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	0 0 4.7 1.6	4.7 4.8 4.8 4.8	0 0 0 0	4.4 0 4.8 3.1	0 0 0 0	1.5 0.8 2.4 1.6	15.1 4.3 0 6.5	0 9.7 0 3.3	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	2.5 2.3 0.0 1.6	5.0 0 0 1.7	0 0 0	4.5 0 0 1.5	2.3 1.3 1.0 1.5
JUL 5-6	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	9.1 0 0 3.0	0 0 0 0	4.0 0 1.3	0 0 0 0	2.2 0.0 0.0 0.7	0 0 0 0	9.1 0 3.0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1.5 0.0 0.0 0.5	No	Catch		1.5 0.0 0.0 0.5
JUL 12-13	S M B Mean	8.3 5.2 0 4.5	3.3 0 4.2 2.5	0 0 0 0	4.3 12.9 0 5.7	4.3 5.8 0 3.4	0 0 0 0	3.4 4.0 0.7 2.7	0 0 0 0	10.7 0 0 3.6	3.9 0 0 1.3	4.4 0 0 1.5	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	3.2 0.0 0.0 1.1	No	Catch		2.6 1.6 0.3 1.5
JUL 17-18	S M B Mean			No Catch	1				0 0 5.2 1.7	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.9 0.3	No	Catch		0.0 0.0 0.3 0.1
JUL 24-25	S M B Mean			No Catch							No Cato	ch				No	Catch		

*Number per 1000 m³.

** S = surface, M = mid-depth, B = bottom.

*** Stations along contours are established within 3-, 1-, and 1/2-mile radii east and west of Nine Mile Point Station, Unit 1.

E-21

Table E-10 (Page 2 of 2)

	610	[20-Ft	Contour**	rite					40-Ft	Contour**	*			60-Et	80-F+	100-F+	Grand
Date	Depth**	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	3-West	l-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	NMPP	NMPP	NMPP	Mean
31 July 1 Aug	S M B Mean			NO C.	ATCH						NO C/	ATCH					NO CATC	1	
7-8 Aug	S N B Mean	0 0 0	0 8.7 0 2.9	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1.5 0 0.5			NO CI	ATCH	<u> </u>				NO CATC	4	0 0,6 0 0.2
.14 Aug	S M B Mean			NO C.	ATCH						NO CI	ATCH					NO CATC	4	
21 Aug	S N B Mean			NO C	ATCH						NO CI	ATCH					NO CATCH	4	
28 Aug	S M B Nean			NO C	ATCH						NO C/	ATCH					NO CATCH	1	
5 Sept	S M B Mean			NO CA	ATCH				•		NO CI	ATCH					NO CATCH	۱ .	
14 Sept	S M B Mean			NO CI	ATCH						NO CI	ATCH					NO CATCH	1	

*Number per 1000 m³.

**S = surface, M = mid-depth, B = bottom.

Stations along contours are established within 3-, 1-, and 1/2-mile radii east and west of Nine Mile Point Station, Unit 1.

E-22

Table E-11 (Page 1 of 2)

Abundance* of Rainbow Smelt Prolarvae in Day Ichthyoplankton Collections, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

(No rainbow smelt prolarvae were collected in day samples after June).

	Sample			20-Ft	Contour**	*					40-Ft	Contour**	*	T	_	60-Ft	80-Ft	100-Ft	Grand
Date	Depth**	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	NMPP	NMPP	NMPP	Mean
Apr 4	S M B Mean			No Ca	atch						No Ca	itch			 		No Ca	tch	
Apr 10	S M B Mean			No Ca	itch						No Ca	itch	-				No Ca	tch	
Apr 17	S M B Mean			No Ca	atch						No Ca	itch		<u></u>			No Ca	tch	
Apr 24	S M B Mean			No Ca	itch						No Ca	itch	<u>`</u>				No Ca	tch	
May 2	S M B Mean	0 4.4 0 1.5	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	D D O O	0 0.7 0 0.2			No Ca	atch	۹				No Ca	tch	0 0.3 0 0.1
May 8	S M B Mean			No Ca	atch						No Ca	atch					No Ca	.tch	
May 15	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	13.1 14.4 8.9 12.1	13.8 69.1 59.7 47.6	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	4.5 13.9 11.4 10.0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 4.7 0 1.6	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0.8 0 0.3	3	No Ca	itch	1.8 5.9 4.6 4.1
May 22	S M B Mean	0 0 · 0 0	0 5.0 0 1.7	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 5.0 0 1.7	0 5.6 10.3 5.3	0 2.6 1.7 1.4			No Ca	atch					No Ca	.tch	0 1.0 0.7 0.6
May 30	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 ·	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 4.9 0 1.6	0 0 9.7 3.2	0 0.8 1.6 0.8	0 0 5.2 1.8	0 0 0 0	0 4.9 0 1.6	0 0 0 0	0 4.4 0 1.5	0 D D 0	0 1.6 0.9 0.8	0 5 0 9 0 3 0	3.8 0 0 1.3	0 0 0	0.2 0.9 1.0 0.7

^{*}Number per 1000 m³.

** S = surface, M = mid-depth, B = bottom.

*** Stations along contours are established within 3-, 1-, and 1/2-mile radii east and west of Nine Mile Point Station, Unit 1.

E-23
Table E-11 (Page 2 of 2)

	610	[<u> </u>	20-Ft	Contour**	*			[<u> </u>	40-Ft	Contour**	*			() [)	00 51		
Date	Depth**	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	I-East	3-East	Mean	NMPP	NMPP	NMPP	Grand Mean
JUN 5	S M B Mean			NO Cato	:h				0 0 0	0 0 5.2 1.7	0 4.8 0 1.6	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0.8 0.9 0.6	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	4.1 0 0 1.4	0.3 0.3 0.4 0.3
JUN 12	S M B Mean			No Cato	:h				0 5.6 0 1.9	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0.9 0.3		No Catc	h	0 0.4 0 0.1
JUN 19	S M B Nean	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 5.4 1.8	0 0 0 0	0 0 0.9 0.3			No	Catch					No Catcl	1	0.0 0.0 0.4 0.1
JUN 26	S M B Mean			No Cato	h				0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 4.9 0 1.6	0 0 0	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0 0 0 0	0 0.8 0 0.3		No Catcl	1	0 0.3 0 0.1
JUL 5	S M B Mean			No Cato	h						No	Catch				1	No Catch	1	
JUL 10	S M B Mean			No Cato	h						No	Catch				١	lo Catch		
JUL 17	S M B Mean			No Catc	h.						No	Catch				N	io Catch		
JUL 24	S M B Mean			No Çatc	h						No	Catch		· .		N	lo Catch		

*Number per 1000 m³.

 s^{**} S = surface, M = mid-depth, B = bottom.

*** Stations along contours are established within 3-, 1-, and 1/2-mile radii east and west of Nine Mile Point Station, Unit 1.

E--24

Table E-12

Abundance* of Rainbow Smelt Prolarvae in Night Ichthyoplankton Collections, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

(No rainbow smelt prolarvae were collected in night samples after June)

	Sample			20-Ft	Contour**	*					40-Ft	Contour**	*			60-E+	80-5+	100.5+	Grand
Date	Depth**	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	NMPP	NMPP	NMPP	Mean
JUN 6-7	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 5.0 0 1.7	4.2 0 0 1.4	0.7 0.8 0.0 0.5			No Cat	tch					No Catch		0.3 0.3 0.0 0.2
JUN 15-16	S M B Mean			No Catch		-			0 0 5.5 1.8	0 0 0	0 0 4.9 1.6	0 0 5.3 1.8	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0.0 0.0 2.6 0.9	4.4 5.5 0 3.3	4.5 0 5.7 3.4	0 0 8.5 2.8	0.6 0.4 2.0 1.0
JUN 19-20	S M B Mean			No Catch					0 0 0 0	0 0 4.9 1.6	0 4.1 0 1.4	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.7 0.8 0.5	N	o Catch		0.0 0.3 0.3 0.2
JUN 26	S M B Mean			No Catch							No ,Cat	tch .				N	o Catch		
JUL 5-6	S M B Mean			No Catch							No Cat	ch				No	o Catch		
JUL 12-13	S M B Mean			No Catch				·			No Cat	ch				No	Catch		
JUL 17-18	S M B Mean			No Catch							No Cat	ch				No	o Catch		
JUL 24-25	S M B Mean			No Catch							No Cat	ch				No	Catch		

^{*}Number per 1000 m³.

**S = surface, M = mid-depth, B = bottom.

*** Stations along contours are established within 3-, 1-, and 1/2-mile radii east and west of Nine Mile Point Station, Unit 1.

E-25

Table E-13 (Page 1 of 4)

Abundance* of Total Postlarvae (All Species Combined) in Day Ichthyoplankton Collections, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

(No postlarvae were collected in day samples after November)

<u> </u>	Sample			20-F1	t Contour**	r#					40-Ft	Contour*	*			60-5+	80-5+	100 5+	Grand
Date	Depth**	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	NMPP	NMPP	NMPP	Mean
Apr 4	S M B Mean			No Ca	tch						No Ca	tch					No Cat	sch .	
Apr 10	S M B Mean			No Ca	tch						No Ca	tch					No Cat	ch	
Apr 17	S M B Mean			No Ca	tch .						No Cại	tch					No Cat	ch	
Apr 24	S M B Mean			No Ca	tch						. No Cat	tch			-		No Cat	ch	
May 2	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 5.4 0 1.8	0 0 0 0	0 0.9 0 0.3			No Cat	tch					No Cat	ch	0 0.4 0 0.1
May 8.	S M B Mean			No Cat	tch						No Cat	tch					No Cat	ch	
May 15	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 5.3 10.0 5.1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0.9 1.7 0.8			No Cat	ch					No Cat	ch	0 0.4 0.7 0.3
May 22	S M B Mean	48.6 94.1 66.8 69.8	21.3 59.9 130.2 70.5	35.0 34.1 24.4 31.2	98.9 118.4 55.4 90.9	122.8 135.4 91.2 116.5	45.4 61.3 87.8 64.8	62.0 83.9 76.0 74.0	34.3 30.5 11.3 25.4	16.8 21.3 15.8 18.0	91.2 25.8 22.6 46.5	20.1 42.8 5.6 22.8	33.6 35.2 52.9 40.6	45.1 30.5 32.7 36.1	40.2 31.0 23.5 31.6	37.6 27.6 5.4 23.6	0 4.9 0 1.6	0 0 0 0	43.4 48.1 40.2 43.9
Ma <i>y</i> 30	S M B Mean	8.4 48.7 78.8 45.3	0 20.6 5.1 8.6	8.2 10.2 19.4 12.6	4.4 34.2 14.1 17.6	8.4 73.0 9.5 30.3	8.0 24.5 24.2 18.9	6.2 35.2 25.2 22.2	22.6 31.7 0 18.1	12.0 25.2 0 12.4	4.2 4.9 0 3.0	3.6 25.3 0 9.6	7.5 39.9 8.7 18.7	3.8 22.0 13.9 13.2	9.0 24.8 3.8 12.5	3.9 14.6 0 6.2	11.3 0 0 3.8	11.0 4.9 4.5 6.8	7.8 25.3 11.9 15.0

^{*}Number per 1000 m³.

** S = surface, M = mid-depth, B = bottom.

*** Stations along contours are established within 3-, 1-, and 1/2-mile radii east and west of Nine Mile Point Station, Unit 1.

E-26

Table E-13 (Page 2 of 4)

	Sample			20-Ft	Contour**	*					40-Ft	Contour**	*			60-E+	90. C+	100-5+	Grand
Date	Depth**	3-West	l-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	NMPP	NMPP	NMPP	Mean
JUN 5	S M B Mean	4.8 5.1 5.0 4.9	22.9 21.5 21.0 21.8	16.1 0 0 5.4	0 0 0 0	9.6 0 3.2	11.2 9.1 10.3 10.2	10.8 6.0 6.1 7.6	51.2 29.2 31.0 37.1	30.6 14.5 14.8 20.0	61.3 48.1 0 36.5	9.1 5.0 0 4.7	14.0 36.2 5.6 18.6	48.0 26.1 15.5 29.9	35.7 26.5 11.2 24.5	0.7 24.9 14.5 13.4	25.7 30.8 4.9 20.5	16.3 20.4 5.2 14.0	21.4 18.1 8.5 16.0
JUN 12	S M B Mean	21.0 29.1 23.4 24.5	17.2 10.9 52.0 26.7	16.8 11.5 57.4 28.5	21.7 0 20.9 14.2	9.2 29.1 25.3 21.2	0 0 10.3 3.4	14.3 13.4 31.6 19.8	4.5 16.8 0 7.1	0 5.7 21.1 8.9	18.0 16.5 10.1 14.8	4.4 19.3 16.9 13.5	26.0 72.9 29.2 42.7	36.0 73.0 30.2 46.4	14.8 34.0 17.9 22.2	29.6 39.6 5.1 24.7	42.5 39.5 0 27.3	13.3 6.0 10.8 10.1	17.3 24.7 20.8 20.9
JUN 19	S M B Nean	4.7 11.4 11.0 9.0	5.2 17.3 5.1 9.2	0 5.3 14.1 6.5	4.5 11.5 16.0 10.6	4.4 5.7 16.1 8.8	4.6 23.3 21.1 16.3	3.9 12.4 13.9 10.1	0 28.9 16.6 15.2	5.2 81.4 5.3 30.6	14.2 15.9 11.1 13.8	14.5 54.4 10.0 26.3	28.4 28.5 11.4 22.8	4.4 58.1 19.6 27.4	11.1 44.5 12.3 22.7	7.5 51.2 4.9 21.2	0 0 0 0	4.4 0 5.2 3.2	6.8 26.2 11.2 14.7
JUN 26	S M B Mean	0 4.6 0 1.5	0 0 0 0	0 0 5.0 1.7	0 20.0 0 6.7	5.2 0 1.7	9.3 0 0 3.1	2.4 4.1 0.8 2.5	0 5.0 0 1.7	0 9.7 0 3.2	0 0 0	0 10.5 5.2 5.2	9.9 9.9 0 6.6	4.3 4.6 12.4 7.1	2.4 6.6 2.9 4.0	0 0 0 0	9.7 9.4 0 6.4	9.3 4.4 14.8 9.5	3.2 5.2 2.5 3.6
ม ีย 5	S M B Mean	203.2 55.2 21.6 93.3	232.9 29.9 5.8 89.5	18.6 0 6.0 8.2	21.0 81.9 70.1 57.6	315.3 234.1 31.7 193.7	329.7 90.7 30.5 150.3	186.8 82.0 27.6 98.8	211.8 5.4 0 72.4	75.9 11.1 0 29.0	9.6 0 43.2 17.6	214.4 39.3 12.1 88.6	668.7 130.5 25.3 274.8	473.0 41.5 10.2 174.9	275.6 38.0 15.1 109.6	97.7 5.5 0 34.4	49.9 0 5.3 18.4	20.6 0 6.9	196.2 48.3 17.5 87.3
JUL 10	S M B Mean	1268.0 314.4 522.0 701.5	1544.0 39.2 48.5 543.9	139.6 36.6 20.4 65.5	124.7 34.8 21.6 60.4	517.4 94.8 109.6 240.6	98.8 27.2 31.6 52.5	615.4 91.2 125.6 277.4	6207.6 244.5 124.5 2192.2	305.6 64.2 19.0 129.6	32.0 28.5 231.4 97.3	1610.3 82.4 133.9 608.9	495.2 31.0 58.3 194.8	256.1 21.4 32.1 103.2	1484.5 78.7 99.9 554.3	723.5 127.6 98.3 316.4	1416.2 49.9 165.3 543.8	2588.0 92.9 211.2 964.0	1154.9 86.0 121.8 454.3
JUL 17	S N B Mean	176.4 103.3 4.3 94.7	528.5 33.2 300.2 287.3	825.1 63.1 294.9 394.4	270.1 126.6 40.9 145.9	165.1 251.9 138.2 185.1	327.7 210.6 40.4 192.9	382.2 131.5 136.5 216.7	154.5 56.4 8.3 73.1	106.8 41.4 8.0 52.1	136.5 117.8 4.1 86.1	49.3 27.7 7.7 28.3	670.9 48.6 33.2 250.9	351.6 85.6 37.8 158.3	244.9 62.9 16.5 108.1	40.5 4.2 3.6 16.1	326.5 17.9 15.8 120.0	242.6 8.5 18.6 89.9	291.5 79.8 63.7 145.0
JUL 24	S M B Mean	83.4 110.7 144.5 112.9	54.5 20.5 68.9 48.0	255.4 151.9 121.6 176.3	948.9 972.0 896.8 939.2	582.4 788.9 497.7 623.0	1519.1 826.5 607.5 984.4	574.0 478.4 389.5 480.6	137.9 174.3 283.4 198.5	163.7 53.1 149.3 122.1	394.5 137.8 131.9 221.4	297.7 101.7 188.4 195.9	980.0 292.2 295.4 522.5	1297.5 81.1 149.5 509.4	545.2 140.0 199.7 295.0	523.7 203.8 182.4 303.3	358.0 49.5 66.9 158.2	513.0 14.0 52.8 193.3	540.6 265.2 255.8 353.9

"Number per 1000 m³.

** S = surface, M = mid-depth, B = bottom.

*** Stations along contours are established within 3-, 1-, and 1/2-mile radii east and west of Nine Mile Point Station, Unit 1.

E-27

Table E-13 (Page 3 of 4)

	Sample	[20-Ft	Contour**	rit .					40~Ft	Contour**	*			60 E+	80 Ft	100-5+	Grand
Date	Depth**	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	NMPP	NMPP	NMPP	Mean
31 July	S M B Mean	40.3 21.3 8.7 23.4	17.9 8.5 19.9 15.4	40.3 41.0 8.7 30.0	1611.7 207.7 174.6 664.7	330.5 43.0 40.9 138.1	1010.6 277.1 263.6 517.1	508.6 99.8 86.1 231.5	32.2 41.3 8.3 27.3	14.2 17.3 8.7 13.4	71.9 32.7 12.3 38.9	259.3 74.3 56.6 130.1	235.9 51.3 13.1 100.1	1448.2 103.3 63.1 538.2	343.6 53.4 27.0 141.3	171.8 22.6 18.0 70.8	489.0 530.5 71.8 363.8	691.0 20.2 48.1 253.1	431.0 99.5 54.5 195.0
7 Aug	S M B Mean	215.8 373.3 337.9 309.0	89.5 137.0 144.8 123.8	76.3 127.9 184.1 129.5	301.2 48.7 82.0 143.9	368.2 140.9 282.1 263.7	189.6 52.6 147.2 129.8	206.8 146.7 196.4 183.3	271.5 110.6 41.9 141.4	92.0 73.8 33.6 66.5	91.6 46.9 23.2 53.9	97.9 103.0 218.3 139.7	844.1 100.3 185.9 376.8	2061.8 309.8 256.4 876.0	576.5 124.1 126.6 275.7	154.5 84.3 51.2 96.7	175.3 30.6 23.3 76.4	170.9 33.7 21.6 75.4	346.7 118.2 135.6 200.2
14 Aug	S M B Mean	998.3 700.5 327.7 675.5	1055.5 1665.9 320.8 1014.1	174.7 309.1 193.2 225.7	44.4 37.3 16.2 32.6	324.3 254.7 101.5 226.8	213.4 241.5 112.4 189.1	468.4 534.8 178.6 394.0	178.7 120.0 153.5 150.8	279.3 224.1 142.1 215.2	106.0 20.3 10.6 45.6	223.9 46.5 34.7 101.7	1140.8 100.2 93.0 444.7	412.8 41.7 53.8 169.4	390.3 92.1 81.3 187.9	35.9 0 15.7 17.2	116.3 45.7 5.2 55.8	95.6 17.7 4.6 39.3	360.0 255.0 105.7 240.2
21 Aug	S M B Mean	4.0 8.8 21.4 11.4	15.3 36.1 8.4 20.0	3.8 13.2 8.7 8.7	106.0 168.7 32.2 102.3	545.3 651.4 147.5 448.1	285.4 189.9 143.5 206.3	160.0 178.0 60.3 132.8	13.6 44.6 12.2 23.5	27.1 4.8 13.9 15.3	4.3 12.7 4.1 7.0	36.6 43.0 8.1 29.2	925.2 157.4 65.6 382.7	166.5 61.9 46.8 91.8	195.6 54.1 25.1 91.6	35.4 22.4 3.7 20.5	56.7 7.5 0 21.4	72.5 0 0 24.2	153.2 94.8 34.4 94.1
28 Aug	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	3.9 4.2 0 2.7	0 0 4.6 1.5	4.3 8.5 0 4.3	52.2 31.6 0 27.9	28.1 12.9 0 13.7	14.8 9.5 0.8 8.4	3.9 0 0 1.3	4.4 0 0 1.5	0 0 0 0	28.4 0 9.5	17.2 0 5.7	11.6 0 0 3.9	10.9 0 0 3.6	11.4 0 0 3.8	30.2 0 0 10.1	0 0 0. 0	13.0 3.8 0.3 5.7
5 Sept	S M B Mean	68.3 113.7 10.0 64.0	80.8 86.2 24.4 63.8	52.6 33.4 18.3 34.8	31.8 26.5 0 19.4	97.5 13.9 11.7 41.0	15.9 28.5 0 14.8	57.8 50.4 10.7 39.6	12.5 13.8 4.7 10.3	16.3 26.1 4.5 15.6	574.3 26.5 4.5 201.8	38.4 4.3 5.1 15.9	32.5 8.4 0 13.6	8.1 4.8 0 4.3	113.7 14.0 3.1 43.6	7.2 0 5.0 4.1	0 4.1 0 1.4	0 0 0	69.1 26.0 5.9 33.7
11 Sept	S M B Mean	4.0 0 1.3	0 0 0 0	7.6 4.2 0 3.9	0 0 4.5 1.5	3.9 4.7 0 2.9	26.5 24.3 0 16.9	7.0 5.5 0.8 4.4	0 4.7 0 1.6	0 0 0	7.3 12.1 0 6.5	0 0 0 0	8.2 13.8 0 7.3	8.0 0 2.7	3.9 5.1 0 3.0	3.8 0 0 1.3	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	4.6 4.3 0.3 3.1
18 Sept	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 5.0 1.7	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0.8 0.3	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 4.6 1.5	0 0 0.8 0.3		IO CATCI	1	0 0 0.6 0.2
26 Sept	S M B Mean	0 5.0 0 1.7	4.4 0 0 1.5	0 0 0 0	4.5 5.0 0 3.2	0 4.7 0 1.6	4.8 10.2 9.6 8.2	2.3 4.2 1.6 2.7	- 0 0 - 0 0	0 4.9 0 1.6	0 0 0 0	4.9 0 0 1.6	9 25.0 0 8.4	4.4 0 0 1.5	1.6 5.0 0 2.2	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1.6 3,7 0.6 1.9

^{*}Number per 1000 m³.

** S = surface, M = mid-depth, B = bottom.

*** Stations along contours are established within 3-, 1-, and 1/2-mile radii east and west of Nine Mile Point Station, Unit 1.

E-28

Table E-13 (Page 4 of 4)

	1	<u> </u>		20-Ft	Contour**	*			<u>г</u>	·	40-F1	Contour**	*				r	<u></u>	1
Date	Sample Depth**	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	60-Ft NMPP	80-Ft NMPP	100-Ft NMPP	Grand Mean
2 Oct	S M B Mean	0 5.1 0 1.7	0 0 0 0	4.5 5.0 0 3.2	8.3 0 0 2.8	4.3 0 0 1.4	0 0 0 0	2.9 1.7 0 1.5	0 0 0 0	4.2 0 0 1.4	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 -0 -0 -0 -0	0 0 0 0	0.7 0 0 0.2		No Catc	h	1.4 0.7 0 0.7
9 Oct	S M B Mean	15.7 5.3 0 7.0	0 0 0 0	4.1 4.8 0 2.9	0 5.4 0 1.8	3.8 4.7 0 2.9	0 4.7 25.5 10.1	3.9 4.2 4.3 4.1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	8.5 0 0 2.8	0 5.0 0 1.7	0 0 0 0	14.9 0 5.0	3.9 0.8 0 1.6	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	3.1 2.0 1.7 2.3
16 Oct	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	3.6 0 1.2	3.6 8.5 0 4.1	17.0 0 4.8 7.3	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	4.0 1.4 0.8 2.1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	26.5 8.5 0 11.7	4.0 0 1.3	0 3.9 0 1.3	0 0 0 0	5.1 2.1 0 2.4	0 0 0 0	0 3.9 0 1.3	0 0 0 0	3.6 1.7 0.3 1.9
24 Oct	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0.6 4.9 1.8	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	4.7 0 0 1.6	0.8 0.1 0.8 0.6	0 5.2 0 1.7	4.1 0 0 1.4	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.7 0.9 0 0.5	4.6 0 0 1.5	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.9 0.4 0.3 0.5
30 Oct	S M B Mean	4.2 0 5.3 3.2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 5.5 5.5 3.7	0 0 0 0	0 5.9 0 2.0	0.7 1.9 1.8 1.5	0 4.4 0 1.5	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	4.2 0 0 1.4	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.7 0.7 0 0.5		No Catcl	1	0.6 1.6 0.7 0.8
6 Nov	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	3.9 0 0 1.3	0.7 0 0 0.2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 4.6 1.5	0 0 0 0	0 0 0.8 0.3		No Catcl	·	0.3 0 0.3 0.2
13 Nov	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 4.5 0 1.5	0 0 0 0	0 5.0 0 1.7	0 0 0 0	0 1.6 0 0.5			No	Catch	_		.0 0 0 0		No Catch)	0 0.6 0 0.2
21 Nov	S M B Mean			No Ci	atch _		•		4.1 0 0 1.4	0 0 12.6 4.2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.7 0 2.1 0.9		No Catcł		0.3 0 0.8 0.4
28 Nov	S M B Mean			No Ca	atch					-	No	Catch					No Catch	1	

111

^{*}Number per 1000 m³.

**S = surface, M = mid-depth, B = bottom.

*** Stations along contours are established within 3-, 1-, and 1/2-mile radii east and west of Nine Mile Point Station, Unit 1.

E-29

Table E-14 (Page 1 of 2)

Abundance* of Total Postlarvae (All Species Combined) in Night Ichthyoplankton Collections, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

	\$3 -0 10			20-Ft	Contour**	*					40-F1	t Contour**	*			60-Et	80-Ft	100-F+	Grand
Date	Depth**	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	NMPP	NMPP	NMPP	Mean
JUN 6-7	S M B Mean	17.6 14.5 5.3 12.5	30.8 33.4 20.7 28.3	28.4 22.4 10.0 20.3	8.0 18.8 24.3 17.0	8.2 99.6 35.8 47.9	21.0 9.2 27.7 19.3	19.0 33.0 20.6 24.2	46.3 35.9 4.7 29.0	3.5 31.8 19.1 18.2	36,5 23.6 9.9 23.3	0 9.0 14.8 7.9	29.5 35.1 29.1 31.2	0 23.2 39.2 20.8	19.3 26.6 19.5 21.8	4.3 4.7 5.0 5.0	11.8 0 0 3.9	00000	16.4 24.1 16.4 19.0
ู มูบุญ 15-16	S M B Mean	92.8 120.9 123.9 112.5	152.0 108.0 144.7 134.9	52.1 50.9 48.4 50.5	153.1 69.6 182.8 135.2	46.8 41.1 74.6 54.2	78.0 51.7 106.8 78.8	95.8 73.7 113.5 94.3	29.8 19.6 120.2 56.5	94.0 58.6 132.0 94.9	43.2 49.7 73.6 55.5	25.2 33.7 121.6 60.2	24.1 67.0 116.2 69.1	32.4 24.8 60.4 39.2	41.5 42.2 104.0 62.6	35.3 43.6 87.4 55.4	13.4 32.6 159.1 68.4	18.6 31.0 0 16.5	59.4 53.5 103.5 72.1
JUN 19-20	S M B Mean	13.9 0 9.9 8.0	4.5 4.7 0 3.0	8.1 9.1 27.7 15.0	4.8 5.6 15.7 8.7	0 5.2 4.9 3.4	17.5 9.7 9.2 12.1	8.1 5.7 11.2 8.4	4.5 10.2 25.3 13.4	4.7 10.1 9.7 8.2	11.1 20.7 19.6 17.1	21.9 9.6 0 10.5	0 5.3 17.0 7.4	4.0 19.7 4.5 9.4	7.7 12.6 12.7 11.0	0 14.1 8.8 7.6	8.7 14.6 18.7 14.0	8.1 0 4.8 4.3	7.5 9.2 11.7 9.5
JUN 26	S M B Mean	45.5 28.9 5.0 26.4	22.7 19.0 18.9 20.2	4.7 9.7 19.0 11.1	4.8 0 0 1.6	0 5.3 0 1.8	3.7 0 1.2	13.6 10.5 7.2 10.4	20.1 17.2 15.4 17.6	18.7 14.6 14.7 16.0	30.8 4.3 34.0 23.0	0 0 4.5 1.5	4.2 0 0 1.4	0 5.7 0 1.7	12.3 7.0 11.4 10.2	0 0 13.7 4.6	4.7 15.4 5.1 8.4	77.1 10.0 0 29.1	15.8 8.7 8.7 11.1
JUL 5-6	S M B Mean	776.4 230.7 477.0 494.7	907.5 634.6 465.3 669.1	561.6 113.1 850.1 508.2	37.7 10.8 25.5 24.6	32.3 22.1 38.0 30.8	334.5 39.9 57.4 143.9	441.7 175.2 318.9 311.9	1306.1 343.8 342.2 664.1	635.2 309.9 200.9 382.0	321.9 320.5 231.4 291.3	396.1 164.1 15.6 191.9	93.3 338.3 29.1 153.5	230.3 55.2 92.9 126.1	497.2 255.3 152.0 301.5	329.5 239.7 9.7 193.0	298.2 14.3 4.6 105.7	164.7 5.3 18.8 62.9	428.4 189.5 190.6 269.5
JUL 12-13	S M B Mean	2114.8 1244.0 906.4 1421.7	977.3 456.3 257.2 563.6	176.7 69.9 94.3 113.6	470.0 90.0 102.9 221.0	499.8 335.1 275.1 370.0	901.5 1029.3 509.8 813.5	856.7 537.4 357.6 583.9	2059.7 606.7 154.8 940.4	848.9 187.5 108.0 381.5	496.2 217.5 55.5 256.4	890.1 117.4 70.9 359.5	130.8 132.2 52.7 105.2	161.9 384.8 39.8 195.5	764.6 274.4 80.3 373.1	585.5 105.2 34.6 241.8	2000.7 168.1 98.5 755.8	8093.4 305.0 277.1 2891.8	1360.5 363.3 202.5 642.1
JUL 17-18	S . M B Mean	555.8 351.0 538.2 481.7	241.6 80.1 289.3 203.7	310.4 172.2 97.4 193.3	66.4 42.5 83.7 64.2	33.6 59.3 51.8 48.3	101.0 10.6 81.9 64.5	218.1 119.3 190.4 175.9	296.9 244.6 197.9 246.5	266.2 342.5 76.5 228.4	236.9 143.6 106.3 162.3	295.3 139.5 184.8 206.6	155.7 366.4 396.4 306.2	3482.3 1790.9 736.7 2003.3	788.9 504.6 283.1 525.5	301.0 525.6 113.2 313.3	186.8 596.3 68.4 283.8	65.2 249.7 42.6 119.1	439.7 341.0 204.3 328.3
JUL 24-25	S M B Mean	242.3 71.1 639.3 317.6	504.7 234.4 432.1 390.4	91.5 145.0 723.3 319.9	434.4 839.3 875.0 716.2	525.7 583.6 687.6 599.0	119.3 89.8 206.3 138.5	319.7 327.2 593.9 413.6	174.1 961.9 135.4 423.8	576.0 1480.4 228.8 761.8	193.5 869.6 212.4 425.2	1170.5 1311.3 396.3 959.4	716.8 681.7 356.2 584.9	404.4 798.2 62.7 421.8	539.2 10172 232.0 596.1	1220.8 1070.8 309.9 867.2	1458.4 546.6 167.2 724.1	710.1 290.4 97.7 366.1	569.5 664.9 368.7 534.4

* Number per 1000 m³.

** S = surface, M = mid-depth, B = bottom.

*** Stations along contours are established within 3-, 1-, and 1/2-mile radii east and west of Nine Mile Point Station, Unit 1.

E-30

Table E-14 (Page 2 of 2)

[Sample			20-Ft	Contour**	*					40-Ft	Contour**	*			60. F+	90.51	100 5+	Grand
Date	Depth**	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Méan	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	NMPP	NMPP	NMPP	Mean
31 July 1 Aug	S M B Mean	327.3 199.0 171.7 232.7	361.4 272.2 321.4 318.3	26.1 4.8 41.2 24.0	40.1 53.3 65.9 53.1	156.9 136.3 219.3 170.8	29.9 29.7 19.9 26.5	157.0 115.9 139.9 137.6	257.0 199.2 102.5 186.3	36.1 17.9 65.5 39.8	43.5 35.5 31.1 36.7	9.5 0 8.5 6.0	5.0 30.0 9.3 14.8	21.8 4.7 9.1 11.9	62.2 47.9 37.7 49.2	13.9 0 8.2 7.4	9.5 35.7 12.3 19.2	34.6 99.8 60.2 64.9	91.5 74.5 76.4 80.8
7-8 Aug	S M B Mean	158.7 246.7 812.5 406.0	238.4 317.7 590.3 382.2	159.3 350.4 562.4 357.4	924.6 1369.1 1219.2 1170.9	572.7 458.2 604.9 545.3	386.2 537.4 55.6 326.4	406.7 546.6 640.8 531.4	154.2 342.0 59.0 185.1	46.0 250.7 121.4 139.4	181.5 739.2 65.5 328.7	285.3 611.8 127.0 341.4	223.4 394.8 171.8 263.3	2419.5 781.3 275.4 1158.7	551.7 520.0 136.7 402.8	428.8 208.1 78.9 238.6	345.0 130.3 23.0 166.1	240.6 132.8 87.9 153.8	450.9 458.0 323.7 410.9
14 . Aug	S M B Mean	976.6 1809.0 2433.8 1739.8	1026.0 1248.4 1543.5 1272.6	276.1 304.6 666.5 415.7	169.6 916.2 850.7 645.5	203.9 80.6 27.5 104.0	18.3 41.5 23.6 27.8	445.1 733.4 924.3 700.9	412.8 1576.7 917.0 968.8	846.3 1418.6 543.2 936.0	201.4 394.8 559.9 385.4	289.9 193.9 173.7 219.2	69.5 51.9 38.4 53.3	90.6 51.7 70.0 70.8	318.4 614.6 383.7 438.9	256.0 121.8 54.4 144.1	16.5 55.8 0 24.1	93.1 85.0 47.3 75.1	329.8 556.7 530.0 472.1
21 Aug	S M B Mean	8.6 16.4 0 8.3	18.4 9.8 5.3 11.2	12.5 5.4 26.5 14.8	30.6 30.9 36.7 32.8	61.4 117.8 317.6 165.6	144.3 83.5 127.1 118.3	46.0 44.0 85.5 58.5	25.7 41.2 177.6 81.5	51.8 70.1 117.8 79.9	14.0 26.7 137.5 59.4	27.5 113.9 39.8 60.4	140.6 26.2 66.6 77.8	267.4 99.8 53.3 140.2	87.8 63.0 98.8 83.2	76.4 39.4 42.2 52.6	91.4 28.7 0 40.0	65.5 36.2 8.4 36.7	69.1 49.7 77.1 65.3
28 Aug	S M B Mean	201.8 99.0 86.1 129.0	241.1 156.9 32.3 143.5	116.1 38.3 5.6 53.3	114.4 59.2 104.1 .92.6	53.8 57.2 42.5 51.2	37.3 15.1 9.1 20.5	127.4 71.0 46.6 81.7	133.2 39.3 5.7 59.4	197.0 29.3 15.5 80.6	255.4 50.6 25.6 110.5	157.9 33.6 10.4 67.3	154.5 68.6 18.0 80.4	107.2 54.3 17.1 59.5	167.5 46.0 15.4 76.3	118.5 4.7 4.8 42.7	145.4 26.2 13.5 61.7	250.1 3.9 8.1 87.4	152.2 49.1 26.6 76.0
5 Sept	S M B Mean	84.9 47.1 27.1 53.0	154.7 90.8 87.9 111.1	138.9 125.1 12.2 92.1	1076.1 31.7 30.6 379.4	13.5 8.9 0 7.5	8.2 0 2.7	246.1 50.6 26.3 107.6	97.4 114.6 143.8 118.6	70.2 34.6 48.0 50.9	109.6 25.5 77.5 70.9	143.5 15.8 9.2 56.2	4.0 0 1.3	4.2 0 0 1.4	71.5 31.8 46.4 49.9	42.5 19.5 4.2 22.1	26.4 0 25.9 17.4	8.4 9.9 0 6.1	132.2 34.9 31.1 66.1
14 Sept	S M B Mean	0 15.3 0 5.1	4.3 0 4.9 3.1	0 6.0 0 2.0	0 0 0 0	0 21.7 5.1 8.9	0 0 0 0	0.7 7.2 1.7 3.2	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	4.3 0 0 1.4	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.7 0 0 0.2	N	D CATCH		0.6 2.9 0.7 1.4

*Number per 1000 m³.

** S = surface, M = mid-depth, B = bottom.

*** Stations along contours are established within 3-, 1-, and 1/2-mile radii east and west of Nine Mile Point Station, Unit 1.

É-31

Table E-15 (Page 1 of 3)

Abundance* of Alewife Postlarvae in Day Ichthyoplankton Collections, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

(No alewife postlarvae were collected in day samples before June or after November)

	Samla			20-Ft	Contour**	*		÷	[40-Ft	Contour**	*			60. Et	90 54	100.5+	Ganad
Date	Depth**	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	NMPP	NMPP	NMPP	Mean
Jun 5	S M B Mean			NO CATCH		<u> </u>					NO CATCH						NO CAT	гсн	
Jun 12	S M B Mean			NO CATCH			<u>.</u>				NO CATCH		<u> </u>				NO CAT	гсн	
Jun 19	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 4.7 1.6	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0.8 0.3	0 5.8 0 1.9	0 0 5.3 1.8	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0	0 1.0 0.9 0.6	0 4.7 0 1.6	0 0 0	0 0 0	.0 0.7 0.7 0.5
Jun 26	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 20.0 0 6.7	5.2 0 0 1.7	9.3 0 0 3.1	2.4 3.3 0 1.9	0 0 0	0 4.9 0 1.6	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 9.9 0 3.3	0 0 0	0 2.5 0 0.8	. 0 0 0	4.9 0 0 1.6	4.6 0 0 1.6	1.6 2.3 0.0 1.3
Ju } 5	S M B Mean	203.2 55.2 16.2 91.5	227.7 29.9 5.8 87.8	18.6 0 6.0 8.2	21.0 81.9 70.1 57.6	315.3 234.1 31.7 193.7	329.7 80.1 30.5 146.8	185.9 80.2 26.7 97.6	193.8 5.4 0 66.4	71.5 11.1 0 27.5	9.6 0 0 3.2	209.7 39.3 6.1 _85.0	651.6 130.5 25.3 269.1	453.2 36.3 10.2 166.6	264.9 37.1 6.9 103.0	97.7 5.5 0 34.4	45.3 0 5.3 16.9	20.6 0 0 6.9	191.2 47.3 13.8 84.1
Jul 10	S M B Mean	1171.2 309.0 522.0 667.4	1471.7 39.2 48.5 519.8	139.6 36.6 15.3 63.8	124.7 29.8 21.6 58.7	499.2 83.7 109.6 230.8	94.5 21.8 31.6 49.3	583.5 86.7 124.8 265.0	6207.6 244.5 124.5 2192.2	305.6 64.2 19.0 129.6	32.0 28.5 244.9 95.1	1610.3 77.5 133.9 607.3	482.6 25.8 58.3 188.9	247.3 21.4 26.7 98.5	480.9 77.0 101.2 553.0	715.4 110.2 98.3 308.0	1404.4 43.7 165.3 537.8	2524.5 85.7 201.1 937.4	1135.4 81.5 121.4 446.1
Ju1 17	S N B Mean	168.7 103.3 4.3 92.1	513.5 33.2 30D.2 282.3	780.0 63.1 294.9 379.3	209.1 103.2 40.9 117.7	160.4 251.9 138.2 183.5	322.7 210.6 40.4 191.1	359.1 127.6 136.5 207.7	146.6 56.4 8.3 70.4	102.7 37.3 8.0 49.3	136.5 117.8 4.1 86.1	49.3 27.7 7.7 28.2	662.8 48.6 29.0 246.8	328.7 85.6 37.8 150.7	237.8 62.2 15.8 105.3	40.5 4.2 3.6 16.1	313.8 17.9 15.8 115.8	242.6 0 11.2 84.6	278.5 77.4 63.0 139.6
Ju1 24	S M B Mean	83.4 110.7 131.3 108.5	54.5 20.5 68.9 48.0	250.7 151.9 116.9 173.2	935.6 955.4 882.7 924.5	574.8 778.7 472.2 608.6	1493.9 816.1 598.2 969.4	565.5 472.2 378.4 472.0	129.5 174.3 274.1 192.6	163.7 53.1 149.3 122.1	385.8 137.8 131.9 218.5	289.9 101.7 180.3 190.6	968.0 263.0 291.0 507.3	1293.3 81.1 149.5 508.0	538.4 135.2 196.0 289.9	523.7 193.7 182.4 299.9	353.7 49.5 66.9 156.7	504.4 14.0 48.4 188.9	533.7 260.1 249.6 347.8

*Number per 1000 m³.

** S = surface, M = mid-depth, B = bottom.

Stations along contours are established within 3-, 1-, and 1/2-mile radii east and west of Nine Mile Point Station, Unit 1.

E-32

Table E-15 (Page 2 of 3)

	Sample		•	20-Ft	Contour**	*					40-Ft	Contour**	*	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		60-Et	80-Et	100-Et	Grand
Date	Depth**	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	NMPP	NMPP	NMPP	Mean
31 July	S M B Mean	40.3 17.0 8.7 22.0	17.9 8.5 19.9 15.4	35.8 41.0 8.7 28.5	1448.4 194.2 174.6 605.7	326.1 43.0 40.9 136.7	978.4 277.1 254.7 503.4	474.5 96.8 84.6 218.6	32.2 36.7 8.3 25.8	14.2 17.3 8.7 13.4	71.9 28.6 12.3 37.6	254.5 60.4 56.6 123.6	227.2 51.3 13.1 97.2	1366.8 103.3 63.1 511.1	327.8 49.6 27.0 134.8	168.0 22.6 18.0 69.5	489.0 522.7 68.0 359.9	678.8 20.2 44.7 247.9	410.0 96.3 53.4 186.5
7 Aug	S M B Mean	211.7 373.3 333.5 306.2	89.5 137.0 144.8 123.8	71.3 121.8 172.3 121.8	301.2 48.7 82.0 143.9	368.2 135.2 271.9 258.4	189.6 47.4 147.2 128.1	205.3 143.9 192.0 180.4	267.7 106.0 41.9 138.5	81.4 73.8 33.6 62.9	87.2 36.5 23.2 49.0	97.9 103.0 209.2 136.7	827.5 100.3 185.9 371.2	2061.8 309.8 237.1 869.6	570.6 121.6 121.8 271.3	154.5 84.3 51.2 96.7	175.3 30.6 23.3 76.4	170.9 33.7 21.6 75.4	343.7 116.1 131.9 197.2
14 Aug	S M B Nean	998.3 700.5 327.7 675.5	992.3 1638.9 307.3 979.5	122.8 299.6 193.2 205.2	44.4 37.3 16.2 32.6	290.9 254.7 86.2 210.6	209.3 231.9 112.4 184.5	443.0 527.2 173.8 381.3	170.2 115.0 153.5 146.3	237.0 144.4 142.1 174.5	101.5 20.3 10.6 44.2	214.2 46.5 34.7 98.5	1092.7 95.2 87.6 425.2	396.6 41.7 53.8 164.0	368.7 77.2 80.4 175.4	35.9 0 15.7 17.2	116.3 45.7 5.2 55.8	72.8 17.7 4.6 31.7	339.7 246.0 103.4 229.7
21 Aug	S M B Mean	0 8.8 21.4 10.1	15.3 36.1 8.4 20.0	3.8 13.2 8.7 8.7	89.7 164.4 32.2 95.4	545.3 632.5 143.1 440.3	260.7 189.9 139.3 196.6	152.5 174.1 58.9 128.5	13.6 44.6 12.2 23.5	27.1 0 13.9 13.7	4.3 12.7 4.1 7.0	28.5 43.0 8.1 26.5	921.3 147.9 65.6 378.3	162.4 57.1 46.8 88.8	192.9 50.9 25.1 89.6	31.9 22.4 3.7 19.3	56.7 7.5 0 21.4	72.5 0 24.2	148.9 92.0 33.8 91.6
28 Aug	S M B Mean	0 0 0	3.9 4.2 0 2.7	0 0 0 0	4.3 4.3 0 2.9	44.1 31.6 0 25.2	28.1 12.9 0 13.7	13.4 8.8 0 7.4	3.9 0 1.3	4.4 0 1.5	0 0 0 0	28.4 0 0 9.5	17.2 0 0 5.7	11.6 0 3.9	10.9 0 0 3.6	7.6 0 0 2.5	30.2 0 0 10.1	0 0 0 0	12.2 3.5 0 5.3
5 Sept	S M B Mean	64.0 113.7 10.0 62.6	80.8 86.2 24.4 63.8	48.9 33.4 18.3 33.5	27.2 26.5 0 17.9	97.5 13.9 11.7 41.0	15.9 23.8 0 13.2	55.7 49.6 10.7 38.7	12.5 13.8 4.7 10.3	16.3 26.1 4.5 15.6	574.3 26.5 4.5 201.8	38.4 4.3 5.1 15.9	32.5 8.4 0 13.6	8.1 4.7 0 4.3	113.7 14.0 3.1 43,6	7.2 0 5.0 4.1	0 4.1 0 1.4	0 0 0	68.2 25.7 5.9 33.3
11 Sept	S M B Mean	4.0 0 1.3	0 0 0 0	3.8 4.2 0 2.7	0 0 4.5 1.5	3.9 4.7 0 2.9	26.5 24.3 0 16.9	6.4 5.5 0.8 4.2	0 4.7 0 1.6	0 0 0 0	7.3 12.1 0 6.5	0 0 0	8.2 13.8 .0 7.3	8.0 0 2.7	3.9 5.1 0 3.0	3.8 0 0 1.3	0 D 0 0	0 0 0	4.4 4.3 0.3 3.0
18 Sept	S M B Mean	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 5.0 1.7	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0.8 0.3	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 - 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 4.6 1.5	0 0 0.8 0.3		NO CATCH	1	0 0 0.6 0.2
26 Sept	S M B Mean	0 5.0 0 1.7	4.4 0 0 1.5	0 0 0 0	4.5 5.0 0 3.2	0 4.7 0 1.6	4.8 10.2 9.6 8.2	2.3 4.2 1.6 2.7	0 0 0	0 4.9 0 1.6	0 0 0 0	4.9 0 0 1.6	0 25.0 0 8.4	4.4 0 0 1.5	1.6 5.0 0 2.2	4.2 0 0 1.4	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1.8 3.7 0.6 2.0

*Number per 1000 m³.

** S = surface, M = mid-depth, B = bottom.

*** Stations along contours are established within 3-, 1-, and 1/2-mile radii east and west of Nine Mile Point Station, Unit 1.

E-33

Table E-15 (Page 3 of 3)

	[· ·		20-Ft	Contour**	*					40-Ft	Contour**	*			60.5+		100 54	Canad
Date	Depth**	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	NMPP	NMPP	NMPP	Mean
2 Oct	S М В Меал	0 5.1 0 1.7	0 0 0 0	4.5 5.0 0 3.2	8.3 0 2.8	4.3 0 0 1.4	0 0 0 0	2.9 1.7 0 1.5	0 0 0 0	4.2 0 0 1.4	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.7 0 0 0.2		No Catcl	ייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייי	1.4 0.7 0 0.7
9 Oct	S M B Mean	15.7 5.3 0 7.0	0 0 0 0	4.1 4.8 0 2.9	0 5.4 0 1.8	3.8 4.7 0 2.9	0 4.7 25.5 10.1	3.9 4.2 4.3 4.1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	8.5 0 2.8	0 5.0 0 1.7	0 0 0 0	14.9 0 5.0	3.9 0.8 0 1.6	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	3.1 2.0 1.7 2.3
16 Oct	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	3.6 0 0 1.2	3.6 8.5 0 4.1	17.0 0 4.8 7.3	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	4.0 1.4 0.8 2.1	0 0 0 0	D 0 0 0	26.5 8.5 0 11.7	4.0 0 0 1.3	0 3.9 0 1.3	0 0 0 0	5.1 2.1 0 2.4	0 0 0 0	0 3.9 0 1.3	0 0 0 0	3.6 1.7 0.3 1.9
24 Oct	S M B Nean	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0.6 4.9 1.8	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	4.7 0 0 1.6	0.8 0.1 0.8 0.6	0 5.2 0 1.7	4.1 0 1.4	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.7 0.9 0 0.5	4.6 0 1.5	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.9 0.4 0.3 0.5
30 Oct	S M B Mean	4.2 0 5.3 3.2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 5.5 5.5 3.7	0 0 0	0 5.9 0 2.0	0.7 1.9 1.8 1.5	0 4.4 0 1.5	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	4.2 0 0 1.4	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.7 0.7 0 0.5	I	lo Catch)	0.6 1.1 0.7 0.8
6 Nov	S M B Nean	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	3.9 0 0 1.3	0.7 0 0 0.2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 4.6 1.5	0 0 0 0	0 0 0.8 0.3		lo Catcł	- -	0.3 0 0.3 0.2
13 Nov	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 4.5 0 1.5	0 0 0	0 5.0 0 1.7	0 0 0 0	0 1.6 0 0.5			No	Catch			0 0 0 0	Ņ	lo Catch	I	0 0.6 0 0.2
21 Nov	S M B Mean			No	Catch				4.1 0 0 1.4	0 0 12.6 4.2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.7 0 2.1 0.9	N	lo Catch	·	0.3 0 0.8 0.4
28 Nov	S M B Mean			No	Catch	· .					No	Catch	-	·		N	io Catch		

*Number per 1000 m³.

** S = surface, M = mid-depth, B = bottom.

Stations along contours are established within 3-, 1-, and 1/2-mile radii east and west of Nine Mile Point Station, Unit 1.

E-34

Table E-16 (Page 1 of 2)

	Samole			20-Ft	Contour**	*					40-Ft	Contour**	*	•		50 FA	00 54	100 50	
Date	Depth**	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	NMPP	NMPP	NMPP	Mean Mean
Jun 6-7	S M B Mean			NO CATCH	ł	-				•	NO CATCH		•			-	NO CAT	ГСН	
Jun 15-16	S M B Mean	0 0 5.0 1.7	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 5.0 0 1.7	0 5.1 0 1.7	0 0 0 0	0 1.7 0.8 0.8	0 0 0 0	3.9 0 0 1.3	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.7 0.0 0.0 0.2	0 0 5.8 1.9	0 0 0	0 0 0	0.3 0.7 0.7 0.6
Jun 19-20	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	4.4 0 0 1.5	0.7 0.0 0.0 0.2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 4.8 0 1.6	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.8 0.0 0.3		NO CAT	СН	0.3 0.3 0.0 0.2
Jun 26	S M B Mean	0 4.8 0 1.6	18.2 0 0 6.1	0 0 4.8 1.6	4.8 0 0 1.6	0 0 0 0	3.7 0 0 1.2	4.5 0.8 0.8 2.0	10.1 12.9 0 7.7	4.7 0 4.9 3.2	13.2 0 0 4.4	0 0 4.5 1.5	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	4.7 2.2 1.6 2.8	0 0 0	4.7 5.2 0 <u>3.</u> 3	54:4 0 0 18.1	7.6 1.5 0.9 3.4
Ju] 5-6	S M B Mean	756.8 216.9 477.0 483.6	871.9 629.6 450.6 650.7	479.4 113.1 825.9 472.8	33.0 5.4 25.5 21.3	24.2 22.1 38.0 28.1	330.4 39.9 57.4 142.6	416.0 171.2 312.4 299.8	1278.3 333.2 326.2 645.9	626.1 297.7 168.3 364.0	318.0 306.0 221.5 281.8	392.3 158.9 15.6 189.0	88.8 338.3 29.0 152.1	230.3 55.2 87.4 124.3	489.0 248.2 141.3 292.8	329.5 220.9 0 183.5	137.6 9.5 4.6 50.6	164.7 5.3 9.4 59.8	404.1 183.5 182.4 256.7
Ju1 12-13	S M B Mean	1448.7 1212.9 888.8 1183.5	924.1 451.6 248.9 541.5	168.9 55.9 94.3 106.4	457.2 77.2 102.9 212.4	495.5 323.5 270.1 363.0	884.3 1018.8 509.8 804.3	729.8 523.3 352.5 535.2	1044.5 585.1 133.3 587.6	706.8 93.8 95.5 298.7	273.1 213.2 42.7 176.3	881.3 105.7 60.0 349.0	118.9 126.9 42.2 96.0	161.9 384.8 34.1 193.6	531.1 251.6 68.0 283.5	578.01 95.6 34.6 236.1	931.2 159.4 86.7 725.8	8093.4 287.6 254.0 2878.3	1211.2 346.1 193.2 583.5
Ju] 17-18	S M B Mean	543.1 351.0 506.5 466.9	233.3 75.1 272.3 193.5	310.4 172.2 92.5 191.7	66.4 42.5 83.7 64.2	33.6 59.3 51.9 48.3	101.0 10.6 81.9 64.5	214.6 118.5 181.9 171.5	232.4 244.6 192.7 223.2	261.9 342.5 58.9 221.1	233.3 143.6 100.9 159.3	295.3 139.5 184.8 206.6	155.7 361.2 390.9 302.6	3426.3 1790.9 723.6 1980.3	767.5 503.7 275.3 515.5	301.0 525.6 102.0 309.5	180.3 596.3 68.4 281.7	65.2 249.7 38.7 117.8	429.3 340.3 196.6 322.1
Ju1 24-25	S M B Mean	228.6 71.1 617.7 305.8	491.0 221.0 408.7 373.6	79.0 135.3 663.0 292.4	372.9 748.5 841.5 654.3	420.5 531.1 633.9 528.5	114.9 89.8 206.3 137.0	284.5 299.5 561.9 381.9	165.4 892.8 124.6 394.2	562.7 1415.8 217.9 732.1	193.5 858.9 212.4 421.6	1170.5 1277.7 381.0 943.1	679.3 670.6 350.5 566.8	400.2 783.1 62.7 415.3	528.6 983.3 224.9 578 .9	1160.0 1070.8 244.9 825.2	1376.9 546.6 167.2 696.9	668.1 285.1 83.7 345.6	538.9 639.9 347.7 508.8

Abundance* of Alewife Postlarvae in Night Ichthyoplankton Collections, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

*Number per 1000 m³.

** S = surface, M = mid-depth, B = bottom.

Stations along contours are established within 3-, 1-, and 1/2-mile radii east and west of Nine Mile Point Station, Unit 1.

E-35

Table E-16 (Page 2 of 2)

	Samole			20-Ft	Contour**	*	•				40-F1	: Contour**	*	·		60-Ft	80-Et	100-Et	Grand
Date	Depth**	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	NMPP	NMPP	NMPP	Mean
31 July I Aug	S M B Mean	322.4 188.5 171.7 227.5	356.9 272.2 321.4 316.8	21.7 4.8 41.2 22.6	40.1 53.3 65.9 53.1	152.3 136.3 214.5 167.7	29.9 29.7 19.9 26.5	153.9 114.1 139.1 135.7	257.0 199.2 98.4 184.9	32.1 17.9 65.5 38.5	43.5 35.5 31.1 36.7	9.5 0 4.2 4.6	5.0 30.0 9.3 14.8	21.8 4.7 9.1 11.9	61.5 47.9 36.3 48.5	13.9 0 8.2 7.4	9.5 35.7 12.3 19.2	34.6 99.8 31.9 55.4-	90.0 73.8 73.6 79.2
7-8 Aug	S M B Mean	150.4 228.4 812.5 397.1	214.2 300.3 562.2 358.9	119.5 296.2 552.9 322.9	830.6 1318.5 1195.9 1115.0	506.3 418.8 590.5 505.2	372.4 537.4 55.8 321.8	365.6 516.6 628.3 503.5	134.4 321.4 59.0 171.6	46.0 220.5 107.4 124.6	140.7 714.3 52.4 302.5	252.0 590.9 127.0 323.3	201.5 369.8 171.8 247.7	2358.3 729.8 275.4 1121.2	522.2 491.1 132.2 381.8	421.0 196.6 70.6 229.4	337.5 126.1 15.3 159.7	225.6 128.8 87.9 147.4	420.7 433.2 315.8 389.9
14 Aug	S M B Mean	962.0 1786.1 2428.1 1725.4	1026.0 1225.1 1519.6 1256.9	258.3 289.6 635.2 394.4	169.6 899.2 840.1 636.3	199.6 80.6 27.5 102.6	18.3 41.5 17.7 25.8	439.0 720.4 911.4 690.2	395.8 1504.5 906.6 935.7	811.8 1399.2 532.9 914.6	201.4 394.8 555.1 383.8	263.1 193.9 168.8 208.6	69.5 51.9 38.4 53.3	90.6 41.4 70.0 67.3	305.4 597.6 378.6 427.2	256.0 117.0 49.9 140.9	16.5 55.8 0 24.1	93.1 80.6 39.4 71.0	322.1 544.1 522.0 462.7
21 Aug	S M B Mean	4.3 10.9 0 5.1	18.4 9.8 5.3 11.2	12.5 5.4 26.5 14.8	30.6 25.8 36.7 31.1	61.4 117.8 305.7 161.6	144.3 83.5 127.1 118.3	45.3 42.2 83.6 57.0	25.7 41.2 177.6 81.5	47.1 70.1 117.8 78.3	14.0 26.7 137.5 59.4	27.5 113.9 39.8 60.4	128.9 21.0 66.6 72.2	258.3 99.8 53.3 137.1	83.6 62.1 98.8 81.5	68.3 39.4 42.2 49.9	91:4 28.7 0 40.0	61.7 36.2 8.4 35.4	66.3 48.7 76.3 63.8
28 Aug	S M B Mean	192.9 99.0 86.1 126.0	232.0 156.9 32.3 140.4	106.5 38.3 5.6 50.1	114.4 59.2 104.1 92.6	53.8 57.2 42.5 51.2	37.3 15.1 9.1 20.5	122.8 71.0 46.6 80.1	128.7 39.3 0 56.0	188.9 29.3 15.5 77.9	250.6 50.6 25.6 108.9	157.9 33.6 5.2 65.6	154.5 68.6 18.0 80.4	107.2 54.3 17.1 59.5	164.6 46.0 13.6 74.7	113.9 4.7 4.8 41.1	145.4 26.2 13.5 61.7	242.5 3.9 8.1 84.9	148.4 49.1 25.8 74.4
5 Sept	S M B Mean	84.9 41.9 22.6 49.8	154.7 85.7 87.9 109.4	130.0 125.1 12.2 89.1	1071.9 31.7 30.6 378.1	13.5 8.9 0 7.5	8.2 0 2.7	243.9 48.9 25.6 106.1	97.4 114.6 119.9 110.6	65.8 34.6 48.0 49.5	109.6 25.5 73.4 69.5	143.5 10.5 9.2 54.4	4.0 0 1.3	4.2 0 0 1.4	70.8 30.9 41.8 47.8	38.3 19.5 4.2 20.7	26.4 0 25.9 17.4	8.4 9.9 0 6.1	130.8 33.9 29.0 64.6
14 Sept	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	4.3 0 4.9 3.1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 5.1 1.7	0 0 0	0.7 0 1.7 0.8			∧ NO (CATCH			0 0 0 0	N	0 са тсн		0.3 0 0.7 0.3

*Number per 1000 m³.

** S = surface, M = mid-depth, B = bottom.

1

*** Stations along contours are established within 3-, 1-, and 1/2-mile radii east and west of Nine Mile Point Station, Unit 1.

E-36

Table E-17 (Page 1 of 3)

Abundance* of Morone sp. Postlarvae in Day Ichthyoplankton Collections, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

(No Morone sp. postlarvae were collected in day samples before May or after August)

	[Sample			20-F1	Contour**	**	·····		<u> </u>		40-Ft	Contour**	*			60 Et	00 54	100 5+	Grand
Date.	Depth**	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-£ast	3-East	Mean	NMPP	NMPP	NMPP	Mean
Apr 4	S M B Mean			No Ca	itch						No Ca	tch	-				No Cat	tch	
Apr 10	S M B Mean			No Ca	tch						No Ca	tch .					No Cat	tch	
Apr 17	S M B Mean			No Ca	tch						No Ca	tch					No Cat	tch.	
Apr 24	S M B Mean		No Catch No Catch							No Ca	tch					No Cat	tch		
May 2	S M B Mean		No Catch							No Ca	tch		·			No Cat	tch		
May 8	S M B Mean	,		No Ca	tch						No Ca	tch					No Cat	tch	
May 15	S M B Mean			No Ca	tch					,	No Cat	tch					No Cat	tch	
May 22	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	4.1 0 0 1.4	0.7 0 0 0.2			No Cat	tch					No Cat	tch	0.3 0 0 0.1
May 30	S M B Mean			No Ca	tch .						No Cat	tch					No Cat	tch	

^{*}Number per 1000 m³.

**S = surface, M = mid-depth, B = bottom.

*** Stations along contours are established within 3-, 1-, and 1/2-mile radii east and west of Nine Mile Point Station, Unit 1.

E+37

Table E-17 (Page 2 of 3)

ſ	Sample			20-Ft	Contour**	*			Γ		40-Ft	Contour**	*			60.54	00 51		
Date	Depth**	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	l-East	3-East	Mean	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	NMPP	NMPP	NMPP	Grand Mean
Jun 5	S M B Mean	0 5,1 0 1.7	4.6 0 0 1.5	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 10.3 3.4	0.8 0.9 1.7 1.1	5.1 4.9 0 3.3	0 0 4.9 1.7	4.1 4.1 0 <u>3.</u> 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 4.4 0 1.5	1.5 2.2 0.8 1.5	0 5.0 0 1.7	4.3 0 0 1.4	0 0 5.2 1.7	1.2 1.6 1.4 1.4
Jun 12	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	0 5.5 5.2 3.6	4.1 11.5 28.7 14.8	0 0 0	4.6 5.8 0 3.5	0 0 5.1 1.7	1.5 3.8 6.5 3.9	0 0 0 0	0 0 10.5 3.5	13.5 5.5 0 6.3	0 0 0 0	8.7 0 0 2.9	8.0 5.2 8.6 7.3	5.0 1.8 3.2 3.3	4.2 17.0 0 7.1	0 5.7 0 1.9	4.4 6.0 0 3.5	3.0 4.2 3.9 3.7
Jun 19	S M B Mean	4.7 0 0 1.6	0 5.6 5.1 3.6	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.8 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.9	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	4.7 0 0 1.6	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0.8 0.0 0.0 0.3		NO CAT	сн	0.6 0.4 0.3 0.4
Jun 26	S M B Mean	0 4.6 0 1.5	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0.0 0.8 0.0 0.3	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 12.4 4.1	0.0 0.0 2.1 0.7		NO CAT	СН	0.0 0.3 0.8 0.4
Jul 5	S M B Mean			NO CATCH				0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	4.7 0 0 1.6	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.8 0.0 0.0 0.3		NO CATO	Сн	0.3 0.0 0.0 0.1
Ju1 10	S M B Mean	4.6 0 0 1.5	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 5.0 0 1. <u>7</u>	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.8 0.8 0.0 0.5			NO CATCH				0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	4.1 0 0 1.4	3.9 0 0 1.3	0 0 0 ·	0.8 0.3 0.0 0.4
Jul 17	S H B Mean	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 4.7 0 1.6	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.8 0.0 0.3	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	4.1 0 0 1.4	0 0 0 0	0.7 0.0 0.0 0.2		NO CATO	CH	0.3 0.3 0.0 0.2
Ju1 24	S M B Mean			NO CATCH				0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	4.2 0 1.4	0.7 0.0 0.0 0.2		NO CATO	ж	0.3 0.0 0.0 0.1

*Number per 1000 m³.

**S = surface, M = mid-depth, B = bottom.

Stations along contours are established within 3-, 1-, and 1/2-mile radii east and west of Nine Mile Point Station, Unit 1.

E~38

Table E-17 (Page 3 of 3)

		<u> </u>		20-Ft	Contour**	*		······	1		40-Ft	Contour**	*			60-Ft	80-Ft	100-Ft	Grand
Date	Sample Depth**	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	NMPP	NMPP	NMPP	Mean
31 July	S M B Mean	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 4.5 1.5	0 0 0:3 0.3		• .	NO (CATCH					NO CATC	H	0.1
7 Aug	S M B Mean			NO C	ATCH ,		·		0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 5.2 0 1.7	0 0 4.6 1.5	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0.9 0.8 0.5		NO CATO	:H	0 0.3 0.3 0.2
14 Aug	S M B Mean			NO C	ATCH				0 5.0 0 1.7	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0.8 0.3		NO CATO	:н [,]	0 0,3 0 0.1
21 Aug	S M B Mean			NO (CATCH ·						NO	CATCH					NO CAT	CH ·	
28 Aug	S M B Mean			NO	ATCH						NO	САТСН					NO CAT	CH	
5 Sept	S M B Mean			NO (CATCH					<u>.</u>	NO	CATCH		· ·	. 	 	NO CAT	CH 	
11 Sept	S M B.			NO	CATCH					•	NO	САТСН	•		.		NO CAT	Сн	
18 Sept	S M B Mean		······································	NO	CATCH						NO	САТСН			 	-	NO CAT	CH	
26 Sept	S M B Mean			NO	САТСН		· ·		-		NO	САТСН	- 				NO CAT	°CH ·	

*Number per 1000 m³.

** S = surface, M = mid-depth, B = bottom.

*** Stations along contours are established within 3-, 1-, and 1/2-mile radii east and west of Nine Mile Point Station, Unit 1.

E-39

Table E-18 (Page 1 of 2)

		· · · · ·	<u> </u>	20-Ft	Contour**	*	•				40-Ft	Contour**	*	· · · ·				· · · · ·	· · · · ·
Date	Sample Depth**	3-West	l-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	60-Ft NMPP	80-Ft NMPP	100-Ft. NMPP	Grand Mean
Jun - 6-7	S M B Mean	13.2 4.8 0 6.0	11.6 0 5.2 5.6	20.3 9.0 5.0 11.4	8.0 18.8 9.7 12.2	4.1 29.9 25.6 19.8	0 0 13.9 4.6	9.5 10.4 9.9 9.9	4.2 4.5 0 2.9	0 9.1 4.8 4.6	27.4 4.7 0 10.7	0 0 4.9 1.6	8.4 4.4 9.7 7.5	0 0 5.0 1.6	6.7 3.8 4.1 4.8		NO CAT	СН	6.5 5.7 5.6 5.9
Jun 15-16	S M B Nean	12.7 15.1 14.9 14.2	38.0 15.4 10.0 21.1	34.7 27.8 0 20.8	27.0 5.0 39.5 23.8	28.1 10.3 0 12.8	5.2 10.3 0 5.2	24.3 14.0 10.7 16.3	4.3 0 0 1.4	11.8 0 40.6 17.5	17.3 27.1 5.0 16.4	8.4 4.8 0 4.4	14.5 36.1 0 16.9	3.6 0 9.3 4.3	10.0 11.3 9.2 10.1	0 0 0 0	4.5 0 0 1.5	0 0 0 0	14.0 10.1 8.0 10.7
Jun 19-20	S M B Mean	0 0 5.0 1.7	4.5 0 0 1.5	8.1 4.6 4.0 5.6	0 0 0 0	0 5.2 0 1.7	0 0 0 0	2.1 1.6 1.5 1.7	4.5 0 0 1.5	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	17.5 0 0 5.8	0 0 5.7 1.9	0 0 0 0	3.7 0.0 1.0 1.5	0 0 0	4.4 0 0 1.4	4.0 0 1.3	2.9 0.7 1.0 1.5
Jun 26	S M B Mean	9.1 4.8 0 4.6	0 0 4.7 1.6	4.7 0 4.8 3.1	0 0 0 0	0 5.3 0 1.8	0 0 0	2.3 1.7 1.6 1.9	5.0 0 1.7	0 4.9 0 1.6	4.4 0 0 1.5	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1.6 0.8 0.0 0.8		NO CAT	СН	1.6 1.0 0.6 1.1
Ju1 5-6	S N B Mean			NO CATCH							NO CATCH	l					NO CAT	сн	
Ju1 12-13	S M B Mean	0 0 0	3.3 0 0 1.1	0 0 0 0	4.3 0 0 1.4	0 0 5.1 1.7	0 0 0 0	1.3 0.0 0.9 0.7	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 5.9 0 2.0	0 5,3 0 1,8	0 0 0 0	0.0 1.9 0.0 0.6	0 4.8 0 1.6	13.9 0 0 4.6	0 0 . 0	1.4 1.1 0.3 0.9
Ju1 17-18	S M B Mean			NO CATCH			-				NO CATCH	I [.]		e e		• .	NO CAT	CH	
Ju1 24-25	S . M B	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 5.0 1 7	0 0 0	0	0 0 0	0.0	0 0 0	4.4 0 0 1.5	0	0 0 0	0 0 5.7 1.9	0 0 0	0.7 0.0 1.0 0.6	•	NO CAT	СН	0.3 0.0 0.7 0.3

Abundance* of Morone sp. Postlarvae in Night Ichthyoplankton Collections Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

*Number per 1000 m³.

 ** S = surface, M = mid-depth, B = bottom.

Stations along contours are established within 3-, 1-, and 1/2-mile radii east and west of Nine Mile Point Station, Unit 1.

E-40

Table E-18 (Page 2 of 2)

يەر مەر بىرى دەر 1999

•			•		
		,			

	Comple			20-Ft	Contour**	*					40-Ft	Contour**	*			50-E+	80-Et	100-5+	Grand
Date	Depth**	3-West	l-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	NMPP	NMPP	NMPP	Mean
31 July 1 Aug	S M B Mean			NO C	АТСН						NO C	АТСН					NO CATCI	1	
7-8 Aug	S M B Mean	0 9.1 0 3.1	0 0 0 0	0 4.2 0 1.4	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 2.2 0 0.7	0 4.1 0 1.4	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	3.7 0 0 1.2	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.6 0.7 0 0.4		NO CATC	ł ¹	0.2 1.2 0 0.5
14 Aug	S M B Mean			NO C	АТСН						NO C	АТСН					NO CATCI	1	
21 Aug	S M B Mean			NO C	ATCH						NO C	ATCH					NO CATCI	I	
28 Aug	S M B Mean			NO CI	ATCH						NO C.	АТСН		¢			NO CATCH		
5 Sept	S M B Mean	_		NO C/	атсн						NO C.	ATCH					NO CATCH		
14 Sept	S M B Mean			NO CI	ATCH					•	NO C	АТСН					NO CATCH		

*Number per 1000 m³.

*** S = surface, M = mid-depth, B = bottom.

*** Stations along contours are established within 3-, 1-, and 1/2-mile radii east and west of Nine Mile Point Station, Unit 1.

E-41

Table E-19 (Page 1 of 3)

Abundance* of Rainbow Smelt Postlarvae in Day Ichthyoplankton Collections Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

(No rainbow smelt postlarvae were collected in day samples before May or after September)

	Sample			20-Ft	Contour**	*					40-Ft	Contour**	*			60-Et	80-Et	100-Et	Grand
Date	Depth**	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	NMPP	NMPP	NMPP	Mean
Apr 4	S M B Mean			No Cat	ch .						No Cat	ch					No Cat	ch	
Apr 10	S M B Mean			No Cat	ch						No Cat	ch					No Cat	ch	
Apr 17	S M B Mean			No Cat	ch						No _. Cat	ch					No Cat	ch	
Apr 24	S M B Mean			No Cat	ch						No Cat	ch .					No Cat	ch	
May 2	S M B Mean			No Cat	ch .				-		No Cat	ch					No Cato	ch	
May 8	S M B Mean			No Cat	ch						No Cat	ch					No Cato	ch .	
May 15	S M B Mean			No Cat	ch				-		No Cat	ch					No Cato	:h	
May 22	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 5.0 1.7	0 0 0 0	0 5.6 5.2 3.6	0 0.9 1.7 0.9	0 5.1 0 1.7	0 0 5.3 1.8	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0 0.8 0.9 0.6		No Cato	:h	0 0.7 1.0 0.6
May . 30	S M B Mean	8.4 43.3 78.8 43.5	0 10.3 5.1 5.1	8.2 0 14.5 7.6	0 0 14.1 4.7	0 9.7 4.8 4.8	8.0 9.8 24.2 14.0	4.1 12.2 23.6 13.3	22.6 31.7 2 0 18.1	12.0 25.2 0 12.4	0 4.9 0 1.6	3.6 20.3 0 8.0	3.7 31.1 8.7 14.5	3.8 22.0 13.9 13.2	7.6 22.5 3.8 11.3	3.9 14.6 0 6.2	7.6 1 0 0 2.5	1.0 4.9 4.5 6.8	6.2 15.2 11.2 10.9

^{*}Number per 1000 m³.

**S ≈ surface, M ≈ mid-depth, B = bottom.

*** Stations along contours are established within 3-, 1-, and 1/2-mile radii east and west of Nine Mile Point Station, Unit 1.

E-42

Table E-19 (Page 2 of 3)

	Sample.	<u> </u>		20-Ft	Contour**	*		·····	[40-F	t Contour**	*	<u>÷</u>		60_E+	80-5+	100-54	Grand
Date	Depth**	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	NMPP	NMPP	NMPP	Mean
JU N 5	S M B Nean	4.8 0 5.0 3.2	18.3 21.5 21.0 20.3	16.1 0 0 5.4	0 0 0 0	9.6 0 0 3.2	0 4.5 0 1.5	8.1 4.3 4.3 5.6	46.0 24.3 31.0 33.8	30.6 14.5 9.9 18.3	57.2 43.3 0 33.5	9.1 5.0 0 4.7	14.0 36.2 5.6 18.6	48.0 21.8 15.5 28.4	34.2 24.2 10.3 22.9	0.7 19.3 14.5 11.7	21.4 30.8 4.9 19.1	16.3 20.4 0 12.2	19.5 16.1 7.2 14.3
JUN 12	S M B Mean	16.8 23.3 23.4 21.1	12.9 5.5 36.4 18.3	8.4 0 23.9 10.8	21.7 0 15.7 12.4	4.6 17.5 25.3 15.8	0 0 5.1 1.7	10.7 7.7 21.6 13.3	4.5 16.8 0 7.1	0 5.7 10.5 5.4	0 11.0 10.1 7.0	4.4 19.3 16.9 13.5	13.0 72.9 24.3 36.7	24.0 67.8 21.6 37.8	7.7 32.3 13.9 17.9	16.9 22.6 5.1 14.9	39.0 33.9 0 24.3	8.8 0 10.8 6.6	11.7 19.8 15.3 15.6
JUN 19	S M B Mean	0 11.4 11.0 7.5	5.2 11.5 0 5.6	0 5,3 9.4 4.9	4.5 11.5 16.0 10.6	4.4 5.7 16.1 8.8	0 17.5 15.8 11.1	2.4 10.5 11.4 8.1	0 17.3 16.6 11.3	0 75.6 0 25.2	0 10.6 11.1 7.6	14.5 48.9 10.0 24.5	23.7 28.5 11.4 21.2	4.4 58.1 19.6 27.4	7.1 39.8 11.5 19.5	7.5 46.5 4.8 19.6	0 0 0	4.4 0 5.2 3.2	4.6 23.2 9.8 12.5
JUN 26	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 4.9 1.7	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.8 0.3	0 .5.0 0 1.7	0 4.9 0 1.6	0 0 0 0	0 10.5 5.2 5.2	9,9 0 0 3.3	0 4.6 0 1.5	1.7 4.2 0.9 2.2	0 0 0 0	0 9.4 0 3.2	4.6 4.4 14.8 8.0	1.0 2.6 1.7 1.8
JUL 5	S M B Mean			No Catch					0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	4.3 0 0 1.4	0 5.2 0 1.7	0.7 0.9 0.0 0.5		No Catcl	h	0.3 0.3 0.0 0.1
JUL 10	S · M B Mean			No Catch					0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 5.2 0 1.7	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.9 0.0 0.3	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 5.0 1.7	0.0 0.3 0.3 0.2
jul 17	S M B Mean			No Catch					-		No C	atch					No Cato		
JUL 24	S M B Mean			No Catch							No C	atch					No Cat	ch	

*Number per 1000 m³.

** S = surface, M = mid-depth, B = bottom.

*** Stations along contours are established within 3-, 1-, and 1/2-mile radii east and west of Nine Mile Point Station, Unit 1.

E-43

	Comp lo		-	20-Ft	Contour**	*			[40-F	t Contour**	*						
Date	Depth**	3-West	l-West	·1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	NMPP	80-Ft NMPP	NMPP	Grand Mean
31 July	S M B Mean			NOC	ATCH					<u> </u>	NO	CATCH	•	· .		*	NO CATC	H .	
7 Aug	S M B Mean			NO C	ATCH						NÖ	САТСН	-				NO CATC	H	
14 Aug	S M B Mean			NO C	ATCH				-		NO	CATCH					NO CATC	н	
21 Aug	S M B Mean			NO C	Atch						NO	САТСН					NO CATCI	Н	
28 Aug	S M B Mean	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 4.6 1.5	0 0 0 0	4.0 0 1.3	0 0 0	0.7 0 0.8 0.5			NO (CATCH			0 0 0 0	3.8 0 0 1.3	0 0 0 0	D D O O	0.5 0 0.3 0.3
5 Sept	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	3.8 0 0 1.3	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 4.8 0 1.6	0.6 0.8 0 0.5			NO (CATCH			0 · 0 0		NO CATCI	Н	0.3 0.3 0 0.2
11 Sept	S M B Mean			NO C.	ATCH						NO (CATCH					NO CATCH	4	
18 Sept	S M B Mean			NO CA	ATCH					-	NO C	CATCH	· .				NO CATCH	1 -	
26 Sept	S M B Mean			NO CA	АТСН				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		NO C	ATCH .					NO CATCH	ł	

*Number per 1000 m³.

** S = surface, M = mid-depth, B = bottom.

*** Stations along contours are established within 3-, 1-, and 1/2-mile radii east and west of Nine Mile Point Station, Unit 1.

E-44

Table E-20 (Page 1 of 2)

Abundance* of Rainbow Smelt Postlarvae in Night Ichthyoplankton Collections, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

. 9

	Sample			20-Ft	Contour**	*	· .				40-Ft	Contour**	*			60-Et	80_E+	100-5+	Grand
Date	Depth**	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	NMPP	NMPP	NMPP	Mean
JUN 6-7	S M B Mean	4.4 9.7 5.3 6.5	19.3 33.4 15.6 22.7	8.1 13.5 5.0 8.9	0 0 14.6 4.9	4.1 69.7 10.2 28.0	21.9 9.2 13.9 14.7	9.5 22.6 10.7 14.3	42.1 31.4 4.7 26.1	3.5 22.7 14.3 13.5	9.1 18.9 10.0 12.6	0 9.0 9.9 6.3	21.1 30.7 19.4 23.7	0 23.2 34.3 19.2	12.6 22.7 15.4 16.9	4.3 4.7 5.0 4.7	11.8 0 0 3.9	Ú 0 0 0	9.7 18.4 10.8 13.0
JUN 15-16	S M B Mean	63.3 100.7 104.1 89.4	109.8 87.4 134.7 110.6	13.0 23.1 43.6 26.6	121.6 59.6 138.4 106.5	14.1 25.7 63.9 34.6	72.8 36.2 106.8 71.9	65.8 55.5 98.6 73.3	25.5 14.7 114.7 51.6	74.5 48.8 86.3 69.9	25.9 13.6 68.7 36.1	4.2 19.2 116.3 46.6	4.8 20.6 116.2 47.2	21.6 14.9 51.1 29.2	26.1 22.0 92.2 46.8	30.8 43.6 81.6 52.0	4.5 32.6 142.1 59.7	18.6 26.6 0 15.1	40.3 37.8 91.2 56.4
JUN 19-20	S M B Mean	13.9 0 4.6	0 4.7 0 1.6	0 4.6 19.8 8.1	4.8 5.6 15.7 8.7	0 0 0 0	13.1 9.7 9.2 10.7	5.3 4.1 7.5 5.6	0 10.2 25.3 11.8	4.7 10.1 4.9 6.6	11.1 20.7 19.6 17.1	4.4 0 0 1.5	0 5.0 11.4 5.5	4.0 19.7 4.5 9.4	4.0 11.0 11.0 8.7	0 14.1 8.8 7.6	4.4 14.6 18.7 12.5	4.0 0 4.8 2.9	4.3 7.9 9.5 7.2
JUN 26	S M B Mean	13.6 4.8 0 6.2	0 9.5 3.2	0 9.7 4.8 4.8	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	2.3 2.4 2.4 2.4 2.4	5.0 4.3 15.4 8.2	9.3 4.9 4.9 6.4	13.2 4.3 17.0 11.5	0 0 0	4.2 0 0 1.4	0 5.1 0 1.7	5.3 3.1 6.2 4.9	0 0 13.7 4.6	0 10.3 5.1 5.1	22.7 10.0 0 10.9	4.5 3.6 4.7 4.3
JUL 5-6	S N B Mean	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 5.4 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0.9 0.3	4.6 0 5.4 3.3	0 6.1 0 2.0	0 4.9 0 1.6_	3.8 5.1 0 3.0	4.4 0 0 1.5	0 0 0	2.1 2.7 0.9 1.9	0 14.1 0 4.7	0 4.8 0	0 0 4.7 1.6	0.9 2.7 0.7 1.4
JUL 12-13	S M B Mean		No	Catch					0 0 0 0	0 0 4.2 1.4	3.9 0 4.3 2.7	0000	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.7 0.0 1.4 0.7	0000	0 3.9 1.3	0 8.7 3.9 4.2	0.3 0.6 1.1 0.6
JUL 17-18	S M B Mean		No	Catch					0 0 0 0	0 0 5.9 2.0	0 0 5.3 1.8	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 1.9 0.6	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 3.9 1.3	0 0 1.0 0.3
JUL 24-25	S M B Mean		No	Catch							No Cato	ch				N	o Catch		

*Number per 1000 m³.

** S = surface, M = mid-depth, B = bottom.

Stations along contours are established within 3-, 1-, and 1/2-mile radii east and west of Nine Mile Point Station, Unit 1.

