

Maria Korsnick  
Site Vice President

R.E. Ginna Nuclear Power Plant, LLC  
1503 Lake Road  
Ontario, New York 14519-9364  
585.771.3494  
585.771.3943 Fax  
maria.korsnick@constellation.com



December 7, 2006

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, DC 20555

**ATTENTION:** Document Control Desk

**SUBJECT:** **R.E. Ginna Nuclear Power Plant**  
Docket No. 50-244

Addendum to September 29, 2006 Submittal RE: Change to Technical Specification 3.7.8, Service Water (SW) from an Electrical Train Based to a Pump Based Specification

Reference 1: Letter to U.S Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk from Mary G. Korsnick (R.E. Ginna Nuclear Power Plant, LLC), License Amendment Request: Change to Technical Specification 3.7.8, Service Water (SW) from an Electrical Train Based to a Pump Based Specification, dated September 29, 2006.

On September 29, 2006 R.E. Ginna Nuclear Power Plant, LLC (Ginna LLC) submitted Reference 1 in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 50.90. On October 16, 2006 Ginna's NRC Licensing Project Manager contacted Ginna Licensing by telephone indicating that sections 1.3.4 and 1.3.5 appear to be missing from Attachment (2) to Reference 1 (Risk Insights). In performing an additional review of the document, Ginna determined that there was also a minor error in Section 1.1.10.1 of Attachment (2). Attachment (1) to this letter contains those missing sections and corrections and should be considered an Addendum to Reference 1.

Should you have questions regarding the information in this submittal, please contact Mr. Robert Randall at (585) 771-3734 or [Robert.Randall@constellation.com](mailto:Robert.Randall@constellation.com).

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "MGC for msk", is written over the typed name.

Mary G. Korsnick

1001687

A001

STATE OF NEW YORK :  
: TO WIT:  
COUNTY OF WAYNE :

I, Mary G. Korsnick, begin duly sworn, state that I am Vice President, R.E. Ginna Nuclear Power Plant, LLC (Ginna LLC), and that I am duly authorized to execute and file this request on behalf of Ginna LLC. To the best of my knowledge and belief, the statements contained in this document are true and correct. To the extent that these statements are not based on my personal knowledge, they are based upon information provided by other Ginna LLC employees and/or consultants. Such information has been reviewed in accordance with company practice and I believe it to be reliable.

D.A. Holm for MGK  
D.A. HOLM

Subscribed and sworn before me, a Notary Public in and for the State of New York and County of Monroe, this 7 day of December, 2006.

WITNESS my Hand and Notarial Seal:

Sharon L. Miller  
Notary Public

My Commission Expires:

12-21-06  
Date

SHARON L. MILLER  
Notary Public, State of New York  
Registration No. 01M16017755  
Monroe County  
Commission Expires December 21, 2006

MK/MR

Attachments: (1) Addendum

cc: S. J. Collins, NRC  
P.D. Milano, NRC  
Resident Inspector, NRC (Ginna)  
P.D. Eddy, NYSDPS  
J. P. Spath, NYSERDA



Attachment (1)

---

Addendum

---

## **Corrected Paragraph:**

### **1.1.10.1 Model Peer Review**

In May 2002, the Westinghouse Owners Group performed a Peer Review of the GPSA Revision 4.1. The peer review final report was issued in December, 2002 (*R. E. Ginna Station PSA Peer Review Report*, Westinghouse Electric Co., December 2002; *PSA Peer Review Certification Process Guidance*, SAE/RRA/101(98)-A, Westinghouse Energy Systems, 1998). The GPSA received a grade of full 3 for one of the technical elements, and a grade of 3 with contingencies for all other technical elements. The contingencies were due to outstanding facts and observations (F&O's) identified during the peer review. Since the completion of the review, the majority of the peer review F&O's (all six A-level and thirty four of thirty six B-level) have been addressed. A list of all A and B level F&O's, and their resolution, is provided below. The two remaining peer review comments that are not fully addressed (F&O's AS-13 and DE-01) are evaluated to ensure that they do not effect the ability of the model to estimate the risk impact of the proposed change, as discussed in the resolution of each of these items.

The following is a list of Level A and B observations and resolutions. The model revision in which the observation was resolved is shown at the end of the resolution:

## **Omitted Sections:**

### **1.3.4 On-line Risk Assessment – Level 2**

The Ginna on-line risk assessment process discussed in section 1.3.3.2, above, directly assesses Level 2 risk by using the LERF metric.

### **1.3.5 On-line Risk Assessment – External Events**

The Ginna on-line risk assessment process discussed in section 1.3.3.2, above, directly assesses some external events. Fire events are directly modeled in the quantitative risk assessment. For high wind events, the only impact modeled in the quantitative risk assessment is an increase in the frequency of a loss of offsite power. Other external events (e.g., seismic, transportation events, etc.) are not addressed in the on-line risk assessment.