

From: <actrgt@bellsouth.net>
To: <Vogtle_EIS@nrc.gov>
Date: Tue, Nov 21, 2006 3:01 PM
Subject: Vogtle draft EIS for early permit

Please accept the attached letter as my comments on the subject matter.

Ann C. Tate

10/5/06
171 FR 38882
45

RECEIVED

2006 DEC - 8 AM 9:43

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
BRANCH
USNRC

SOUSE Review Complete
Template = ADM-013

ERIDS = ADM-03
Ced = m. stich (mdn)
C. Guerrero (CX93)

Mail Envelope Properties (45635B0E.6E1 : 16 : 59105)

Subject: Vogtle draft Eis for early permit
Creation Date Tue, Nov 21, 2006 3:00 PM
From: <actrgt@bellsouth.net>

Created By: actrgt@bellsouth.net

Recipients

nrc.gov
TWGWPO01.HQGWDO01
VOGTLE_EIS

Post Office

TWGWPO01.HQGWDO01

Route

nrc.gov

| Files | Size | Date & Time |
|-----------------------|-------------|------------------------------------|
| MESSAGE | 86 | Tuesday, November 21, 2006 3:00 PM |
| SACE Vogtle plant.doc | 21504 | |
| Mime.822 | 31460 | |

Options

Expiration Date: None
Priority: Standard
ReplyRequested: No
Return Notification: None

Concealed Subject: No
Security: Standard

Junk Mail Handling Evaluation Results

Message is eligible for Junk Mail handling
This message was not classified as Junk Mail

Junk Mail settings when this message was delivered

Junk Mail handling disabled by User
Junk Mail handling disabled by Administrator
Junk List is not enabled
Junk Mail using personal address books is not enabled
Block List is not enabled

Ann C. Tate
3914 South River Circle
Birmingham, Alabama 35243-4716

November 21, 2006

Chief
Rules and Directives Branch
Division of Administrative Services
Office of Administration
Mailstop T-6D59
U.S.Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington D. C. 20555-0001

Dear Sir/Madam:

I am writing in regard to the scoping process for a draft Environmental Impact Statement For a permit application to build up to two more nuclear reactors at the existing Vogtle nuclear power plant near Waynesboro, Georgia, along the Savannah River. My comments are in opposition to your ever granting an early site permit for nuclear reactors.

The fact that this permit would allow Southern Company to use the permit for up to 20 years with a possible 20 year extension in future applications with the NRC, is not reasonable. In 20 years the energy policy in this country will be very different, hopefully using many choices of safer energy sources, including wind, solar, biopower and most of all improving our energy efficiency.

To give permission on this magnitude to build reactors that use huge amounts of water, increase the temperature of the water endangering aquatic life, and only returning 1/3 of the water to the Savannah River is unwise. This, along with other potential problems such as vulnerability to terrorist attacks, inadequate monitoring of the facilities and the big problem of high-level radioactive waste with no place to be stored or disposed, make the granting of an early site permit wrong. Our son's family lives in Georgia and our concern for their well-being and that of us in Southeast gives us reason to object strongly to even proceeding with the first step toward early site permits.

Yours truly,

Ann C. Tate