E-45

Table E-20	(Page	2	of	2)
------------	-------	---	----	----

	Sample			20-Ft	Contour**	*					40-Ft	Contour**	n#r		2	60.5+	00 5+	100 5+	Canad
Date	Depth**	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	NMPP	NMPP	NMPP	Mean
31 July 1 Aug	S M B Mean			NO C	ATCH						NO C	АТСН	-				NO CATCI	1	
7-8 Aug	S M B Mean		-	NO C	АТСН				0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 13.1 4.4	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 2.2 0.7		NO CATCI	1	0 0 0.9 0.3
14 Aug	S M B Mean			NO C	ATCH						NO C	ATCH.					NO CATCI	1	
21 Aug	S M B Mean			NO C	ATCH				0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	4,5 0 0 1.5	0.8 0 0 0.3		NO CATCI		0.3 0 0 0.1
28 Aug	S M B Mean	4.5 0 0 1.5	4.5 0 0 1.5	9.7 0 0 3.2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	3.1 0 0 1.0	4.4 0 5.7 3.4	8.0 0 0 2.7	4.7 0 0 1.6	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	2.9 0 1.0 1.3	4.6 0 1.5	0 0 0 0	3.8 0 0 1.3	2.9 0. 0.4 1.1
5 Sept	S M B Mean			NO C	ATCH				0 0 24.0 8.0	4.4 0 0 1.5	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.7 0 4.0 1.6	I	NO CATCH		0.3 0 1.6 0.6
14 Sept	S M B Mean	0 15.3 0 5.1	0 0 0 0	0 6.0 0 2.0	0 0 0	0 21.7 0 7.2	0 0 0 0	0 7.2 0 2.4	0 0 .0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	4.3 0 0 1.4	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.7 0 0 0.2	1	NO CATCH		0.3 2.9 0 1.1

*Number per 1000 m³.

**S = surface, M = mid-depth, B = bottom.

*** Stations along contours are established within 3-, 1-, and 1/2-mile radii east and west of Nine Mile Point Station, Unit 1.

E-46

Table E-21 (Page 1 of 2)

Abundance* of Yellow Perch Postlarvae in Day Ichthyoplankton Collections, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

(No yellow perch postlarvae were collected in day samples before May and after June)

	Sample			20-F1	Contour**	*					40-Ft	Contour**	*			60-Ft	80-Ft	100-Ft	Grand
Date	Depth**	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	NMPP	NMPP	NMPP	Mean
Apr 4	S M B Mean			No Ca	tch						No Cat	ch					No Cat	ch	
Apr 10	S M B Mean			No Ca	tch						No Cat	ch					No Cat	ch	
Apr 17	S M B Mean			No Ca	tch						No Cat	ch					No Cat	.ch	
Apr 24	S M B Nean			No Ca	tch						No Cat	ch			-		No Cat	ch	
May 2	S M B Mean			No Ca	tch						No Cat	.ch					No Cat	.ch	
May 8	S M B Mean			No Ca	tch				_		No Cat	ch					No Cat	ch	
May 15	S M B Mean	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 5.3 10.0 5.1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0.9 1.7 0.8			No Cat	ch .					No Cat	ch	0 0.4 0.7 0.3
May 22	S M B Mean	48.6 94.1 66.8 69.8	21.3 59.9 130.2 70.5	35.0 34.1 24.4 31.2	98.9 118.4 50.3 89.2	122.8 135.4 91.2 116.5	41.2 55.8 82.7 59.9	61.3 83.0 74.3 72.8	34.3 25.4 11.3 23.7	16.8 21.3 10.5 16.2	91.2 25.8 22.6 46.5	20.1 42.8 5.6 22.8	33.6 30.2 52.9 38.9	45.1 30.5 32.7 36.1	40.2 29.3 22.6 30.7	37.6 27.6 5.4 23.6	0 4.9 0 1.6	0 0 0 0	43.1 47.1 39.1 43.1
May 30	S M B Mean	0 5.4 0 1.8	0 10.3 0 3.4	0 10.2 4.8 5.0	4.4 34.2 0 12.9	8.4 63.3 4.8 25.5	0 14.7 0 4.9	2.1 23.0 1.6 8.9	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	4.2 0 0 1.4	0 5.1 0 1.7	3.7 8.9 0 4.2	0 0 0 0	1.3 2.3 0 1.2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1.4 10.1 0.6 4.0

^{*}Number per 1000 m³.

** S = surface, M = mid-depth, B = bottom.

*** Stations along contours are established within 3-, 1-, and 1/2-mile radii east and west of Nine Mile Point Station, Unit 1.

E-47

Table E-21 (Page 2 of 2)

<u> </u>	Camp lo			20-Ft	Contour**	*					40-Ft	Contour**	ntr .			50 Et	00 5+	100 54	Cound
Date	Depth**	3-West	l-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	NMPP	NMPP	NMPP	Mean
JUN 5	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	11.2 4.5 0 5.2	1.9 0.8 0.0 0.9			No	Catch					No Catch		0.8 0.3 0.0 0.4
JUN 12	S M B Mean			No Catc	h						No	Catch					No Catch	1	-
JUN 19	S M B Mean	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	4.6 5.8 0 3.5	0.8 1.0 0.0 0.6	0 0 0 0	0 5.8 0 1.9	0 5.3 0 1.8	0 0 0 0	4.7 0 0 1.6	0 0 0	0.8 1.9 0.0 0.9	. 1	No Catch		0.6 1.1 0.0 0.6
JUN 26	S M B Mean			No Catc	h [.]						No	Catch				•	No Catch		
JUL 5	S M B Mean			No Catc	h ·						No	Catch				. 1	lo Catch		•
JUL 10	S M B Mean			No Catci	n						No	Catch				,	lo Catch		
JUL 17	S M B Mean			No Catc	٦ .						No C	atch	• .			ħ	lo Catch		
JUL 24	S M B Mean			No Catch	1						No Ca	atch				N	lo Catch		

*Number per 1000 m³.

** S = surface, M = mid-depth, B = bottom.

*** Stations along contours are established within 3-, 1-, and 1/2-mile radii east and west of Nine Mile Point Station, Unit 1.

E-48

Abundance*	of	Yellow Perch	Postlarvae	in	Night	Ichthyoplankton	Collections,
		Nine	Mile Point	VI	rinity	1978	

Table E-22

(No yellow perch postlarvae were collected in night samples after June)

1 ·	Sample			20-Ft	Contour**	nitr					40-Ft	Contour**	*				00 54	100 54	
Date	Depth**	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	3-West	1-West	1/2-West	1/2-East	1-East	3-East	Mean	NNPP	NMPP	NMPP	Mean
JUN 6-7	S M B Mean		· .	No	Catch						No Cato	ch ·					No Catc	h	
JUN 15-16	S M B Mean	00000	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 4.9 1.7	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.8 0.3	0 0 0 0	0 0 5.1 1.7	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.9 0.3		No Catc	h	0.0 0.0 0.7 0.2
JUN 19-20	S M B Mean			No) Catch				0 0 0 0	0 0 4.9 1.6	0 0 0 0	0 4.8 0 1.6	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.8 0.8 0.5		No Catc	h	0.0 0.3 0.3 0.2
JUN 26	S M B Mean			No	Catch						No Cato	:h					No Catcl	h	
JUL 5-6	S M B Mean			Nc	Catch			4			No Catc	h .					No Catcl	h	
JUL 12-13	S M B Nean			No	Catch					• .	No Catc	h					No Catch	h	
JUL 17-18	S H B Mean		· ·	No	Catch		-				No Catc	h					No Catcł	h	
JUL 24-25	S M B Mean			No	Catch						No Catc	h					No CAtch	n	

Number per 1000 m³.

"S = surface, M = mid-depth, B = bottom.

Stations along contours are established within 3-, 1-, and 1/2-mile radii east and west of Nine Mile Point Station, Unit 1.

E-49

APPENDIX F

FISHERIES

Participants of the second

- and a second

()

. Antonini transf.

- provert wave (19)

- Catch Rate Data
- Length Frequency
- Coefficient of Maturity and Fecundity
- Age
- Stomach Contents

Time Table for Gill Nets Set at 15-Ft Depth Contour during the Day, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

[ama] dag		NMPW			NMPP			FITZ			NMPE	
Period	Datel	Dur. ²	Time ³	Date	Dur.	Time	Date	Dur.	Time	Date	Dur.	Time
Apr I ⁴	4-6-78	12.3	0545-1800	4-6-78	12.3	0600-1815	4 -6- 78	12.3	0545-1800	4-6-78	12.5	0600-1830
	4-10-78	12.3	0545-1800	4-10-78	12.3	0600-1815	4-10-78	12.3	0545-1800	4-10-78	12.3	0600-1815
Apr II ⁴	4-18-78 4-19-78	12.3	0545-1800 0600-1745	4-18-78 4-19-78	12.5 12.0	0545-1815 0615-1815	4-18-78 4-19-78	12.3 12.3	0545-1800 0545-1800	4-18-78 4-19-78	12.3 12.0	0600-1815 0615-1815
May I	5-2-78	13.5	0615-1945	5-2-78	13.8	0630-2015	5-2-78	14.8	0600-2045	5-2-78	14.8	0615-2100
	5-3-78	13.0	0545-1845	5-3-78	13.3	0615-1930	5-3-78	12.8	0630-1915	5-3-78	13.0	0700-2000
May II	5-16-78	13.5	0545-1915	5-16-78	13.5	0600-1930	5-16-78	13,5	0545-1915	5-16-78	13.3	0615-1930
	5-17-78	14.0	0530-1930	5-17-78	12.8	0630-1915	5-17-78	.13,5	0545-1915	5-17-78	13.3	0615-1930
Jun I	6-6-78	14.8	0530-2015	6-6-78	14.5	0600-2030	6-6-78	14.8	0545-2030	6-6-78	14.8	0600-2045
	6-7-78	14.3	0530-1945	6-7-78	13.8	0615-2000	6-7-78	13.8	0615-2000	6-7-78	13.5	0700-2030
Jun II	6-20-78	14.8	0530-2015	6-20-78	14.8	0545-2030	6-20-78	14.8	0530-2015	6-20-78	15.0	0545-2045
	6-21-78	14.3	0530-1945	6-21-78	14.0	0615-2015	6-21-78	14.0	0545-1945	6-21-78	13.8	0615-2000
Jul I	7-5-78	14.8	0515-2000	7-5-78	14.5	0545-2015	7-5-78	14.8	0515-2000	7-5-78	14.5	0545-2015
	7-6-78	14.5	0530-2000	7-6-78	14.0	0615-2015	7-6-78	14.8	0515-2000	7-6-78	14.3	0615-2030
Jul II	7-18-78	14.3	0545-2000	7-18- 78	14.5	0600-2030	7-18-78	14.0	0600-2000	7-18-78	14.5	0615-2045
	7-19-78	14.5	0530-2000	7-19-78	14.0	0615-2015	7-19-78	14.3	0545-2000	7-19-78	13.5	0645-2015
Aug I	8-1-78	13.3	0615-1930	8-1-78	13.5	0630-2000	8-1-78	13.3	0615-1930	8-1-78	13.5	0630-2000
	8-2-78	13.5	0600-1930	8-2-78	13.3	0645-2000	8-2-78	13.8	0545=1930	8-2-78	12.8	0715-2000
Aug II	8-16-78	13.3	0600-1915	8-16-78	13.5	0615-1945	8-16-78	14.0	0600-2000	8-16-78	12.8	0630-1915
	8-18-78	13.3	0630-1945	8-18-78	12.5	0730-2000	8-18-78	13.5	0630-2000	8-18-78	13.0	0715-2015
Sep I	9-6-78	11.5	0715-1845	9-6-78	11.8	0730-1915	9-6-78	11.8	0715-1900	9-6-78	12.0	0730-1930
	9-10-78	10.8	0715-1800	9-10-78	10.5	0745-1815	9-10-78	10.5	0730-1800	9-10-78	10.3	0800-1815
Sep II	9-19-78	11.3	0700-1815	9-19-78	11.0	07 30- 1830	9-19-78	11.0	0915-1815	9-19-78	11.0	0745-1845
	9-20-78	12.0	0615-1815	9-20-78	12.0	0645-1845	9-20-78	12.0	0615-1815	9-20-78	12.3	0630-1845
Oct I	10-3-78	10.5	0745-1815	10-3-78	10.8	0745-1830	10-3-78	10.8	0730-1815	10-3-78	10.8	0745-1830
	10-4-78	11.0	0715-1815	10-4-78	10.5	0800-1830	10-4-78	10.8	0730-1815	10-4-78	10.5	0800-1830
Oct II	10-17-78	11.0	0730-1830	10-17-78	11.0	0745-1845	10-17-78	10.8	0745-1830	10-17-78	11.0	0800-1900
	10-18-78	11.3	0715-1830	10-18-78	10.8	0800-1845	10-18-78	11.0	0730-1830	10-18-78	10.5	0815-1845
Nov I	10-31-78	9.3	0700-1615	10-31-78	9.8	0715-1700	10-31-78	9.3	0700-1615	10-31-78	9.8	0700-1645
	11-1-78	9.0	0730-1630	11-1-78	9.0	0800-1700	11-1-78	8.8	0745-1630	11-1-78	8.8	0815-1700
Nov II	11-16-78	8.8	0745-1630	11-16-78	9.0	0800-1700	11-16-78	9.0	0800-1700	11-16-78	8.8	0800-1645
	11-17-78	8.8	0745-1630	11-17-78	8.8	0815-1700	11-17-78	9.0	0730-1630	11-17-78	10.3	0715-1730
Dec I	12-6-78 12-7-78	8.8 9.3	0715-1600 0715-1630	12-6-78 12-7-78	9.3 9.0	0730-1645 0745-1645	12-6-78 12-7-78	8.8	0730-1615 0800-1630	12-6-78 12-7-78	8.8 9.3	0745-1630 0730-1645

Dec II

No samples taken due to weather

¹Pick-up date

 $2_{\text{Dur.}}$ = duration in hours

³Set time and pick-up time

 ${}^{4}I$ = first sampling period; II = second sampling period.

F-1

Time Table for Gill Nets Set at 15-Ft Depth Contour during the Night, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

Table F-2

a. 11		NMPW			NMPP			FITZ			NMPE	
Period	Datel	Dur 2	Time ³	Date	Dur.	Time	Date	Dur.	Time	Date	Dur.	Time
Apr I ⁴	4-7-78 4-11-78	11.5	Missed Samp1 1800-0530	e ⁵ 4-11-78	11.0 12.0	1830-0530 1815-0615	4-7-78 4-11-78	11.0 11.5	1815-0515 1800-0530	4-7-78 4-11-78	11.8 11.8	1830-0615 1815-0600
Apr II ⁴	4-19-78	12.0	1800-0600	4-19-78	12.0	1815-0615	4-19-78	.11.5	1800-0530	4-19-78	12.0	1815-0615
	4-20-78	11.5	1800-0530	4-20-78	11.5	1830-0600	4-20-78	11.5	1800-0530	4-20-78	11.5	1815-0545
May I	5-3-78	9.5	2000-0530	5-3-78	9.5	2030-0600	5-3-78	9.8	2045-0630	5-3-78	9.5	2115-0645
	5-4-78	10.5	1900-0530	5-4-78	10.5	1930-0600	5-4-78	10.5	1915-0545	5-4-78	10.0	2000-0600
May II	5-17-78 5-18-78	10.0 9.8	1915-0515 1945-0530	5-17-78 5-18-78	10.0 10.8	1945-0545 1915-0600	5-17-78 5-18-78	10.0 10.3	1915-0515 1915-0530	5-17-78 5-18-78	10.5	1930-0600 1930-0600
Jun I	6-7-78	9.0	2015-0515	6-7-78	9.3	2045-0600	6-7-78	9.5	2030-0600	6-7-78	9.8	2100-0645
	6-8-78	13.3	1945-0900	6-8-78	12.8	2000-0845	6-8-78	12.3	2000-0815	6-8-78	11.0	2045-0745
Jun II	6-21-78	9.0	2015-0515	6-21-78	9.0	2045-0545	6-21-78	9.0	2015-0515	6-21-78	9.0	2100-0600
	6-22-78	9.8	1945-0530	6-22-78	9.5	2030-0600	6-22-78	10.5	1945-0615	6-22-78	10.3	2015-0630
Jul I	7-6-78	9.0	2000-0500	7-6-78	9.5	2030-0600	7-6-78	9.0	2000-0500	7-6-78	9.0	2030-0530
	7-7-78	9.3	2000-0515	7-7-78	9.3	2030-0545	7-7-78	9.5	2000-0530	7-7-78	9.8	2030-0615
Jul II	7-19-78	9.3	- 2000-0515	7-19-78	9.3	2045-0600	7-19-78	9.5	2000-0530	7-19-78	9.5	2045-0615
	7-20-78	9.5	2000-0530	7-20-78	9.5	2030-0600	7-20-78	9.3	2000-0515	7-20-78	9.3	2030-0545
Aug 1	8-2-78	10.3	1930-0545	8-2-78	10.5	2000-0630	8-2-78	10.0	1945-0545	8-2-78	10.3	2015-0630
	8-3-78	10.0	1945-0545	8-3-78	10.5	2000-0630	8-3-78	10.0	1945-0545	8-3-78	10.0	2000-0600
Aug II	8-17 -78	*10.3-	1930-0545	8-17-78	10.8	1945-0630	8-17-78	10.0	2000-0600	8-17-78	10.8	1930-0615
	8-19-78	9.5	1945-0515	8-19-78	9.8	2015-0600	8-19-78	10.0	2015-0615	8-19-78	10.0	2100-0700
Sep I	9-10-78	11.3	1930-0645	9-10-78	11.5	2000-0730	9-10-78	11.3	1945-0700	9-10-78	10.3	2130-0745
	9-11-78	12.5	1800-0630	9-11-78	12.5	1830-0700	9-11-78	12.8	1800-0645	9-11-78	13.0	1815-0715
Sep II	9-19-78	12.8	1800 -0645	9-19-78	12.8	1830-0715	9-19-78	14.8	1815-0900	9-19-78	13.0	1830-0730
	9-20-78	11.8	1830-0615	9-20-78	12.0	1845-0645	9-20-78	12.0	1815-0615	9-20-78	11.8	1845-0630
Oct I	10-4-78	12.8	1815-0700	10-4-78	13.Q	1830-0730	10-4-78	12.8	1815-0700	10-4-78	13.0	1845-0745
	10-5-78	12.3	1830-0645	10-5-78	12.5	1845-0715	10-5-78	12.5	1815-0645	10-5-78	12.8	1845-0730
Oct II	10-18-78 10-19-78	12.5 12.3	1830-0700 1830-0645	10-18-78 10-19-78	13.3 12.3	1845 -0800 1900-0715	10-18-78 10-19-78	12.3 12.5	1845-0700	10-18-78 10-19-78	13.0 12.3	1900-0800 1900-0715
Nov I	11-1-78	14.8	1630-0715	11-1-78	14.8	1700-0745	11-1-78	15.0	1630-0730	11-1-78	15.3	1645-0800
	11-2-78	15.0	1630-0730	11-2-78	14.8	1700-0745	11-2-78	14.0	1645-0645	11-2-78	13.8	1715-0700
Nov II -	11-16-78	14.5	1700-0730	11-16-78	14.3	1730-0745	11-16-78	15.0	1700-0800	11-16-78	14.5	1715-0745
	11-17-78	15.0	1630-0730	11-17-78	15.0	1700-0800	11-17-78	14.3	1715-0730	11-17-78	14.3	1700-0715
Dec I	12 -7- 78	15.3	1600-0715	12-7-78	15.0	1645-0745	12-7-78	15.5	1615-0745	12-7-78	14.5	1645-0715
	12-8-78	15.0	1630-0730	12-8-78	15.0	1645-0745	12-8-78	15.0	1630-0730	12-8-78	14.8	1700-0745

No samples taken due to weather

Dec II

¹Pick-up date ²Dur. = duration in hours

³Set time and pick-up time

 4_{I} = first sampling period; II = second sampling period.

⁵Sample voided due to damaged net.

F-2

ime Tab	le for Gi	11 Nets Set	at :	20-Ft Depth	Contour	durir	ng the Day	, Nine	Mile F	oint Vicinity,	197
	C	_	NMPW			FITZ			NMPE		
	Period	Date ¹	Dur.2	Time ³	Date	Dur.	Time	Date	Dur.	Time	
•	Apr I ⁴	4-6-78	13.0	0645-1945	4-6-78	13.3	0645-2000	4-6-78	12.8	0630-1915	
-	Apr II ⁴	4-18-78	12.5	0630-1900	4-18-78	12.5	0645-1915	4-18-78	12.5	0615-1845	
	May I	5-2-78	16.0	0730-2330	5-2-78	15.3	0700-2215	5-2-78	12.3	0645-1900	:
	May II	5-16-78	12.0	0630-1830	5-16-78	12.0	0700-1900	5-16-78	11.8	0630-1815	
	Jun I	6-6-78	12.5	0645-1915	6-6-78	13.0	0645-1945	6-6-78	12.8	0615-1900	
	Jun II	6-20-78	13.0	0630-1930	6-20-78	13.3	0630-1945	6-20-78	13.5	0615-1945	•
	Jul Í	7-5-78	12.8	0630-1915	7-5-78	12.8	0630-1915	7-5-78	12.5	0600-1830	
	Jul II	7-18-78	12.8	0645-1930	7-18-78	12.5	. 0700–1930	7-18-78	12.5	0630-1900	
	Aug I	8-1-78	12.5	0645-1915	8-1-78	11.8	0730-1915	8-1-78	11.8	0700-1845	•
	Aug II	8-16-78	12.3	0700-1915	8-16-78	12.8	0715-2000	8-16-78	12.3	0700-1915	
	Sep I	9-6-78	11.8	0815-2100	9-6-78	13.3	0830-2145	9-6-78	12.5	0800-2030	
	Sep II	9-19-78	10.5	0900-1930	9-19-78	12.8	0700-1945	9-19-78	10.5	0845-1915	
	Oct I	10-3-78	11.3	0830-1945	10-3-78	11.3	0830-1945	10-3-78	11.0	0815-1915	
	Oct II	10-17-78	13.8	0815-2000	10-17-78	11.8	0845-2030	10-17-78	11.5	0830-2000	
	Nov I	10-31-78	11.5	0700-1830	10-31-78	11.5	0645-1815	10-31-78	11.0	0630-1730	
	Nov II	11-16-78	10.5	0900-1930	11-16-78	10.8	0900-1945	11-16-78	11.0	0745-1845	
	Dec I	12-6-78	10.8	0815-1900	12-6-78	10.8	0845-1930	12-6-78	10.0	0815-1815	
	Dec II			No	samples t	aken du	e to weather	•			

No samples taken due to weather ۰.

مرتي سو .

¹Pick-up date

 2 Dur. = Duration in hours

 $^{3}\mathrm{Set}$ time and pick-up time

 4 I = first sampling period; II = second sampling period.

F-3

	•	•		•	Table	F-4		•					(
me Table	for Gill	Nets Set	at 2	0-Ft Depth	Contour	durin	ng the Nig	ght, Nine	Mile	Point Vic	inity,	1978	
	6	•	NMPW			FÌTZ			NMPE				
	Period	Date ¹	Dur.2	Time ³	Date	Dur.	Time	Date	Dur.	Time			
:	Apr 1 ⁴	4-7-78	.11.5	1945-0715	4-7-78	11.8	2015-0800	4-7-78	12.0	1930-0730	•		
	Apr II ⁴	4-19-78	12.3	1915-0730	4-19-78	12.5	1915-0745	4-19-78	12.0	1900-0700			
	May I	5-3-78	8.0	2345-0745	5-3-78	8.3	2215-0630	5-3-78	12.3	1915-0730			
	May II	5-17-78	13.3	1845-0800	5-17-78	12.8	1900-0745	5-17-78	.12.5	1830-0700			
	Jun I	6-7- 78	12.0	1915-0715	6-7-78	12.3	1945-0800	6-7-78	12.5	1915-0745		•	
	Jun II	6-21-78	12.0	1930-0730	6-21-78	11.5	2000-0730	. 6-21-78	10.8	2000-0645			
	Jul I	7-6-78	12.3	1930-0745	7-6-78	13.0	1915-0815	7-6-78	12.3	1845-0700	•		
	յոյ II	7-19-78	12.3	1945-0800	7-19-78	12.8	1945-0830	7-19-78	12.5	1900-0730			
	Aug I	8-2-78	12.8	1915-0800	8-2-78	13.3	1930-0845	8-2-78	13.3	1845-0800			
	Aug II	8-17-78	13.5	1915-0845	8-17-78	12.8	2000-0845	8-17-78	12.0	1915-0715		· .	
	Sep I	9-10-78	10.3	2245-0900	9-10-78	9.0	0115-1015	9-10-78	9.3	2330-0845		,	
	Sep II	9-19-78	13.5	1915-0845	9-19-78	11.0	1945-0645	9-19-78	13.3	1915-0830	• *		
	Oct I	10-4-78	13.0	2000-0900	10-4-78	13.5	2000-0930	10-4-78	13.8	1915-0900		•	
	Oct II	10-18-78	13.8	2015-1000	10-18-78	13.8	2030-1015	10-18-78	13.3	2000-0915			
	Nov I	11-1-78	13.8	1845-0830	11-1-78	13-0	1830-0730	11-1-78	13.3	1745-0700			
	Nov II	11-16-78	14.0	1900-0900	11-16-78	14.3	1845-0900	11-16-78	13.5	1800-0730			
	Dec I	12-7-78	13.5	1900-0830	12-7-78	12.8	1945-0830	12-7-78	12.8	1830-0715		•	
	Dec II			N	o samples	taken di	ie to weather	•	79- A			•	
	lpick-up d	late		· .	•								
	² Dur. = Du	iration in h	ours			· · ·							
	³ Set time	and pick-up	time					-					· ·

4I = first sampling period; II = second sampling period.

F-4

Time Table for Gill Nets Set at 30-Ft Depth Contour during the Day, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

		NMPW			NMPP			FITZ			NMPE	
Period	Datel	Dur.2	Time ³	Date	Dur.	Time	Date	Dur.	Time	Date	Dur.	Time
Apr 1 ⁴	4-6-78	12.8	0645-1930	4-6-78	12.5	0630-1900	4-6-78	13.0	0645-1945	4-6-78	13.0	0615-1915
	4-10-78	9.8	0700-1645	4-10-78	12.0	0630-1830	4-10-78	12.0	0700-1900	4-10-78	12.3	0630-1845
Apr II ⁴	4-18-78	12.5	0630-1900	4-18-78	12.3	0615-1830	4-18-78	12.8	0630-1915	4-18-78	12.5	0615-1845
	4-19-78	11.8	0730-1915	4-19-78	11.8	0700-1845	4-19-78	11.5	0745-1915	4-19-78	11.8	0700-1845
May I	5-2-78	16.0	0715-2315	5-2-78	15.8	0700-2245	5-2-78	15.0	0700-2000	5-2-78	12.0	0645-1845
	5-4-78	12.3	0715-1930	5-4-78	11.3	0645-1800	5-4-78	11.5	0700-1830	5-4-78	11.8	0730-1915
May II	5-16-78	12.0	0630-1830	5-16-78	12.0	0600-1800	5-16-78	12.8	0700-1845	5-16-78	11.8	0630-1815
	5-17-78	11.0	0745-1845	5-17-78	10.5	0730-1800	5-17-78	10.8	0745-1830	5-17-78	11.0	0700-1800
Jun I	6-6-78	14.3	0645-1900	6-6-78	12.5	0615-1845	6-6-78	13.0	0630-1930	6-6-78	12.8	0615-1900
	6-7-78	12.0	0715-1915	6-7-78	12.3	0645-1900	6-7-78	11.5	0815-1945	6-7-78	11.8	0730-1915
Jun II	6-20-78	12.8	0630-1915	6-20-78	12.8	0615-1900	6-20-78	13.3	0630-1945	6-20-78	13.3	0615-1930
-	6-21-78	11.5	0730-1900	6-21-78		0700-1830	6-21-78	11.8	0730-1915	6-21-78	12.0	0645-1845
Jul I	7-5-78	12.8	0615-1900	7-5-78	12.5	0600-1830	7-5-78	12.8	0615-1900	7-5-78	12.3	0600-1815
	7-6-78	11.8	0730-1915	7-6-78	11.8	0700-1845	7-6-78	11.0	0800-1900	7-6-78	11.8	0700-1845
Ju] II	7-18-78	12.8	0630-1915	7-18-78	12.5	0615-1845	7-18-78	12.5	0645-1915	7-18-78	12.3	0630-1845
	7-19-78	11.3	0800-1915	7-19-78	11.5	0715-1845	7-19-78	11.0	0830-1930	7-19-78	11.8	0715-1900
Aug I	8-1-78	12.3	0645-1900	8-1-78	12.0	0630-1830	8-1 - 78	11.8	0730-1915	8-1-78	11.5	0700-1830
	8-2-78	10.8	0830-1915	8-2-78	11.8	0715-1900	8-2-78	10.3	0845-1900	8-2-78	11.0	0745-1845
Aug II	8-16-78	12.3	0645-1900	8-16-78	12.3	0630-1845	8-16-78	12.8	0715-2000	8-16-78	12.0	0700-1900
	8-18-78	9.8	0945-1930	8-18-78	10.3	0845-1900	8-18-78	10.5	0915-1945	8-18-78	10.8	0830-1915
Sep I	9-6-78	12.5	0800-2030	9-6-78	12.3	0745-2000	9-6-78	13.3	0815-2130	9-6-78	12.3	0800-2015
	9-10-78	10.3	0900-1915	9-10-78	10.3	0815-1830	9-10-78	9,3	1000-1915	9-10-78	10.5	0830-1900
Sep II	9-19-78	10.8	0845-1930	9-19-78	10.8	0815-1900	9-19-78	10.3	0915-1930	9-19-78	10.5	0830-1900
	9-20-78	12.0	0730-1930	9-20-78	11.8	0715-1900	9-20-78	12.0	0730-1930	9-20-78	12.0	0715-1915
Oct I	10-3-78	11.0	0815-1915	10-3-78	11.0	0800-1900	10-3-78	11.3	0830-1945	10-3-78	11.0	0800-1900
	10-4-78	10.5	0900-1930	10-4-78	10.5	0830-1900	10-4-78	9.8	0930-1915	10-4-78	10.3	0845-1900
Oct II	10~17-78 10-18-78	11.5	0815-1945 1000-2045	10-17-78 10-18-78	11.3 11.3	0800-1915 0900-2015	10-17-78 10-18-78	11.5 10.8	0845-2015 1015-2100	10-17-78 10-18-78	11.5 11.5	0815-1945 0915-2045
Nov I	10-31-78	11.5	0645-1815	10-31-78	11.3	0630-1745	10-31-78	11.3	0645-1800	10-31-78	11.0	0630-1730
	11-1-78	10.3	0845-1900	11-1-78	11.5	0645-1815	11-1-78	10.8	0730-1815	11-1-78	11.0	0645-1745
Nov II	11-16-78	10.3	0845-1900	11-16-78	10.5	0715-1745	11-16-78	10.8	0845-1930	11-16-78	11.0	0730-1830
	11-21-78	10.3	0645-1700	11-21-78	10.3	0700-1715	11-21-78	10.3	0730-1745	11-21-78	10.0	0800-1800
Dec I	12-6-78	10.5	0815-1845	12-6-78	10.0	0800-1800	12-6-78	10.8	0830-1915	12-6-78	10.0	0800-1800
	12-7-78	11.0	0815-1915	12-7-78	10.0	0715-1715	12-7-78	10.3	0830-1845	12 - 7-78	10.5	0700-1730
Dec II					lo camol	os takon dur	to woatho	~				

¹Pick-up date

 $2_{\text{Dur.}}$ = duration in hours

³Set time and pick-up time

 ${}^{4}I$ = first sampling period; II = second sampling period.

F-5

Time Table for Gill Nets Set at 30-Ft Depth Contour during the Night, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

			•									
Sampling		NMPW	2		NMPP			FITZ			NMPE	
Period	Datei	Dur. ²	Time ³	Date	Dur.	Time	Date	Dur.	Time	Date	Dur.	Time
Apr I ⁴	4-7-78	11.5	1930-0700	4-7-78	11.3	1900-0615	4-7-78	12.0	2000-0800	4-7-78	11.8	1915-0700
	4-11-78	14.5	1700-0730	4-11-78	12.5	1830-0700	4-11-78	12.0	1900-0700	4-11-78	11.8	1845-0630
Apr II ⁴	4-19-78	12.3	1900-0715	4-19-78	12.5	1830-0700	4-19-78	12.3	1915-0730	4-19-78	12.0	1845-0645
	4-20-78	10.0	1930-0530	4-20-78	11.3	1900-0615	4-20-78	11.3	1915-0630	4-20-78	11.5	1845-0615
May I	5-3-78	8.0	2330-0730	5-3-78	8.3	2245-0700	5-3-78	8.3	2200-0615	5-3-78	12.5	1900-0730
	5-4-78	12.3	1845-0700	5-4-78	12.0	1830-0630	5-4-78	12.3	1830-0645	5-4-78	12.3	1900-0715
May II	5-17-78	13.3	1830-0745	5-17-78	12.8	1815-0700	5-17-78	12.8	1845-0730	5-17-78	12.5	1815-0645
	5-18-78	12.0	1900-0700	5-18-78	12.5	1800-0630	5-18-78	12.3	1845-0700	5-18-78	12.5	1815-0645
Jun I	6-7-78	11.8	1915-0700	6-7-78	11.8	1845-0630	6-7-78	12.3	1945-0800	6-7-78	12.5	1900-0730
	6-8-78	12,3	1 930-0945	6-8-7 8	13.8	1900-0845	6-8-78	12.5	1945-0815	6-8-78	12.5	1915-0745
Jun II	6-21-78	12.0	1915-0715	6-21-78	11.8	1900-0645	6-21 <i>-</i> 78	11.8	1945-0730	6-21-78	11.0	1945-0645
	6-22-78	12.3	1900-0715	6-22-78	12.0	1845-0645	6-22-78	12.0	1915-0715	6-22-78	12.3	1845-0700
Jul I	7-6-78	12.3	1900-0715	7-6-78	12.0	1845-0645	7-6-78.	12.5	1915-0745	7-6-78	12.3	1830-0645
	7-7-78	11.8	1915-0700	7-7-78	11.8	1845-0630	7-7-78	12.3	1915-0730	7 -7- 78	12.5	1845-0715
Jul II	7-19-78	12.3	1915-0730	7-19-78	12.3	1845-0700	7-19-78	12.8	1930-0815	7-19-78	12.3	1845-0700
	7-20-78	10.5	1915-0545	7-20 - 78	12.3	1845-0700	7-20-78	11.3	1945-0700	7-20-78	11.5	1900-0630
Aug I	8-2-78	12.8	1900-0745	8-2-78	12.8	1830-0715	8-2-78	13.3	1915-0830	8-2-78	12.8	1845-0730
	8-3-78	12.3	1930-0745	8-3-78	12.0	1990-0700	8-3-78	12.0	1915-0715	8-3-78	12.0	1845-0645
Aug II	8-17-78	13.0	1915-0815	8-17-78	13.0	1845-0745	8-17-78	12.0	2015-0815	8-17-78	12.0	1900-0700
	8-19-78	11.8	1930-0715	8-19-78	11.5	1915-0645	8-19-78	10.3	1945-0600	8-19-78	10.3	1930-0545
Sep I	9-10-78	9.5	2215-0845	9-10-78	11.0	2115-0815	9-10-78	9.0	0045-0945	9-10-78	9.5	2245-0815
	9-11-78	12.8	1915-0800	9-11-78	13.0	1830-0730	9-11-78	12.5	1930-0800	9-11-78	12.5	1900-0730
Sep II	9-19-78 9-20-78	13.5 12.0	1900-0830 1930-0730	9-19-78 9-20-78	13.3 12.0	1845-0800 1900-0700	9-19-78 9-20-78	13.5	1930-0900 1945-0730	9-19-78 9-20-78	13.3 12.0	1900-0815 1915-0715
Oct I	10-4-78	13.0	1945-0845	10-4-78	13.5	1900-0830	10-4-78	13.5	1945~0915	10-4-78	13.5	1915-0845
	10-5-78	13.0	1945-0845	10-5-78	13.0	1915-0815	10-5-78	13.0	1930 ~0 830	10-5-78	13.0	1900-0800
Oct II	10-18-78	13.5	2000-0930	10-18-78	13.3	1930-0845	10-18-78	13.5	2030-1000	10-18-78	13.3	1945-0900
	10-19-78	12.0	2045-0845	10-19-78	11.8	2015 -080 0	10-19-78	11.0	2115-0815	10-19-78	11.0	2045-0745
Nov I	11-1-78	14.0	1830-0830	11-1-78	12.8	1745-0630	11-1-78	13.0	1815-0715	11-1-78	13.0	1730-0630
	11-2-78	12.3	1915-0730	11-2-78	12.0	1830-0630	11-2-78	13.3	1830-0745	11-2-78	12.8	1745-0630
Nov II	11-16-78	13.8	1845-0830	11-16-78	13.3	1745-0700	11-16-78	14.3	1830-0845	11 -16- 78	13.5	1800-0730
	11-17-78	12.0	1915-0715	11-17-78	13.0	1745-0645	11-17 - 78	12.8	1930-0815	11 - 17-78	12.3	1830-0645
Dec I	12-7-78	13.5	1845-0815	12-7-78	13.0	1800-0700	12-7-78	13.0	1930-0830	12-7-78	12.5	1815-0645
	12-8-78	13.3	1915-0830	12-8-78	15.0	1715-0815	12-8-78	13.8	1845-0830	12-8-78	14.5	1745-0815
Dec II				1	wo sampl	es taken due	to weather	r '				
1 _{Pick-up}	lato											
i i un - up u												

 2 Dur. = duration in hours

³Set time and pick-up time

 ${}^{4}I$ = first sampling period; II = second sampling period.

F-6

Time Table for Gill Nets Set at 40-Ft Depth Contour during the Day, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

Complian.		NMPW			NMPP			FITZ			NMPE	
Period	Datel	Dur. ²	Time ³	Date	Dur.	Time	Date	Dur.	Time	Date	Dur.	Time
Apr I ⁴	4-6-78	12.3	0600-1815	4-6-78	12.3	0615-1830	4-6-78	12.5	0545-1815	4-6-78	12.8	0600-1845
	4-10-78	12.0	0600-1800	4-10-78	12.0	0615-1815	4-10-78	12.0	0600-1800	4-10-78	12.0	0615-1815
Apr II ⁴	4-18-78	12.3	0545-1800	4-18-78	12.3	0600-1815	4-18-78	12.3	0545-1800	4-18-78	12.5	0600-1830
	4-19-78	12.0	0600-1800	4-19-78	12.0	0630-1830	4-19-78	11.8	0615-1800	4-19 - 78	12.0	0630-1830
May I	5-2-78	13.8	0615-2000	5-2-78	14.0	0630-2030	5-2-78	14.5	0615-2045	5-2-78	14.8	0630-2115
	5-3-78	13.0	0600-1900	5-3-78	13.3	0630-1945	5-3-78	12.8	0645-1930	5-3-78	13.0	0715-2015
May II	5-16-78	13.8	0545-1930	5-16-78	13.8	0600-1945	5-16-78	13.3	0600-1915	5-16-78	12.3	0615-1930
	5-17-78	14.3	0530-1945	5-17-78	12.5	0645-1915	5-17-78	13.5	0545-1915	5-17 <i>-</i> 78	13.3	0630-1945
Jun I	6-6-78	14.5	0545-2015	6-6-78	14.8	0600-2045	6-6-78	14.5	0545-2015	6-6-78	14.8	0600-2045
	6-7-78	14.3	0545-2000	6-7-78	14.0	0615-2015	6-7-78	13.8	0600-1945	6-7-78	13.5	0645-2015
Jun II	6-20-78	14.5	0545-2015	6-20-78	15.0	0600-2100	6-20-78	14.8	0545-2030	6-20-78	15.0	0600-2100
	6-21-78	14.5	0530-2000	6-21-78	14.3	0615-2030	6-21-78	13.8	0600-1945	6-21-78	13.8	0630-2015
Jul I	7-5-78	14.5	0530-2000	7-5-78	14.8	0545-2030	7-5-78 ·	14.5	0530-2000	7-5-78	15.0	0545-2045
	7-6-78	14.5	0545-2015	7-6-78	14.0	0630-2030	7-6-78	14.8	0530-2015	7 - 6-78	14.3	0630-2045
Jul II	7-18-78	14.5	0545-2015	7-18-78	14.8	0600-2045	7-18-78	14.3	0600-2015	7-18-78	14.8	0615-2100
	7 -19- 78	14.0	0600-2000	7-19-78	14.0	0630-2030	7-19-78	14.0	0600-2000	7-19-78	13.5	0700-2030
Aug I	8-1-78	13.5	0615-1945	8-1-78	13.5	0630-2000	8-1-78	13.5	0615-1945	8-1-78	13.8	0630-2015
	8-2-78	13.5	0615-1945	8-2-78	13.3	0700-2015	8-2-78	13.5	0615-1945	8-2-78	12.8	0715-2000
Aug II	8-16-78	13.3	0615-1930	8-16-78	13.0	0630~1930	8-16-78	13.8	0615-2000	8-16-78	13.0	0630-1930
	8-18-78	12.8	0700-1945	8-18-78	12.5	0800-2030	8-18-78	12.8	0700-1945	8-18-78	13.3	0745-2100
Sep I	9-6-78	11.5	0730-1900	9-6-78	12.0	0730-1930	9-6-78	11.8	0730-1915	9-6-78	12.0	0745-1945
	9-10-78	10.5	0730-1800	9-10-78	10.5	0800-1830	9-10-78	9.0	0900-1800	9-10-78	10.3	0815-1830
Sep II	9-19-78	11.3	0715-1830	9-19-78	11.0	0745-1845	9-19-78	11.0	0730-1830	9-19-78	10.8	0800-1845
	9-20-78	12.0	0630-1830	9-20-78	11.8	0700-1845	9-20-78	12.0	0630-1830	9-20-78	11.8	0700-1845
Oct I	10-3-78	10.5	0745-1815	10-3-78	10.5	0800-1830	10-3-78	10.8	0730-1815	10-3-78	11.0	0745-1845
	10-4-78	11.0	0730-1830	10-4-78	10.5	0815-1845	10-4-78	10.8	0745-1830	10-4-78	10.5	0815-1845
Oct II	10-17-78	11.0	0730-1830	10-17-78	11.3	0745-1900	10-17-78	11.0	0745-1845	10-17-78	11.0	0800-1900
	10-18-78	11.0	0745-1845	10-18-78	10.8	0815-1900	10-18-78	11.0	0745-1845	10-18-78	10.5	0830-1900
Nov I	10-31-78	9.8	0700-1645	10-31-78	10.0	0715-1715	10-31-78	9.5	0700-1630	10-31-78	10.0	0700-1700
	11-1-78	9.3	0730-1645	11-2-78	10.3	0645-1700	11-1-78	8.8	0800-1645	11-1-78	9.0	0830-1730
Nov II	11-16-78	9.3	0730-1645	11-16-78	9.3	0800-1715	11-16-78	8.8	0830-1715	11-16-78	9.5	0715-1645
	11-17-78	8.8	0800-1645	11-17-78	9.5	0815-1745	11-17-78	9.0	0745-1645	11-17-78	10.0	0715-1715
Dec I	12-6-78	8.8	0730-1615	12-6-78	9.0	0745-1645	12-6-78	9.0	0730-1630	12-6-78	9.0	0745-1645
	12-7-78	9.0	0730-1630	12-7-78	8.8	0800-1645	12-7-78	8.5	0815-1645	12-7-78	9.3	0745-1700
Dec II				N	o sampl	es taken due	to weather					

¹Pick-up date

 2 Dur. = duration in hours

³Set time and pick-up time

 4 I = first sampling period; II = second sampling period.

F-7

Time Table for Gill Nets Set at 40-Ft Depth Contour during the Night, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978 FITZ NMPW NMPP NMPE Sampling Dur.2 Time³ Period Date Date Dur. Time Date Dur. Time Date Dur. Time Apr 14 4-7-78 11.0 1815-0515 4-7-78 11.0 1845-0545 4-7-78 11.5 1815-0545 4-7-78 11.5 1900-0630 1800-0545 4-11-78 11.5 1815-0545 4-11-78 12.3 1815-0630 4-11-78 11.8 4-11-78 12.0 1815-0615 Apr II⁴ 4~19-78 12.0 1800-0600 4-19-78 12.3 1815-0630 4-19-78 1800-0600 11.8 1830-0615 12.0 4-19-78 4-20-78 12.3 1815-0630 4-20-78 1830-0600 1815-0530 11.5 4-20-78 11.3 1830-0600 4-20-78 11.5 5-3-78 May I 9.5 2015-0545 5-3-78 2030-0615 9.8 5-3-78 9.8 2100-0645 5-3-78 9.5 2130-0700 5-4-78 1915-0545 10.5 5-4-78 10,0 2000-0600 5-4-78 10.3 1930-0545 5-4-78 9.8 2030-0615 5-17-78 10.5 May II 10.0 1930-0530 5-17-78 2000-0630 5-17-78 1915-0545 10.5 5-17-78 10.8 1945-0630 5-18-78 9.5 2000-0530 1930-0615 5-18-78 10.8 5-18-78 10.3 1930-0545 5-18-78 10.5 1945-0615 Jun I 6-7-78 9.0 2030-0530 6-7-78 9.5 2045-0615 6-7-78 2015-0545 9.8 2045-0630 9.5 6-7-78 6-8-78 13.8 2000-0945 6-8-78 12.3 2030-0845 6-8-78 12.5 2000-0830 6-8-78 11.5 2015-0745 6-21-78 2100-0615 June II 9.0 2030-0530 6-21-78 9.3 Missed Sample⁵ 2100-0630 6-21-78 9.5 6-22-78 9.8 2000-0545 6-22-78 9.5 2030-0600 6-22-78 10.3 2000-0615 2030-0630 6-22-78 10.0 Jul I 7-6-78 9.5 2015-0545 2045-0615 7-6-78 9.5 7-6-78 9.3 2015-0530 7-6-78 9.3 2100-0615 7-7-78 9.5 2015-0545 7-7-78 9.3 2045-0600 7-7-78 9.8 2015-0600 7-7-78 2045-0700 10.3 2100-0645 Jul II 7-19-78 9.3 2015-0530 7-19-78 9.3 2100-0615 7-19-78 2030-0545 9.3 7-19-78 9.8 7-20-78 2015-0715 2030-0615 11.0 7-20-78 9.8 7-20-78 9.3 2015-0530 7-20-78 9.3 2045-0600 8-2-78 Aug I 10.3 1945-0600 2000-0645 8-2-78 10.8 8-2-78 10.0 2000-0600 8-2-78 11.0 2015-0715 8-3-78 10.3 1945-0600 8-3-78 2015-0715 8-3-78 1945-0600 11.0 10.3 8-3-78 10.3 2000-0615 Aug II 8-17-78 10.8 1930-0615 8-17-78 11.5 1930-0700 8-17-78 2015-0600 1945-0630 9.8 8-17-78 10.8 8-19-78 2000-0545 9.8 2030-0615 8-19-78 9.8 8-19-78 10.5 2000-0630 8-19-78 10.3 2100-0715 9-10-78 Sep I 11.5 1945-0715 9-10-78 11.3 2030-0745 9-15-78 13.8 1800-0745 Missed Sample⁵ 9-11-78 12.5 1815-0645 9-11-78 1830-0715 9-11-78 1815-0700 12.8 12.8 13.3 1830-0745 9-11-78 9-19-78 Sep II 12.8 1815-0700 1830-0730 9-19-78 13.0 9-19-78 13.0 1815-0715 9-19-78 13.0 1845-0745 9-20-78 12.0 1830-0630 9-20-78 12.0 1845-0645 9-20-78 12.0 1830-0630 9-20-78 12.0 1845-0645 Oct I 10-4-78 12.8 1830-0715 10-4-78 13.3 1845-0800 10-4-78 13.0 1830-0730 10-4-78 13.0 1900-0800 10-5-78 1830-0700 12.5 10-5-78 1845-0745 10-5-78 1830-0715 10-5-78 1845-0745 13.0 12.8 13.0 Oct II 10-18-78 12.8 1845-0730 10-18-78 1915-0815 13.0 10-18-78 12.8 1845-0730 1915-0815 10-18-78 13.0 10-19-78 12.3 1845-0700 10-19-78 12.0 1915-0715 10-19-78 12.3 1845-0700 10-19-78 12.5 1900-0730 Nov I 11-1-78 1645-0730 14.8 11-2-78 13.8 1815-0800 11-1-78 15.0 1645-0745 1700-0815 11-1-78 15.3 11-2-78 14.5 1645-0715 11-3-78 14.3 1700-0715 11-2-78 13.8 1700-0645 11-2-78 13.5 1745-0715 Nov II 11-16-78 14.0 1715-0715 11-16-78 13.5 1730-0800 11-16-78 1700-0815 11-16-78 1715-0700 15.3 13.8 11-17-78 12.8 1700-0745 11-17-78 13.0 1715-0815 11-17-78 14.3 1730-0745 11-17-78 14.3 1645-0700 Dec I 12-7-78 15.0 1630-0730 12-7-78 14.8 1700-0745 12-7-78 15.5 1630-0800 12-7-78 14.8 1645-0730 12-8-78 15.0 1630-0730 12-8-78 15.0 1645-0745 1715-0745 12-8-78 14.8 1645-0730 12-8-78 14.5

No samples taken due to weather

Dec II

¹Pick-up date

 2 Dur. = duration in hours

³Set time and pick-up time

⁴I = first sampling period; II = second sampling period

5 Het tanalad and mound off station by current.

F-8

					•		Table 1	F-9						
Time	Table	for Gill	Nets	Set at	60-Ft	Depth	Contour	during	the	Day, Nin	e Mile	Point	Vicinity,	1978
			NMPW			NMPP	΄.		FITZ			NMPE		
	Sampling Period	Datel	Dur. ²	T ime ³	Date	Dur.	Time	Date	Dur.	Time	Date	Dur.	Time	
	Apr I ⁴	4-6-78 4-10-78	12.8 11.8	0630-1915 0700-1845	4-6-78 4-10-78	12.5 12.0	0615-1845 0630-1830	4-6-78 4-10-78	13.0 12.0	0630-1930 0645-1845	4-6-78 4-10-78	12.8 12.3	0615-1900 0615-1813	
	Apr II ⁴	4-18-78 4-19-78	12.3 11.8	0630-1845 0715-1900	4-18-78 4-19-78	12.3 12.0	0615-1830 0645-1845	4-18-78 4-19-78	12.5 11.5	0630~1900 0730-1900	4-18-78 4-19-78	12.5 12.3	0615-1845 0630-1845	
	May I	5-2-78 5-4-78	16.0 12.5	0700-2300 0700-1930	5-2-78 5-4-78	15.8 11.5	0645-2230 0630-1800	5-2-78 5-4-78	14.8 11.5	0700-2145 0645-1815	5-2-78 5-4-78	11.8 11.8	0630-1815 0715-1900	
	May II	5-16-78 5-17-78	11.8 10.5	0630-1815 0745-1815	5-16-78 5-17-78	12.0 10.8	0600-1800 0700-1745	5-16-78 5-17 - 78	11.8 11.0	0645~1830 0730~1830	5-16-78 5-17-78	11.8 11.0	0615-1800 0645-1745	
	Jun I	6-6-78 6-7 <i>-</i> 78	12.5 12.3	0630-1900 0700-1915	6-6-78 6-7-78	12.5 12.3	0615-1845 0630-1845	6-6-78 6-7-78	12.8 11.5	0630-1915 0800-1930	6-6-78 6-7-78	12.8 11.8	0600-1845 0715-1900	
	Jun II	6-20-78 6-21-78	12.8 11.8	0615-1900 0700-1845	6-20-78 6-21-78	12.8 12.0	0600-1845 0630-1830	6-20-78 6-21-78	13.3 11.5	0615-1930 0730-1900	6-20-78 6-21-78	12.8 11.8	0615-1900 0645-1830	
	Jul I	7-5-78 7-6-78	12.5 11.8	0615-1845 0715-1900	7-5-78 7-6-78	12.5 11.8	0545-1815 0645-1830	7-5-78 7-6-78	12.5 11.3	0615-1845 0745-1900	7-5-78 7-6-78	12.0 11.8	0600-1800 0645-1830	
	Jul II	7-18-78 7-19-78	12.5 11.5	0630-1900 0730-1900	7-18-78 7-19-78	12.3 11.8	0615-1830 0645-1830	7-18-78 7-19-78	12.3 13.0	0645-1900 0815-1915	7-18-78 7-19-78	12.0 11.5	0630-1830 0700-1830	
· .	Aug I	8-1-78 8-2-78	12.0 11.3	0645-1845 0745-1900	8-1-78 8-2-78	11.8 11.5	0630-1815 0700-1830	8-1-78 8-2-78	11.8 13.3	0715-1900 0815-1900	8-1-78 8-2-78	11.5 11.0	0700-1830 0730-1830	
	Aug II	8-16-78 8-18-78	12.3 10.0	0645-1900 0915-1915	8-16-78 8-18-78	12.0 10.8	0630-1830 0815-1900	8-16-78 8-18-78	12.8 10.5	0700~1945 0900~1930	8-16-78 8-18-78	12.0 10.8	0645-1845 0815-1900	
	Sep I	9-6-78 9-10-78	12.3 10.8	0800-2015 0830-1900	9-6-78 9-10-78	12.3 9.0	0730-1945 0945-1845	9-6-78 9-10-78	13.0 9.5	0815-2115 0945-1915	9-6-78 9-10-78	14.3 9.8	0745-2200 0845-1830	
	Sep II	9-19-78 9-20-78	10.8 12.0	0830-1915 0715-1915	9-19-78 9-20-78	11.0 12.0	0800-1900 0700-1900	9-19-78 9-20-78	10.5 12.0	0900-1930 0730-1930	9-19-78 9-20-78	12.8 12.0	0815-1900 0700-1900	
	Oct I	10-3-78 10-4-78	10.8 10.8	0815-1900 0845-1930	10-3-78 10-4-78	10.8 10.5	0800-1845 0815-1845	10-3-78 10-4-78	11.3 .9.8	0815-1930 0915-1900	10-3-78 10-4 - 78	11.0 10.3	0800-1900 0830-1845	
	Oct II	10-17-78 10-18-78	11.5 11.0	0800-1930 0930-2030	10-17-78 10-18-78	8 11.3 3 11.3	0800-1915 0845-2000	10-17-78 10-18-78	11 <i>.</i> 8 11.0	0830-2015 1000-2100	10-17-78 10-18-78	11.3 11.5	0815-1930 0900-2030	
	Nov I	10-31-78 11-1-78	11.3 11.3	0645-1800 0715-1830	10-31-78 11-1-78	B 11.3 10.8	0615-1730 0630-1715	10-31-78 11-1-78	11.3 10.8	0645-1800 0715-1800	10-31-78 11-1-78	10.8 10.8	0630-1715 0630-1715	
	Nov II	11-16-78 11-21-78	10.0 10.0	0830-1830 0645-1645	11-16-78 11-21-78	B 10.5 B 10.0	0700-1730 0715-1715	11-16-78 11- 21- 78	10.3 10.0	0845~1900 0745~1745	11-16-78 11-21-78	11.3 10.0	0700-1815 0815-1815	
	Dec I	12-6-78 12 - 7-78	10.3 10.8	0800-1815 0815-1900	12-8-78 12-6-78	10.3 10.0	0745-1800 0700-1700	12-6-78 12-7-78	10.3 10.0	0830-1845 0830-1830	12-6-78 12-7-78	10.0 10.0	0800-1800 0715-1715	
	Dec II					No samp)	es taken due	to weather	•					

Pick-up date

²Dur. = duration in hours ³Set time and pick-up time

 4 I = first sampling period; II = second sampling period.

F-9
Time Table for Gill Nets Set at 60-Ft Depth Contour during the Night, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

	a	NMPW			NMPP			FITZ			NMPE	
Sampling Period	Date ⁷	Dur.2	Time ³	Date	Dur.	Time	Date	Dur.	Time	Date	Dur.	Тіме
Apr I ⁴	4-7-78	11.5	1915-0645	4-7-78	11.0	1900-0600	4-7-78	12.0	1945-0745	4-7-78	11.8	1900-0645
	4-11-78	12.5	1845-0715	4-11-78	12.3	1830-0645	4-11-78	12.0	1900-0700	4-11-78	12.0	1830-0630
Apr II ⁴	4-19-78	12.3	1845-0700	4~19-78	12.3	1830-0645	4-19-78	12.3	1900-0715	4-19-78	11.8	1845-0630
	4-20-78	11.5	1915-0645	4~20-78	11.5	1845-0615	4-20-78	11.5	1900-0630	4-20-78	11.3	1845-0600
May I	5-3-78	8.0	2315-0715	5-3-78	8.3	2230-0645	5-3-78	8.0	2200-0600	5-3-78	13.0	1815-0715
	5-4-78	12.0	1845-0645	5-4-78	11.8	1830-0615	5-4-78	12.0	1830-0630	5-4-78	12.5-	1845-0700
May II	5-17-78	13.0	1830-0730	5-17-78	13.0	1800-0700	5-17-78	12.8	1830-0715	5-17-78	12.5	1800-0630
	5-18-78	12.5	1815-0645	5-18-78	12.5	1800-0630	5-18-78	12.5	1830-0700	5-18-78	12.5	1800-0630
Jun I	6-7-78	11.8	1900-0645	6-7-78	11.5	1845-0615	6-7-78	12.5	1930-0800	6-7-78	12.3	1845-0700
	6-8 - 78	14,8	1915-1000	6-8-78	14.3	1845-0900	6-8-78	13.0	1930-0830	6-8-78	13.0	1900-0800
Jun II	6-21-78	12.0	1900-0700	6-21-78	11.8	1845-0630	6-21-78	11.8	1930-0715	6-21-78	11.5	1900-0630
	6-22-78	12.3	1845-0700	6-22-78	12.0	1830-0630	6-22-78	12.3	1900-0715	6-22-78	12.3	1830-0645
Jul I	7-6-78	12.3	1900-0715	7-6-78	12.0	1830-0630	7 -6- 78	12.5	1900-0730	7-6-78	12.3	1815-0630
	7-7-78	12.0	1900-0700	7-7-78	11.5	1845- 0615	7-7-78	12.5	1900-0730	7-7-78	12.8	1830-0715
Jul II	7-19-78	12.3	1900-0715	7-19-78	12.0	1830-0630	7-19-78	· 12.8	1915-0800	7-19-78	12.3	1845-0700
	7-20-78	12.3	1900-0715	7-20-78	12.0	1845-0645	7-20-78	11.3	1930-0645	7-20-78 '	11.5	1845-0615
Aug I	8-2-78	12.8	1845-0730	8-2-78	12.8	1815-0700	8-2-78	13.3	1900-0815	8-2-78	13.0	1830-0730
	8-3-78	12.3	1915-0730	8-3-78	13.0	1845-0745	8-3-78	12.0	1900-0700	8-3-78	12.0	1830-0630
Aug II 🔤	8-17-78	13.0	1900-0800	8-17-78	12.8	1830-0715	8-17-78	12.0	2000-0800	8-17-78	11.8	1900-0645
	8-19-78	11.3	1930-0645	8-19-78	11.3	1900-0615	8-19-78	11.0	1945-0645	8-19-78	10.3	1915-0630
Sep I	9-10-78	10.8	2145-0830	9-10-78	10.0	2315-0915	9-10-78	9.0	0030-0930	9-10-78	8.3	0015 - 0830
	9-11-78	12.8	1915-0800	9-11-78	12.8	1845-0730	9-11-78	12.8	1915-0800	9-11-78	12.8	1900-0745
Sep II	9-19-78	13.3	1900-0815	9~19-78	13.0	1845-0745	9-19-78	11.5	1915-0845	9-19-78	13.0	1900-0800
	9-20-78	12.0	1915-0715	9~20 - 78	12.0	1900-0700	9-20-78	11.8	1930-0715	9-20-78	12.0	1900-0700
Oct I	10-4-78	12.8	1945-0830	10-4-78	13.3	1900-0815	10-4-78	13.5	1930-0900	10-4-78	13.5	1900-0830
	10-5-78	13.0	1930-0830	10-5-78	13.0	1900-0800	10-5-78	13.0	1915-0815	10-5-78	13.0	1900-0800
Oct II	10-18-78	13.3	1945-0900	10-18-78	13.3	1915-0830	10-18-78	13.5	2015-0945	10-18-78	13.0	1945-0845
	10-19-78)11.5	2045-0815	10-19-78	11.8	2000-0745	10-19-78	11.0	2100-0800	10-19-78	11,0	2030-0730
Nov I	11-1-78	12.8	1815-0700	11-1-78	12.5	1730-0600	11-1-78	13.0	1800-0700	11-1-78	13.0	1715-0615
	11-2-78	12.0	1900-0700	11-2-78	13.0	1715-0615	11-2-78	13.3	1815-0730	11-2-78	12.8	1715-0600
Nov II	11-16-78	13.8	1830-0815	11-16-78	12.8	1800-0645	11-16-78	14.0	1830-0830	11-16-78	12.8	1800-0645
	11-17-78	12.3	1845-0700	11-17-78	12.8	1730-0615	11-17-78	12.8	191 5-0800	11-17-78	12.0	1830-0630
Dec I	12-7-78	13.5	1830-0800	12-9-78	13.0	1815-0715	12-7-78	13.3	1900-0815	12-7-78	12.8	1815-0700
	12-8-78	13.0	1915-0815	12-7-78	15.0	1700-0800	12-8-78	13.8	1830-0815	12-8-78	14.8	1715-0800
Dec II					No sam	oles taken du	Le to weath	er				

Pick-up date

 2 Dur. = duration in hours

³Set time and pick-up time

 ${}^{4}I =$ first sampling period; II = second sampling period.

F-10

Table	F-11
-------	------

Spatial Distribution of Alewife Collected by Gill Net $^{(1)}$, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

15-ft Contour				30-ft	Contour			40-ft	Contour		60-ft	Contour				
Month	NMPW	NMPP	FITZ	NMPE	NMPW	NMPP	FITZ	NMPE	NMPW	NMPP	FITZ	NMPE	NMPW	NMPP	FITZ	NMPE
April	0	0	0.25	. 0	0	0	0	0	0.13	0	0	0.13	0.13	0	Ō	0.13
May	1.03	1.58	0.30	1.73	1.35	0.23	0.80	1.23	0.28	0	0.13	2.98	0.78	0.13	2.58	0.98
June	4.43	34,90	15.93	9.58	2.85	2.68	1.00	1.80	0.75	3.40	0.73	2.18	0.13	0.13	0.25	0.38
July	51.08	33.53	26.78	58,13	14.88	16.80	1.10	1.38	8.53	9.85	5.78	1.88	5.23	1.13	3.88	1.23
August	1.28	3.67	2.43	5.03	0.73	4.53	0.13	0.98	1.18	3.85	0.75	1.20	1.85	0.88	3.00	0.25
September	0	0	0.93	0.25	0	0.13	0	0.38	0	0	0.	0.13	0	1.70	0	Ø
October	5.10	1.05	1.93	0	1.03	2.60	0.13	0.85	0.25	0.85	4.73	1.45	0.48	0.35	1.98	0.93
November	5.35	9.23	11.20	2.90	1.73	1.00	2.83	16.78	1.55	0	4.15	4.30	0.13	0	1.35	0.83
December	0	0	0.40	3.10	0	0	0	2.30	2.20	2.00	0.60	4.10	1.30	0.70	0.30	0.45
Annual Mean	8.15	9.88	7.05	9.31	2.66	3.29	0.70	2.89	1.62	2.23	1.97	1.94	1.10	0.55	1.55	0.58

(1) Mean Monthly Catch per 12-hr set.

science services division

F-11

Spatial Distribution of Rainbow Smelt Collected by Gill Net⁽¹⁾, Nine Mile Point Vicinity 1978

		15-ft	Contour			30-ft	Contour			40-ft	Contour			60-ft	Contour	
Month	NMPW	NMPP	FITZ.	NMPE	NMPW	NMPP	FITZ	NMPE	ŇMPW	NMPP	FITZ	NMPE	NMPW	NMPP	FITZ	NMPE
April	0	6.30	9.10	3.18	0.75	0.78	5.25	1.43	0.38	2.10	11.40	1.68	2.23	0.50	4.70	1.38
May	1.01	3.65	1.78	14.58	1.10	1.00	4.83	7.65	5.30	0.40	2.80	3.78	1.95	0.93	5.13	1.90
June	0.15	0.35	0	0	2.78	1.53	0.38	0.85	2.88	0.75	5.18	6.18	0.50	1.35	1.45	0.98
July	0	0	0	0	0.13	0.38	0.13	0	0.15	0.58	0	0	0.13	0.50	0.40	0.25
August	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.13	0	0	0.13	0.75	0.38	0.35
September	0.13	0.23	0.40	0.23	1.40	0.73	0.95	1.75	0.85	1.08	3.15	2.85	2,40	3.03	1.93	3.70
October	0.85	1.05	9.08	0	1.05	0.9	4.73	7.35	1.33	1.10	5.30	6.05	1.80	1.55	3.93	6.35
November	0.	0.63	1.48	0.20	0.35	0.13	0.28	3.15	0.35	0.10	3.50	2.20	0.78	0.13	3.78	1.20
December	0	0.20	0.80	Ο.	0	0 ·	0	0.30	0	0	0	0.85	0	0.45	0.26	0.85
Annual Mean	0.26	1.45	2.62	2.14	0.89	0.64	1.95	2.63	1.32	0.73	3.74	2.77	1.17	1.12	2.57	1.95

(1) Mean Monthly Catch per 12-hr set.

F-12

		15-ft	Contour			30-ft	Contour			40-ft	Contour		·	60-ft	Contour	
Month	NMPW	NMPP	FITZ	NMPE	NMPW	NMPP	FITZ	NMPE	NMPW	NMPP	FITZ	NMPE	NMPW	NMPP	FITZ	NMPE
April	1.00	2.08	1.83	1.30	0.25	0.28	0.38	0.75	0.13	0.13	0.25	0.38	0	0.13	0.13	0.25
May	3.38	25.80	9.22	15.90	0.25	1.65	1.05	1.45	0.25	1.45	0.58	0.45	0	Ó	0.73	0
June	1.65	11.10	1.78	4.20	3.10	0.73	0.35	0.85	0.48	0.45	0.20	0	0	0	0	0
July	3.15	6.13	3.35	12.80	2.00	2.10	1.45	2.28	0.25	0.63	0.43	0.15	0.13	0	0	0
August	4.73	7.63	3.30	8.73	1.20	1.65	1.68	2.65	0.80	1.05	0.25	1.03	0	0.40	0.13	0.15
September	0.90	0.25	2.7Ò	2.43	0.28	0.28	0.45	0.55	0	0	0	0.15	0	· 0	0	0
October	1.23	4.78	1.23	4.13	1.23	1.23	1.18	0.85	0.13	0.73	1.13	0.85	0.58	0.13	0.70	0.78
November	0.60	1.13	0.68	0.38	0.25	0.25	0.38	0.25	0.31	0.99	0.10	0.52	0.60	0.63	0.34	0,35
December	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.22	0.24	0	0.21	0.40	0.41	0	0	0.45	0.30
Annual Mean	1.97	6.90	2.83	5.87	1.01	0.96	0.83	1.15	0.28	0.65	0.37	0.44	0.15	0.15	0.27	0.20

F-13

Table F-14

Spatial Distribution of Yellow Perch Collected by Gill Net⁽¹⁾, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

Month		15-ft	Contour	• •	•	30-ft	Contour	•.		40-ft	Contour			60-ft	Contour	•
	NMPW	NMPP .	FITZ	NMPE	NMPW	NMPP	FITZ	NMPE	NMPW	NMPP	FITZ	NMPE	NMPW	NMPP	FITZ	NMPE
April 1	0.25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	· O	0.13	0	0.	0	0	0	0
May	0.75	1.75	1.35	1.53	0	0.10	0	0.13	0	0.13	0.15	0.28	Ō	0	0	0
June	2. 6 8	4.45	2.05	3.10	1.10	0.25	0.25	0.35	0.10	0.20	0.10	0	0.35	0.98	0.50	- 1.13
July	4.85	5.28	2.45	5.70	0.84	0.63	0.73	2.25	0.63	0	0.73	1.93	0.75	0.75	0.85	0.53
August	7.53	4.65	6.33	4.48	1.20	0.78	0.25	3.45	2.33	1.08	1.10	2.17	0	0.28	2.55	1.23
September	4.68	3.78	8.83	3.70	1.63	0.13	0.30	0.70	0.25	0.15	0	0.15	0.13	0	0.13	0
October	2.28	7.18	10.25	9.83	5.25	1.80	1.68	3.98	1.83	1.28	0.48	0.68	0.78	1.20	0.40	0.38
November	0.20	0.90	1.13	2.73	0.78	0.53	1.05	0.45	0.52	0.63	0.10	0.11	1.55	0.52	0.11	0
December	0	0	0.20	0	. 0	0	0.28	0	0.20	0	1.15	0.87	0.28	0.53	0.23	0.30
Annual Mean	2.77	3.29	3.82	3.66	1.27	0.50	0.52	1.33	0.68	0.42	0.38	0.68	0.44	0.47	0.55	0.40
(1) Mean Monthly	Catch per	12-hr si	et.								•					

F-14

science services division

Spatial Distribution of Smallmouth Bass Collected by Gill Net⁽¹⁾, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

· · · · ·		15-ft	Contour			30-ft	Contour			40-ft	Contour			60-ft	Contour	
Month	NMPW	NMPP	FITZ	NMPE	NMPW	NMPP	FITZ	NMPE	NMPW	NMPP	FITZ	NMPE	NMPW	NMPP	FITZ	NMPE
April				•				No Catch		•						
May	•			· ·				No. Catch								
June	0.13	0.15	0	0.15	0	0	0.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
July	0	0.10	0	0.15	0	0	0.	0.25	0	0	0.10	0.	0	0	0.13	0
August	0.23	0.93	0.10	0.60	0	0.53	0.60	0.63	0.10	0.10	0.55	0.68	0	0	0.28	0.55
September	0.13	0.38	0.13	0.40	0.35	0	0.13	0.38	0.40	0.50	0.13	0.25	0.13	0	0	0
October	0	0.	0	0	0.10	Ο.	0.58	0	0.28	0.38	0	0	0.15	0	0	0
November	. 0	0	0	0	0.15	0.	0	0	0	· 0	0	0.10	0	0	0	0
December	0	· 0	0	0	Ō	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.30	0	0	0
Annual Mean	0.06	0.18	0.03	0.15	0.07	0.06	0.15	0.15	0.09	0.12	0.09	0.12	0.05	0	0.05	0.06
(1) Mean Monthly Ca	atch per 12	-hr set.		•		••										

F-15

		20	-Ft Dept	h Conto	ur	40	-Ft Dept	h Conto	ur	- 60)-Ft Depi	th Conto	ur	
Date	Time	NMPW	NMPP/ FITZ	NMPE	Mean	NMPW	NMPP/ FITZ	NMPE	Mean	NMPW	NMPP/ FITZ	NMPE	Mean	Daily Mean
6 Apr 4 Apr	Day Night	0 . 5	0 9	1 8	0.3 . 7.3	0	0 3	0	0 1.0	0 · 6	0 3	0 2	0 3.7	2.1
25 Apr 25 Apr	Day Night	0 1	0 0	0 0	0 0.3	0	1 3	0 0	0.3	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0.3
4 May 4-5 May	Day Night	0 0	37 48	7 1	14.7 16.3	0	455 14	0 1	151.7 6.7	0 1	256 0	0 1	85.3 0.7	45.9
16 May 15-16 May	Day Night	0 1	0 1	0 1	0 1.0	0	0	0 0	0	0.	0 1	0 0	0 0.3	0.2
8 Jun 8-9 Jun	Day Night	0 7	0 0	0** 0	0 2.3	0 22	0 5	0** 0	0 9.0	0 6	2** 164	0** 0	0.7	11.4
22 Jun 22 Jun	Day Night	5 23	10 22	4 0	6.3 15.0	0 20	1 89	0 15	0.3 41.3	0 .9	20 7	0	6.7 5.3	12.5
6 Ju] 6 Ju]	Day Night	0 3	0 1	0 0	0 1,3	0 19	0 0 (1 0	0.3 6.3	0 43	0	0 0	0 14.3	3.7
20 Jul 20-21 Jul	Day Night	0 0	0	1 0	0.3	0 2	0 2	0 6	. 0 3.3	6 80	0 293	0 0	2.0 124.3	21.7
3 Aug 3 Aug	Day Night	0 7	0 7	0 0	0 4.7	0 20	0 112	0 3	0 45.0	0 103	0 41	0 [.] 1	0 48.3	16.3
22 Aug 22 Aug	Day Night	260 53	292 4	0	184.0 19.0	0 15	0 13	0 39	0 22.3	· 0 119	0 36	58 162	19.3 105.7	58.4

Abundance* of Total Catch (All Species Combined) in Bottom Trawl Collections, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

*Catch per 15-min effort (± 1 min).

**Reduced tow (10 min \pm 1 min) due to weather; numbers adjusted to catch per 15-min effort.

F-16

	20-Ft Depth Contour					. 40-	Ft Dept	h Conto	ur	60	-Ft Dept	h Conto	ur	
Date	Time	NMPW	NMPP/ FITZ	NMPE	Mean	NMPW	NMPP/ FITZ	NMPE	Mean	NMPW	NMPP/ FITZ	NMPE	Mean	Daily Mean
13 Sep*** 15 Sep	Day Night	4 2	0 5	0 163	1.3 56.7	88 1	0 2	0 9	29.3 4.0	11 6	0 22	0 0	3.7 9.3	17.4
21 Sep 20 Sep	Day Night	0 6	55 0	0 0	18.3 2.0	171 10	0 4	0 0	57.0 4.7	1 107	1004 4	6 0	337.0 37.0	76.0
3 Oct 2 Oct	Day Night	0 1	1 4	· 0 · · 0	0.3 1.7	0 14	0 0	1 .	0.3 5.3	07	1 7	0 0	0.3	2.1
17 Oct 16-17 Oct	Day Night	0 0***	0	0	0 0	0 0***	0 0***	0	0	0 6***	0 0***	0 0	0 2,0	0.3
31 Oct 30 Oct	Day Night	0	0 0	0 0	0 0.	.0 .8	0	0 0	0 3.0	0 3	0. 0	0 0	0 1.0	0.7
14 Nov 13 Nov	Day Night	0.0	0 0	. 0 · 0 ·	0	0	0 0	0 · 0	0	0	0	0	0 0.3	0.1
6 Dec 6-7 Dec	Day Night	0	0 3	0 2	0 1.7	0 0	0.	0 2	0 0.7	0	0	0 32	0 10.7	2.2
Dec 16 Dec	Day Night	2	NS** 4	0	2.0	[°] 3	NS** 0	8	3.7	12	NS** 22	17	17.0	7.6****

Table F-16 (Page 2 of 2)

*Catch per 15-min effort (± 1 min).

****No samples collected due to weather.**

*****Reduced** tow (8 min \pm 1 min) due to weather; numbers adjusted to catch per 15-min effort. ******Mean** of night samples only.

F-17

		2	۲ م ر	3	כ

Abundance*	of	Alewife	in	Bot	tom	Traw1	Collections,
,	N:	ine Mile	Poi	nt '	Vici	nity,	1978

			20-Ft Depth Contour		40	40-Ft Depth Contour			60-Ft Depth Contour						
·	Date	Time	NMPW	NMPP/ FITZ	NMPE	Mean	NMPW	NMPP/ FITZ	NMPE	Mean	NMPW	NMPP/ FITZ	NMPE	Mean	Daily Mean
	6 Apr 4 Apr	Day N1ght	0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0. 0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0
].	25 Apr 25 Apr	Da <i>y</i> Night	0. 0	0 0	. 0 · 0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	4 May -5 May	Day Night	0 0	0 1	0 0	0 0.3	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	`0 0	0	0.4
15	16 May -16 May	Day Night	0	0 0.	0	0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0	0.1
8	8 Jun -9 Jun	Day Night	0 2	0 0	0** 0	0	0 19	0 3	0** 0	0 7.3	0	0** 5	0** 0	3.3	1.9
	22 Jun 22 Jun	Day Night	0	0 5	0	0 3.0	0 2	0 25	0	0 9.0	0	5 3	0	1.7	2.7
	6 Jul 6 Jul	Day Night	0 · 1	0	0 0	0 0.3	0	0	0	0 1.3	0 1	0	0	0	0.3
20	20 Jul -21 Jul	Day Night	0 0	0.0	1	0.3	0 2	0	0 1	0	1	0	0	0.3	0.3
	3 Aug 3 Aug	Day Night	0 6	0 7	0	0 4.3	0 20	0 64	0 3	0 29.0	0	0 26	0	0	7.1
	22 Aug 22 Aug	Day Night	260 52	292 4	0 0	184.0 18.7	0 8	0. 2	0 34	0 14.7	0 11	0 4	0 24	0 13.0	38.4

*Catch per 15-min effort (± 1 min).

**Reduced tow (10 min ± 1 min) due to weather; numbers adjusted to catch per 15-min effort.

F-18

Table	17.17	(Dago	2	of.	'nΝ	
TADIC	r-t/	(rage	4	OT	<i>4</i>)	•

	20-Ft Depth Contour			ur .	40	40-Ft Depth Contour			60-Ft Depth Contour					
Date	Time	NMPW	NMPP/ FITZ	NMPE	Mean	NMPW	NMPP/ FITZ	NMPÈ	Mean	NMPW	NMPP/ FITZ	NMPE	Mean	Daily Mean
13 Sep*** 15 Sep	Day Night	0	0	0 161	0 53.7	0	0 0	0 9	0 3.0	0 1	0 7	0	0 2.7	9.9
21 Sep 20 Sep	Day Night	0 1	45 0	0 0	15.0 0.3	Ö Ö	0	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	. <mark>0</mark> 0	0 0.3	2.6
3 Oct 2 Oct	Day Night	0	0	0	0	0 6	0 0	0 0	0 2.0	0. 3	0 3	0 0	0 2.0	0.7
17 Oct 16-17 Oct	Day Night	0 0***	0	0 0	0	0	0 0***	0 0	0 0	0 2***	0 0***	0 [.] 0	0 0.7	0.1
31 Oct 30 Oct	Day Night	. 0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	. 0 8	0 0	0 [°] 0	0 2.7	0	0 0	0 0	0 1.0	0.6
14 Nov 13 Nov	Day Night	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0 0	0 1	0 0	0 0	0 0.3	0.1
6 Dec 6-7 Dec	Day Night	0	0 0	0 1	0 0.3	0 ⁻ 0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 0.	0	0	0.1
Dec 16 Dec	Day N1ght	0	NS** 0	.0	0	0	NS O	0	0	Ó	NS O	0	0	0.0****

*Catch per 15-min effort (± 1 min).

**No samples collected due to weather.

Reduced tow (8 min \pm 1 min) due to weather; numbers adjusted to catch per 15-min effort. *Mean of night samples only.

F-19

T		20-Ft Depth Contour			40	0-Ft Dep	th Conto	our	60-Ft Depth Contour					
Date	Time	NMPW	NMPP/ FITZ	NMPE	Mean	NMPW	NMPP/ FITZ	NMPE	Mean	NMPW	NMPP/ FITZ	NMPE	Mean	Daily Mean
6 Apr 4 Apr	Day Night	0	0 4	0	0 2.0	0 0	02	.0 0	0.7	0 5	0	0 1	0 2.0	0,8
25 Apr 25 Apr	Day Night	0 1	0	0	0 0,3	0 0	0 3	0	0 1.0	0	0	0 0	0	0.2
4 May 4-5 May	Day Night	0 0	0 1	0 0	0 0.3	0 0	0 1	0 0	0 .0,3	0	0 0	. 0 0	0 0	0,1
.16 May 5-16 May	Day Night	. 0 1	0	0 1	0 1.0	0 0	0	0	Ó O	0 0	0	0 0	0	0.2
8 Jun 8-9 Jun	Day Night	0 3	0 0	0** 0	0 1,0	0 3	0 0	0** 0	01,0	0 0	2** 8	0** • 0	0.7	0.9
22 Jun 22 Jun	Day Night	0 8	0 6	0	.0 . 4,7	0 16	0 19	0 14	0 16.3	03	9 3	0. 0	3.0 2.0	4.3
6 Ju1 6 Ju1	Day Night	0 2	0 0	0 0	0.0.7	0 15	0	0 0	0 5.0	0	0 0	0	0 0,3	1.0
20 Ju1 -21 Ju1	Day Night	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	0 5	0 1.7	4 80	0 102	0 0	1.3 60.7	10.6
3 [.] Aug 3 Aug	Day Night	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	_0 _0	0 6	0 0	0 2.0	0 99	0 15	0	0	6.7
22 Aug 22 Aug	Day Night	0	0	0	0	0	0 11	-0 5	0 7.3	0 108	0 30	58 138	19.3	19.8

1.0.

i o.

Abundance* of Rainbow Smelt in Bottom Trawl Collections, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

Table F-18

*Catch per 15-min effort (± 1 min).

,+

****Reduced tow** (10 min ± 1 min) due to weather; numbers adjusted to catch per 15-min effort.

3.6.

F-20

science services division

٠

,m

		20-	20-Ft Depth Contour			40-Ft Depth Contour			60-Ft Depth Contour					
Date	Time	NMPW	NMPP/ FITZ	NMPE	Mean	NMPW	NMPP/ FITZ	NMPE	Mean	NMPW	NMPP/ FITZ	NMPE	Mean	Daily Mean
13 Sep*** 15 Sep	Day Night	4	0 5	0	1.3 2.7	-86 1	0. 2	0	28.7 1.0	11 · 5	0 15	0	3.7 6.7	7.3
21 Sep 20 Sep	Day Night	0 5	10 0	0	3.3 1.7	171 10	0 4.	0 0	57.0 4.7	1 50.	968 4	6 . · 0	325.0 18.0	68.3
3 Oct 2 Oct	Day Night	0	1 4	0	0.3 1.7	· 0 · · 8 · ·	0	1 2	0.3 3.3	0 4	1 4	0	0.3	1.4
17 Oct 16-17 Oct	Day Night	· 0 0***	0 0	0	0	0 0***	0 0***	0 0	0 0	0 4***	0 0***	0	0 1.3	0.2
31 Oct 30 Oct	Day Night	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	o O	0 1	0 0	0 0.3	0	0 0	0	0	0.1
14 Nov 13 Nov	Day Night	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0
6 Dec 6-7 Dec	Day Night	0	02	0 0	0 0.6	0 0	0	0 2	0 0.6	0	0 0	0 32	0 10.7	2.0
Dec 16 Dec	Day Night	2	NS** 4	0	2.0	3	NS** 0	8	3.7	- 11	NS** 21	16	16.0	7.2****

Table F-18 (Page 2 of 2)

*Catch per 15-min effort (± 1 min).

**No samples collected due to weather.

Reduced tow (8 min \pm 1 min) due to weather; numbers adjusted to catch per 15-min effort. *Mean of night samples only.

