VARIOUS CHECKLISTS

FOR THE FERMI RETAKE EXAMINATION - AUGUST 2006

Facility: _	Fe	mi Retake Date of Examination	n: 61812006			
Examinations Developed by: Facility / NRC (circle one)						
Target Date*		Task Description (Reference)				
-180	1.	Examination administration date confirmed (C.1.a; C.2.a and b)	RIW			
-120	2.	NRC examiners and facility contact assigned (C.1.d; C.2.e)	PNW			
-120	3.	Facility contact briefed on security and other requirements (C.2.c)	RUW			
-120	4.	Corporate notification letter sent (C.2.d)	RNW			
[-90]	[5.	Reference material due (C.1.e; C.3.c; Attachment 2)]	AIN			
-75	6.	Integrated examination outline(s) due, including Forms ES-201-2, ES-201-3, ES-301-1, ES-301-2, ES-301-5, ES-D-1's, ES-401-1/2, ES-401-3, and ES-401-4, as applicable (C.1.e and f; C.3.d)	RNW			
-70	7.	Examination outline(s) reviewed by NRC and feedback provided to facility licensee (C.2.h; C.3.e)	RUW			
-45	8.	Proposed examinations (including written, walk-through JPMs, and scenarios, as applicable), supporting documentation (including Forms ES-301-3, ES-301-4, ES-301-5, ES-301-6, and ES-401-6), and reference materials due (C.1.e, f, g and h; C.3.d)	RNW			
-30	9.	Preliminary license applications (NRC Form 398's) due (C.1.I; C.2.g; ES-202)	an			
-14	10.	Final license applications due and Form ES-201-4 prepared (C.1.l; C.2.i; ES-202)	RNW			
-14	11.	Examination approved by NRC supervisor for facility licensee review (C.2.h; C.3.f)	RUW			
-14	12.	Examinations reviewed with facility licensee (C.1.j; C.2.f and h; C.3.g)	RUW			
-7	13.	Written examinations and operating tests approved by NRC supervisor (C.2.i; C.3.h)	RNW			
-7	14.	Final applications reviewed; examination approval and waiver letters sent (C.2.i; Attachment 4; ES-204)	RNW			
-7	15.	Proctoring/written exam administration guidelines reviewed with facility licensee (C.3.k)	RNW			
-7	16.	Approved scenarios, job performance measures, and questions distributed to NRC examiners (C.3.i)	RUW			
Target dates are keyed to the examination date identified in the corporate notification letter. They are for planning purposes and may be adjusted on a case-by-case basis in coordination with the facility licensee. [] Applies only to examinations prepared by the NRC.						

1. Pre-Examination

ES-201

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of $8/u/2\infty i$ as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of <u>S(t)</u> Erom the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. Lynn Barker	OPS TRNG	Lynn H. Back	3/21/06	from H. Bast	en 8/8/96
2. Rob Brixey	Contractor	Mary	3/21/06	Degr by Koly	104 8/8/06 pathleur
3. Doublas I Picate 4. David & Coses	OPS TRNC OPS Try - FSS	Now (1)	3/21/06 5/1/06	Jan Burr	- 4.8/06 5/8/06
5. Revition Allegrain	OPS INSTRUCTOR	Tarlandon	\$1436		elosy 89 a pertelecon
6. Gregory A. Almes	- 5 M N 5 6	Delun	5/31/06_	All as	8/9/06
8. Richard Lanner	NSO	***	5.31~6	* Kanne	8-9-06
9. Andrew Nighswander		Jul Hux	5-31-06	On Hund	8-9-66
10. Scott Bahason	SE CZS	Sut O. P. Line	5.3/-16	Segal XIII	- F-9-06 - 5-9-6
11. PHILA CRAVE	TRING INSTRUCTOR	- Comple.	5-31-06 (d 1510c	The Contract of the Contract o	2/8/06
13. Sest Barroll					
14 15					
NOTES:					

