

RULES AND DIRECTIVES  
BRANCH  
USNRC

2006 DEC -8 AM 9:41

RECEIVED

10/5/06

71FR 38882

57

**From:** <sweetmidge@aol.com>  
**To:** <Vogtle\_EIS@nrc.gov>  
**Date:** Mon, Dec 4, 2006 5:21 PM  
**Subject:** Comments against Vogtle

Dear Sirs/Mesdames:  
Please desist from granting licenses to for Plant Vogtle's expansion.

1. The Savannah River basin is already suffering; building more nuclear reactors will only make this situation worse.
2. Since the Early Site Permit (ESP) process allows a company to potentially 'bank' a site for up to 40 years (the 20 year ESP could get a 20 year extension), the NRC should have to look not only at Georgia 'today,' but the Georgia we are likely to live in 40 years from now.
3. Water Use & Supply: -Vogtle's 2 existing reactors require huge amounts of water with only 1/3 of what was withdrawn being returned to the Savannah River. That's more water than many towns and cities in Georgia use!
4. Doubling the number of reactors on site will only make this worse. This excess use of water threatens municipalities, industries, agriculture, recreation, and aquatic species.
5. If there is an extended drought—even a drought 20 or 40 years from now, severe consequences could occur within the Savannah River basin.
6. The water discharged from nuclear Plant Vogtle is already hotter than what is withdrawn; more reactors will only make this situation worse.
7. Temperature changes negatively affect the fish, plant, and animal life that depend on the river. The water intake systems at nuclear power plants can kill fish and fish larvae, among other organisms; having more reactors on site will only make this worse.
8. High-level radioactive waste created (used nuclear fuel) has no place to be stored or disposed, nor is it likely that a 'solution' will be found in our lifetimes; building more nuclear reactors will only make this situation worse.
9. Existing and future projected waste will remain onsite at Plant Vogtle for generations and generations, threatening indefinitely the health of nearby communities and the environment. Yet the NRC in previous cases has refused to even address or consider this very important issue!
10. Security Nuclear plants are vulnerable to terrorist attack and sabotage; building more nuclear reactors will only make this situation worse by providing more targets.
11. Plant Vogtle is also very close to the Department of Energy's Savannah River Site, which stores a large portion of the nation's weapons grade plutonium and other dangerous materials. If an accident or successful terrorist attack occurred, the full impacts to human health and the environment in this region would be immense.
12. A 1982 Congressional report estimated that if a meltdown occurred at just one of Vogtle's reactors it could cause 39,000 peak early injuries, 4000 peak cancer deaths, and 200 peak early fatalities with costs over \$60 billion; building more reactors will only worsen these terrible impacts and put more people's lives and health at risk.

Thank you for your consideration.

Midge Sweet

*SONSI Review Complete  
Template = ADM-013*

*E-RIDS = ADM-03  
Add = m - Notick (mdw)  
C. Guerrero (EX93)*

404-688-5430/work  
404-688-5892/fax/home  
404-667-4476/cell

---

Check out the new AOL. Most comprehensive set of free safety and security tools, free access to millions of high-quality videos from across the web, free AOL Mail and more.

3

**Mail Envelope Properties** (45749F48.ED2 : 12 : 16082)

**Subject:** Comments against Vogtle  
**Creation Date** Mon, Dec 4, 2006 5:20 PM  
**From:** <[sweetmidge@aol.com](mailto:sweetmidge@aol.com)>

**Created By:** [sweetmidge@aol.com](mailto:sweetmidge@aol.com)

**Recipients**

nrc.gov  
TWGWPO01.HQGWDO01  
VOGTLE\_EIS

**Post Office**

TWGWPO01.HQGWDO01

**Route**

nrc.gov

<b>Files</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
MESSAGE	3201	Monday, December 4, 2006 5:20 PM
TEXT.htm	4829	
Mime.822	10418	

**Options**

**Expiration Date:** None  
**Priority:** Standard  
**ReplyRequested:** No  
**Return Notification:** None

**Concealed Subject:** No  
**Security:** Standard

**Junk Mail Handling Evaluation Results**

Message is eligible for Junk Mail handling  
This message was not classified as Junk Mail

**Junk Mail settings when this message was delivered**

Junk Mail handling disabled by User  
Junk Mail handling disabled by Administrator  
Junk List is not enabled  
Junk Mail using personal address books is not enabled  
Block List is not enabled