F-21

Abundance* of Threespine Stickleback in Bottom Trawl Collections, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

(No threespine stickleback were collected in bottom trawl after August)

		20	-Ft Dept	h Conto	ur	40)-Ft Dept	h Conto	ur.	60)-Ft Dept	h Conto	ur	
Date	Time	NMPW	NMPP/ FITZ	NMPE	Mean	NMPW	NMPP/ FITZ	NMPE	Mean	NMPW	NMPP/ FITZ	NMPE	Mean	Daily Mean
6 Apr .4 Apr	Day Night	03	0 5	0.8	0 5.3	0 0	0 1	0	0 0.3	0 1	0 3	0 · 1	0 1.7	1.2
25 Apr 25 Apr	Day Night	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	1 0	0 0	0.3	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0.1
4 May 4-5 May	Day Night	- 0 0	37 44	6 1	14.3 15.0	0 5	455 8	0 1	151.7 4.7	0 0	256 0	0 1	85.3 0.3	45.2
16 May 15-16 May	Day Night	0	0.	0 0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 [.] 0	0	0
8 Jun 8-9 Jun	Day Night	02	. 0 0	. 0 0¥¥	0	0	0 2	0** 0	0	0	0** 0	0** 0	0	0.3
22 Jun 22 Jun	Day Night	5 11	10 11	4	6.3 7.3	0 2	1 0	0 1	0.3	0	2	0	0.7	2.7
6 Jul 6 Jul	Day Night	- 0 0	0	0	0	0	0	1 0	0.3	0 -	0	0 0	0	0.1
20 Ju] 20-21 Ju]	Day Night	0	0	0	0 -	0	0	0	0 0.3	0	0	0	0	0.1
3 Aug 3 Aug	Day Night	0 · 0	0.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	· 0·
· 22 Aug 22 Aug	Day Night	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	0 0 -	0	0	0 · 0	0 0	0 · 0	0	0 0	0

*Catch per 15-min effort (± 1 min).

****Reduced** tow (10 min \pm 1 min) due to weather; numbers adjusted to catch per 15-min effort.

F-22

Table	F-20
-------	------

Abundance* of Total Catch (All Species Combined) in Seine Collections, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

		Statio			
Date	NMPW	NMPP	FITZ	NMPE	Daily Mean
10 Apr	1	2	7	0	2.5
26 Apr	0	0	0	0	0
11 May	3	15	4	27	12.3
24 May	0	0	0	1	0.3
16 Jun	3	3	0	34	10.0
27 Jun	1	1	- 4	16	5.5
13 Jul	2	2	0	2	1.5
25 Jul	0	0	2	1	0.8
8 Aug	583	18	136	1655	598.0
22 Aug	0	3	2	1	1.5
14 Sep	34	19606	712	39	5097.8
26 Sep	0	1	2	0	0.8
9 Oct	3	2	0	2	1.8
23 OCC 6 Nov 21 Nov	0	4 1 0	0	0	0.3
8 Dec Dec	0 NS**	0	0	0	0

*Number of fish per haul.

purchase and the second second

President in the second

- construction

- Annalis - Constant

 $\left(\right)$

A Contraction of the second se

 $\left[\right]$

and the state of t

Summer of the second

Sector Sector

** No samples collected due to weather.

Table	e F-	21
-------	------	----

		Statio	ons		
Date	NMPW	NMPP	FITZ	NMPE	Daily Mean
10 Apr 26 Apr	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	- 0 0
11 May	0	0	0	0	0
24 May		0	0	0	0
16 Jun - 27 Jun -	0 0	0	0 0	0	0 0
13 Jul	0	0	0	0	0
25 Jul	· 0	0	0	0	0
8 Aug	549	11	115	1619	573.5
22 Aug	0	0	0	0	0
14 Sep	0	19575	707	0	5070.5
26 Sep	0	0	0	0	0
9 Oct	0	1	0	1	0.5
25 Oct	0	0	0		0
6 Nov	0	0	0	0	0
21 Nov	0	0	0	0	0
8 Dec Dec	0 NS**	0	0	0	0
· ·					

Abundance* of Alewife in Seine Collections, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1-78

*Number of fish per haul.

**No samples collected due to weather.

100 million 100

1

Abundance* of Spottail Shiner in Seine Collections, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
		Static	ons		
Date	NMPW	NMPP	FITZ	NMPE	Daily Mean
10 Apr	0	0	0	0	0
26 Apr	0	0	0		0
11 May	0	1	0	· 0	0.3
24 May	0	0		0	0
16 Jun	0	2	0	· 0	0.5
27 Jun	0	1	0	0	0.3
13 Jul	0	0	0	· 0	0
25 Jul	0	0	0	0	0
8 Aug	31	0	21	23	18.8
22 Aug	0		0	1	0.3
14 Sep	33	30	5	39	26.8
26 Sep	0	0	1	0	0.3
9 Oct	0	0	• 0	1	0.3
25 Oct	0	2	1	0	0.8
6 Nov	0	0	0	0	0
21 Nov	0	0	0	0	
8 Dec Dec	0 NS**	0	_ 0	0	0
	· ·				

*Number of fish per haul.

- Second Second

and the second s

- ----

. Subscription

With Street

A sector of

1.1

** No samples collected due to weather.

Table 1	F-23
---------	------

Abundance* of Yellow Perch in Seine Collections, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

		Static	ons		
Date	NMPW	NMPP	FITZ	NMPE	Daily Mean
10 Apr	0	0	0	0	0
26 Apr	0		0	0	0
11 May	. 0	0	0	0	0
24 May	. 0	0	0	0	0
16 Jun	2	1	0	0	0.8
27 Jun	0	0	1	2	0.8
13 Jul	0	2	0.1	0	0.5
25 Jul	0 -	0		0	0.3
8 Aug	0	1	0	10	2.8
22 Aug	0	0	0	0	0
14 Sep	0	0	0	0	0
26 Sep	0	0 ·	1	0	0.3
9 Oct 25 Oct	0	0 0	0	0 0	0 0
6 Nov	0	0	0	0	0
21 Nov	0		0	0	0
8 Dec Dec	0 NS**	0	O	0	0
			·	· .	

*Number of fish per haul.

*No samples collected due to weather.

and it was in

And a second second

and the second second

Same man

- Andrewson

North Street

Sector Sector

a de la compactica de la c

karmonash

· Contractions

Table F-24

	Nine Mil	e Point	Vicinity,	1978		
 					• •	• •

Abundance* of Total Catch (All Species Combined) in Trap Net Collections,

		Statio	ons		
Date	NMPW	NMPP	FITZ	NMPE	Daily Mean
13 Apr	· 0	0	0	0	0
26 Apr	1	0	1		0.5
10 May	0	0	1 ·	0	0.3
24 May	1	2	0		0.8
16 Jun	· 0	3	0	1	1.0
28 Jun	1	3	15	7	6.5
13 Jul	1	· 7	0	1	2.3
26 Jul	0	5	0	11	4.0
9 Aug	3	0	0	0	0.8
23 Aug	3	6	4	1	3.5
15 Sep	0	1	0	0	0.3
27 Sep	4	9	14	5	8.0
10 Oct 26 Oct	1	0	2 1	0 3	0.8 1.5
7 Nov 22 Nov	10	0	1 0	- 0 0	0.5 0
8 Dec Dec	0 NS**	0	. 7	. O	1.8
5	1				

*Number of fish per overnight set.

** No samples collected due to weather.

F-27

(mm)	APR	MAY	JUN	JLY	AUG	SFP	ост	NOV	DFO
51- 60						<u> </u>	2		
71- 80			2				1		
81- 90 _91- 100		1	4	12		· .	2	l	
101 - 110 111 - 120			31	44 [.] 15	9	·	ī	ī	
121-130			1		8			1	
131 - 140 <u>141 - 150</u>		4		19	23	2	10 48	10 84	1
151-160 161-170	1	31 43	60 161	208	22 53	8	41	152	24
171 - 180	1.	24	135	284	41	6	38	90	14
<u>191- 200</u>	_4	12	15	38	6	B	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
211- 220		3	4.	2			. 1	15	1
				· .				_	
			·						
			• ·						
			•						
•			•						
		-		1					
								•	

Table F-25

Length Frequency of Alewife Collected by Gill Net, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

F-28

Length Frequency of Rainbow Smelt Collected by Gill Net, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

Length Range									
(mm)	APR	MAY	JUN	JLY	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC
111- 120					1				······································
121 - 130 131 - 140	3	4	20			4.	2		
141- 150	109	145	62	3	2	35	. 9	4	
151-160	94	108	36	7	1	72	81	14	2
161-170	35 · 14	27	11.		1	52	149	52	2
181- 190	20	29	2	1	1		40	25	1
<u>191-200</u>	26	20				5	15	14	
201 - 210 211 - 220	23	22	. 2			3 8	65	9	2
221- 230	4	9	1		•	2	4 4	4	1
231-240	1			-		1	1	2	ī
251- 260	<u>L</u>	<u>1</u>	······	<u>A</u>	· <u>····································</u>				,

F-29

	Length Fr	requency of V	Nhite Perch	. Collected	by Gill N	let, Nine Mi	le Point	Vicinity,	1978
Length Rar	nge								
(mm)	APR	MAY	JUN	JLY	AUG	<u>SEP</u>	<u> </u>	NOV	DEC
81- 9	0	ı				5	43	8	2
101-11	0 1	1	<u> </u>	i		6	13	4	/
111 - 121 121 - 131	0 1 0	1 2	1	1	4		12	4 2	
131 - 141 141 - 151	0 n			1	3		z	1	
$\frac{14}{151 - 16}$	0	······································	·	<u>4</u>	2	2	8	1	
171-18	0	. 1	1	3	3	. 1	15	8 4	. 3
181 - 191 191 - 201	0 0	6	3	11	8 22	1	4	2	
201 - 21	0 3	28	21	35	47	5	1	2	
221- 23	0 8	82	40	60	68	11	10	9	•
231- 24	0 6	63 42	43 <u>30</u>	74 48	31	10	9	5	_
251 - 261 261 - 27	0 10 0 8	35 16	13	14	15	2	2	2	
271- 28		25	4	16	2	1	-	1	
291 - 30	0 1	10	3	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	±	L 	L 	
301 - 310 311 - 320	0 0 3	12	. 2	3	1		i	•	
321- 331	0 1 0 1	2	1	1 2				1	
341- 35	0 1	- <u></u>		<u> </u>	· 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
361- 37	0	•						T	
371- 38	U 0 .	1				•			
						•			
					·				
				N.		•			
		·.							
	•								· ·
	- `,	<i>i</i>							

F-30

science se

vices division

internet and the second second second second second second second second second second second second second se

Table F-28Length Frequency of Yellow Perch Collected by Gill Net, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

Length Range	9								
(mm)	APR	MAY	JUN	JLY	AUG	SEP	DCT .	NOV	DEC
81- 90			· .	8	1	يفيهي ومحوالة فيرقوه بمسيعة بروا		1	
91- 100			7	49	14	1	1		
101- 110			8	42	54	1.	1		1
111- 120			1	4	39	3	4	1	
121- 130				2	16	5	5	1	
131- 140		1	4		56 🕤	46	50	11	6
<u> 141- 150</u>		1	4	4	31	44	101	_ 26	6
151- 160			6	3	16	29	85	30	2
161- 170		1	4	4	9	15	35	8	1
171- 180		• •	6	27	7	16	35	5	1
181- 190	T	10	26	20	- 4	17	36	5	
<u> 191- 200</u>		13	19	33	14	10	21	2	
201-210		1	18	26	11	7	7	3	
211- 220		. 1	ŏ	6	17	4	. 6	6	
221- 230		2	0 E	6	10	3	4	3	
231- 240		•	5	2	ŏ	4	, č	ł	
251-260	<u>1</u>		<u>-</u>	<u>></u>		<u>y</u>			
221-200	i	6	. 7	13	<u>e</u>	11	14	3	
201-270	-	т	ź	13	27	1	12	2	
281-200		ľ	2	4		1	יס ד	4	
201 270		-	ī	т		4	3	Ŧ	
$\frac{1}{301} - \frac{300}{310}$			ī		······································	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			,,
311- 320		•	· 1				. *		
321- 330			-	1					

F-31

<u>(mm)</u>	APR	MAY	JUN	JL	Y	AUG	SE	P	OCT	NOV	DE
81 - 90						· .	:	2			
101- 110						1					
111- 120											
121 - 130											
141 - 150		•									
151- 160									······		······································
161- 170			1								
1/1- 180			1								
191- 200											
201-210		· ·									
211 - 220							÷				
231 - 240	•			1							
241- 250				4		1					
251-260				4		2			·····		
261-270		. .	4	4		5	1				
281- 290		1		د 4		1	1				
<u>291- 300</u>				i		2					
301 - 310				2		2					
321 - 330		· 1		2		1 3	1		1		
331- 340		T		7	•	3	1		Ŧ		
$\frac{341 - 350}{761 - 350}$				4		<u> </u>	<u>ī</u>				
351- 360		•		2		3	•			· 1	
371- 380			1.	2 5		1					
381- 390	1	. 1	1	6		3	. 2	•			
$\frac{391 - 400}{601 - 610}$	1		1	2		-					
411 - 420	1			· I		1 -			······		
421- 430	*			2		T	t		1		
•				_							
					•						
		•									
		· ·								·	
		•									
				÷							

F-32

(mm)	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dee
11-20	•				2				
21-30					144				
31-40		•			104	3			
41-50					39	62			
51-60					20	36	2	I	
61-70 ·	•		3		8	4	3		
71-80			21			1	1	1	
81-90			41	6			•		
91-100			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	2		1		
101-110			2		2				
111-120					4				
121-130									
131-140						•	1		
141-150						1			·
151-160					1			,	
161-170		5		- 1	3				
171-180		2		2	2		3	6	
181-190		1	1	2	6		1		_
191-200				1	1			4	1

Length Frequency of Alewife Collected by Trawling in Vicinity of Nine Mile Point, 1978

(mm) 	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
11-20									
21-30	•				2				
31-40					51	1			
41-50			2		112	77]		3
51-60	2		9	1	17	125	8		43
61-70			16	2	3	49	16	1	41
71-80	1	1	30	9	· 9	4	1		. 5
81-90		2	16	18	12				3
91-100			11	32	17		1		
101-110			1	23	5				1
111-120	_		1	12	4		1		
121-130	1	•	2	4	2				2
131-140		2	1	1	1	_			1
141-150				<u> </u>		<u> </u>			
151-100	3		1	2	· 1	I	,		1
101-1/0			1				ſ		1
1/1-100	2								
101-190	1					i			
191-200	1								
				•					
·.								•	
•									
			•						

Length Frequency of Rainbow Smelt Collected by Trawling in Vicinity of Nine Mile Point, 1978

F-34

.

T.	аb	le	F	3	2
----	----	----	---	---	---

Length Frequency of Threespine Stickleback Collected by Trawling in Vicinity of Nine Mile Point, 1978

Ľ	.ength Range (mm)	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	0ct	Nov	Dec
-	21-30 31-40				1					
	41-50	4	14	2						
-	51-60	11	117	35	1	· ·				
•	61-70	.6	49	16	1					
	71-80		10							

F-35

science services division

the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second se

		May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
21-30 31-40 41-50			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		77 60 1	3 33]		
51-60 61-70 71-80 81-90 91-100						49 2			
101-110 111-120 121-130 131-140 141-150		· · · · · · · · · · ·							
				·					
	<i>₁</i> -								
	·			• • •			•		
								· .	

Length Frequency of Alewife Collected by Seining in Vicinity of Nine Mile Point, 1978

F-36

science services division

-

Table	F-34
-------	------

Length Frequency of Spottail Shiner Collected by Seining in Vicinity of Nine Mile Point, 1978

Length Range (mm)	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
11-20					21				
21-30					18				
31-40					. 11	1			
41-50						29	1		
51-60			1			55	2		
61-70			1		15	3			
71-80					9	5			
81-90					2	11			
91-100						4	1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
101-110									
111-120		1							
121-130			1						

. 22

F-37

Coefficients of Maturity* for White Perch Collected by Gill Net at Control (NMPW AND NMPE) and Experimental (NMPP and FITZ) Transects, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

	Mal	es	Females				
Month	Control Transects	Experimental Transects	Control Transects	Experimental Transects			
April	4.81 ± 0.99	4.96 ± 1.13	6.68 ± 2.35	7.47 ± 2.79			
May	5.98 ± 1.49	5.95 ± 1.31	8.35 ± 3.13	8.89 ± 2.75			
June	4.38 ± 1.39	5.30 ± 2.02	7.26 ± 3.60	6.69 ± 2.26			
July	3.15 ± 1.01	3.06 ± 1.16	3.29 ± 1.92	2.94 ± 1.76			
August	0.50 ± 0.40	0.35 ± 0.38	1.19 ± 0.73	0.86 ± 0.28			
September	0.79 ± 0.39	0.69 ± 0.55	0.86 ± 0.40	0.97 ± 0.20			
October	2.58 ± 1.46	1.56 ± 1.49	1.25 ± 0.74	0.68 ± 0.55			
November	3.93 ± 1.14	3.47 ± 1.07	2.18 ± 1.50	2.20 ± 1.64			
December	1.40**	NS	NS	NS			

*Mean monthly coefficient of maturity ±standard deviation. **Based on one specimen.

NS = No specimens were processed for coefficients of maturity.

Coefficients of Maturity* for Yellow Perch Collected by Gill Net at Control (NMPW and NMPE) and Experimental (NMPP and FITZ) Transects, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

	Male	25	Females					
Month	Control Transects	Experimental Transects	Control Transects	Experimental Transects				
April	NS	6.06**	23.45 ± 4.26***	NS				
May	1.38 ± 0.98	1.19 ± 0.57	2.44 ± 0.09	4.84 ± 6.13				
June	0.68 ± 0.36	0.64 ± 0.50	0.87 ± 0.36	0.85 ± 0.25				
July	0.43 ± 0.34	0.33 ± 0.39	0.76 ± 0.19	0.91 ± 1.15				
August	0.47 ± 0.28	0.38 ± 0.21	0.55 ± 0.23	0.50 ± 0.26				
September	1.41 ± 1.78	1.19 ± 1.23	1.10 ± 0.69	0.76 ± 0.56				
October	7.12 ± 2.04	6.93 ± 2.53	2.20 ± 1.70	1.95 ± 1.62				
November	5.14 ± 1.38	6.09 ± 1.44	2.65 ± 3.25	5.26 ± 2.87				
December	7.01 ± 0.02***	2.83**	0.59 ± 0.07	5.92 ± 3.46				

*Mean monthly coefficient of maturity ± standard deduction.

**Based on one specimen.

***Based on two specimens.

NS = No specimens were processed for coefficients of maturity.

Table F-37

Coefficients of Maturity* for Smallmouth Bass Collected by Gill Net at Control (NMPW and NMPE) and Experimental (NMPP and FITZ) Transects, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

	Male	s ·	Females					
Month	Control Transects	Experimental Transects	Control Transects	Experimental Transects				
April	NS	NS	NS .	NS				
May	0.58 ± 0.05**	NS	NS	NS				
June	NS	NS	4.93 ± 5.02**'	NS				
July	0.41***	NS	3.67 ± 2.66	NS				
August	0.25 ± 0.09	0.19 ± 0.11	1.38 ± 2.10	1.17 ± 0.88				
September	0.57 ± 0.36	0.63 ± 0.28	1.07 ± 0.58	0.87 ± 0.29				
October	0.46***	0.49 ± 0.37	2.33***	1.36 ± 0.65				
November	0.40***	NS	3.75***	NS				
December	NS	NS	NS	NS				

*Mean monthly coefficient of maturity \pm standard deviation.

**Based on two specimens.

***Based on one specimen.

NS = No specimens were processed for coefficients of maturity.

Fecundity of Selected Fish Species Collected by Gill Net in the Vicinity of Nine Mile Point, 1978

	<u>White</u> Perc	<u>: h</u>			Rainbow Sm	elt
Length (mm)	Weight (g)	Yolk Eggs (No.)		Length (mm)	Weight (g)	Yolk Eggs (No.)
204 214 228 230 233 236 242 245 253 272 272 272 272 273 274 275 282	160.2 157.6 182.3 180.1 228.7 190.6 226.1 227.3 281.2 274.0 385.1 374.2 396.4 357.6 420.5 482.5	52,479 84,492 43,863 86,237 86,629 99,470 112,889 249,807 153,041 164,467 292,593 325,367 463,952 445,641 169,031 397,503		129 142 146 147 148 151 155 155 158 160 161 162 163 164 175	15.3 17.5 20.4 18.8 19.1 18.4 21.3 23.1 23.4 26.5 25.5 28.1 25.3 27.2 27.3 35.1	9,162 12,631 11,819 12,720 8,258 11,039 12,724 15,466 13,555 13,863 12,639 16,374 19,382 15,350 15,819 19,705
282 288 303 321 Length	482.5 446.4 478.6 670.3 <u>Alewife</u> Weight	397,503 295,873 376,693 402,927 Yolk Eggs	•	175 178 191 195 200 201 203 215 226	35.1 37.9 43.1 43.5 51.6 52.4 55.1 54.7 65.7 76.0	19,705 21,179 25,025 25,737 31,725 30,664 30,779 28,282 30,617 39,011

•	Alewife	
Length (mm)	Weight (g)	Yolk Eggs (No.)
145	33.9	21,879
159	37.1	10,282

(mm)	(g)	(No.)
145 159 160 160	33.9 37.1 33.5 36.4	21,879 10,282 17,597 5,472
162 164	42.1	5,778 30,494
164 168 170	43.5 39.0 46.1	28,575 29,064 21,048
174 176	40.6 39.6	16,487
182 189 191	47.9 54.9 47.3	27,679 42,217 28,899
195 197	63.8 71.9	18,058 16,361
202	66.3	44,896

Smallmouth Bass

Length	Weight	Yolk Eggs
(mm)	(g)	(No.)
333	587.7	3,444 or 1603 \bar{x} = 2524
379	897.3	6,008
388	927.7	2,203
398	978.8	7,359

57

1

Yellow Perch

Length (mm)	Weight (g)	Yolk Eggs (No.)
146	42.1	6,792
183	70.5	9,000
270	286.5	33,777

Age-Class Distribution of White Perch Collected by Gill Net at Control (NMPW and NMPE) and Experimental (NMPP and FITZ) Transects, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

						Control Transects Experin					Experimental Transects							
		Ma	lle		Fei	male			Total*			Male		Ferr	ale		Tota	i 1 *
Age Class	No.	Total L Mean	ength(mm). Range	T No.	lotal L Mean	ength(mm) Range	No.	Total Mean	Length(mm) Range	No.	Total Mean	Length(mm) Range	T No.	otal Le Mean	ength(mm) Range	T No.	otal Ler Mean	igth(mm) Range
0	0	-	_	1	118.0	-	7	93.6	84-118	0	-	-	0	-	-	7	87.1	80.96
I	1	125.0	-	1	161.0	-	3	140.1	125-161	2	128.5	92-165	4	143.8	113-175	7	137.7	92-175
II	1	175.0	-	0	-	-	۱	175.0	•	1	170.0) -	0	-	·-	1	170.0	-
III	6	192.8	180-215	2	207.0	202-212	8	196.4	180-215	3	201.0	190-208	2	220.0	210-230	5	208.6	190-230
IV	7	223.6	197-249	3	220.0	210-229	10	222.5	197-249	6	206.8	195-222	3	216.0	209-221	9	209.9	195-222
V	6	220.1	192-240	4	239.3	203-273	12	232.2	194-273	3	237.3	227-247	5	233.4	220-242	9	236.7	220-251
IV	0	-	-	5	279.0	264-301	6	279.2	264-301	1	233.0) -	2	265.0	258-272	3	254.3	233-272
VII ·	0	-	-	3	290.1	283-305	3	290.1	283-305	3	265.7	242-285	4	286.3	278-300	7	277.5	242-300
VIII	0	-	-	4	312.3	271-386	4	312.3	271-386	٦	257.0) _ -	2	320.0	320-320	3	299.0	257-320
IX	0	~	-	4	319.3	297-336	4	319.3	297-336				1	315.0	· _	1	315.0	-
Х	0	-	-	2 '	313,0	305-321	2	313.0	305-321	0	-	-	1	335.0	-	1	335.0	-
XI													0	-	-	0	344.0	-

*Includes fish of undetermined sex.

F-41

Age-Class Distribution of Yellow Perch Collected by Gill Net at Control (NMPW and NMPE) and Experimental (NMPP and FITZ) Transects, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

	Control Transects									Experimental Transects									
	Male				Female		Total*		Male			Female			Total*				
Age Class	No.	Total Mean	Length(mm) Range	No.	Total Mean	Length(mm) Range	No.	Total Mean	Length(mm) Range	No.	Total Mean	Length(mm) Range	No.	Total Mean	Length(mm) Range	T No.	fotal Ler Mean	igth(mm) Range	
0	0	- ·	-	1	86.0	-	2	91.0	86-96	0	-		0	-	-	0	-	-	
I	1	135.0	-	0	-	•	2	116.5	98-135	2	144.0	0 140-148	6	102.2	92-116	10	109.2	92-148	
II	2	137.0	125-149	2	135.5	120-151	4	136.3	120-151	2	181.0	0 176-186	1	184.0) ~	3	182.0	176-186	
111	7	185.7	140-206	7	173.0	131-202	15	177.3	131-206	6	166.8	B 141-196	4	230.3	3 184-253	10	192.2	141-253	
TV	2	235.5	215-256	10	223.1	184-271	12	225.2	184-271	6	200.	3 188-223	10	231.0	182-274	16	219.5	182-274	
	- 1	251.0		11	272.6	199-323	13	270.0	199-323	2	217.0	0 213-221	4	237.5	5 199-251	6	230.1	199-251	
VT	0	-	_	2	253.0	249-257	4	266.3	249-280	1	271.0	0 -	3	268.7	7 260-276	4	269.3	260-276	
VII		-		, .	20010		•			1	296.	0 -	0	-	-	I	296.0	-	

*Includes fish of undetermined sex.

F-42

Age-Class Distribution of Smallmouth Bass Collected by Gill Net at Control (NMPW and NMPE) and Experimental (NMPP and FITZ) Transects, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

	Control Transects									Experimental Transects								
		М	ale		Fe	emale		То	tal*		Μ	ale		· Fe	male		Total	*
Age Class	No.	Total Mean	Length(mm) Range	No.	Total Mean	Length(mm) Range	No.	Total Mean	Length(mm) Range	No.	Total Mean	Length(mm) Range	No.	Total Mean	Length(mm) Range	T No.	otal Len Mean	gth(mm) Range
0	0	-	-	.0	-	-	1	85.0	-	1	85.0	-	0	-	· 🚽	2	90.0	85-95
I	0	-	. •	0	-	-	0	-	· -	0	-	-	1	172.0	-	1	172.0	-
II	1	161.0	-	0		-	1	161.0	- +	0	-	-	0	_ `	-	0	-	-
III	3	255.7	252-262	2	255.5	5 250-261	5	255.6	250-262	5	262.4	245-276	3	248.7	222-274	9	257.7	222-276
IV	4	303.8	264-330	2	274.5	267-282	6	294.0	264-330	2	303.0	267-339	2	296.0	271-321	6	301.2	267-339
ν	5	323.0	278-357	7	305.9	266-342	14	311.9	266-357	9	311.6	243-345	8	323.5	285-368	18	317.4	243-368
VI	1	371.0	-	1	411.0) –	3	393.3	371.411	3	336.7	280 - 385	1	382,0	-	4	348.0	280-385
VII	1	405.0	-	2	401.0	387-415	5	388.6	355-415	0	-	-	1	337.0	-	2	354.5	337-372
VIII	1	381.0	-	2	380.0	364-396	3	380.3	364-396	2	388.5	382-395	3	410.0	388-424	5	401.4	382-424
IX	2	404.0	380-428	3	375.3	355-388	5	386.8	355-428	0	-	-	2	382.5	375-390	2	382.5	375-390
х	1	415.0	-	1	408.0	- ·	2	411.5	408-415	1	412.0	-	0	-	-	1	412.0	-
XI										0	-		1	398.0	-	1	398.0	-

*Includes fish of undetermined sex.

F-43

			0ccu	rrences	Abur	ndance	Importance
Transects	Food Item	Life Stage	No.	%	No.	%	Index
Control*	Astacidae	Undetermined	1	20.00	4	66.67	26.67
(NMPW and NMPE)	Unid. fish	Undetermined	3	60.00	2	33.33	56.67
	Digest matter	Undetermined	2	40.00	0	0.0	16.67
Experimental**	Astacidae	Undetermined	7	100.00	3	37.50	65.79
(NMPP and FITZ)	Unid. fish	Undetermined	3	42.86	1	12.50	26.37
	Unid. fish	Postlarvae	1	14.29	4	50.00	2.14
	Digest. matter	Undetermined	1	14.29	0	0.0	5.70
* Size Range (mm) ** Size Range (mm)	= 250-342; No. of = 250-382; No. of	Stomachs Examined = 7; / Stomachs Examined = 8; /	No. of Emj No. of Emj	pty Stomach pty Stomach	s = 2. s = 1.		

F-44

					0ccu	rrences	Abut	idance	Importance
	Transects	Food Item	Life Stage		No.	\$	No.	3	Index
	Control*	Filament.aloae	Undetermined .		14	66.67	0	0.0	0.74
	(NMPW and NMPE)	Physa	Adult		1	4.76	2	0.05	0.08
	•	Bosminidae	Adult		i	4.76	ŝ	0.13	0.03
		Chydoridae	Adult		2	9.52	2	0.05	0.05
		Leptodora kindtii	Adult		2	9.52	33	0.85	0.06
		Cladocera	Larvae		1	4.76	i i	0.03	0.08
		Cladocera	Adult		1	4.76	1	0.03	0.03
		Ustracoda	Adult		5	23.81	8	0.21	0.29
		Cyclopoida	Adult		5	23.81	6	0.15	G. 19
		Corecoda	Pupae			4.70		0.03	80.0
•		Gammarus fasciatus	Adult		10	95 71	3305	97 02	56.04
		Pontoporeia affinis	Adult		1	4.76	3353	0 08	- 0.03
		Amphipoda	Adult		17	80.95	313	8.02	7.61
		Astacidae	Undetermined		2	9.52	ĩ	0.03	1.52
		Astacidae	Juvenile		ĩ	4.76	7	0.18	0.97
	· · ·	Heptageniidae	Nymph		2	9.52	1	0.03	0.11
		Agraylea sp.	Larvae .		1	4.76	2	0.05	0.08
		Hydroptilidae	Larvae		3	14.29	. 3	80.0	0.13
		Hydroptilidae	Pupae		3	14.29	8	0.21	0.19
		Atbainseder en	Undetermined		3	14.29	3	0.08	, 0.26
		ACHTIPSODES Sp.	Larvae		5	23.81	10	0.26	0.44
		Crustorhironomous sp.	Larvae		6	28.5/	13	0.33	0.29
		Cricotonus so	Larvae		4	19.05	4	0.10	0.11
		Tanytarus so.	Larvae		5	9.52	2	0.05	0.15
		Dicrotendines su.	110000		4	10.06	37	0.06	0.11
		Dicrotendipes sp.	Adult		ĩ	4 76	í	0.16	0.18
		Polypedilum sp.	larvao		5	9.70		0.03	0.02
		Ablabesymia sp.	Jaruan		Ā	19.06		0.23	0.11
		Ablabesymia sp.	Undetermined		ĩ	4 76	ĩ	0.10	0.27
		Microtendipes sp.	larvae		2	9 52	2	0.05	0.16
		Procladius sp.	Larvae		ĩ	4 76	ĥ	0.03	0.10
		Phaenopsectra sp.	Larvae		2	9 52	Ś	0 13	0.05
		Microspectra	Larvae		ĩ	4.76	3	0.08	0.03
	-	Chironomidae	Pupae		Ż	33.33	17	0.44	0.29
		Chironomidae	Larvae		ġ	42.86	ü	0.28	0.50
		Unid. fish	Undetermined		5	23.81	3	0.08	10.34
		Alewife	Postlarvae		}	4.76	1	0.03	3.23
		Tessellated darter	Adult		1	4.76	ĩ	0.03	1.29
		Mottled sculpin	Juvenile		1	4.76	2	0.05	4.04
		Mottled sculpin	Juvenile		2	9.52	3	0.08	3.55
		Digest matter	Undetermined		7	33.33	0	0.0	4.52
		Annat incast new	Undetermined			4.76	Q	0.0	0.03
		Dabblas stars	Undetermined		ļ	4.76	U	0.0	0.08
		Sand Crasing	Undetermined		5	23.81	0	0.0	0.29
•		Janu Grafits	undetermined		4	19.05	U	0.0	0.15
	Evneriments]**	Filement alcae	Indetermined		10	40.00		'n	
	(NMPP and FITZ)	Rosminidae	Adult		6	24 00	62	2 41	2.80
	(Chydorus sp.	Adult		1	4.00	03	0.05	0.25
		Chydoridae	Adult			16.00	20	1 57	0.05
		Daphnia sp.	Adult		Ā	16.00	23	0.39	0.20
,		Leptodora kindtii	Adolt		7	4 00	í	0.05	0.17
		Cladocera	Adult		ż	28.00	36	1 95	0.26
		Cladocera	Undetermined		i	4.00	4	0.22	0.06
	. •	Ostracoda	Adult		ż	28.00	31	1.68	0.32
		Calanoida	Adult		i	4.00	2	0.11	0.06
		Cyclopoida	Adult		12	48.00	206	11.15	0.47
	•	Copepoda	Undetermined		3	12.00	22	1.19	0.16
		Copepoda	Adult		1	4.00	4	0.22	0.01
		Asellus sp.	Adult		1	4.00	. 1	0.05	0.12
		Gammarus fasciatus	Adult		13	52.00	930	50.32	24.34
		Amphipoda	Adult		17	58.00 ·	224	12.12	7.85
		Astacidae	Juvenile		. 4	16.00	7	0.38	0.67
		Stenonema sp.	Nymph		1	4.00)	0.05	0.37
		Reptagen 10ae	Nymph		1	4.00	. 1	0.05	0.05
		Athripsodes sp.	Larvae		- Z	8.00	!	0.05	0.12
		Chinesenut th	Larvae			4.00	ļ	0.05	0.02
		Cryptochiconomous sp.	Larvae		4	16.00	5	0.27	0.16
		Cricotonus sp.	Larvae			4.00		0.05	0.06
		Bicrotendines sn	Larvae			4.00		0.05	0.06
		Ablabesumia sp.	Larvao		· 1	12.00	3.	0.10	0.12
		Phaenopsectra sp.	iarvao		4	12 00	1	0.05	0.05
		Chironomidae	Larvao		3	28.00	10	0.64	0.10
		Chironomidae	Punae		6	24.00	122	6 50	0.32
		Formicidae	Adult		ĩ	4.00	1	0.00	0.97
		Pectinatella sp.	Statoblast		i	4,00	i	0.05	0.35
		Plumatella repens	Colony		i	4.00	, n	0.05	0.02
		Invertebrate	Eug		2	8,00	5	0.0	0.02
		Unid, fish	Undetermined		14	56.00	28	1 52	16 11
		Unid, fish	Postlarvae		2	12 00	15	0 81	10.31
	•	Alewife	Eug		2	8.00	22	1 70	0.41
		Spottail shiner	Juvenile		ĩ	4,00	ĩ	0.05	0.06
		Cyprinidae	Juvenile		i	4,00	à	0.22	3 10
		Tessellated darter	Juvenile		3	12.00	32	1 71	5.10
		Mottled sculpin	Juvenile		2	8.00	2	0.11	4 00
		Cottus sp.	Juveni le		ĩ	4.00	ī	0.05	3 10
		Digest. matter	Undetermined		10	40,00	ó	0.0	11 11
		Aquat. insect rem.	Undetermined		7	28,00	ŏ	0.0	1 52
		Pebbles-stones	Undetermined		. 2	8.00	ŏ	0.0	0 04
		Sand Grains	Undetermined		6	24.00	ŏ	0.0	0.27
		-					v	2.5	0.47
	*Size Range (mm)	= 142-277; No. of Stomach	s Examined = 25	; No.	of En	npty Stoma	schs 4.		
	size kange (am)	- IZJ-282; NO. OT Stomach	s cxamined = 25;	; No.	ofE	npty Stone	ichs 0.		

Stomach Content Analysis for White Perch Collected by Gill Net, Nine Mile Point Vicintiy, 1978

• , ,

]

Contraction of the local data r •

Reconcerned Provide Annual Concerned Concerned

And Andrewski Andrew Andrewski Andrewski Andrewski Andrewski Andrewski Andrewski Andrewski Andrewski Andrewski Andrewski Andrewski An

i .

()

F-45

• .
Table F-44

			Occurr	ences	Abun	dance	Importance
Transects	Food Item	Life Stage	No.	%	No.	ъ	Index
Control*	Filament algae	Undetermined	7	33.33	0.	0.0	0.47
(NMPW and NMPE)	Physa	Adult	· 1	4.76	1	0.15	1.01
(Gastropoda	Adult	3	14.29	3.	0.46	0.89
	Bivalvia	Undetermined	1	4.76	0	0.0	0.08
	Ostracoda	Adult	1	4.76	1	0.15	0.07
	Asellus sp.	Adult	1.	4.76	1	0.15	0.07
	Gammarus fasciatus	Adult	11	52.38	492	76.16	32,50
	Amphipoda	Adult	12	57.14	112	17.34	11.44
· .	Astacidae	Undetermined	1	4.76	· 0	0.0	0.50
•	Astacidae	Juvenile	2	9.52	3	0.46	1.39
	Astacidae	Adult	3	14.29	3	0.46	13.76
	Hypdroptila sp.	Larvae	1	4.76	1	0.15	0.08
	Hydroptilidae	Pupae	1.	4.76	2	0.31	0.07
	Hydroptilidae	Undetermined	2	9.52	1	0.15	0.22
	Chironomus sp.	Larvae	1.	4.76	1	0.15	0.67
	Dicrotendipes sp.	Larvae	2.	9.52	-2	0.31	0.13
	Microtendipes sp.	Larvae	1	4.76	1	0.15	0.08
	Chironomidae	Pupae	4	19.05	3	0.46	0.97
	Chironomidae	Larvae	. 3	14.29	1	0.15	0.64
	Unid. fish	Undetermined	6 ·	28.57	0	0.0	5.50
	Unid. fish	Juvenile	-6	28.57	15	2.32	13,76
	Unid. fish	Juvenile	1	4.76	1	0,15	0.05
	<u>Cottus</u> sp.	Undetermined	2	9.52	2	0.31	5.70
	Digest matter	Undetermined	3	14.29	0	0.0	9.40
	Fish scales	Undetermined	1	4.76	0	0.0	0.03
	Aquat. insect remains	Undetermined	2	9.52	0	0.0	0.10
	Pebbles-stones	Undetermined	-6	28.57	0	0.0	0.40
Experimental**	Filament algae	Undetermined [*]	4	18.18	0	0.0	0,14
(NMPP and FITZ)	Physa	Adult	1	4.55	. 1	0.23	0.08
	<u>Goniobasis</u> sp.	Adult	1	4.55	1	0.23	0.04
	Gastropoda	Undetermined	1	4.55	3	0.70	0.08
	Daphnia sp.	Adult	1	4.55	1	0.23	2.01
	Ostracoda	Adult	3	13.64	3	0.70	0.24
	<u>Gammarus</u> f <u>asciatus</u>	Adult	15	68.18	270	62.65	42.41
	Amphipoda	Adult	13	59.09	133	30.86	22.17
	Astacidae	Undetermined	1	4.55	0.	0.0	0.10
	Astacidae	Juvenile	1	4.55	1	0.23	1.01
	Astacidae	Adult	1	4.55	1	0.23	9.05
	Hydroptilidae	Larvae	1	4.55	1	0.23	0.06
	Dicrotendipes sp.	Larvae	1	4.55	2	0.46	0.02
1	<u>Microtendipes</u> sp.	Larvae		4.55	2	0.46	0.08
	Phaenopsectra sp.	Larvae		4.55		0.23	0.02
	Chironomidae	Larvae	l	4.55	1	0.23	2.01
	Untronomidae	rupae	2	9.09	2	0.46	0.18
	Unid. tish	undetermined	4	18.18	5	1.16	10.75
	Unid. fish	Juventle	1	4.55	Ĭ	0.23	0.10
	unia. tish	Juventie	· [.	4.55	2	0.46	4.22
	Digest. matter	undetermined	5	22.13	Ű	0.0	5.09
	reppies-stones	undetermined	2	9.09	U	0.0	0.14
		·					

Stomach Content Analysis for Yellow Perch Collected by Gill Net, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

* Size Range (mm) = 102-286; No. of Stomachs Examined = 25; No. of Empty Stomachs 4. ** Size Range (mm) = 105-285; No. of Stomachs Examined = 25; No. of Empty Stomachs 3. \square

APPENDIX G

WATER QUALITY

Table G-1 (Page 1 of 9)

Weekly Temperature (°C) Profiles at 30-m (100-ft) Contour, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, April-December 1978

April

i	Sample Depth (meters)	Week NMPW	1 — 4, FITZ	/03/78 NMPE	Week NMPW	2 - 4/ FITZ	'10/78 NMPE	Week NMPW	3 — 4, FITZ	/17/78 _NMPE	Week NMPW	4 - 4/ FITZ	'24/78 NMPE
	Surface 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	0.8 0.10 1.1 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0\\ 1.0\\ 1.0\\ 1.0\\ 1.0\\ 1.0\\ 1.0\\ 1.0\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0\\ 1.1\\ 1.1\\ 1.1\\ 1.1\\ 1.1\\ 1.1\\ 1.1\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.3\\ 1.3\\ 1.3\\ 1.3\\ 1.3\\ 1.2\\ 1.2\\ 1.2\\ 1.3\\ 1.3\\ 1.3\\ 1.3\\ 1.3\\ 1.3\\ 1.4\\ 1.4\\ 1.5\\ 1.5\\ 1.5\\ 1.6\\ 1.8\\ 1.8\\ 1.8\\ 1.8\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.1\\ 1.2\\ 1.2\\ 1.2\\ 1.2\\ 1.2\\ 1.2\\ 1.2\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0\\ 1.1\\ 1.2\\ 1.2\\ 1.2\\ 1.2\\ 1.3\\ 1.3\\ 1.3\\ 1.3\\ 1.3\\ 1.3\\ 1.3\\ 1.3$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.7\\ 1.7\\ 1.7\\ 1.7\\ 1.7\\ 1.7\\ 1.7\\ 1.7\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.9\\ 1.9\\ 1.9\\ 1.9\\ 1.9\\ 1.9\\ 1.9\\ 1.9\\$	2.1 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0	$\begin{array}{c} 2.0\\ 1.9\\ 1.9\\ 1.9\\ 1.9\\ 1.9\\ 1.9\\ 1.9\\ 1.9$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.9\\ 1.9\\ 1.9\\ 1.8\\ 1.8\\ 1.8\\ 1.9\\ 1.9\\ 1.9\\ 1.9\\ 1.9\\ 1.9\\ 1.9\\ 1.9$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.8\\ 1.8\\ 1.8\\ 1.7\\ 1.7\\ 1.7\\ 1.7\\ 1.9\\ 1.9\\ 1.9\\ 1.9\\ 2.0\\ 2.0\\ 2.0\\ 2.0\\ 2.0\\ 2.0\\ 2.0\\ 2.0$

Table G-1 (Page 2 of 9)

·····
γ η
\smile

May

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Sample Depth (meters)	Week NMPW	1 — 5 FITZ	5/2 NMPE	Week NMPW	2 — 5 FITZ	/8 NMPE	Week NMPW	3 — 8 FITZ	5/15 NMPE	Week NMPW	4 5 FITZ	/22 NMPE	Week . NMPW	5 — 5 FITZ	/30 NMPE
	Surface 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	$\begin{array}{c} 2.2\\ 2.1\\ 2.1\\ 2.1\\ 2.1\\ 2.1\\ 2.1\\ 2.2\\ 2.3\\ 2.4\\ 2.4\\ 2.5\\ 2.5\\ 2.7\\ 2.7\\ 2.7\\ 2.7\\ 2.7\\ 2.7\\ 2.7\\ 2.9\\ 3.0\\ 3.1\\ 3.1\\ 3.1\\ 3.1\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.5\\ 2.5\\ 2.5\\ 2.5\\ 2.5\\ 2.6\\ 2.6\\ 2.6\\ 2.6\\ 2.6\\ 2.7\\ 2.7\\ 2.8\\ 2.9\\ 3.0\\ 3.0\\ 3.0\\ 3.0\\ 3.0\\ 3.0\\ 3.0\\ 3.0$	2.0000000011222222222222222222222222222	$\begin{array}{c} 2.5\\ 2.5\\ 2.5\\ 2.5\\ 2.5\\ 2.5\\ 2.5\\ 2.5\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 6.2 \\ 6.3 \\ 6.3 \\ 6.3 \\ 6.1 \\ 5.9 \\ 4.1 \\ 4.1 \\ 4.1 \\ 4.1 \\ 4.1 \\ 4.1 \\ 4.1 \\ 4.1 \\ 4.1 \\ 4.0 \\ 3.9 \\ 3.8 \\ 3.7 \\ 3.7 \\ 3.7 \\ 3.7 \\ 3.7 \\ 3.7 \\ 3.7 \\ 3.7 \\ 3.7 \\ 3.7 \end{array}$	5.8 5.7 5.6 6.6 5.6	$\begin{array}{c} 3.1\\ 3.1\\ 3.1\\ 3.1\\ 3.0\\ 3.0\\ 3.0\\ 3.0\\ 3.0\\ 3.0\\ 3.0\\ 3.0$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.1\\ 3.1\\ 3.1\\ 3.1\\ 3.1\\ 3.1\\ 3.1\\ 3.1\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.1\\ 3.0\\ 3.0\\ 3.0\\ 3.0\\ 3.0\\ 3.0\\ 3.0\\ 3.0$	3.1 3.2 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.9 3.9 3.9	3.3 3	3.9 3.9 9	$\begin{array}{c} 16.8\\ 16.7\\ 16.9\\ 7.9\\ 4.39\\ 5.5\\ 5.5\\ 5.5\\ 5.5\\ 5.5\\ 5.5\\ 5.5\\ 5.$	$\begin{array}{c} 14.7\\ 14.6\\ 11.5\\ 9.1\\ 4.85\\ 5.887\\ 7.6\\ 6.5\\ 5.5\\ 5.5\\ 5.5\\ 5.5\\ 5.5\\ 5.5\\ 5$	$\begin{array}{c} 15.5\\ 15.5\\ 12.6\\ 0.5\\ 0.6\\ 0.4\\ 0.1\\ 0.8\\ 0.6\\ 0.4\\ 0.5\\ 0.5\\ 0.5\\ 0.5\\ 0.5\\ 0.5\\ 0.5\\ 0.5$

G--2

science services division

Table G-1 (Page 3 of 9)

June

Sample	Week 1	_ 6,	/5/78	Week	2 - 6/	12/78	Week	3 - 6/	19/78	Week	4 - 6/	26/78
(meters)	NMPW	FITZ	NMPE	NMPW	FITZ	NMPE	NMPW	FITZ	NMPE	NMPW	FITZ	NMPE
(meters) Surface 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	NMPW 10.5 10.55 10.55 10.54 10.19 8.10 8.7.7.1 7.17 6.99 6.90 6.90 6.91	FITZ 10.1 10.1 10.1 10.1 10.1 10.1 10.1 10.	NMPE 9.766665261976666553110865444444 6.5531108654444444 6.6666666666666666666666666666	NMPW 12.5 12.3 12.3 12.1 12.0 12.1 12.0 12.1 12.1 12.1 12.3 12.1 12.1 12.1 12.1 12.1 12.1 12.1 12.1 12.1 12.1 12.1 12.1 12.1 12.2 11.2 12.7 9.4 8.5 8.2 8.0 7.9 7.8 7.7 7.6 7.4	FITZ 13.1 13.0 12.9 12.9 12.8 12.3 12.1 11.5 11.4 11.2 10.7 10.2 10.0 9.9 9.8 9.7 9.0 8.9 8.7 8.6 8.55 8.5 8.5 8.2 8.1	NMPE 14.5 14.3 14.3 14.3 14.0 13.5 13.1 12.3 11.6 11.2 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 10.5 9.5 9.4 9.4 9.5 9.4 9.5 9.4 9.5 8.9 8.9 8.7 8.5	NMPW 12.3 12.2 12.3 12.2 12.2 12.2 12.2 12.2 12.3 12.2 12.2 12.2 12.3 12.6 10.8 9.7 9.4 9.3 9.3 9.3 9.3 9.3 9.3 9.3 9.3	FITZ 12.9 12.8 12.8 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.7 12.5 12.5 12.3 12.1 10.4 10.2 10.0 9.9 9.6 9.6 9.5	NMPE 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.4 12.5 12.4 12.4 12.4 12.4 12.4 12.4 12.4 12.4 12.4 12.4 12.4 12.4 12.4 12.4 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.4 12.4 12.4 12.4 12.4 12.4 12.4 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.4 12.4 12.4 12.4 12.4 12.4 12.3 12.3 12.3 12.5	NMPW 15.4 14.8 14.1 13.4 13.2 13.1 12.8 12.9 10.1 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.1 10.0 9.9	FITZ 15.2 15.2 15.1 14.6 14.0 12.8 12.7 12.6 12.5 12.4 12.4 12.4 12.4 12.4 12.4 12.4 12.4 12.5 12.4 12.5 12.4 12.5 12.4 12.5 12.5 12.4 12.5 12.5 12.4 12.5 12.5 12.4 12.5 12.5 12.4 12.5 12.5 12.4 12.5 12.5 12.4 12.5 12.5 12.4 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.6 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.5 12.6 10.7 10.6 10.7 10.6 10.7 10.6 10.7 10.6 10.7 10.6 10.7 10.6 10.7 10.6 10.7 10.6 10.7 10.6 10.7 10.6 10.7 10.6 10.7 10.6 10.7 10.6 10.7 10.8 9.7 9.3 8.9 8.4	NMPE 15.8 15.7 15.6 13.1 12.9 12.5 12.5 12.4 12.2 12.5 12.4 12.2 12.0 11.9 11.9 11.9 11.9 11.9 11.9 11.9 10.7 10.2 9.2 9.0

G--3

Table G-1 (Page 4 of 9)

J	u	1у	

G-4

Table G-1 (Page 5 of 9)

August

and the second se	and the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second										
Sample Depth (meters)	Week 1 – 8 NMPW FITZ	0/7/78 2 NMPE	Week NMPW	2 - 8/ FITZ	14/78 NMPE	Week NMPW	3 — 8/ FITZ	21/78/ NMPE	Week NMPW	4 – 8/ FITZ	28/78 NMPE
Surface 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} 21.6\\ 21.6\\ 21.6\\ 21.6\\ 21.6\\ 21.3\\ 21.2\\ 21.1\\ 20.9\\ 20.7\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 22.7\\ 22.7\\ 22.9\\ 22.8\\ 22.7\\ 22.7\\ 22.7\\ 22.7\\ 22.7\\ 22.7\\ 22.7\\ 22.7\\ 22.7\\ 22.7\\ 21.5\\ 21.3\\ 21.2\\ 20.3\\ 19.6\\ 19.1\\ 17.5\\ 10.6\\ 10.3\\ 10.1\\ 9.9\\ 9.2\\ 8.8\\ 7.8\\ 7.4\\ 7.1\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 23.2\\ 23.2\\ 23.2\\ 23.2\\ 23.2\\ 23.2\\ 23.1\\ 23.0\\ 22.7\\ 22.6\\ 21.3\\ 21.2\\ 21.1\\ 21.0\\ 19.2\\ 16.1\\ 12.6\\ 10.5\\ 9.2\\ 8.6\\ 7.5\\ 6.4\\ 15.8\\ 5.6\\ 5.1\\ 5.0\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 22.5\\ 22.4\\ 22.4\\ 22.4\\ 22.2\\ 22.0\\ 21.8\\ 21.6\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 22.2\\ 22.2\\ 22.2\\ 22.2\\ 22.2\\ 22.2\\ 22.2\\ 22.2\\ 22.2\\ 22.2\\ 22.2\\ 22.2\\ 22.2\\ 22.2\\ 22.0\\ 21.9\\ 21.9\\ 21.9\\ 21.9\\ 21.9\\ 21.9\\ 21.9\\ 21.9\\ 21.9\\ 21.9\\ 21.9\\ 21.9\\ 21.5\\ 21.5\\ 21.5\\ 21.5\\ 21.5\\ 21.5\\ 21.5\\ 19.6\\ 18.7\\ 13.1\\ 12.3\\ 12.1\\ 12.0\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 22.5\\ 22.4\\ 22.2\\ 22.1\\ 22.1\\ 22.1\\ 22.1\\ 22.1\\ 22.1\\ 22.1\\ 22.1\\ 22.1\\ 22.0\\ 21.9\\ 21.9\\ 21.9\\ 21.9\\ 21.9\\ 21.9\\ 21.5\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 22.2\\ 22.3\\ 22.3\\ 22.3\\ 22.3\\ 22.3\\ 22.2\\ 22.2\\ 22.2\\ 22.1\\ 21.5\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 18.2\\ 18.2\\ 18.2\\ 10.4\\ 9.3\\ 8.4\\ 8.1\\ 7.5\\ 9.5\\ 5.6\\ 5.5\\ 5.5\\ 5.5\\ 5.1\\ 5.0\\ 5.0\\ 5.0\\ 5.0\\ 5.0\\ 5.0\\ 5.0\\ 5.0$	$\begin{array}{c} 20.7\\ 20.1\\ 18.8\\ 15.2\\ 11.1\\ 10.2\\ 9.8\\ 9.4\\ 9.2\\ 9.1\\ 9.4\\ 9.2\\ 9.1\\ 9.1\\ 8.0\\ 8.3\\ 7.5\\ 7.0\\ 6.6\\ 6.5\\ 6.5\\ 6.2\\ 6.1\\ 6.1\\ 6.1\\ 6.1\\ 6.1\\ 6.1\\ 6.1\\ 6.1$	$\begin{array}{c} 21.1\\ 21.2\\ 20.8\\ 15.5\\ 13.6\\ 12.1\\ 11.0\\ 9.1\\ 8.0\\ 7.7\\ 7.4\\ 6.2\\ 6.3\\ 6.3\\ 6.3\\ 6.2\\ 6.3\\ 5.5\\ 5.4\\ 5.5\\ 5.4\\ 5.0\\ 8.3\\ 5.0\\ 5.0\\ 5.0\\ 5.0\\ 5.0\\ 5.0\\ 5.0\\ 5.0$

G-5

Table G-1 (Page 6 of 9)

September

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$

G--6

Table G-1 (Page 7 of 9)

October

: .

 $\overline{}$

÷

G--7

Table G-1 (Page 8 of 9)

November

Sample Depth (meters)	Week NMPW	1 — 1 FITZ	1/6/78 NMPE	Week NMPW	2 —11 FITZ	/14/78 NMPE	Week NMPW	3 — 11 FITZ	/21/78 NMPE	Week NMPW	4 — 11, FITZ	/27/78 NMPE
Depth (meters) Surface 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	NMPW 10.9	FITZ 11.2 11.2 11.2 11.1 11.1 11.1 11.1 11.0 11.0 11.0 11.0 11.0 11.0 11.0 11.0 11.0 11.0 11.0 11.0 10.9 1	NMPE 10.9 10.8 10.8 10.9 10.8	NMPW 9.2 9.2 9.2 9.2 9.2 9.2 9.2 9.2 9.2 9.2	FITZ 9.9 9.9 9.9 9.9 9.8 9.8 9.8 9.8 9.8 9.8	NMPE 9.7 9.7 9.7 9.7 9.7 9.7 9.7 9.7 9.7 9.7	NMPW 8.3 8.3 8.3 8.3 8.4 8.4 8.4 8.4 8.4 8.4 8.4 8.4 8.4 8.4	FITZ 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.5 8.6 8.6 8.6 8.6 8.6 8.6 8.6 8.6 8.6 8.6	NMPE 8.55556 8.5558.6688.6688.6688.6688.6688	NMPW 7.4 7.4 7.4 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5	FITZ 6.8 6.9 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.1 7.1 7.1 7.1 7.1 7.1 7.1 7.1 7.1 7.1	NMPE 6.9 6.9 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0
24 25 26 27 28 29 30	10.9 10.9 10.9 10.8 10.8 10.8 10.8	10.9 10.8 10.7 10.7 10.7 10.7 10.7	10.8 10.8 10.8 10.7 10.7 10.7	7.4 7.2 6.9 6.8 6.7 6.0 4.8	9.7 9.1 7.5 6.5 5.5 5.1 4.8	9.3 9.3 9.2 8.0 6.0 5.5 4.8	8.4 8.4 8.4 8.4 8.4 8.4 8.4	8.6 8.6 8.6 8.6 8.6 8.6 8.6	8.6 8.6 8.4 8.3 8.3 8.3	7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5	7.1 7.1 7.1 7.1 7.1 7.1 7.1	7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0 6.9 6.9

G--8

Table G-1 (Page 9 of 9)

December

Sample Depth (meters)	Week 1 NMPW F	- Dec.4	Week 2 NMPW FITZ NMPE	Week 3 - Dec.20 NMPW FITZ NMPE	Week 4 - NMPW FITZ NMPF
Surface 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	$\begin{array}{c} 6.0\\ 6.0\\ 6.0\\ 6.0\\ 6.0\\ 6.0\\ 6.0\\ 6.0\\$	6.2 5.7 6.2 5.6 6.2 5.6 6.2 5.6 6.2 5.6 6.2 5.6 6.2 5.6 6.2 5.6 6.2 5.6	No temperatures obtained due to inclement weather	1.5 1.1 1.5 1.5 1.1 1.5 1.6 1.2 1.5 1.6 1.2 1.5 1.6 1.2 1.5 1.6 1.2 1.5 1.6 1.2 1.5 1.6 1.2 1.5 1.6 1.2 1.5 1.6 1.2 1.6 1.6 1.2 1.6 1.6 1.2 1.6 1.6 1.2 1.6 1.6 1.2 1.6 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.6 1.5 1.6 1.6 1.4 1.6 1.7 1.5 1.5 1.7 1.4 1.5 1.7 1.4 1.5 1.7 1.5 1.5 1.7 1.6 1.5 1.7 1.6 1.5 1.7 1.6 1.5 1.8 1.7 1.6 1.8 1.9 1.7 1.8 2.0 1.7 1.8 2.0 1.7 1.8 2.1 1.8 2.0 2.1 1.8 2.0 2.1 1.8 2.1 1.9	No temperatures obtained due to inclement weather

G--9

Table G-2 (Page 1 of 2)

Monthly Water Quality Parameters from Surface Samples at 20- and 40-ft Contours in Vicinity of Nine Mile Point and James A. FitzPatrick Power Plants, 1978

		20-Ft Contou	r ·		40-Ft Contou	r	1	2	0-Ft Contour		40	-Ft Contour	
DO (ma/l)	NMPW	FITZ	NMPE	NMPW	FITZ	NMPE	Total Solids (mo/l)	NMPW	FITZ	NMPE	NMPW	FITZ	NMPE
11 Apr	14.5	15.5	15.4	15.1	15.2	15.4	11 Apr	236	240	226	213	190	249
8 May	14.8	14.7	14.9	34.9	14.7	14.9	8 May	214	419	195	201	202	176
12 Jun	12.1	13.0	13.0	12.2	. 12.2	12.6	12 Jun	210	206	205	225	218	220
11 Jul	8.5	9.0	9.1	8.7	9.3	9.1	11 101	222	171	154	196	136	171
7 Aug	9.6	9.0	9.6	9.2	8.2	9.4	7 Aug	211	185	199	205	206	188
11 Sep	8.6	8.6	8.7	8.6	8.5	8.8	11 Sep	281	316	284	282	300	261
9 Oct	8.9	9.0	8.8	9.0	8.8	8.9	9.0ct	190	199	202	182	160	191
6 Nov	11.1	10.8	31.3	10.8	10.7	11.0	6 Nov	227	218	214	217	215	220
6 Dec	13.7	13.7	13.6	13.8	13.6	13.5	6 Dec	220	216	202	210	212	208
Water Tempera	ture (CO)						TEC /h						
11 Apr	4.0	3.4	3.5	5.0	6.0	3.0	11 11 4 11	23	28	2.8	3.0	3.5	4.0
8 May	5.3	5.6	7.0	5.3	6.6	5.6		14	0.8	1.0	1.4	1.2	1.0
12 Jun	14.6	14.5	14.0	24.7	15.0	13.0	12 Jun	0.8	0.6	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.6
- 11 Jul	22.0	22.9	20.1	20.7	21.5	19.8	11 Jul	3.4	5.4	5.2	1.2	3.4	5.2
7 Aug	22.7	23.5	23.4	24.9	22.3	22.5	7 Aug	<0.1	0.6	0.6	0.2	1.6	1.6
11 Sep	18.5	18.4	17.8	18.8	18.8	18.1	11 Sep	0.8	1.2	2.2	0.6	0.6	<0.1
9 Oct	12.0	14.1	13.5	14.0	12.3	12.5	9.0ct	1.2	<0.1	1.0	0.4	<0.1	<0.1
6 Nov	10.5	12.5	11.0	10.5	12.0	11.0	6 Nov	1.6	0.8	0.8	1.4	0.8	2.2
6 Dec	4.9	5.8	5.6	4.8	5.6		6 Dec	8.0	0.2	<0.1	0.2	0.8	<0.1
nH (IImits)							Total Phone (mo/	1_D)					
11 Apr	8.2	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	11 Anr	0.048	0.022	0.018	0.032	0.021	0.020
8 May	8.4	8.6	8.6	8.6	8.6	8.6	8 May	0.015	0.015	0.013	0.011	0.008	0.013
12 Jun	8.6	8.7	8.6	8.5	8.7	8.7	12 Jun	0.019	0.028	0.020	0.021	0.020	0.023
וטל וו'	8.5	8.5	8.4	8.5	8.7	8.6	11 Jul	0.044	0.028	0.030	0.030	0.022	0.029
7 Aug	8.6	8.5	. 8.6	8.5	8.4	8.6	7 Aug	0.012	0.013	0.009	0.008	0.012	0.006
. 11 Sep	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.4	8.3	8.4	11 Sep	0.013	0.014	0.014	0.014	0.014	0.014
9 Oct	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.4	8.4	8.4	9 Oct	0.023	0.024	0.031	0.021	0.021	0.014
6 Nov	8.2	8.2	8.3	8.2	8.2	8.3	6 Nov	0.015	0.012	0.011	0.010	0.009	0.010
6 Dec	7.9	8.0	8.0	7.9	8.0	8.0	6 Dec	0.035	0.020	0.014	0.053	0 031	0 017
					······		•	~1000	0.020		0.092		

science services division

1

11

.

To Succession of

1

á



strand Change

Sector 1

reskana.

) on the second

s-re-fund

Table G-2 (Page 2 of 2)

	20	-Ft Contour		40	-Ft Contour				2)-Ft Contour			10-Ft Contou	r
	NMPW	FITZ	NMPE	NMPW	FITŻ	NMPE			NMPW	FITZ	NMPE	NMPW	FITZ	NMPE
alcium (mg/l·	·Ca)	30 Q	1 55	38.1	37 5	34.3	Gross	Alpha (pC	SI/I)		1 71	1 75		
I Apr	30.3	30.0	33.1	37.7	37.3	37.7		Mau	<1./1	<1./1	<1.71	<1.73	<1./1	<1./4
	40.5	39.2	40.5	40.5	43.0	40.5	12	Jun	<0.88	<0.91	<0.94	<0.96	<0.93	<0.80
11 Jul	48.8	48.5	37.5	53.8	43.8	48.8	-11	Jul	<1.27	<1.23	<1.21	<1.23	<1.16	<1.23
7 Aug	43.8	41.2	41.9	43.8	41.9	41.2	7	Aug	<0.79	<0.79	<0.79	<0.82	<0.78	<0.76
11 Sep	30.7	32.5	34.3	30.9	32.1	30.7	11	Sep	<0.80	<0.76	<0.70	<0.70	<0.80	<0.70
9 Oct	31.7	31.9	31.9	30.5	31.1	31.7	9	Oct	2.97	<0.33	0.88	<0.33	0.77	0.99
6 Nov	40.6	38.5	38.5	38.5	38.5	36.4	6	Nov	<0.58	<0.58	<0.53	<0.53	<0.58	<0.56
6 Dec	29.2	29.2	28.6	29.9	31.8	31.7	6	Dec	<1.33	< 1.28	<1.26	< 1.31	<1.35	< 1.3]
Sodium (mo/i-	Na)						Gross	s Betz (nCi	i/n					
11 Apr	12.3	12.9	12.6	13.0	12.7	12.7	1.11	Apr	5.54	4.65	3.18	4.48	4.24	6.77
8 May	15.6	14.6	15.0	17.8	15.3	15.1	8	May	3.00	2.95	3.32	3.10	2.50	6.05
12 Jun	13.5	13.0	13.1	16.0	13.3	13.5	12	Jun	4.08	2.82	3.64	3.04	3.31	2.48
11 Jul	20.5	16.5	16.5	19.2	14.5	19.5	11	Jul	3.21	5.29	3.34	3.16	4.17	3.25
7 Aug	20.0	17.2	17.5	17.5	16.2	14.2	1 7	Aug	2.75	2.90	2.36	2.78	2.63	2.86
11 Sep	12.9	14.5	12.0	12.0	14.3	12.0	<u><u> </u></u>	Sep	3.11	2.98	2.84	2.90	2.92	3,09
9 Oct	12.0	12.9	13.1	11.8	11.8	12.0	9	Oct	2.95	3.48	3.02	3.04	2.91	3.63
6Nov	13.3	12.8	12.5	13.2	13.0	13.2	.6	Nov	3.38	3.09	2.71	3.55	3.57	3.04
6Dec	12.7	13.1	11.0	12.0	12.4	. 11.2	- e	Dec	2.84	2.08	1.91	2.92	2.33	2.79
Chromium (m	a/I-Cr)						Gam	ma Spectro	osconv (nCi/l)					
11 Apr	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	11	Арг	BE	LOW DETECTI	on *	BE	LOW DETECTI	ON ·
8 May	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	8	May	BE	LOW DETECTI	ON	BE	LOW DETECTI	ON
12 Jun	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	12	Jun	BE	LOW DETECTI	ON	BE	LOW DETECTI	ON :
i) Jul	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	1 11	Jul	BE	LOW DETECTI	ON	BE	LOW DETECT	ON
7 Aug	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	1	Aug	BE	LOW DETECTI	ON	BE	LOW DETECTS	ON
1) Sep	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	<0.001	1	Sep	BE	LOW DETECTI	01	BE	LOW DETECTI	ON
90ct	<0.001	0.002	0.002	0.003	0.002	0.003	9	Oct	BE	LOW DETECTI	ON	. BE	LOW DETECTI	ON
6Nov	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	6	5 Nov	BE	LOW DETECTI	ON	ве	LOW DETECTI	ON
6Dec	0.002	0.002	0,002	0.002	0.002	0.002	<u> </u>	5 Dec	BE	LOW DETECT	LON	BE	LOW DETECT	LON
Sulfate (malt-	504)						Trit	ium inCiA	iter)					· · · ?
11 Apr	28.0	29.5	27.7	29.1	27.7	31.7	1 1	Apr.	193	276	<160	291	191	<160
8May	27.2	27.8	27.7	27.8	28.1	27.7	8	May	375	342	189	297	335	562
12 Jun	28.3	28.2	29.4	30.9	28.8	29.2	12	2 Jun	141	288	202	269	127	127
11 Jul	25.9	25.0	25.1	25.8	24.5	25.3	11	Jul	314	223	277	274	344	393
7Aug	25.0	24.4	24.4	24.5	24.4	23.7	1 7	7 Aug	183	176	157	191	160	127
11Sep	29.8	30.7	29.8	28.8	27.4	24.6	1 11	Sep	194	326	378	191	276	265
90ct	29.5	28.2	28.7	27.9	28.4	27.6		9 Oct	293	213	283	272	253	239
6 Nov	30.7	30.1	30.2	30.2	29.9	30.0	0	5 Nov	198	178	200	178	180	349
6Dec	26.2	25.8	26.2	26.6	27.0	26.6	6	5 Dec	227	< 187	< 187	< 187	< 187	185

*Minimum Detection Limits (MDL's in pC1/liter) for representative isotopes in Gamma Spectrometric Analysis: Mn - 54 = 1.0; Fe - 59 = 3.0; Co - 58 = 1.0; Co - 62 = 2.0; A - 65 = 2.0 = 3.0; Zr - Nb - 95 = 2.0; I - 131 = 1.0; Cs - 134 = 1.0; Cs - 137 = 1.0; Ba - La - 140 = 2.0.

science services division

Table G-3 (Page 1 of 3)

Semimonthly Water Quality Parameters from Surface Samples at 20- and 60-ft Contours in Vicinity of Nine Mile Point and James A. FitzPatrick Power Plants, 1978

*

	2	0-Ft Contour		6	0-Ft Contour				2	0-Ft Contou	r		60-Ft Contou	r
	NMPW	NMPP	NMPE	NMPW	NMPP	NMPE			NMPW	NMPP	NMPE	NMPW	NMPP	NMPE
DO (mg/l)	14 5	14.6	15.4	15.2	15.5	15.4	Sp.	Cond. (µn	nhos)	200	290	200	290	300
24 Apr	14.5	14.2	14.4	14.6	14.5	14.4	24	Apr	380	390	335	360	340	350
8 May	14.8	14.8	14.9	14.9	14.7	15.0	8	May	410	325	380	365	305	390
22 May	14.2	14.6	15.3	16.7 12.0	15.6	16.6	22	May	600	510	440	410	460	390
Jun 26 Jun	14 1	14 3	14.5	14.2	14.5	14.6	12	Jun	300	350	380	400	3/0	360
<u>20 Jun</u>	0 6	0.7	9.1	9.0	9.3	9.5	20	Jun	· 300	330	390	275	3/0	245
79 bil	8.4	8.4	8.3	8.4	8.4	8.3	29	101	303	335	300	330	320	345
7 Aug	8.4	8.4	8.1	8.4	7.4	9.0	7	Aug	410	340	320	375	320	320
21 Aug	8,2	8.2	8.4	. 8.2	8.2	8.4	21	Aug	310	310	330	310	310	330
13 Sep	8.6	8.5	8.7	8.6	3.6	8.8	13	Sep	340	290	320	320	340	320
27 Sep	10.4	10.8		10.0	10.0		27	Sep	320	320	330	320	320	320
9 Oct	8.9	9.0	8.8	9.0	9.0	9.4	9	Oct	340	340	350	330	340	340
20 UCI	11.1	10.7	10.8	10.7	11.3	11.3	26	Oct	400 400	390 395	380	400 400	380 400	380 400
21 Nov	10.2	10.2	10.4	10.2	10.2	10.1		1 Nou	/10	420	300	380	380	360
6 Dec	13.7	13.7	13.4	13.6	13.8	13.3	1 4	6 Dec	370	390	355	400	330	325
20 Dec	13.7	14.0	13.3	13.7	13.5	13.0	2	0 Dec ·	340	370	360	340	330	335
Water Temperat	(Ure (C ⁰)	8.5	3.5	2.8	2.8	2.8	Tur	bidity (NTU)) Э К	31	3 3	39	34	2.6
24 Apr	2.4	7.1	2.3	2.5	2.5	2.2	-24	Apr	1.9	1.5	2.3	1.6	1.8	2.3
8 May	5.3	9.0	7.0	5.3	5.4	5.7	8	May	1.4	3.5	2.3	1.8	2.7	3.3
22 May	11.1	10.0	8.8	9.3	9.8	7.5	22	May	2.6	3.3	2.3	1.8	2.4	1.6
12 Jun	14.6	18.0	14.0	15.0	14.5	13.0	12	Jun	2.4	2.0	2.7	2.5	2.3	2.1
26 Jun	15.2	14.8	14.0		14.5	20.0	26	i Jun	2.7	3.8	4.4	2.6	4.3	4.4
11 jul 20 jul	22.0	23,4	20.1	20.7	23.5	23.5	11	Jul	3.4	2.4	2.1	2.6	2.0	2.0
29 Jui 7 Aug	22.7	25.4	23.4	24.5	22.5	22.6		Aun I	2.3	7.8	. 2.7	2.2	2.1	1.9
21 Aug	23.0	26.0	23.0	23.0	23.0	23.5		Aug	3.4	1 3	3.0	3.2	2.8	2.8
13 Sep	18.5	21.0	17.8	18.9	19.5	18.1	13	Sep	2.1	2.2	2.7	1.8	2.2	2.3
27 Sep	14.5	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.5	13.0	27	Sep	2.1	2.9	2.6	2.3	2.7	2.3
9 Oct	12.0	15.0	13.5	13.0	14.0	12.0	9) Oct	1.8	1.8	2.7	1.6	2.1	2.4
26 Oct	11.5	13.2	11.2	11.5	11.7	11.2	26	5 Oct	2.6	2.2	2.2	2.0	2.4	1.9
6 NOV	10.5	12.5		10.5	12.5	11.5		E NOV	2.8	4.2	2.2	- 2.2	2.4	
21 NOV	11.5	14.0	11.0	12.5	11.5	11.5	2	I NOV	2.3	2.3	2.2	1.6	2.1	1.4
20 Dec	1.0	0.8	0.7	1,4	1.4	1.2	2	0 Dec	3.7	5.0	4.0	3.5	3.3	4.8
. pH (Units)	82	8.5	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	Ca	rbon Dioxi	de (mg/l)					0.0
24 Apr	8.0	8.3	8.1	8.3	8.2	7.7	2	י Apr 4 Apr	4.6	0.0	1.6	. 0.0	10	5.8
8 May	8.4	8.5	8.6	8.6	8.5	8.6		s May	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	. 0.0
22 May	8.3	8.4	8.4	8.6	8.5	8.5	2	2 May	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
12 Jun	8.6	8.6	8.6	8.5	8.5	8.6	1	z Jun	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
26 Jun	8.6	8.6	8.6	8.0			2	6 Jun	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
lut 11	8.5	8.6	8.4 g 5	. 8.5	8.7	8.7 8.5	1	l Jul	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
29 Jul 7 Aug	6.5 8.6	84	8.6	8.5	8.5	8.6	2	9 Jul	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
21 Aug	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	8.4	1 -	/ Aug	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	. 0.0
13 Sen	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.4	8.3	8.3		i Aug 3 Sen	0.0	.0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0 0 0	0.0
27 Sep	8,4	8.4	8.5	8.4	8.4	8.4	2	7 Sep	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
9 Oct	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.4	8.4	8.4	-	9 Oct	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
26 Oct	8.2	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	2	6 Oct	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
6 NOV	8.2	8.2	8,3	8.3	8.3	8.3		6 Nov	0.4	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
21 Nov	8.1	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.2	2	Nov	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
6 Dec	7.9	8.1	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0		6 Dec	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.6	0.5

science services division

1

5

 \prod

[]

Į

j |

ł

11.