Written Examination Quality Checklist

Form ES-401-6

Facilit	ty: Fermi 2	Date of Exam: 8/	/11/2006		E:	xam Level	: RO [⊠ SRC) <u> </u>
						Initial			
	<u>-</u>	Item Description			-		а	b*	C.
1.	Questions and answers a	re technically accurate and appli	licable to the f	facility.			B	\\$ 7	Plu
2.	a. NRC K/As are reference	·					3	BUR	RUW
 	_	tives are referenced as available							<u> </u>
3.		opriate in accordance with Sectio					N/17	NIA	/U .!
4.		as random and systematic (If mor ast 2 NRC licensing exam, consu							RNW
5. Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed; or the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started; or the examinations were developed independently; or X the licensee certifies that there is no duplication; or other (explain)							B	KTV	MM
6.	Bank use meets limits (no		Bank	Mod	dified	New			
	from the bank, at least 10 new or modified); enter th question distribution(s) at	•	39 / NA	12 /	/ NA	49 / NA	3	NIP	BW
7.		ent of the questions on the RO	Memor	<u>y</u>	<u> </u>	C/A			
	exam are written at the comprehension /analysis level; the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected KAs support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO / SRO question distribution(s) at right.				3	M	RNW		
8.	References/handouts pro or aid in the elimination of	ovided do not give away answers of distractors.	5				8	ATT	RNW
 Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the Tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified 							8	R.P.	RIW
10.	Question psychometric quality and format meet the guidelines in ES Appendix B.						8	W	RNW
11. The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with value on cover sheet							8	R	RW
		Prin	nted Name / Si	ignatur	re			Di	ate
a. Auth b. Faci	thor cility Reviewer (*)	Douglas J. Pierce /	an Hy &	Tin	<u>,</u>			6/29/ 6/29/	
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#) RAYMOND K Walton Karl Kuth Walto							<u>7)1(</u>	1/06	
d. NR(d. NRC Regional Supervisor							7/31	104
Note:	•	initials/signature are not applical riewer initial items in Column "c";							

Facility:	Fermi 2 Date of Exam: 8/8/06 Exam Le	evel: R	0/5	SRO	
	Item Description	а	þ	С	
1. (Clean answer sheets copied before grading	AY	9	RNW	
	Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	DET	A	FUW	
	Applicants' scores checked for addition errors reviewers spot check > 25% of examinations)	ar	A	RNWO	Em solo
	Grading for all borderline cases (80 ±2% overall and 70 or 80, as applicable, ±4% on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail	NA	NA	NIA	
	All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	NA	NA	NIA	
d	Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	NT	8	Rhw	
	Printed Name/Signature			ate	
a. Grade	er Douglas J. Pierce Ages J.	2.	8/	8/06	
b. Facili	ty Reviewer(*) D. Cose Www		810	5/06	
c. NRC	Chief Examiner (*) RYMOND WALTON Runl (w)	ton	8	14/06	
d. NRC	Supervisor (*) Hironori Tejersay	X.	8/1	15/06	
	The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations gwo independent NRC reviews are required.	raded l	by the l	NRC;	

If a facility chooses to share its preliminary grades with the applicants, it should caution them that the outcome may change if the NRC does not accept all of the facility licensee's recommended changes to the examination answer key.

3. Evaluate and Review the Grading

a. Evaluate the applicants' performance on each examination question to identify any indications of a problem with the question or a deficiency in the applicants' training program. A table that summarizes the applicants' answers on each question, or a computerized item analysis (if the examinations were graded by machine) may be used to identify items with which the applicants had problems.

If it appears that a test question was faulty, determine whether the question should be deleted, the answer key should be changed, and/or the question should be revised before reuse. Then regrade the examinations as necessary.

If it appears that the training program was deficient, determine the need for remedial training and/or a program upgrade.

- b. After evaluating the examinations, review the grading *in detail* and complete Form ES-403-1, "Examination Grading Quality Checklist."
- c. Forward the examination package (i.e., the master examination and answer key, justification for any examination changes, any item analysis that was performed, the applicant's examination cover and answer sheets (the graded original and one clean copy), and Form ES-403-1) to the designated facility representative (if applicable) or to the NRC's chief examiner for review in accordance with ES-501.

E. Attachments/Forms

Form ES-403-1, "Written Examination Grading Quality Checklist"