The subscription

Contraction of the local division of the loc

A substantial state of the substant state of the substate of the substant state of the substate of the substate of the

JEntral and Alan

Table G-3 (Page 2 of 3)

		20-Ft Contour	·		0-Ft Contour		1		20-Ft Contou	r		60-Ft Contou	r
Total Dhas	MMPW	NMPP	NMPE	NMPW	NMPP	NMPE		NMPW	NMPP	NMPE	NMPW	NMPP	NMPE
11 Anr	n 024	0 025	0.024	0.019	0.027	0.021	Nitrate (mg/I-N)	0.33	0.27	0.24	0.27	0.27	0.29
24 Apr	0.018	0.019	0.018	0.027	0.016	0.016	24 Apr	0.28	0.28	0.34	0.37	0.37	0.30
8 May	0.013	0.008	0.008	0.010	0.008	0.010	8 May	0.20	0.22	0.20	0.20	0.22	0.21
22 May	0.027	0.025	0.033	0.025	0.030	0.026	22 May	0.35	0.34	0.30	0.23	0.29	0.26
12 Jun	0.021	0.019	0.018	0.023	0.021	0.019	12 Jun	0.15	0.16	0.17	0.19	0.17	0.17
26 Jun	0.028	0.022	0.028	0.026	0.031	0.033	26 Jun	0.19	0.20	0.19	0.18	0.18	0.17
11 Jui 29 Iul	0.037	0.030	0.034	0.037	0.028	0.031	29 Jul	0.06 ⊿0.01	40.03	0.05 -0.01	0.06	0.05	0.05
7 Aug	0.010	0.010	0.004	0.004	0.005	0.004	7 Aug	⊲0.04	<0.04	<0.04	<0.01	<0.04	<0.04
21 Aug	0.017	0.016	0.017	0.014	0.015	0.015	21 Aug	⊲0.04	⊲0.04	<0.04	<0.04	<0.04	<0.04
13 Sep	0.011	0.014	0.014	0.015	0.015	0.016	13 Sep	0.08	0.09	0.10	0.05	0.09	0.06
2/ 580	0.008	0.016	0.011	0.009	0.011	0.010	27 Sep	0.15	0.17	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.15
26 Oct	0.021	0.030	0.025	0.078	0.022	0.038	9 0ct	0.12	0.14	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.13
6 Nov	v 0.009	0.009	0.013	0.011	0.009	0.011	6 Nov	0.16	0.18	0.15	0.16	0.16	0.16
21 Nov	0.013	0.011	0.010	0.009	0.006	0.005	21 Nov	0.18	0.19	0.18	0.17	0 17	0 17
6 Dec	0.020	0.037	0.016	0.012	0.083	0.028	6 Dec	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.28	0.28
20 Dec	0.021	0.047	0.014	0.008	0.110	0.044	20 Dec	0.28	0.30	0.30	0.27	0.28	0,29
Orthophos	sphorus (mg/I-P)					Chlorophyll a (µ	a/I)					
11 - Apr	r 0.007	0.008	0.006	0.008	0.008	0.008	11 Apr	2.84	2.28	2.88	2.24	2.28	2.68
24 Apr	r 0.017	0.019	0.012	0.009	0.012	0.008	24 Apr	2.95	2.92	2.68	2.72	2.20	3.64
8 Ma	<u>y 0.006</u>	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006	8 May	5.81	3.64	6.01	5.49	4.29	4.65
22 Mag	y 0.018	0.014	0.012	0.012	0.016	0.018	22 May	32.92.	8.57	7.85	11.29	11.41	10.13
26 Jur	n 0.004	0.003	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.000	26 Jun	4.70	-5.02	6.19	5.23	5,34	6.30
· 11 Jul	0.004	0.008	0.003	0.005	0.005	0.005	11 Jul	2.56	2.24	1.82	2.40	1.28	1.52
29 Jul	1 <0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	29 Jul	1.48	1.52	3.52	1.55	1.48	4.37
7 Au	<u>g <0.002</u>	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	7 Aug	7.96	5.02	2.24	4.06	4.27	2.35
21 AU 13 Ser	g 0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	21 Aug 13 Sen	2.6/	3./4 4 RT	6.2/ 7.10	3.14	3.34	5.14
27 Set	p <0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	27 Sep	2.99	8.86	7.32	3.68	4.43	7.85
9 Oct	t <0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	9 Oct	3.56	0.10	3.36	1.76	2.64	2.08
26 Oct	t <0.002	<0.002	<0.002	0.003	<0.002	<0.002	26 Oct	4.78	3.74	4.41	5.34	3.98	7.18
0 NO	JV 0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	B NOV	4.48	4.13	6.98	3.17	3.72	3,78
۲ (Nor د De	NU U.UUS C 0.002	0.005	0.005	0.004	0.003	<0.002		2.60	2.90	2.6/	2.90	3.04	3.57
20 De	c 0.008	0.012	0.010	0.004	0.003	0.003	20 Dec	4.86	8.25	4.21	5.09	5.92	6.79
Silica im	nn/l-SiOa						Phaembutin a Lu	с л)					·
1] An	r 0.44	0.49	0.46	0.49	0.45	0.44	11 Apr	-010	-0.10	-0.10	-0.10	0.90	0.15
24 Ap	or 0.31	0.32	0.33	0.31	0.33	0.29	24 Apr	0.10	0.33	0.10	<0.10	0.20	<0.15
8 Ma	ay 0.10	0.13	0.10	0.10	0.13	0.11	8 May	1.06	0.81	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	0.74
22 Ma	ay <0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.06	22 May	<0.10	0.40	<0.10	1.71	6.61	<0.10
12 Ju 26 Ju	0.05 n n	<0.05 0.14	0.05 0.16	0.07	0.05	<0.05	12 Jun	1.56	0.53	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	1.18
31 10	1 0.30	0.14	0.76	0.27	0.14	0.27	20 JUN	1.39	1.45	1.51	1.53	0.90	1.66
29 Ju	0.11	0.09	0.16	0.11	0.11	0.12	29 Jul	0.48	0.89	1.35	0.34	0.76	1.45
7 Au	Jg 0.30	0.16	0.16	0.28	0.23	0.17	7 Aug	0.68	1.90	0.78	1.32	1.30	0.49
21 Au	ug 0.13	0.12	0.14	0.13	0.12	0.11	21 Aug	1.02	1.07	1.01	1.82	1.05	0.56
13 Se 27 Se	ap 0.13 en 0.25	0.14	0.15	0.18	0.15	0.19	13 Sep	0.76	0.46	<0.10	2.10	<0.10	2.98
9 00	- 0.12 - 1 0.12	0.12	0.10	0.12	0.13	0.13	2/ Sep	0.90	<0.10	0.40	0.50	<0.10	0.82
26 00	at 0.15	0.12	0.17	0.17	0.14	0.15	26 Oct	0.53	4.93	1.84	0.68	0.3U 2.0A	1.53 <0.10
6 No	ov 0.11	0.14	0.11	0.17	0.14	0.14	6 Nov	1.56	0.26	<0.10	0.75	<0.10	2,52
21 No	ov 0.18	0.20	0.19	0.20	0.21	0.25	21 Nov	1.04	0.90	0.58	0.90	Q.23	0.12
ה 5 De	ec 0.33 ec 0.21	0.35	0.33	0.31	0.34	0.33	6 Dec	0,34	0.41	0.54	1.04	2.04	0.35
			3.20	21.11	31.10		20 Dec	0.19	< 0.10	0.62	0.41	0.60	0.33

LE

Table G-3 (Page 3 of 3)

		;	20-Ft Contou	r		50-Ft Contou	r	1		2	0-Ft Contou	r	(50-Ft Contour	r
	c. D	NMPW	NMPP	NMPE	NMPW	NMPP	NMPE			NMPW	NMPP	NMPF	NMPW	NMPP	NMPF
10621	Solias (mg/)	242	248	200	213	218	100	COD	(mg/l)						
24	Apr	153	199	187	173	199	183	24	Apr Apr	1.0	2.8	3.9	4.0	2.2	4.5
8	May	216	185	189	184	273	294	8	May	4.8	5.0	5.7	4.7	4.5	4.5 6.0
22	May	337	336	300	221	267	244	22	May	2.2	<2.0	2.4	₹.0	⊲.0	<2.0
12	Jun	215	226	212	251	224	215	12	Jun	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0
11	Jul	154	139	226	139	164	177	20	111	9.0	7.8	3.6		7.5	5.9
29	Jul	156	159	156	151	144	174	29	Jul	7.3	7.2	8.0	7.5	7.1	7.9
7	Aug	213	225	201	268	215	211	7	Aug	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.9	8.1	7.8
13	Sep	148 302	307	269	128	147	153 214	21	Aug	2.5	3.0	2.5	2.6	3.0	3.0
27	Sep	203	188	170	185	180	181	27	Sep	7.4	7.1	7.1	6.9	5.3	5.7
9	Oct	197	193	203	190	204	203	9	Oct	4.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	5.0	7.0
26 6	Nov	212 214	217 223	200	212	207 220	207 212	26	Oct	5.2	5.1	6.6 7.0	7.7	6.9	5.9
21	Nov	217	236	247	215	196	208	21	Nov	5.7	5.7	5.7	57		5.7
6	Dec	211	219	202	202	216	190	6	Dec	6.5	6.4	5.4	6.3	6.3	6.3
	Dec	217	246	239	212	178	211	20	Dec	<2.0	2.0	2.3	<2.0	<2.0	< 2.0
	1 h a						,								
155	(mg/i) Apr	0.6	1.4	1.4	2.0	2.6	2.8	TKN	(mg/l-N)	0.12	0.15	0.12	0.19	0.05	0.03
24	Apr	0.2	0.2	<0.1	0.8	0.4	1.8	24	Apr	0.30	0.15	0.12	0.18	0.05	0.03
8	May	1.0	0.6	1.0	0.4	15.8	5.8	8	May	0.21	0.24	0.16	0.19	0.36	0.15
22 12	May	7.6	6.6 1.2	3.4	1.6	3.2	1.8	22	May	0.37	0.36	0.26	0.07	0.13	0.04
26	Jun	2.0	1.4	2.0	1.8	1.4	2.4	26	Jun	0.45	0.39	0.37 0.44	0.46 0.39	0.37	0.35
11	Jul	7.4	6.6	7.2	7.0	4.8	6.6	11	Jul	0.22	0.29	0.41	0.38	0.26	0.28
29	jul Aun	3.2	2.4	4.0	0.6	2.0	1.6	29	Jul	0.30	0.27	0.33	0.32	0.20	0.64
21	Aug	0.4	0.8	0.6	0.8	0.2	0.2	1. 1	AU9 Aun	0.14	0.17	0.38	0.40	0.39	0.15
13	Sep	0.2	0.4	<0.1	0.4	0.2	<0.1	13	Sep	0.30	0.40	0.21	0.43	0.17	0.42
27	Sep	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	0.2	27	Sep	0.09	0.06	0.08	0.06	0.06	0.08
9 26	Oct	0.4	1.2	1.2	<0.1 1 0	0.4	1.0	9	Oct Oct	0.10	0.08	0.14	0.08	0.11	0.11
6	Nov	0.6	1.0	1.2	1.0	1.2	1.2	6	Nov	0.26	0.18	0.20	0.36	0.17	0.21
21	Nov	1.0	9.4	2.6	1.4	0.0	1.4	21	Nov	0.25	0.26	0.24	0.23	0.21	0.19
6 20	Dec	2.4 10.6	1.6 15.0	0.8	21.0	0.8	1.6	6	Dec	< 0.03	0.19	0.13	0.08	0.32	0.14
-						0.0			Dec	0.10	0.22	< 0.03	<0.03	0.21	0.15
BOD-	5 Day (mg/i)							Апп	sonia (mo/	I-N)					
11	Apr	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.7	3.1	2.6	11	Apr	0.006	0.007	0.004	0.008	0.009	0.012
24 8	Apr Mav	2.5	2.2	2.4	2.2	2.3	2.4 1.8	24	Apr	800.0	0.005	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.004
22	May	3.8	A 2	4.2	4.3	4.0			May	0.016	0.025	0.016	0.017	0.017	0.017
12	Jun	1.8	2.4	3.2	3.2	2.4	2.6	12	i may ! Jun	0.067 0.014	0.053	0.031 0.014	0.029	0.055 0.016	0.033 0.018
26	Jun	1.9	2.7	3.3	2.1	2.7	3.4	26	Jun	0.033	0.026	0.038	0.029	0.036	0.043
11	Jul	1.5	1.5	1.7	2.4	1.1	1.3	11	Jul	0.051	0.062	0.085	0.072	0.045	0.050
- 7	Aug	1.2	0.3	1.3	0.7	1.3	0.1	23	Aug	0.032	0.045	0.060	0.036	0.005	0.042
21	Aug	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	21	Aug	0.027	0.028	0.017	0.022	0.027	0.019
13	Sep	0.6	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.8	1.2	1:	Sep	0.011	0.013	0.030	0.009	0.023	0.012
	 	0.4	0.2		0.5	0.0		27	Sep	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	0.002
9 26	Oct	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.4	2	5 Oct	0.002	0.002	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.010
6	Nov	0.5	2.1	1.8	1.8	2.2	2.4		Nov	0.004	0.002	0.010	0.005	0.012	0.010
21	Nov	1.5	1.0	0.9	0.1	1.4	1.0	21	Nov	0.020	0.037	0.035	0.036	0.015	0.006
6 20	Dec	3.2 3.3	3.3 4.5	3.2 3.8	3.4 3.0	3.4 3.3	3.1 3.5	20) Dec	0.027	0.040 0.047	0.027	0.032	0.046 0.037	0.040

Alexandra and

]

 $\left[\right]$

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$

Branning and

5

Summers of

G-14

A CONTRACTOR OF

and a second

- Contraction

- Language

SPARA DALAR

education of

Table G-4 (Page 1 of 7)

Monthly Water Quality Parameters at 25- and 45-ft Contours on NMPP/FITZ Transect, Nine Mile Point Vicinity, 1978

	25-Ft C	ontour	45-Ft (Contour		25-Ft C	ontour	45-Ft C	ontour
	Surface	Bottom	Surface	Bottom		Surface	Bottom	Surface	Bottom
Alkalinity (mg/l)					Total Solids (mg/1)				
24 Apr	98	96	96	96	24 Apr	194	146	189	209
22 May	112	106	104	100	22 May	299	284	263	229
26 Jun	94	97	95	97	26 Jun	190	191	188	183
24 Jul	83	82	83	82	24 Jul	185	165	156	207
21 Aug ·	83	82	. 80	80	21 Aug	147	154	155	164
27 Sep	98	98	99	99	27 Sep	163	171	173	175
26 Oct	98	98	98	98	26 Oct	225	214	214	215
21 Nov	87	88	88	89	21 Nov	255	266	232	257
20 Dec	100	103	101	101	20 Dec	249	242	221	243
Color (APHA units)					TDS (mg/l)				
24 Apr	1	1	1	1	24 Apr	194	146	189	209
22 May	1	1	1	1	22 May	295	280	261	227
26 Jun	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>	26 Jun	187	187	186	181
24 Jul	1	1	1	1	24 Jul	183	162	155	205
21 Aug	1	I	1	. 1	21 Aug	147	153	154	163
27 Sep	1	1	1	1	27 Sep	163	171	1/3	1/5
26 Oct	1	1	ı	1	26 Oct	224	210	213	213
21 Nov	1	1	1	1	21 Nov	253	258	230	255
20 Dec	1			l	20 Dec	230			
Sp. Cond. (µmhos)					TSS (mg/l)				
24 Apr	350	340	340	340	24 Apr	0.2	<0.1	0.2	1.0
22 May	510	440	410	340	22 May	4.4	4.2	2.0	2.0
26 Jun	320	380	370	380 .	26 Jun	2.6	4.0	1.8	2.0
24 Jul	365	360	360	340	24 Jul	1.8	5.4	0.0	1.0
21 Aug	320	320	310	310	21 Aug	<0.1	1.0	1.2	. 0.6
27 Sep	320	330	320	320	27 Sep	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	
26 Oct	360	370	360	370	26 Oct	1.0	3.8	1.2	1.6
21 Nov	430	430	380	430	21 Nov	1.0	7.6	1.0	5.0
20 Dec		380	355	385	20 Dec	19.0	20.2	14.0	<u>19.2</u>
Turbidity (NTU)					TVS (mg/l)				
24 Apr	2.8	3.7	2.6	3.4	24 Apr	87	68	8/ 125	/5
22 May	2.8	2.5	1.9	1.7	22 May	224	157	135	100
26 Jun	3.3	3.0	2.2	2.6	26 Jun ,	105	1/8	104	103
24 Jul	3.2	2.6	2.4	1.7	24 Jul	136	114	122	. 98
21 Aug	3.6	3.4	3.9	3.6	21 Aug	102	76	83	10
27 Sep	2.0	2.2	1.5	7.8	27 Sep	3/ .	62	50	
26 Oct	14	2.2	1.4	1.8	26 Oct	105	93	· 84	80
21 Nov	2.5	3.3	2.3	6.4	21 Nov	. 83	10	56	14
20 Dec	3.6	4.9	4.0	5.4	20 Dec	108	91	88	0/

G-15

Page G-4 (page 2 of 7)

Surface Bottom Surface Bottom Surface Bottom 7KN (mg/l-N) 24 Apr 0.14 0.10 0.14 0.20 24 Apr 0.14 0.10 0.14 0.20 24 Apr 0.005 0.006 0.022 0 24 Jul 0.33 0.34 0.44 0.50 24 May 0.028 0.027 0 24 Jul 0.35 0.34 0.44 0.50 24 Jul 0.037 0.022 0 27 Sep 0.08 0.07 0.11 0.10 27 Sep 0.016 0.008 0 20 Dec 0.16 0.33 0.18 0.14 0.20 2 I Nov 0.016 0.004 0 0 2 Apr 0.30 0.30 0 2 Apr 0.30 0.30 0 2 Apr 0.30 0.30 0 2 Apr 0.30	•	25-Ft Co	ntour	45-Ft (Contour		25-Ft C	ontour	45÷Ft C	ontour
TKN (mg/l-h) Total Phos. (mg/l-P) 24 Apr 0.14 0.10 0.14 0.20 25 Jun 0.43 0.66 0.44 0.50 24 Jul 0.35 0.34 0.44 0.50 27 Sep 0.08 0.07 0.11 0.10 27 Sep 0.08 0.07 0.11 0.10 26 Jun 0.47 0.45 0.44 0.50 27 Sep 0.08 0.07 0.11 0.10 20 Dec 0.16 0.33 0.18 0.14 0.18 0.16 0.33 0.18 0.14 0.14 0.10 0.14 0.20 21 Nov 0.015 0.022 20 Dec 0.16 0.33 0.18 0.14 0.20 24 May 0.05 0.022 0.21 Nov 0.016 0.22 0.21 0.23 0.24 0 24 May 0.05 0.06 0.13 0.22 0.21 0.13 <td< th=""><th>·</th><th>Surface</th><th>Bottom</th><th>Surface</th><th>Bottom</th><th></th><th>Surface</th><th>Bottom .</th><th>Surface</th><th>Bottom</th></td<>	·	Surface	Bottom	Surface	Bottom		Surface	Bottom .	Surface	Bottom
24 Åpr 0.14 0.10 0.14 0.20 24 Åpr 0.005 0.006 0 22 May 0.11 0.15 0.08 0.03 24 Åpr 0.028 0.027 0 24 Jul 0.35 0.34 0.44 0.50 25 Jul 0.037 0.026 0 21 Aug 0.77 0.11 0.10 27 Sep 0.08 0.077 0.11 0.10 0.12 7 0.026 0 0 0.022 0 24 Jul 0.037 0.026 0 0 0.077 0.011 0.10 0.11 0.10 0.017 0.016 0.008 0 0 27 Sep 0.016 0.008 0 0 27 Sep 0.016 0.040 0	g/1-N)					Total Phos. (mg/l	-P)			
22 May 0.11 0.15 0.08 0.03 22 May 0.027 0 26 Jun 0.43 0.66 0.44 0.50 25 Jun 0.030 0.022 0 24 Jul 0.35 0.34 0.44 0.50 24 Jul 0.037 0.026 0 0 0.22 0 27 Sep 0.08 0.07 0.11 0.10 27 Sep 0.016 0.008 0 21 Nov 0.27 0.27 0.27 0.25 0.23 21 Nov 0.016 0.008 0 02 0ec 0.16 0.33 0.18 0.14 20 0 21 Nov 0.015 0.022 0 0 24 Apr 0.30 0.30 0 0 22 May 0.05 0.025 0.016 0.025 0.016 0.025 0.018 0 24 Apr 0.30	Apr	0.14	0.10	0.14	0.20	24 Apr	0.005	0.006	0.016	0.018
26 jun 0.43 0.66 0.44 0.50 24 Jul 0.35 0.34 0.44 0.50 24 Jul 0.35 0.34 0.44 0.50 27 Sep 0.08 0.07 0.11 0.10 27 Sep 0.08 0.07 0.11 0.10 26 Oct 0.25 0.23 0.25 0.27 20 Dec 0.16 0.33 0.18 0.14 0.92 Dec 0.16 0.120 0.22 0 24 Apr 0.14 0.10 0.14 0.20 0.30 0.30 0.30 24 Apr 0.40 0.63 0.41 0.40 24 Apr 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.22 0 24 Aug 0.41 0.46 24 Jul 0.17 0.18 0 24 Jul 0.21 0.13 0	May	0.11	0.15	0.08	0.03	22 May	0.028	0.027	0.023	0.020
24 Jul 0.35 0.34 0.44 0.50 21 Aug 0.47 0.45 0.42 0.44 0.50 27 Sep 0.08 0.07 0.11 0.10 0.17 0.016 0.008 0 20 Dec 0.16 0.33 0.18 0.14 0.002 0 10 0.02 0.016 0.025 0.018 0 0 20 Dec 0.025 0.018 0 0 0.02 0.01 22 May 0.05 0.05 0 0 24 Apr 0.030 0.30 0 0 24 Apr 0.030 0.30 0 22 May 0.05 0 0 24 Apr 0.002 0.01 24 Apr 0.032	របា	0.43	0.66	0,44	0.50	26 jun	0.030	0.022	0.018	. 0.027
21 Aug 0.47 0.45 0.42 0.44 21 Aug 0.017 0.019 0 27 Sep 0.08 0.07 0.11 0.10 27 Sep 0.016 0.008 0 26 Oct 0.25 0.23 0.25 0.23 26 Oct 0.044 0.040 0 20 Dec 0.16 0.33 0.18 0.14 0.07 0.015 0.022 0 20 Dec 0.16 0.33 0.18 0.14 0.02 0 0 0 0.02 0.025 0.015 0.022 0 0 20 Dec 0.05 0.010 0 0 20 Dec 0.025 0.016 0	Jul	0.35	0,34	0.44	0.50	24 Jul	0.037	0.026	0.030	0.026
27 Sep 0.08 0.07 0.11 0.10 27 Sep 0.016 0.008 0 26 Oct 0.25 0.23 0.25 0.23 26 Oct 0.044 0.040 0 20 Dec 0.16 0.33 0.18 0.14 26 Oct 0.044 0.040 0 20 Dec 0.16 0.33 0.18 0.14 0.20 26 Dec 0.025 0.018 0 24 Apr 0.14 0.10 0.14 0.20 24 Apr 0.30 0 30 0 24 Jul 0.32 0.31 0.41 0.49 24 Jul 0.21 0.13 0 27 Sep 0.08 0.06 0.11 0.10 27 Sep 0.23 0.24 0 26 Oct 0.22 0.21 0.23 0.22 0.23 0.24 0 2	Aug	0.47	0.45	0.42	0.44	21 Aug	0.017	0.019	0.017	0.022
26 Oct 0.25 0.23 0.25 0.23 26 Oct 0.044 0.040 0 21 Nov 0.27 0.27 0.25 0.27 21 Nov 0.015 0.022 0 20 Dec 0.16 0.33 0.18 0.14 0 0 0.025 0.018 0 0 rganic N (mg/l-N) 0.44 0.40 0.65 0.10 0.022 0.01 24 Apr 0.30 0.30 0 24 Jui 0.32 0.31 0.41 0.49 26 Jun 0.16 0 24 Jui 0.32 0.31 0.41 0.46 24 Jui 0.21 0.13 0 26 Oct 0.22 0.21 0.23 0.22 0.21 23 0.24 0 26 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.23 0.24 0 26 0.03 0.053 0.0	Sep	0.08	0.07	0.11	0.10	27 Sep	0.016	0.008	0.010	0.020
21 Nov 0.27 0.28 0.016 0.015 0.022 0 0.016 0.025 0.018 0 24 Apr 0.14 0.10 0.14 0.20 24 Apr 0.30 0.30 0.30 0 0 25 Jun 0.40 0.63 0.41 0.49 24 Apr 0.05 <0.05	Oct	0.25	0.23	0.25	0.23	26 Oct	0.044	0.040	0.044	0.048
20 Dec 0.16 0.33 0.18 0.14 Organic N (mg/l-N) 24 Apr 0.14 0.10 0.14 0.20 24 Apr 0.14 0.10 0.14 0.20 24 Apr 0.30 0.30 0 24 May 0.66 0.10 0.02 0.01 22 May 0.65 0.05 -0.016 0.05 -0.016 0.05 -0.016 0.02 -0.02 0.02 -0.02 0.022 -0.21 0.22 0.22 0.21 0.22 0.23 0.24 0 -0.016 0.004 0.004 0.004	Nov	0.27	0.27	0.25	0.27	21 Nov	0.015	0.022	0.015	0 017
Organic N (mg/l-N) Silica (mg/l-Si02) 24 Apr 0.14 0.10 0.14 0.20 24 Apr 0.30 0.30 0 22 May 0.66 0.10 0.02 0.01 22 May -0.65 -0.65 -0.6 24 Jul 0.32 0.31 0.41 0.49 24 Jul 0.21 0.13 0 24 Jul 0.32 0.31 0.41 0.46 24 Jul 0.21 0.13 0 27 Sep 0.08 0.06 0.11 0.10 27 Sep 0.23 0.22 0.21 26 Oct 0.14 0.16 0 26 Oct 0.22 0.19 0.22 0.23 0.24 0 20 Dec 0.33 0.37 0 20 Dec 0.08 0.27 0.15 0.08 21 Nov 0.21 0.22 0 24 Apr 0.004 <0.002	Dec	0.16	0.33	0.18	0.14	20 Dec	0.025	0.018	0.106	0.077
24 Apr 0.14 0.10 0.14 0.20 24 Apr 0.30 0.30 0 22 May 0.06 0.10 0.02 0.01 22 May 0.05 <0.05	: N (mg/1-N)					Silica (mg/l-SiOz)			•
22 May 0.06 0.10 0.02 0.01 22 May <th< td=""><td>Apr</td><td>0.14</td><td>0.10</td><td>0.14</td><td>0.20</td><td>24 Apr</td><td>0.30</td><td>0.30</td><td>0.30</td><td>0.30</td></th<>	Apr	0.14	0.10	0.14	0.20	24 Apr	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30
25 Jun 0.40 0.63 0.41 0.49 26 Jun 0.12 0.16 0 24 Jul 0.32 0.31 0.41 0.46 24 Jul 0.21 0.13 0 21 Aug 0.41 0.40 0.37 0.38 21 Aug 0.29 0.16 0 26 0.06 0.17 0.10 27 Sep 0.23 0.24 0 26 0.tt 0.22 0.17 0.10 25 Oct 0.14 0.16 0 21 Nov 0.21 0.23 0.22 0.21 2.23 21 Nov 0.21 0.22 0.08 20 Dec 0.08 0.27 0.15 0.08 20 Dec 0.33 0.37 0 24 Apr 0.004 <0.002	May	0.06	0.10	0.02	0.01	22 May	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.10
24 Jul 0.32 0.31 0.41 0.46 24 Jul 0.21 0.13 0 21 Aug 0.41 0.40 0.37 0.38 21 Aug 0.29 0.16 0 27 Sep 0.08 0.06 0.11 0.10 27 Sep 0.23 0.24 0 26 0ct 0.22 0.21 0.23 0.22 0	Jun	0.40	0.63	0.41	0.49	26 Jun	0.12	0.16	0.11	0.14
21 Aug 0.41 0.40 0.37 0.38 21 Aug 0.29 0.16 0 27 Sep 0.08 0.06 0.11 0.10 27 Sep 0.23 0.24 0 26 Oct 0.22 0.19 0.22 0.21 26 Oct 0.14 0.16 0 21 Nov 0.21 0.23 0.22 0.21 26 Oct 0.14 0.16 0 20 Dec 0.08 0.27 0.15 0.08 21 Nov 0.21 0.22 0 24 Apr 0.053 0.053 0.056 0.023 22 May 0.016 0.015 0 24 Jul 0.032 0.027 0.028 0.033 0.011 26 Jun 0.004 0.004 0 24 Jul 0.032 0.027 0.028 0.038 24 Apr 0.004 0.004 0 25 Jun 0.026 0.002 0.003 0.005 20.004 0 0 26 Jun 0.036 0.050 0.047 0.058 21 Aug 0.010 0 26 Oct <td>ไปไ</td> <td>0.32</td> <td>0.31</td> <td>0.41</td> <td>0.46</td> <td>24 Jul</td> <td>0.21</td> <td>0.13</td> <td>0.17</td> <td>0.28</td>	ไปไ	0.32	0.31	0.41	0.46	24 Jul	0.21	0.13	0.17	0.28
27 Sep 0.08 0.06 0.11 0.10 27 Sep 0.23 0.24 0 26 Oct 0.22 0.19 0.22 0.21 26 Oct 0.14 0.16 0 21 Nov 0.21 0.23 0.22 0.23 20 0.21 0.22 0 20 Dec 0.08 0.27 0.15 0.08 20 Dec 0.33 0.37 0 20 Dec 0.094 <0.002	Aug	0.41	0.40	0.37	0.38	21 Aug	0.29	0.16	0.24	0,11
26 0.ct 0.22 0.19 0.22 0.21 22 0.21 0.23 0.22 0.23 21 Nov 0.21 0.23 0.22 0.23 21 Nov 0.21 0.22 0.23 0.22 0.23 21 Nov 0.21 0.22 0.03 21 0.22 0 23 0.22 0.23 21 Nov 0.21 0.22 0 0.23 0.22 0.03 0.21 0.22 0 0 0.22 0 0.23 0.33 0.37 0 26 Jun 0.004 <0.002	Sep	0.08	0.06	0.11	0.10	27 Sep	0.23	0.24	0.25	0.24
21 Nov 0.21 0.23 0.22 0.23 21 Nov 0.21 0.22 0 20 Dec 0.08 0.27 0.15 0.08 20 Dec 0.33 0.37 0 Ammonia (mg/l-N) 24 Apr 0.004 <0.002	Oct	0.22	0.19	0.22	0.21	26 Oct	0.14	0.16	0.13	0.14
20 Dec 0.08 0.27 0.15 0.08 20 Dec 0.33 0.37 0 Ammonia (mg/l-N) 24 Apr 0.004 <0.002	Nov	0.21	0.23	0.22	0.23	21 Nov	0.21	0.22	0.21	0.21
Ammonia (mg/l-N) Orthophosphorus (mg/l-P) 24 Apr 0.004 <0.002	Dec	0.08	0.27	0.15	0.08	20 Dec	0.33	0.37	0.23	0.36
24 Apr 0.004 <0.002 <0.002 0.002 24 Apr 0.004 0.003 0.003 0.003 0.003 0.003 0.003 0.003 0.003 0.003 0.003 0.003 0.003 0.003 0.003 0.003 0.002 <0.004 0.00 27 Sep <0.026	ia (mg/l-N)					Orthophosphorus	s (mg/1-P)			
22 May 0.053 0.053 0.052 0.052 22 May 0.016 0.015 0 26 Jun 0.026 0.026 0.033 0.011 26 Jun 0.003 0.003 0.003 0.003 0.003 0.003 0.003 0.003 0.003 0.003 0.003 0.003 0.003 0.003 0.003 0.003 0.003 0.004 00 00 0.003 0.004 00 00 0.02 <0.004	Anr	· 0.004	<0.002	<0.002	0.002	24 Apr	0.004	0.004	0.010	0.009
26 Jun 0.025 0.025 0.033 0.011 26 Jun 0.003 0.001 0.010 0 0.010 0 0.010 0 0.010 0 0.010 0 0.010 0 0 0.010 0 0.010 0 0 0.010 0 0 0.010 0 0 0.010 0 0 0 0 0	May	0.053	0.053	0.058	0.023	22 May	0.015	0.015	0.012	0.012
24 Jul 0.032 0.027 0.028 0.038 24 Jul 0.005 0.004 C 21 Aug 0.056 0.050 0.047 0.058 21 Aug 0.011 0.010 C 27 Sep <0.002	Jun	0.026	0.026	0.033	0.011	26 Jun	0.003	0.003	0.004	0.003
21 Aug 0.056 0.050 0.047 0.058 21 Aug 0.011 0.010 C 27 Sep <0.002	Jul	0.032	0.027	0.028	0.038	24 Jul	0.005	0.004	0.004	0.006
27 Sep <0.002 0.009 0.003 0.005 27 Sep <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002 <0.002	Aug	0.056	0.050	0.047	0.058	21 Aug	0.011	0.010	0.009	0.012
26 Oct 0.026 0.042 0.030 0.024 26 Oct 0.002 0.006 0 0 21 Nov 0.060 0.039 0.032 0.040 21 Nov 0.006 0.004 0 0 20 Dec 0.064 0.060 0.032 0.062 20 Dec 0.005 0.004 0 Ntrate (mg/I-N) 24 Apr 0.28 0.27 0.17 0.17 0.06 0.06 0.06 0.06 0.07 0.07 0.07 0.07 0.07 0.08 0.018 0.018 0.017	Sep	<0.002	0.009	0.003	0.005	27 Sep	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002
21 Nov 0.066 0.039 0.032 0.040 21 Nov 0.006 0.004 c 20 Dec 0.084 0.060 0.032 0.062 20 Dec 0.022 0.019 0 Nitrate (mg/l-N) 24 Apr 0.28 0.28 0.28 0.28 0.28 0.28 0.28 22 May 0.30 0.29 0.25 0.23 26 Jun 0.18 0.18 0.27 0.17 0	Oct	0.026	0.042	0.030	0.024	26 Oct	0.002	0.006	0.002	0.00
20 Dec 0.084 0.060 0.032 0.062 20 Dec 0.022 0.019 0 Nitrate (mg/l-N) 24 Apr 0.28 0.28 0.28 0.28 22 May 0.30 0.29 0.25 0.23 26 Jun 0.18 0.18 0.27 0.17 0	Nov	0.060	0.039	0.032	0.040	21 Nov	0.006	0.004	0.004	0,006
NHtrate (mg/1-N) 24 Apr 0.28 0.28 0.28 22 May 0.30 0.29 0.25 0.23 26 Jun 0.18 0.18 0.27 0.17	Dec	0.084	0.060	0.032	0.062	20 Dec	0.022	0.019	0.012	0.017
24 Apr 0.28 0.28 0.28 22 May 0.30 0.29 0.25 0.23 26 Jun 0.18 0.18 0.27 0.17	e (mg/i-N)									
22 May 0.30 0.29 0.25 0.23 26 Jun 0.18 0.18 0.27 0.17	Apr	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.28	1		•		1.0
26 Jun 0.18 0.18 0.27 0.17	May	0.30	0.29	0.25	0.23					
	Jun .	0.18	0.18	0.27	0.17					
24 Jul 0.01 0.01 0.02	Jul	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02					
21 Aug <0.04 <0.04 <0.04 <0.04	Aug	<0.04	<0.04	<0.04	<0.04					
27 Sep 0.15 0.16 0.16 0.16	Sep	0.15	0.16	0.16	0.16	· ·	•			
26 Oct 0 13 0 13 0 19 0 15	Oct	0 13	0.13	0.19	0.15					
21 Nov 0.22 0.21 0.19 0.19	Nov	0.22	0.21	0.19	0.19					
20 Dec 0.32 0.21 0.21 0.22	Dec	0.12	0.27	0.21	0.27			•		

science services division

- Alimenter - Alim

and the second s

[]

the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second s

and an and a second second

The second secon

Table G-4 (Page 3 of 7)

	25-110	ontour	45-Ft C	ontour		25-Ft C	ontour	45-Ft Co	ntour
	Surface	Bottom	Surface	Bottom		Surface	Bottom	Surface	Bottom
Sodium (mg/l)					Iron (mo/l)				
24 Apr	12.4	13.2	12.6	13.1	24 Apr	0.059	0.041	0.049	0.035
22 May	28.6	27.1	21.7	16.3	22 May	0.098	0.097	0.091	0.070
Un	13.1	12.9	12.9	12.6	26 Jun	0.016	0.016	0.013	0.006
24 Jul	15.5	15.8	17.5	13.8	24 Jul	0.106	0 104	0 100	0.070
21 Aug	13.0	12.3	12.6	12.1	21 Aug	0.060	0.106	0.066	0.140
_27 Sep	11.9	12.0	12.0	12.0	27 Sep	0.154	0.134	0.100	0.220
26 Oct	13.8	14.2	12.5	12.8	26 Oct	0.128	0.060	0.040	0.043
	10.2	10.5	13.2	15.8	21 Nov	0.159	0.167	0.184	0.178
_20_Dec	27.3	27.7	24.1	26.6	20 Dec	0.100	0.110	0,077	0.082
Potassium (mg/l)					Nicket (ma/i)				
24 Apr	1.48	1.48	1.48	1.52	24 Apr	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002
22 May	1.74	1.74	1.61	1.50	22 May	0.007	0.007	0.002	0.001
_26 Jun	1.51	1.65	1.59	1.65	26 Jun	0.009	0.008	0.010	0.009
24 Jul	1.75	1.50	1.63	1.50	24 Jul	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003
21 Aug 27 See	1.31	1.37	1.31	1.31	21 Aug	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003
Sep	1.40	1.38	1.40	1.40	27 Sep	0.009	0.005	0.005	0.006
26 UCI	1.75	1.75	1.85	1.75	26 Oct	0.005	0.005	<0.001	0.001
	1.90	1.90	1.80	1.90	21 Nov	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
20 Dec	1.20	2.10	2.10	1.70	20 Dec	0.007	0.007	0.006	0.005
Calcium (mg/l)					Manganese (mg/l)				
24 Apr	37.8	38.4	38.4	37.2	24 Apr	0.002	0.002	0.002	0 002
22 May	50.6	50.6	46.1	41.4	22 May	0.037	0.027	0.016	0.002
26 Jun	45.3	45.3	42.7	41.4	26 Jun	<0.001	<0.001	<0:001	<0.001
24 jul	43.7	42.5	43.8	41.2	24 Jul	0.009	0.012	0.008	0.010
21 Aug	38.8	38.8	38.8	38.8	21 Aug	0.010	0.013	0.011	0.004
27 Sep	32.8	33.9	33.9	37.8	27 Sep	0.025	0.024	0.038	0.070
26 Oct	42.2	50.0	41.1	44.4	25 Oct	0.047	0.022	0.007	0.010
21 NOV	43.4	45.8	42.7	47.0	21 Nov	0.015	0.020	0.014	0.019
_20_Dec	40.3	41.8	40.5	43.0	20 Dec	0.012	0.097	0.015	0.021
Aluminum (mg/l)	·				Magnesium (mo/i)	· · · -			
24 Apr	0.071	0.065	0.070	0.073	24 Apr	6.76	7.30	7 09	7 47
22 May	0.061	0.050	0.028	0.022	22 May	9,93	9.76	9.04	8.63
26 Jun	0.092	0.193	0.069	0.141	26 Jun	8.71	8.71	8.71	8.71
24 Jul	0.203	0.174	0.268	0.139	24 Jul	8.60	8.50	8,40	8.40
21 Aug	0.065	0.100	0.084	0.074	21 Aug	7.95	7.95	7.95	7.95
26b</td <td>0.051</td> <td>0.041</td> <td>0.048</td> <td>0.177</td> <td>27 Sep</td> <td>7.15</td> <td>7.15</td> <td>7.15</td> <td>7.50</td>	0.051	0.041	0.048	0.177	27 Sep	7.15	7.15	7.15	7.50
26 Oct	0.061	0.027	0.034	0.048	26 Oct	8,44	8.83	8,31	8.31
21 Nov	0.144	0.230	0.163	0.232	21 Nov	7.00	7.00	6.70	6,80
_20 Dec	0.275	0.188	0,137	0.126	20 Dec	7.36	7.12	7.51	7 36

G-17

J.

Table G-4 (Page 4 of 7)

		ontour	43-Ft C	ontour		25-Ft C	ontour	45-Ft Co	ntour
	Surface	Bottom	Surface	Bottom		Surface	Bottom	Surface	Bottom
Cadmium (mo/l)					Silver (mg/l)				
24 Apr	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	24 Apr	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
22 May	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	22 May	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
.26 Jun	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	26 Jun	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
24 Jul	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	24 Jul	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
21 Aug	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	21 Aug	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
27 Sep	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	27 Sep	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
26 Oct	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	26 Oct	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
21 Nov	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	21 Nov	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
20 Dec	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	<0.00]	20 Dec	< 0.001	<0.001	< 0.001	<0.001
Chromium (mg/l)					Lead (mg/i)				
24 Apr	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	24 Apr	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
22 May	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	22 May	<0.001	<0.001 .	<0.001	<0.001
26 Jun	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	26 Jun	0.001	0.002	0.001	<0.001
24 Jul	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	24 Jul	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
21 Aug	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	21 Aug	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
27 Sep	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	27 Sep	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
26 Oct	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	26 Oct	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
21 Nov	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	21 Nov	0.015	0.015	0.006	0.006
20 Dec	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	20 Dec	< 0.001	<0.001	< 0.001	<0_001
Copper (mg/1)					Zinc (mg/l)				
24 Арг	0.003	0.003	0.004	0.004	24 Apr	<0.011	<0.008	<0.009	<0.009
22 May	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	22 May	0.150	0.150	0.675	0.150
. 26 Jun	0.007	0.005	0.005	0.002	26 Jun	0.040	0.037	0.034	0.031
24 Jul	0.096	0.044	0.052	0.070	24 Jul	0.034	0.036	0.029	0.035
21 Aug	0.040	0.036	0.048	0.116	21 AUg	0.004	0.004	0.006	0.011
27 Sep	0.007	0.015	0.015	0.014	- <u>2/ Sep</u>	0.009	0.008	0.007	0.019
26 Oct	0.013	0.006	0.010	0.009	26 Oct	0.038	0.036	0.029	0.031
21 NOV	0.001	0.004	<0.001	<0.001	21 NOV	0.012	0.015	0.020	0.017
20 Dec	0.013	0.013	0.009	0.007	- 20 Dec	0.012	0.003	< 0.001	<0.001
mercusy angri					Arsenic (mg/1)				
24 Apr	<0.0002	<0.0002	<0.0002	<0.0002	24 Apr	<0.0002	<0.0002	<0.0002	<0.0002
22 May	-0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003	22 May	<0.0002	<0.0002	<0.0002	<0.0002
20 Juli	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003	- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	0.0016	0,0015	0.0012	0.0016
24 JUI	<0.0002	<0.0002	<0.0002	<0.0002	24 JUI 21 Aug	<0.0005	<0.0005	<0.0005	<0.0005
21 AUG 27 Seo	<0.0002	<0.0002	<0.0002	<0.0002	27 Sen	<0.0005	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0005
<u> </u>	-0.0005		<0.0005	<0.0005	- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	-0.0003	-0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003
20 UCI	<0.0005	<0.0005	<0.0005	<0.0005	20 UCT	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003	<0.0003
21 1100	0.0007	~U.UUUZ	-0.0001	-0.0000		0.0006	0.0007	0.0003	0.0004
20 000	0.0004	0.0002	<0.0002	<0.0002	- 20 Dec	0.0005	0.0002	0.0001	0.0004

science services division

وكمسينية

· · · · · ·

 $\left[\right]$

1

And Street and

Contraction of

and the second sec

A ser stand a service and a service a se

Table G-4 (Page 5 of 7)

ł

	22-61	,0111001	•	42 11 00			22	-Fi Contour		40 11 0	
-	Surface	Bottom	Sui	rface	Bottom		Surface	e Botton	n	Surface	Bottom
Fluoride (mg/l-F)						Cyanide (m	g/l)				
24 Apr	0.22	0.23	0.2	2	0.24	24 Apr	<0.005	<0.005		<0.005	<0.005
22Mav	0.15	0.14	0.1	4	0.13	22 May	<0.005	<0.005		<0.005	<0.005
26jun	0.14	0.14	0.1	3	0.13	26 Jun	<0.005	<0.005		<0.005	<0.005
24.Jul	0.13	0.12	0.1	2	0.12	24 Jul	<0.005	<0.005		<0.005	<0.005
21Aug	0.09	0.11	0.1	0	0.10	21 Aug	<0.005	<0.005	•	<0.005	<0.005
27Sep	0.24	0.30	. 0.0)9	0.13	27 Sep	<0.005	0.005		0.005	0.007
260ct	0.08	0.19	0.1	4	0.05	26 Oct	<0.005	<0.005		<0.005	<0.005
21Nov	0.21	0.21	0.2	21	0.22	21 Nov	<0.005	<0.005	i	<0.005	<0.005
20Dec	0.13	0.12	0.1	3	0.12	20 Dec	<0.005	<0.005		<0.005	< 0.005
Chioride (ma/1-Ci)						Ferro CN (ma/1)				
24Apr	36.2	32.4	30.	.2	30.0	24 Apr	<0.04	<0.04		<0.04	<0.04
22May	64.5	61.8	47.	.8	37.3	22 May	<0.04	<0.04		<0.04	<0.04
24Jun	29.5	34.3	34	. 5	31.3	26 Jun	<0.04	<0.04		<0.04	<0.04
24jul	35.2	30.8	32	.6	28.4	24 Jul	<0.04	<0.04		<0.04	<0.04
21Aug	29.6	26.5	29	.6	26.5	21 Aug	<0.04	<0.04		<0.04	<0.04
27Sep	27.5	28.2	28	.1	28.8	27 Sep	<0.04	<0.04		<0.04	<0.04
26.0ct	. 27.4	27.6	27	.1	27.4	26 Oct	<0.04	<0.04		<0.04	<0.04
21 Nov	46.0	49.4	- 44	.8	51.3	21 Nov	<0.04	<0.04		<0.04	<0.04
20 Dec	39.8	37.9	- 33	.4	. 39.9	20 Dec	<0.04	< 0.04		< 0.04	< 0.04
Sulfate (mg/I-SO ₄)						Ferri CN (ma/})				
24 Apr	39.7	39.9	39	. 9	40.7	24 Apr	<0.04	<0.04		<0.04	<0.04
22 May	42.0	40.7	35	.5	30.8	20 May	<0.04	-0.04		-0.04	-0.04
26Jun	26.2	26.3	26	. 2	25.8	26 Jun	<0.04	<0.04		<0.04	<0.04
24 Jul	25.3	24.5	24	. 6	24.4	24 Jul	<0.04	<0.04		<0.04	<0.04
21 Aug	28.2	27.7	28	. 1	27.4	21 Aug	<0.04	<0.04		<0.04	<0.04
27 Sep	27.5	27.2	26	.1	27.2	27 Sep	<0.04	<0.04		<0.04	<0.04
260ct	28.6	29.7	29	.7	29.4	26 Oct	<0.04	<0.04		<0.04	<0.04
21 Nov	32.2	32.9	31	.7	32.9	21 Nov	<0.04	<0.04		<0.04	<0.04
20 Dec	29.4	30.8	28	.7	29.0	20 Dec	<0.04	<0.04		< 0.04	< 0.04

G-19

Table G-4 (Page 6 of 7)

	25-Ft C	ontour	45-11 (ontour
·	Surface	Bottom	Surface	Bottom
Beryillum (mg/l)				
24 Apr	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
22 May	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
26 Jun	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
24 jui	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
21 Aug	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
Sep	-0.001	0.001	-0.001	-0.001
26 Oct	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001
20 Dec	<0.001	<0.001	< 0.001	<0.001
Barium (mg/l)				
24 Apr	0.022	0.022	0.022	0.022
22 May	0.034	0.030	0.026	0.026
26 Jun	0.022	0.022	0.021	0.020
24 Jul 21 Aug	0.039	0.038	0.043	0.038
27 Seo	0.054	0.055	0.058	0.065
26 Oct	0.066	0.066	0.058	0.057
21 Nov	0.034	0.035	0.032	0.036
20 Dec	0.060	0.063	0.049	0.041
Vanadium (mo/i)				
24 Aar	< 0.002	<0.002	< 0.002	<0.002
22 May	< 0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002
26 Jun	<0.002	<0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002
24 Jul	< 0.002	<0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002
21 Aug	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002
27 Sep	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002
26 Oct	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002
20 Dec	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002	<0.002
Selenium (mg/i)				
24 Apr	< 0.0002	< 0.0002	< 0.0002	< 0.0002
22 May	< 0.0002	< 0.0002	< 0.0002	< 0.0002
26 JUN	< 0.0006	< 0.0006	< 0.0006	< 0.0006
24 Jul	0.0014	0.0020	0.0012	0.0015
27 560	-0.0002	-0.0013	0.0012	.0.0002
26 Oct	< 0.0003	< 0.0003	< 0.0003	< 0.0003
21Nov	0.0011	0.0010	0.0011	0.0011
20Dec	0.0006	0.0005	0,0005	0.0008

	25-Ft (Contour	45-Ft 1	Contour
	Surface	Bottom	Surface	Bottom
Gross Alpha (pCi/l)				-
24 Apr	<1.42	<1.41	<1.31	<1.36
· 22 May	<1.63	<1.61	<1.52	<1.36
12 100	<1.20	<1.20	<1.25	<1.23
24 Jul	<1.26	<1 27	<1.27	<1.23
21 Aug	<0.64	< 0.64	< 0.64	<0.64
27 Sep	<0.87	< 0,87	< 0.92	< 0.15
26 Oct	<0.60	<0.60	<0.60	< 0.60
21 Nov	<0.74	<0.74	<0.74	<0.74
20 Dec	<1.52	<1.53	<1.63	<1.59
Gross Rate (oCi/l)				
24 Ann	<2.81	< 2.81	-2.81	c2.81
- ' Apr 22 May	3 25	3 26	3 78	3.06
12 jun	2.48	3.00	2.95	2.54
24 Jul	2.37	3.33	2.60	2.41
2) Aug	2.87	3.02	3.38	3.62
27 Sep	2.78	3.36	2.84	8.06
26 Oct	3.77	3.17	3.27	3.19
21 Nov	3.25	3.72	2.31	3.43
20 Dec	2.44	3, 32	2.67	2.92
Gamma Spectroscop	v (aCi/l)			
24 Anr	BELOW DETI	CTION *	BELOW DET	ECTION
22 May	BELOW DETR	CTION	BELOW DET	POTION
12 Jun	BELOW DETR	CTION	BELOW DET	ECTION
24Jul	BELOW DETR	CTION	BELOW DET	ECTION
21 Aug	BELOW DETE	CTION	BELOW DET	ECTION
27\$ep	BELOW DETE	CTION	BELOW DET	ECTION
260ct	BELOW DETR	CTION	BELOW DET	ECTION
21 Nov	BELOW DETH	CTION	BELOW DET	ECTION
20Dec	BELOW DETE	CTION	BELOW DET	ECTION
Tritium (pCi/l)				
24Apr	357	403	367	390
22May	335	313	< 141	345
12 JUN	.225	352		325
24 jut	251	240	234	230
21Aug 275 on	285	182	< 102	< 102
-/ Sep	234	101	261	213
210/01	234	333	202	241
20060	230	254	< 183.	378
20000	<u> </u>	<177	218	262

Principal Principal

11

()

French and and

* Minimum Detection Limits (NDL's in pCi/liter) for representative isotopes in Gamma Spectrometric Analysis: Mn - 54 = 1.0; Fe - 59 = 3.0; Co - 58 = 1.0; Co - 60 = 2.0; Zn - 65 = 2.0 - 3.0; Zr - Nb - 95 = 2.0; I - 131 = 1.0; Cs -134 = 1.0; Cs - 137 = 1.0; Ba - La - 140 = 2.0.

,

.

Animal States and a second

And and a second of the second

Table G-4 (Page 7 of 7)

	25 - FL	Contour	45-Ft (Contour	25-Ft Contour		45-Ft C	45-Ft Contour	
	Surface	Bottom	Surface	Bottom		Surface	Bottom	Surface	Bottom
BOD-5 Day (mg/l)					Phenols (mg/l)				
24 Apr	2.3	2.4	2.0	2.1	24 Apr	<0.005	<0.005	< 0.005	<0.005
22 May	3.9	3.9	4.0	2.7	22 May	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005
40 Jun		1.8		2.0	26 Jun	<0.005	<0.005	< 0.005	<0.005
24 JUI 21 Aug	0.3	0.6	0.8	0.0	24 Jul	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	< 0.005
27 Seo	1.5	1.3	1.5	2.7	21 AUG	0.016	0.014	0.013	-0.005
26 Oct	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.4	26 Oct	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005
21 Nov	1.1	1.1	0.9	0.0	21 Nov	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005
20 Dec	2.9	3.6	2.9	3.6	20 Dec	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005
COD (mall)					MDAC (mall LAC)				
24 Anr	53	5 7	5.8	5.0	24 Apr	< 0.02	< 0.02	< 0.02	<0.02
22 May	2.3	2.2	< 2.0	< 2.0	22 May	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
26 Jun	8.5	6.4	6.1	3.2	26 JUN	<0.02	<0.02	< 0.02	<0.02
24 Jul	8.1	7.4	8.0	7.3	24 Jul	<0.02	< 0.02	<0.02	<0.02
21 Aug	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.8	21 Aug	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
27 Sep	5.9	8.0	0.3	7.3	27 Sep	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
20 Oct 21 New	8.0	8.8	9.6	7.6	26 Oct	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01
20 Dec	3.7 - 2 n	5.7	5./	5.7	21 Nov	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02	<0.02
	-2.0	.2.0	<2.0	<2.0	20 Dec	< 0.02	< 0.02		20.02
T. Colif. (MPN/100 r	ml)				CCE (mg/l)				
24 Apr	,5	7	2	8	24 Apr	1.4	2.0	1.2	1.8
22 May	13	17	240	130	22 May	2.8	0.7	. 1.0	1.4
26 Jun 24 Jul				<u> </u>	26 Jun	1.1	0.8	0.7	0.4
24 JUI 21 Aug	23	49	1800	17	24 Jul 21 Aug	0.9	0.7	1.1	1.2
27 Sep	13	23	49	23	27 Sep	0.8	1.4	1.0	1.4
26 Oct	23	17	13		26 Oct	2.5	1.4	1.7	1.1
21 Nov	348	278	221	900	21 Nov	1.6	2.0	1.4	1.7
20 Dec	1600	240	350	550	20 Dec	0.2	0.3	<0.2	<0.2
F. Colif. (MPN//100	m))				· · · ·		-		
24 Apr	<2	<2	<2	<2				·	
22 May	4	3	33	13					
26 Jun	<2	<2	<2	<2					
24 Jul	550	21	250	2					
21 Aug 27 See	2 '	2	5	2					
<u> </u>		0	<u> </u>	8	1				
20 UCT 21 Nov	4	2	2	5					
21 NOV 20 Dec	/9 70	348	94	140	1			•	
	//	80	130	<u> </u>	1				

APPENDIX H

IMPINGEMENT

 $\left[\right]$

-through

And a second second

Martine Martine Contraction

And the second

- Nine Mile Point
- James A. FitzPatrick



(The second seco

Contraction of the second

- Summer

the second second

A second se

and the second second

Table H-1 (Page 1 of 7)

Plant Operating Conditions at Nine Mile Point Nuclear Plant Unit 1 during 1978

	No. of	. of No. of	Total Volume of Water Pumped ²	f Mean Electrical Outputs	Temperature ⁴ (°C)		
Date	Water Pumps1	Water Pumps ¹	(m3)	(MWe)	Discharge	Intake	۵
1 Jan 2 Jan 4 Jan 5 Jan 6 Jan 7 Jan 9 Jan 10 Jan 11 Jan 12 Jan 13 Jan 14 Jan 15 Jan 16 Jan 17 Jan 20 Jan 20 Jan 21 Jan 23 Jan 24 Jan 25 Jan 26 Jan 27 Jan 28 Jan	222222222222222222222222222222222222222		1,259,175 1,259,175 1,259,175 1,259,175 1,259,175 1,259,175 1,226,470 1,210,117 1,346,391 1,346,391 1,362,744	471 553 584 585 608 609 609 609 609 609 609 609 609 608 602 551 598 609 611 610 610 278 ^b 0 64 215 218 346 537 579 573 577 579 573 577 582 598	17.7 19.6 18.8 18.5 23.3 22.1 22.5 24.7 22.0 18.7 19.1 20.5 19.5 19.5 18.6 18.8 19.1 19.5 9.1 -0.2 3.3 7.7 8.3 12.1 18.0 18.0 18.0 18.6 18.0 18.0 18.6 18.7	1.7 1.2 0.3 6.0 0.8 0.9 2.6 4.3 1.6 0.1 1.6 0.2 0.4 0.2 0.3 0.8 0.2 0.4 0.2 0.3 0.8 0.9 0.4 0.2 0.3 0.8 0.9 0.4 0.2 0.3 0.8 0.9 0.4 0.2 0.4 0.2 0.3 0.8 0.2 0.4 0.2 0.4 0.2 0.4 0.2 0.4 0.2 0.4 0.2 0.4 0.2 0.4 0.2 0.4 0.2 0.4 0.2 0.4 0.2 0.4 0.2 0.4 0.2 0.4 0.2 0.4 0.2 0.4 0.2 0.4 0.3 0.5 0.8 0.3 0.5 0.8 0.2 0.4 0.2 0.3 0.8 0.3 0.5 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.3 0.3 0.5 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.3 0.3 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.3 0.3 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.3 0.2 0.3 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.3 0.2 0.2 0.3 0.3 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.3 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.3 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.3 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2	16.0 18.4 18.5 12.5 22.5 21.2 19.9 20.4 18.5 18.6 17.5 28.2 18.8 18.7 8.3 -0.5 3.0 7.2 8.2 11.3 16.6 17.5
1 Feb 2 Feb 3 Feb 5 Feb 6 Feb 7 Feb 9 Feb 10 Feb 11 Feb 12 Feb 13 Feb 14 Feb 15 Feb 15 Feb 16 Feb 21 Feb 21 Feb 22 Feb 23 Feb 24 Feb 25 Feb 26 Feb 27 Feb 27 Feb 28 Feb	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		1,362,744 1,362,744	598 599 564 591 594 593 161 ^c 552 584 604 607 608 607 605 604 606 608 609 610 610 610 610 610 610 610 610 610 609 610 591 609 607 608	18.7 18.7 19.1 19.7 19.5 18.0 2.3 15.0 18.7 18.9 19.0 18.6 19.0 19.1 20.5 19.2 19.0 18.7 19.1 19.4 19.1 19.2 18.8 19.0 18.7 19.2 18.8 19.0 18.7 19.2 19.2 19.2 19.2	1.2 0.5 2.4 1.5 2.2 0.5 2.2 0.5 0.5 0.7 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5	17.5 18.2 17.9 17.3 18.0 16.9 1.8 12.8 16.5 18.5 18.5 18.5 18.4 18.5 18.4 18.5 18.4 18.5 18.4 18.5 18.4 18.5 18.4 18.4 18.3 18.4 18.3 18.4 18.3 18.4 18.3 18.4 18.4 18.3 18.4 18.4 18.4 18.5 18.4 18.5 18.4 18.5 18.4 18.5 18.4 18.5 18.4 18.5 18.5 18.5 18.5 18.5 18.5 18.5 18.5

, H-1

LE/

Table H-1 (Page 2 of 7)

.

	No. of	No. of	Total Volume of	Mean Electrical	Temper	ature ⁴ (°C)	
Date	Water Pumps ¹	Water Pumps ¹	(m ³)	(MWe)	Discharge	Intake	Δ
 Mar 	222222222222222222222222222222222222222		1,330,038 1,3	607 609 609 610 608 607 605 606 606 591 605 606 591 605 607 610 607 608 608 610 607 608 608 610 607 608 606 573 273 414 519 575 596 587 588 579	18.5 19.1 17.9 18.3 20.3 20.0 20.2 20.0 20.0 20.1 20.1 20.0 21.7 20.9 20.2 20.5 22.7 21.1 19.9 20.5 20.4 19.4 10.9 15.1 18.5 20.6 20.3 20.2 20.5 20.2 20.5 20.4	$\begin{array}{c} 1.3\\ 0.8\\ -0.5\\ -0.2\\ 1.7\\ 0.5\\ 1.3\\ 3.6\\ 2.2\\ 3.3\\ 2.2\\ 3.3\\ 2.2\\ 3.3\\ 2.3\\ 2.3$	17.2 18.3 18.4 18.5 18.6 19.5 18.7 16.4 17.5 17.8 17.8 17.8 17.8 18.4 18.4 18.4 19.1 18.9 18.6 19.2 18.9 19.2 18.9 19.2 18.1 9.1 13.8 15.9 17.3 18.9 18.9 17.3 18.9 18.9 17.3 18.9 18.9 17.3 18.9 18.9 17.3 18.9 17.3 18.9 18.9 17.3 18.9 18.9 17.3 18.9 18.9 18.9 17.3 18.9 18.9 17.3 18.9 18.9 17.3 18.9 18.9 17.3 18.9 18.9 17.3 18.9 17.3 18.9 18.9 18.9 18.9 17.3 18.9 18.9 17.3 18.9 18.9 17.3 18.9 18.9 17.3 18.9 18.9 17.3 18.9 18.9 18.9 18.9 18.9 18.9 17.3 18.9 18.9 18.9 18.9 18.9 17.3 18.9 17.3 18.9 18.9 18.9 18.9 17.3 18.9 18.9 18.9 18.9 18.9 17.3 18.9 18.9 18.9 17.3 18.9 18.9 18.9 17.3 18.9 17.9 17.9
1 Apr 2 Apr 3 Apr 5 Apr 6 Apr 7 Apr 9 Apr 10 Apr 13 Apr 14 Apr 15 Apr 15 Apr 16 Apr 17 Apr 18 Apr 17 Apr 18 Apr 20 Apr 21 Apr 22 Apr 23 Apr 24 Apr 25 Apr 26 Apr 27 Apr 28 Apr 29 Apr 20 Apr 20 Apr 20 Apr 20 Apr 21 Apr 20 Apr 21 Apr 20 Apr 20 Apr 21 Apr 20	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		1,330,038 1,330,038 1,330,038 1,330,038 1,330,038 1,330,038 1,330,038 1,346,391 1,346,391 1,373,646 1,373,646 1,373,646 1,373,646 1,373,646 1,373,646 1,373,646 1,389,999 1,389,999 1,389,999 1,389,999 1,389,999 1,389,999 1,389,999 1,389,999 1,406,352 1,406,352 1,406,352 1,406,352 1,406,352 1,406,352 1,406,352 1,406,352 1,406,352 1,406,352 1,406,352	315 322 494 573 589 585 600 494 556 584 584 584 585 586 585 586 585 586 585 586 585 586 584 592 604 605 603 602 604 605 605 604 605 604 603 513 566	12.2 12.6 17.3 30.0 20.5 20.4 21.1 18.7 19.4 20.8 20.6 20.7 21.4 22.3 22.6 21.1 21.1 21.3 22.4 23.2 23.2 21.5 22.0 21.2 21.5 22.0 21.2 22.0 21.5 20.6	2.3 3.0 4.0 3.6 2.5 1.6 2.5 2.5 2.3 2.5 2.3 2.5 2.5 2.3 2.5 3.7 1.6 5.7 4.7 2.2 4.1 3.5 4.1 5.9 5.6	9,9 9,3 15,3 26,0 16,7 17,6 17,6 17,6 17,6 17,6 17,6 17,6

H~2

science services division

 $\left(\right)$

Strate of a state of

 $\left[\right]$

A contract of the

0

j),

Table H-1 (Page 3 of 7)

(animation and a second second second second second second second second second second second second second se

A CARDEN AND A CARDEN A

	No. of	No. of	Total Volume of	Mean Electrical	Temper	ature ⁴ (°C)
Date	Water Pumps1	Water Pumps ¹	(m ³)	(MWe)	Discharge	Intake	Δ
 May <	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		1,406,352 1,406,352 1,417,254 1,406,352 1,406,352 1,406,352 1,406,352 1,406,352 1,407,254 1,433,607 1,433,607 1,443,607 1,449,960 1,449,960 1,449,960 1,449,960 1,449,960 1,449,960 1,455,411 1,455,411 1,455,411 1,477,214 1,509,920 1,509,920 1,509,920 1,509,920 1,509,920 1,509,920 1,509,920 1,509,920 1,509,920 1,509,920 1,509,920 1,509,920 1,509,920 1,509,920 1,509,920	590 585 470 479 477 475 475 474 476 474 474 481 477 477 478 369 117d 454 429 0e 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	24.5 24.5 22.4 20.6 20.3 20.6 20.4 21.0 22.7 22.8 20.2 20.8 21.3 20.6 16.9 10.1 20.1 20.2 7.3 7.0 7.8 7.7 8.3 8.9 19.8 20.4 20.9 21.6 22.8 24.1	6.9 7.0 7.7 5.8 5.4 5.7 5.8 5.4 6.2 8.0 5.5 6.3 7.5 6.3 5.6 6.3 5.6 6.3 8.2 8.2 8.2 8.2 8.2 8.2 8.2 8.2 8.2 10.1 11.1 10.3 10.1 9.7 8.8 9.1	17.6 17.5 14.7 14.8 14.9 14.9 14.6 15.0 14.8 14.6 14.8 14.7 14.5 13.8 14.3 14.3 14.5 13.8 14.3 14.5 13.8 14.3 14.5 13.8 14.3 14.5 13.8 14.3 14.5 13.8 13.8 15.0 14.0 15.0
1 Jun 2 Jun 3 Jun 4 Jun 5 Jun 6 Jun 7 Jun 9 Jun 10 Jun 11 Jun 12 Jun 13 Jun 14 Jun 15 Jun 16 Jun 17 Jun 18 Jun 20 Jun 21 Jun 21 Jun 23 Jun 24 Jun 25 Jun 25 Jun 26 Jun 29 Jun 30 Jun	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		1,357,293 1,3	553 586 585 578 587 592 592 595 590 499 540 578 595 595 595 595 595 586 500 543 550 578 575 576 577 575 576 577 562 492 546 568 571 562 565	26.4 26.1 28.2 27.8 26.4 28.2 27.7 28.8 29.6 26.5 27.8 30.0 32.1 32.0 32.0 30.4 27.0 29.8 30.5 30.4 30.5 30.4 30.5 30.5 30.4 30.5 31.5 31.1 29.1 31.3 34.0 34.8 32.8 33.5	10.7 9.6 11.4 10.9 10.8 10.6 11.1 11.7 11.1 11.2 12.2 13.8 13.8 13.7 12.5 12.6 13.1 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.5 13.7 15.7 16.2 14.8 15.3	$\begin{array}{c} 15.7\\ 16.5\\ 16.8\\ 16.6\\ 15.5\\ 17.4\\ 17.7\\ 17.9\\ 15.4\\ 16.6\\ 17.8\\ 18.2\\ 18.3\\ 17.9\\ 15.6\\ 16.9\\ 17.2\\ 17.6\\ 17.6\\ 17.6\\ 15.9\\ 17.6\\ 18.3\\ 18.0\\ 18.2\end{array}$

н-3

LE.

Table H-1 (Page 4 of 7)

	No. of Circulating	of No. of ating Service	Total Volume of Water Pumped ²	Mean Electrical Outputs ³	Temper	ature ⁴ (°C)	
Date	Water Pumps	Water Pumps ¹	(m ³)	(MWe)	Discharge	Intake	۵
1 Jul	2	1 .	1,335,489	381	27.2	16.2	11.0
2 Jul	2	1	1,351,842	488	32.5	16.4	16.1
	2	1	1,362,744	514	31.3	14.5	16.8
4 JUI 5 3	2	1 7	1,362,744	535	32.0	14.6	17.4
5 Jul	2	1	1,302,/44	550	34.0	15.8	18.2
7 301	2	i 1	1,302,744	554	35.0	17.2	18.4
8 Jul	ž	i	1,362,744	549	37.1	18.6	18.5
9 Jul	2	i	1.362.744	545	37.6	19.2	18.4
10 Jul	2	1	1,400,901	541	37.9	19.7	18.2
11 Jul	2	2	1,422,705	544	37.9	19.3	18.6
12 Jul	2	2	1,422,705	545	36.6	19.0	17.6
13 JUI	2	2	1,422,705	550	35.4	17.7	17.7
15 301	2	2	1,422,700	541 177	30.0	18.5	1/.5
16 Jul	2	2	1,422,705	519	36 1	10.7	15.5
17 Jul	2	ž	1.422.705	533	36.6	19.6	17.0
18 Jul	2	2	1,422,705	531	37.0	19.7	17.3
19 Ju1	2	2	1,422,705	538	37.1	19.7	17.4
20 Ju]	2	2	1,422,705	538	38.6	21.0	17.6
21 Jul	2	2	1,422,705	532	39.6	22.0	17.6
22 JUI	2	. 2	1,422,705	520	40.3	22.9	17.4
23 Jul	2	2	1,400,352	401	38.5	22.7	15.8
25 .301	. 2	· 2	1,422,705	523	39.9	22.5	1/.4
26 Jul	2	2	1,422,705	533	39.3	21.3	17.5
27 Jul	2	2	1.422.705	536	39.4	22.0	17.4
28 Jul	. 2	2	1,422,705	533	39.5	22.1	17.4
29 Jul	2	2	1,455,411	534	39.0	21.6	17.4
30 Jul	2	2	1,455,411	536	39.0	21.7	17.3
31 Jul	2	2	1,439,058	539	38.1	20.8	17.3
1 Aug	2	2	1,439,058	538	38.3	21.0	17.3
2 Aug	2	2	1,439,058	538 26f	38.6	21.1	17.5
4 Aug	2	. 2	1,439,030	227	22.2	20.9	1.3
5 Aug	2	2 .	1,471,764	438	35.8	21.5	14 6
6 Aug	2	2	1,471,764	466	36.9	21.6	15.3
7 Aug	2	2	1,471,764	525	38.3	21.4	16.9
8 Aug	2	2	1,471,764	532	38.9	21.8	17.1
9 Aug	2	2	1,471,764	536	39.3	22.1	17.2
10 Aug	2	. 2	1,471,764	539	39.2	22.1	17.1
12 Aug	2	2	1,4/1,/04	535	39.0	22.0	17.0
13 Aug	2	2 .	1,4/1,/04	499	37.9	21.9	10.0
14 Aug	2	2	1,471,764	533	40.2	22.0	17.1
15 Aug	2	2	1,471,764	532	40.3	23.3	17 0
16 Aug	2	2	1,471,764	538	40.4	23.4	17.0
17 Aug	2	2	1,471,764	533	40.5	23.6	16.9
18 Aug	2	2	1,471,764	538	39.6	22.7	16.9
19 Aug 20 Aug	2	2	1,4/1,/64	531	38.9	22.2	16.7
21 Aug	2	2	1,4/1,/04 1 /71 76/	493	38.3	22.6	15.7
22 Aug	2	2	1,471 764	525	30.0	22.2	10.4
23 Aug	2	2	1.471.764	525	39.2	22.3	10.4
24 Aug	2	2	1,471,764	535	39.6	23.0	16.6
25 Aug	2	2	1,471,764	571	34.5	18.4	16.1
26 Aug	2	2	1,471,764	541	38.6	21.9	16.7
27 Aug	2	2	1,471,764	522	36.3	20.0	16.3
28 Aug	2	2	1,471,764	493	25.3	16.2	9.1
29 AUG 30 Aug	Z	2	1,4/1,764	488	34.8	19.5	15.3
31 Aun	2	2	1,4/1,/04 1 A71 76A	484 Agi	35.8 25 0	20.5	15.3
	-	£	1,57/1,5/07	101	53.3	20.7	13.2

H-4

science services division

1

· []

]

1)

1

]

LE)

And the second

and the second s

And a state of the

المربق المراجع ا مراجع المراجع ال

Reaction and the second

our service and

Table H-1 (Page 5 of 7)

	No. of	No. of	Total Volume of	Mean Electrical	Temper	ature ⁴ (°C)
Date	Water Pumps1	Water Pumps ¹	(m ³)	(MWe)	Discharge	Intake	Δ
1 Sep 2 Sep 3 Sep 5 Sep 6 Sep 7 Sep 9 Sep 10 Sep 11 Sep 13 Sep 14 Sep 15 Sep 16 Sep 17 Sep 16 Sep 17 Sep 20 Sep 21 Sep 22 Sep 23 Sep 24 Sep 25 Sep 26 Sep 27 Sep 28 Sep 29 Sep 30 Sep	222222222222222222222222222222222222222	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1,471,764 1,470,352 1,406,352 1,406,352 1,406,352 1,406,352 1,406,352 1,406,352 1,406,352 1,406,352 1,406,352	482 480 481 482 458 484 480 479 403 499 527 531 348 311 480 536 541 544 543 546 545 545 539 465 518 531 538 538 538 538 538 538	35.9 36.2 36.0 35.5 35.9 36.0 35.1 31.8 32.1 34.1 30.8 17.3 16.4 21.1 24.7 24.3 22.4 22.2 22.9 25.3 26.2 23.4 26.7 29.4 30.8 31.3 30.8 31.3 30.3 15.6	$\begin{array}{c} 20.6\\ 21.0\\ 20.8\\ 19.6\\ 20.8\\ 20.6\\ 20.9\\ 20.2\\ 19.7\\ 17.1\\ 19.0\\ 14.8\\ 6.5\\ 5.6\\ 7.5\\ 8.8\\ 8.2\\ 6.3\\ 6.2\\ 9.2\\ 10.2\\ 9.4\\ 11.3\\ 12.2\\ 14.1\\ 14.7\\ 15.3\\ 15.7\\ 14.9 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 15.3\\ 15.2\\ 15.2\\ 15.4\\ 14.7\\ 15.3\\ 15.1\\ 14.9\\ 12.1\\ 15.0\\ 10.8\\ 13.6\\ 15.9\\ 16.1\\ 16.0\\ 15.9\\ 16.1\\ 16.0\\ 14.0\\ 15.4\\ 17.2\\ 16.0\\ 14.6\\ 0.7\\ \end{array}$
1 Oct 2 Oct 3 Oct 4 Oct 5 Oct 6 Oct 7 Oct 8 Oct 9 Oct 10 Oct 11 Oct 12 Oct 13 Oct 14 Oct 15 Oct 16 Oct 17 Oct 18 Oct 19 Oct 20 Oct 21 Oct 22 Oct 23 Oct 24 Oct 25 Oct 26 Oct 27 Oct 20 Oct 21 Oct 21 Oct 22 Oct 23 Oct 24 Oct 25 Oct 26 Oct 27 Oct 30 Oct 30 Oct 30 Oct 30 Oct 30 Oct 31 Oct 31 Oct	2 2/0 [†] 0/2 ^j 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2		1,466,313 615,960 59,961 615,960 1,466,313 1,466,313 1,466,313 1,482,665 1,482,665 1,482,665 1,493,567	0 0 0 84 381 390 431 474 521 549 550 543 551 552 554 551 552 554 551 552 554 551 543 529 550 550 550 550 550 550 550 550 554 551 554 553 449 551 543 554 554 553	15.3 17.3 18.5 17.0 14.9 18.3 26.5 25.7 26.6 27.8 29.1 29.6 29.8 29.0 26.4 28.4 27.3 27.1 27.4 27.4 27.5 27.3 27.9 27.7 27.5 27.0 26.7 26.8 25.8 26.3 26.3	14.9 15.1 14.9 14.7 14.6 14.3 13.8 13.8 13.8 13.7 13.8 13.8 13.7 11.7 11.3 11.9 11.7 11.9 11.7 11.9 11.7 11.9 11.7 11.9 11.7 11.9 11.7 11.9 11.7 11.9 11.7 11.9 11.7 11.9 11.7 11.9 11.7 11.9 11.7 11.5 13.7 11.9 11.7 11.9 11.7 11.9 11.7 11.9	0.4 2.2 3.6 2.3 0.2 3.7 12.2 11.9 12.8 14.1 15.8 15.5 15.5 15.6 15.8 15.8 15.8 15.8 15.8 15.8 15.8 15.8

Table H-1 (Page 6 of 7)

	No. of No. of Total Volume		Total Volume of	Mean Electrical	Temperature ⁴ (°C)			
Date	Water Pumps ¹	Water Pumps	(m ³)	(MWe)	Discharge	Intake	۵	
1 Nov	2	1	1,493,567	552	26.6	10.8	15.8	
2 Nov	2	i	1.493.567	551	26.5	10.6	15.9	
3 Nov	2	1	1.493.567	554	26.5	10.7	15.8	
4 Nov	2	1	1,493,567	551	26.6	10.8	15.8	
5 Nov	2	1	1,477,214	552	26.6	11.0	15.6	
6 Nov	2	1	1,493,567	555	26.7	10.8	15.9	
7 Nov	2	1	1,493,567	553	26.7	10.9	15.8	
8 Nov	2	1	1,493,567	551	27.0	11.1	15.9	
9 Nov	2	1	1,471,764	555	26.5	10.7	15.8	
10 Nov	2]	1,471,764	540	26.0	10.5	15.5	
11 Nov	2		1,460,862	551	26.6	10.8	15.8	
12 Nov	2	1	1,460,862	53/	23.5	9.9	13.0	
13 NOV	2		1,400,802	553	20.1	9.3	15.0	
14 NOV	2		1,4/1,/04	501	24.1	0.3	15.0	
15 NOV	2	1	1,4/1,/04	553	25.5	9.1	15.9	
17 Nov	2	'n	1 471 764	554	25.0	. 9.2	15.8	
18 Nov	2	1	1 471 764	554	24.5	8.7	15.8	
19 Nov	2	i	1,471,764	553	24.0	8.2	15.8	
20 Nov	2	· •	1,471,764	553	24.1	8.3	15.8	
21 Nov	2	i	1.471.764	552	24.0	8.2	15.8	
22 Nov	2	1	1,471,764	548	24.0	8.1	15.9	
23 Nov	2	1	1,471,764	548	23.9	8.3	15.6	
24 Nov	2	1	1,471,764	383	19.1	7.5	31.6	
25 Nov	2	1	1,471,764	391	18.7	6.9	11.8	
26 Nov	2	1	1,471,764	422	19.6	7.0	12.6	
27 Nov	2	1	1,471,764	497	21.1	6./	14.4	
28 Nov	2	1	1,4/1,/64	551	22.2	6.5	15.7	
29 NOV	2		1,4/1,/04	555	21.8	5.9	15.9	
30 Nov	2	I	1,4/1,/04	554	20.5	5.3	15.2	,
1 Dec	2	1	1,488,116	553	21.0	5.1	15.9	
2 Dec	2	1	1,488,116	554	21.4	5.5	15.9	
3 Dec	2	1	1,488,116	555	20.1	4.3	15.8	
4 Dec	2	1	1,488,110	50Z 552	10 7	4.0	13.0	
5 Dec	2	1	1,400,110	552	20 1	5.5	15.8	
	2	1	1,400,110	550	21 1	53	15.8	
8 Dec	2	1.	1 488 116	547	21.1	6.2	15.7	
9 Dec	2	1	1,488,116	545	21.5	5.9	15.6	
10 Dec	2	i	1,488,116	543	19.7	4.2	15.5	
11 Dec	· 2	i	1,488,116	545	18.7	3.1	15.6	
12 Dec	2	1.	1,488,116	543	19.2	4.8	14.4	
13 Dec	2	1	1,488,116	542	18.1	2.6	15.5	
14 Dec	2	1	1,488,116	540	17.0	1.6	15.4	
15 Dec	2	1	1,488,116	538	17.7	2.3	15.4	
16 Dec	2	1	1,488,116	536	17.7	2.3	15.4	
17 Dec	2	I	1,488,116	532	1/.3	1.9	15.4	
18 Dec	2	1	1,488,116	532	16.0	0.9	15.1	
19 Dec	2	l 1	1,231,921	530	18.1	0.1	18.0	
20 Dec	2	1	1,204,000	526	10.2	2.0	10.2	
21 Dec	2	1 ·	1,204,000	520	10.0	1.0	17.6	
22 Dec	2	1	1,204,000	£22	19.0	2.2 0 A	10 2	
23 Dec	2	· I 1	1 204 666	525	10.7	2 4	17 4	
24 Dec 25 Dec	2	1	1 204 666	520	18 0	0.8	18.1	
26 Dec	2	1	1 204 666	518	18.5	0.5	18.0	
27 Dec	2	1	1,204,666	516	17.8	0.0	17.8	
28 Dec	2	i	1,204,666	515	18.0	0.2	17.8	
29 Dec	2	i	1.204.666	509	19.3	1.5	17.8	
30 Dec	2	i	1,204,666	508	20.6	2.9	17.7	
31 Dec	2	1	1,204,666	508	21.6	3.9	17.7	
						•		
					4	•		

H-6

science services division

. . . .

 \square

]

[]

۱.) ۱.)

1

.

Table H-1 (Page 7 of 7)

OOTNOTES:	Plant Operating Conditions	at	Nine	Mile	Point	Nuclear	Plant,
	Unit 1, during 1978.						

- ¹ Number of pumps operating based on pump data collected daily from the control room at Nine Mile Point Unit 1.
- ² Volume of water pumped each day derived from net discharge flow data in Nine Mile Point Unit 1 "401" monthly reports.
- ³ Power production is daily average (Net MWe) from Nine Mile Point Unit 1 "401" monthly reports.

⁴ Water temperatures during Jan-Mar are average daily lake temperatures from Nine Mile Point Unit 1 periodic logs. After March, water temperatures are from Nine Mile Point Unit 1 "401" monthly reports.

^a Flow through intakes reversed to prevent icing from approximately 2300 on 3 Jan to 0500 on 4 Jan.

^b Unit down at 1058, 20 Jan to approximately 1530, 22 Jan.

^C Unit down at 2229, 6 Feb to 1859. 7 Feb.

Section 21 Vices

the open state

And the second of the second o

. .

^d Unit down at 1838, 16 May to 1250, 17 May.

^e Unit down at 0007, 20 May to 0505, 26 May.

^f Unit down at 0108, 3 Aug to 0655, 4 Aug.

 $^{\rm g}$ On 13 Sep, two pumps were operating from 0001 to 1320 and one pump was operating from 1320 to 2400.

^h Unit down from 0251, 30 Sep to 1520, 6 Oct.

ⁱ On 2 Oct, two pumps were operating from OOO1 to O251 and no pumps were operating from O251 to 2400.

 j On 4 Oct, no pumps were operating from 0001 to 1455 and two pumps were operating from 1455 to 2400.

H-7



Table H-2 (Page 1 of 8)

Plant Operating Conditions at James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Plant during 1978

	No. of Circulating	No. of Service ,	Total Volume of Water Pumped ²	Mean Electrical Outputs ³	Temper	ature ⁴ (°C)
Date	Water Pumps ¹	Water Pumps'	(m ³)	(MWe)	Discharge	Intake	Δ
1 Jan 2 Jan 3 Jan 4 Jan 5 Jan 6 Jan 7 Jan 9 Jan 10 Jan 11 Jan 12 Jan	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	water Pumps	(m ³) 1,588,622 1,588,622 1,588,622 1,588,622 1,588,622 1,588,622 1,588,622 1,588,622 1,588,622 1,588,622 1,588,622 1,588,622 1,588,622 1,588,622	(MWe) 819 818 818 781 731 731 783 780 821 823 823 823	D1scharge 23.5 24.0 22.6 22.9 22.2 19.8 22.9 23.4 23.0 23.0 23.0 23.0	Intake 4.8 5.4 3.9 3.8 4.2 2.9 5.3 5.7 5.7 4.3 4.4	۵ 18.7 18.6 18.7 19.1 18.0 16.9 17.6 17.7 18.4 18.7 18.7 18.6
13 Jan 14 Jan 15 Jan 16 Jan 17 Jan 18 Jan 20 Jan 21 Jan 22 Jan 23 Jan 24 Jan 25 Jan	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1,588,622 1,588,622 1,588,622 1,588,622 1,588,622 1,588,622 1,588,622 1,588,622 1,588,622 1,588,622 1,588,622 1,588,622 1,588,622 1,588,622	820 822 823 823 822 823 823 816 796 821 821 821 821 774 493	23.8 22.9 23.0 22.9 23.1 23.9 22.2 23.2 24.1 23.9 23.4 16.1	5.2 4.3 4.2 4.2 4.4 5.3 5.6 5.4 5.8	18.5 18.7 18.7 18.7 18.7 18.7 18.7 18.6 18.5 17.9 18.5 18.5 18.5 17.6 12.3
26 Jan 27 Jan 28 Jan 29 Jan 30 Jan 31 Jan 1 Feb	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	1 1 1 1 1 1	1,588,622 1,588,622 1,588,622 1,588,622 1,588,622 1,588,622 1,588,622	600 655 721 792 590 705 790	18.8 19.8 21.2 23.1 18.7 20.7	5.0 4.7 5.0 5.4 4.2 4.7	13.8 15.1 16.2 17.7 14.5 16.0
2 Feb 3 Feb 4 Feb 5 Feb 6 Feb 7 Feb 10 Feb 10 Feb 11 Feb 12 Feb 13 Feb 14 Feb 15 Feb 16 Feb 17 Feb 18 Feb 21 Feb 21 Feb 22 Feb 23 Feb 24 Feb 25 Feb 27 Feb 28 Feb 27 Feb 28 Feb 27 Feb 28 Feb 29 Feb 20 Feb 21 Feb 22 Feb 23 Feb 24 Feb 25 Feb 27 Feb 28 Feb 29 Feb 20 Feb 20 Feb 20 Feb 21 Feb 22 Feb 23 Feb 24 Feb 25 Feb 26 Feb 27 Feb 28 Feb 29 Feb 20 Feb 20 Feb 20 Feb 20 Feb 20 Feb 21 Feb 22 Feb 23 Feb 24 Feb 25 Feb 26 Feb 27 Feb 28 Feb 29 Feb 20 Feb 20 Feb 20 Feb 20 Feb 21 Feb 22 Feb 23 Feb 24 Feb 25 Feb 26 Feb 27 Feb 28 Feb 29 Feb 20 Feb	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	1 1 1 1 1/2a 2b 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1,621,589 1,621,589	820 762 598 624 627 631 632 633 620 576 630 632 632 632 633 633 633 633 633 633 634 635 636 636 636 636 636 636 636 636 636	23.4 23.9 22.7 19.5 20.3 20.7 20.0 20.0 20.1 19.6 19.6 19.7 20.0 20.1 20.0 20.1 20.0 20.1 20.2 20.1 20.2 20.1 20.2 20.1 20.2 20.1 20.2 20.3 13.7 5.2 4.5 5.6 4.4	5.527036474944766696878863232 4.474944766696878863232 4.344.766696878863232 4.3	18.0 18.4 17.8 15.3 15.4 15.4 15.4 15.3 15.4 15.4 15.4 15.4 15.4 15.4 15.4 15.4

science services division

Table H-2 (Page 2 of 8)

			· · · · · ·				
Data	No. of Circulating	No. of Service	Total Volume of Water Pumped ²	Mean Electrical Outputs ³	Temper	ature ⁴ (°C)	۰ ۱
Date	water Pumps	water Pumps	(11.*)	(1.946)	Discharge	Incake	۵
1 Mar 2 Mar 3 Mar 5 Mar 6 Mar 7 Mar 7 Mar 10 Mar 10 Mar 11 Mar 13 Mar 14 Mar 15 Mar 16 Mar 19 Mar 20 Mar	1 1/2e 2/3f 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	752,235 ⁵ 752,235 ⁵ 819,857 1,338,573 1,633,952	0 5 383 647 727 812 824 825 825 825 825 825 825 825 825 825 825	2.9 4.8 18.5 19.8 21.5 24.0 24.2 24.2 24.2 24.2 24.2 24.2 24.2	2.0 0.1 3.9 4.5 5.0 5.9 5.8 5.7 5.7 5.7 5.7 5.8 5.8 5.8 6.4 5.6 5.6 3.6 5.6 3.6 1.2 8.2 6.5	0.9 4.7 14.6 15.3 16.5 18.1 18.4 18.5 18.5 18.5 18.3 17.7 18.4 18.5 18.4 18.5 18.4 18.5 18.5 18.5 18.5 18.4 18.5 18.5 18.4 18.5 18.4 18.5 18.4 18.5 18.4 18.5 18.4 18.5 18.4 18.5 18.4 18.5 18.4 18.5 18.4 18.5 18.5 18.5 18.5 18.5 18.5 18.5 18.5
20 Mar 21 Mar 22 Mar 23 Mar 24 Mar 25 Mar 25 Mar 26 Mar 27 Mar 28 Mar 29 Mar 30 Mar 31 Mar	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3		1,043,193 1,338,573 1,633,952 1,633,952 1,633,952 1,633,952 1,633,952 1,633,952 1,633,952 1,633,952 1,633,952 1,633,952 1,633,952	539 738 777 820 820 822 820 821 819 819 816 783	24.0 23.0 24.2 24.0 23.7 24.0 24.1 24.3 24.3 24.3 24.4 23.6	5.0 7.1 5.4 5.6 5.4 5.1 5.3 5.4 5.8 5.8 5.8 5.8 5.8 5.8 5.8	16.3 16.9 17.6 18.6 18.6 18.7 18.7 18.7 18.5 18.5 18.5 17.8 11.2
2 Apr 2 Apr 3 Apr 5 Apr 5 Apr 6 Apr 7 Apr 9 Apr 10 Apr 12 Apr 13 Apr 14 Apr 15 Apr 16 Apr 17 Apr 18 Apr 20 Apr 21 Apr 22 Apr 23 Apr 24 Apr 25 Apr 26 Apr 27 Apr 28 Apr 29 Apr 29 Apr 29 Apr 20 Apr 20 Apr 21 Apr 23 Apr 24 Apr 25 Apr 26 Apr 27 Apr 29 Apr 20 Apr 20 Apr 20 Apr 21 Apr 23 Apr 24 Apr 25 Apr 26 Apr 27 Apr 29 Apr 20 Apr 20 Apr 20 Apr 21 Apr 22 Apr 23 Apr 24 Apr 25 Apr 26 Apr 27 Apr 29 Apr 20 Apr 20 Apr 20 Apr 21 Apr 22 Apr 23 Apr 24 Apr 25 Apr 27 Apr 29 Apr 20 Apr 20 Apr 20 Apr 20 Apr 20 Apr 21 Apr 22 Apr 23 Apr 23 Apr 24 Apr 25 Apr 29 Apr 29 Apr 20 Apr	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3		1,759,641 1,687,9865 1,315,5025 1,315,5025 1,687,986 1,315,502 1,687,986 1,315,502 1,687,986 1,315,502 1,315,502 1,315,502	480 550 621 706 787 821 777 820 819 628 500 536 741 792 819 60599 0 0 341 658 760 817 819 145hh 0 0 0	$\begin{array}{c} 13.8\\ 17.5\\ 19.5\\ 22.4\\ 23.4\\ 24.5\\ 24.5\\ 24.5\\ 24.7\\ 24.8\\ 25.4\\ 21.5\\ 19.0\\ 21.1\\ 25.5\\ 23.5\\ 18.7\\ 5.6\\ 6.4\\ 16.9\\ 20.2\\ 21.4\\ 22.9\\ 23.0\\ 5.2\\ 5.6\\ 4.9\\ 8.7\\ 5.5\end{array}$	4.7 4.9 6.4 5.7 6.3 6.4 5.8 6.4 5.8 6.4 5.8 9.7 6.3 8.9 4.8 3.3 4.5 3.4 4.2 9.2	12.1 13.4 14.6 16.0 17.7 18.4 17.4 17.4 17.5 18.5 18.5 18.5 18.5 15.1 13.2 13.3 16.5 15.1 13.2 13.3 16.8 1.5 12.1 17.2 18.1 17.2 19.5 19.5 19.5 1.0 0.7 2.8 1.3

H-9

science services division

And the second s

Actual of

ettern meret

Contraction and the

Table H-2 (Page 3 of 8)

	No. of	No. of	Total Volume of	Mean Electrical	Temper	ature ⁴ (°C)
Date	Water Pumps	Water Pumps	(m ³)	(MWe)	Discharge	Intake	Δ
] May	2/3 ^m]	1,687,986	44 4 702	20.1	6.7	13.4
2 May	3	1	2,000,409	703	25.1	6.8	18.3
3 May	2	1	2,000,409	817	25.2	6.4	18.8
5 May	3	1	2,060,469	813	24.3	5.6	18.7
6 May	3	i	2,060,469	788	23.6	5.4	18.2
7 May	3 3	i	2,060,469	787	23.9	5.7	18.2
8 May	3	1	2,060,469	782	23.4	5.3	18.1
9 May	3	I	2,060,469	779	23.6	5.6	18.0
10 May	3	1	2,060,469	779	25.1	7.2	17.9
11 May	3	1	2,060,469	//8	25.5	/./	1/.8
12 May	3	. 1	2,060,469	7/8	23.1	4.9	10.2
13 May		1	2,000,409	779	24.7	6.7	18.0
15 May	3	i i	2,060,469	778	23.6	5.4	18.2
16 May	3	j	2,060,469	779	23.4	5.2	18.2
17 May	3	1	2,060,469	780	23.4	5.2	18.2
18 May	3	1	2,060,469	779	23.5	5.3	18.2
19 May	3	1	2,060,469	778	24.4	6.3	18.1
20 May	3	1	2,060,469	//9	25.2	7.2	18.0
21 May	3	1	2,000,409	780	25.5	7.5	10.0
22 May	3	1	2,000,409	782	26.1	81	18.0
20 May	3	1	2,000,409	780	27.0	9.1	17.9
25 May	3	. 1	2,060,469	781	27.4	9.5	17.9
26 May	3	i	2,018,678	781	27.8	10.0	17.8
27 May	3	1	2,158,586	493	22.7	10.5	12.2
28 May	3	1/2 ⁿ	2,158,586	468	21.6	10.1	11.5
29 May	3	2	2,158,586	529	23.1	10.6	12.5
30 May	3	2/10	2,158,580	500 640	24.2	12.2	14.4
Si may		2/18	2,158,500	670	L/./	10.3	15.0
] Jun	3		2,158,586	679	25.9	10.1	15.8
2 Jun 3 Jun	. 3	. Ι ΝΔ	2,100,000	772	28.4	11.2	17.2
4 Jun	· 3	NA	2,158,586	813	29.2	10.6	18.6
5 Jun	3	29	2,158,586	809	29.1	10.5	18.6
6 Jun	3	2	2,158,586	806	30.0	11.5	18.5
7 Jun	3	2	2,158,586	805	29.7	11.2	18.5
8 Jun	3	2.	2,158,586	802	30.4	11.8	18.6
9 Jun	3	2	2,158,586	/98	30.3	12.0	18.3
10 Jun	3	. 2	2,100,000	796	30.9	12.1	18 3
12 Jun	3	2	2,158,586	674	30.5	12.3	18.2
13 Jun	3 .	2	2,158,586	793	29.8	12.5	17.3
14 Jun	3	2	2,158,586	795	31.8	13.7	18.1
15 Jun	3	2 .	2,158,586	757	31.3	14.1	17.2
16 Jun	3	2	2,158,586	782	30.8	13.1	17.7
17 Jun	-3	2	2,158,586	688	27.6	12.0	15.6
18 Jun	3	2	2,158,586	//1	30.9	13.5	1/.4
19 Jun	3	2	2,150,500	799	32.5	13 5	18.2
20 Jun 21 Jun	3/28	2	1 831 528	45511	24.4	13.3	11.1
22 Jun	2	2	1,504,469	51	17.2	13.3	3.9
23 Jun	2/3 ^{\$}	2	1,831,528	632	29.7	13.7	16.0
24 Jun	3	2	2,158,586	630	28.4	13.9	14.5
25 Jun	3	2	2,158,586	713	30.8	14.3	16.5
26 Jun	3	2	2,158,586	/88	32.4	14.5	1/.9
27 Jun	3	Z	2,158,586	789	34.0	10.5	10,1
28 JUN 20 Jun	3	Ž	2,158,580	791 709	34.0 22 2	10.0	10.2
29 Jun 30 Jun	ן ג	2	2,158,586	798	34.5	16.3	18.2
50 Uui	J .	۲.	. L91009000	730			

н-10

science services division

}

).

Table H-2 (Page 4 of 8)

the state of the s

and the second se

V- Martine

£ }		No. of	No. of	Total Volume of	Mean Electrical	Temper	ature ⁴ (°C	.)
لتدر وتاريقها معاو	Date	Water Pumps ¹	Water Pumps ¹	(m ³)	(MWe)	Discharge	Intake	Δ
	l Jul	3	2	2,158,586	797	31.9	16.8	15.1
	2 001	ວ າ	2 .	2,150,580	794 705	32.0	17.5	15.1
[.]	4 Jul	3	2	2,158,586	794	31.0	16 1	14.9
	5 Jul	3	ž	2,158,586	786	32.1	16.8	15.3
	6 Jul	3	2	2,158,586	776	33.7	18.8	14.9
	7 Jul	3	2	2,158,586	753	33.9	18.4	15.5
	8 ປີທີ	3	2	2,158,586	577	31.2	19.2	12.0
	9 Jul	3	2	2,158,586	662	33.4	19.8	13.6
11	10 001	3	2	2,158,580	/ 32	35.2	20.2	15.0
and the second	12 Jul	3	2	2,158,586	784	35.9	20.3	10.0
	13 Jul	3	2	2,158,586	787	34.4	18.5	15.9
	14 Jul	3	2	2,158,586	784	35.4	19.4	16.0
11	15 Jul	3	2	2,158,586	782	36.5	20.4	16.1
in a second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second s		3	2	2,158,586	781	36.3	20.3	16.0
د غ	18 .101	3	2	2,158,580	//6	37.0	21.2	15.8
	19 Jul	3	2	2,158,586	769	30.5	20.7	15.0
[].	20 Jul	3	2	2,158,586	724	37.6	21.8	15.8
	21 Jul	3	2	2,158,586	427	37.8	22.6	15.2
E., J	22 Jul	3	2	2,158,586	474	33.0	23.6	9.4
<i>6</i> 1	23 JUI 24 Jul	3	2	2,158,586	522	33.4	23.3	10.1
	24 Jul	3	2	2,158,586	042 732	34.1	23.2	10.9
	26 Jul	3	2	2,158,586	761	37.2	21.7	15.5
	27 Jul	. 3	2	2,158,586	757	37.5	22.3	15.2
<u>7</u> 1	28 Jul	3	2	2,158,586	758	38.1	22.8	15.3
	29 Jul	3	2	2,158,586	757	37.6	22.2	15.4
11	31 Jul	3	2	2,108,580	/5/ 757	3/.8	22.1	15./
		5	٤	2,130,300	157	33.1	21.5	17.0
	1 Aug	3	2	2,158,586	<u>757</u>	39.2	21.5	17.7
	Z AUG	3	2	2,158,586	/52	39.7	22.1	17.6
	4 Aug	3	2	2,158,586	701	39.1	21.5	17.0
<1	5 Aug	3	2	2,158,586	745	39.6	22.2	17.4
	6 Aug	3	2	2,158,586	741	40.0	22.5	17.5
1.) .	7 Aug	3	2	2,158,586	736	40.1	22.6	17.5
7 °	8 Aug	3	2	2,158,586	732	39.9	22.4	17.5
11	10 Aug	3	2	2,158,586	727	40.2	22.7	17.5
	11 Aug	3	2	2,158,586	713	40.3	23.0	17.3
6.5	12 Aug	3	2	2,158,586	660	38.9	22.8	16.1
· -	13 Aug	3	2	2,158,586	721	40.9	23.5	17.4
Christian (14 Aug 15 Aug	3 · 2	2	2,158,586	716	41.7	24.2	17.5
	15 Aug	3	2	2,158,586	714	41.8	24.4	1/.4
	. 17 Aug	3	2	2,158,586	707	41.5	24.1	17.4
. 1	18 Aug	3	2	2,158,586	667	40.3	23.8	16.5
	19 Aug	3	2	2,158,586	546	36.4	22.9	13.5
	20 Aug	3	2	2,158,586	577	37.6	23.3	14.3
	22 Aug	່ 3	2	2,158,586	546	36.6	23.1	13.5
51	23 Aug	3	2	2,158,586	5/0 521	3/./	23.4 20 0	14.3
n an	24 Aug	3	2	2,158,586	578	37.9	23.6	14.3
2.1	25 Aug	3	2	2,158,586	579	36.6	22.4	14.2
	26 Aug	3	2	2,158,586	582	36.9	22.6	14.3
\square	27 AUG 28 Aug	3 2	2	2,158,586	580	35.3	22.2	13.1
	29 Aug	3	2	2,158,586	583	20.4	11.0	14.8
-	30 Aug	3	ź	2,158,586	581	35.4	20.9	14.5
3 1	31 Aug	3	2	2,158,586	580	35.8	21.2	14.6
1.1			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	····;····;		• #************************************		

H-11

Table H-2 (Page 5 of 8)

	No. of Circulating Water Pumps ¹	No. of Service Water Pumps	Total Volume of Water Pumped ² (m ³)	Mean Electrical Outputs ³ (MWe)	Temperature ⁴ (°C)		
Date					Discharge	Intake	Δ
1 Sep 2 Sep 3 Sep 4 Sep 5 Sep 6 Sep 9 Sep 9 Sep 10 Sep 11 Sep 12 Sep 13 Sep 14 Sep 15 Sep 15 Sep 16 Sep 17 Sep 21 Sep 23 Sep 23 Sep 23 Sep 24 Sep 23 Sep 24 Sep 23 Sep 24 Sep 23 Sep 23 Sep 24 Sep 23 Sep 23 Sep 23 Sep 24 Sep 23 Sep 24 Sep 23 Sep 23 Sep	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 2/3 ^u 2 2/3 ^u 3 3 3 3/2 ^w 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 1	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2,158,586 2,158,586 2,158,586 2,158,586 2,158,586 2,158,586 2,158,586 1,831,528 1,504,469 1,831,528 2,158,586 2,158,586 2,158,586 2,158,586 2,109,528 2,060,469 1,687,986 1,315,502 1,315,502 1,315,502 1,315,502 1,315,502 1,315,502 1,315,502 1,315,502 1,315,502 1,315,502 1,315,502 1,315,502 1,315,502 1,315,502 1,315,502 1,333,868 752,235 752,235 752,235 752,235	579 585 584 582 580 578 185jj 363 485 497 513 514 517 482 37kk 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	$\begin{array}{c} 35.8\\ 36.1\\ 35.9\\ 35.6\\ 36.0\\ 35.5\\ 35.8\\ 26.4\\ 33.5\\ 33.6\\ 31.1\\ 31.1\\ 26.6\\ 21.2\\ 20.0\\ 13.2\\ 9.4\\ 9.0\\ 6.1\\ 8.1\\ 10.0\\ 11.4\\ 10.8\\ 13.1\\ 16.0\\ 11.4\\ 10.8\\ 13.1\\ 16.0\\ 16.3\\ 17.0\\ 17.8\\ 17.7\\ 17.3\\ \end{array}$	21.4 21.7 21.5 21.2 21.6 21.1 21.4 20.5 20.4 18.1 13.4 7.1 18.4 18.1 13.4 7.1 13.4 7.1 10.2 8.7 8.2 6.4 7.3 9.2 10.7 10.0 11.3 15.5 16.2 17.0 16.7	14.4 14.4 14.4 14.4 14.4 14.4 14.4 14.4
1 Oct 2 Oct 3 Oct 4 Oct 5 Oct 6 Oct 7 Oct 8 Oct 10 Oct 11 Oct 12 Oct 13 Oct 14 Oct 15 Oct 14 Oct 15 Oct 17 Oct 18 Oct 19 Oct 21 Oct 22 Oct 23 Oct 24 Oct 25 Oct 24 Oct 25 Oct 27 Oct 28 Oct 21 Oct 22 Oct 23 Oct 23 Oct 24 Oct 25 Oct 27 Oct 28 Oct 21 Oct 21 Oct 22 Oct 23 Oct 23 Oct 23 Oct 23 Oct 23 Oct 23 Oct 23 Oct 23 Oct 23 Oct 23 Oct 24 Oct 25 Oct 27 Oct 28 Oct 21 Oct 21 Oct 23 Oct 23 Oct 23 Oct 23 Oct 24 Oct 23 Oct 23 Oct 23 Oct 23 Oct 23 Oct 23 Oct 24 Oct 23 Oct 31 Oct			752,235 752,235		$\begin{array}{c} 17.1\\ 17.2\\ 16.9\\ 16.3\\ 16.2\\ 16.4\\ 17.2\\ 17.0\\ 16.6\\ 16.5\\ 16.6\\ 16.5\\ 16.6\\ 16.7\\ 15.7\\ 15.4\\ 14.8\\ 14.7\\ 14.8\\ 15.3\\ 15.3\\ 15.2\\ 15.2\\ 15.2\\ 15.8\\ 16.2\\ 14.5\\ 13.8\\ 13.8\\ 13.8\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 16.2\\ 16.3\\ 16.1\\ 15.5\\ 15.0\\ 15.2\\ 15.9\\ 15.5\\ 15.0\\ 15.1\\ 14.6\\ 15.2\\ 14.3\\ 14.1\\ 13.2\\ 13.3\\ 13.6\\$	$0.9 \\ 0.8 \\ 0.8 \\ 1.2 \\ 1.3 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.6 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.5 \\ 1.6 \\ 0.5 \\ 2.2 \\ 0.7 \\ 0.8 \\ -0.8 \\ 0.8 \\ 0.7 \\ 0.8 \\ 0.7 \\ 0.8$

science services division

Protection of

the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second se

÷.

-

¥......

la dese kan
Table H-2 (page 6 of 8)

 $\left[\right]$

Company,

.

.

Superior .

	No. of	No. of	Total Volume of Water Pumped2	Mean Electrical	Temper	ature ⁴ (°C)
Date	Water Pumps	Water Pumps ¹	(m ³)	(MWe)	Discharge	Intake	Δ
 Nov <	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	752,235 752,235 752,235 752,235 752,235 425,176 98,116 98,116 98,116 98,116 98,116 425,176 752,235 752,235 752,235 752,235 752,235 752,235 752,235 752,235 752,235 752,235 752,235 752,235 752,235 752,235 801,293 850,352 850,352 850,352 850,352	0 k 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	14.0 14.4 15.0 15.0 14.8 15.3 17.1 19.3 20.2 20.3 20.3 19.8 12.7 11.1 11.1 9.7 9.7 9.7 9.7 8.5 8.8 8.7 9.3 8.4 8.6 7.2 6.6 7.9 8.2	$\begin{array}{c} 15.5\\ 14.2\\ 14.6\\ 15.0\\ 13.4\\ 13.6\\ 15.8\\ 18.7\\ 19.1\\ 19.5\\ 19.5\\ 19.5\\ 19.3\\ 11.2\\ 9.8\\ 9.0\\ 9.0\\ 8.8\\ 7.6\\ 7.8\\ 7.8\\ 7.8\\ 7.8\\ 7.8\\ 7.8\\ 7.4\\ 7.1\\ 5.6\\ 6.7\\ 8.1 \end{array}$	-1.5 0.2 0.4 0.0 1.4 1.7 1.3 0.6 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.8 1.5 1.3 0.7 0.9 0.9 0.9 1.4 1.5 1.0 1.5 1.4 1.5 1.4 1.0 1.2 0.1
1 Dec 2 Dec 3 Dec 4 Dec 5 Dec 6 Dec 7 Dec 8 Dec 9 Dec 10 Dec 11 Dec 12 Dec 13 Dec 14 Dec 15 Dec 16 Dec 17 Dec 18 Dec 19 Dec 20 Dec 21 Dec 22 Dec 23 Dec 24 Dec 25 Dec 24 Dec 25 Dec 26 Dec 27 Dec 28 Dec 29 Dec 20 Dec 21 Dec 20 Dec 21 Dec 23 Dec 24 Dec 25 Dec 26 Dec 27 Dec 28 Dec 29 Dec 20 Dec 20 Dec 20 Dec 20 Dec 20 Dec 21 Dec 22 Dec 23 Dec 24 Dec 25 Dec 26 Dec 27 Dec 28 Dec 29 Dec 20 Dec 20 Dec 20 Dec 20 Dec 20 Dec 21 Dec 23 Dec 24 Dec 25 Dec 26 Dec 27 Dec 28 Dec 29 Dec 20 Dec 21 Dec 23 Dec 23 Dec 24 Dec 25 Dec 26 Dec 27 Dec 28 Dec 29 Dec 20 Dec 20 Dec 21 Dec 23 Dec 23 Dec 24 Dec 25 Dec 26 Dec 27 Dec 28 Dec 29 Dec 29 Dec 20 Dec 21 Dec 20 Dec 21 Dec 23 Dec 23 Dec 23 Dec 23 Dec 23 Dec 23 Dec 23 Dec 24 Dec 25 Dec 26 Dec 27 Dec 28 Dec 29 Dec 29 Dec 20 Dec 30 Dec 31 Dec	0/1 ^{aa} 1 1 1/2bb 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	850,352 850,352 850,352 850,352 850,352 1,177,411 1,504,469 1,504,469 1,504,469 1,504,469 1,504,469 1,504,469 1,504,469 1,504,469 1,504,469 1,504,469 1,504,469 1,504,469 1,504,469 1,504,469 1,504,4695 1,504,465 1,504,465 1,50	0 0 0 0 0 20 148 211 258 385 433 512 553 556 316mm 171 439 557 640 588 430 171 439 557 640 588 430 14nn 70 239 232 416 518 587 599	$\begin{array}{c} 7.5\\ 4.7\\ 4.9\\ 2.8\\ 5.9\\ 7.1\\ 5.1\\ 11.0\\ 11.8\\ 11.1\\ 12.8\\ 16.3\\ 17.3\\ 18.2\\ 19.8\\ 19.5\\ 11.5\\ 7.9\\ 15.2\\ 17.5\\ 19.5\\ 18.9\\ 16.7\\ 1.8\\ 4.9\\ 16.6\\ 14.9\\ 17.2\\ 20.1\\ 23.8\\ 23.5\\ \end{array}$	6.8 5.2 3.3 5.6 3.9 5.5 6.1 5.7 3.6 3.0 2.9 2.4 1.3 7.2 2.9 1.7 2.9 1.7 2.1 8 3.9 7.1 6.3	$\begin{array}{c} 0.7\\ -0.3\\ -0.5\\ 0.3\\ 3.2\\ -0.4\\ 4.9\\ 6.0\\ 7.4\\ 9.2\\ 12.3\\ 14.3\\ 16.1\\ 16.9\\ 16.5\\ 13.9\\ 15.8\\ 14.3\\ 12.7\\ 13.8\\ 14.3\\ 12.7\\ 13.7\\ 12.8\\ 15.4\\ 16.2\\ 16.7\\ 17.2\end{array}$

H-13

Table H-2 (Page 7 of 8)

FOOTNOTES: Plant Operating Conditions at James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Plant during 1978.

¹ Number of pumps operating based on pump data collected daily from the control room at James A. FitzPatrick Station.

² Volume of water pumped each day derived from gross circulating water flow data in James A. FitzPatrick "401" monthly reports. For 1 Jan through 24 Apr and 20-31 Dec, volumes have been corrected for % tempering when data were available.

³ Power production is daily average (Gross MWe) from James A. FitzPatrick "401" monthly reports.

⁴ Average water temperatures reported in James A. FitzPatrick "401" monthly reports.

⁵ Percent tempering data not available. Volume of water pumped in from James A. FitzPatrick "401" monthly reports.

^a Pump change outside sampling period (data not collected).

^b Two pumps operating from 1000 when sampling began on 10 Feb to 1000 on 11 Feb.

^c On 24 Feb, three pumps operating from 0001 to 0920 and two pumps operating from 0920 to 2400.

 d On 25 Feb, two pumps operating from 0001 to 0448 and one pump operating from 0448 to 2400.

e On 3 Mar, one pump operating from 0001 to 0037 and two pumps operating from 0037 to 2400.

^f On 4 Mar, two pumps operating from 0001 to 0126 and three pumps operating from 0126 to 2400.

 9 On 17 Mar, three pumps operating from 0001 to 2208 and two pumps operating from 2208 to 2400.

^h On 18 Mar, two pumps operating from 0001 to approximately 2335 and three pumps operating from approximately 2335 to 2400.

¹ On 18 Apr, three pumps operating from 0001 to 1800 and two pumps operating from 1800 to 2400.

^j On 22 Apr, two pumps operating from 0001 to 0905 and three pumps operating from 0905 to 2400.

k On 26 Apr, three pumps operating from 0001 to 1152 and two pumps operating from 1152 to 2400.

^m On 1 May, two pumps operating from 0001 to 1535 and three pumps operating from 1535 to 2400.

ⁿ On 28 May, one pump operating from 0001 to 1010 and two pumps operating from 1010 to 2400.

^p Time of pump change not available.

 $^{\rm q}$ On 5 Jun, two pumps operating at the beginning (0001 hrs) of the sample period.

.

Table H-2 (Page 8 of 8)

particular and a

.

- -----

a demonstration of the second

r On 21 Jun, three pumps operating from 0001 to 1350 and two pumps operating from 1350 to 2400. ^s On 23 Jun, two pumps operating from 0001 to 0840 and three pumps operating from 0840 to 2400. ^t On 8 Sep, three pumps operating from 0001 to 0735 and two pumps operating from 0735 to 2400. $^{\rm u}$ On 10 Sep, two pumps operating from 0001 to 2055 and three pumps operating from 2055 to 2400. ^W On 16 Sep, three pumps operating from 0001 to 0040 and two pumps operating from 0040 to 2400. $^{\rm X}$ On 22 Sep, two pumps operating from 0001 to 1510 and one pump operating from 1510 to 2400. ^y On 7 Nov, one pump operating from 0001 to 0615 and no pumps operating from 0615 to 2400. z On 30 Nov, one pump operating from 0001 to 1554 and no pumps operating from 1554 to 2400. ^{aa} On 1 Dec, no pumps operating from 0001 to 1225 and one pump operating from 1225 to 2400. ^{bb} On 6 Dec, one pump operating from 0001 to 1100 and two pumps operating from 1100 to 2400. ^{cc} On 20 Dec, two pumps operating from 0001 to 1940 and three pumps operating from 1940 to 2400. dd On 24 Dec, three pumps operating from 0001 to 0039 and two pumps operating from 0039 to 2400. ee Unit down from 1515, 24 Feb to 2245, 2 Mar. ^{ff} Unit down from 2208, 17 Mar to 2335, 18 Mar. ^{gg} Unit down from 2226, 18 Apr to 0315, 21 Apr. hh Unit down from 1200, 26 Apr to 0259, 1 May. ⁱⁱ Unit down from 1350, 21 Jun to 1417, 22 Jun. ^{jj} Unit down from 0735, 8 Sep to approximately 0001, 9 Sep. kk Unit down from approximately 0040, 16 Sep to approximately 2000, 8 Dec (scheduled outage for refueling). ^{mm} Unit down from 1200, 17 Dec to approximately 1200, 18 Dec. ⁿⁿ Unit down from 0039, 24 Dec to approximately 1430, 26 Dec. NA = not available

		Jan		Feb	ŕ	lar	A	pr	1	May		Jun		Jul	,	Aug	· 5	Sep	(Oct	,	Nov		Dec	Т	atal
Species	No.	x	No .	5	No.	z	No.	*	No.	X	No.	x	No.	ş	No.	*	No.	:	No.	ĩ	No.	x	No.	z	No.	5
Alewife American eel Black crappie	7 7	0.1 0.1	. 4	T 0.1	10 6	0.1 0.1	56 3	0.2 T	5,114	32.3 T	856 4	12.9 0.1	60 2	12.2 0.4	58 1	12.5	351 4	10.5 0.1	338 2 1	39.8 0.2 0.1	531 1	53.2 0.1	692	3.9	8,074 39 1	7.2 T T
Brook stickleback Brown bullhead	1	Ţ	ו	т	1 2	Ţ	וו ו	Ť	3 4	T T	1	T T	7	1.4	1	0.2	ı	т			3	0.3	8	0.1 T	16 17 28	T T T
Brown trout Burbot Central mudminnow	6	T	1	T	2	т	1 21	T 0.1	1	T T	2	Ţ	3	0,2 0.6	3	0.7	5	0.2	1	0.1	1	0.1 0.1	3	т	5 29 28	T T
Cisco (lake herring) <u>Cottus</u> sp. (sculpin) Cyprinidae (minnows)	54,9	3.8	572	6.9	84	1.0	207 2	0.6 T	312	2.0 T	55	0.8	28	5.7	11	2.4	30	0.9	35	4.1	60	6.0	ן 155	T 0.9	1 2,098 3	T 1.9 T
Emerald shiner Fathead minnow Freshwater drum	586 6	4.4 T	261 3	3.1 T	388 2	4.8 T	36 1	0.1 T	5 3	T T					1	0.2	1	T					34	0.2	1,312 4 11	1.2 T T
Gizzard shad Golden shiner Goldfish	2,168 1 14	16.3 T 0.1	599	7.2	110 3	і,4 т	94 1	0.3 T T	10	. 0.1	3	0.1	1	0.2	3	0.7	2	0.1	28	3.3	53	5.3	1,211	6.7	4,282 2 18	3.8 T
Lake chub Largemouth bass Lepomis sp. (sunfish)	17	0.1	. 8	0.1	6	Ó. 1	ż	Ť	3	Ţ			~										3 2 1	Ţ	39 2	Ţ
Longnose dace Longnose gar			1	T					•				,	0.2									1	т	1	÷
(salmon) Pumpkinseed	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	T 24 =	1 400	17.9	710	• •	A65	1 2	796		279	5 ¢		1.0	-	<i>c</i>	2 007	9¢ ¢	24.0	20.2	104		24	0.1	25	Ţ
Rainbow trout Rock bass	3,2/3	0.3	10	0.1	17	T 0.2	455	T.J	, 20	· · ·	3/3 5	0.1	s 57	11.6	11	2.4	2,907	0,1	245	29.3	2	0.2	14,020	0.2	25,331 2 175	7 0.2
Sea lamprey Smallmouth bass	21 4 14	U. 1 T 0.1	1 3	T	2	U. 1 T	. 1	T	1 2	T T	11	0.2	7	1.4 1.4	1 8	0.2 1.7	7	0.2	1	0.1 0.1	1 10	0.1 1.0	16 5 70	0.1 T 0.4	44 20 136	T T 0.1
Spottail shiner Stonecat Tessellated darter ¹	411 12 3	3.1 0.1 T	42 1	0.5 T	243	3.0	86 4 5	0.2 T T	96 19 87	0.6 0.1 0.6	114 5 179	1.7 0.1 2.7	14 14 80	2.9 2.9 16.3	13 2 10	2.8 0.4 2.2	9 2 1	0.3 0.1 T	59 4	6.9 0.5	52 4 5	5.2. 0.4 0.5	186 5 1	1.0 T T	1,325 68 375	1.2 0.1 0.3
Threespine stickleback Trout-perch Walleye	2,610 83 7	19.6 0.6 0.1	4,636 17 2	55.8 0.2 T	5,140 100	63.7 1.2	34,880 127.	96.2 0.4	5,435 507	34.3 3.2	4,838 155	72.8	158 2	32.2 0.4	2	0.4	7	0.2	114 4	13.4 0.5	17 3	1.7 0.3	27 20 2	0.2 0.1 T	57,857 1,027 11	51.4 0.9 T
White bass White perch White sucker	1,851 1,428 14	13.9 10.7 0.1	162 502 1	2.0 6,0 T	272 944 3	3.4 }1.7 T	11 224 2	T 0.6 T	2 175	1.1	3	0.1 T	7 21	1.4	11	2.4	22	0.7	2 8	0.2	17	1.7	52 449 2	0.3 2.5 7	2,350 3,784	2.1 3.4
Yellow perch Unidentified	. 204	1.5	6 1	0,1 T	5	Ó.1	18	0.1	3,304	20.9	36	Ó.5	10 2	2.0 0.4	10	2.2	7	0.2	3	0.4	· 41	4.1	348	1.9	3,992 3	3.5 T
Total	13,344		8,315		8,065		36,251		15,827		6,642		491		463		3,358		850		999		17,991		112,596	
No. fish/1000 m ³²	0,768		0.509		0.433		2,198		0.776	•	0.376		0.027		0.024		0.180		0,048		0.052		1.003		0.517	

Numerical Abundance and Percent Composition of Impinged Fish Collected at Nine Mile Point Nuclear Plant Unit 1, Jan-Dec 1978

¹ Includes tessellated and johnny darters, previously considered as subspecies and reported under the name of johnny darter in earlier Nine Mile Point studies.

 2 Number per 1000 m³ based on water volumes recorded on 401 Monthly Report for Nine Hile Point Unit No. 1.

T = trace

Table	H-4
-------	-----

Biomass (g) and Percent Composition of Impinged Fish Collected at Nine Mile Point Nuclear Plant Unit 1, Jan-Dec 1978

	Jan		Feb		Kar		Apr		Мау		Ju	۱.	Jul		Aug		Sep		Oct		Nov		Dec		Total	
Species	Wt	. *	Wt	۴	Wt	5	Wt	7	Wt	۰.	Wt	x	WC	1	Wt	3	Wt	5	¥t	. 1	Wt	:	Ht	*	WL	1
Alewife American eel Black grannie	28.7 6,049.7	Ť 0.9	6.9 1,367.7	T 0.9	76.0 3,105.8	0.1 2.8	Z63.9 1,094.3	0.2 0.7	188,742.2 687.5	75.1	12,512.3	31.5 3.6	1,484.9	3.3 2.6	73.0 680.8	0.2	841.7 1.748.1	. 3.0 6.1	1,950.9	10.7	2,134.6 48.7	9.7 0.2	10,613.1	3.5	218,728.2 17,472.2 1.0	11.8 0.9 T
81uegill				_				_							150.9	0.4			3.0				27.8	т	178.7	Ť
Brown bullhead	10.2	Ţ	0.9	T	1.9 98.0	0.1	19.5 346.8	0.2	4,1 22.2	Ť	0.9 96.1	0.2	512.8	1.1			219.1	0.8			597.6	2.7	225.3	0.1	2,128.1	0,1
Burbat Central mudminnow	3,442.0	0.5	25.6	T	8.2	Ŧ	29.2 99.8	r 0.1	.737.2 4.5	0.3 T	2,407.6	6.)	4,500.5	3.3 9.9	12,700.2	24.9 35.9	6,873.5	24.1	88.6	0.5	1,800.0 3.1	8.1 T	20.3	Ţ	32,604.4 135.9 74.7	1.6 T T
<u>Cottus</u> sp. (sculpin) Cyprinidae (minnows)	1,806.5	0.3	2,197.0	1.5	317.5	0.3	771.9	0,5 T	1.045.5	0.4	140.2	0.4	68.5	0.2	29.4	0.1	101.0	0.4	82.0	0.4	162.6	0.7	532.2	0.2	7,254.3	0.4 T
Emerald shiner Fathead minnow	1,321.8	Q.2	706.2	0.5	1,101.5	1.0	115.5	ر o ۲	B6.1 11.2	i T					NA		3.0	T					122.4	т	3,456.5	0.2 T
Freshwater drum Gizzard shad Golden shiner	618,3 579,176.4 6.1	0.1 83,5 T	279.8 108,624.6	0.2 75.3	2,107.5 57,389.3	1.9 51.4	67,806.8 5.2	43.9 T	6,630.8	2.6	1,728.8	4.4	788.0	1.7	2,361.4	6.7	1,833.1	6.4	9,774.8	·53.4	10,826.7	49.0	200,296.0	66.1	3,005.0 1,047,236.7 12.3	56.7 T
Goldfish Lake chub Largemouth bass	218.5 245.8	Ť	40.8	т	383.2 146.4	0.3 0.1	16.8 94.5	0.1	37.4	T													33.2 28.5	Ţ	598.1 28.5	Ţ
Leponis sp. (sunfish) Longnose dace			17.9	Ť			,																1.3	T	1.3	Ţ
Longnose gar Oncorhynchus sc. (salmon) Rumpkinsand	2.4												865.7	1.9									131.3	י ۵.1	865.7	ţ
Rainbow smelt Rainbow trout	31,499.9	4.5	13.848.7	9.6	9,684.3	8.7	6,284.9	4.1	7,091.5	2.8	1,430.2	3.6	27.1	0.1	563.4	1.6	10,058.8	35.3	2,658,4	14.5	2,958.8	13.4	69,232.6 1,140.0	22.8	155.338.6	B.4 0.1
Rock bass Salvelings sp. (trout)	2,923.4	0.4	103.7	0.1	1,875.8	1.7	541.3	0.4	6.4	Ţ	1,190.5	3.0	11,461.6	25.2	3,307.5	9.3 0.6	480.2	1.7	1,398.7	7.6	144.1	0.7	193.5 188.3 1.015.4	0.1 0.1 0.3	22,215.6 4,807.7 3,177.5	1.2 0.3 0.2
Smallmouth bass Spottail shiner Stonecat	6,433.5 1,258.5 827.6	0.9 0.2 0.1	1,236.2 230.9 83.9	0.9	1,561.6 667.5	1.4 0.1	950.9 381.3 130.2	0.5 0.2 0.1	1,405.7 511.1 649.9	0.6 0.2 0.3	7,049.0 809.8 268.9	17.7 2.0 0.7	2,724.6 183.6 945.2	6.0 0.4 2.1	2,168.8 64.9 112.3	6,1 0,2 0,3	1,790.0 39.9 127.6	6.3 0.1 0.4	NA 352.5	1.9	45.4 337.6 247.0	0.2 1.5 1.1	1,791.8 1,458.5 263.5	0.5 0.5 0.1	27,159.5 6,296.1 3,656.1	1.5 0.3 0.2
Tessellated darter Threespine stickleback Trout-perch	4.5 3,614.4 482.1	0,5 0,1	6,968.6 117.2	4.8	7,534.6 393,3	6.7 D.4	25.5 48,648.8 871,6	T 31.5 0.6	261.8 8,365.4 4,149.8	0.1 3.3 1.7	349.4 8,326.1 679.6	0.9 21.0 1.7	37.9 230.7 32.6	0.1 0.5 0.1	11.4 1.6 9.4	T T T	0.8 28.2	T 0.1	8.4 78.7 23.0	0.1 0.4 0.1	12.5 14.1 15.7	0.1 0.1 0.1	39.7 107.7 84.8	T	83,821,7 6,910.2 715.4	4.5 0.4 T
White bass White perch White sucker Yellow perch Unidentified	24,651.2 19,990.8 1,024.5 3,165.4	3.6 2.9 0.1 0.5	2,254.1 5,148.3 26.6 515.3	1.6 3.6 T 0.4	6,124.B 15,695.2 1,290.B 471.5	5.5 14.1 1.2 0.4	211.3 22,860.6 2,087.5 527.4	0.1 14.8 1.4 0.4	267.1 22,145.8 8,414.2	0.) 8.3 3.3	407.3 NA 907.1	1.0 2.3	1,538.8 15,588.0 804.8	3.4 34.3 1.8	1,651.7 1,227.1 1,179.2	4.7 [.] 3.5 1.1	3,948.2 380.3	13.9 1.3	35.9 1,589.5 174,7	0.2 8.7 1.0	338.5 585.9 1,567.8	1.5 2.7 7.1	799.5 2,894.2 749.0 10,848.2	0.3 1.0 0.2 3.6	34,308.0 96,655.3 24,168.9 29,055.9	1.9 5.2 1.3 1.6
Total	693,609,2		144.175.9		111.659.6		154,294,9		251.440.8		39.714.1		NA 45.489.0		35.328.6		28.473.5		18.293.2		22,097.0		303,064.8	. '	1,847,640.6	

¹ includes tessellated and johnny darters, previously considered as subspecies and reported under the name of johnny darter in earlier Mine Wile Point studies.

MA = damaged specimen, weight not available.

.

Estimated Numbers and Biomass (g) of Fish Impinged at Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station Unit 1, Jan-Dec 1978

		Jan		Feb		Har		Apr		Мау		งันก		Jul		Aug		Sep		Oct		Nov		Dec		Total
Spec ies	Ho.	Wt	Ho.	WL	No.	Wt	No.	Wt	No.	Wt	No.	Wt.	No.	WL	No.	Wt	No.	WC	No.	¥٤	No.	Wt	No.	Wt	No.	WE
Alewife American cel Black craopie	17 17	68.4 14,426.2	2 9	16.1 3,191.3	22 13	168.3 6,877.1	140 8	659.8 2,735.8	11,324 11	417,930.0 1,522.3	1,975 9	28,874.5 3,254.5	143 5	3,540.9 2,873.9	138 2	174.1 1,623.4	810 9	1,942.4 4,034.1	806 5. 2	4,652.2	1,225	4,926.0 112.4	1,650	25,308.2	18,252 90 2	488,260.9 40,827.7 7.2
6)uegill Brook stickleback			2	2 1	,	4.2	28	48.8	,	9 1	,	23			2	359.8			-				36	66.3	38 41	426.1
Brown bullhead	2	24.3	•		ă	217.0	3	867.0	9	49.2	2	221.8	17	1,222.8	,	21 034 5	2	505.6			7	1,379.1	19	537.3	65	5,024.1
Surbot Central mudminnow Cisco (lake herring)	14	8,207.9	2	59.7	4	18.2 124.2	3 53	73.0 249.5	22	1,632.4 10.0	· 5	5,556.0	ì	10,732.0	19	30,285.2	12	15,861.9	2	211.3	2	4,153.8 7,2	7	48.4 178.1	68 68 15	76,773.2 333.3 502.3
Cottus sp. (sculpin) Cyprinidae (minners)	1,309	4,307.8	1,335	5,126.4	186	703.0	518	1,929.9	69) 2	2,315.0	127	323.5	67	163.3	26	70.1	69	233.1	83	195.3	138	375.2	370	1,269.1	4,919	17,011.9
Emerald shiner Fathead minnow	1,397	3,152.0	609	1,647.8	859	2,439.0	90 3	288.8	1ļ	190.7 24.8					2	NA	2	6.9					81	291.9	3,051 10	8,017.1 35.6
Freshnater drum Gizzard shad Golden shinar	14 5,170 2	1,474.4 3,381,115.6 14.5	7 1,398	652.9 253,457.0	4 244	4,666.6 127,076.6	235	169,517,0	22	14,682.5	7	3,989.5	2	1,879.1	,	5,631.0	5	4,230.2	67	23,309.2	122	24,984.7	2,889	477,629.8	25 10,167 5	6,793.9 2,487,502.2 30.0
Goldfish Lake club Largemuth bass	33	521.0 586.1	19	95.2	7	848.5	35	42.0 236.3	,	82.8													7	79.2	43 79 5	1,411.5 1,079.6 68.0
Leponis sp. (sunfish) Longnose dace			2	41.8				·															2	3.1	2	3.3 41.8
<u>Qncorhynchus</u> sp. (salao	n) _					. •							2	2,064.4										313.7	. 2	2,054.4
Pumpkinseed Rainbow smelt	7,819	5.7 75,115.3	3,453	32,313.6	1,592	21,443.8	1,138	15,712.3	1,630	15,702.6	861	3,300.5	21	64.6	725	1,343.5	6,708	23,212.6	594	6,339.3	448	6,828.0	34,877	363.2 165,093.4 2 719 5	59.866	368.9 366,469.5
Rainoow Crout Rock bass	93	6,971.2	53	242.0	38	4,153.6	3	1,353.3	,	14.2	12	2,747.3	136	27,331.6	26	7,872.8	5	1,108.2	2	3 335 4	5	332.5	74	461.4	415	52,573.9
Sea lamprey Smallmouth bass	10	870.6 15,341.5	27	361.4 2,884.5	4	3,457.8	3	2,377.3	24	352.5 3,114.8	25	16,266.9	17	2,381.0 6,497.1	2 19	546.3 5,171.8 154.8	16	4,130.8	2	NA RAD A	23 23	589.2 107.1 779.1	12 167	2,421.3	47 320	7,522.3
Stonecat	29	1,973.5	2	195.8	330	1,4/0.0	10	325.5	42	1.439.1	12	620.5	33	2,253.9	5	267.8	5	294.5	10	20.0	- 9	570.0 28.8	12	628.3	159	8,568.9
Threespine stickleback Trout-perch	6,224 198	8,619.0 1,149.6 978 9	10,817 40	16,260.0 273.5 513.6	11,381 221	16,683.8 <i>870.</i> 9	87,200 319	121,622.0 2,179.0	12,035	18,523.4 9,188.9	11,165 358	19,214.1	377 5	\$50.1 77,7	5	3.8	16	65.1	272 10	187.7 54.8	39 7	32.5 36.2	64 48	92.3 256.8 202.2	139,579 2,349	201,788.7
White bass White perch	4,414 3,405	58,783.7 47,670.5	378	5,259.6	2,090 2,090	13,562.1	28 560	528.3 57,151.5	4 388	591.4 49,037.2	7	939.9	17	3,669.5	26	3,938.7	51	9,111.2	5	85.6	39	781.2	124	1.906.5	5,550	80,631.6 226,053.3
Yellow perch Unidentified	486	7,548.3	14	1,202.4 MA	ń	1,044.0	45	1.568.5	7,316	18,631.5	83	2,093.3	24 5	1,919.1 H4	24	2,811.9	16	877.6	1	416.6	95	3,618.0	830	25,868.8	8,951 7	57,608.4 67,600.0 NA
Total	31,818	1,653,994.0	19,399	336,410.3	17,855	247,246.6	90,635	385,738.1	35,047	556,762.9	15,328	91,647.8	1,171	105,473.8	1.102	64,245.J	7,749	65,708.1	2,027	43,622.5	2.304	50,993.1	42,901	722,694.4	267,336	4,347,536.9
¹ Includes tessellated a	ind john	y darters, pr	eviously	considered	as subs	species and	reported	under the	name of	Johnny dart	êr															

NA = damaged specimen, weight not available.

H-18

Length Frequency of Fish Impinged at Nine Mile Point Nuclear Power Plant, Jan-Dec 1978 Alewife

Table H-6



science

services division

H-19

Length Frequency of Fish Impinged at Nine Mile Point Nuclear Power Plant, Jan-Dec 1978

Rainbow Smelt

Length Range (mm)	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JLY	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC
31~ 40		 T	1 2			-	<u></u>	6	2	,		
51- 60 61- 70	69 114	36 77	22 33		34	28	1	15	167 77	25	5	206
71 - 80 81 - 90 91 - 100	149 140 67	108	48	20 49 18	121	113 50 12	1	12 26	29 30 24	5	17 15 7	134
101-110 111-120	15 17 89	17 15 37		4 4	10			10			6 3 7	11 21 63
131- 140 141- 150	223 186	130 128	76	37	40 66	9 <u>13</u>	1	2	11 15	7 9	10	123
151- 160 161- 170 171- 180	109 48 36	79 38 25	76 44 39	53 · 51 19	64 49 20	. 6 6 4		1 1	15 8 2	10 3	18 15 11	58 28 23
131- 190 191- 200	21	17	. 9	13	11	1			3	5	9 ę	
201- 210 211- 220 221- 230	ĩ	ź	2	2	2	1			2	1	12	4
231- 240 241- 250 251- 260	<u>1</u>									16		1
231 230		-	-	÷								
								•				
,						•						
	•											
			. •									

H-20

Smallmouth Bass 'Jength Range (mm) FEB MAY JUN JLY AUG SEP 0CT NOV DEC MAR APR J A N 41 - 50 51 - 60 61 - 706 9 18 10 ī 4 4 1 1 $\begin{array}{r} 61 - 70 \\ 71 - 80 \\ 81 - 90 \\ 91 - 100 \\ 101 - 110 \end{array}$ 2 2 1 1 $\begin{array}{r} 101 - 110 \\ 111 - 120 \\ 121 - 130 \\ 131 - 140 \end{array}$ 1 $\begin{array}{c} 141 - 150 \\ 151 - 160 \\ 161 - 170 \\ 171 - 180 \\ 191 - 200 \\ 201 - 200 \\$ 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 3 1 1 1 1 3 1 1 1

H-21

all a second

provide state of the state

science services division

Table H-8

nan^a juuun

and second

personal and

per l'action

production and

er:------

Length Frequency of Fish Impinged at Nine Mile Point Nuclear Power Plant, Jan-Dec 1978

(1997)

....

procession and a second

Contract of the local division of the local











Length Frequency of Fish Impinged at Nine Mile Point Nuclear Power Plant, Jan-Dec 1978

providence and

parts water

pressie and and

544

A....

White Perch

Table H-10

(Alter and a 20)

perior.

H-23

para tapaca

and the second second

science services division

x

.

prostation courses

(mm)	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JLY	AUG	SEP	001	NOV	
31 - 40 41 - 50	1	1		•		1	1					
51- 60 61- 70	18		· · ·	1 5	42 265	20	·····					
71- 80 81- 90	56 27	1		13	. 73 2	7			1		1	
91 - 100 01 - 110	<u>25</u> 19	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	<u></u>	<u></u>	2	<u>k</u>					 1	
21 - 130 31 - 140	10	E .		1	2		1	1 2	1	1	28	
1- 150 51- 160	2		1	2			·				<u> </u>	
51- 170 71- 180	1 2	1	1	1	. 1	1 .	1	٦	1	I	/. 2 1	
$\frac{1-200}{1-210}$	3		<u>-</u>	1	2		<u> </u>	ī		1	i	
1- 220 1- 230	î 1	1	1	1			1 1					
1- 240	2			1			·					
51- 260 51- 270 71- 280	1	1						1	1			
31- 290 91- 300					·			I				
01- 310 11- 320												
21- 330 31- 340				-		1						
			• •				-		. ·			
				۰.								
		. •										
					•							·
							· · ·					
			•			÷ /						

Table H-11 Length Frequency of Fish Impinged at Nine Mile Point Nuclear Plant, Jan-Dec 1978

Yellow Perch

Numerical Abundance and Percent Composition of Impinged Fish Collected at James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant, Jan-Dec 1978

		Jan		Feb		Har	ļ	\pr	I	4a y		lun		Jul	· .	lug	:	Sep	0	Oct	N	av ¹	0)ec	To	tal
Species ·	No.	ĩ	No.	x	No.	z	No.	X	No.	ï	No.	x	No.	x	No.	2	No.	*	No.	x	No.	¥.	No.	×	No.	¥ -
Alewife American eel Black crappie Bluegill	14 1 1	0.1 T T			13	т	36 1	0.4 T	4,772	11.9 T	2,634	14.2 T	2,695	48.0 0.1 T	12,037	85.2 T	1,591	11.6 T	17 2	16.5 1.9	46	24.7	4,836	27.4 T	28,691 12 4	15.6 T T
Brown th Brook stickleback Brown bullhead Brown trout Burbot Carp Costral mutrices	1 9 -2 4 2	T T 0.1 T T T	2	т т	2	T T T	27 1	0.3 T	2 2 · 1	Ť T T	ו	т	15	T 0.1 T	3 8 5	T 0.1 T	2	т					4		1 30 24 21 12 5	
Channel catfish Cisco (lake herring) <u>Cottus</u> sp. (sculpin)	1 539	T T 3.1	218	3.1	15 1 53	T 0.1	118	0.3	1	T 0.4	64	0.3	123	2.2	27	0.2	21	0 2	· 6	5.8	10	5 4	1	Ť O 6	44 2 1	T T T O R
Cyprinidae (minnows) Emerald shiner Fathead minnow Freshwater drum	5 1,882 14	10.8	233	3.3 T	1 198	T 0.5	2 25 3	T 0.3 T	1 11 10	T T T	3	T			6	Ţ	13	0.1	6	5.8	10	5.4	39	0.2	2,416 13	T 1.3 T
Gizzard shad Golden shiner Goldfish	2,795 2 20	16.0 7 0.1	463 4	6.5 0.1	162 1	0.4 T	87 1	0.9 7 T	18 7 1	T 7 1	6	T	1	T	24	0.2	13	0.1			34	18.3	1 2,894 13	16.4 0.1	20 6,497 17	T 3.5 T
Lake chub Lake chubsucker Logperch	65	0.4	1	T	. 3	L.	3	Ť	4	Ť	1	T	4	0.1	4	т							1	т	81 1 4	T T
Longnose dace Longnose gar Northern pike Pirate perch	2 1	т т			1	Ť	1	T									2 2	T T					7	т	10 2 3	T T T
Pumpkinseed Rainbow smelt Rock bass <u>Salvelinus</u> sp. (trout) Sealamprev	5,451 56 6 2	31.2 0.3 T	1,490 2	20.9 T	578 9 1 2	1.5 T	434 2	4.3 T	1,538 10	3.8 T	3 1,109 18 1	T 6.0 0.1 T	225 72 1	4.0 1.3 T	8 988 236	0.1 7.0 1.7	11,609 4	84.9 T	53	51.5	60	32.3	27 8,457 120 19	0.2 48.0 0.7 0.1	38 31,992 529 28	T 17.4 0.3 T
Smallmouth bass Spottail shiner Stonecat Tadpole madtom	16 1,212 14 5	0.1 6.9 0.1 T	43	0.1	5 158 7	т 0.4 Т	2 74 1	1 0.7 T	10 134 11	T 0.3 T	15 84 1	0.1 0.5 T	9 155 6	0.2 2.8 0.1	314 251 4	2.2 1.8 T	46 259 7	0.3 1.9 0.1	4	3.9	4 18	2.1 9.7	57 342 7	0.3 1.9 T	478 2,732 58 5	0.3 1.5 T
Tessellated darter ¹ Threespine stickleback Trout-perch Walleye	10 2,844 35 11	0.1 16.3 0.2 0.1	4,294 9	T 60.2 0.1	38,178 23	96.2 0.1	8,903 65	89,0 0.7	92 28,769 597	0.2 71.8 1.5	188 14,130 270	1.0 76.1 1.5	604 1,175 406	10.8 20.9 7.2	14 6 51 3	0.1 T 0.4 T	4 7 36	T 0.1 0.3	5 4	4.9 3.9	2	1.1	2 36 14 6	T 0.2 0.1 T	917 98,347 1,510 20	0.5 53.4 0.8 T
White bass White perch White sucker Yellow perch	1,032 1,212 13 163	5.9 6.9 0.1 0.9	72 291 4 5	1.0 4.1 0.1 0.1	51 201 1 8	0.1 0.5 T T	7 166 2 11	0.1 1.7 T 0.1	288 1 3,680	0.6 T 9.2	_ 7 31	T 0.2	1 5 27 97	T 0.1 0.5 1.7	2 54 6 82	T 0.4 T 0.6	18 5 37	0.1 T 0.3	6	5.8	4 8	2.1 4.3	32 306 3 281	0.2 1.7 T 1.6	1,197 2,488 72 4,403	0.6 1.4 T 2.4
Tota I	17,444		7,134		39,677		10,002		40,064		18,567		5,617		14,135		13,680		103		186		17,635		184,244	i
No. fish/1000 m ^{3³}	0.845		0.390		1.927		0.500		1.399		0.680		0.200		0.504		0.666		0,011		0.023		0.946		0.741	

....

¹All circulating water pumps and traveling screens shut down on three sampling days, November 8, 10, and 13

²Includes tessellated and Johnny darters, previously considered as subspecies and reported under the name of Johnny darter in earlier Nine Mile Point studies.

³Number per 1000 m³ based on water volumes recorded on 401 Monthly Report for James A. FitzPatrick power station, and corrected for tempering during the winter months.

٠.

T = trace

Biomass (g) and Percent Composition of Impinged Fish Collected at James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant, Jan-Dec 1978

Species Wt Wt <t< th=""><th>HE 4 228,727.8 44.6 1.9 T 104.8 T</th><th>K Wt K .8 580,593.5 21.5 3,863.3 0.3 302 5 T</th></t<>	HE 4 228,727.8 44.6 1.9 T 104.8 T	K Wt K .8 580,593.5 21.5 3,863.3 0.3 302 5 T
Alewife 360.2 T 62.4 T 264.2 0.3 154,619.6 53.6 43,654.4 46.7 65,516.7 47.7 71,941.1 18.9 13,991.6 33.7 550.5 21.9 905.0 22.0 2 American sel Disk compared 8.1 T 201.0 0.7 3.4 T 244.2 0.5 213.9 0.2 2,103.8 0.6 71.4 0.2 1,050.0 41.8	228,727.8 44.8 1.9 T 104.8 T	.8 580,593.5 21.5 3,863.3 0.1 307 5 T
Anaritan eel 424,2 U.S 213,9 U.2 2,103,8 U.6 71,4 0.2 1,050,0 41,8	1.9 T 104.8 T	3,863.3 0.1 307 5 T
	104.8 T	
Blueg111. 218.3 T 7.4 T 138.9 0.1		468.5 T
adominin 530.8 i Brook, stickleback 0.5 T 37.7 T 2.1 T		40.6 T
Brown builhead 87.3 T 67.5 T 57.6 0.1 14.1 T 239.1 0.2 1,297.2 0.1 539.1 1.3	108.9 T	2,430.8 0.1
Burbot 102.1 T 38.7 T 4,378.4 1.1	1,494.2 0.3	3 6,013.4 0.2
Carp 242.3 T 60.3 T	2.5 T	305.} T
Channel castfish 0.9 T. 79.4 T	0.0	80.3 T
Cisco (labe herring) 36.2 T Contra en faculação I Stata 0.2 Sata 0.3 Ista 0.1 Sot 0.6 207.5 0.2 195.3 0.2 355.3 0.3 65.9 T 17.4 0.1 6.8 0.3 25.4 0.6	276 9 0 1	36.2 T 1 4 502 7 0 2
Cyprinidae (unidentified) 14.4 T RA - 5.4 T RA		19.8 T
Emerald shiner 4,257.0 0.6 487.4 0.2 458.8 0.2 50.3 T 19.0 T 2.6 T 7.6 T 33.6 0.1 31.2 1.2 Fathead abnow 5.2 T 23.9 T	140.9 T	5,488.4 0.2 30.1 T
Freshnater drum 70).4 0.1 664.0 0.3 1,113.0 0.6 1,141.9 1.1	1,367.7 0.3	3 4,988.0 0.2
Gizzand shad 652,474.9 197.3 1/9,152.4 185.1 595,551.1 52.0 38,109.5 57.0 11,481.4 4.0 2,697.4 2.9 923.7 3.7 9,045.0 2.4 3,141.7 7.6 1,048.4 25.5 1 © olden shheer 18.0 T 15.6 T 0.9 T	170,614.6 33.4 24.3 T	4 1,185,250.1 43.9 61.2 T
Goldfish 321.1 T 970.7 0.5 446.2 0.4 6.6 T		1,744.6 0.1
Lake chubsuckar 524.0 0.6 4.2 t Lake chubsuckar 524.0 0.6	7.8 T	422.3 T 524.0 T
Loggerch 24.2 T	<i>.</i>	24.8 T
Longnose date 52.4 1 17.2 1 Longnose dat 141.3 0.3	53.7 1	103.3 T
Northern pike B8.8 T 3,000.0 7.2		3,088.8 0.1
Purpakinsed 65.7 0.1 1,325.9 0.3	2.082.9 0.4	4 3,474.5 5.1
Rafnbow smeet 37,443.4 5.1 8,970.3 4.3 7,091.5 3.8 5,702.7 5.6 14,065.0 4.9 5,602.8 6.0 776.0 0.6 2,815.4 0.7 14,055.5 33.8 255.4 10.2 442.8 10.8	55,195.8 10.8	8 152,700.6 5.6
Allow basis $5_{1,2}(2,5,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,$	249.2 0.1	1 1,876.9 0.1
Saalamprey 109.0 7 126.8 0.1 53.2 T 13.2 T Swallamprey 109.0 7 126.8 0.1 53.2 T 3.2 T	757.4 0.)) 1,059.6 T
Spottail shiner 3,536.0 0.5 95.9 T 296.3 0.2 172.2 0.2 673.8 0.2 518.8 0.6 1.611.5 1.3 1.218.6 0.3 868.4 2.1 33.1 1.3 96.4 2.3	2,484.5 0.5	5 , 11,805.9 0.4
Stancerat 27.5 7 13.6 T 1.6 T 26.1 1 36.4 1 464.0 0.3 301.0 0.1 667.5 1.6 Tadon la madroma 13 4 T	296.5 0.1	1 1,634.2 0.1
Tessellated darter ¹ 9.0 T HA - 210.1 0.1 338.5 0.4 787.2 0.6 31.8 T 7.4 T 1.8 T	4.4 T	1,390.2 0.1
Threespine stickleback 3,802.5 0.5 6,258.3 3,0 62,222.7 33.5 15,102.2 14.8 54,073.9 18.7 23,959.9 25.6 1,732.2 1.3 1.8 T 1.7 T 4.0 0.2 Teach-new b 258.6 T 49.0 T 115.7 0.1 485.3 0.5 5,535.8 1.9 1.519.1 1.6 2,762.5 2.0 241.4 0.1 182.6 0.4 31.2 1.2	37.4 T	167,216.6 6.2
523.8 0.1 523.8 0.1	313.8 0.1	1 1,446.5 0,1
Hnite bass 13,645.8 1,8 1,024.4 0.5 1,195.9 0.6 142.9 0.1 427.9 0.1 427.9 0.3 388.1 0.1 Unites neuroph 8 101 3 1 2 273.6 1 1 6 340.0 3.4 16.4112 16.1 26.6588.5 9.3 625.9 0.7 551.4 0.4 6.347.5 1.7 213.7 0.5	1,335.3 0.3	3 18,152.7 0.7
mite sucker 228.1 7 176.2 0.1 24.2 T 344.8 0.3 159.4 0.1 18.416.5 13.4 3.332.1 0.9 1.358.3 3.3 549.3 21.9 876.8 21.3	908.8 0.2	2 26,372.5 1.0
Yellow perch 2,641.6 D.4 601.0 0.3 917.2 0.5 1,105.0 1.1 9,471.4 J.3 113.4 0.1 2,615.2 19 9,622.7 2.5 1,014.3 2.4 696.0 16.9 1	10,822.8 2.1	1 39,624,2 1,5
Total 747,794,7 208,001.0 185,601.1 102,011.8 288,430.2 91,572.3 137,236.9 381,202.1 41,488.3 2,510.5 4,114.6 51	510,831.7	2,702,797.2

-4

¹Includes tessellated and johnny darters, previously considered as subspecies and reported under the name of johnny darter in early Nine Mile Point studies.

Nine Mile

T = trace.

NA = damaged specimen, weight not available.

H-26

Estimated Numbers and Biomass (g) of Fish Impinged at James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant, Jan-Dec 1978

		Jan		Feb		Har		Apr		May		Jue		ນ່	,	ług		Sev		Oct		Nov		Dec		"ota!
Species	No.	Wt.	No.	WL	No.	WL .	No.	Wt	No.	at at	No.	Wt	No.	Wt	No.		No.	Wt	No.	. HL	No.	MC	tto .	WL	No.	3.
Alewife	32	358.9			29	138.2	90	560.5	10,567	342.372.6	6.079	100,740.8	6,427	156.232.4	28,704	171.552.2	3,672	32.288.3	3 4]	1,312.7	138	2,715.0	0 11.532	545,428.9	57.2]]	1,354,300.5
Black crappie Bluegill	ž	19.8 520.5					3	734.8	2 2	7.5 16.4	2	5/0.9	2	329.1	,	3,012.0	,			1,303.3			2 41	4.5 249.9	-7	766.8
Bowfin Brook stickleback Brown bullboard	2.	836.5 3.9				149 5	68	94.3	4	4.7			,	617.9	7	5.093.3	• •	1,244.1	ı				10	259.7	7.5 56	836.2 100.9 5,747.9
Brown trout Burbot		5,676.2	5	15,166.6	3	7,307.2 85.7	•		ż	1,781.6	3	1,453.8	12	41,265.9	19	48,759,1 10,440,5							2	1,373.1 1,563.1	49 28	122,816.8
Carp Central mudminnom Channel catfish		2.3	2	147.7	33 2	147.3 175.8	58	326.3	2	12.4			ć	•				•					ž	1.4	105	487.4
Cisco (lake herring) <u>Cottus</u> so. (sculpin)	1,2	36.3 3.613.6	509	1,376.1	117	364.9	295	1,488.6	372	1,566.6	148	453.0	295	871.1	64	157.1	29	86.3	3 14	16.2		80 76.	250	660.3	2,12	10,627.0 47.0
Emerald shiner Fathead pinnow	4,457	10,151.1	544	1,137.2	438	1,015.9	63 8	125.8	24 22	42.3	7	6.0			14	18.1	30	77,5	5 14	74.4	•		93	336.0	5;115 30	12,984.4
Freshwater drum Gizzard shad Goldon shinon	6,665	1,672.6	1,085 (B0, I	1,549.3 418.021.7	4 359	2,464.5 213,814.3	218 218	2.854.8 195,273.8	40	25,423.1	14	6,224.8	. 5	2,202.7	5?	21,568.?	30	7,250.1	ļ.		192	3,145.2	2 6,901 31	3,261.4 406,851.9 57.5	47 15,468 41	2,805,680.3
Lake chub Lake chubsucker	155	159.0	ž	8.2	,	53.4	8	85.0	9	67.3	z	1,209.2			10	12.0							2	18.6	195	4,197.6 1,001.5 1,209.2
Logperch Longnose dace Longnose gar	5	77.3			z	39.1							10	59.1			5	126 1					17	128,1	2	59.) 243.5
Northern pike Pirate perch	2	211,2		• •			3	32.3				161 4					5	6,923.1							7	7,134.9
Rainbow smelt Rainbow smelt	12,999 134	90.003.7 7,740.0	3,477 5	20,930.7 187.4	1,280 20	15,702.6 2,872.2	11,085	14,256.8 1,184.5	3,406	31,146.2 7,116.1	2,559	12,929,5	537 172	1,855.2 35,795.1	2,356	6,715.0 126,938.1	26,790 9	32,389.6 1,435.8	126	609.0	180	1.328.4	64 20.167 286	4,966.9 131,621.0 39,396.1	90 74,952 1,758	8,280.3 359,488.7 233,910.2
<u>Salvelinus</u> sp. (trout) Sea lamprey Smallmouth bass	14 5 - 75	119.2 259.9 23.969.5	2	295.2	2 4 11	31.2)17.8 8.944.6	35	33.0 2.174.9	22	14.581.1	2	25.2	2	3,792.6	749	459.062.7	106	3.603 4			12	66 P	45	594.2 1,806.1	<u> </u>	4,472,4
Spottail shiner Stonecat Temple madim	2.89	3,432.9 65.6 12 0	96	223.3	350 16	656.1 30.1	185 3	430.5	297 24	1,492.0	194	1,197.2 84.0	370 14	4,320.7	599 10	2,995.9	598 16	2.004.0 1,540.4	10	78.9	54	289.2	816	5.924.6 707.0	6,459 135	27,954.9
Tessellated darter ¹ Threespine stickloback	6.782	21.5 9,067.5	2 10,019 21	14,502.7	84,537	137,801.2	22.258	37,755.5	204 63,703	465.2 119,735.3 12,257,9	434 32.608	781.2 55,315.1	1,440 2,802	1,877,2	33 }4	75.8 4.3	9	17.1 3.9	12	9.5	6	5.4	5 86	10.5 89.2	12 2,157 222,837	32,6 3,253.9 378,514.8
Walleye White bass	2,46	1,437.7 32,540.0	169	2,390.3	113	2.648.1	. 18	357.3					2	1.002.3	75	1,263.4	83	421.4	10	12.0			33 14 76	748.3	3,279 47 2,543	25,815.6 3,449.4 43,047.7
White sucker White sucker Yellow perch	2,890 31 323	19,795.7 543.9 5,299.2	5/9 9 12	5,211.7 411.1 1,402.3	445 2 18	14,038.6 53.6 2,030.9	415 5 28	41,028.0 862.0 2,762.5	505 2 8,149	59,096.1 353.0 20,972.4	16 72	1,446.7 261.7	64 231	1,314.9 43,911.E 6,244.B	129 14 196	15,136.4 7,945.8 22,946.5	·42 12 95	493.2 3,134.5 2,340.7	14	1,309.9	12 24	2,639.4 2,088.0	730 7 670	32,747.7 2,167.1 25,808.3	5,863	190,309.0 63,322.9 93,157,3
?otal	41,595	1,783,206.2	36,646	495,335.1	87,854	410,974.8	25,014	255,030.1	88,712	638,668.1	42 .847	215,935.8	13,392	327.262.7	33,708	909,322.1	31.570	95,742.3	246	5,986.5	558	12,343.8	42.051	1,218,139.5	424 . 193	6.357,647.0
Includes tessellated and Nine Hile Point Studies	johany da	rters, previo	usly con	sidered as	subspec (es and repo	rted und	er the name	of john	ny darter i	in earlie	r			·											
T * trace.																										

Danaged specimen, weight not available.

÷

H-27

Length Frequency of Fish Impinged at James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant, Jan-Dec 1978

Alewife



H-28

Length Frequency of Fish Impinged at James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant, Jan-Dec 1978

Rainbow Smelt

Length	Range	2											
		LIAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JLY.	AUG	SEP	001	NOV	DEC
-31					1				19	- 5			T
	-20-	20			<u>_</u>						<u>}</u>		28_
21-	20	104	82	84		20	27	4	39	3//	8	5	127
61-	10	139	29	51	40	48	120	22	2	162	10	5	152
71-	80	125	91	53		139	201	35	1	17	2	7	155
81-	90	94	43	36	59	210	148	46	9	14		4	93
	100	41	22	12	16	57	54	26	21	10			23
191-	110	10 -	6	3	1	13	7	7	18	11			δ
111-	120	12	4	1.	4	2	3		6	5			16
121-	130	42	23	14	3	10	8	3	6	5		1	50
131-	140	118	29	34	18	53	28	2	1	6	1	1	102
141-	150	102	40	39	3.5	73	42	2		8			58
151-	160	63	34	58	53	104	33	2	2	10	2		22
161-	170	40	17	36	36	68	25	1	2	4		2	21
171-	180	21	19	20	29	41	11	1	-	3	1	ī	2
181-	190	16	- 7	1.3	12	9		-	1	•	-	ī ⁻	7
191-	200	7	2	2	2		4		ī	2		•	2
201-	210			2	3	2	1			<u> </u>			
211-	220	2		-	ī	ī	2			-	-	1	ī
221-	230	-	3	1	-	i	-					-	÷
231-	240	1	•	ī	1	-	1						1
241-	250	5		-	-		-						•
251-	260												
261-	270	-											
271-	280				1								
C11	200				+								

H-29

(mm)	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JLY	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	D
1- 50 51- 60 51- 70 71- 80								7 9 4	13		1	
31- 90 1 <u>1- 100 -</u>									2			
$\begin{array}{cccc} 1 - & 110 \\ 1 - & 120 \\ 1 - & 130 \\ 1 - & 140 \end{array}$	1 1				•							
$\begin{array}{c} 1 - 150 \\ 1 - 160 \\ 1 - 170 \\ 1 - 180 \end{array}$		<u></u>	<u></u>		<u></u>				<u></u>			
1- 190 1- 200					<u> </u>						····	
1 - 220 1 - 230 1 - 240 1 - 250					-	ì		2				
1 - 260 1 - 270 1 - 280 1 - 290	1				1	1 2	2	1 2 3				
$ \begin{array}{r} 1 - 300 \\ 1 - 310 \\ 1 - 320 \\ 1 - 330 \end{array} $	2		1	2	l	2	<u></u> 1		- <u></u>		<u> </u>	
$\begin{array}{r} 1 - 340 \\ 1 - 350 \\ 1 - 360 \\ 1 - 370 \end{array}$		·····		······	1	3				······	<u></u>	
1- 380 1- 390 1- 400			1		2			2 5 6				
$ \begin{array}{r} 1 - 410 \\ 1 - 420 \\ 1 - 430 \\ 1 - 440 \\ \end{array} $	1		1 2		1	1	3	.5 3	1			
$ \begin{array}{r} 1 - 450 \\ 1 - 450 \\ 1 - 470 \\ 1 - 480 \\ 1 - 490 \end{array} $												
<u>1-500</u> 1-510 1-520 1-530		. <u>.</u>	<u>.</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u></u>		1		<u></u>	<u></u>		
1+ 540 1- 550 1- 560							······································		u	<u>. </u>		
1- 570								1				

Table H-17 Length Frequency of Fish Impinged at James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant, Jan-Dec 1978

H-30

Length Frequency of Fish Impinged at James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant, Jan-Dec, 1978

Threespine Stickleback

Table H-18

(mm) JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JLY	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC
25- 26						······	1				
29- 30				·							
31- 32			1								
35-36 1							1				
37- 38								1			•
39- 40 2	3		1					<u> </u>	1		
41- 42 12	15	2	5	2		1		-	-		
45- 46 64	50 .	19	35	17	1	1		1	1		-
47~ 48 69	62	48	45	36	15	5.			1		5
$\frac{99-50-89}{51-52-116}$	98	94	80	51	35	23			-		2
53- 54 90	140	123	146	119	62· 104	39					
55- 56 96	159	185	170	207	146	71					4
57-58 49	90	108	115	162	158	53					1
61 - 62 22				138	168	42					
63- 64 6	23	13	34	75	75	16					
65- 66 16	8	16	20	44	50	14					
69~ 70 3	<u> </u>	8	6.	33	22	8		-			
71- 72 3	3	5	7	10	15	3			··	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
73- 74 1	3	1	9	4	- 9	ż			•		
75-76 2	2	3	4	4	5	- 1					
79- 80			. 4	1	. J						
81- 82		1	2		i						
83- 84					1				•		

H-31

science services division

4

Length Frequency of Fish Impinged at James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant, Jan-Dec 1978



Length Range (mm) $\begin{array}{c} (mn) \\ \hline 21 - 30 \\ \hline 31 - 40 \\ \hline 31 - 40 \\ \hline 51 - 60 \\ \hline 51 - 60 \\ \hline 71 - 80 \\ \hline 81 - 90 \\ \hline 101 - 100 \\ \hline 101 - 100 \\ \hline 11 - 10$ A 12 EE6 MAR APR MAY JUN JLY AUG SEP OCT кол DEC 115 142 175 29 36 4 2 10 33 64 32 19 19 19 28 24 25 5 18 4 $\begin{array}{r} 111 - 150\\ 151 - 160\\ 141 - 170\\ 171 - 180\\ 181 - 190\\ 191 - 200\\ 201 - 210\\ 211 - 220\\ 231 - 230\\ 231 - 240\\ 231 - 250\\ 251 - 250\\ \end{array}$ ż ì 8 18 16 <u>د</u> 15 q $\begin{array}{r} 231 - 250\\ 251 - 260\\ 261 - 260\\ 271 - 260\\ 251 - 290\\ 251 - 300\\ 301 - 310\\ 311 - 320\\ 321 - 330\\ 331 - 340 \end{array}$ 13 Т 2 Т . . 1 13 - 4 Sec.

H-32

Yellow Perch

Table H-20

(mm)	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JLY	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	18 26 25	1	1	1 1	38 265 54 1	1 15 3 3	57		2 2 3			1 6 15 14
$\begin{array}{r} 101 - 110 \\ 111 - 120 \\ 121 - 130 \\ 131 - 140 \\ 141 - 150 \end{array}$	22 23 4 2 1		1	1	1	· ·	8 3 2 1	1 3 3 4 4	3 2 4 3		1	2 4 11 16 11
$ \begin{array}{r} 151 - 160 \\ 161 - 170 \\ 171 - 180 \\ 181 - 190 \\ 191 - 200 \\ \end{array} $	1 1 1	1	1	1 1 1	1		1 3 3 1	4 2 1 5 1	1		1	11 5 7 2
201- 210 211- 220 221- 230 231- 240 241- 250	1	1		1			1 1 1 1 2	2 3 4 4 2	1		1	4 1 2 1
251- 260 261- 270 271- 280 281- 290 291- 300	1	1	1	1				4 2 3 1 1				1
301- 310 311- 320											<u></u>	. 1

H-33

Table H-21	
------------	--

Age Class Distribution of Rainbow Smelt Impinged during Winter (Jan-Mar) at James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant, 1978

		Male Total Le	ength (mm)		Female Total Le	ength (mm)	Sexes Combined Total Length (m			
Age Class	No.	Mean	Range	No.	Mean	Range	No.	Mean	Range	
0	Ò			0			0	<u> </u>		
I	2	76.0	7478]	125.Ò	· _ ·	6	82.5	60-125	
_ II)	5	141.0	122-160	0	_	-	9	120.0	77-160	
· III	5	151.6	120-173	4	187.5	180-197	9	167.6	120-197	
IV	٦	231.0	-	0	· · ·	_	· 1 ·	231 0	,,,	

*Includes fish of undetermined sex

science services division

H-34

Age Class Distribution of Rainbow Smelt Impinged during Spring (Apr-Jun) at James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant, 1978

		Male	. •		Female	Sexes Combined*					
		Total Le	ength (mm)		Total Le	ength (mm)		Total Length (mm)			
Age Class	No.	Mean	Range	No.	Mean	Range	No.	Mean	Range		
0	. 0	. —	-	Q			0		· · · · · ·		
I ·	7	114.9	86-147	. 3	104.3	84-132	13	105.6	82-147		
II	6	163.7	139-185	5	165.6	135-181	11	164.6	135-185		
III	1 ·	179.0		1	185.0		2	182.0	179-185		
IV	0	-	—	1	175.0	. ·	1	175.0	-		
V	0	-		0		-	0		· -		
VI	1	221.0	·	0	-	-	, 1	221.0			

*Includes fish of undetermined sex

H-35

Table H	I-23
---------	------

Age Class Distribution of Alewife Impinged during Summer (Jul-Sep) at James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant, 1978

		Male	· •		Female	•		Sexes Combined* Total Length (mm)		
		Total Le	ngth (mm)		Total Le	ngth (mm)	·.			
Age Class	No.	Mean	Range	No.	Mean	Range	No.	Mean	Range	
0	0	-	_	0	<u> </u>	—	6	58.2	53-65	
I.	1.	107.0		1	115.0	 .	6	101.3	87-115	
II	1	203.0		5	163.6	91-189	7	161.7	91-203	
III	0	_	· _ ·	2	174.5	169-180	3	177.3	169 -1 83	
IV	0	_	.—	3	189.0	171-202	3	189.0	171-202	

*Includes fish of undetermined sex

Age Class Distribution of Alewife and Rainbow Smelt Impinged during Fall (Oct-Dec) at James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plnat, 1978

	· ·	Male	· · ·		Female	Sexes Combined*				
		Total Le	ength (mm)		Total Le		Total Length (mm)			
Age Class No.		Mean	Range	No.	Mean	Range	No.	Mean	Range	
0	0		-	1	78.0		13	70.9	55-110	
I	0	~		1	132.0		1	132.0	-	
II	0		·	1	184.0	-	1	184.0	. —	
III	3	182.0	176-189	7	189.7	173-209	10	187.4	173-209	

ALEWIFE

RAINBOW SMELT

		Male			Female Total La	neth (mm)	-	Sexes Combined*				
Age Class	No.	Total Le <u>Mean</u>	Range	No.	Mean	Range	No.	Mean	Range			
0	0		-	0	_	-	5	84.4	77-90			
I	4	144.3	128-164	0	— ·	-	9	133.1	107-164			
II	1	174.0		4	171.3	162-186	5	171.8	162-186			
111	0			4	203.0	170-225	4	203.0	170-225			
IV	0		· _	2	234.0	228-240	2	234.0	228-240			

*Includes fish of undetermined sex

H-37

Table H-25 Fecundity of Selected Fish Species Collected in James A. FitzPatrick Impingement Samples during 1978

Species	Total Length (mm)	Weight	Yolk Eggs (no.)		<u>Species</u>	Total Length (mm)	Weight (g)	Yolk Eggs (no.)
White Perch	205	151.3	56,972		Rainbow smelt	133	11.3	7,099
· ·	205	159.6	53,868			134	16.5	12,775
	213	161.0	62,032			135	9.9	7,452
	217	188.6	53,400	·]		137	16.1	10,964
	224	194. 0	176,840			139	15.5	6,054
	234	232.7	120,740			139	16.8	10,843
	23 6	250.3	109,849			141	18.3	11,6/2
	242	261.0	127,648	. 1		142	15.4	8,703
	246	265.2	267,368			143	11.5	9,153
•	253	298.8	35,413	· · ·		144	18.7	9,025
•	255	285.3	62,860	1		151	22.5	15,910
	259	297.0	221,898	1		153	23./	15,500
	264	308.7	143,537	1		100	19.1	10,140
	275	354.6	69,388			150	23.0	19,770
	282	442.0	240,447			109	27.5	13,300
:	286	431.3	[3],/5/			101	20.2	17 107
	288	415.3	197,308			172	27.2	22 441
	291	398.8	100,279			1/2	20 5	22,941
	301	462.3	19/,100			180	39.5	26,051
	305	411.0	149,094			100	40.7 90.8	21,560
· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	000	405 3	E 20E			197	12 8	20,031
Smallmouth bass	209	403.3	7 574			191	30 2	26,714
1	212	440.9 545 0	10 026			208	72 7	30,663
1 .	334	545.9	7 200		-	224	77 7	24,500
•	209	724 2	15 000			b b 7		
	301	602 0	13,333		Vellow nerch	118	63.9	10.599
	301	580 0	12 304		rentow bench	151	227.1	41,013
	272	684 2	15,017			199	102.1	14,118
	302	1033 0	33,791	1		255	289.9	54.684
	392 All	1003.3	27 018			200	20010	
	411	1050.3	11 344					
	496	831.8	7.838					

H -38

APPENDIX J

enter a contra da la contra d

Property and the second

Same of the second

Antonia and

and the second s

And the second framework fram

ENTRAINMENT AND VIABILITY

• James A. FitzPatrick

- Phytoplankton
- Zooplankton

.

Table J-1 (Page 1 of 2)

Chlorophyll <u>a</u> Concentration^{*} in Whole Water Collections after 7-Hr Incubation Period, James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant, 1978

Da	te	Time	Intak Temp	e AT	Int	ake	Disc	narge	D/I	<u>3° Sia</u>	ulation.	3° 5/1	2° Si	mulatio	<u>n</u> 2° S/1
16 1		1155		10.0	1 52	3.2.	TREdit	3.E.	NAL10	mean	3.E.	Kat10	mean	5.E.	Katio
10 0	an	1155	0.8	19.0	1.52	0.03	1.34	0.20	0.88	1.81	0.15	1.19	1.38	0.00	0.91
16 J	an	2100	0.8	19.8	1.03	0.34	1.40	0.14	1.36	1.26	0.17	1.22	1.66	0.17	1.61
24 J	an	1045	0.9	21.0	1.25	0.11	1.14	0.04	0.91	1.27	0.22	1.02	1.16	0.02	0.93
24 J	an	2032	2.4	21.1	1.31	0.09	1.20	0.19	0.92	1.61	0.51	1.23	1.22	0.04	0.93
06 F	eb	1055	1.7	16.8	0.91	0.19	0.80	0.17	0.88	0.87	0.11	0.96	0.99	0.15	1.09
06 F	eb	2040	1.3	16.9	1.29	0.66	1.06	0.09	0.82	0.76	0.00	0.59	0.85	0.05	0.66
20 F	eb	1035	0.8	17.5	0.47	0.13	0.38	0.04	0.81	0.47	0.09	1.00	0.32	0.15	0.68
20 F	eb	2035	0.9	17.5	0.59	0.13	0.93	0.30	1.58	0.76	0.13	1.29	0.78	0.15	1.32
06 M	lar	1035	0.3	21.9	1.25	0.07	1.31	0.00	1.05	1.06	0.09	0.85	1.25	0.11	1.00
06 Ma	ar	2035	1.6	21.0	1.52	0.09	0.80	0.63	0.53	1.39	0.04	0.91	1.25	0.24	0.82
20 Ma	ar	1035	1.4	18.3	6.07	1.09	5.58	0.83	0.92	5.30	0.32	0.87	5.78	0.12	0.95
20 M	ar	2005	1.2	18.6	3.69	1.00	4.09	0.77	1.11	4.92	0.11	1.33	4.98	0.00	1.35
04 A	pr	1040	2.0	15.0	7.91	0.38	5.18	5.08	0.65	7.19	0.19	0.91	5.43	0.14	0.69
04 A	pr	1940	2.6	15.6	6.39	0.62	5.42	0.45	0.85	6.49	1.79	1.02	6.44	0.46	1.01
18 A	pr	1035	2.9	18.4	2.38	0.19	2.70	0.09	1.13	2.66	0.17	1.12	2.43	0.11	1.02
18 A	pr	2120	4.1	5.3	2.59	0.19	2.95	0.38	1.14	2.66	0.00	1.03	2.58	0.13	1.00
10 Ma	ay	1030	7.9	15.8	12.23	0.59	12.47	0.88	1.02	11.57	0.78	0.95	11.78	0.03	0.96
10 Ma	ay	2045	8.4	15.5	13.72	0.48	14.07	0.56	1.03	14.58	0.06	1.06	12.79	0.24	0.93
24 Ma	ay	1310	8.6	16.0	9.52	0.34	9.22	0.16	0.97	9.06	0.62	.95+	11.03	0.06	1.16*
24 Ma	av	2135	9.7	16.2	9.24	1.60	8.32	0.13	0.90	9.43	0.00	1.02	8.44	1.97	0.91
17 Ja	un	1130	11.9	13.7	6.01	0.03	4.78	0.29	0.80	5.21	1,15	0.87	4.94	1.15	0.82
.17 .h	un	2115	12.2	14.3	2.09	1 02	4.86	0.48	2 33	4.73	0.08	2.26	4.19	0.24	2.00
28 1	un	1400	16 /	16.2	5.07	0.64	2 80	0.06	0.57	7 03	0.46	1 20+	6 AF	0.05	1 27 +
20 00	411	0000	10.4	10.2	3.0/	0.04	2.09	0.00	0.5/	7.03	1.00	1.39	U,40 E 00	1.05	0.00
28 JL	un	2220	10.3	10.2	1.32	0.06	3.63	0.27	0.50	5.24	1.02	U./Z	5.00	1.85	0.08

*Nicrograms/liter

[†]Samples taken in actual discharge plume rather than simulated

J-1

Table J-1 (Page 2 of 2)

Intake Temp			Intake Discharge D/I					D/I 3° Simulation 3°S/I				2º Cimulation 20 C/1			
Date	Time	(°C)	∆T	Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.	Ratio	Mean	S.E.	Ratio	Mean	S.E.	Ratio	
12 Jul	1035	19.6	16.3	1.79	0.24	1.39	0.27	0.78	2.25	0.11	11.26+	1.26	0.89	.0.70+	
13 Jul	2145	18.8	16.0	1.74	0.14	1.90	0.08	1.09	0.99	0.11	0.57	1.77	0,06	1.02	
26 Jul	1250	22.7	14.5	2.14	0.00	1.79	0.24	0.84	1.90	0.14	0.89	2.70	0.08	1.26	
26 Jul	2145	21.9	15.5	0.96	0.00	0.80	0.16	0.83	1.23	0.00	1.28	0.94	0.03	0.98	
09 Aug	1115	22.6	14.7	4.38	0.48	4.14	0.24	0.95	2.51	0.21	0.57	2.83	0.64	,0 .65 †	
09 Aug	2135	22.5	15.0	3.71	0.67	3.58	0.38	0.96	4.22	0.70	1.14	7.83	0.67	2.11	
23 Aug	1155	23.6	12.5	3.84	0.64	4.58	0.17	1.19	5.74	0.47	1.49	5.11	0,50	1.33	
23 Aug	2100	24.6	12.4	3.64	0.77	4.11	0.24	1.13	3.64	0.57	1.00	4.41	1.21	1.21	
14 Sep	1055	5.8	10.8	0.61	0.06	0.86	0.19	1.41	0.97	0.17	1.59	0.70	0.49	1.15	
14 Sep	2031	5.3	10.8	0.61	0.06	* 0.82	0.02	1.34	0.74	0.02	1.21	0.53	0.02	0.87	
26 Sep	1051	13.9	0.5	7.35	0.34	7.08	0.14	0,96	7.28	0.34	0.99	7.64	0,70	1.04	
26 Sep	2030	14.8	0.6	4.91	0.10	4.08	0.54	0.83	5.21	0.34	1.06	4.64	0.17	0.95	
10 Oct	1030	14.2	0.4	4.17	0.17	3.93	0.14	0.94	3.28	0.08	0.79	3.72	0.46	0.89	
10 Oct	2035	14.6	0.2	4.01	0.11	3.58	0.22	0,89	2.80	0.08	0.70	3.58	0.48	0.89	
25 Oct	1035	11.8	0.4	2.93	0.27	3.78	1.04	1.29	4.09	1,31	1.40	3.58	0.42	1.22	
25 Oct	2028	12.0	0.4	2.41	0.05	2.45	0.34	1.02	2.36	0.72	0.98	2.43	0.03	1.01	
07 Nov	3140	11.3	1.4	4.99	0.43	4.31	0.20	0.86	7.26	0.05	1.45	4.64	0.08	0.93	
07 Nov	2045	11.4	1.5	3.86	0.21	4.86	0.20	1.26	3.70	0.30	0.96	2.43	2.33	0.63	
28 Nov	1000	7.3	0.1	2.57	0.38	2.32	0.55	0.90	2.70	0.25	1.05	2.15	0.21	0.84	
28 Nov	2028	6.1	0.4	1.88	0.07	2.55	0.32	1.36	2.55	0.40	1.36	1.65	0.17	0.88	
12 Dec	1105	4.0	11.6	2.78	0.42	2.57	0.04	0.92	2.83	0.09	1.02	2.77	0.11	1.00	
13 Dec	2109	2.2	12.4	3.64	0.04	3.53	1.85	0,97	4.09	0.65	1.12	4.21	0.77	1.16	
29 Dec	1100).B	15.6	2.37	0.23	2.71	0.17	1.14	2.54	1.81	1.07	3.61	0.27	1,52	
29 Dec	2110	2.6	16.5	2.94	0,40	2,84	0,04	0,97	3.77	1,30	1,28	3.94	1,60	1,34	

*Micrograms/liter

[†]Samples taken in actual discharge plume rather than simulated

J-2

science

services division

Table J-2 (Page 1 of 2)

Chlorophyll <u>a</u> Concentration^{*} in Whole Water Collections after 24-Hr Incubation Period, James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant, 1978

	Date	Time	Intake Time Temp (°C) Δ		Int	Intake		Discharge		3° Sir	ulation	3° 5/	1 2 ⁹ Si	2 ⁹ Simulation	
					Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.	Ratio	Mean	S.E.	Ratio	Nean	S.E.	Ratio
1	5 Jan	1155	0.8	19.8	1.35	0.03	1.29	0.26	0.96	0.89	0.03	0.66	1.12	0.20	0.83
1	6 Jan	2100	0.8	19.8	1.35	0.03	1.15	0.06	0.85	1.12	0.15	0.83	1.32	0.06	0.98
2	4 Jan	1045	0.9	21.0	1.40	0.09	1.12	0.02	0.80	1.35	0.09	0.96	1.42	0.07	1.01
24	1 Jan	2032	. 2.4	21.1	1.12	0.02	1.10	0.09	0.98	1.08	0.03	0.96	1.14	0.04	1.02
0	5 Feb	1055	1.7	16.8	0.76	0.09	0.64	0.34	0.84	1.06	0.05	1.39	0.72	0.30	0.95
00	5 Feb	2040	1.3	16.9	0.66	0.07	0.55	0.04	0.83	0.76	0.00	1.15	0.33	0.23	0.50
2() Feb	1035	0.8	17.5	0.82	0.15	0.97	0.17	1.18	1.16	0.15	.1.41	0.70	0.15	0.85
20) Feb	2035	0.9	17.5	0.42	0.21	0.59	0.13	1.40	0.51	0.13	1.21	0.47	0.26	1.12
00	5 Mar	1035	0.3	21.9	1.37	0.06	1.73	0.09	1.26	1.54	0.06	1.12	1.48	0.17	1.08
00	5 Mar	2035	1.6	21.0	1.08	0.15	0.74	0.19	0.69	1.46	0.24	1.35	1.26	0.00	1.17
20) Mar	1035	1.4	18.3	6.45	0.28	6.69	0.28	1.04	6.81	0.40	1.06	7.05	0.24	1.09
20) Mar	2005	1.2	18.6	7.33	0.12	5.89	0.76	0.80	6.57	0.40	0.90	7.69	0.64	1.05
04	l Apr	1040	2.0	15.0	9.05	0.03	8.23	0.38	0.91	9.03	0.38	1.00	8.60	0.54	0.95
04	l Apr	1940	2.6	15.6	9.67	0.59	10.90	1.29	1.13	9.14	0.70	0.95	14.02	1.52	1.45
18	8 Apr	1035	2.9	18.4	2.77	0.28	3.16	0.25	1.14	3.48	0.07	1.26	3.23	0.19	1.17
18	Apr	2120	4.1	5.3	2.91	0.04	2.55	0.23	0.88	3.31	0.32	1.14	2.13	0.15	0.73
10	May	1030	7.9	15.8	12.10	0.73	19.20	7.08	1.59	11.24	0.08	0.93	4.75	4.65	0.39
10	May	2045	8.4	15.5	15.28	0.86	12.66	1.29	0.83	13.75	3,34	0.90	15.22	0.37	1.00
.24	May	1310	8.6	16.0	9.65	0.59	7.86	0.59	0.81	10.45	0.96	1.08*	11.18	1.08	1.16+
24	May	2135	9.7	16.2	8.63	1.11	8.57	0.68	0.99	10.45	0.00	1.21	9.43	0.43	1.09
17	Jun	1130	11.9	13.7	5.74	0.35	4.41	0.30	0.77	5.05	0.35	0.88	4.57	0.30	0.80
<u></u> 17	Jun	2115	12.2	14.3	5.32	0.14	4.76	0.06	0.89	4.03	0.03	0.76	3.13	1.05	0.59
. 28	Jun	1400	16.4	16.2	4.30	0.67	2.54	0.03	0.59	5.87	0.16	1.37	4.65	1.18	1.08+
28	յսո	2220	16.3	16.2	5.26	0.40	3,12	0.08	0.59	4.36	0.30	0.83	3.96	0.54	0.75

*Micrograms/liter

*Samples taken in actual discharge plume rather than simulated

J-3

Table J-2 (Page 2 of 2)

			Intake Temp		Intake		Disch	Discharge		3° Simu	lation	3° 5/I	2* S10	mulation	2• S/I
	Date	Time	(°C)	⊿т	Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.	Ratio	Mean	S.E.	Ratio	Nean	S.E.	Ratio
•	12 Jul	1035	19.6	16.3	2.75	0.40	2.22	0.35	0.81	2.24	0.32	0.81+	1.39	0.00	0.51+
	13 Jul	2145	18.8	16.0	2.56	0.16	1.36	0.72	0.53	1.98	0.43	0.77	2.06	0.24	0.80
	· 26 Jul	1250	22.7	14.5	2.11	0.13	1.82	0.22	0.86	2.16	0.24	1.02	2.51	0.80	1.19
	26 Jul	2145	21.9	15.5	1.04	0.08	0.88	0.08	0.85	1.23	0.00	1.18	1.34	0.27	1.29
	09 Aug	1115	22.6	14.7	4.14	0.35	1.95	0.24	0.47	1.45	0.22	0.35+	1.58	0.19	0.38†
	09 Aug	2135	22.5	15.0	6.09	0.43	4.30	0.72	0.71	6.57	0.11	1.08	6.57	0.11	1.08
	23 Aug	1155	23.6	12.5	5.11	0.17	4.24	0.50	0.83	5.14	0,27	1.01	5.01	0.07	0.98
	23 Aug	2100	24.6	12.4	4.54	0.27	4.91	0.17	1.08	4.84	0.10	1.07	4.94	0.07	1.09
	14 Sep	1055	5.8	10.8	0.59	0.04	0.41	0.11	0.69	0.80	0.04	1.36	1.14	0.59	1.93
	14 Sep	2031	5.3	10.8	0.91	0.15	0.49	0.07	0.54	0.24	0,14	0.26	0.68	0.05	0.75
	26 Sep	1051	13.9	0.5	6.74	0.40	3.81*	*0.00	0.57	6.14	0,13	0.91	7.14	0.40	1.06
	26 Sep	2030	14.8	0.6	4.51	0.17	4.11	0.37	0.91	3.97	0.10	0.88	4.48	0.07	0.99
	10 Oct	1030	14.2	0.4	4.49	0.22	3.95	0.16	0.88	3.69	0.06	0.82	3.74	0.59	0.83
	10 Oct	2035	14.6	0.2	3.58	0.11	3.15	0.00	0.88	2.72	0.48	0.76	3.77	0.35	1.05
	25 Oct	1035	11.8	0.4	3.56	0.36	2.09	0.11	0,59	4.58	0.32	1.29	3.84	0.13	1.08
	25 Oct	2028	12.0	0.4	1.94	0.25	2.49	0.13	1.28	2.60	0.15	1.34	2.66	0.00	1.37
	07 Nov	1140	11.3	1.4	5.61	0.70	4.38	0.88	0.78	4.93	1,18	0.88	4.21	0.61	0.75
	07 Nov	2045	11.4	1.5	4.06	1.06	5.69	0.08	1.40	6.14	0.13	1.51	5.69	0.28	1.40
	28 Nov	1000	7.3	0.1	2.47	0.49	2.34	0.23	0.95	2.37	0.09	0.96	2.89	0.32	1.17
	28 Nov	2028	6.1	0.4	2.11	0.59	2.41	0.26	1.14	2,85	0.53	1.35	2.11	0.09	1.00
	12 Dec	1105	4.0	11.6	3.61	0.19	3.15	0.75	0.87	3.82	0.35	1.06	1.58	1.37	0.44
	13 Dec	2109	2.2	12.4	2.36	1.16	3.65	1.01	1.55	5.45	0.72	2.31	4.09	0.57	1.73
	-29 Dec	2110	2.6	15.6	3.11	0.37	2.31	0.10	0.74	2.27	0.13	2.30 0.73	1.54	0.03	0.73

*Micrograms/liter

**Sample broken during shipment [†]Samples taken in actual discharge plume rather than simulated

J-4

Table J-3 (Page 1 of 2)

Chlorophyll <u>a</u> Concentration^{*} in Whole Water Collections after 48-Hr Incubation Period James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant, 1978

Date		Time	Inták Temp	Intáke Temp		Intake		Discharge		D/I <u>3° Sim</u>		3° 5/1	L 2° SI	2° Simulation		
			(°C)	<u>Δ</u> Τ	Mean	\$.E.	Mean	S.E.	Ratio	Mean	S.E.	Ratio	Mean	S.E.	Ratio	
16	Jan	1155	0.8	19.8	1.63	0.14	1.69	0.03	1.04	1.66	0.06	1.02	1.29	0.32	0.79	
16	Jan.	2100	0.8	19.8	1.49	0.12	1.34	0.20	0.90	0.45	0.35	0.30	0.80	0.70	0.54	
24	Jan	1045	0.9	21.0	0.95	0.23	1.20	0.06	1.26	1.29	0.11	1.36	1.16	0.06	1.22	
24	Jan	2032	2.4	21.1	1.37	0.11	1.48	0.17	1.08	1.37	0.02	1.00	1.14	0.09	0.83	
06	Feb	1055	1.7	16.8	0.80	0.00	0.76	0.17	0.95	0.76	0.04	0.95	0.86	0.19	1.08	
06	Feb	2040	1.3	16.9	0.97	0.08	0.70	0.36	0.72	1.01	0.04	1.04	0.82	0.02	0.85	
20	Feb	1035	0.8	17.5	D.68	0.05	0.54	0.44	0.79	1.04	0,11	1.53	1.08	0.07	1.59	
20	Feb	2035	0.9	17.5	0.61	0.02	0.38	0.00	0.62	0.55	0.00	0.90	0.55	0.04	0.90	
06	Mar	1035	0.3	21.9	1.33	0.02	1.35	0.04	1.02	1.90	0.09	1.43	1.54	0.06	1.16	
06	Mar	2035	1.6	21.0	1.35	0.09	1.05	0.00	0.78	1.16	0.57	0.86	1.04	0.11	0.77	
20	Mar	1035	1.4	18.3	6.69	0.84	6.61	0.12	0.99	6.97	0.40	1.04	7.13	0.64	1.07	
20	Mar	2005	1.2	18.6	6.61	0.52	6.33	0.24	0.96	7.65	0.60	1.16	7.05	0.24	1.07	
04	Apr	1040	2.0	15.0	10.76	0.13	11.00	1.66	1.02	11.32	0.64	1.05	9.69	0.40	0.90	
04	Apr	1940	2.6	15.6	9.80	1.58	9.54	0.30	0.97	11.62	1.85	1.19	9.78	0.27	1.00	
18	Apr	1035	2.9	18.4	4.32	0.40	3.06	0.15	0.71	4.98	0.76	1.15	3.99	0.49	0.92	
18	Apr .	2120	4.1	5.3	3.31	0.15	2.96	0.30	0.89	2.64	0,32	0.80	2.38	0.02	0.72	
10	May	1030	7.9	15.8	10.63	0.16	12.58	1.05	1.18	11.40	0.88	1.07	11.03	0.46	1.04	
10	May	2045	8.4	15.5	3.03	0.45	4.97	4.87	1.64	12.63	1.79	4.17	12.63	4.09	4.17	
24	May	1310	8.6	16.0	8.94	0.56	8.29	0.16	0.93	10.94	3.67	1.32*	10.23	0.31	1.14+	
24	May	2135	9.7	16.2	6.75	4.04	6.04	1.97	0.89	9.40	0.40	1.39	10.23	1.48	1.52	
17	ปนท	1130	11.9	13.7	4.97	0.27	3,98	0.24	0.80	4.38	0.21	0.88	4.92	0.38	0.99	
17	Jun	2115	12.2	14.3	5.40	0.06	3.37	0.11	0.62	3.36	0.00	0.62	3.71	0.51	0.69	
28	Jun	1400	16.4	16.2	2.62	0.32	2.11	0.13	0.8	5.74	1.04	2.19†	5.07	0.48	1.94+	
28	Jun	2220	16.3	16.2	2.99	0.11	1.87	0.32	0.63	3.07	0.03	1.03	2.09	0.11	0.70	

*Hicrograms/liter

[†]Samples taken in actual discharge plume rather than simulated

-- 5

Table J-3 (Page 2 of 2)

			Intake		Inte		Disch	arne	D/1	3° Simu	lation	3° S/I	2* Sin	wlation	2• S/I
Dat	te 1	Time	(°C)	ΔT	Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.	Ratio	Mean	S.E.	Ratio	Nean	\$.E.	Ratio
12	Jul	1035	19.6	16.3	2.01	0.14	1.66	0.16	0.83	1.95	0.67	0.97+	1.36	0.19	0.68
13	Jul	2145	18.8	16.0	1.58	0,08	1.04	0.03	0.66	1.77	0.11	1.12	1.85	0.62	1.17
26	յոյ	1250	22.7	14.5	2.16	0.83	2.38	0.08	1.10	2.19	0.86	1.01	1.74	0.08	0.81
26	յոլ	2145	21.9	15.5	0.83	0.08	0.94	0.30	1.13	1.07	0.22	1.29	1.50	0.06	1.81
09 A	Aug	1115	22.6	14.7	3.15	0.11	3.63	0.11	1.15	1.55	0.05	0.49	2.38	0.08	0.76+
09 /	Aug	2135	22.5	15.0	4.62	0.13	3.66	0.72	0.79	4.62	0.35	1.00	3.90	0.06	0.84
23 /	Aug	1115	23.6	12.5	2.84	0.17	3.71	0.37	1.31	2.97	0.03	1.05	3.07	0.07	1.08
23 /	Aug 3	2100	24.6	12.4	3.68	0.54	4.91	0.37	1.33	3.67	0.27	1.00	3.74	0.67	1.02
14 :	Sep	1055	5.8	10.8	0.72	0.21	0.70	0.28	0.97	0.85	0.13	1.18	0.72	0.09	1.00
14 9	Sep 3	2031	5.3	10.8	1.12	0.11	0.70	0.03	0.63	0.45	0.35	0.40	0.49	0.19	0.44
26	Sep	1051	13.9	0.5	6.11	0.90	6.35	0.74	1.04	6.84	0.30	1.12	6.95	0.07	1.14
26	Sep	2030	14.8	0.6	3.67	0.07	3.84	0.17	1.05	3.57	0.17	0.97	4.44	0.37	1.21
10 0	oct	1030	14.2	0.4	4.83	0.24	4.17	0.43	0.86	3.66	0.78	0.76	4.41	0.35	0.91
10 0	Oct '	2035	14.6	0.2	3.61	0.14	3.85	0.06	1.07	3.45	0.57	0.96	3.66	0.24	1.01
25 (Oct	1035	11.8	0.4	4.54	0.87	2.87	0.34	0.63	4.16	0.45	0.92	3.25	0.55	0.72
25 (Nct :	2028	12.0	0.4	1.79	0.99	1.11	1.01	0.62	2.95	0.34	1.65	2.57	0.38	1.44
07	Nov	1140	11.3	1.4	4.34	0.08	5.79	1.18	1.33	4.41	0.76	1.02	4.46	1.26	1.03
07	Nov	2045	11.4	1.5	5.16	0.35	5.19	0.53	1.01	4.59	0.33	0.89	1.40	0.05	0.27
28	Nov	1000	7.3	0.1	2.05	0.28	1.60	0.00	0.78	2.58	0.09	1.26	1.82	0.13	0.89
28	Nov	2028	6.1	0.4	1.92	0.28	2.34	0.53	1.22	2.13	0.23	1.11	1.98	0.00	1.03
10	Der	1105	4.0	11 6	3 47	0 48	2.11	1.04	0.61	4.35	0,08	1,25	5.08	0,06	1.46
12	Dec	2100	4.0	12 /	3.04	0.32	2.08	0.32	0.68	2.84	0.76	0.93	2,40	0.00	0,79
13	Dec	1109	1.0	16.6	3 07	0.02	3 24	0 44	0.82	4.44	1.24	1.12	4.81	0.47	1.21
29	Dec	2110	1.0 2 E	10.0	2 71	0.10	2 87	0.67	1.06	3.84	0.37	1.42	2.74	0.34	1.01
29	Dec	¢110	2.0	10.0	£./I	0.04	2.07								

*Micrograms/liter

[†] Samples taken in actual discharge plume rather than simulated

J-6

Table J-4 (Page 1 of 2)

Chlorophyll <u>a</u> Concentration^{*} in Whole Water Collections after 72-Hr Incubation Period, James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant, 1978

Date	Time	Intake		Intake		Discl	arge	D/T	3° Simulation		3° 5/1	2° 51	milatio	n 2° S/I
		(°C)	ΔT	Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.	Ratio	Mean	S.E.	Ratio	Mean	S.E.	Ratio
16 Jan	1155	0.8	19.8	1.18	0.32	1.58	0.09	1.34	1.49	0.06	1.26	1.43	0.06	1.21
16 Jan	2100	0.8	19.8	0.95	0.32	1.29	0.15	1.36	1.18	0.09	1.24	1.43	0.29	1.51
24 Jan	1045	0.9	21.0	1.26	0.00	1.11	0.28	0.88	1.43	0.10	1.13	1.25	0.11	0.99
24 Jan	2032	2.4	21.1	1.58	0.15	1.31	0.13	0.83	1.54	0.02	0.97	1.46	0.15	0.92
06 Feb	1055	.1.7	16.8	1.06	0.47	1.25	0.28	1.18	0.74	0.19	0.70	0,64	0.13	0.60
06 Feb	2040	1.3	16.9	1.04	0.11	0.85	0.05	0.82	1.12	0.02	1.08	0.93	0.30	0.89
20 Feb	1035	0.8	17.5	0.99	0.15	0.91	0.15	0.92	0.70	0.32	0.71	1.04	0.28	1.05
20 Feb	2035	0.9	17.5	0.70	0.11	0.38	0.17	0.54	0.59	0.25	0.84	0.53	0.02	0.76
06 Mar	1035	0.3	21.9	1.88	0.07	1.50	0.02	0.80	1.52	0.26	0.81	1.41	0.19	0.75
06 Mar	2035	1.6	21.0	1.44	0.05	0.72	0.21	0.50	1.16	0.19	0.81	1.29	0.07	0.90
20 Mar	1035	1.4	18.3	7.25	0.20	6.89	0.96	0.95	7.41	1.08	1.02	8.05	0.20	1.11
20 Mar	2005	1.2	18.6	5.29	1.12	6.69	0.36	1.26	6.45	0.44	1.22	5.89	0.52	1.11
04 Apr	1040	2.0	15.0	8.81	0.16	8.49	0.27	0.96	8,41	1,52	0.95	8.20	0.62	0.93
04 Apr	1940	2.6	15.6	10.20	0.48	11.67	0.46	1.14	8.71	0.11	0.85	9.56	0.22	0.94
18 Apr	1035	2.9	18.4	3.44	0.32	2.97	0.36	0.86	3.65	0.19	1.06	3.56	0.19	1.03
18 Apr	2120	4.1	5.3	2.66	0.26	2.17	0.19	0.82	2.95	0.04	1.11 ·	2.55	0.06	0.96
10 May	1030	7.9	15.8	16.07	3.95	15.11	6.73	0.94	18.96	0.48	1.18	20.27	1.47	1.26
10 May	2045	8.4	15.5	14.85	2.14	15.86	0.43	1.07	15.92	1.34	1.07	13.59	1.95	0.92
24 Mav	1310	8.6	16.0	6:69	0.90	8.44	0.49	1.26	8.97	1.39	1.34 [†]	10.66	0.80	1.59
24 May	2135	9.7	16.2	13.47	0.10	7.89	1.17	0.59	9.06	0.43	0.67	13.37	1.36	0.99
24 1443	2100		1012		0.40	4 00	0.00	0.05	3 03	0.09	0.94	4.41	0.19	1.05
17 Jun	1130	11.9	13.7	4.19	0.40	4.00	0.00	0.90	- J. JJ	0.05	0 01	3 31	0.75	0.72
17 Jun	2115	12.2	14.3	4.57	0.14	3.63	0.27	0.79	4.14	1.00	1 051	3.82	0 30	1.66†
28 Jun	1400	16.4	16.2	2.30	0.80	2,03	0.37	0.88	4.49	1.02	1.30,	- J.UC	0.16	0.89
28 Jun	2220	16.3	16.2	2.40	0.00	2.40	0.16	1.00	1.98	U.64	0.83	2.14	U. 10	0.05

*Micrograms/liter

[†]Samples taken in actual discharge plume rather than simulated

science services division

J-7

Table J-4 (Page 2 of 2)

Date	Time	Intake Temp (°C)	Δτ	<u>Inta</u> Mean	s.E.	Disch Mean	arge S.E.	D/I Ratio	<u>3° Sim</u> Mean	ulation S.E.	3°5/I Ratio	<u>2° Sin</u> Mean	ulation S.E.	2° S/I Ratio
12 Jul	1035	19.6	16.3	1.79	0.13	1.82	0.22	1.02	1.61	0.11	0.90*	1.04	0.13	0.58+
13 Jul	2145	18,8	16.0	1.52	0.40	2.06	0.30	1.36	1.98	0.11	1.30	1.74	0.41	1.14
26 Jul	1250	22.7	14.5	1.07	0.16	1.53	0.03	1.43	1.01	0.00	0.94	1.26	0.14	1.18
26 Jul	2145	21.9	15.5	0.64	0.11	0.72	0.08	1.13	0.62	0.19	0.97	0.56	0.08	0.88
09 Aug	1115	22.6	14.7	4.22	0.48	4.27	0.27	1.01	1.69	0.19	0.40+	2.22	0.56	0.53
09 Aug	2135	22.5	15.0	3.15	0.69	3.44	0.24	1.09	3.50	0.24	1.11	2.89	0.75	0.92
23 Aug	1155	23.6	12.5	3.27	0.20	3,54	0.60	1.08	3.51	0.04	1.07	3.77	0.30	1.15
23 Aug	2100	24.6	12.4	3.04	0.37	3.07	0.33	1.01	3.31	0.04	1,09	2.74	0.40	0.90
14 Sep	1055	5.8	10.8	0.53	0.11	0.68	0.22	1.28	0.49	0.11	0.92	0.78	0.19	1.47
14 Sep	2031	5.3	10.8	0.54	0.44	0.74	0.11	1.37	0.12	0.02	0.22	0.61	0.02	1.13
26 Sep	1051	13.9	0.5	6.24	0.43	5.01	0.07	0.80	5.74	0.53	0.92	6.31	0.17	1.01
26 Sep	2030	14.8	0.6	4.04	1.10	2.54	0.07	0.63	2.47	0.07	0.61	2.91	0.57	0.72
10 Oct	1030	14.2	0.4	4.41	0.08	3.93	0.35	0.89	2.86	0.03	0.65	3.61	0.46	0.82
10 Oct	2035	14.6	0.2	3.34	0.08	2.70	0.51	0.81	1.39	1.02	0.42	3.47	0.21	1.04
25 Oct	1035	11.8	0.4	2.43	0.03	3.38	0.34	1.39	5.27	2.57	2.17	3.29	0.42	1.35
25 Oct	2028	12.0	0.4	2.49	0.09	2.81	D.24	1.13	2.76	0.53	1.11	2.87	0.21	1.15
07 Nov	1140	11.3	1.4	3.71	0.86	3.08	0.23	0.83	2.75	0.75	0.74	3.63	0.48	0.98
07 Nov	2045	11.4	1.5	5.64	0,93	4,91	0.20	0.87	5.09	0.63	0.90	5,29	0.73	0.94
28 Nov	1000	7.3	0.1	1.96	0.40	1.44	0.05	0.73	2.91	0.30	1.48	2.55	0.32	1.30
28 Nov	2028	6.1	0.4	2.03	0.30	2.43	0.15	1.20	1.69	0.26	0.83	2.74	0.17	1.35
12 Dec	1105	4.0	11.6	4.78	0.51	4.14	1.15	0.87	5.32	0.19	1.11	3,69	0.33	0.77
13 Dec	2109	2.2	12.4	3.80	0.04	3.16	0.60	0.83	3.48	0.36	0.92	3.64	0,28	0.96
29 Dec	1100	1.8	15.6	3.17	0,50	4.08	0.14	1.29	3.54	0.74	1.12	5.78	0,57	1.82
29 Dec	2110	2.6	16.5	2.94	0.07	2.54	0.60	0.86	2.67	0.40	0.91	3,00	0.20	1.20

*Micrograms/liter. *Samples taken in actual discharge plume rather than simulated

J-8

Table J-5 (Page 1 of 2)

Phaeophytin <u>a</u> Concentration^{*} in Whole Water Collections after 7-Hr Incubation Period, James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant, 1978

Date	Time	Intak	e	Intake		Disci	Discharge D/I		3° Simulation		3° 5/1	2° 51	mulation	2° 5/1
		(°C)	ΔΤ	Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.	Ratio	Mean	S.E.	Ratio	Mean	\$.E.	Ratio
16 Jar	n 1155	0.8	19.8	1.19	0.03	0.76	0.26	0.64	1.12	0.06	0.94	0.65	0.40	0.55
16 Jar	1 2100	0.8	19.8	1.62	0.47	1.00	0.22	0.62	1.29	0.48	0.80	1.41	0.45	0.87
24 Jar	1045	0.9	21.0	0.46	0.03	0.59	0.06	1.28	0.45	0.07	0.98	0.51	0.08	1. 11
24 Jar	2032	2.4	21.1	0.23	0.01	0.50	0.21	2.17	0.42	0.23	1.83	0.48	0.09	2.09
06 Feb	1055	1.7	16.8	0.17	0.07	0.15	0.05	0.88	<0.10	0.00	0.59	<0.10	0.00	0.59
06 Fet	2040	1.3	16.9	0.37	0.27	<0.10	0.00	0.27	0.47	0.05	1.27	0.35	0.03	0.95
20 Fet	1035	0.8	17.5	0.62	0.09	0.66	0.08	1.06	0.56	0.13	0.90	0.59	0.08	0.95
20 Feb	2035	0.9	17.5	0.42	0.19	0.25	0.15	0.60	0.38	0.03	0.90	0.31	0.00	0.74
06 Mar	1035	0.3	21.9	0.10	0.00	0.10	0.00	1.00	0.10	0.00	1.00	0.10	0.00	1.00
06 Mar	2035	1.6	21.0	0.10	0.00	0.85	0.75	8.50	0.10	0.00	1.00	0.31	0.21	3.10
20 Mar	1035	1.4	18.3	0.51	0.41	0.54	0.44	1.06	0.41	0.31	0.80	0.20	0.10	0.39
20 Mar	2005	1.2	18.6	0.85	0.75	1.52	1.22	1.79	0.31	0.10	0.36	0.11	0.01	0.13
04 Apr	1040	2.0	15.0	⊲0.10	0.00	2.10	2.00	21.00	<0.10	0.00	1.00	0.68	0.58	6.80
04 Apr	1940	2.6	15.6	⊲0.10	0.00	0.32	0.02	3.20	0.43	0.33	4.30	<0.10	0.00	1.00
18 Apr	1035	2.9	18.4	<0.10	0.00	<0.10	0.00	1.00	0.11	0.01	1.10	0.22	0.12	2.20
18 Apr	2120	4.1	5.3	<0.10	0.00	<0.10	0.00	1.00	<0.10	0.00	1.00	0.16	0.03	1.60
10 May	1030	7.9	15.8	3.05	0.82	1.03	0.66	0.34	1.69	0.23	0.55	1.68	0.59	0.55
10 May	2045	8.4	15.5	3.42	0.02	2.17	0,54	0.63	1.20	0.51	0.35	3.47	0.65	1.01
24 May	1310	8.6	16.0	0.55	0.06	0.52	0.09	0.95	0.24	-0.14	0.44	<0.10	0.00	0.18†
24 May	2135	9.7	16.2	1.95	1.85	1.53	1.43	0.78	1.60	0.24	0.82	1.39	1.29	0.71
17 Jun	1130	11.9	13.7	0.14	0.04	<0.1 0	0.00	0.71	0.57	0.47	4.07	0.89	0.79	6.36
17 Jun	2115	12.2	14.3	3.71	3.11	0.11	0.01	0.03	0.57	0.25	0.15	0.93	0.13	0.25
28 Jun	1400	16.4	16.2	1.21	0.34	1.75	0.20	1.45	0.75	0.18	0.62+	1.16	0.95	0.96†
28 Jun	2220	16.3	16.2	0.72	0.21	1.56	0.23	2.17	1.63	0.93	2.26	2.93	2,83	4.07

*Micrograms/liter

*Samples taken in actual discharge plume rather than simulated

J-9
Table J-5 (Page 2 of 2)

		Intake Temp		Inte	ake ·	Disch	arge	D/I	· <u>3</u> ° Sin	wlation	3• S/I	2° 51	mulation	2º S/1
Date	Time	(°C)	ΔT	Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.	Ratio	Mean	S.E.	Ratio	Mean	S.E.	Ratio
12 Ju1	1035	19.6	16.3	1.20	0.36	0.56	0.35	0.47	1.03	0.10	0.86 *	0.56	0.22	0.47 *
13 Jul	2145	18.8	16.0	0.49	0.00	0.70	0.09	1.43	0.61	0.42	1.24	0.95	0.30	1.94
26 Jul	1250	22.7	14.5	0.70	0.00	0.72	0.06	1.03	0.71	0.04	1.01	1.14	0.03	1.63
26 Ju1	2145	21.9	15.5	0.61	0.08	0.69	0.01	1.13	0,38	0.04	0.62	0.62	0.12	1.02
09 Aug	1115	22.6	14.7	1.96	0.44	1.58	0.10	0.81	0.71	0.09	0.36 [†]	1.13	0.37	0.58
09 Aug	.2135	22.5	15.0	1.54	0.77	1.40	0.12	0.91	1.73	0.58	1.12	3.38	0.02	2.19
23 Aug	1155	23.6	12.5	0.81	0.04	1.20	0.05	1.48	0.83	0.59	1.02	1.67	0.36	2.06
23 Aug	2100	24.6	12.4	0.66	0.07	0.66	0.14	1.00	1.13	0.34	1.71	1.21	1.11	1.83
14 Sep	1055	5.8	10.8	0.19	0.09	<0.10	0.00	0.53	0.12	0.02	0.63	0.23	0.13	1.21
14 Sep	2031	5.3	10.8	0.29	0.19	<0,10	0.00	0.34	0.14	0.04	0.48	0.32	0.03	1.10
26 Sep	1051	13.9	0.5	0.67	0.34	0.60	0.50	0.90	1.23	0.57	1.84	0.53	0.43	0.79
26 Sep	2030	14.8	0.6	0.59	0.08	0.98	0.87	1.66	0.33	0.02	0.56	0.81	0.15	1.37
10 Oct	1030	14.2	0.4	1.13	0.01	1.20	0.17	1.06	1.24	0.01	1.10	1.30	0.11	1.15
10 Oct	2035	14.6	0.2	0.97	0.22	1.28	0.29	1.32	1.48	0.21	1.53	0.91	0.15	0.94
25 Oct	1035	11.8	0.4	1.28	0.01	0.26	0.16	0.20	0.56	0.46	0.44	0.60	0.50	0.47
25 Oct	2028	12.0	0.4	0.68	0.06	0.53	0.43	0.78	0.90	0.76	1.32	0.91	0.14	1.34
07 Nov	1140	11.3	1.4	0.65	0.55	1.29	0.15	1.98	0.10	0.00	0.15	2.17	0.04	3.34
07 Nov	2045	11.4	1.5	2.07	0.99	0.57	0.47	0.28	0,86	0.30	0.42	3,59	2.56	1.73
28 Nov	1000	7.3	0.1	<0.10	0.00	0.67	0.57	6.70	0.49	0.14	4.90	0.73	0.49	7.30
28 Nov	2028	6.1	0.4	1.43	0.30	0,28	0.18	0,20	0,89	0.62	0.62	1.82	0.30	1.27
12 Dec	1105	4.0	11.6	0.88	0.32	1.31	0.27	1.49	1,15	0.13	1.31	1.25	0,49	1.42
13 Dec	2109	2.2	12.4	1.32	0.01	2.44	2.34	1.85	2,64	0.48	2,00	2,55	0.40	1,93
20 Dec	1100	1.8	15.6	0.15	0.05	0.68	0.58	4,53	1.69	1.59	11.27	0,83	0.22	5,53
29 080	0011	1.0	16.5	0,10	0 14	0.95	0.13	2.64	0.88	0.11	2.44	0,68	0.58	1,89
ZA DEC	2110	2.0	10.0	0.00	0.14	0.00				/				

*Micrograms/liter.

[†]Samples taken in actual discharge plume rather than simulated

Table J-6 (Page 1 of 2)

Phaeophytin <u>a</u> Concentration^{*} in Whole Water Collections after 24-Hr Incubation Period, James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant, 1978

 . D	ate -	Time	Intake	•	Inte		Dicci		D/T	3° Sim	ulation	2° 5/1	20 51	mulatio	2° 5/1
		1 1425	(°C)	ΔT	Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.	Ratio	Mean	S.E.	Ratio	Mean	S.E.	Ratio
16	i Jan	1155	0.8	19.8	0.82	0.05	0.62	0.37	0.76	0.36	0.11	0.44	0.71	0.26	0.87
16	Jan	2100	0.8	19.8	0.74	0.05	1.08	0.08	1.46	0,67	0.12	0.91	0.97	0.10	1.31
24	Jan	1045	0.9	21.0	0.46	0.11	0.44	0.13	0.96	0.39	0.12	0.85	0.49	0.02	1.07
24	Jan	2032	2.4	21.1	0.30	0.02	0.42	0.10	1.40	0.30	0.03	1.00	0.33	0.03	1.10
06	Feb	1055	1.7	16.8	0.22	0.03	0.35	0.25	1.59	<0.10	0.00	0.45	0.31	0.21	1.41
06	Feb	2040	1.3	16.9	<0.10	0.00	0.11	0.01	1.10	<0.10	0.00	1.00	0.48	0.38	4.80
20	Feb	1035	0.8	17.5	0.29	0.19	0.49	0.05	1.69	<0.10	0.00	0.34	0.70	0.39	2.41
20	Feb	2035	0.9	17.5	0.73	0.27	0.62	0.16	0.85	0.62	0.13	0.85	0.66	0.23	0.90
06	Mar	1035	0.3	21.9	0.15	0.05	<0.10	0.00	0.67	<0.10	0.00	0.67	<0.10	0.00	0.67
06	Mar	2035	1.6	21.0	0.16	0.06	0.52	0.42	3.25	<0.10	0.00	0.63	<0.10	0.00	0.63
20	Mar	1035	1.4	18.3	0.25	0.15	0.35	0.03	1.40	0.20	0.10	0.80	0.24	0.07	0.96
20	Mar	2005	1.2	18.6	0.48	0.38	0.15	0.05	0.31	1.45	0.46	3.02	0.54	0.44	1.13
04	Apr	1040	2.0	15.0	<0.10	0.00	<0.10	0.00	1.00	<0.10	0.00	1.00	<0.10	0.00	1.00
04	Apr	1940	2.6	15.6	<0.10	0.00	<0.10	0.00	1.00	0.10	0.00	1.00	<0.10	0.00	1.00 j
18	Apr ·	1035	2.9	18.4	<0.10	0.00	<0.10	0.00	1.00	0.13	0.03	1.30	<0.10	0.00	1.00
18	Apr	2120	4.1	5.3	<0.10	0.00	<0.10	0.00	1.00	<0.10	0.00	1.00	0.41	0.30	4.10
10	May	1030	7.9	15.8	2.02	0.46	0.98	0.88	0.49	4.09	2.51	2.02	12.72	11.61	6.30
10	May	2045	8.4	15.5	4.54	0.41	.3.79	0.46	0.83	3.99	1.98	0.88	3.12	1.03	0.69
24	May	1310	8.6	16.0	0.84	0.54	1.85	0.37	2.20	<0.10	0.00	0.12+	1.30	0.02	1.55+
24	May	2135	9.7	16.2	1.43	1.31	0.99	0.64	0.69	1.46	0.05	1.02	1,36	0.26	0.95
17	Jun	1130	11.9	13.7	0.36	0.26	0.77	0.40	2.14	0.73	0.22	2.03	0.40	0.30	1.11
17	Jun	2115	12.2	14.3	0.63	0:13	0.17	0.07	0.27	0.72	0,18	1.14	1.76	1.25	2.79
28	Jun	1400	16.4	16.2	0.99	0.57	1.19	0.07	.1.20	1.73	0.44	1.75	2.76	0.06	2.79†
28	Jun	2220	16.3	16.2	0.40	0.30	0.38	0.28	0.95	1.43	0.24	3.58	1.83	0.35	4.58

*Nicrograms/liter

[†]Samples taken in actual discharge plume rather than simulated

J-11

Table J-6 (Page 2 of 2)

		Intake Temp	•	Int	ake	Disch	arge	D/1	3° Sim	lation	3*5/1	20 54	wilation	20 5/1
Date	Time	(°C)	ΔT	Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.	Ratio	Mean	S.E.	Ratio	Mean	S.E.	Ratio
12 Jul	1035	19.6	16.3	1.05	0.15	0.72	0.12	0.69	1.07	0.01	· 1.02 ⁺	0.84	0.25	0.80 [†]
13 Jul	2145	18,8	16.0	0.75	0.07	0.75	0.34	1.00	0.79	0.06	1.05	0.81	0.12	1.08
26 Jul	1250	22.7	14.5	0.90	0.04	0.60	0.07	0.67	0.59	0.23	0.66	0.69	0.30	0.77
26 Jul	2145	21.9	15.5	0.51	0.16	0.52	0.02	1.02	0.48	0.06	0.94	0.18	0.10	0.35
09 Aug	1115	22.6	14.7	1.66	0.16	0.44	0.21	0.27	0.61	0.31	0.37 +	0.91	0.06	0.55
09 Aug	2135	22.5	15.0	2.44	1.11	2.15	0.42	0,88	2.37	1.01	0.97	2.22	0.56	0.91
23 Aug	1155	23.6	12.5	0.88	0.03	0.88	0.20	1.00	0.54	0.44	0,61	0.88	0.11	1.00
23 Aug	2100	24.6	12.4	0.91	0.25	0.33	0.07	0.36	0.60	0.45	0.66	0.53	0.40	0.58
14 Sep	1055.	5.8	10.8	0.16	0.06	0.25	0.02	1.56	0.31	0.09	1.94	<0.10	0.00	0.63
14 Sep	2031	5.3	10.8	<0.10	0.00	0.14	0.04	1.40	0.93	0.48	9.30	<0.10	0.00	1.00
26 Sep	1051	13.9	0.5	0.88	0.17	0.47	0.00	0.53	1.20	0.70	1.36	0.89	0.26	1.01
26 Sep	2030	14.8	0.6	0.73	0.31	0.64	0.25	0.88	0.77	0.06	1.05	0.71	0.02	0.97
10 Oct	1030	14.2	0.4	1.27	0.14	1.34	0.33	1.06	0.30	0.08	0.24	1.10	0.03	0.87
10 Oct	2035	14.6	0.2	1.21	0.45	0.94	0.02	0.78	0.70	0.17	0.58	0.66	0.56	0.55
25 Oct	1035	11.8	0.4	0.78	0.30	1.24	0.36	1.59	<0.10	0.00	0.13	0.41	0.31	0.53
25 Oct	2028	12.0	0.4	1.18	0.33	0.63	0.32	0.53	0.57	0.06	0.48	0.52	0.40	0.44
07 Nov	1140	11.3	1.4	0.51	0.41	1.16	0.88	2.27	1.33	1.23	2.61	1.96	0.18	3.84
07 Nov	2045	11.4	1.5	1.61	1.46	<0.10	0.00	0.06	<0.10	0.00	0.06	0.70	0.60	0.43
28 Nov	1000	7.3	0.1	0.72	0.57	0.47	0.37	0.65	0.80	0.01	1.11 -	0.42	0.32	0.58
28 Nov	2028	6.1	0.4	0.44	0.34	0.70	0.26	1.59	0.49	0.39	1.11	1.39	0.14	3.16
12 Dec	1105	4.0	11.6	0.71	0.13	0.72	0,62	1.01	0.92	0,20	1.30	2.56	1.24	3,61
13 Dec	2109	2.2	12.4	3,95	1.59	2.36	0.84	0.60	1.40	0.67	0.35	2.59	1.07	0.66
29 Dec	1100	1.8	15.6	0,59	0.08	1,89	0.31	3,20	0.54	0.44	0.92	2.18	0.36	3,69
29 Dec	2110	2.6	16.5	0.29	0.19	1.58	0.63	5.45	1.03	0.50	3.55	1.72	0.28	5.93

*Micrograms/liter

**Sample broken during shipment

[†]Samples taken in actual discharge plume rather than simulated

J-12

Table J-7 (Page 1 of 2)

Phaeophytin <u>a</u> Concentration^{*} in Whole Water Collections after 48-Hr Incubation Period, James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant, 1978

Date	Time	Intak Temp	e	Inta	ike	Disc	harge	D/I	3°Sim	ulation	3° 5/I	2° Si	mulatio	n 2° S/I
		(°C)	ΔT	Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.	Ratio	Mean	S.E.	Ratio	Mean	S.E.	Ratio
16 Jan	1155	0.8	19.8	0.76	0.25	0.66	0.01	0.87	0.65	0.01	0.86	1.06	0.46	1.39
16 Jan	2100	0.8	19.8	0.96	0.29	1.14	0.08	1.19	2.82	1.26	2.94	2.34	1.54	2.44
24 Jan	1045	0.9	21.0	0.23	0.13	0.32	0.17	1.39	0.24	0.06	1.04	0.36	0.01	1.57
24 Jan	2032	2.4	21.1	0.42	0.01	0.44	0.08	1.05	0.23	0.13	0.55	0.55	0.12	1.31
06 Feb	1055	1.7	16.8	0.50	0.12	0.64	0.30	1.28	0.53	0.21	1.06	0.39	0.26	0,78
06 Feb	2040	1.3	16.9	0.13	0.03	0.28	0.18	2.15	<0.10	0.00	0.77	0.13	0.03	1.00
20 Feb	1035	0.8	17.5	0.45	0.35	1.02	0.75	2.27	0.28	0.09	0.62	0.26	0.10	0.58
20 Feb	2035	0.9	17.5	0.36	0.11	0.57	0.12	1.58	0.53	0.02	1.47	0.63	0.07	1.75
06 Mar	1035	0.3	21.9	0.31	0.09	0.14	0.04	0.45	0.10	0.00	0.32	0.11	0.01	0,35
06 Mar	2035	1.6	21.0	<0.10	0.00	<0.10	0.00	1.00	0.55	0.45	5.50	0.43	0.15	4.30
20 Mar	1035	1.4	18.3	0.10	0.00	0.40	0.16	4.00	0.71	0.40	7.10	0.18	0.08	1.80
20 Mar	2005	1.2	18.6	3.21	2.71	1.21	0.18	0.38	0.87	0.21	0.27	0.33	0.05	0.10
04 Apr	1040	2.0	15.0	<0.10	0.00	<0.10	0.00	1.00	<0.10	0.00	1.00	<0.10	0.00	1.00
04 Apr	1940	2.6	15.6	<0.10	0.00	<0.10	0.00	1.00	<0.10	0.00	1.00	<0.10	0.00	1.00
18 Apr	1035	2.9	18.4	<0.10	0.00	<0.10	0.00	1.00	<0.10	0.00	1.00	<0.10	0.00	1.00
18 Apr	2120	4.1	5.3	<0.10	0.00	<0.10	0.00	1.00	<0.10	0.00	1.00	0.16	0.05	1.60
10 May	1030	7.9	15.8	5.13	0.91	4.79	0.02	0.93	5.76	2.64	1.12	3.57	0.40	0.70
10 May	2045	8.4	15.5	3.66	1.08	16.20	10.63	4.43	1.26	0.48	0.34	1.56	1.46	0.43
24 May	1310	8.6	16.0	1.27	0.20	1.66	0.22	1.31	0,39	0.29	0.31+	1.35	0.46	1.06+
24 May	2135	9.7	16.2	4.25	3.00	2.87	2.34	0.68	1.69	0.57	0.40	1.82	1.72	0.43
17 Jun	1130	11.9	13.7	0.41	0.31	0.87	0.19	2.12	0.97	0.14	2.37	0.68	0.58	1.66
17 Jun	2115	12.2	14.3	<0.10	0.00	<0.10	0.00	1.00	0.31	0.21	3.10	0.26	0.16	2.60
28 Jun	1400	16.4	16.2	1.38	0.02	1.52	0.06	1.10	1.44	0.48	1.04	0.62	0.55	0.45
28 Jun	2220	16.3	16.2	1.67	0.39	1.11	0.12	0.66	1.58	0.12	0.95	1.66	0.27	0.99

*Micrograms/liter

*Samples taken in actual discharge plume rather than simulated

J-13

Table J-7 (Page 2 of 2)

			7.4.4												
			Temp	2	Int	ake	Disch	arge	D/I ·	37 Simu	lation	3°S/I	2° Sim	wlation	20 S/I
Di	ate	Time	(°C)	ΔT	Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.	Ratio	Mean	S.E.	Ratio	Nean	S.E.	Ratio
12	Jul	1035	19.6	16.3	0.31	0.21	0.65	0.07	2.10	0.59	0.49	1.90+	0.62	0.26	2.00+
13	Jul	2145	18.8	16.0	0.54	0.03	0.51	0.03	0.94	0.50	0.06	0.93	1.67	0.91	3.09
26	ปนไ	1250	22.7	14.5	0.81	0.03	0.60	0.09	0.74	0.69	0.16	0.85	1.01	0.20	1.25
26	Jul	2145	21.9	15.5	0.33	0.12	0.42	0.32	1.27	0.50	0.12	1.52	0.51	0.01	1.55
09	Aug	1115	22.6	14.7	1.13	0.29	1.28	0.36	1.13	0.84	0.05	0.74†	1.35	0.14	,1.19+
09	Aug	2135	22.5	15.0	2.21	0.23	1.52	0.27	0.69	2.52	0.03	1.14	2.05	0.06	0.93
23	Aug	1155	23.6	12.5	1.04	0.16	0.81	0.21	0.78	0.53	0.22	0.51	0.97	0.23	0.93
23	Aug	2100	24.6	12.4	1.12	0.15	1.22	0.42	1.09	0.72	0.27	0.64	0.81	0.71	0.72
14	Sep	1055	5.8	10.8	<0.10	0.00	0,15	0.05	1.50	0.47	0.12	4.70	0.23	0.03	2.30
14	Sep	2031	5.3	10.8	<0.10	0.00	<0.10	0.00	1.00	0.60	0.49	6.00	0.29	0.19	2.90
26	Sep	1051	13.9	0.5	0.85	0.20	0.43	0.33	0.51	0.80	0.19	0.94	1.03	0.29	1.21
26	Sep	2030	14.8	0.6	1.10	0.08	0.77	0.24	0.70	1.12	0.05	1.02	0.52	0.06	0.47
10	0ct	1030	14.2	0.4	1.08	0.28	1.28	0.19	1.19	1.22	0.49	1.13	0.64	0,36	0.59
10	0ct	2035	14.6	0.2	0.76	0.12	1.00	0.46	1.32	0.52	0.41	0.68	0.36	0.15	0.47
25	0ct	1035	11.8	0.4	0.31	0.21	0.72	0.06	2.32	0.63	0.53	2.03	0.92	0.55	2.97
25	0ct	2028	12.0	0.4	1.30	1.04	2.82	1.89	2.17	0.59	0.49	0.45	0.82	0.23	0.63
07	Nov	1140	11.3	1.4	1.40	0.09	0.59	0.49	0.42	1.87	0.26	1.34	1.87	0.88	1.34
07	Nov	2045	11.4	1.5	0.79	0.69	1.15	0.13	1.46	1.01	0.45	1.28	4.12	0.85	5.22
28	Nov	1000	7.3	0.1	0.54	0.01	0.82	0.12	1.52	0.86	0.12	1.59	1.19	0.06	2.20
28	Νον	2028	6.1	0.4	0.90	0.11	0.50	0.40	0.56	0.77	0.21	0.86	0.72	0.16	0.80
12	Dec	1105	4.0	11.6	0.72	0.01	1.85	0.93	2.57	0.53	0.43	0.74	1.19	0.12	1.65
13	Dec	2109	2.2	12.4	0.91	0.04	1.62	0.30	1.78	0.94	0.45	1.03	1.10	0.31	1.21
29	Dec	1100	1.8	15.6	0.66	0.37	1.25	0.02	1.89	0.89	0.36	1.35	1.44	0.17	2.18
29	Dec	2110	2.6	16.5	0.69	0.20	1,90	0.39	2.75	0.88	0.32	1.28	1.28	0.24	1.86

*Micrograms/liter

⁺Samples taken in actual discharge plume rather than simulated

J-14

Table J-8 (Page 1 of 2)

Phaeophytin <u>a</u> Concentration^{*} in Whole Water Collections after 72-Hr Incubation Period, James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant, 1978

D	ate	Time	Intak Temp	e .	Int	ake	Disc	harge	D/I	3° Sta	ulation	3° 5/1	2° 51		m 2° ≤/ĭ
			(°C)	<u></u>	Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.	Ratio	Mean	S.E.	Ratio	Mean	S.E.	Ratio
16	Jan	1155	0.8	19.8	0.65	0.27	0.93	0.05	1.43	0.86	0.08	1.32	1.00	0.16	1.54
16	Jan	2100	0.8	19.8	0.22	0.12	0.46	0.36	2.09	1.29	0.13	5,86	0.92	0.06	4.18
24	Jan	1045	0.9	21.0	0.35	0.14	0.37	0.09	1.06	0.39	0.14	1.11	0.41	0.10	1.17
24	Jan	2032	2.4	21.1	0.15	0.05	0.29	0.08	1.93	0.21	0.11	1.40	0.69	0.11	4.60
06	Feb	1055	1.7	16.8	0.19	0.09	<0.10	0.00	0.53	0.19	0.06	1.00	0.26	0.16	1.37
06	Feb	2040	1.3	16.9	0.27	0.14	0.26	0.06	0.96	0.17	0.07	0.63	0.62	0.46	2.30
20	Feb	1035	0.8	17.5	0.52	0.18	0.56	0.17	1.08	0.74	0.16	1.42	0.61	0.32	1.17
20	Feb	2035	0.9	17.5	0.55	0.17	0.62	0.11	1.13	0.48	0.26	0.87	0.63	0.01	1.15
06	Mar	1035	0.3.	21.9	<0.10	0.00	<0.10	0.00	1.00	<0.10	0.00	1.00	<0.10	0.00	1.00
06	Mar	2035	1.6	21.0	0.12	0.02	0.65	0.54	5.42	0.12	0.02	1.00	0.15	0.05	1.25
20	Mar	1035	1.4	18.3	0.71	0.37	1.77	1.55	2,49	1.37	0.78	1,93	0.30	0.20	0.42
20	Mar	2005	1.2	18.6	0.32	0.00	0.17	0.07	0.53	0.54	0.04	1.69	1.04	0.94	3.25
04	Apr	1040	.2.0	15.0	<0.10	0.00	<0.10	0.00	1.00	0.35	0.25	3,50	0.24	0.14	2.40
04	Apr	1940	2.6	15.6	<0.10	0.00	<0.10	0.00	1.00	<0.10	0.00	1.00	<0.10	0.00	1.00
18	Apr	1035	2.9	18.4	0.19	0.09	0.15	0.05	0.79	<0.10	0.00	0,53	<0.10	0.00	0.53
18	Apr	2120	4.1	5.3	<0.10	0.00	<0.10	0.00	1.00	0.13	0.03	1,30	0.17	0.01	1.70
10	May	1030	7.9	15.8	3.13	3.03	5.24	5.14	1.67	2.93	2.18	0.94	<0.10	0.00	0.03
10	May	2045	8.4	15.5	4.63	1.50	1.39	0.48	0.30	2.52	0.22	0.54	2.90	0.35	0.63
24	May	1310	8.6	16.0	1.73	0.81	0.88	0.45	0.51	<0.10	0.00	0.06†	1.18	0.77	0.68 [†]
24	May	2135	9.7	16.2	<0.10	0.00	0.64	0.54	6.40	1.68	1.12	16,80	<0.10	0.00	1.00
17 .	Jun	1130	11.9	13.7	0.62	0.52	0.31	0.21	0.50	0.24	0.10	0.39	0.19	0.09	0.31
17 .	ปนท	2115	12.2	14.3	0.18	0.05	0.65	0.06	3.61	0.30	0.11	1,67	0.30	0.20	1.67
28 .	Jun	1400	16.4	16.2	1.26	0.13	0.37	0.12	. 0.29	0.90	0.57	0.71+	1.85	0.17	1.47 [†]
28 、)นท	2220	16.3	16.2	1.37	0.00	1.32	0,05	0,96	1.88	0.35	1.37 ,	1,72	0.14	1.26

Micrograms/liter

*Samples taken in actual discharge plume rather than simulated

J~15

Table J-8 (Page 2 of 2)

		Intake	•	Int	ako	Nisc	harge	n/1	3° Sim	ulation	3°5/1	2° Sia	wlation	2. 5/1
te	Time	(°C)	ΔT	Mean	\$.E.	Mean	S.E.	Ratio	Mean	S.E.	Ratio	Hean	S.E.	Ratio
Jul	1035	19.6	16.3	0.40	0.04	0.45	0.35	1.13	0.76	0.01	1.90 ⁺	0.55	0,08	1.38
ปนไ	2145	18.8	16.0	0.59	0.23	0.67	0.22	1.14	0.59	0.13	1.00	0.51	0.13	0.86
Jul	1250	22.7	14.5	0.19	0.09	0.46	0.10	2.42	0.48	0.15	2.53	0.56	0.04	2.95
յոյ	2145	21.9	15.5	0.22	0.08	0.27	0,10	1.23	0.21	0.11	0.95	0.32	0.18	1.45
Aug	1115	22,6	14.7	2.36	0.03	1.04	0.08	0.44	1.48	0.17	0.63†	1.19	0.27	0.50+
Aug	2135	22.5	15.0	3.90	1.76	1.45	0.06	0.37	1.31	0.23	0.34	1.66	0.28	0.43
Aug	1155	23.6	12.5	0.54	0.13	0.44	0.14	0.81	0.75	0.11	1.39	0.28	0.18	0.52
Aug	2100	24.6	12.4	0.73	0.30	1.11	0.08	1.52	0.35	0.25	0.48	1.05	0.50	3.44
Sep	1055	5.8	10.8	0.20	0.10	<0.10	0.00	0.50	1.27	0, 36	6.35	< 0.10	0.00	0.50
Sep	2031	5.3	10.8	0.35	0.25	<0.10	0.00	0.29	1.32	1.21	3.77	0.13	0.01	0.37
Sep	1051	13.9	0.5	1.07	0.46	1.00	0.09	0.93	1.81	0.33	1.69	1.22	0.36	1.14
Sep	2030	14.8	0.6	0.38	0.28	0.74	0.07	1.95	<0.10	0.00	0.26	0.29	0.19	0.76
Oct	1030	14.2	0.4	0.31	0.15	0.60	0.20	1.94	0.73	0.03	2.35	0.83	0.10	2.68
Oct	2035	14,6	0.2	0,79	0.20	0.99	0.57	1.25	2.11	1.00	2.67	0.78	0.26	0.99
Oct	1035	11.8	0.4	1.93	0.20	0.72	0.62	0.37	0.50	0.40	0.26	0.85	0.37	0.44
Oct	2028	12.0	0.4	0.88	0.38	0.29	0.19	0.33	0.68	0.58	0.77	0.32	0.22	0.36
Nov	1140	11.3	1.4	0.33	0.23	0.45	0.35	1.36	0.63	0.18	1.91	0.53	0.15	1.61
Nov	2045	11.4	1.5	0.82	0.72	0.41	0.31	0,50	1.00	0.90	1.22	<0.10	0.00	0.13
Nov	1000	7.3	0.1	0.86	0.51	0.96	0.16	1.12	0.52	0.41	0.60	0.68	0.39	0.79
Nov	2028	6,1	0.4	1.54	0.70	0.81	0.05	0.53	2.09	0.34	1.36	0.36	0,26	0.23
Dec	1105	4.0	11.6	1.07	0,52	1.45	0.46	1.36	0.42	0.32	0,39	Q.54	0,44	0.50
Dec	2109	2.2	12.4	2,56	0.01	2.47	0,10	0.96	2.07	0.08	0,81	2.05	0.03	0.80
Dec	1100	1.8	15.6	0.55	0.11	0.69	0.32	1.25	1.30	0.23	2.36	0.42	0,32	0,76
Dec	2110	2,6	16.5	0,82	0,05	1.41	0.39	1,72	. 1.19	0.20	1.45	1.20	0,13	1.46
	te Jul Jul Jul Jul Aug Aug Aug Sep Sep Oct Sep Oct Oct Oct Nov Nov Nov Nov Nov Dec Dec Dec Dec	te Time Jul 1035 Jul 2145 Jul 2145 Jul 2145 Jul 2145 Aug 1115 Aug 2135 Aug 1155 Aug 2100 Sep 1055 Sep 2030 Oct 2030 Oct 2035 Oct 2035 Oct 2028 Nov 1000 Nov 2028 Dec 1105 Dec 2100 Dec 1100 Dec 2100	Intake Temp te Time (°C) Jul 1035 19.6 Jul 2145 18.8 Jul 2145 21.9 Aug 1115 22.7 Jul 2145 21.9 Aug 1115 22.6 Aug 1155 23.6 Aug 2100 24.6 Sep 1055 5.8 Sep 2031 5.3 Sep 1051 13.9 Sep 2030 14.8 Oct 2035 14.6 Oct 2035 11.4 Nov 2045 11.4 Nov 2028 6.1 Dec 1105 4.0 Dec 2109 2.2 Dec 1100 1.8 Dec 2110 2.6	Intake Temp Temp Temp te Time (°C) ΔT Jul 1035 19.6 16.3 Jul 2145 18.8 16.0 Jul 2145 21.9 15.5 Aug 2145 21.9 15.5 Aug 1115 22.6 14.7 Aug 2135 22.5 15.0 Aug 1155 23.6 12.4 Sep 1055 5.8 10.8 Sep 1051 13.9 0.5 Sep 2031 5.3 10.8 Sep 2031 14.8 0.6 Oct 2035 14.6 0.2 Oct 2035 14.6 0.2 Oct 2028 12.0 0.4 Nov 1140 11.3 1.4 Nov 2028 6.1 0.4 Nov 2028 6.1 0.4 Dec 1105 4.0	Intake Temp Int (°C) AT Mean Jul 1035 19.6 16.3 0.40 Jul 2145 18.8 16.0 0.59 Jul 2145 18.8 16.0 0.59 Jul 2145 21.9 15.5 0.22 Aug 1115 22.6 14.7 2.36 Aug 2135 22.5 15.0 3.90 Aug 2100 24.6 12.4 0.73 Sep 1055 5.8 10.8 0.20 Sep 2031 5.3 10.8 0.35 Sep 1051 13.9 0.5 1.07 Sep 2030 14.8 0.6 0.38 Oct 1035 11.8 0.4 1.93 Oct 2028 12.0 0.4 0.88 Nov 140 11.3 1.4 0.33 Nov 2028 6.1 0.4 1.54 <	Intake Temp Intake Temp Intake Mean Intake S.E. Jul 1035 19.6 16.3 0.40 0.04 Jul 2145 18.8 16.0 0.59 0.23 Jul 2145 18.8 16.0 0.59 0.23 Jul 2145 22.7 14.5 0.19 0.09 Jul 2145 21.9 15.5 0.22 0.08 Aug 1115 22.6 14.7 2.36 0.03 Aug 2135 22.5 15.0 3.90 1.76 Aug 1155 23.6 12.5 0.54 0.13 Aug 2100 24.6 12.4 0.73 0.30 Sep 2031 5.3 10.8 0.20 0.10 Sep 2030 14.8 0.6 0.38 0.28 Oct 1030 14.2 0.4 0.31 0.15 Oct 2035 14.6 0.2 0.79<	Intake Temp Intake Temp Intake Mean Disc S.E. Jul 1035 19.6 16.3 0.40 0.04 0.45 Jul 2145 18.8 16.0 0.59 0.23 0.67 Jul 2145 18.8 16.0 0.59 0.23 0.67 Jul 2145 21.9 15.5 0.22 0.08 0.27 Aug 115 22.6 14.7 2.36 0.03 1.04 Aug 2135 22.5 15.0 3.90 1.76 1.45 Aug 1155 23.6 12.4 0.73 0.30 1.11 Sep 1055 5.8 10.8 0.20 0.10 <0.10	Intake Temp Intake Temp Intake Yean Discharge S.E. Discharge Mean S.E. Jui 1035 19.6 16.3 0.40 0.04 0.45 0.35 Jui 2145 18.8 16.0 0.59 0.23 0.67 0.22 Jui 2145 18.8 16.0 0.59 0.23 0.67 0.22 Jui 1250 22.7 14.5 0.19 0.09 0.46 0.10 Jui 2145 21.9 15.5 0.22 0.08 0.27 0.10 Aug 1115 22.6 14.7 2.36 0.03 1.04 0.08 Aug 2135 22.5 15.0 3.90 1.76 1.45 0.06 Aug 2100 24.6 12.4 0.73 0.30 1.11 0.08 Sep 1055 5.8 10.8 0.20 0.10 <0.00	Intake Temp Intake Temp Intake Mean Discharge S.E. D/I Mean Discharge S.E. D/I Mean Ratio Jul 1035 19.6 16.3 0.40 0.04 0.45 0.35 1.13 Jul 2145 18.8 16.0 0.59 0.23 0.67 0.22 1.14 Jul 2145 22.7 14.5 0.19 0.09 0.46 0.10 2.42 Jul 2145 21.9 15.5 0.22 0.08 0.27 0.10 1.23 Aug 1115 22.6 14.7 2.36 0.03 1.04 0.08 0.44 Aug 2135 22.5 15.0 3.90 1.76 1.45 0.06 0.37 Aug 1105 23.6 12.5 0.54 0.13 0.44 0.14 0.81 Aug 2100 24.6 12.4 0.73 0.30 1.11 0.00 0.50 Sep 1051 13.9 <td>Intake Temp Intake Temp Intake Mean Discharge S.E. D/I 3° Sim Ratio Jui 1035 19.6 16.3 0.40 0.04 0.45 0.35 1.13 0.76 Jui 2145 18.8 16.0 0.59 0.23 0.67 0.22 1.14 0.59 Jui 2145 21.9 15.5 0.22 0.08 0.27 0.10 2.42 0.48 Jui 2145 21.9 15.5 0.22 0.08 0.27 0.10 1.23 0.21 Aug 1115 22.6 14.7 2.36 0.03 1.04 0.08 0.44 1.48 Aug 2135 22.5 15.0 3.90 1.76 1.45 0.06 0.37 1.31 Aug 1105 23.6 12.4 0.73 0.30 1.11 0.81 0.52 0.35 Sep 1055 5.8 10.8 0.20 0.10 0.00 0.29</td> <td>Intake Temp Intake Yean Intake S.E. Discharge Mean D/I Ratio 3° Simulation Mean Sec. Jul 1035 19.6 16.3 0.40 0.04 0.45 0.35 1.13 0.76 0.01 Jul 2145 18.8 16.0 0.59 0.23 0.67 0.22 1.14 0.59 0.13 Jul 1250 22.7 14.5 0.19 0.09 0.46 0.10 2.42 0.48 0.15 Jul 2145 21.9 15.5 0.22 0.08 0.27 0.10 1.23 0.21 0.11 Aug 1115 22.6 14.7 2.36 0.03 1.04 0.08 0.44 1.48 0.17 Aug 115 23.6 12.5 0.54 0.13 0.44 0.14 0.81 0.75 0.11 Aug 105 5.8 10.8 0.35 0.25 <0.10</td> 0.00 0.50 1.27 0.36	Intake Temp Intake Temp Intake Mean Discharge S.E. D/I 3° Sim Ratio Jui 1035 19.6 16.3 0.40 0.04 0.45 0.35 1.13 0.76 Jui 2145 18.8 16.0 0.59 0.23 0.67 0.22 1.14 0.59 Jui 2145 21.9 15.5 0.22 0.08 0.27 0.10 2.42 0.48 Jui 2145 21.9 15.5 0.22 0.08 0.27 0.10 1.23 0.21 Aug 1115 22.6 14.7 2.36 0.03 1.04 0.08 0.44 1.48 Aug 2135 22.5 15.0 3.90 1.76 1.45 0.06 0.37 1.31 Aug 1105 23.6 12.4 0.73 0.30 1.11 0.81 0.52 0.35 Sep 1055 5.8 10.8 0.20 0.10 0.00 0.29	Intake Temp Intake Yean Intake S.E. Discharge Mean D/I Ratio 3° Simulation Mean Sec. Jul 1035 19.6 16.3 0.40 0.04 0.45 0.35 1.13 0.76 0.01 Jul 2145 18.8 16.0 0.59 0.23 0.67 0.22 1.14 0.59 0.13 Jul 1250 22.7 14.5 0.19 0.09 0.46 0.10 2.42 0.48 0.15 Jul 2145 21.9 15.5 0.22 0.08 0.27 0.10 1.23 0.21 0.11 Aug 1115 22.6 14.7 2.36 0.03 1.04 0.08 0.44 1.48 0.17 Aug 115 23.6 12.5 0.54 0.13 0.44 0.14 0.81 0.75 0.11 Aug 105 5.8 10.8 0.35 0.25 <0.10	Intake Temp (°C)Intake MeanDischarge Net. D/I Ratio $\frac{3^\circ Simulation}{Mean}$ S.E. $3^\circ S/I$ RatioJul103519.616.30.400.040.450.351.130.760.01 1.90^{\dagger} Jul214518.816.00.590.230.670.221.140.590.131.00Jul125022.714.50.190.090.460.102.420.480.152.53Jul214521.915.50.220.080.270.101.230.210.110.95Aug111522.614.72.360.031.040.080.441.480.170.63 †Aug213522.515.03.901.761.450.060.371.310.230.34Aug115523.612.50.540.130.440.140.810.750.111.39Aug210024.612.40.730.301.110.081.520.350.250.48Sep10555.810.80.200.10<0.10	Intake remo Intake (*C) Intake AT Discharge Mean D/I Ratio 3* Simulation Mean 3* S/I Mean 2* Sim Mean Jul 1035 19.6 16.3 0.40 0.04 0.45 0.35 1.13 0.76 0.01 1.90 [†] 0.55 Jul 2145 18.8 16.0 0.59 0.23 0.67 0.22 1.14 0.59 0.13 1.00 0.51 Jul 1250 22.7 14.5 0.19 0.09 0.46 0.10 2.42 0.48 0.15 2.53 0.56 Jul 2145 21.9 15.5 0.22 0.08 0.27 0.10 1.23 0.21 0.11 0.95 0.32 Aug 2135 22.5 15.0 3.90 1.76 1.45 0.06 0.37 1.31 0.28 0.34 1.68 Aug 2100 24.6 12.4 0.73 0.30 1.11 0.08 1.52 0.35 0.25 0.	Intake Temp Intake (°C) Intake ΔI Discharge Mean D/I Ratio 3° Simulation Mean 3°S/I 2° Simulation Mean 3°S/I 3°S/I 2° Simulation Mean 3°S/I 3°III 3 <t< td=""></t<>

Frances.

...Hicrograms/liter †Samples taken in actual discharge plume rather than simulated

J-16

Table J-9 (Page 1 of 2)

Primary Production* (C¹⁴) in Whole Water Collections after 7-Hr Incubation Period, James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant, 1978

		Inta Tem	ke ·	Int	ake	Disc	:harge	D/1	<u>3° 51</u>	mulation	3*5/1	2• S1	imulation	2° S/1
Date	Time	3°)) 41	Mean	_ S.E.	Mean	1 S.E.	Ratio	Mean	S.E.	KATIO	riean	3.E.	Ratio
16 Jan	1155	0.8	19.8	9.04	5.12	6.56	0.88	0.73	6.35	1.74	0.70	6.46	2.05	0.71
16 Jan	21.00	0.8	19.8	5.29	3.07	4.72	0.52	0.89	3.78	1.26	0.71	3.29	0.30	0.62
24 Jan	1045	0.9	21.0	2.03	1.26	3.14	1.20	1.55	2.92	0.32	1.44	4.22	0.91	2.08
24 Jan	2032	2.4	21.1	2.58	0.48	3.77	0.63	1.46	3.12	0.54	1.21	3.18	0.92	1.23
06 Feb	1055	1.7	16.8	3.93	0.23	5.21	1.27	1.33	2.58	1.66	0.66	2.73	0.00	0.69
06 Feb	2040	1.3	16.9	4.07	0.94	1.29	1.24	0.32	2.46	0.93	0.60	1.85	1.61	0.45
20 Feb	1035	0.8	17.5	1.68	0.30	4.58	1.04	2.73	5.40	1.06	3.21	9.49	0.11	5.65
20 Feb	2035	0.9	17.5	4.23	1.85	3.97	3.29	0.94	3.26	0.53	0.77	0.45	0.00	0.11
06 Mar	1035	0.3	21.9	4.52	0.28	4.06	1.27	0.90	5.87	0.12	1.30	3.50	0.79	0.77
06 Mar	2035	1.6	21.0	0.00*	*0.00	0.23	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00**	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00
20 Mar	1035	1.4	18.3	17.28	1.79	22.91	1.26	1.33	14.20	3.37	0.82	10.95	5.46	0.63
20 Mar	2005	1.2	18.6	18.08	4.69	15.40	3.92	0.85	25.01	8.93	1.38	33.96	4.33	1.88
04 Apr	1040	2.0	15.0	44.78	10.73	32.92	2.63	0.74	50.70	10.89	1.13	44.64	4.22	1.00
04 Apr	1940	2.6	15.6	19.97	5.19	18.90	1.74	0.95	27.92	0.30	1.40	36.66	3.81	1.84
18 Apr	1035	2.9	18.4	11.38	0.99	6.31	0.35	0.55	9.16	2.96	0.80	9.62	0.11	0.85
18 Apr	2120	4.1	5.3	5.34	0.56	6.04	0.87	1.13	11.00	1.27	2.06	14.13	1.42	2.65
10 May	1030	7.9	15.8	45.61	6.92	63.23	9.84	1.39	65.19	2.39	1.43	70.99	29.54	1.56
10 May	2045	8.4	15.5	54.12	10.00	69.38	25.40	1.28	68.97	7.26	1.27	63.89	23.17	1.18
24 May	1310	8.6	16.0	42.65	1.52	24.50	1.94	0.57	37.11	9.11	0.87†	48.30	13.08	1.13†
24 May	2135	9.7	16.2	48.93	6.64	16.99	2.30	0.35	49.02	13.19	1.00	45.25	0.17	0.92
17 Jun	1130	11.9	13.7	21.26	1.98	20.61	7.68	0.97	31.84	0.35	1.50	29.00	5.44	1.36
17 Jun	2115	12.2	14.3	33.16	0.10	26.40	7.70	0.80	31.96	7.09	0.96	25.86	1.69	0.78
28 Jun	1400	16.4	16.2	25.24	17.35	13.27	2.75	0.53	34.17	0.73	1.35	18.33	0.26	0.73 ¹
28 Jun	2220	16.3	16.2	36.22	17.51	12.53	2.31	0.35	30,47	0.64	0.84	23.15	1.99	0.64
PO ANU	0000					-								

*mg C/m³/incubation period **dark bottle greater than light bottle

[†]Samples taken in actual discharge plume rather than simulated.

J-17

Table J-9 (Page 2 of 2)

Date	Time	Intake Temp (°C)	∆т	<u>Inta</u> Mean	ike S.E.	<u>Disc</u> Mean	<u>harge</u> S.E.	D/I Ratio	<u>3° Sim</u> Mean	ulation S.E.	3°L/I Ratio	<u>2° Si</u> Mean	s.E.	2° L/I Ratio
12 Jul	1035	19.6	16.3	21.39	5.45	13.36	0.58	0.62	25.86	3.01	1.21+	19,59	1.62	0.92
13 Jul	2145	18.8	16.0	23.95	6.30	11.98	2.22	0.26	23.28	2.74	0.97	13.75	0.82	0.57
26 Jul	1250	22.7	14.5	12.52	4.19	11.17	3.19	0.89	14.35	5.86	1.15	11.63	0.01	0.93
26 Jul	2145	21.9	15.5	8.40	1.47	7.15	1.83	0.85	10.04	1.52	1.20	10.78	4.24	1.28
09 Aug	1151	22.6	14.7	110.31	53.98	44.94	22.29	0.41	16.72	1.59	0.15	21.44	3.81	0.19*
09 Aug	2135	22.5	15.0	39.91	13.98	26.13	0.54	0.65	25.70	1.63	0.64	37.13	7.10	0.93
23 Aug	1155	23.6	12.5	45.02	15.08	23.90	0,23	0.53	25.05	10.05	0.56	27.66	1.61	0.61
23 Aug	2100	24.6	12.4	9.53	0.60	7.97	2.67	0.84	6.13	0.51	0.64	9.47	1.95	0.99
14 Sep	1055	5.8	10.8	2.84	0.99	3.21	0.55	1.13	1.50	0.22	0.53	1.83	0.83	0.64
14 Sep	2031	5.3	10.8	1.74	0.02	1.51	0.27	0.87	1.61	0.61	0.93	0.57	1 0.00	0.33 ~
26 Sep	1051	13.9	0.5	48.38	4.16	45.69	7.09	0.94	38.76	5.99	0.80	48.50	12.70	1.00
26 Sep	2030	14.8	0.6	18.09	3.50	13.17	2.44	0.73	7.02	1.32	0.39	10.48	0.67	0.58
10 Oct	1030	14.2	0.4	17.83	0.37	18.17	5.74	1.02	20.19	6.92	1.13	19.82	1.33	1.11
10 Oct	2035	14.6	0.2	16.51	1.26	16.59	1.55	1.00	23.18	7.91	1.40	17.87	6.59	1.08
25 Oct	1035	11.8	0.4	26.55	0.09	25.32	0.09	0.95	35.63	2.50	1.34	33.00	1.90 🕈	1,24
25 Oct	2028	12.0	.0.4	9.32	8.63	11.40	0.60	1.22	9.04	0.86	0.97	10.75	0.95	1.15
07 Nov	1140	11.3	1.4	45.94	9.85	35.75	4.88	0.78	31.58	4.17	0.69	39.40	9.22	0.86
07 Nov	2045	11.4	1.5	19.97	0.40	25.54	1.97	1.28	32.25	15.48	1.61	26.99	12.21	1.35
28 Nov	1000	7.3	0.1	14.97	2.12	13.12	3.29	0.88	12.08	1.05	0.81	9.16	1.03	0.61
28 Nov	2028	6.1	0.4	10.16	1.47	15.43	2.90	1.52	10.10	0.07	0.99	8.18	0.24	0.81
12 Dec	1105	4.0	11.6	10.54	0.53	7.08	0.26	0.67	10.11	1.50	0.96	12.67	2.68	1.20
13 Dec	2109	2.2	12.4	4.24	0.25	2.71	0.64	0.64	6.08	2.67	1.43	6.47	0.04	1.53
29 Dec	1100	1.8	15.6	9.61	1.21	12.40	2.90	1.29	4.46	0.62	0.46	6.41	0.25	0.67
29 Dec	2110	2.6	16.5	17.75	5.31	16.17	1.27	0.91	10.86	3.48	0.61	11.52	5.58	0.65

*mg C/m³/incubation period

[†]Samples taken in actual discharge plume rather than simulated.

J-18

Table J-10 (Page 1 of 2)

Primary Production^{*} (C¹⁴) in Whole Water Collections after 24-Hr Incubation Period, James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant, 1978

. .

		Intak	e											
Date	Time	(°C)	∆۲	Mean	S.E.	Mear	S.E.	Ratio	Mean	S.E.	Ratio	Z* S1r Mean	<u>mulation</u> S.E.	2º S/I Ratio
16 [.] Ja	an 1155	0.8	19.8	10.04	5.89	10.04	1.65	1.00	12.64	0.94	1.26	14.04	0.99	1.40
16 Ja	in 2100	.0.8	19.8	9.65	0.83	12.54	1,48	1.30	7.88	1.67	0.82	10.31	0.91	1.07
24 Ja	in 1045	0.9	21.0	9.80	2.22	9.81	1.18	1.00	8.02	0.06	0.82	12.69	0.22	1.29
24 Ja	in 2032 [.]	2.4	21.1	3.77	0.22	9.68	0.31	2.57	8.11	0.02	2.15	7.39	2.14	1.96
06 Fe	ь 1055	1.7	16.8	10.67	2.01	12.75	0.77	1.19	8.75	2.14	0.82	13.30	3.32	1.25
06 Fe	ь 2040	1.3	16.9	15.04	1.13	8.20	2.08	0.55	9.87	1.35	0.66	12.30	1.91	0.82
20 Fe	ь 1035	0.8	17.5	4.78	1.38	5.04	3.93	1.05	3.33	0.94	0.70	9.63	6.82	2.01
20 Fe	b 203 5	0.9	17.5	15.94	2.68	11.73	2.17	0.74	11.15	5.20	0,70	1.42	0.69	0.09
06 Ma	r 1035	0.3	21.9	15.20	2.70	14.83	5.33	0.98	17.95	3.37	1,18	17.80	3.82	1.17
06 Ma	r 2035	1.6	21.0	10.45	1.67	16.49	6.44	1.58	15.43	2.70	1.48	22.09	6.21	2.11
20 Ma	r 1035	1.4	18.3	38.46	2.41	50.49	11.26	1.31	44.48	27.78	1.16	42.59	31.13	1.11
20 Ma	r 2005	1.2	18.6	55.38	6.61	63.02	4.76	1.14	82.63	2.45	1.49	75.09	25. 56	1.36
04 Ap	or 1040	2.0	15.0	84.51	3.58	130.53	22.76	1.54	102.10	44.06	1.21	94.29	9.16	1.12
04 Ap	r 1940	2.6	15.6	26.33	26.03	58.30	11.29	2.21	77.93	2.14	2.96	89.92	27.78	3.42
18 Ap	r 1035	2.9	18.4	30.84	0.00	25.71	0.83	0.85	36.18	13:57	1.17	37.48	3.62	1.22
18 Ap	r 2120	4.1	5.3	25.40	6.71	8.32	0.86	0.33	35.05	4.41	1.38	17.96	1.72	0.71
10 Ma	y 1030	7.9	15.8	190.93	58.51	217.55	45.88	1.14	209.88	29.54	1.10	247.17	96.46	1.29
10 Ma	y 2045	8.4	15.5	217.45	1.15	256.24	55.34	1.18	235.80	78.69	1.08	210.38	47.80	0.97
24 Ma	y 1310	8.6	16.0	150.05	27.02	128.49	22.73	0.86	190.75	3.69	1.27	143.05	7.97	0.95+
24 Maj	y 2135	9.7	16.2	107.34	12.06	50.62	1.47	0.47	112.87	28.42	1.05	65.79	13.30	0.61
17 Ju	n 1130	11.9	13,7	78.03	5.26	59.24	22.94	0.76	100.95	2.68	1.29	103.34	18.10	1.32
17 Ju	n 2115	12.2	14.3	86.66	1.48	69.04	20.24	0.80	85.60	27.44	0.99	72.58	3.32	0.84
28 Jur	n 1400	16.4	16.2	71.47	43.62	15.60	12.56	0.22	75.26	22.79	1.05 +	90.41	12.63	1.27 +
28 Jur	n 2220	16.3	16.2	97.42	38.94	35.07	0.00	0.35	66.80	11.37	0.69	77.94	9.79	0.80

22. 11

*mg C/m³/incubation period

⁺Samples taken in actual discharge plume rather than simulated

1973

J-19

Table J-10 (Page 2 of 2)

				Intake												
	_			Temp		Int	ake	Disc	<u>narge</u>	D/1	<u>3° Sim</u>	ulation	3°L/I	2° Sin	ulation	2° L/I
	Da	te	11me	(°C)	ΔT	Mean	\$.E.	Mean	S.E.	Ratio	Mean	S.E.	Ratio	Mean	S.E.	Ratio
	12	Jul	1035	19.6	16.3	99.58	13.37	32.64	2.16	0.33	71.98	14.02	0.72*	72.66	20.91	0.73+
	13	Jul	2145	18.8	16.0	108.75	14.59	49.00	1.22	0.45	65.26	20.94	0.60	52.58	6.84	0.48
•	26	Jul	1250	22.7	14.5	59.64	5.32	41.91	14.04	0.70	40.13	9,95	0.67	49.39	2.72	0.83
	26	Jul	2145	21.9	15.5	46.51	19,15	23.79	6.34	0.51	50.54	1.25	1.09	58.71	22.07	1.26
	09	Aug	1151	22.6	14.7	142.35	12.58	135.98	8.87	0.96	57.81	5.33	0.41†	73.52	3.50	0.52+
	09	Aug	2135	22.5	15.0	96.46	40.60	63.18	0.05	0.65	65.68	3.56	0,68	96.85	13.68	1.00
	23	Aug	1155	23.6	12.5	87.47	40.99	59.60	6.63	0.68	82.95	24.51	0.95	78.35	9.45	0.90
	23	Aug	2100	24.6	12.4	20.54	1.77	38,00	11.48	1.85	27.37	3.87	1.33	35.05	4.42	1.71
	14	Sep	1055	5.8	10.8	10.14	1.88	10.94	2.57	1.08	10.24	1.58	1.01	10.34	3.04	1.02
	14	Sep	2031	5.3	10.8	4.61	0.61	6.35	0.05	1.38	7.10	1.57	1.54	5.19	1.24	1.13
	26	Sep	1051	13.9	0.5	112.86	46.19	88.59	5.75	0.78	69.11	8.45	0.61	99.50	20.32	0.88
	26	Sep	2030	14.8	0.6	43.52	8.01	33.09	1.26	0.76	15.68	7.42	0.36	30.44	0.24	0.70
	10	Oct	1030	14.2	0.4	45.67	5.00	42.93	10.87	0.94	56.69	11.92	1.24	39.76	9.50	0.87
	10	Oct	2035	14.6	0.2	39.63	5.15	34.28	5.37	0.87	34.28	5.19	0.87	45.79	12.22	1.16
	25	0ct	1035	11.8	0.4	67.77	25.09	59.66	6.63	0.88	50,59	2.41	0.75	48.38	11.32	0.71
	25	0ct	2028	12.0	0.4	16.65	5.25	23.35	4.35	1.40	42.23	34.74	2.54	15.62	0.86	0.94
	07	Nov	1140	11.3	1.4	104.09	5.82	110.59	24.83	1.06	71.36	9.77	0.69	117.72	44.57	1.13
	07	Nov	2045	11.4	1.5	58.37	12.48	91.94	44.21	1.58	67.68	23.93	1.16	71.87	8.20	1.23
	28	Nov	1000	7.3	0.1	42.60	2.93	36.55	10.70	0.86	36.26	12.57	0.85	35.32	0.37	0.83
	28	Nov	2028	6.1	0.4	15.82	0.26	16.11	0,62	1.02	23.25	11.76	1.47	20.51	2.07	1.30
	12	Dec	1105	4.0	11.6	49.73	2.22	38,51	15.45	0.77	49.29	7.95	0.99	68.54	8.86	1.38
	13	Dec	2109	2.2	12.4	16.55	2.37	25.01	6.46	1.51	29.57	11.05	1.79	18.83	7.04	1.14
	29	Dec	1100	1.8	15.6	62.63	0.10	. 43.22	3.51	0.69	24.80	3.99	0.40	29.37	2.41	0.47
	29	Dec	2110	2.6	16.5	74.46	4.55	49.19	5.42	0.66	55.16	13.43	0.74 .	55.18	20.19	0.74

*mg C/m³/incubation period

[†]Samples taken in actual discharge plume rather than simulated

J-20

Table J-11 (Page 1 of 2)

Primary Production[#] (C¹⁴) in Whole Water Collections after 48-Hr Incubation Period, James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant, 1978

Da	te	Time	Intako Temp (°C)	₽ 	 Mean	ake S.E.	Disc	harge S.E.	D/I Ratio	<u>3° Sin</u> Mean	nulation S.E.	3°S/I Ratio	2° Str	mulation S.E.	2° S/I Ratio
16	Jan	1155	0.8	10.8	32 93	7 61	25 02	8 90	0.76	25.13	5.72	0.76	17 33	5 42	0.53
16	Jan	2100	0.0	19.0	14.44	2.28	- 23 49	3 23	1 63	22.38	2.64	1.55	15.96	0.72	1 11
24	Jan	1045	0.9	21.0	24.42	6.33	24 81	7.46	1.02	19.72	7.20	0.91	29.69	8.65	1 22
24	Jan	2032	2.4	21.1	13.40	3.11	20.28	1.46	1.51	16.76	2.85	1.25	16.82	1,15	1.26
06	Feb	1055	1.7	16.8	35.70	4.19	21.37	6.27	0.60	25.77	2.49	0.72	36.30	10.23	1.02
06	Feb	2040	1.3	16.9	22.22	2.21	17.68	0.01	0.80	27.11	0.39	1.22	19.81	0.89	0.89
20	Feb	1035	0.8	17.5	23.88	12.30	24.66	9.34	1.03	18.87	11.23	0.79	23.35	2.80	0.98
20	Feb	2035	0.9	17.5	24.91	6.77	14.52	5.09	0.58	21.97	6.60	0.88	3.35	0.19	0.13
06	Mar	1035	0.3	21.9	22.24	0.56	20.72	6.82	0.93	30.70	7.10	1.38	48.54	24.85	2.18
06	Mar	2035	1.6	21.0	27.21	3.17	13.95	0.26	0.51	31.89	10.96	1.17	32.02	6.78	1.18
20	Mar	1035	1.4	18.3	83.46	36.22	86.34	3.48	1.03	76.24	27.66	0.91	76.34	50.20	0.91
20	Mar	2005	1.2	18.6	83.35	47.94	82.91	2.19	0.99	87.14	4,40	1.05	86.28	12.90	1.04
04	Apr	1040	2.0	15.0	83.07	8.43	113.90	14.31	1.37	135.84	36.95	1.64	140.61	24.06	1.69
04	Apr	1940	2.6	15.6	157.13	58.97	145.59	52.89	0.93	239.96	85.58	1.53	126.12	17,12	0.80
18	Apr	1035	2.9	18.4	57.18	1.62	26.40	0.53	0.46	53.81	20.14	0.94	50,75	6.58	0.89
18	Apr	2120	4.1	5.3	48.69	11.30	18,82	0,86	0.39	61.09	20.53	1.25	37.42	3.38	0.77
10	May	1030	7.9	15.8	445.35	25.97	179.41	18,08	0.40	286.80	56.40	0.64	286.18	83.42	0.64
10	May	2045	8.4	15.5	165.57	4.21	208.55*	• 0.00	1.26	169.84	33.58	1.03	190.25	10.70	1.15
-24	May	1310	8.6	16.0	213.40	5.49	181.22	38.32	0.85	400.43	15.73	1.88†	331.72	52.23	1.55+
24	May	2135	9.7	16.2	115.11	60.48	79.68	14.25	0.69	137.35	44.28	1.19	139.93	15.72	1.22
17	Jun	1130	11 .9	13.7	170.24	16.19	113.24	42.98	0.67	163.67	47.02	0.96	165.25	43.37	0.97
17	Jun	2115	12.2	14.3	169.26	3.02	119.97	37.95	0.71	143.07	56,63	0.85	125.82	0.12	0.74
28	Jun	1400	16.4	16.2	72,39	58.62	35.49	14.33	0.49	171.92	25.67	2.37 1	98.34	20.72	1.36†
28	Jun	2220	16.3	16.2	189.23	71.17	87.54	30.27	0.46	133.06	23.58	0.70	157.72	11.02	0.83

#mg C/m³/incubation period

*rep 2 not used, dark bottle greater than light

[†]Samples taken in actual discharge plume rather than simulated

J-21

Table J-11 (Page 2 of 2)

		Intake Temp	8	Int	ake	Disch	arge	D/1	<u>3° Sim</u>	ulation	3ºL∕I	2° Sim	ulation	2° L/I
Date	T1me	(°C)	<u></u>	Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.	Ratio	Mean	S.E.	Ratio	Mean	S.E.	Ratio
12 Jul	1035	19.6	13.3	158.44	45.28	52.13	4.14	0.33	137.34	19.59	0.87+	113.73	8.83	0.72*
13 Jul	2145	18.8	16.0	287.75	136.33	58.93	18.16	0.20	208.18	39.51	0.72	147.56	32.20	0.51
26 Jul	1250	22.7	14.5	152.60	95.69	128.49	20.75	0.84	208.11	135.15	1.36	116.34	49.26	0.76
26 Jul	2145	21.9	15.5	87.52	27.57	63.74	30.48	0.73	131.47	1.21	1.50	141.13	100.35	1.61
09 Aug	1151	22.6	14.7	386.31	190.40	232.91	113,15	0.60	93.05	7.50	0.24+	110.87	7.06	0.29+
09 Aug	2135	22.5	15.0	108.18	8.65	229.18	59.74	2.12	219.07	3.95	2.03	147.13	14.65	1.36
23 Aug	1151	23.6	12.5	241.50	99.69	123.07	20.25	0.51	167.80	75.63	0.69	185.14	20.51	0.77
23 Aug	2100	24.6	12.6	30.36	0,13	33.39	13.01	1.10	32.07	7,99	1.06	29.07	5,91	0.96
14 Sep	1055	5.8	10.8	27.52	3.35	22.50	9.06	0.82	21.38	0.53	0.78	17.38	2.93	0.63
14 Sep	2031	5.3	10.8	14.11	4.67	18.17	4.62	1.29	11.12	3.16	0.79	14.43	0.40	1.02
26 Sep	1051	13,9	0.5	185.74	70.69	161.59	12,26	0.87	151.28	57.67	0.81	133.48	21.62	0.72
26 Sep	2030	14.8	0.6	115.93	59.51	109.54	6.19	0.94	60.39	8.48	0.52	81.55	12.59	0.70
10 Oct	1030	14.2	0.4	99.55	1.09	92.28	24.68	0.93	9 9.98	20.55	1.00	103.86	47.41	1.04
10 Oct	2035	14.6	0.2	37.60	18.41	19.71	7.60	0,52	32.11	1.28	0.85	39.98	2.33	1.06
25 Oct	1035	11.8	0.4	116.45	65.36	78.04	15.44	0.67	91.93	4.28	0.79	104.43	32.87	0.90
25 Oct	2028	12.0	0.4	88.00	34.82	77.60	8.81	0.88	72.98	2.00	0.83	83.70	15.42	0.95
7 Nov	1140	11.3	⁴ 1.4	135.20	42.66	165.86	21.36	1.23	189.52	52.85	1.40	155.18	57.65	1.15
7 Nov	2045	11.4	1.5	147.77	61.18	215.53	112.75	1.46	170.15	41.63	1.15	195.57	29.62	1.32
28 Nov	1000	7.3	0.1	80.60	6.45	45.56	5.91	0.57	57.76	8.13	0.72	67.83	24.92	0.84
28 Nov	2028	6.1	0.4	23.80	2.56	46.70	4.26	1.96	41.80	7.14	1.76	44.05	13.45	1.85
12 Dec	1105	4.0	11.6	86.10	16.98	98.41	2.27	1.14	87.43	21.07	1.02	101.66	27.76	1.18
13 Dec	2109	2.2	12.4	39.34	4.72	46.73	16.51	1.19	38.24	11.99	0.97	41.90	1.27	1.07
29 Dec	1100	1.8	15.6	71.94	8,68	66.08	9.69	0.92	33.05	4.54	0.46	44.70	2.54	0.62
29 Dec	2110	2.6	16.5	102.88	34.66	82.00	22.30	0.80	115.19	3.86	1.12	91.15	10.03	0.89

*mg C/m³/incubation period

[†]Samples taken in actual discharge plume rather than simulated

J-22

Table J-12 (Page 1 of 2)

Primary Production^{*} (C^{14}) in Whole Water Collections after 72-Hr Incubation Period, James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant, 1978

. – . –			Intak	e	In-	tako	Die		D/1	39 5		205/1			
Da	te	Time	(°C)	<u>4</u> T	Mean	S.E.	Mea	n S.E.	Ratio	Mean	n S.E.	Ratio	<u>Z* Si</u> Mean	S.E.	2º 5/1 Ratio
16	Jan	1155	0.8	19.8	91.81	22.69	53.95	0.79	0.59	92.86	28.93	1.01	35.01	17.18	0.38
16	Jan	2100	0.8	19.8	40.83	5.95	54.88	23.62	1.34	59.03	10.82	1.45	38.81	9,26	0.95
24	Jan	1045	0.9	21.0	30.91	4.11	25.84	2.60	0.84	26.15	0.29	0.85	46.52	2.34	1.51
24	Jan	2032	2.4	21.1	24,65	12.15	19.32	1.54	0.78	25.28	0.74	1.03	19.25	1,59	0.78
06	Feb	1055	1.7	16.8	42.88	0.31	64.25	5.02	1.50	37.98	0.07	0.89	73.29	16.61	1.71
06	Feb	2040	1.3	16.9	51.56	4.77	29.48	4.32	0.57	33.30	8.01	0.65	39.28	1.77	0.76
20	Feb	1035	0.8	17.5	11.40	2.09	44.11	7.13	3.87	20.20	5.57	1.77	68.27	14.55	5.99
20	Feb	2035	0.9	17.5	73.54	8.86	38.67	5.10	0.53	48.79	8.80	0.66	9,19	0.94	0.12
. 06	Mar	1035	0.3	21.9	60.00	0.51	61.06	18.64	1.02	85.72	20.01	1.43	74.25	36.58	1.24
06	Mar	2035	1.6	21.0	52.49	9.03	18,57	1.30.	0.35	51.35	12.50	0.98	47.11	5.01	0.90
20	Mar	1035	1.4	18.3	258.49	68.77	183.07	4.14	0.71	162.27	49.14	0.63	147.46	86.03	0.57
20	Mar	2005	1.2	18.6	460.02	215.88	201.81	5.78	0.44	478.21	25.39	1.04	128,86	127.27	0.28
04	Apr	1040	2.0	15.0	397.13	54.96	403.31	₩0.00	1.02	529.53	235.79	1.33	462.12	67.11	1.16
04	Apr	1940	2.6	15.6	298.17	65.82	268.81	25.75	0.90	436.57	69.86	1.46	723.48	14.01	2.43
18	Apr	1035	2.9	18.4	125.85	56.99	71.11	11.25	Ò. 57	95.94	15.96	0.76	106.43	7.72	0.85
18	Apr	2120	4.1	5.3	104.49	46.78	21.93	1.86	0.21	62.87	8.49	0.60	45.05	11.47	0.43
10	May	1030	7.9	15.8	480.04	122.85	377.07	12.32	0.79	391.49	31.04	0.82	482.02	158,40	1.00
10	May	2045	8.4	15.5	462.84	12.52	216.46	45.96	0.47	172.13	42.86	0.37	216.88	72.03	0.47
24	May	1310	8.6	16.0	389.81	0.07	330.66	30.56	0.85	506.83	40.65	1.301	478.39	52.70	1.23†
24	May	2135	9.7	16.2	314.96	104.45	118.33	9.31	0.38	345.88	73.20	1.10	246.17	21.15	0.78
17	Jun	1130	11.9	13.7	233.00	73.97	207.21	58.62	0.89	299.26	1.38	1.28	309.98	103.08	1.33
. 17	Jun	2115	12.2	14.3	221.28	21.56	172.05	61.08	0.78	250.12	42.68	1.13	333.10	140.83	1.51
28	Jun	1400	16.4	16.2	216.94	16.12	84.68	6.01	0.39	241.91	54.14	1.12*	211.78	11.06	0.98†
28	Jun	2220	16.3	16.2	182.52	98.33	49,81	13.61	0,27	96.77	62.53	0.53	110.24	19,85	0.60

 $mg C/m^3/incubation period$ **Rep 2 light bottle broken during incubation

* [†]Samples taken in actual discharge plume rather than simulated

J-23

Table J-12 (Page 2 of 2)

			Intake	-	Int		Disch	arce	D/I	3º 510	wlation	391/1	2° Sir	mulation	2º 1/1
Da	te	Time	(°C)	Δτ	Mean	S.E.	Mean	S.E.	Ratio	Mean	S.E.	Ratio	Mean	S.E.	Ratio
12	ปนไ	1023	19.6	13.3	179.18	13.91	68.22	14.50	0.38	133.10	: R9.69	0.74†	146.42	Re6.93	0.82†
13	Ju1 ่	2145	18.8	16.0	298.13	49.37	82.24	29.77	0.28	215.50	68.00	0.72	170.56	43.14	0.57
26	Jul	1250	22.7	14.5	645.80	327.97	162.29	86.41	0.25	299.08	180.91	0.46	226.19	129.29	0.35
26	Jul	2145	21.9	15.5	174.02	60.35	112.08	24.38	0.64	380.61	149.60	2.19	360.27	293.60	2.07
09	Aug	1151	22.6	14.7	303.20	66.23	145.17	22.35	0.48	115.42	5.79	0.38†	104.77	5.02	0.35+
09	Aug	2135	22.5	15.0	327.60	136.65	149.91	8.46	0.46	321.24	147.16	0.98	294.05	4.79	0 .90
23	Aug	1151	23.6	12.5	307.72	140.73	237.47	16.03	0.77	258.31	12.86	0.84	284.93	63.68	0.93
23	Aug	2100	24.6	12.6	53.52	10.42	61.12	20.99	1.14	44.50	1.13	0.83	55.04	3.63	1.03
14	Sep	1055	5.8	10.8	40.03	12.47	42.83	13.47	1.07	39.01	0.46	0.97	26.87	2.54	0.67
14	Sep	2031	5.3	10.8	19.76	3.15	17.98	2.12	0.91	19.09	2.60	0.97	17.12	3.96	0.87
26	Sen	1051	13.9	0.5	182.29	51.49	155.17	4.70	0.85	136.52	26.69	0.75	165.93	46.42	0.91
26	Sen	2030	14.8	0.6	87.79	37.67	122.84	37.60	1.40	62.98	1.51	0.72	83.17	18.66	0.95
10	Det	1030	14.2	0.4	185.95	13.49	160.56	54.73	0.86	195.09	90.35	1.05	171.70	29.99	0. 92
10	Oct	2035	14.6	0.2	112.93	14.25	82.60	0.02	0.73	60.66	11.34	0.54	98.84	31.83	0.88
26	0ct	1035	11 8	0.4	189.82	77.71	122.37	14.19	0.64	175.75	9.63	0.93	135.00	19.31	0.71
25	0+	2020	12.0	0.4	148 86	54 96	110.14	0.31	0.74	105.55	32.05	0.71	92.38	16.95	0.62
23	Nov	1140	11.3	1 4	228.24	28.32	255.94	91.52	1.12	207.88	116.24	0.91	186.17	19.80	0.82
7	Nov	2045	11.5	1.4	167.07	34.68	273.71	120.3	71.64	197.82	73.83	1.18	206.54	71.08	1.24
20	Nov	2045	7 3	0 1	85 31	14 07	66.64	16.58	0.78	106.20	17.57	1.24	104.22	5.39	1.22
20	NOV	2020	61	0.1	31 02	5 37	55.44	17.35	1.79	63.97	20,99	2.06	67.86	2.39	2.19
20	NOV	2020		11 6	107 70	10.51	100 50	22 45	0.95	116 01	36 56	0 91	141 40	32.04	1.11
12	Uec	1105	4.0	11.0	12/./9	10.51	70.05	0.05	0.00	06.91	36 72	1 26	112 04	11 16	1 46
13	Dec	2109	2.2	12.4	/6./8	12.80	/0.95	14 00	0.92	70.04	55.72	0.47	76 43	8 15	0.49
29	Dec	1100	1.8	15.6	156.65	26.80	111.6/	14.99	0./1	/3.30	0,74	0.4/	10.41	2.13	0.45
29	Dec	2110	2.6	16.5	55.27	8.08	54.02	0.84	0.98	47.98	6.44	0.8/	52.68	2.92	0.95

"Bg C/m³/incubation period" [†]Samples taken in actual discharge plume rather than simulated

J-24

Table J-13 (Page 1 of 2)

. •

Percent Relative Abundance of Zooplankton (Day) in Entrainment Samples James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant, 1978

																										~~~
	Тама	Jar 17	n 90	Feb	21	Ma	r 21	Apr 4	- · 	May	75	Jui 18	n 20	Jul	27	Aug 10	24	Sep 15	27	0ct	26	Noy 8	29	Dec 20	Jan 4	Annua I Mean
	Protozoa	17	25	'	21	'	21	D	21	17	20	ю	29	13	. 4/	10	24	10	27	16	20	Ŷ	.,			
	Ciliata										1	т														
	Vorticellidae Ciliata unid.	2	Ť*			1					'					3									2	
	Suctoria Acinetidae	2	1	3		5	3	1	т			т				-										
	Suctoria unid. Protozoa unid.							1			۱					1					1		2		1	
	Rotifer Brachonidae	_															_					- 4				
	Keratella cochlearis Keratella crassa	2	5	2	1	1	3	1	2	2	4	3	I	1	11	19	38	23	10	3	2	32	3	1	3	
	Keratella hiemalis	T	T	ł	'	2	2	1	Į	į	3	10	Ť	4	25	2	0	т	т	° 1	* 3	1	9.	ĭ	Ť	
	Keratella sp. Brachionus appularis	,					,			'	2	'	Ť	ť	. •	č		•	•		5		Ť	4	1	
	Brachionus calyciflorus Brachionus quadridentatus									1	Ă	т											2	ı	Ţ	
	Brachionus urceolaris Brachionus sp.										1														1	
	Euchlanis dilitata Kellicottia longispina	3	5	5	T	١	7	2	1		8	4	T 2	2	7	5	т	3	1	3	4	5	9	3	5	
	Notholca acuminata Notholca squamula									7 3	6 1													-	1	
	Hotholca sp. Lecane sp.		1				10	I	48						-			•					T	1		
	<u>Cephalodella</u> sp. Cephalodella sp.	т			.'						,	•			1. T	,							1	1	1	
	Conochilis sp.										•	2	7	,	•	•					3 T					
	Filinia longiseta Ascomorpha so										1					т	т	1	1		•		Ţ			
	Asplanchna prìodonta Asplanchna sp.	т	т			•					ı	g		2	1			2	Ť	1	т	T	1			•
	Polyarthra vulgaris Polyarthra dolichoptera	1	2	T			1			Т 1	13	18 28	15 14	5 1	7	21	11	4	.44	12	9	8	7	3	3	1
	<u>Polyarthra major</u> Polyarthra euryeptera													т	2	20	6 1	T	6 T	4	3	4 T		,		
	<u>Synchaeta stylata</u>													56						3	ļ	۱	32	5	1	۱
	Synchaeta pectinata	,						+	,	<b>6</b> 0	24		20						Ŧ	1	i	3	5	2 10	6	
	Irichocerca multicrinis	3	-	•				,	Ţ	00	24	. 1	20 T				5	۱	Ť	3	i 6	4	ĩ	1	•	
	Ploeosoma hudsoni Ploeosoma truncatum											•	•	T. 2	ı		T.	1		Ť	Ť					
	Ploeosoma lenticulare Ploeosoma sp.												1					т								
	Collotheca motabilis Collotheca sp.			т															1	2	4	2	1			
	<u>Bdelloida</u> sp. Rotifera unid.	5	1	Ť			ł	1	2 T	1 2	3	T	т	Ť	2	12	т	ł		т	T	1	Ť	1 T	2	
										٠,	1															
																						•				•
		-																								
·																										
					•				•								•									

÷ • Jai Feb Apr May Jun 0ct Aug Seo Taxa Arthropoda Cladocera Bosmina sp. Grydorus sp. Chydorus sp. Chydorus sp. Chydorus sp. Chydorus sp. Daphnia retrocurva Daphnia retrocurva Daphnia retrocurva Daphnia retrocurva Daphnia sp. Ceriodsphnia sp. Ceriodsphnia sp. Cladocera unid. Calanoida (Copenoda) Diaptomus ashlandi Diaptomus ashlandi Diaptomus ashlandi Diaptomus ashlandi Diaptomus ashlandi Diaptomus ashlandi Diaptomus ashlandi Diaptomus ashlandi Diaptomus ashlandi Diaptomus ashlandi Calanoida Juvenia unid. Calanoida Juvenia unid. Calanoida auvili unid. Calanoida Juvenia unid. Calanoida Juvenia unid. Calanoida Juvenia unid. Calanoida Juvenia unid. Calanoida Juvenia unid. Calanoida Juvenia unid. Calanoida Juvenia unid. Cyclops teuspidatus thomasi (ropocy upralis (ropocy upralis) Marpacticoida Juvenia unid. Marpacticoida Juvenia unid. Marpacticoida Juvenia unid. Marpacticoida Juvenia unid. Marpacticoida Juvenia unid. Marpacticoida Juvenia unid. Marpacticoida Juvenia unid. Marpacticoida Juvenia unid. Total density (No./m³) Jul Dec Annua 1 Taxa 17 25 .13 Hean т т · T ł Ŧ τ т т т Ţ Т T Ť ĩ Т т т т 1. Т T 10 11 9 13 2 τ T Т Ţ τ τ т Q, á ъ 724 3,102 2,205 3,727 2,924 2,221 2,904 18,865 150,916 126,119 782,960 572,571 1,721,938 355,904 Total density (No./m³) 637,725 462,211 16,490 549,322 182,539 90,441 208,455 65,272 90,428 13,959 252,580 *T = <0.5%.

Table J-13 (Page 2 of 2)

Taxa Protozoa	Jan 18	26 8	Feb 8 23	8	lar 22	Ap 10	22	May 12	26	Jun 19	30	Ju1 ]4 .	27	Aug 11	25	Sep 17	28	0ct 13	27	Nov 9	30	Dec 21	Jan 6
Cillata Tintinnidae Vorticellidae Cillata unid. Surtacia	14			<b>1</b>			7		6	т	т			•						т			3
Actinetidae Suctoria unid. Protozoa unid. Rotifera	2	1	3 1	I -	1		3	1	т	т	ı			т									
Brachionidae Keratella cochearis Keratella crassa Keratella erassa Keratella erassa Keratella gudrata Keratella gudrata Keratella gudrata Brachionus calyciflorus Brachionus guderidentatus Brachionus guderidentatus Brachionus guderidentatus	9 1 16 1	15 12 13 T	3 2 3 1	2	т 3 1 2	ı	T T	T T T T	12 1 4 1 3 1	1 3 8 6	1 1 7 2 1	1 4 T	11 35 1 T	1 30 2 1	T 39 4	4 11 2 T 1	5 18 7	5 3 9 T	20 1 5	23 2 8 2	10 1 3 8 7 1	49 1 3 7 T T	58 5 1 3 1 1 1
Euchlanis dilitata Kellicottia longispina Notholca acuminata Notholca squamula Notholca sp. Lecan sp.	2	<b>2</b> 1	5 1	1 2	4 12	т 1	2 65	1 9 2	3 5 T	4	<b>4</b>	5	3	4	T	1	ו ז	т	· 1	3	8	3 Т	6 1 1
Monostyla sp. Cephalodella sp. Conochilus unicomis Conochilis sp. Conochilidae unid. Filinia longiseta									ء 1	۱	7	8	т 7 т	т Т	Ţ			Т	т		T	, T T	ו ד
Ascomorpha sp. Asplanchna sp. Polyarthra vulgaris Polyarthra vulgaris Polyarthra dolchoptera Polyarthra major Polyarthra sp. Synchaeta stylata Synchaeta stylata	T 2	т						2	ז וו	18 13 23	9 6 4	ן 17 1 ד 8	ד וו ד ד	14 19	21 5 1 T	2 1 29 17 2 1	2 34 4	ו 15 5 1	т 5 1 Т	ו 6 1 1	1 2 29	1 5 2 2	2 3
Synchaeta pectinata Synchaeta sp. Trichocerca multicrinis Trichocerca sp. Ploeosoma Nudsoni Ploeosoma Truncatum Ploeosoma Tenticujare	4	<b>2</b>	т		ı		3	63	32	3 1	15 1	3 ד וו	3	Ť	4 7	1 2 T	Ŧ	1 3 T	1 T 1	4 T 1 1	- 18 T T 1	1 8 1	T
Ploeosoma sp. Collotheca motabilis Collotheca sp. Bdelloida sp. Rotifera unid.	<b>2</b> 1	т	T	т	2	т	1	T 4	5	T T	T 1 T	1 T	1	3	١	1	T T	ו ד	Ŧ	2 T 1	ז ד	١	1 6
													•	·									
															-								

Table J-14 (Page 1 of 2) Percent Relative Abundance of Zooplankton (Night) in Entrainment Samples James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant, 1978

Table J-14 (Page 2 of 2)



J-28

science services division

- 8- - 19

#### Table J-15

Number of Total Zooplankton Collected in Entrainment Samples, James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant, 1978

· .

				Day			1				Night		
Date	Time (0-2400)	Intake Temp (°C)	Δ٢	No. Pumps Circ./Ser.*	Density (No./m ³ )	Standard Error	Date	Time (0-2400	Intake ) Temp (°C)	ΔT	No. Pumps Circ./Ser.*	Density (No./m ³ )	Standard Error
17 Jan	1035	0.4	20.2	3/1	3472.9	155.4	18 Jan	0015	0.4	20.1	3/1	3269.0	269.0
25 Jan	1100	0.7	14.3	3/1	3100.5	62.3	25 Jan	2345	0.4	14.7	3/1	2342.9	57.1
07 Feb	1035	1.2	16.8	3/1	2206.4	238.1	08 Feb	0000	0.6	17.2	3/1	1945.2	135.7
21 Feb	1045	1.0	16.8	3/1	3727.3	645.8	23 Feb	0000	1.1	17.3	3/1	6900.2	949.1
07 Mar	1150	0.7	21.9	3/1	2924.1	252.1	08 Mar	0000	0.9	21.7	3/1	2097.9	177.8
21 Mar	1100	1.4	19.4	3/1	2221.0	34.5	22 Mar	0005	1.4	18.9	3/1	2644.2	272.5
06 Apr	1035	1.8	19.0	3/1	2904.3	433.3	10 Apr	0005	2.7	19.8	3/1	6793.9	686.0
21 Apr	0955	4.7	9.5	2/1	18865.0	1209.0	22 Apr	0000	5.5	14.2	2/1	7477.2	247.6
11 May	1100	7.2	16.0	3/1	150916.2	228.5	12 May	0100	5.6	15.6	3/1	62731.1	15074.0
25 May	1110	10.2	15.6	3/1	126118.6	49484.2	26 May	0000	10.6	15.7	3/1	167320.5	16827.1
18 Jun	1112	13.3	15.0	3/2	782959.6	21801.3	19 Jun	2400	13.7	16.3	3/2	660803.9	66962.9
29 Jun	1235	14.8	16.4	3/2	572570.8	26433.9	30 Jun	2400	15.4	16.2	3/2	348242.6	12052.7
13 Jul	1335	17.6	15.2	3/2	1721938.0	87660.0	14 Jul	2330	20.0	10.1	3/2	683565.6	11142.7
27 Júl	1125	22.2	15.6	3/2	355903.9	45365.1	28 Jul	2345	22.6	15.6	3/2	882793.1	41269.9
10 Aug	1115	22.7	15.2	3/2	637724.5	18465.6	11 Aug	0005	23.3	15.2	3/2	839745.7	45079.3
24 Aug	1120	23.4	12.9	3/2	462211.2	10994.7	25 Aug	0005	22.8	12.2	3/2	602073.3	21375.6
15 Sep	1115	6.0	10.9	3/1	16490.3	271.6	17 Sep	0000	12.5	0.2	2/1	87956.1	972.2
27 Sen	1200	6.0	10.9	3/1	549322.1	1442.9	28 Sep	0000	15.4	0.4	1/1	686065.8	44797.2
12 Oct	1109	14.4	14.4	1/1	182539.1	28730.1	13 Oct	0009	14.6	14.6	. 1/1	233928.1	2182.5
26 Oct	1051	12.3	12.3	1/1	90440.6	8694.7	27 Oct	0009	12.3	12.3	1/1	196983.6	20052.9
8 Nov	1100	11 0	12.8	0/3	208454 5	28349.2	9 Nov	2400	11.3	12.7	0/1	166840.7	100301.2
20 Nov	1041	£ 6	6.9	1/2	65271 9	1386 1	30 Nov	0100	7.0	7.3	1/2	83789.2	1448.7
29 100	1041	0.5	0.5	1/2	03271.0	1000.1	21 500	0100	1.6	1/1.0	3/2	90447 0	8817.5
20 Dec	1035	0.9	13.9	2/2	90427.8	10181.1	21 Dec	0100	1.0	17.0	2/2	8552 0	124.3
4 Jan	1000	1.6	15,9	2/2	11958.8	861.9	i o Jan	0000	1.5	17.9	2/2	0332.3	12410

*Circulating pumps = 120,000 gpm each; service pumps = 18,000 gpm each.

J-29

#### Table J-16 (Page 1 of 2)

											_											_	
					I	ntake				Di	schar	ge				3° S1	mulati	ion		2° 51	mulat	ion	
Date	Time ^a	Intake Temp, (°C) ^b	∆T	Num D R-1	iber lead ^c R-2	Tot Col R-1	al 1'd ^d R-2	g Dead ^e	Num De R-1	ber ad ^C R-2	Tot Col R-1	al 1'd ^d R-2	% Dead ^e	Num De R-1	ad R-2	Tot Col R-1	a] 1'd ^d R-2	% Dead ^e	Numi Dei R-1	ber ad R-2	Tot Col R-1	al 1'd ^d R-2	% Dead ^e
17 Jan	1035	0.4	20.2	53	35	111	56	52.7	27	28	36	52	62.5	41	77	102	122	52.7	42	114	67	136	75.9
18 Jan	0015	0.4	20.1	51	84	93	175	50.4	139	97	197	149	68.2	82	76	111	111	71.2	119	77	184	156	57.6
25 Jan	1100	0.7	14.3	57	64	83	132	56.3	45	84	75	125	64.5	78	44	115	70	65.9	85	75	131	136	59.9
25 Jan	2345	0.4	14.7	47	36	95	82	46.9	88	68	118	77	80.0	52	80	135	150	46.3	109	90	148	111	76.8
07 Feb	1035	1.2	16.8	39	27	73	80	43.1	105	75	130	124	70.9	61	58	117	109	52.7	34	52	69	85	55,8
08 Feb	0000	0.6	17.2	40	51	100	111	43.1	96	140	124	187	75.9	41	95	59	108	81.4	65	73	105	116	62,4
21 Feb	1045	1.0	16.8	24	24	82	94	27.3	38	77	103	117	52.3	41	47	105	61	53.0	82	29	106	86	57,8
23 Feb	0000	1.1	17.3	15	40	179	144	17.0	26	36	142	156	20.8	30	42	87	123	34.3	26	45	103	157	27,3
07 Mar	1150	0.7	21.9	20	33	127	101	23.2	28	93	180	245	28.5	42	34	163	142	24.9	12	16	224	161	7.3
08 Mar	0000	0.9	21.7	66	42	138	132	40.0	120	177	175	227	73.9	52	65	90	92	64.3	65	64	126	106	55.6
21 Mar	1100	1.4	19.4	36	43	62	98	49.4	131	114	180	156	72.9	70	55	108	79	66.8	62	44	165	81	43.1
22 Mar	0005	1.4	18.9	20	87	103	214	33.8	90	60	124	122	61.0	48	46	161	106	35.2	51	63	122	111	48.9
06 Apr	1035	1.8	19.0	30	46	94	103	38.6	96	78	145	141	60.8	32	26	93	87	32.2	68	39	133	103	45.3
10 Apr	0005	2.7	19.8	25	29	193	174	14.7	36	120	122	233	43.9	36	49	147	161	27.6	102	57	176	151	48.6
21 Apr	0935	4.7	9.5	36	62	419	472	11.0	60	68	165	253	30.6	46	64	302	319	17.7	114	45	428	265	22.9
22 Apr	0000	5.5	14.2	35	67	194	184	27.0	120	137	224	179	63.8	39	37	181	231	18.4	86	85	238	196	39.4
11 May	1100	7.2	16.0	127	104	459	320	29.7	174	163	308	249	60.5	188	179	310	346	55.9 [†]	216	210	349	473	51.8 [†]
12 May	0100	5.6	15.6	48	60	291	288	18.7	86	212	152	330	61.8	57	78	290	385	20.0	62	53	285	249	21.5
25 May	1110	10.2	15.6	113	118	320	299	37.3	141	141	233	262	57.0	94	102	281	288	34.4	139	197	287	438	46.3
26 May	0000	10.6	15.7	154	88	497	254	32.2	97	138	174	249	55.6	87	67	216	219	35.4	145	92	281	228	46.6
18 Jun 19 Jun 27 Jun 29 Jun 30 Jun	1112 0000 1305 1229 0000	13.3 13.7 19.3 14.8 15.4	15.0 16.3 13.4 16.4 16.2	271 182 85 56	389 135 - 93 96	493 367 276 194	639 262 340 221	58.3 50.4 28.9 36.6	284 319 142 164	240 204 150 164	630 427 226 289	488 242 217 208	46.9 78.2 65.9 66.0	257 141 113 103	158 98 158 118	421 328 287 224	300 206 362 289	57.6 44.8 41.8 43.1	406 210 143 103	242 161 103 163	520 390 210 250	393 263 248 259	71.0 56.8 53.7† 52.3

Number of Total Microzooplankton in Viability (Dead vs. Live) Samples, James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant, 1978

^aTime of day and night intake samples (2400 hr clock)

^bIntake temperature before tempering

^CNumber of dead organisms observed in each sample

^dTotal number of organisms observed in each sample

Wean % dead equal to total of dead observed in R-1 and R-2 divided by total organisms observed in R-1 and R-2

[†]Samples taken in actual discharge plume rather than simulated

J-30

Table J-16 (Page 2 of 2)

•					·Ir	take				Dis	charg	e			3	° Stm	ulati	on	. 2	2° S10	mulation	
Date	Time ^a	Intake Temp (°C) ^b	ΔT	Numi De R-1	R-2	Tota Coll R-1	1 'd R-2	% Dead ^e	Numb Dea	R-2	Tota Coll R-1	1 'd R-2	% Dead ^e	Numb Dea R-1	er d ^E R-2	Tota Coll R-1	l d R-2	g Dead ^e	Numb Dea R-1	er d ^e R-2	Total Coll'd ^d R-1 R-2	Z Dead ^e
13 Jul	1335	17.6	15.2	155	232	421	481	42.9	321	319	379	380	84.3	118	205	330	300	51.3	181	211	299 340	61.3
14 Jul	2330	20.0	10.1	113	147	161	195	73.0	326	141	395	163	83.7	77	47	160	109	46.1	42	112	103 138	63.9
27 Jul	1125	22.2	15.6	88	65	143	145	53.1	123	228	129	231	97.5	174	116	290	187	60.8	220	207	364 319	62.5 [†]
28 Jul	2345	22.6	15.6	204	154	340	264	59.3	297	241	309	250	96.2	88	85	116	248	47.5	128	168	239 308	54.1
10 Aug	1115	22.7	15.2	153	159	199.	195	79.2	286	196	292	235	91.5	320	242	359	296	85.8	311	183	336 250	84.3
11 Aug	0005	23.3	15.2	149	161	178	260	70.8	288	246	295	250	98.0	70	127	124	166	67.9†	175	131	218 180	76.9 [†]
24 Aug	1120	23.4	12.9	56	78	83	157	55.8	93	139	113	146	89.6	69	60	99	120	58.9	89	112	175 157	60.5
25 Aug	0005	22.8	12.2	56	72	137	176	40.9	125	104	184	165	65.6	101	152	199	204	62.8	83	94	116 139	69.4
15 Sep	1115	6.0	10.9	90	101	141	137	68.7	88	117	113	141	80.7	136	157	197	242	66.7	113	123	160 197	66.1
17 Sep	0000	12.5	0.2	149	228	204	271	79.4	93	156	118	177	84.4	258	269	334	308	82.1	185	319	210 435	78.1
27 Sep	1200	15.0	0.4	342	223	392	360	75.1	244	180	384	226	69.5	199	242	284	400	64.5	217	217	286 309	72.9
28 Sep	0000	15.4	0.4	196	184	384	339	56.6	203	197	260	292	72.5	154	180	233	287	64.2	229	223	276 366	70.4
12 Oct	1109	14.4	0.0	143	178	379	355	43.7	125	103	212	149	63.2	137	139	407	372	35.4	156	234	235 404	61.0
13 Oct	0009	14.6	0.0	61	89	224	208	34.7	70	78	109	129	62.2	54	65	132	186	37.4	130	81	217 130	60.8
26 Oct	1051	12.3	0.0	81	106	167	202	69.0	73	77	181	114	50.8	78	70	145	157	49.0	95	103	204 251	43.5
27 Oct	0009	12.3	0.0	69	97	340	435	21.4	102	74	223	407	27.9	184	81	382	324	37.5	70	57	291 260	23.0
8 Nov	1113	12.8	0.0	201	193	489	402	44.2	130	158	142	178	90.0	144	212	308	425	48.6	201	279	253 488	64.8
9 Nov	0013	12.7	0.1	119	212	346	461	41.0	130	93	163	135	74.8	124	127	319	338	38.2	188	183	362 300	56.0
29 Nov	1041	6.5	0.3	149	104	386	317	36.0	138	140	197	251	62.1	132	117	254	207	54.0	142	153	216 398	48.0
30 Nov	0000	7.0	0.3	156	142	363	408	38.7	109	137	210	228	56.2	93	202	221	504	40.7	146	155	280 279	53.8
20 Dec	1035	0.9	13.9	62	72	276	288	23.8	72	66	99	111	65.7	76	94	241	191	39.4	124	136	237 253	53.1
21 Dec	1002	1.6	12.3	51	73	205	201	30.5	52	79	96	123	59.8	89	84	182	243	40.7	159	123	428 247	41.8
4 Jan	1000	1.6	15.8	63	70	98	91	70.4	61	62	78	69	83.7	81	82	149	101	65.2	107	71	122 108	77.4
6 Jan	0002	1.5	17.9	40	121	125	264	41.4	83	101	126	113	77.0	172	115	266	192	62.7	93	88	162 133	61.4

^aMilitary time.

^bIntake temperature before tempering.

^CNumber of dead organisms observed in each sample.

^dTotal number of organisms observed in each sample.

"Mean \$ dead equal to total of dead observed in R-1 and R-2 divided by total organisms observed in R-1 and R-2.

[†]Samples taken in actual discharge plume rather than simulated

194.57

.

## Attachment 2

James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant

License Renewal Application - Amendment 1

Reference for RAI E-1-h-1



1.19.19

.

- D - J

## Attachment 2

James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant

License Renewal Application – Amendment 1

Reference for RAI E-1-h-2

Figure E-1-h-2



Figure E-1-h-2: Lake Ontario water circulation patterns

1. 1.1

) († . comstând

Attachment 2

James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant

Contract License: Renewal Application – Amendment 1

Reference for RAI E-1-h-3

#### Figure E-1-h-3



Figure E-1-h-3. Shape and Dimensions of the Hydraulic Zone of Influence for the JAFNPP Cooling Water Intake near nine Mile Point of Lake Ontario.

## JAFP-06-0167 Docket No. 50-333

and the second second

and the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second sec

Attachment 2

## James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant

License Renewal Application – Amendment 1

Reference for RAI E-1-h-4

## HYDRAULIC ZONE OF INFLUENCE (ESTIMATED CALCULATION)

# SUPPORTING THE SAMPLING PLAN INCLUDED WITHIN THE PROPOSAL FOR INFORMATION COLLECTION CLEAN WATER ACT §316(b) PHASE II REGULATIONS

# JAMES A. FITZPATRICK NUCLEAR POWER PLANT (SPDES PERMIT NO. NY 0020109) LYCOMING, NEW YORK

Submitted By



ENTERGY NUCLEAR FITZPATRICK, LLC James A FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant 277 Lake Road East Oswego, New York 13126

**Prepared In Consultation with** 



Enercon Services, Inc. and Normandeau and Associates, Inc.



25 Nashua Road Bedford, NH 03110-5500

500 Town Park Lane, Suite 275 Kennesaw, GA 30144

8 March 2006

	Design	Calculations an	d Analysis Cover Shee	t
ALION SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY	Form 3.4.1	Revision: 2	Effective: 6/28/05	Pg. 1 of 1

Document No: ALION-CAL-ENER-3161-01	R	evision: 0	Page 1 of 37
Document Title: Fitzpatrick CWIS CFD Analysis Ca	lculation		
Project No: 262-0-3161			Tanina an an ann an ann an ann an ann an ann an a
Project Name: Intake Flow Distribution for Fitzpatric	k Electric Power Gener	ation Plant	
Client: Enercon Engineering Services Incorporated		en en en en en en en en en en en en en e	
Document Purpose/Summary:	di 198 - Angel y Benger, ay year ar ear meannadh		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
The purpose of this document is to present the inputs, assur dynamics (CFD) calculation. This calculation was perform Fitzpatrick Nuclear Power Plant CWIS (cooling water intak Based on the CFD analysis, the HZOI is estimated and show	nptions, methodology, and ed to predict the hydraulic ce structure) as part of the wn as iso-surfaces around	l results of a sing zone of influenc U.S. EPA's Clear the CWIS.	te computational fluid (HZOI) for the James A. n Water Act, Section 316b.
All software used in the preparation of this calcu	lation was done so in		with OAP 3.5 Use of
Computer Software and Error Reporting latest r	evision.		Date: $3/7/\infty$
Computer Software and Error Reporting latest r Preparer Signature:	QA Applicabilit	y Level:	Date: $3/7/c$
Computer Software and Error Reporting latest r Preparer Signature: Design Verification Method: Design Review	QA Applicabilit	y Level:	Date: $3/7/\infty$
Computer Software and Error Reporting latest repreparer Signature:	QA Applicabilit QA Applicabilit QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long State QA Long Stat	ry Level: fety Related	Date: $3/7/\infty$
All software used in the preparation of this called         Computer Software and Error Reporting latest r         Preparer Signature:         Design Verification Method:         Design Review         Alternative Calculation         Qualification Testing	QA Applicabilit QA Applicabilit Nuclear Sa Quality Sig Nuclear No	y Level: fety Related gnificant	Date: $3/7/\infty$ ed
All software used in the preparation of this calculation dependence of the preparation of this calculation         Design Verification Method:         Design Review         Alternative Calculation         Qualification Testing         Professional Engineer (if required)         Approval	QA Applicabilit QA Applicabilit Nuclear Sa Quality Sig Nuclear No	y Level: fety Related gnificant on-Safety Relate	ed
All software used in the preparation of this calc         Computer Software and Error Reporting latest r         Preparer Signature:	QA Applicabilit QA Applicabilit Nuclear Sa Quality Sig Nuclear No	y Level: fety Related gnificant on-Safety Relate Date	ed $\frac{3/7/cc}{3/7/cc}$
All software used in the preparation of this calc         Computer Software and Error Reporting latest r         Preparer Signature:	QA Applicabilit evision. QA Applicabilit 	y Level: fety Related gnificant on-Safety Relate Date ure	ed $\frac{3/7/0}{0}$ $\frac{3/7/0}{0}$ $\frac{3/7/0}{0}$ Date $\frac{3/7/0}{0}$

Ø		REVISION	HISTORY LOG	
ALION SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY	Form 6.1.3	Revision: 0	Effective Date: 6/28/05	Page 1 of 1

Document No: ALION-CAL-ENER-3161-01	Revision: 0	Page 2 of 37

Document Title: Fitzpatrick CWIS CFD Analysis Calculation

Revision	Description
0	Original Document Issue

## Fitzpatrick CWIS CFD Analysis Calculation

Document No: ALION-CAL-ENER-3161-01

Page: 3 of 37

Rev: 0

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Design Calculations and Analysis Cover Sheet				
Revision History Log				
Sable of Contents   3				
ist of Figures				
Definitions and Acronyms				
l Purpose				
2 Design Input and Assumptions	7			
3 Methodology	3			
4 Analysis	)			
4.1 CAD Model	)			
4.2 Computational Mesh	3			
4.3 Boundary Conditions	1			
4.4 Modeling of the Mass Sink	5			
4.5 Turbulence Modeling	7			
4.6 Steady-State Calculation	3			
4.7 Graphical Determination of the Hydraulic Zone of Influence	)			
4.8 CWIS Figures and Results	)			
5 Summary and Conclusions	5			
5 References	7			
Attachment A – Design Input Email #1 Al	1			
Attachment B – Design Input Email #2B1				
Attachment C – Design Input Email #3C1				

Fitzpatrick CWIS CFD Analysis Calculation

Document No: ALION-CAL-ENER-3161-01

Page: 4 of 37

Rev: 0

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 4.1.1 – Intake Structure View From Shore Looking North	9
Figure 4.1.2 - Intake Structure Cut-Away Viewed from the Southeast 1	0
Figure 4.1.3 – Intake Structure Southwest View from Shore	1
Figure 4.1.4 - Intake Structure Section View from East Toward West 1	2
Figure 4.2.1 – Mesh Configuration 1	3
Figure 4.3.1 – Velocity Boundary Conditions and Problem Setup 1	5
Figure 4.4.1 – Mass Sink Location	6
Figure 4.6.1 – Mean Kinetic Energy Change Over Time 1	8
Figure 4.8.1 – Absolute Velocity Magnitude Streamlines, Overhead View	:0
Figure 4.8.2 - Absolute Velocity Magnitude Streamlines, Front View (North is Into Page) 2	!1
Figure 4.8.3 – Absolute Velocity Magnitude Streamlines, Southeast View	21
Figure 4.8.4 – Absolute Lake Velocity Magnitude and Unit Vectors at Bottom of CWIS 2	2
Figure 4.8.5 – Absolute Lake Velocity Magnitude and Unit Vectors at Centerline of CWIS 2	:3
Figure 4.8.6 – Absolute Lake Velocity Magnitude and Unit Vectors Just Above the CWIS 2	:4
Figure 4.8.7 – Absolute Lake Velocity Magnitude and Unit Vectors at Lake Surface 2	:5
Figure 4.8.8 – Southwest View of the Velocity Iso-Surfaces Showing the Impingement HZOI	
(0.5 fps above ambient is blue)	:6
Figure 4.8.9 - Front View of the Velocity Iso-Surfaces Showing the Impingement HZOI (0.5 fp	S
above ambient is blue) 2	:6
Figure 4.8.10 – Top View of the Velocity Iso-Surfaces Showing the Impingement HZOI (0.5 fp	S
above ambient is blue) 2	:7
Figure 4.8.11 – Velocity Iso-Surfaces, Top View	8
Figure 4.8.12 – Absolute Velocity Magnitude Contours Above Ambient at Lake Bottom (Near	
CWIS) 2	:9
Figure 4.8.13 – Absolute Velocity Magnitude Contours Above Ambient at Vertical Centerline o	f
Lake	0
Figure 4.8.14 – Absolute Velocity Magnitude Contours Above Ambient at Surface of Lake 3	1
Figure 4.8.15 – Absolute Velocity Magnitude Contours Below Ambient at Lake Bottom	2
Figure 4.8.16 – Area in which the Velocity Magnitude is Greater than 5% of Ambient at the	
Lake Bottom	3
Figure 4.8.17 – Area in which the Velocity Magnitude is Greater than 5% of Ambient at the	
Centerline of the CWIS	4
Figure 4.8.18 – Area in which the Velocity Magnitude is Greater than 5% of Ambient at the	_
Lake Surface	5

A L I O N SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

Fitzpatrick CWIS CFD Analysis Calculation

Document No: ALION-CAL-ENER-3161-01

Page: 5 of 37

Rev: 0

## **DEFINITIONS AND ACRONYMS**

Acronym	Definition
CAD	Computer Aided Drafting
CFD	<b>Computational Fluid Dynamics</b>
CWIS	Cooling Water Intake Structure
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
FPS	Feet per Second
GPM	Gallons per Minute
HZOI	Hydraulic Zone of Influence
JAF	James A. Fitzpatrick
QA	Quality Assurance
RNG	Renormalized Group Theory
STL	Stereolithography

	Fitzpatrick CWIS CFD Analysis Calculation			
	Document No: ALION-CAL-ENER-3161-01	Rev: 0	Page: 6 of 37	

#### **1 PURPOSE**

The purpose of this document is to present the inputs, assumptions, methodology, and results of a single computational fluid dynamics (CFD) calculation. This calculation was performed to predict the hydraulic zone of influence (HZOI) for the James A. Fitzpatrick (JAF) Nuclear Power Plant CWIS (cooling water intake structure) as part of the U.S. EPA's Clean Water Act, Section 316b.

The (HZOI) of an intake extends through that portion of a source water body that is affected by the intake flows. In the context of the 316(b) rule, the primary reason for determining an intake's HZOI is to establish where water entering the intake comes from and to use this information to improve the design of biological sampling programs meant to assess the impact of the intake's operation on organisms found in the surrounding areas. For example, the results of the CFD model can be used to determine local velocities in the vicinity of the CWIS. These velocities can, in turn, be compared to sustained and burst swimming speed data for organisms living near the CWIS and the likelihood for the entrainment determined.

This report defines the HZOI boundary for entrainment and impingement at the JAF intake structure. The HZOI boundary for entrainment of fish eggs and larvae is defined in this report as the calculated Lake Ontario nearfield current velocity that is 5% or greater than ambient lake currents due to the influence of the JAF CWIS. The HZOI boundary for impingement of juvenile and older fish is defined in this report as the calculated Lake Ontario nearfield current velocity that is 0.5 feet per second (fps) or more greater than ambient lake currents due to the influence of the JAF CWIS. The ambient conditions and CWIS operating conditions are defined in Section 2.
Fitzpatrick CWIS CFD Analysis Calculation

Document No: ALION-CAL-ENER-3161-01 | Rev: 0 | Page: 7 of 37

#### **2** DESIGN INPUT AND ASSUMPTIONS

This section lists the design inputs used in the debris transport analysis, as well as the major assumptions made.

#### 1) CAD Input

a. The Fitzpatrick CWIS dimensions were obtained from various drawings [1].

#### 2) CFD Model

- a. The water intake flow rate was set to 388,600 gpm [Attachment 2].
- b. The lake bottom elevation at the intake structure was set as 218.8'. The bottom of the intake opening was set at 222.8'. The top of the intake was set to 232.8'. The water level was set at 246.8', or an elevation of 14' above the top of the intake [Attachment 1].
- c. The water temperature used for the analysis was  $54^{\circ}$  F which represents the average measured water temperature for 2004 [Attachment 3]. This temperature gives a fluid density of 62.40 lb/ft³ and a fluid viscosity of  $8.2593 \times 10^{-4}$  slug/ft/sec. Experience has shown that a large change in water temperature has little to no effect on the results of the CFD calculation.
- d. The lake bottom was modeled as a simple grade of 2° from the shoreline out toward the center of the lake [Attachment 2].
- e. The Fitzpatrick CWIS sits in the southeast portion of Lake Ontario, which has a general counter-clockwise circular flow at an average of 0.29 fps [Attachment 2]. Given this, the western and southern boundaries were set as velocity boundary conditions with a velocity of 0.29 fps introduced at an angle of 20° northeast of the east-west centerline. Also, the entire fluid domain was initialized at this velocity as well. This will be discussed in greater detail in Section 4.3.

Document No: ALION-CAL-ENER-3161-01

Rev: 0

#### **3 METHODOLOGY**

The steps taken to accomplish the Fitzpatrick CWIS analysis were:

- 1. A 3-dimensional model of the Fitzpatrick CWIS was created using computer aided drafting (CAD) software based on building drawings. The CAD model was then incorporated into the CFD model.
- 2. The mesh in the CFD model was nodalized to sufficiently resolve the features of the CAD model, but at the same time keep the cell count low enough for the simulation to run in a reasonable amount of time.
- 3. Boundary and initial conditions in the CFD model were defined consistent with the intake location and flow rate and the lake depth, temperature, and velocity.
- 4. At the CWIS intake pipe, a mass sink was added to the model to remove the appropriate amount of water.
- 5. The appropriate turbulence modeling equations were selected for the CFD model.
- 6. A single calculation was carried out to achieve a steady-state condition. After running the CFD calculation, the mean kinetic energy was checked to verify that the model had been run long enough to reach steady-state conditions.
- 7. A graphical determination of the HZOI was made from the velocity fields calculated in the CFD calculations.

The CFD calculation for the Fitzpatrick CWIS model was performed using Flow-3D[®] Version 9.0. Flow-3D[®] is a commercially available general-purpose computer code for modeling the dynamic behavior of liquids and gasses influenced by a wide variety of physical processes. The program is based on the fundamental laws of mass, momentum, and energy conservation. It has been constructed for the treatment of time-dependent multi-dimensional problems, and is applicable to most flow processes.

A LION SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY	Fitzpatrick CWIS CFD Analysis Calculation			
	Document No: ALION-CAL-ENER-3161-01	Rev: 0	Page: 9 of 37	

# 4 ANALYSIS

Following the basic methodology presented in the previous section, the Fitzpatrick CWIS and subsequent flow patterns produced were analyzed.

# 4.1 CAD Model

The first step in the analysis was to construct a 3-dimensional CAD model of the CWIS. This was done using Autodesk's Inventor[®] version 10 software based on structural drawings [1]. The CAD model was built from the bottom (elevation 218.8') to the top (elevation 232.8') of the CWIS. The lake bottom was modeled as a simple grade of 2° from the shoreline out toward the center of the lake. These files were exported to a stereolithography (STL) format to be used as input for the CFD model. Figures 4.1.1 through 4.1.4 show various views of the structural information contained in the STL files.



Figure 4.1.1 – Intake Structure View From Shore Looking North





Figure 4.1.2 – Intake Structure Cut-Away Viewed from the Southeast







ŀ

10 FEET

Figure 4.1.3 – Intake Structure Southwest View from Shore









	Fitzpatrick CWIS CFD Analysis Calculation		
ALION SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY	Document No: ALION-CAL-ENER-3161-01	Rev: 0	Page: 13 of 37

# 4.2 Computational Mesh

Four rectangular meshes were defined in the CFD model. The first mesh was set to a resolution of 6" per cell in each direction that was fine enough to resolve the important features of the CWIS and the flow patterns generated by it, but not so fine that the simulation would take a prohibitively long period of time to run. The second mesh was set to a resolution of 1' per cell and bounded the inner mesh. The third mesh was set to 2' per cell and bounded both of the previous two meshes. The 1' and 2' meshes are in the problem to provide a transition from the smallest 6" mesh to the largest and final 4' mesh. Without the inner meshes, there would be too large a gap between the mesh sizes, which can cause numerical errors in the calculation. The final 4' per cell mesh extends 500 feet in each direction from the intake structure. The total number of cells in the problem is 2,943,480 and the computational extents of the problem are shown below in Figure 4.2.1. To summarize, the mesh configuration gives a resolution of 6" (red mesh) in the vicinity of the intake, a 1' resolution (green mesh) out to a distance of 80' in each direction from the intake, and a resolution of 2' (blue mesh) out to a distance of 500' in each direction from the intake. The mesh lengths have been labeled in a plan view in Figure 4.3.1.



**Figure 4.2.1 – Mesh Configuration** 

Fitzpatrick CWIS CFD Analysi		Calculatio	on
ALION SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY	Document No: ALION-CAL-ENER-3161-01	Rev: 0	Page: 14 of 37

#### 4.3 Boundary Conditions

Boundary conditions are set at the minimum and maximum bounds of the x, y, and z planes. The boundaries of the inner meshes are irrelevant as they are automatically set up as a continuation of the outer mesh. For the outer mesh, the minimum z boundary condition (lake floor) was set to a wall, however this boundary condition is irrelevant as the lake bottom acts as the fluid boundary. The maximum z boundary condition (above the water level) was set as a specified pressure boundary with a null value as the reference pressure for the calculation. The maximum x (west) and maximum y (north, away from shoreline) boundaries were set to pressure boundaries so that fluid could enter or leave the problem as needed. The minimum x (east) and minimum y (south, toward shoreline) boundaries were set as velocity boundary conditions to simulate the counter-clockwise flow of Lake Ontario. The boundaries (and entire initial fluid field) were given a velocity of 0.2725 fps in the x direction and a velocity of 0.0992 in the y direction. This creates velocity vectors that enter the domain and point to an angle of 20° northeast of the east-west centerline of the problem. This boundary condition is illustrated below in Figure 4.3.1. The colored lines are the same mesh boundaries as defined in Section 4.2.





Figure 4.3.1 – Velocity Boundary Conditions and Problem Setup

A LION SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY	Fitzpatrick CWIS CFD Analysis Calculation		
	Document No: ALION-CAL-ENER-3161-01	Rev: 0	Page: 16 of 37

# 4.4 Modeling of the Mass Sink

The Fitzpatrick CWIS draws in water at a flow rate of 388,600 gpm. A cylindrical mass sink was placed at the inlet pipe of the model to draw water through the intake and out of the lake. A "cap" was placed over the bottom of the mass sink in order to prevent it from drawing water out of the problem from the bottom of the intake. The mass sink's placement (blue cylinder) in the CWIS is illustrated below in Figure 4.4.1.



Figure 4.4.1 – Mass Sink Location



# Fitzpatrick CWIS CFD Analysis Calculation

Document No: ALION-CAL-ENER-3161-01 | Rev: 0 | Page: 17 of 37

#### 4.5 Turbulence Modeling

Several different turbulence modeling approaches can be selected for a Flow-3D[®] calculation. The approaches are (ranging from least to most sophisticated):

- Prandtl mixing length
- Turbulent energy model
- Two-equation k-ε model
- Renormalized group theory (RNG) model
- Large eddy simulation model

The RNG turbulence model was judged to be the most appropriate for this CFD analysis due to the large spectrum of length scales that exist in the lake model. The RNG approach applies statistical methods in a derivation of the averaged equations for turbulence quantities (such as turbulent kinetic energy and its dissipation rate). RNG-based turbulence schemes rely less on empirical constants while setting a framework for the derivation of a range of models at different scales. Sensitivity calculations have shown that Flow-3D[®] calculations utilizing the more sophisticated turbulence models (the RNG model included) give results that differ significantly from calculations utilizing the less sophisticated models. Differences in results between calculations made with the more sophisticated models have been shown to be slight.

	Fitzpatrick CWIS CFD Analysis Calculation		
SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY	Document No: ALION-CAL-ENER-3161-01	Rev: 0	Page: 18 of 37

# 4.6 Steady-State Calculation

The CFD calculation was initiated with a velocity condition described previously in Section 4.3. The calculation was run long enough for steady-state conditions to develop in order for the results to remain constant over time so the true effects of the CWIS could be analyzed. The time needed for the calculation to reach steady stated amounted to 50 seconds of real time, which took close to 6 days of computer time on a dual Opteron 870 Linux machine. Calculated mean kinetic energy in the flow field as a function of time is shown in Figure 4.6.1. When this parameter stops changing, it is a good indication that steady-state has been achieved and results will remain constant.



Figure 4.6.1 – Mean Kinetic Energy Change Over Time

Ø	Fitzpatrick CWIS CFD Analysis	Fitzpatrick CWIS CFD Analysis Calculation		
ALION SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY	Document No: ALION-CAL-ENER-3161-01 Rev: 0 Page: 19 of 37		Page: 19 of 37	

# 4.7 Graphical Determination of the Hydraulic Zone of Influence

The following steps were taken to determine the HZOI for the Fitzpatrick CWIS.

- The intake was rendered along with velocity magnitude colored streamlines to illustrate where the water entering the intake was coming from and at what velocity it was being drawn in at.
- Colored velocity magnitude maps with x-y velocity unit vectors were created at horizontal elevations throughout the lake to show the velocity and flow direction of the lake.
- 3D iso-surfaces bounded by velocity magnitudes were created at various velocity values to show the near-field effects the intake is having on the lake.
- Velocity magnitude maps with colored contour lines were created at horizontal elevations throughout the lake to show the far-field extents of the effects the intake is having on the lake.
- Colored computational surfaces were created at various lake elevations that show the HZOI as a green area which is defined as an area which has a velocity magnitude greater than 5% of ambient.

	Fitzpatrick CWIS CFD Analysis Calculation			
SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY	Document No: ALION-CAL-ENER-3161-01	Rev: 0	Page: 20 of 37	

# 4.8 CWIS Figures and Results

Figures 4.8.1 through 4.8.3 show an up close rendering of the CWIS with streamlines. The streamlines are seeded on a surface inside the CWIS. These figures show where the intake water is being drawn from. The streamlines are colored by absolute velocity magnitude (not relative to the lake current) where the maximum (red) value is set to 0.5 fps.



Figure 4.8.1 – Absolute Velocity Magnitude Streamlines, Overhead View



Figure 4.8.2 – Absolute Velocity Magnitude Streamlines, Front View (North is Into Page)



Figure 4.8.3 – Absolute Velocity Magnitude Streamlines, Southeast View

Figures 4.8.4 through 4.8.7 show horizontal slices of the lake at various elevations. The vectors are unit vectors representing the velocity magnitude in the x and y directions. The colored surface represents absolute velocity magnitude. Note that in Figures 4.8.4 and 4.8.5, the lake bottom slopes up toward the shore (south), this is the reason why the figure seems "cut off".



Figure 4.8.4 – Absolute Lake Velocity Magnitude and Unit Vectors at Bottom of CWIS





Figure 4.8.5 – Absolute Lake Velocity Magnitude and Unit Vectors at Centerline of CWIS





Figure 4.8.6 – Absolute Lake Velocity Magnitude and Unit Vectors Just Above the CWIS





Figure 4.8.7 – Absolute Lake Velocity Magnitude and Unit Vectors at Lake Surface

Figures 4.8.8 through 4.8.10 show the CWIS and three iso-surfaces colored by velocity magnitude relative to the lake current. Keep in mind that the lake is flowing in at 0.29 fps toward the east and north of the intake. The inner red surface shows areas where the velocity magnitude is 1 fps or greater than ambient. The middle blue surface shows areas where the velocity magnitude is 0.5 fps or greater than ambient. The outer yellow surface shows areas where the velocity magnitude is 0.4 fps or greater than ambient.



Figure 4.8.8 – Southwest View of the Velocity Iso-Surfaces Showing the Impingement HZOI (0.5 fps above ambient is blue)



Figure 4.8.9 – Front View of the Velocity Iso-Surfaces Showing the Impingement HZOI (0.5 fps above ambient is blue)





Figure 4.8.10 – Top View of the Velocity Iso-Surfaces Showing the Impingement HZOI (0.5 fps above ambient is blue)

Figure 4.8.10 shows the furthest distance from the intake structure for each velocity value. The furthest distance from the intake where the velocity due to the influence of the JAF CWIS is 0.4 fps or higher above ambient is 6 feet. The furthest distance from the intake where the velocity due to the influence of the JAF CWIS is 1 fps or higher above ambient is 1.5 feet. The furthest distance from the intake where the velocity due to the influence of the JAF CWIS is 0.5 fps or higher above ambient is 5 feet.



Figure 4.8.11 shows a zoomed out view of the previous 3 figures. The region in purple is the area of the entrainment HZOI, where the current velocities are greater than 5% above ambient Lake Ontario current due to the JAF CWIS. The region in light blue is the area where the current velocities are less than 5% below ambient Lake Ontario currents due to the JAF CWIS. This leaves the grey area which represents the area in which the current velocities are within  $\pm$  5% of the ambient Lake Ontario current.



Figure 4.8.11 - Velocity Iso-Surfaces, Top View

	Fitzpatrick CWIS CFD Analysis Calculation		
SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY	Document No: ALION-CAL-ENER-3161-01	Rev: 0	Page: 29 of 37

Figures 4.8.12 through 4.8.14 show the entire range of the CFD problem at different elevations within the lake with colored contour lines spaced in .05 fps intervals from the ambient lake velocity of 0.29 fps. These figures show that water to the far west of the intake for the most part moves along at the ambient lake velocity of 0.29 fps or higher. As water approaches the intake from the west, it of course accelerates. Water to the immediate east, or downstream, of the intake drops down to a lower velocity as the suction of the intake draws against the current of the lake. This effect becomes less and less pronounced the further the water moves from the intake structure. Note that in Figure 4.8.12, the lake bottom slopes up toward the shore (south), this is the reason why the figure seems "cut off".



Figure 4.8.12 – Absolute Velocity Magnitude Contours Above Ambient at Lake Bottom (Near CWIS)



Figure 4.8.13 – Absolute Velocity Magnitude Contours Above Ambient at Vertical Centerline of Lake





Figure 4.8.14 – Absolute Velocity Magnitude Contours Above Ambient at Surface of Lake

	Fitzpatrick CWIS CFD Analysis Calculation			
	Document No: ALION-CAL-ENER-3161-01	Rev: 0	Page: 32 of 37	

Figure 4.8.15 examines the low velocity area directly to the east of the intake structure at a higher resolution. The lowest velocities are experienced at the lake bottom elevation at the intake structure. This area of stall is centered approximately 10 feet east of the intake structure.



Figure 4.8.15 – Absolute Velocity Magnitude Contours Below Ambient at Lake Bottom

A LION SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY	Fitzpatrick CWIS CFD Analysis Calculation		
	Document No: ALION-CAL-ENER-3161-01	Rev: 0	Page: 33 of 37

Figures 4.8.16 through 4.8.18 bound the entrainment HZOI (in green) as a value where the velocity magnitude is greater than 5% (0.3045 fps) of the ambient lake velocity (0.29 fps) at various elevation throughout the lake. Note that in Figure 4.8.16 the lake bottom slopes up toward the shore (south), this is the reason why the figure seems "cut off".



Figure 4.8.16 – Area in which the Velocity Magnitude is Greater than 5% of Ambient at the Lake Bottom

Figure 4.8.16 shows that the entrainment HZOI is approximately 30,011 ft² of the 1,000,000 ft² in the area near the CWIS at the lake bottom, or 3.0% of the total area, with a velocity 5% greater than the ambient velocity (0.29 fps) of the lake.



# Fitzpatrick CWIS CFD Analysis Calculation Rev: 0

Document No: ALION-CAL-ENER-3161-01

Page: 34 of 37



Figure 4.8.17 - Area in which the Velocity Magnitude is Greater than 5% of Ambient at the Centerline of the CWIS

Figure 4.8.17 shows that the entrainment HZOI is approximately 163,043  $ft^2$  of the 1,000,000  $ft^2$ in the area near the CWIS at the centerline of the CWIS, or 16.3% of the total area, with a velocity 5% greater than the ambient velocity (0.29 fps) of the lake.





Figure 4.8.18 – Area in which the Velocity Magnitude is Greater than 5% of Ambient at the Lake Surface

Figure 4.8.18 shows that the entrainment HZOI is approximately 162,716 ft² of the 1,000,000 ft² in the area near the CWIS at the surface of the lake, or 16.3% of the total area, with a velocity 5% greater than the ambient velocity (0.29 fps) of the lake.

Ø ALION

Document No: ALION-CAL-ENER-3161-01 | Rev: 0 | Pag

Page: 36 of 37

#### 5 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The entrainment HZOI (> 0.01 fps above ambient) size varies at various lake elevations. At the lake bottom near the CWIS, only 30,011 ft², or 3.0% of the entire domain, has a velocity magnitude greater than 5% of the ambient lake current of (0.29 fps). At the centerline of the CWIS, only 163.043 ft², or 16.3% of the entire domain, has a velocity magnitude greater than 5% of the ambient lake current of (0.29 fps). At the lake surface, only 162.716 ft², or 16.3% of the entire domain, has a velocity magnitude greater than 5% of the ambient lake current of (0.29 fps). At the lake surface, only 162.716 ft², or 16.3% of the entire domain, has a velocity magnitude greater than 5% of the ambient lake current of (0.29 fps).

Areas of higher velocity magnitude are concentrated directly in front of the intake structure, as would be expected. Three higher than ambient velocity values were identified and analyzed.

- A small area (red in Figure 4.8.10) that extends out approximately 1.5 ft (at the greatest) in front of the intake structure has a velocity of 1 fps over the ambient velocity of 0.29 fps.
- A small area (blue in Figure 4.8.10) that extends out approximately 5 ft (at the greatest) in front of the intake structure has a velocity of 0.5 fps over the ambient velocity of 0.29 fps. This area defines the impingement HZOI.
- A small area (yellow in Figure 4.8.10) that extends out approximately 6 ft (at the greatest) in front of the intake structure has a velocity of 0.4 fps over the ambient velocity of 0.29 fps.

Areas of lower velocity magnitude are concentrated to the east and west sides directly next to the intake structure. This zone would not be a good region to take representative samples of fish near the intake.

- The lower velocities are most pronounced at the lake bottom and are less pronounced, or non-existent, as the lake elevation increases.
- The lower velocity zone to the west of the CWIS can be attributed to the water current being drawn toward, and then stalling in, the "wedge" section of the intake to the northwest of where water is actually entering the structure.
- The lower velocity zone to the east of the CWIS can be attributed to the suction of the intake slowing the ambient current of the lake that resides in the downstream "shadow." This low velocity area is centered at a distance of 10 feet from the far eastern point of the CWIS.

$\langle \! \Delta \! \rangle$		
AL SCIENCE AN		<b>N</b>

# **6 REFERENCES**

1) Intake Structure General Arrangement Drawing, Drawing Number 11825-FC-43B, Pages 1-4, Rev 3.



Fitzpatrick CWIS CFD Analysis Calculation

Document No: ALION-CAL-ENER-3161-01

A1 of A1

Rev: 0

#### ATTACHMENT A – DESIGN INPUT EMAIL #1

The following information should help you develop the model.

Lake Bottom Elevation 218.8 Bottom of Intake Opening 222.8 Top of Intake 232.8 Average Water Level 246.8 (14 feet above top of CWIS)

I think I need to get you some additional CWIS drawing detail and Bathymetry Data. If I can not get the Bathymetry Data by end of day tomorrow, consider the water vector data as null. If I can not get you additional CWIS Drawing Detail by end of day tomorrow, please do the best that you can to model with assumptions. Call me to discuss your assumptions, but we can not let the due date slip.

1

Please give me a call on my cell phone today if you can.

Thank you for your effort and patience.

Vernon Thompson



Document No: ALION-CAL-ENER-3161-01 | Rev: 0 | Page: B1 of B1

#### ATTACHMENT B – DESIGN INPUT EMAIL #2

Joe,

This should explain some of the questions that you raised earlier. Use what you feel is appropriate and defendable. Key points include

- An approximate 4% slope at the intake structure
- Structure is Shore facing about 990 feet off shore
- Lake Ontario Circulation is approx .08 to .5 feet per second counter-clockwise
- Additional info on the bar rack configuration.

The offshore slope at the plant site is steep (5-10% grade) at the beach, flattening to a 2-3% grade at the 15 foot depth contour, then increasing to a 4% slope lakeward. Lake Ontario is relatively deep, with an average depth of 283 ft (86 m) and a maximum depth of 802 ft (244 m). Lake Ontario has a volume of approximately 390 mi³ (1,626 km³). The CWIS at JAF is a submerged, shore-facing, remote intake with a total design intake flow of 388,600 gallons per minute (gpm). The CWIS is shared primarily by the Circulating Water (CW) and Service Water (SW) systems, and is located about 990 feet from the shoreline of Lake Ontario at coordinates N43°31'37" and E76°23'49". The top of the CWIS is at elevation 232.8 feet, approximately 14 feet beneath the lake surface, which typically varies from elevation 244.0 feet to 248.0 feet. The intake consists of four segmented shore-facing openings, each 22 feet wide and 8 feet high, feeding a 14 foot diameter D-shaped intake tunnel that runs beneath the lake bed approximately 1,150 feet to the offshore screenwell and pumphouse. The base mat of the CWIS is at elevation 222.8 feet, approximately four feet above the lake bottom elevation of 218.8 feet.

The heated bar rack at the remote offshore intake consists of 3 inch by 2 inch rectangular vertical bars on 12 inch centers across each 22 foot by 8 foot intake opening, a total of 88 bars. The design water velocity through the bar rack at the remote intake is 1.2 feet per second with all three circulating water pumps operating (fps; TI 1979).

In its simplest form, the largest general circulation of Lake Ontario is counterclockwise with flow to the east along the south shore in a relatively narrow band.

At the 19 ft. depth contour, the measured current speed of six-hour duration exceeded with comparable frequency is about 0.2 feet per second (USNRC 1985). Lake currents were measured at selected locations in the vicinity of the Oswego Steam Station (about 6 miles west of Nine Mile Point) for 5 days between 12 October and 19 November 1970. These surface current velocities were mostly alongshore, with speeds ranging from less than 0.08 feet per second (2.5 cm/sec.) to 0.50 feet per second (15 cm/sec.).

Please call me with any additional questions.

Regards,

Vernon Thompson



Fitzpatrick CWIS CFD Analysis Calculation

Document No: ALION-CAL-ENER-3161-01 Rev: 0 Page: C1 of C1

#### ATTACHMENT C – DESIGN INPUT EMAIL #3

I don't have an average inlet water temperature per se, but the following may give you some idea of the outer bounds.

Intake water temperature recorded at JAFNPP in 2004 ranged from a minimum of 0.6 °C (33.0°F) in early January to a maximum of 23.7 °C (74.6°F) in early October. Intake water temperatures begin to rise in mid-March and peak from mid-July through September.

This thermal stratification in Lake Ontario, generally extends from late June to October of each year, when the epilimnion averages nearly 70°F (21 °C) and the hypolimnion averages approximately 39 °F (3.9 °C). The timing of the overturn is closely related to the time when the surface water temperatures fluctuate through the temperature of maximum density of fresh water (i.e. 4°C).

Let me know if you have any other questions.

Thanks

Vernon

# Attachment 2

James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant

License Renewal Application – Amendment 1

1.12  $\pm$ 

Reference for RAI E-1-i-1

# POWER AUTHORITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK JAMES A. FITZPATRICK NUCLEAR POWER PLANT

. .

C. C.

#### 316(a) DEMONSTRATION SUBMISSION

PERMIT NO. NY0020109

LMSE-76/0301\$260/002
## TABLE OF CONTENTS

ľ

s. Attended

( and the second

č.

Sec.

. .

Same

ĥ

Construction of the

LIS	T OF FIGURES	iv
LIS	T OF TABLES	vii
SUM	MARY OF FINDINGS	S-1
I.	INTRODUCTION	I-1
II.	PLANT DESCRIPTION	II-1
A.	Location and General Features	II-1
в.	Circulating Water System	II-1
	<ol> <li>Intake Structure</li> <li>Discharge Structure</li> </ol>	II-1 II-2
с.	Operating History	II-3
III.	BASELINE HYDROGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS	III-1
· A.	Introduction	III-1
В.	General Features of Lake Ontario	III-1
	<ol> <li>Seasonal Temperature Structure</li> <li>Lake Circulation</li> <li>Perturbations of the General Circulation Pattern</li> </ol>	III-1 III-3 III-4
с.	Site Features	III-4
·	<ol> <li>Bottom Sediments</li> <li>Local Currents</li> <li>Local Lake Thermal Structure</li> </ol>	111-4 111-5 111-6
D.	Existing Thermal Discharges in the FitzPatrick Vicinity	III-8
	<ol> <li>Oswego Steam Station Units 1-4, 5 and 6</li> <li>Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station Unit 1</li> <li>Oswego River</li> </ol>	111-8 111-9 111-9
IV.	JAMES A. FITZPATRICK THERMAL DISCHARGE CHARACTERISTICS	IV-1

# Page

# TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

Page

1000 C

9. 60000000

.

( in the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second

, summer

-

Α.	Introduction	IV-1
в.	Review of Physical and Mathematical Models	IV-1
c.	Review of Hydrothermal Field Surveys to Date	
	and Interaction of the Nine Mile Point and James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Plant Thermal Plumes	IV-2
D.	Plume Time-Temperature History and Velocity	_
	Profiles	IV-5
E.	Water Body Segment for Multi-Plant Effects	IV-6
F.	Plume Entrainment Volume Ratios	<b>IV-</b> 7
v.	BIOLOGICAL COMMUNITY	V-1
	1. Introduction	<b>V-</b> 1
	2. Phytoplankton	V-2
	3. Total Phytoplankton	V-2
	4. Diatoms	V-3
	5. Green Algae	<b>V-</b> 3
	6. Blue-green Algae	V-4
	7. Zooplankton	V-6
	8. Benthos	V-16
	9. Scouring	V-22
	10. Nekton	V-22
•	11. Conclusions	<b>V-2</b> 4
VI.	SELECTION OF IMPORTANT REPRESENTATIVE SPECIES	VI-1
Α.	Rationale	VI-1
	1. Alewife	VI-2
	2. Brown Trout and Coho Salmon	VI-2
	3. Rainbow Smelt	VI-2
	4. Smallmouth Bass	VI-2
	5. Threesping Stickleback	VI-3
	6. Yellow Perch	VI-3
	7. Gammarus sp.	VI-3
	8. Threatened and Endangered Species	VI-3
В.	Life Histories of Representative Species	VI-4
	1. Alewife	VI-4
	2. Rainbow Smelt	VI-8

# TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

Page

and the second

....

(* Commercial States) States (* Commercial States)

Summers.

Same

.....

Surger and

f

	3. Yellow Perch	VI-12
	4. Smallmouth Bass	VI-16
	5. Threespine Stickleback	VI-19
	6. Coho Salmon	<b>VI-2</b> 0
	7. Brown Trout	VI-21
	8. Gammarus Fasciatus	VI-22
VII.	IMPACT OF THE THERMAL DISCHARGE	VII-1
Α.	Introduction	VII-1
В.	Potential Effects	VII-1
	1. Thermal Effects Coceptual Framework	VII-1
с.	Evaluation of Potential Effects on Representative	
	Important Species	VII-3
	1. Direct Thermal Effects	VII-3

Figure No.	Title	Following Page
II-1	General Location Map	II-1
II-2	Plot Plan	11-1
11-3	Water Intake and Discharge Arrangement	II-1
11-4	Intake Structure	11-1
11-5	Intake and Discharge Tunnels	II-2
<b>II-6</b>	Discharge Structure Typical Diffuser Head	11-2
11-7	Frequency Histogram of Daily Average Discharge Temperatures Under Constant Full Flow Operation	II-3
III-1	Duration of Lake Ontario Current	III-5
III-2	Lake Ontario Current Directions	III-6
III-3	Frequency Distribution for Lake Ontario Water Temperatures Measured at Oswego for Summer (June, July, Aug., Sept.)	III-8
III-4	Average Surface Temperature Vs. Time	III-8
III-5	Location of Intake and Discharge Structures	III-8
IV-1	Surface Flow Pattern and Temperature Profiles With No Natural Lake Current - Model Tests	s IV-1
IV-2	Surface Flow Pattern and Temperature Profiles With Eastward Lake Current - Model Tests	s IV-1
1V-3	Surface Flow Pattern and Temperature Profiles With Westward Lake Current - Model Tests	IV-1
IV-4	Time-Temperature History for the Near Field Plume	IV-6
1V-5	Velocity at Plume Centerline Vs. Distance From Diffuser	IV-6
V-1	Fish Sampling Stations	V-1

# LIST OF FIGURES

Same

-----

iumuu i

e Numero

assessments

in the second

-----

.....

harmon

# LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

No.

Survey and

Summer of

.....

1.000 C

(1997) (1997)

i Antinina

Summers.

Figure No.	Title	Following Page
V-2	Benthos Sampling Stations	V-1
V-3	Plankton Sampling Stations	V-1
<b>V-</b> 4	Ratio of Plume Vs. Intake Primary Production	V-5
<b>V-5</b>	Ratio of Plume Vs. Intake Chlorophyll <u>a</u>	<b>V-</b> 5
<b>V-6</b>	Ratio of Lake Vs. Intake Chlorophyll <u>a</u>	V-6
<b>V-</b> 7	Intake and Plume Simulation Mortality of Total Microzooplankton	V-11
V-8	Intake and Plume Simulation Mortality of Protozoa	V-11
V-9	Intake and Plume Simulation Mortality of Rotifera	v-11
V-10	Intake and Plume Simulation Mortality of Total Copepoda	V-11
V-11	Intake and Plume Simulation Mortality of Cladocera	V-11
V-12	Abundance of Fish Eggs	<b>V-</b> 15
V-13	Abundance of Total Fish Larvae	V-15
VI-l	Comparison of the Calculated Growth of Male Alewives Among Five Age Classes for Fish Collected By Gill Nets And Trawls	VI-5
VI-2	Comparison of the Calculated Growth of Female Alewives Among Six Age Classes for Fish Collected By Gill Nets And Trawls	VI-5
VI-3	Comparison of the Calculated Growth of Male Rainbow Smelt Among Five Age Classes for Fish Collected By Gill Nets And Trawls	VI-10
VI-4	Comparison of the Calculated Growth of Female Rainbow Smelt Among Six Age Classes for Fish Collected By Gill Nets And Trawls	<b>VI-1</b> 0

# LIST OF FIGURES (Continued)

er.

( in the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second s

in the second

en ser en ser en ser en ser en ser en ser en ser en ser en ser en ser en ser en ser en ser en ser en ser en se Ser en ser en ser en ser en ser en ser en ser en ser en ser en ser en ser en ser en ser en ser en ser en ser en

Second Second

Same

20141-05

-----

-----

المرينية المرينية. المرينية المرينية

. .

Figure No.	Title	Following Page
VI-5	Comparison of the Calculated Growth of Male Yellow Perch Among Five Age Classes for Fish Collected By Gill Nets And Trawls	VI-13
VI-6	Comparison of the Calculated Growth of Female Yellow Perch Among Five Age Classes for Fish Collected By Gill Nets And Trawls	VI-13
VI-7	Comparison of the Calculated Growth of Male Smallmouth Bass Among Eight Age For Fish Collected By Gill Nets and Trawls	_ VI-17
VI-8	Comparison of the Calculated Growth of Female Smallmouth Bass Among Nine Age For Fish Collected By Gill Nets and Trawls	VI-17
VII-1	Maximum Sustained Swimming Speeds of Fish Acclimated to Constant Temperatures	VII-3

-vi-

# LIST OF TABLES

in the second

ALC: NOT

( marine

( in the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second se

Arrent Street

immu

in the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second se

Course Second

-

Table No.	Title	Following	Page
11-1	Plant Electrical Output	11-3	
II-2	Outages Between July 1, 1975 and September 30, 1976	11-3	
III-1	Discharge Characteristics for Oswego Units 1-6, Nine Mile Unit 1, and FitzPatrick Unit	III-9	
111-2	Anticipated Maximum Seasonal Loads	111-9	
III-3	Record of Monthly Capacity Factors	111-9	
IV-1	Summary of Hydrothermal Field Survey Data	IV-3	
IV-2	Characteristics of the Water Body Segment	IV-7	
IV-3	Maximum Heated Water Flow Within 3 and 2°F Isotherms	IV-9	
V-1	Phytoplankton Species Inventory	V-2	
<b>V-</b> 2	Collection and Analyses Methods for Phyto- plankton and Microzooplankton	V-2	
V-3	Abundance and Biomass of Whole Water Phytoplankton at 40-Ft Depth Contour By Transect	V-2	
<b>V-</b> 4	Statistical Analysis of Phytoplankton Abundance at 40-Ft Stations	V-3	
<b>v-</b> 5	Statistical Analysis of Phytoplankton Biomass at 40-Ft Stations	V-3	
<b>V-6</b>	Plant Load and Temperature Rise at Time of Sampling	V-4	
<b>v</b> -7	Microzooplankton Species Inventory	V-6	
<b>V-</b> 8	Abundance of Microzooplankton at Fitz-40 Ft Station	V-6	
<b>V</b> -9	Abundance of Microzooplankton at 40 Ft Depth Contour By Transect	V-8	

# LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

. .

£

Service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the service of the servic

and the second

Same and Same

.....

Second Second

augeneus)

warman

e Sharene e

-

and the second

Į

į.,

Table No.	Title	Following Page
V-10	Statistical Analysis of Microzooplankton Abundance at Fitz-40 Ft Station	V-9
V-11	Macrozooplankton Species Inventory	V-12
V-12	Abundance of Selected Macrozooplankton at 40 Ft Depth Contour	V-14
V-13	Ichthyoplankton Species Inventory	V-15
V-14	Benthos Species Inventory	V-16
<b>V-15</b>	Abundance and Biomass of Selected Macro- invertebrates at 40-Ft Depth Contour By Transect	V-17
V-16	Fish Species Inventory	V-22
VI-1	Catch Per Effort of Selected Fish Species in Gill Net Collections at 40-Ft Depth Contour	VI-5
VI-2	Statistical Analysis of Selected Fish Species in Gill Net Collections at 40-Ft Depth Contour	VI-5
VI-3	Average Calculated Total Length and Standard Error at Annulus Formation of Alewives	VI-5
VI-4	Comparison of Growth Rate of Alewife From Lake Ontario and its Vicinity	VI-5
VI-5	Percent Composition of Rainbow Smelt in Bottom Gill Net Collections By Season	VI-8
VI-6	Statistical Analysis of Selected Fish Species in Gill Net Collections at 40-Ft Depth Contour	VI-8
VI-7	Average Calculated Total Length and Standard Error at Annulus Formation of Rainbow Smelt	VI-8
VI-8	Comparison of Growth Rate of Rainbow Smelt from Lake Ontario and its Vicinity	VI-10
VI-9	Statistical Analysis of Selected Fish Species in Gill Net Collections at 40-Ft Depth Contour	VI-12

# LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

v

. Siswings

Curranter State

( in the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second se

.

(moond)

( .....

e Xirrange

and the second

Contraction of the second

and the same

Same and the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same of the same

Sector March

and the second

Table No.	Title	Following Page
VI-10	Average Calculated Total Length and Standard Error at Annulus Formation of Yellow Perch	<b>VI-1</b> 2
VI-11	Comparison of Growth Rate of Yellow Perch from Lake Ontario and its Vicinity	VI-14
VI-12	Statistical Analysis of Selected Fish Species in Gill Net Collections at 40-Ft Depth Contour	vi-17
VI-13	Average Calculated Total Length and Standard Error at Annulus Formation of Smallmouth Bass	VI-17
VI-14	Gut Contents of Smallmouth Bass	VI-18
VII-1	Summer Lethal Threshold Temperatures For Representative Important Species	VII-3
VII-2	A Summary of Upper Incipient Lethal Temperatures (°C) For Fish	VII-3
VII-3	Avoidance Temperatures of Fish as Determined in A +/- Choice Apparatus	VII-4
VII-4	A Summary of Critical Thermal Maxima (°C) For Fish Acclimated To Constant Temperatures	VII-4
VII-5	A Summary of Preferred Temperatures For Fish Acclimated to Constant Temperatures	VII-11

-ix-

#### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

The major findings of this document in regard to the effect of thermal discharge from the James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant (JAF) on the aquatic community in the vicinity of Nine Mile Point are summarized below. Discharge effects on major trophic levels and representative important species were assessed. The demonstration follows the procedures provided in the draft document "316(a) Technical Guidance - Thermal Discharges," dated September 30, 1974 and is a Type III Demonstration.

 The James A. FitzPatrick Plant Nuclear Power Plant (JAF) has a flow of 22.23 m³/sec (785 cfs) and a maximum plant temperature rise of 17.5°C (31.5°F). The heated water is discharged through a multiport, high velocity diffuser at a depth of 8 m (25 ft) directly offshore from the plant.

and the second

- 2. JAF operated at a 50% power level or greater from July through October 1975 and was placed in commercial operation on July 28, 1975. The power levels sustained throughout the summer of 1975 combined with the operation of Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station Unit 1 (NMP-1) were sufficient to produce observable effects on the trophic levels being studied had any occurred.
- 3. The JAF diffuser was designed to produce rapid dilution of the thermal effluent and to minimize the surface area which experiences significant temperature increases. Mathematical analysis of the near-field plume (before plume surfacing) indicates a decrease in temperature above intake temperatures from 31.5 to 13.5°F (17.5 to 7.5°C) in one second and a further decrease to 9°F (5°C) four seconds after discharge.
- 4. Hydrothermal field surveys confirmed the rapid dilution of the thermal effluent and indicated a maximum surface area extent of the 3°F (1.7°C) isotherm of 1,196 x 10° ft² when the isotherm was present. Most of the year the areal extent of the surface 3°F isotherm will be reduced (at times to zero) because of natural temperature differences between the surface and bottom near the outfall. Interaction of the JAF plume with that of NMP-1 was documented. Under conditions causing near field plume interaction, JAF's discharge was found to reduce surface temperatures due to the NMP-1 discharge.
- 5. An analysis of the abundance of major phytoplankton groups in 1975 yielded no evidence of plant-induced depression or enhancement of total phytoplankton, diatoms, green algae, or blue-green algae. Analysis of primary production and chlorophyll a concentrations from entrainment samples indicated that primary production was

S-1

increased somewhat in the plume during the period of study and that it was not possible to detect trends in chlorophyll a concentrations. The lack of trends in chlorophyll a indicates that plant operation is not affecting phytoplankton standing crop and mortality due to plume entrainment is not indicated in the data.

- 6. Comparisons of abundances of microzooplankton between plant and control transects in 1975 indicated neither statistical nor observable differences in abundances. The mortality data for microzooplankton collected at the plant intake, from the plume, and from exposure to simulated plume conditions did not show an increase in mortality attributable to temperature increases encountered during plume entrainment.
- The abundances of the macrozooplankters Leptodora kindtii and Gammarus fasciatus were compared for plant and control transects in 1975. No differences in abundance attributable to plant operation were found.
- 8. Ichthyoplankton in the study area was low in abundance with the exception of alewife larvae. This low abundance of larvae of most species suggests that the area around JAF's discharge is not a major spawning ground.
- 9. Local scouring and deposition due to the action of the high velocity diffuser has been observed in the discharge area. The area of scour and deposition was estimated to be 44.9 x 10 m. Benthic habitat has been lost in the area of scour, while in the area of deposition, recolonization and benthic production will resume. The loss of benthic habitat is small and unimportant in relation to benthic production in the Nine Mile Point vicinity.
- 10. The fish community at Nine Mile Point has a species composition typical of Lake Ontario and a seasonal pattern of distribution and abundance that is not influenced by the presence of the thermal discharge.
- 11. Voluntary exposure to the thermal plume by representative important species of fish will not cause mortalities because the velocity field of the plume will not permit species to maintain themselves in an area of elevated temperature above their upper incipient lethal temperature. Avoidance responses to unsuitable temperatures by representative species of fish will insure that mortalities will not occur.
- 12. Exposure to the thermal plume due to entrainment could result in mortality of the juveniles and adults of some representative species if it is assumed that individuals do not avoid the plume

and that they are entrained into the plume at the point of discharge. It was demonstrated that representative important species entrained at the point of discharge would be in safe temperature increases in less than one second which makes the possibility of plume entrained mortality for all life history stages very remote.

13. The velocity field of the JAF diffuser will preclude acclimation to temperatures above ambient which could produce a cold shock situation.

Same and

Same

and the second

Same and

-----

- 14. The lethal thresholds for <u>Gammarus</u> spp. are well above any time/ temperature regimes they will experience if entrained into the JAF plume, therefore, no mortalities will occur.
- 15. The effects of shear forces, reduced dissolved oxygen levels, pressure changes, and temperature/chemical interactions in the plume were analyzed and found to have no effect on organisms.
- 16. The analyses in this document demonstrate that the current mode of discharge at JAF, which is the alternative thermal effluent limitation previously requested, will not harm the biological community in the vicinity of Nine Mile Point.

### I. INTRODUCTION

On May 22, 1974, the staff for Region II of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) issued a draft National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit for the James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant (JAF). On June 30, 1974 the Power Authority of the State of New York (PASNY), pursuant to Section 316(a) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (FWPCA), requested the Regional Administrator impose alternative thermal effluent limitations to those designated in the draft permit. On February 27, 1975, EPA issued a final NPDES Permit for the FitzPatrick Plant which did not contain the requested alternative thermal effluent limitations.

In the memorandum transmitted with the Final Permit, EPA deferred a decision on PASNY's request for alternative thermal effluent limitations until a demonstration is made pursuant to 316(a) of FWPCA that those alternative thermal effluent limitations are sufficient to protect the balanced indigenous community. In meetings and correspondence between PASNY and EPA subsequent to issuance of the Final Permit, the scope for a 316(a) Demonstration was discussed and a Type III Demonstration was confirmed in a letter dated November 9, 1976 from Mr. Gerald Hansler (EPA) to Mr. Scott Lilly (PASNY). The Representative Important Species for JAF were indicated in a letter dated August 11, 1975 from Mr. Gerald Hansler (EPA) to Mr. Gerald Hansler (EPA) to Mr. Gerald Hansler (EPA) to Mr. Gerald Hansler (EPA) to Mr. Gerald Hansler (EPA) to Mr. Gerald Hansler (EPA) to Mr. Gerald Hansler (EPA) to Mr. Gerald Hansler (EPA) to Mr. Gerald Hansler (EPA) to Mr. Gerald Hansler (EPA) to Mr. Gerald Hansler (EPA) to Mr. Gerald Hansler (EPA) to Mr. Gerald Hansler (EPA) to Mr. Gerald Hansler (EPA) to Mr. Gerald Hansler (EPA) to Mr. Gerald Hansler (EPA) to Mr. Gerald Hansler (EPA) to Mr. Gerald Hansler (EPA) to Mr. Gerald Hansler (EPA) to Mr. Gerald Hansler (EPA) to Mr. Gerald Hansler (EPA) to Mr. Gerald Hansler (EPA) to Mr. Gerald Hansler (EPA) to Mr. Gerald Hansler (EPA) to Mr. Gerald Hansler (EPA) to Mr. Gerald Hansler (EPA) to Mr. Gerald Hansler (EPA) to Mr. Gerald Hansler (EPA) to Mr. Gerald Hansler (EPA) to Mr. Gerald Hansler (EPA) to Mr. Gerald Hansler (EPA) to Mr. Gerald Hansler (EPA) to Mr. Gerald Hansler (EPA) to Mr. Gerald Hansler (EPA) to Mr. Gerald Hansler (EPA) to Mr. Gerald Hansler (EPA) to Mr. Gerald Hansler (EPA) to Mr. Gerald Hansler (EPA) to Mr. Gerald Hansler (EPA) to Mr. Gerald Hansler (EPA) to Mr. Gerald Hansler (EPA) to Mr. Gerald Hansler (EPA) to Mr. Gerald Hansler (EPA) to Mr. Gerald Hansler (EPA) to Mr. Gerald Hansler (EPA) to Mr. Gerald Hansler (EPA) to Mr. Gerald Hansler (EPA) to Mr. Gerald Hansler (EPA) to Mr.

in the second

100 million

This document follows the procedures presented in the draft document entitled "316(a) Technical Guidance Thermal Discharges," dated September 30, 1974 and addressed specific points discussed at meetings between PASNY and EPA. Extensive references are made to study results previously submitted to EPA. This document includes descriptions of the plant and the site baseline hydrography. The baseline biological community description summarizes available data from the site and includes biological data for the various trophic levels while the plant was in operation. More specific impact evaluations based on both site data and published literature are provided for the designated representative important species to support conclusions made in this document.

Appended to this document are the temperature data available for the representative important species and a description of the thermal bioassay conducted for this demonstration. Also included in the Appendix are the relevant water quality communications.

### **II.** PLANT DESCRIPTION

#### A. LOCATION AND GENERAL FEATURES

The James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant (JAF) is located in the Town of Scriba, New York on the south shore of Lake Ontario (Figures II-1 and II-2). The plant is a single generating unit with a boiling water reactor producing 821 MWe (net output). It is located approximately 3000 ft east of the Nine Mile Point Nuclear Power Station and approximately 7 mi east of the Oswego Steam Station.

### B. CIRCULATING WATER SYSTEM

JAF uses once-through cooling to dissipate waste heat from the main condensers and auxiliary cooling systems. Circulating water is withdrawn from Lake Ontario through a submerged inlet, circulated through the main condensers and auxiliary systems, and returned to the lake through a submerged jet diffuser (Figure II-3).

When operating to maximum power output, the plant requires a total flow of 23.36 m/sec (825 cfs). Of the total flow, 22.23 m/sec (785 cfs) are for the main condensers which raise the temperature 18.0°C (32.4°F), and 1.13 m/sec (40 cfs) are for service water requirements which produce a 7.5°C (13.5°F) rise in temperature. The combined condenser and service water discharge flow has a temperature rise of 17.5°C (31.5°F). These cooling water characteristics remain essentially the same throughout the year. The seasonal temperature variation of the cooling water flow at the intake is approximately 0°C (32°F) to 25°C (77°F).

The total heat rejected to the lake is a function of electrical load; heat rejection increases with an increase in electrical load. With the exception of NRC imposed limitations, the Power Authority expects to operate the plant at full load except when maintenance or refueling is required. The heat rejection rate at 100% load is calculated to be  $5.714 \times 10^9$  Btu/hr.

### 1. Intake Structure

The intake structure is located on the lake bottom 274.3 m (900 ft) offshore of the plant in 7.9 m (26 ft) of water at the average controlled lake surface level of 75 m (246 ft). The structure is 20.9 m (68.5 ft) across at its widest point and 4.3 m (14 ft) high (Figure II-4). There are four intake openings on the south side of the structure and a solid wall on the north side. This configuration was designed to prevent any recirculation of heated water from the discharge structure located 82.3 m (270 ft) farther out in the lake.

GENERAL LOCATION MAP





WATER INTAKE AND DISCHARGE ARRANGEMENT JAMES A. FITZPATRICK NUCLEAR POWER PLANT

- 5

-



FIGURE II-3

### INTAKE STRUCTURE

### JAMES A. FITZPATRICK NUCLEAR POWER PLANT



The four intake openings are 2.4 m (8 ft) high with a maximum width of 6.7 m (22 ft) at the bar racks. There is a total horizontal clear opening of 21.3 m (70 ft). The intake openings are 0.9 m (2.8 ft) above the lake bottom and the entire structure is covered by a solid roof. The intake cover restricts flow to a primarily horizontal direction. A bar rack system covers the entire open area of the intake structure to prevent the entry of large debris. In addition, the bar racks are heated to prevent ice formation. The calculated intake velocity through the bar racks is 0.43 m/sec (1.4 ft/sec).

After passing through the intake openings the water flows down a 4.6 m (15 ft) diameter vertical shaft to a horizontal tunnel 18.3 m (60 ft) below the lake bottom (Figure II-5). The water passes through the tunnel at 1.4 m/sec (4.7 ft/sec) and then rises in a vertical shaft to the onshore screenwell forebay. In the forebay the water passes through three separate bays, each equipped with bar racks and vertical traveling screens. The vertical traveling screens have 0.95 cm (0.375 in) square wire mesh. Behind the traveling screens is a well from which the main circulating pumps withdraw water.

### 2. Discharge Structure

The multiport discharge structure is located 356.6 m (1170 ft) offshore of the plant (Figure II-5). The discharge tunnel extends from the onshore screenwell to two branch tunnels positioned approximately parallel to the shoreline. Each branch tunnel has three diffuser heads spaced 45.7 m (150 ft) apart, with two discharge nozzles at each head, directed away from the shoreline. The submergence of the diffuser heads varies from 7.0-8.5 m (23-28 ft); depth of submergence increasing from east to west along the branch tunnels. The nozzles of each pair are separated by a horizontal angle of 42° and each nozzle has a 0.76 m (2.5 ft) diameter opening (Figure II-6). The circulating water system is designed to produce a 4.3 m/sec (14 ft/sec) exit velocity.

The NPDES permit for JAF places the following limitations on the discharge effluent:

- (1) The discharge temperature shall not exceed 44.5°C (112°F).
- (2) The discharge-intake temperature* difference shall not exceed 17.8°C (32.4°F).

^{*}During those periods when intake water tempering occurs, the intake temperature shall be considered that temperature existing after tempering.

INTAKE AND DISCHARGE TUNNELS





# DISCHARGE STRUCTURE TYPICAL DIFFUSER HEAD

JAMES A. FITZPATRICK NUCLEAR POWER PLANT



FIGURE II-6

- (3) The net rate of addition of heat to the receiving water shall not exceed 1.44 billion Kcal/hr. (5.72 billion BTU/hr.).
- (4) The pH shall not be less than 6.5 nor greater than 8.5 at any time.*
- (5) No algicides shall be added to the condenser and auxiliary cooling water.

### C. OPERATING HISTORY

Server and a

and the second

-----

JAF achieved criticality in November, 1974 and began commercial operation on July 28, 1975. Between these dates the plant went through a period of start-up testing during which there was intermittent operation at increasingly higher power levels.

Table II-1 summarizes the plant electrical output (gross MWe) from July 1, 1975 to September 30, 1976. During this interval the plant was consistently above 500 MWe gross output when the unit was on line. Figure II-7 provides a frequency histogram of daily average discharge temperatures for the fall of 1975 and the spring and summer of 1976. There were a total of 18 outages with durations ranging from less than 24 hrs to all or part of 68 days (Table II-2). During two outages there was a brief resumption of generation. However, each outage was counted as a single event because the plant did not reach a high power level for a sustained period. The circulating water systems were in operation during outages, and except for 7 days during the outage in December 1975, the average flow was at least 8.7 m /sec (307.5 cfs) averaged over one day periods.

^{*}The pH of the discharge shall not exceed 8.5 unless the pH of the intake water is greater than this value; in this case, the pH of the discharge shall not exceed the pH of the intake by more than 0.1 pH unit.

.

**ş.** < in the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second

### TABLE II-1

### PLANT ELECTRICAL OUTPUT*

JAMES A. FITZPATRICK NUCLEAR POWER PLANT - JULY 1, 1975-SEPTEMBER 30, 1976

	1975																
		JULY		AUGUST	1	SI	EPTEMBI	ER	(	OCTOBER	{	1	OVEMBI	ER	DE	ECEMBER	2
DATE	MIN	MAX AVG	MIN	MAX	AVG	MIN	MAX	AVG	MIN	MAX	AVG	MIN	MAX	AVG	MIN	MAX	AVG
1		126			638			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	675	749	718
2		. 191			645			0	0	498	180	0	0	0	757	780	773
3		194			572			0-	496	632	541	0	0	0	770	776	773
4		201			0			0	596	633	622	0	492	303	771	801	779
5		201			163			0	624	631	625	504	590	543	799	814	805
6		254			402			0	625	633	625	595	700	658	796	801	797
7		322			480			157	624	628	624	705	780	744	800	802	688
8		6			548			363	625	627	626	771	779	774	0	0	0
9		8			622			525	626	632	628	772	778	775	0	0	0
10		326			672			524	629	633	631	775	780	776	0	0	0
11		467			665	}		161	266	636	512	0	779	350	0	0	0
12	Ч.,	542			674			0	480	521	483	0	382	102	0	0	0
13		607			686			0	528	538	535	0	0	0	0	348	237
14		635			693			0	549	629	612	0	0	0	0	451	314
15		592			696			0	611	628	620	0	0	0	0	0	0
16		460			175			28	626	639	633	0	0	0	0	0	0
17		0			471			387	623	641	633	0	0	0	0	0	0
18		. 21			492			541	636	641	635	0	0	0	0	0	0
19	1	361			607			628	639	661	638	0.	295	65	0	0	0
20		462			680			702	653	755	702	295	561	443	0	0	0
21	1	565			701			742	682	794	773	534	584	555	0	0	0
22	Ì	622	ł		498			743	0	795	457	557	563	560	0	0	0
23		617			517			739	0	168	24	560	562	561	0	0	0
24		616	1		520			748	175	590	446	560	563	563	20	<b>29</b> 0	115
25	1	. 607	-		521			750	594	706	658	554	567	562	60	370	278
26		611	1		515			749	705	796	770	556	559	558	10	330	103
27	1	614			511			609	384	792	761	553	559	554	330	780	398
28.		616	:		509			719	562	794	765	554	557	555	510	620	547
29		624	1		515			748	0	793	692	550	583	558	620	690	660
30		633			553			418	0	0	0	613	692	670	680	690	687
31		634			434				0	0	0				540	690	598.

*Gross MWe

# TABLE II-1 (Continued)

2

2 A

1.

Cassil

PLANT ELECTRICAL OUTPUT*

	1976																	
		JANUARY	?	I	EBRUAR	RY		MARCH			APRIL			MAY			JUNE	
DATE	MIN	MAX	AVG	MIN	MAX	AVG	MIN	MAX	AVG	MIN	MAX	AVG	MIN	MAX	AVG	MIN	MAX	AVG
													,					
1	548	560	550	0	0	0	0	0	· 0	410	590	509	530	690	665	770	780	775
. 2	440	570	498	0	0	0	0	0	0	520	620	582	690	750	722	770	780	771
3	480	530	514	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	500	630	553	730	750	743	770	780	771
4	510	520	514	0	0	0	0	0	0	200	600	361	670	750	728	0	770	351
5	510	610	560	0	0	0	0	0	0	200	570	459	730	750	741	0	0	0
6	580	600	585	0	0	0	0	0	0	590	620	604	740	760	747	- 30	430	295
7	570	590	580	0	0	0	0	0	0	590	610	601	740	760	751	430	500	480
8	570	590	580	0	0	0	0	0	0	590	660	614	740	760	750	490	600	516
9	579	657	618	0	0	0	0	0	0	640	700	671	730	750	747	640	750	693
10	654	726	687	0	0	0	0	0	0	680	720	706	730	750	743	740	780	764
11	730	783	755	0	0	0	0	0	0	700	730	716	730	750	739	750	780	767
12	788	805	799	0	0	0	0	0	0	710	720	715	730	740	737	770	780	776
13	797	805	801	0	0	0	0	0	0	690	720	712	0	750	315	780	780	780
14	798	803	801	0	0	0	0	0	0	690	710	700	0	0	0	770	790	778
15	799	803	800	0	0	0	0	0	0	560	710	684	30	160	13	780	800	785
16	0	803	473	0	0	0	0	0	0	640	700	671	240	420	353	770	790	782
17	0	0 ·	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	680	700	690	420	580	513	770	790	775
18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	680	690	688	590	680	631	0	780	139
19	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	680	700	687	680	740	719	0	460	198
20	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	680	700	697	730	750	741	460	630	554
21		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	500	710	676	730	760	746	640	760	710
22	0	0	U	0	0	0	0	0	0	490	600	558	600	770	727	760	780	773
23	0	0	U		0	0		0	0	580	690	648	680	740	704	0	783	446
24		U	0		0	0	101	180	29	700	/20	/0/	740	790	770	0	681	505
25		0	U	0	0	0	90	310	184	700	720	/12	/80	790	/81	670	760	714
20	U	0	0	0	· U	0	310	360	331	/10	/30	718	/80	790	781	770	780	774
27		0	0		0	0	350	450	408	/10	/30	/23	//0	790	782	750	760	753
20		0	U		U	0	450	510	466	720	740	/33	1/0	790	778	1 /40	760	751
29		U	U		0	0	510	540	430	720	740	/26	1/0	780	//6	/40	760	/55
20		U	U O		0	U	220	580	507	520	/30	692		780	//6	0	500	215
1 21	0	U	U		0	0	280	220	201		•		1/0	780	118			
	1			L			L	<u></u>					<u> </u>			L		

.

-

### TABLE II-1 (Continued)

1	1		·····		1976	<u></u>			
		JULY		1	AUGUST		S	EPTEMB	ER
DATE	MIN	MAX	AVG	MIN	MAX	AVG	MIN	MAX	AVG
	T								
1	150	580	248	740	760	750	470	680	603
2	580	690	633	740	760	751	680	760	723
3	700	770	743	740	760	748	760	780	772
4	750	770	762	740	750	747	780	790	780
5	760	770	767	740	750	747	770	790	779
6	760	780	771	560	750	725	770	790	782
7	760	780	773	560	680	632	780	790	786
8	740	780	769	670	750	718	780	790	785
9	770	600	750	750	780	769	770	790	782
10	690	770	751	760	780	771	590	790	723 [.]
11	760	780	765	760	780	771	710	740	735
12	750	780	765	770	790	783	720	740	735
13	0	780	715	620	800	777	730	740	735
14	0	0	0	760	790	785	730	740	731
15	0	0	· 0	780	790	788	720	740	733
16	0	0	0	780	790	788	720	740	731
17	0	330	113	780	790	788	620	740	724
18	350	600	503	780	800	792	720	740	733
19	596	672	637	780	800	791	720	740	729
20	678	759	721	690	800	785	730	740	730
21	0	780	462	770	800	788	730	740	732
22	0	0	0	780	800	786	730	740	730
23	0	0	0	780	800	786	730	740	735
24	0	0	0	780	800	792	650	740	730
25	0	0	0	780	800	789	720	740	733
26	0	0	0	780	790	786	720	740	733
27	0	0	. 0	600	790	763	730	740	733
28	0	400	73	600	730	622	730	740	733
29	420	620	543	730	790	775	730	740	730
30	610	660	635	0	7 <b>9</b> 0	650	730	740	730
31				670	730	695	0	430	157

# PLANT ELECTRICAL OUTPUT*

*Gross MWe

( and the second in the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second New York in the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second se Concernance of Second Second Second Second -----

Service and the

Summer State

FREQUENCY HISTOGRAM OF DAILY AVERAGE DISCHARGE TEMPERATURES UNDER CONSTANT FULL FLOW OPERATION*

in the second

.

JAMES A. FITZ PATRICK NUCLEAR POWER PLANT - 1975-1976



### TABLE II-2

Contraction of the second

100000000

S. Samuel

Second Second

Same

-----

10000

Construction of the second

Summer State

· · · · · ·

OUTAGES BETWEEN JULY 1, 1975 AND SEPTEMBER 30, 1976

NUMBER	START DATE	END DATE	DURATION IN DAYS*
1	17 JUL	17 JUL	< 1
2	4 AUG	4 AUG	< 1
3	1 SEP	6 SEP	6
4	12	15	4
5	1 OCT	2 OCT	< 2
6	22	23	< 2
7	29	4 NOV	< 7
8	11 NOV	19	< 9
9	8 DEC	23 DEC	16
10	16 JAN	23 MAR	<68
11	13 MAY	14 MAY	< 2
12	4 JUN	5 JUN	< 2
13	18	19	< 2
14	23	24	< 2
15	30	30	< 1
16	13 JUL	17 JUL	< 5
17	21	28	< 8
18	30 AUG	31 AUG	< 2

JAMES A. FITZPATRICK NUCLEAR POWER PLANT

*Dates are inclusive in the outage. An outage could span two consecutive dates but have a total duration ranging from less than three hours to more than 45 hours. Incident number 8, for example, could be a little more than 7 days but could not exceed 9 days.

### III. BASELINE HYDROGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS

### A. INTRODUCTION

The discharge of JAF is designed to minimize the impact of the plant's thermal discharge on the biological and thermal characteristics of Lake Ontario in the vicinity of Nine Mile Point. Lake Ontario temperature characteristics, general circulation and local current patterns, lake bed topography, and existing water uses were the important design criteria used in the development of the circulating water system. The selection of a water body segment for multiplant impact analysis was based on hydrographic characteristics of the southeast section of Lake Ontario. The baseline hydrographic characteristics of Lake Ontario relevant to the assessment of the thermal discharge from the FitzPatrick Plant are discussed in the following sections.

#### B. GENERAL FEATURES OF LAKE ONTARIO

### 1. Seasonal Temperature Structure

### a. Spring Warming and the Thermal Bar

Lake Ontario is a large temperate lake which experiences seasonal changes in its thermal structure. Natural warming of the lake begins in mid-March and continues until mid-September. At the onset of warming the surface water temperature in the shallow littoral zone rises more rapidly than in regions just offshore. By May this difference has created a sharp horizontal temperature gradient with inshore water temperatures above 4°C (39°F) and the offshore water below 4°C (39°F). There is a convergence zone where water from the relatively warm inshore region mixes with the cold offshore water (Rodgers, 1966). As a consequence of the nonlinear temperature/density relationship of fresh water, the mixed water produced in this transition zone is heavier than the water on either side and sinks, setting up a bar that may reduce free exchange of water between the shallow littoral zone and the deeper part of the lake. The thermal bar moves gradually and steadily offshore with spring warming of the lake until it dissipates in late June. It is estimated that the spring thermal bar may exist for as long as 8 weeks (Sweers, 1969).

As the thermal bar moves offshore, the inshore water continues to warm and a thermocline develops which separates the warm surface water from the cold deep water. The thermocline restricts vertical mixing to the epilimnion, but in mid-lake on the offshore side of the thermal bar mixing extends from surface to bottom. About four weeks after emergence of the bar the inshore area constitutes approximately half the area of the lake (Sweers, 1969).

#### b. Summer Stratification

The disappearance of an offshore surface temperature of  $4^{\circ}C$  (39.2°F) in late June defines the start of the summer season in the lake. In general, vertical stratification is established over the entire basin by the combined effects of lake warming and the advection of the warmer, nearshore water. The sporadic appearance of surface temperature minima during summer are related to upwellings. As warming continues, stratification intensifies and the thermocline is more sharply defined, with vertical temperature gradients in excess of  $1^{\circ}C/m$  (0.6°F/ft). As a consequence of stratification, heat transfer and mixing are confined largely to the epilimnion. The lake's mean surface temperature reaches 21°C (69.8°F), and the hypolimnion temperature varies with depth, ranging between 3.8 and 4.0°C (38.0° and 39.2°F) (Sweers, 1969). The thermocline forms near the surface in early summer but descends due to continued warming and reaches a characteristic depth of approximately 21 m (70 ft) (Casey et al., 1965).

### c. Fall Cooling

In late September the warming process ends, the lake's mean surface temperature rapidly drops below 17°C (62.6°F) and the rate of descent of the thermocline increases. The vertical temperature gradient decreases as the surface layer and deeper water effectively mix. Mixing is the consequence of convection caused by cooling at the surface and is enhanced by the weakening of the thermocline which permits wind-induced turbulence to extend to greater depths.

The fall cooling process resembles spring warming. When nearshore water cools below the temperature of maximum density, a "reverse" thermal bar develops separating colder inshore water from warmer offshore water. The fall thermal bar has a weaker thermal gradient than the spring thermal bar.

### d. Winter Cooling

The breakdown of stratification throughout the lake marks the onset of the winter season. The offshore water mass is well mixed, attaining a nearly isothermal condition. The date of overturn differs from year to year depending on the occurrence of storms. The lake surface is cooled below  $4^{\circ}C(39^{\circ}F)$  and surface isotherms

tend to be parallel to shore. As cooling continues and surface temperatures drop below 4°C (39°F), vertical stratification is again produced with colder buoyant water above the warmer 4°C (39°F) water at depth. Vertical circulation at times extends as deep as 100 m (328 ft) (Sweers, 1969). With continued cooling ice forms in the nearshore region. Under normal climatic conditions the greatest extent of ice cover is found in the east end of the lake in mid-March, while in a severe winter ice covers about 25% of the lake surface (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 1975).

### 2. Lake Circulation

The large scale circulation of Lake Ontario is counter-clockwise (cyclonic flow) with flow to the east along the south shore in a relatively narrow band and a somewhat less pronounced flow to the west along the north shore. The conceptual model that explains this general circulation is presented here as follows.

A cool mound of water extends from surface to bottom in spring and from below the thermocline to the bottom in summer and fall (Sweers, 1969). The baroclinic flow resulting from the horizontal temperature differences is initially directed outward from mid-lake towards the shore. Although the Coriolis effect is acting to turn the flow to the right (clockwise), its effect is diminished due to bottom friction. This outward flow brings water to the inshore area where it begins to pile up. A surface slope, higher inshore than in mid-lake, develops into a barotropic current initially directed lakeward. The barotropic current tends to the right because of the Coriolis effect. The result is that Coriolis effect and the barrier effect of the coastline trap the flow against the shoreline. The flow continues along the shoreline in a counter-clockwise direction as long as the surface slope is maintained.

Inflow from the Niagara River causes the western end of the lake surface to be higher than the eastern end (on the average). The resulting flow down the gradient is held against the lake's south shore by the Coriolis effect, thereby enhancing the already existing barotropic flow along the south shore. Wind stress averaged over the year tends further to accelerate the flow to the east and decelerate the flow to the west.

The general circulation in winter is less well documented. In late fall after overturn has occurred, the lake is essentially isothermal, thereby permitting a free exchange of water from surface to bottom. Wind direction in winter is primarily from the west-northwest. The net surface flow that results is eastward with westward return flow developing below the surface. The surface layer in the western end is advected to the east and is replaced by subsurface water (Sweers, 1969). This large scale upwelling at the upwind end of the lake and downwelling at the downwind end mixes the surface and subsurface water on a scale that is not likely to occur during the rest of the year.

### 3. Perturbations of the General Circulation Pattern

The general circulation described above is documented by observations collected over long periods (months). The circulation patterns that are observed at any given time, however, are more complex as a result of the lake's response to the shifting winds. At times a major wind shift can alter the currents in a matter of hours, while at other times some features of the current pattern have continued even with an opposing wind (Csanady, 1972). The response time of the currents to a shift in wind distribution is partially related to the scale of the current; large offshore longshore currents respond sluggishly and with longshore currents nearer to shore the response is more rapid, six hours or less. In addition, the deeper the current, the more slowly it responds.

Two important examples of wind-induced changes in the general circulation are upwelling and internal oscillations. Upwelling occurs when a water mass is forced from depth to the surface, and is observed to some degree in all lakes during all seasons (Mortimer, 1971); however it is more conspicuous during seasons of stratification when the upwelled water is much colder than the surface water that it displaces. Wind stress and associated currents depress the thermocline to below equilibrium level at the downwind end of the basin, while at the upwind end the thermocline is displaced upward and may intersect the surface. Upwelling motions are strongly influenced by the Coriolis force. Depression of the thermocline is greatest to the right of the downwind end of a basin and upwelling is strongest to the left of the upwind end (Mortimer, 1971). For example, in Lake Ontario, a west wind causes upwelling along the northwest shore, and the thermocline is deepest along the southeast shore.

A variety of mechanisms have been proposed to account for the observed periodic displacement of the thermocline. The most direct explanation is that an upwelling event displaces the thermocline from equilibrium by converting kinetic energy of the wind to potential energy of the thermocline position. When the wind stress is removed, internal waves are set in motion and contribute to the dissipation of this energy. Internal waves incease in amplitude after storms, and in Lake Ontario the oscillations have a period near 17.5 hours, roughly three complete oscillations every two days. These oscillation events are a common feature of lake temperature records and are prominent in the intake temperature records at many power plants.

#### C. SITE FEATURES

#### 1. Bottom Sediments

A number of observations of the bottom sediments have been made along the south shore of Lake Ontario. Sutton et al. (1970) made observations of nearshore bottom sediments (0-33 m, 0-108.3 ft) in 1968 and 1969 between Rochester and Stony Point. Among their conclusions the following are relevant to the FitzPatrick site:

a. There is generally a west-to-east transport of sediment.

b. Sites of sediment accumulation occur in nearshore shallow areas where the shoreline is irregular and where there are local deviations from the above transport pattern.

c. In general, the coarser sands, boulders, pebbles, and cobbles lie in the beach or nearshore area, and finer sediments are found lakeward.

d. Several small patches of sand occur offshore between Oswego and Mexico Bay, and it is hypothesized that these originate from the Oswego River.

Visual observations made in the Nine Mile Point vicinity during the 1974 sampling period corroborate some of the earlier observations of Sutton et al. (1970). The two western transects, NMPW and NMPP, are dominated by more bedrock and rubble than sand and silt, whereas the FITZ and NMPE transects have bedrock and rubble near shore with sand and silt prevalent beyond the 6.1 m (20 ft) depth contour. The presence of a finer grained sediment to the east probably corresponds to the dominance of patchy sand deposits in Mexico Bay. The irregularity of the shoreline at Nine Mile Point could possibly be the cause of minor sand and silt deposition at that point and eastward. In general, finer grained sediments are more dominant farther offshore.

### 2. Local Currents

In the course of preoperational studies for JAF, current measurements were made off the Nine Mile Point promontory from May to October 1969, and from July to October 1970. Two fixed underwater towers were placed in the lake, one in 7.3 m (24 ft) of water, and one in 14.0 m (46 ft) of water, and provided average hourly current speed and direction. In addition, two drogue surveys were conducted in 1969 to obtain the overall current pattern at the site. These studies were reported by Gunwaldson et al. (1970) and the Power Authority of the State of New York (PASNY) (1971). Figure III-1 presents frequency-duration data derived from these studies. The data obtained are consistent with wind-induced current frequencies reported by Palmer and Izatt (1970) for a similar water depth near Toronto.

The field data clearly illustrate a correlation between summer currents

DURATION OF LAKE ONTARIO CURRENT



(in the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second s

Sec. 19

and wind speed. The correlation is an accepted principle of hydrodynamics as theorized by Ekman (1928) and subsequently verified by numerous oceanographers (Neumann and Pierson, 1966). Measurements of wind currents at lightships (Haight, 1942) have been analyzed to determine the ratio of current speed to wind speed. Reported values of this ratio, commonly called the "wind factor," range between .005 and .030.

The wind speed frequency data indicate that over the year a speed in excess of 32 km/hr (20 mph) occurs 21.6% of the time, based on readings averaged over a 6-hour period. For the summer months, June through September, winds in excess of 32 km/hr (20 mph) occur 13.9% of the time. The current speed of 6 hour duration exceeded with comparable frequency in 14 m (46 ft) of water is about 15 cm/sec (0.5 fps) (see Figure III-2). For a persistence of 24 hours, the current speed exceeded 13.9% of the time is 13.7 cm/sec (0.45 fps).

The predominant direction of currents in the studies described above is alongshore, as dictated by continuity. On those occasions when onshore or offshore currents were observed, their magnitudes were substantially less than those for alongshore currents. The reported frequencies of various current directions during the summer are presented in Figure III-2. This figure indicates that currents alongshore from the west or east are equally frequent at 35% of the time for each. Onshore and offshore currents each account for 5% of the observations. The remaining 30% of the observations were below the meter threshold, 0.05 knots (2.5 cm/sec, 0.09 fps). At the 6.4 m (21 ft) depth in 14.0 m (46 ft) of water, the mean onshore current speed was 3.0 cm/sec (0.09fps) and the mean offshore current speed was 6.0 cm/sec (0.2 fps). On the other hand, alongshore currents from the west and east averaged 9 cm/sec (0.3 fps).

Vertical profiles of currents have been recorded in several lake studies. Current profiles with depth, however, are sensitive to the turbulent momentum exchange coefficient and ambient stratification. A theoretical profile was computed for the homogeneous shallow waters found near the Nine Mile Point site and indicates the absence of any significant Ekman spiral.

Lake currents were measured at selected locations in the immediate vicinity of the Oswego Steam Station on five days between 12 October and 19 November 1970. These surface current velocities were mostly alongshore with speeds that ranged from very low (less than 2.5 cm/sec, 0.08 fps) up to 15 cm/sec (0.50 fps). This is in general agreement with the measurements at Nine Mile Point.

### 3. Local Lake Thermal Structure

Data on the thermal structure of the lake in the vicinity of Nine Mile

LAKE ONTARIO CURRENT DIRECTIONS



FIGURE III-2

Point are available from studies conducted offshore of JAF 1969 and 1970, from temperature data recorded in the existing intake for Oswego Units 1-4 (see Figure III-5 for location) from 1968 through 1972, and from studies conducted offshore of the Oswego-Nine Mile Point area during 1973. A short description of each of these studies is presented in subsequent paragraphs. These data were used to determine the vertical temperature variations and the surface temperatures in the vicinity of JAF.

In conjunction with the lake current studies carried out in 1969 and 1970 as part of the preoperational surveys for JAF (PASNY, 1971), water temperatures were also recorded. Three types of temperature measurements were made:

- a. intermittent vertical profiles obtained in 18.3 and 30.5 m (60 and 100 ft) of water,
- b. continuous temperature recordings, using seven self-contained underwater instruments mounted on the two underwater towers, obtained at various depths,
- c. surface temperatures measured by airborne infrared radiometry.

The 1970 studies offshore of Oswego consisted of the collection of weekly temperature data at four locations near the discharge of Oswego Unit 6. Temperatures were measured at 1-meter increments from surface to lake bottom for seventeen consecutive weeks from July through November 1970 (QLM, 1972).

Temperature data in the Oswego-Nine Mile Point area were obtained during 1973 from west of Oswego to east of Nine Mile Point. Vertical temperature profiles were obtained weekly from June through mid-December 1973 along five transects (QLM, 1974).

Data from these studies were used to evaluate the vertical temperature structure and to determine whether or not persistent stratification exists in this area. Vertical temperature profiles revealed the existence of transient thermal gradients equal to or greater than 1°C per meter (1.6°F per 3.2 ft) throughout the study area. The gradients appeared to be seasonal since they existed primarily in the summertime. They were not "seasonally stable," since they were generated and destroyed by surface heating and cooling and mixing within the water column over periods dependent upon meteorological conditions. Although gradients were observed on sequential weeks for up to a three week period, the gradients observed were at different temperatures and at different depths from week to week and, therefore, were not persistent. In
addition, when the gradients were observed, they appeared to be uniform from station to station. A more complete discussion is presented in the documents previously submitted to the EPA (LMS, 1976).

These data were also used to determine the surface temperature in the area. During 1970 the maximum surface temperature recorded was  $25.5^{\circ}C$  (77.9°C). The temperature data recorded in the existing intake of the Oswego Steam Station were statistically analyzed and are shown in Figure III-3. Since the lake is generally isothermal in the top 6 m (20 ft), the temperature obtained at the intake depth of 4.9 m (16 ft) can be considered to be representative of the surface water teperatures. The analysis shows that temperatures in excess of  $23.3^{\circ}C$  (74°F) occurred only 10% of the time during the summer months and less than 1% of the time on an annual basis.

Figure III-4 shows the average surface temperature throughout the 1973 survey period for the stations in water depths of 6 and 30.5 m (20 and 100 ft.). As shown in this figure, temperatures at both stations were approximately  $12^{\circ}C$  ( $54^{\circ}F$ ) on 4 June, rose to a maximum temperature of approximately  $24^{\circ}C$  ( $75.2^{\circ}F$ ) on 13 August, and then declined to approximately  $6^{\circ}C$  ( $43^{\circ}F$ ) on December. A drop in the average surface temperatures of between 3 and  $5^{\circ}C$  (5.4 and  $9^{\circ}F$ ) seems to have occurred during the week between 11 and 18 June. This drop in temperature can be attributed to "upwelling" generated by wind from the south.

## D. EXISTING THERMAL DISCHARGES IN THE FITZPATRICK VICINITY

## 1. Oswego Steam Station Units 1-4, 5 and 6

The Oswego Steam Station's Units 1-4, which were constructed during the period 1938 to 1959, have a maximum generating capacity of  $_{3}407$  megawatts. The combined cooling water flow for these units is 21.58 m /sec (762 cfs) when they are operating at maximum capacity. The common intake of the circulating water systems is located 76.2 m (250 ft) north of the northwestern tip of the Oswego Harbor breakwater (see Figure III-5 for location of intake and discharge structures at Oswego). The cooling water flow for Units 1-4 is discharged to the "western leg" or turning basin of Oswego Harbor at a maximum temperature of 6.8°C (12.4°F) above intake temperature.

The circulating water systems for Oswego Units 5 and 6 are independent of the Units 1-4 systems, each having submerged intake and discharge structures in the lake offshore of the station (See Figure III-5 for location of intake and discharge structures at Oswego). Oswego Unit 5 began operation in 1975 and has a maximum net output of 850 MWe. Its maximum cooling water flow of 17.98 m /sec (635 cfs) is taken from the lake approximately 414.5 m (1360 ft) offshore of the site. The flow



AVERAGE SURFACE TEMPERATURE VS TIME 24 TEMPERATURE (°C) 20^{ft} & 100^{ft} STATIONS 22 LEGEND 20^{ft} 20. ----- 100^{ft} 18 16 SURFACE 14 12 10 AVERAGE . ÷ 8 ٠... ٩. 6 4 10 24 28 11 25 23 20 29 26 9 6 3 -15 12 17 JUNE JULY AUG SEPT. OCT. NOV. DEC. TIME (WEEKS)

1973.

FIGURE III-4

LOCATION OF INTAKE AND DISCHARGE STRUCTURES OSWEGO STEAM STATION-UNIT 6

burnerie

.......

÷.

Second Second

1

· · · ....



FIGURE III-5

is returned to the lake at a temperature increase up to 15.9°C (28.6°F) above intake temperature through a high-speed, submerged difuser designed to achieve rapid dilution.

Oswego Unit 6, presently under construction, will have a maximum net output of 850 MWe, a maximum cooling water flow of 17.98 m /sec (635 cfs) and a maximum temperature increase over intake temperature of 15.9°C (28.6°F). Table III-1 indicates the hydraulic and thermal characteristics of generating units in the vicinity of the FitzPatrick plant.

The plant flows and temperature rises presented for the units at Oswego are maximum conditions for full generating capacity, however, these units will operate at less than full capacity much of the time. Therefore, an assessment of impact should be based on an estimate of actual plant loads. Seasonal plant operating loads have been estimated for Oswego Units 5 and 6 and are presented in Table III-2. A similar estimate is not available for Oswego Units 1-4, but because these are relatively old units, it is anticipated that they will operate at substantially less than maximum rated capacity.

## 2. Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station Unit 1

Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station Unit 1 (NMP-1) has been in operation since 1969 and has a maximum pet capacity of 610 MWe. The maximum cooling water flow of 16.94 m³/sec (597 cfs) for this unit is taken from the lake approximately 259.1 m (850 ft) offshore of the site. This flow is returned to the lake at temperatures up to 17.3°C (31.2°F) higher than intake temperature through a submerged discharge in the lake. The discharge is located approximately 11.3 km (7 mi) east of the Oswego Steam Station and approximately 914.4 m (3000 ft) west of JAF discharge. Monthly capacity factors for Nine Mile Unit 1 are presented in Table III-3.

## 3. Oswego River

The Oswego River discharges an annual average flow of 174.2 m³/sec (6137 cfs) (based on the 33-year period, 1933-1967) into Oswego Harbor from the south, where the river flow mixes with the Oswego Units 1-4 discharge and waste treatment plant discharges, and enters Lake Ontario at the harbor mouth.

The maximum flow on record was  $1064.2 \text{ m}^3/\text{sec}$  (37,500 cfs), which occurred on 28 March 1936. The minimum daily flow of  $10.02 \text{ m}^3/\text{sec}$  (353 cfs) was recorded on 14 August 1949, but the minimum average seven-consecutive-day₃ flow, having a once-in-ten-year frequency (MA7CD/10) is 20.43 m³ (720 cfs).

# TABLE III-1

DISCHARGE CHARACTERISTICS FOR OSWEGO UNITS 1-6 NINE MILE UNIT 1, AND FITZPATRICK UNIT

.

	OSWEGO UNITS 1-4	OSWEGO UNIT 5	OSWEGO UNIT 6	NINE MILE UNIT 1	FITZPATRICK
Length of main tunnel from existing shoreline	<b>_</b> ·	414.5 m 1360 ft	688.8 m 2260 ft	160.9 m 528 ft	384 m 1260 ft
Tunnel velocity	_		-	8 fps 2,44 m/sec	4.7 fps 1.43 m/sec
Length of diffuser	-	79.3 m 260 ft	81.4 m 267 ft	_	235.9 m 774 ft
Number of duffuser ports	-	12	12		12
Number of diffuser ports/riser		2	2	-	2
Inside diameter of diffuser ports	. <del>-</del> .	0.61 m 2 ft	0.61 m 2.0 ft	_	0.76 m 2.5 ft
Port spacing	-	12.2 m 40 ft	12.2 m 40 ft	-	45.7 m 150 ft
Initial discharge velocity	-	5.12 m/sec 16.8 fps	16.8 fps 5.12 m/sec	1.22 m/sec 4 fps	4.27 m/sec 14 fps
Angle between ports		20°	20°	-	42°
Total diffuser flow	21.58 m ³ /sec 762 cfs	17.98 m ³ /sec 635 cfs	17.98 m ³ /sec 635 cfs	16.94 m ³ /sec 597 cfs	23,36 m /se 825 cfs
Average depth of port centerline below mean low water	-	6.4 m 21 ft	10.4 m 34 ft	4.9 m 16 ft	7.62 m 25 ft
Average depth of lake bottom below mean low water at discharge	-	7.92 m 26 ft	11.9 m 39 ft	5.5 m 18 ft	9.14 m 30 ft
Maximum Port temperature rise above lake ambient	6.9°C 12.4°F	15.9°C 28.6°F	15.9°C 28.6°F	17.3°C 31.2°F	17.5°C 31.5°F

- Does not apply

-

Kanana

-----

and the second

# TABLE III-2

# ANTICIPATED MAXIMUM SEASONAL LOADS

OSWEGO STEAM STATION UNITS 5 AND 6 - 1981*

·····		UNTT	HEAT	CONDENSER	DISCHARCE
	PERCENTAGE	OUTPUT	DISCHARGE	<u>A</u> T	
SEASON	MAX. LOAD	(MWe)	(BBtu/hr)	(°F)	(°F)
SUMMER	53.4	453.9	2.244	18.3	16.4
FALL	70.2	596.7	2.765	22.5	20.0
WINTER	48.7	414.9	2.052	17.4	15.7
SPRING	30.0	255.0	1.443	11.8	10.8

UNIT 6						
SUMMER	53.4	453.9	2.244	18.3	16.4	
FALL	70.2	596.7	2.765	22.5	20.0	
WINTER	48.7	414.9	2.052	17.4	15.7	
SPRING	30.0	255.0	1.443	11.8	10.8	

*Anticipated year of maximum usage.

() Summe

Summer

Mannes

Second Second

.....

and the second

-----

Summer Street

# TABLE III-3

# RECORD OF MONTHLY CAPACITY FACTORS*

NINE MILE POINT NUCLEAR STATION UNIT 1

MONTH	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	MEAN
JAN	-	79.3	77.9	94.8	94.9	70.3	83.4
FEB	-	98.4	89.0	60.8	93.9	56.8	79.8
MAR	-	100.0	82.6	94.6	77.2	79.2	86.7
APR	-	6.4	0.8	30.8	0.0	83.7	24.3
MAY	-	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	88.5	18.1
JUN	-	51.3	22.5	27.9	0.0	86.9	37.7
JUL	45.8	75.7	75.2	81.9	69.8	-	69.7
AUG	86.1	88.0	80.1	83.6	91.1	-	85.8
SEP	87.0	52.6	54.6	84.4	94.1	-	74.5
ост	64.8	12.7	68.9	66.6	78.8	-	58.4
NOV	89.4	93.3	77.2	68.0	90.4	-	83.7
DEC	44.8	94.6	95.2	89.0	47.1	-	74.1
MEAN		62.8	60.3	65.2	61.4		64.7

*Based on 500 MWe prior to July 1971 and 610 MWe after July 1971.

.

COLORADO DO

SANGGAS MAN

**** ***

Previous investigations have shown that temperatures in the Oswego River are normally higher than those at the surface of the lake. The 1970 survey data indicate that the river warms more rapidly than the lake and is warmer throughout the summer months. The National Field Investigations Center (Anonymous, 1975) report of a thermal survey conducted by infrared radiometry demonstrates a plume in the lake that results from the river discharge.

#### REFERENCES CITED

#### CHAPTER III

Anonymous. 1975. Remote sensing report, Lake Ontario: A study of thermal discharges from Ginna Nuclear Power Station, Oswego Steam Power Station, and Nine Mile Point Nuclear Power Station. National Field Investigation Center, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 88p.

Casey, D.J., W. Fisher, and C.O. Klevano. 1965. Lake Ontario environmental summary - 1965. U.S. Environ. Protection Agency, Region II (Rochester, New York) EPA-902/9-73-002.

Csanady, G.T. 1972. The coastal boundary layer in Lake Ontario. II. The summer-fall regime. J. Physical. Oceanogr. 2:168-176.

Ekman, V.W. 1928. Eddy viscocity and skin friction in the dynamics of winds and ocean currents. Mem. Roy. Met. Soc. 2(20).

Gunwaldsen, R.W., B. Brodfeld, and G.E. Hecker. 1970. Current and temperature surveys in Lake Ontario for James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant. Proc. 13th Conf. Great Lakes Res. 1970: 914-926.

Haight, F.J. 1942. Coastal currents along the Atlantic Coast of United States. Dept. of Commerce, Coast and Geodetic Survey, Special Publ. 230.

Lawler, Matusky and Skelly, Engineers. 1976. 1975 Nine Mile Point Aquatic Ecology Studies. Report to Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation, May 1976.

Mortimer, C.H. 1971. Large scale oscillatory motions and seasonal temperature changes in Lake Michigan and Lake Ontario. I. Center for Great Lakes Res., Univ. of Wisconsin-Milwaukee Spec. Rept. 12: 111p.

Neumann, G., and W.J. Pierson. 1966. Principals of physical oceanography. Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J.

Palmer, M.D., and J.B. Izatt. 1970. Lakeshore two-dimensional dispersion. Proc. 13th Conf. Great Lakes Res. 1970(1):495-507.

Power Authority of the State of New York. 1971. Environmental report for James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant. Prepared for United States Atomic Energy Commission.

## REFERENCES CITED (Continued)

and the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second s

* Summe

and the second

- Quirk, Lawler and Matusky Engineers. 1972. Effect of circulating water system on Lake Ontario: water temperature and aquatic biology. [Oswego Steam Station Unit 6]. Prepared for Niagara Mohawk Power Corp.
- Quirk, Lawler and Matusky Engineers. 1974. 1973 Nine Mile Point aquatic ecology studies. Prepared for Niagara Mohawk Power Corp. and Power Authority of the State of New York.
- Rodgers, G.K. 1966. The thermal bar in Lake Ontario, spring 1965 and winter 1965-66. Great Lakes Res. Div. Publ. [Proc. 9th Conf. Great Lakes Res. (1965)] 15:369-374.
- Sutton R.G., T.L. Lewis, and D.L. Woodrow. 1970. Near shore sediments in Southern Lake Ontario, their dispersal patterns and economic potential. Proc. 13th Conf. Great Lakes Res: 308-318.
- Sweers, H.E. 1969. Structure, dynamics and chemistry of Lake Ontario: investigations based on monitor cruises in 1966 and 1967. Mar. Sci. Branch, Dept. of Energy, Mines and Resources, Ottawa, Canada. Manuscript Rept. Ser. 10: 227p.
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 1975. Great Lakes-St. Lawrence Seaway navigation season extension program: demonstration program, fiscal year 1976 [draft]. U.S. Army District, Detroit.

## IV. JAMES A. FITZPATRICK THERMAL DISCHARGE CHARACTERISTICS

#### A. INTRODUCTION

This chapter describes the characteristics of the thermal plume resulting from the JAF plant discharge which are pertinent to the evaluation of biological impact. Information on the characteristics of the plume resulting from the thermal discharge is available from three sources: 1) hydraulic model results; 2) analytical model results; and 3) the results of three hydrothermal field surveys conducted during the summer and fall of 1976.

The hydraulic model tests were conducted primarily to evaluate, prior to construction, the performance of the diffuser system in the near-field vicinity of the discharge. A combination of the hydraulic model results and an analytical far-field model was used to predict temperature distributions outside the near-field area. The hydrothermal field surveys were designed to measure the three-dimensional temperature distributions resulting from the joint operation of NMP-1 and the JAF plants and to evaluate the performance of JAF diffuser based on the dilution of dye released into the discharge.

## B. REVIEW OF PHYSICAL AND MATHEMATICAL MODELS

A total of five different hydraulic models were constructed and tested in order to select the final diffuser configuration and evaluate its performance (PASNY, 1971). Models 1 and 2 were used primarily to select the optimum diffuser configuration and orientation. Models 3 and 4 were undistorted models of the diffuser structure at scales of 1/50 and 1/81, respectively, and were used to evaluate the diffuser performance under various ambient lake conditions. Model 5 was constructed to assist in determining the thermal effects produced by NMP-1 at the site of JAF discharge.

Figures IV-1, IV-2, and IV-3 show surface flow patterns and excess temperature contours in the vicinity of the discharge resulting from hydraulic model tests with no lake current, 0.8 fps eastward lake current, and 0.7 fps westward lake current, respectively. The maximum excess surface temperatures measured during the three test conditions were 2.8°F with no lake current, and 4.0 and 4.4°F for the eastward and westward current conditions, respectively. These temperature rises correspond to dilutions of the discharge flow by ambient water of 11.6:1, 8.1:1, and 7.4:1. The near-field hydraulic model results indicated that the dilution of the discharge achieved at the lake surface decreased with increasing ambient cross currents. It should be noted, however, that the lake current conditions simulated in the above model tests (0.8 and 0.7 fps) have both a low probability of occurrence

IV-1

SURFACE FLOW PATTERN AND TEMPERATURE PROFILES WITH NO NATURAL LAKE CURRENT - MODEL TESTS*

JAMES A. FITZPATRICK NUCLEAR POWER PLANT



*PASNY, 1971

.

FLOW PATTERN AND TEMPERATURE PROFILES WITH WESTWARD LAKE CURRENT - MODEL TESTS*



JAMES A. FITZPATRICK NUCLEAR POWER PLANT

FLOW PATTERN

FIGURE IV-3

## FLOW PATTERN AND TEMPERATURE PROFILES WITH EASTWARD LAKE CURRENT - MODEL TESTS*



JAMES A. FITZPATRICK NUCLEAR POWER PLANT

FLOW PATTERN

FIGURE IV-2

مىتىتىتىي ب and short duration time in the lake (Figures III-1 and III-2). The 0.8 fps speed has a frequency of occurrence of less than 5% at 12 hours duration. Figures IV-2 and IV-3, which illustrate the maximum excess temperatures of 4.0 and 4.4°F, respectively, show that those temperature rises decrease rapidly within approximately 200 ft of the discharge, indicating the occurrence of additional rapid dilution of the discharge waters in that region.

Temperature distributions outside the near-field area were predicted by an analytical model which used the near-field hydraulic model results to define a line source for the intermediate and far-field plume. Surface temperature distributions were predicted for ambient currents ranging from no lake current to 0.8 fps eastward current and 0.7 fps westward current. The results obtained from the analytical model studies indicated that as the ambient lake current increased, dilution along the plume centerline occurred less rapidly, and the surface area bounded by a given isotherm increased. Geometrically, the plumes became increasingly elongated in the direction of the simulated current as the velocity increased, with the far-field plume centerline approximately paralleling the shoreline at the higher along shore velocities. Plumes resulting from easterly currents were found to be similar to plumes resulting from westerly currents, outside of the near-field zone.

It should be noted that all of the above hydraulic and analytical modeling was done under conditions that would be expected to render the results conservative. The hydraulic model tests did not simulate certain field conditions usually associated with lake currents, such as the presence of winds and waves, which would induce some additional heat dissipation and mixing in the prototype. The model tests used an earlier estimated plant temperature rise of 32.4°F, which is 0.9°F above the design value. In addition, the model tests did not evaluate the effect of ambient lake stratification on the temperature rises produced by the diffuser in the near field. The initial colder dilution waters entrained into the jets from the lower depths under stratified ambient conditions would reduce the excess surface temperatures relative to surface ambient. Hence, since the nearshore waters of Lake Ontario are stratified during most of the spring, summer and early fall months, the effect of the JAF discharge on surface temperatures during these seasons would be reduced from those predicted under isothermal conditions.

## C. REVIEW OF HYDROTHERMAL FIELD SURVEYS TO DATE AND INTERACTION OF THE NINE MILE POINT AND JAMES A. FITZPATRICK NUCLEAR PLANT THERMAL PLUMES

Triaxial hydrothermal field surveys of JAF thermal plume were conducted during June, August and October of 1976 (Stone and Webster, 1976a, 1976b, 1976c). Briefly, the surveys included simultaneous triaxial measurements of temperature and dye concentration along fixed transects in the vicinity of the JAF and NMP-1 plants. Lake currents at three depths, lake level, and wind speed and direction were also continuously monitored before and during each survey. The following represents a summary of the triaxial surveys.

Table IV-1 contains a summary of the pertinent plant operating data and prevailing lake conditions during each of the 13 triaxial surveys, along with measurements of the observed surface plumes. The surveys were conducted under plant generating loads ranging between 725 and 793 MWe (88 and 97% of capacity), with a mean of 773 MWe (94% of capacity). Lake currents during the surveys were predominantly westward, with only the two 7 October surveys conducted during eastward currents. Current velocities were generally low, as evidenced by the fact that currents exceeded 0.5 fps during only one of the 13 surveys.

and the second

The presence of natural thermal gradients (both horizontal and vertical) in the vicinity of the discharge, as well as possible interaction with NMP-1 plume, complicate the determination of an ambient temperature from which plume temperature rises can be calculated. The vertical stratification observed at the JAF intake (Table IV-1) during each of the surveys is an example of such a complicating factor. In those cases where an ambient temperature was determined, it was obtained by averaging temperatures in the vicinity of the diffuser along transects outside the dye plume. The degree of natural temperature variation and the presence of NMP-1 plume in the vicinity of JAF discharge prevented the determination of ambient temperature by this method for all but one of the August surveys (Table IV-1).

The ambient temperature determined for the single 4 June survey was 53.3°F while ambient on the 13th of June decreased during the day from 51.7 to 47.3°F. The maximum surface temperature rise observed during the June surveys was 2.3°F, and thus no 3°F temperature rise isotherm was present. The minimum dilution of the dye observed at the surface during the June surveys (Table IV-1) would yield maximum surface temperature rises between 3.4 and 4.2°F if applied to the plant T under isothermal lake conditions. However, the vertical stratification in the vicinity of the discharge reduces the observed surface temperature rise since the dilution water entrained by the jet is from the lower depth, which is cooler than surface water. The degree to which surface temperature rises are affected by vertical stratification is dependent on both the magnitude and the distribution of vertical temperature differences.

The sizes of the observed dye plumes during the June surveys increased with increasing current velocity, the largest areal extent of the 10:1 dilution being observed on 4 June when lake currents were approximately 0.25 fps. Substantial reductions of the areal extent of the same dilution contour were observed on 13 June, under low (< 0.1 fps) lake currents.

## TABLE IV-1

#### SUMMARY OF HYDROTHERMAL FIELD SURVEY DATA

,

5 . J &

DATE OF		PLANT LOAD	PLANT AT	DISCHARGE	LAKE VELOCITY	CURRENT	VERTICAL AT AT INTAKE	MAXIMUM Observedat At	MAXIMUM OBSERVED DILUTION FACTOR	SURFACE AREA OF 3°F,1SOTHERM	SURFACE AREA OF 10 TO 1 DILUTION
SURVEY	TIME	(MWe)	(°F)	TEMP (°F)	(fps)	DIRECTION	(°F)	SURFACE (°F)	AT SURFACE	(ft ⁺ x10 ⁻ )	FACTOR (ft x10)
4 JUN	8150-7260	779	29.0	81.0	0.25	SW	3,0	2.3	7.1	Ю	1398 S
13 JUN	0638-0801	782	29.0	78.5	<0.05	W	3.0	0.8	8.6	NO	44 123
i l	1032-1152	782	28.8	75.5	<0.05	W .	2.1	1.7	6.9	NO .	164 6
	1446-1623	780	28.5	74.0	0.08	SW	1,7	2,2	7.4	NO	312 / 7 - 2
}											
19 AUG	0806-0949	788	29.5	99.0	0.46	WSW	1.1	2.6	8.5	NO	28 ///
	1229-1338	793	29.5	100.0	0.33	W	5.1	ND	7.5	ND	226 乎 🕬 🖓
	1603-1743	791	28.5	101.3	0.26	SW	5.4	ND	9.6	ND	27 108
				(							
20 AUG	0218-0949	793	29.5	100.0	0,17	SW	4.2	ND	8.9	ND	629
	1231-1344	792	27.5	100.0	0.24	W	2.5	ND ·	8.2	ND	888 🖘 🖓 👘
	1610-1722	791	29.0	101.0	0.25	W	2.9	ND	8.4	ND	15 60
							ł				
7 OCT	0828-1004	726	26.5	89.0	0.74	E	2.1	4.3	5,5	139	818 24 7
1	1502-1615	726	26.0	89.0	<0.10	E	4.0	4.2	NA	1196	NA
в ост	1642-1759	725	26.0	87.5	0.50	Ŵ	0.0	3.6 ·	5.9	232	989 2727

JAMES A. FITZPATRICK NUCLEAR POWER PLANT - 1976

 $\dot{P}$ 

^aLake current at 10 ft depth Difference between top 2 ft and bottom 2 ft of water column Bused on dye contentration measurements

NO - Not observed

ND - Amblent temperature not determined

KA - Not available

The ambient temperature determined for the first 19 August survey was  $68.9^{\circ}F$ , and the corresponding maximum surface temperature rise was  $2.6^{\circ}F$ . The degree of thermal stratification in the discharge area was low during the first survey period, as indicated by the  $1.1^{\circ}F$  difference between surface and bottom temperatures (Table IV-1). It is of interest to note that the minimum observed dye dilution of 8.5 at the surface would produce a  $3.5^{\circ}F$  temperature rise under isothermal conditions, and that the maximum observed temperature rise ( $2.6^{\circ}F$ ) plus the observed vertical  $\Delta T$  ( $1.1^{\circ}F$ ) yields a similar temperature rise of  $3.7^{\circ}F$ . This indicates that the main portion of the entrained dilution water originated in the cooler bottom waters. No  $3^{\circ}F$  surface isotherm was observed during the first August survey.

The influence of the NMP-1 plume in the vicinity of the JAF discharge (note the increase in vertical  $\Delta T$ ) prevented the determination of an ambient temperature for the remainder of the August surveys. The minimum dye dilution values applied to the existing plant T's would yield maximum surface temperature rises between 3.0 and 3.9°F under isothermal conditions. The calculated maximum temperature rises based on the dye data were less than the observed vertical T at the intake in three of the five August surveys for which ambient temperature could not be determined in the near field. This would indicate that the JAF discharge actually had a cooling effect on the surface waters in the vicinity of the discharge during the three surveys. The plume chartings (Stone and Webster, 1976b) also showed this effect. Thus, the interaction of the NMP-1 plume with the JAF plume under these conditions resulted in a reduction of the temperature in the NMP-1 plume through dilution with the cooler JAF plume. The maximum temperature rise attributable to the JAF discharge during the last two August surveys (Table IV-1) would be 3.4°F based on dye dilution, but the temperature rise relative to the surface waters in the vicinity would be reduced by the observed vertical stratification during these surveys.

ß

The surface areal extents of the 10:1 dilution factor contours observed during the August surveys are given in Table IV-1. These would correspond to the approximate extents of the  $3^{\circ}F$  isotherm under capacity operation (plant $\Delta$ T equal to 31.5°F) and isothermal lake conditions.

The survey results listed in Table IV-1 show that both the highest maximum surface temperature (4.3°F) and lowest minimum dilution factor (5.5) for all 1976 surveys occurred during the October surveys. Both of these values were recorded during the first 7 October survey which had the highest observed current velocity of all surveys and was also the only survey during which significant easterly currents were observed. A low minimum dilution (5.9) was also observed during the 8 October survey at a current velocity of 0.5 fps to the west.

IV-4

In summary, the field survey results show maximum surface temperature rises of 4.3°F based on recorded temperature data and 4.8°F based on dye dilution and assuming isothermal lake conditions. During periods of vertical stratification in the vicinity of the discharge resulting from either natural causes or the influence of the NMP-1 discharge, the surface temperature rises attributable to the JAF discharge are reduced and it may, under conditions of NMP-1 plume interaction, actually reduce temperatures in the NMP-1 plume. The survey data do indicate that increasing lake velocities can decrease the dilution achieved by the diffuser, and can increase the areal extent of the intermediate field isotherms.

## D. PLUME TIME-TEMPERATURE HISTORY AND VELOCITY PROFILES

In order to determine the time-temperature history of plume waters it is necessary to know the spatial distribution of velocities and temperatures in the plume. The time of travel through the temperature distribution can then be determined by integrating the velocity distribution over distance. The high velocities and high levels of turbulence in the near-field jet region of a submerged discharge preclude the measurement of these distributions in the submerged jet region, thus necessitating a theoretical determination using the known discharge velocity and temperature (u and T, respectively) and measured values from field data at the point of jet surfacing. Abramovich ([1963]) has shown that the dissipation of velocity is related to the dilution of temperature. A variety of expressions are available to describe this relationship, but one that is in common usage is,

(IV-1)

(IV-2)

 $\frac{u_{o}}{u} = \left(\frac{T}{\frac{o}{T}}\right)^{b}$ 

87 - 12 - 12

If b = 1, the temperature and velocity are diluted equally. However, usually b>1, as evidenced by plume deflection in an ambient current, until the plume no longer shows the effect of discharge momentum, although noticeable temperature elevations remain. This is explained by the fact that the discharge momentum has gone to increase the level of turbulent motion in the plume, while the decay of heat is primarily a result of exchange to the atmosphere; that is, the decay of heat and momentum are distinctly different processes.

It remains then to describe the temperature dilution distribution. Previous studies (LMS, 1975) have indicated that the dilution of temperature along the centerline of the plume is proportional to the centerline distance raised to same power or,

 $\frac{T(s)}{T_{o}} = \frac{1}{s^{a}}$ 

By substitution of Equation IV-2 into Equation IV-1 and integration of the resulting expression for velocity over centerline distance, a time/temperature relationship can be determined. Substitution of the initial discharge conditions and conditions at some centerline distance downstream yields values of a and b of 0.41 and 1.12 respectively. substitution values selected for this determination were the initial discharge velocity of 14.0 fps, the initial  $\Delta T$  of 31.5°F, and the velocity and temperature at a centerline distance of 300 ft of 1.0 fps and 3.0°F, respectively. The resulting time/temperature distribution for travel along the plume centerline is shown in Figure IV-4. Figure IV-4 applies only to the near-field portion of the plume where discharge momentum dominates the hydrodynamics of the plume. Figure IV-4 illustrates the rapid dilution of the discharge temperature achieved by the diffuser in the near field, with the initial temperature rise of 31.5°F being reduced to less than a 7°F temperature rise within ten seconds of discharge to the lake. It should be noted that since the time/ temperature relationship shown in Figure IV-4 is along the centerline of the plume, it represents the maximum exposure. Waters flowing in the plume but not at the centerline would be diluted more quickly and therefore would be subjected to smaller temperature rises.

The velocity (u) versus distance (s) can be derived from the temperature distribution using Equation IV-1. The resulting estimated centerline velocity is described by Figure IV-5 for the near-field region.

In the far-field plume, velocities are dominated by lake currents; thus the centerline time of travel can be computed by dividing the centerline distance to a specified isotherm by the magnitude of lake current. The far-field model results for lake currents between 0.2 and 0.8 fps indicate that the maximum travel time to the 2°F isotherm would be 2.5 hours and would occur at lake currents of 0.5 fps. Lake currents less than 0.5 fps would yield lower travel times since dilution along the centerline occurs more rapidly. The use of lake current to compute far-field travel time is conservative in that the average velocities along the centerline would be higher than the lake currents due to convective spreading and discharge momentum.

It should be pointed out that a distribution of possible time/temperature exposures exists which could be experienced by an entrained organism; these exposures range from a low temperature rise for a short time period to the maximum exposures given above. Only a small percentage of the organisms would experience either the maximum or minimum exposures.

## E. WATER BODY SEGMENT FOR MULTI-PLANT EFFECTS

No. No.

A water body segment encompassing the discharges of Oswego Units 1-6, Nine Mile Point Unit 1, the James A. FitzPatrick Plant and the Oswego



in the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second se

and the second

1000 C

0

Sec. Sec.

-

Second St.

· · · · ·









River was chosen for consideration of multi-plant effects. Based on an analysis of ambient lake current persistences, it is expected that 95% of the currents of 0.1 knots will have an excursion distance shorter than half the water body segment length. That is, for the characteristic speed, a persistence in excess of 96 hours would be required before a floating organism would leave the water body segment, which extends approximately 10.6 km (6.6 mi) offshore and stretches along the shore for a distance of 36 km (22,5 mi). The volume of water contained in this segment is 9.6 x 10 m (3.4 x 10 ft). The cross-sectional area normal to the longshore flow is approximately 2.7 x 10 m (2.9 x 10 ft²) (see Table IV-2). The selected segment includes 0.59% of the volume of Lake Ontario.

## F. PLUME ENTRAINMENT VOLUME RATIOS

In order to evaluate the effect of the thermal discharge on the water body segment, it is desirable to quantify the fraction of the total flow through the water body that is entrained into the plume at selected temperature rises.

It has been shown by many investigators that a Gaussian distribution is a good approximation of the horizontal and vertical temperature variations for submerged and surface jets. Therefore, it can be assumed that temperature in a cross section, normal to the plume centerline, decreases exponentially with the square of the horizontal distance, r, and vertical distance, z, from the centerline. This temperature, T(s,r,z) is described by:

$$T(s,r,z) = T^*(s) Exp - \left[ \left( \frac{z^2}{2\sigma_z^2} + \frac{r^2}{2\sigma_z^2} \right) \right]$$
 (IV-3)

Where  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma_r$  are the standard deviations of the temperature distributions and T*(s) is the centerline temperature. If  $\sigma_r$  is assumed to be different for both the left and right side of the plume cross section, plume asymmetry is also accounted for.

Equation IV-3 describes a full elliptical cross section for each isotherm in the submerged portion of the jet and a half elliptical section for the plume after jet surfacing  $(z\geq 0)$ . The cross-sectional area within any isotherm of value T after jet surfacing can be shown to be:

IV-7

 $A = \pi \sigma_{r} \sigma_{z} \ln \frac{T^{*}(s)}{T}$ 

(IV-4)

# TABLE IV-2

# CHARACTERISTICS OF THE WATER BODY SEGMENT

# Water Body Segment

and the second

Shaween a

-----

------

*****

-----

(

Distance to offshore boundary	10.6 km	6.6 mi
Depth at offshore boundary	<b>~</b> 140 m	∼450 ft
Distance along shore	36 km	22.5 mi
Surface area	382 km ²	147.5 mi ²
Volume within bounds	9.6 x $10^9 m^3$	$3.4 \times 10^{11} \text{ ft}^3$
Cross sectional area	$2.7 \times 10^5 m^2$	$2.9 \times 10^6 \text{ ft}^2$

Solving Equation IV-4 for temperature as a function of area enclosed yields:

$$T = T^{*}(s) Exp - \left[\frac{A}{\pi\sigma \sigma \sigma}\right]$$
(IV-5)

and by substitution from Equation IV-1:

$$u = u_{o} \left(\frac{T^{\star}(s)}{T_{o}}\right)^{b} Exp - \left[\frac{bA}{\pi\sigma_{r}\sigma_{z}}\right]$$
(IV-6)

The principle of heat conservation dictates that under steady-state conditions the heat flux at any plume cross section be equal to the total flux at the diffuser ports or:

$$H = \int_{0}^{\infty} C_{p} T_{u} dA = H_{0} = P C_{p} \pi r^{2} u_{0} T_{0}$$
(IV-7)

Where: H = heat flux at any plume cross section H = heat at diffuser ports input

2000 C

- n^P= number of diffuser ports
- r = radius of diffuser ports

Substitution for T and u from Equations IV-5 and IV-6 and evaluation of the integral in Equation IV-7 yields:

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{r} \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{z} = nr_{o}^{2} (b+1) \left(\frac{T_{o}}{T^{*}(s)}\right)^{b+1}$$
(IV-8)

as a necessary condition for heat conservation at any cross section after jet surfacing. A similar analysis for the submerged portion of the jet yields the necessary condition:

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\mathbf{r}} \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\mathbf{z}} = \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{o}}^{2} \left( \frac{\mathbf{b} + 1}{2} \right) \left( \frac{\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{o}}}{\mathbf{T}^{\star}(\mathbf{s})} \right)^{\mathbf{b} + 1}$$
(IV-9)

The total flow through an isotherm T with area A(T) is defined by:

$$\begin{array}{l} A(T) \\ Q = \int u(A) dA \end{array} \tag{IV-10}$$

which after substitution for (A) from Equation IV-6 and evaluation of the integral yields:

$$Q(T) = u_{o} \left(\frac{T^{*}(s)}{T_{o}}\right)^{b} \frac{\pi\sigma_{r}\sigma_{z}}{b} \left[1 - \left(\frac{T}{T^{*}(s)}\right)^{b}\right]$$
(IV-11)

IV-8

where the  $\mathcal{T}_r \mathcal{T}_z$  product is specified by equation IV-8. Substitution for  $\mathcal{T}_r \mathcal{T}_z$  from Equation IV-11 yields:

Survey States

1000 C

1.000 CON

100 N

A second

$$Q = \frac{Q_0 T_0}{T^*(s)} \left( \frac{b+1}{b} \right) \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{T}{T^*(s)} \right)^b \right]$$
(IV-12)

for the flow through the cross section bounded by isotherm T, after jet surfacing. Similar analysis for the submerged portion of the jet also leads to Equation IV-12. Differentiating equation IV-12 with respect to T*(s), solving for T*(s) when Q is maximum, and back substitution into Equation IV-12 yields:

$$Q_{MAX}$$
 (T) =  $\frac{Q_0 T_0}{T(b + 1)^{1/b}}$  (IV-13)

for the maximum flow through the isotherm T.

The beauty of equation IV-13 is that it allows the maximum flow to be computed without requiring prior determination of where in the plume it occurs. It should be noted that the  $Q_{MAX}$  resulting from equation IV-13 is a conservative estimate of the entrained flow since it is the sum of some portion of the discharged flow and the entrained flow contained within the particular isotherm. Thus, the actual maximum flow entrained through the particular isotherm would be less than  $Q_{MAX}$  by the portion of the original discharge flow still inside the isotherm at the location where  $Q_{MAX}$  occurs.

Equation IV-13 was used to calculate the maximum flows within the 2°F and 3°F isotherm for the JAF plume and for the other generating stations in the selected water body segment. The results of the analysis and a comparison of the entrained flows with the total flow in the water body segment are given in Table IV-3. All flow rates shown in Table IV-3 are based on capacity operation of all stations. If seasonal load factors were applied in the analysis the given isotherm flows would be substantially reduced.

# TABLE IV-3

# MAXIMUM HEATED WATER FLOW WITHIN 3 AND 2°F ISOTHERMS

JAMES A. FITZPATRICK NUCLEAR POWER PLANT AND VICINITY

PLANT	ISOTHERM (°F)	Q (MAX (cfs)	RATIO OF Q _{MAX} TO Q IN WATER BODY SEGMENT ^a
FITZPATRICK	3 2	5001 7502	0.0103 0.0154
ALL PLANTS ^D	32	15576 23365	0.0320 0.0479

ya. ele

in the second

Same a

and the second second

Accession and the

S. San

1

-----

(``) (``)

^aQ in water body segment equals 13800 m³/sec ^bIncludes Oswego Units 5 and 6, Nine Mile Point Unit 1, FitzPatrick

## REFERENCES CITED

Same and

Contraction of the second

-

0

Server and

## CHAPTER IV

- Abramovich, G.N. [1963]. The theory of turbulent jets. [Translated by Scripta Tech.] Edited by Leon H. Schindel. Massachusetts Inst. Tech. Press, Cambridge.
- Lawler, Matusky and Skelly Engineers. 1975. 316(a) demonstration submission: Oswego Unit 5, NPDES Permit #070 0X2 2 000632 NY (0003212). Prepared for Niagara Mohawk Power Corp.
- Power Authority of the State of New York. 1971. Environmental report: Environmental statement to the United States Atomic Energy Commission pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act - James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant. AEC Docket No. 50-333.
- Stone and Webster. 1976a. June 1976 postoperational hydrothermal survey for James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant. Prepared for Power Authority of the State of New York.
- Stone and Webster. 1976b. August 1976 postoperational hydrothermal survey for James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant. Prepared for Power Authority of the State of New York.
- Stone and Webster. 1976c. October 1976 postoperational hydrothermal survey for James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant. Prepared for Power Authority of the State of New York.