L. M. Stinson (Mike) Vice President Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc. 40 Inverness Center Parkway Post Office Box 1295 Birmingham, Alabama 35201

Tel 205.992.5181 Fax 205.992.0341

December 5, 2006

SOUTHERN A COMPANY Energy to Serve Your World<sup>se</sup>

NL-06-2726

Docket Nos.: 50-321 50-366

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, D. C. 20555-0001

> Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant Licensee Event Report 1-2006-S01 Contract Employee Failure to Self-Disclose Material Information for Unescorted Access

Ladies and Gentlemen:

In accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.73, Southern Nuclear Operating Company hereby submits an Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant licensee event report for a condition that was determined to be reportable on October 11, 2006.

This letter contains no NRC commitments. If you have any questions, please advise.

Sincerely,

L.M. Alum

L. M. Stinson

LMS/JL/daj

Enclosures: LER 1-2006-S01

 cc: <u>Southern Nuclear Operating Company</u> Mr. J. T. Gasser, Executive Vice President Mr. D. R. Madison, General Manager – Plant Hatch RTYPE: CHA02.004

> U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Dr. W. D. Travers, Regional Administrator Mr. R. E. Martin, NRR Project Manager – Hatch Mr. D. S. Simpkins, Senior Resident Inspector – Hatch

# Enclosure

Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant Licensee Event Report 1-2006-S01 Contract Employee Failure to Self-Disclose Material Information for Unescorted Access

NRC FORM 366 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION						ISSION	APPROVED BY OMB: NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 06/30/2007									
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)								Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory collection request: 50 hours. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Send comments regarding burden estimate to the Records and FOIA/Privacy Service Branch (T-5 F52), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by internet e-mail to infocollects@nrc.gov, and to the Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, NEOB-10202, (3150-0104), Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. If a means used to impose an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the information collection.								
1. FACILITY NAME								2. DOCKET NUMBER						3. PAGE		
Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant – Unit 1								05000-321						1 OF 3		
4. TI	4. TITLE															
Contract Employee Failure to Self Disclose Material Information for Unescorted Access																
5. E	ENT D		6. LER NUMBER			7. REPORT DATE			8. OTHER FACILITIE				S INVOLVED DOCKET NUMBER(S)			
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	Hatch – Unit 2			05000-366				
10	11	2006	2006	S01	00	12	05	2006	FACILITY NAME			DOCKET NUMBER(S)				
9. OPE	RATING	MODE		11. THIS	REPORT IS	SUBMITT	ED PUR	SUANT T	O THE R	EQUIRE	MENTS	OF 10 CFR § : (C			/)	
			20.2201(b)			20.2203(a)(3)(i)			50.73(a)(2)(i)(C)			50.73(a)(2)(vii)				
Mode 1			20.220	20.2203(a)(3)(ii)			50.73(a)(2)(ii)(A)			50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A)						
			20.2203(a)(1)			20.2203(a)(4)			50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B)			50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B)				
			20.2203(a)(2)(i)			50. <u>36(c)(1)(i)(A)</u>			50.73(a)(2)(iii)			50.73(a)(2)(ix)(A)				
10. POWER LEVEL			20-2203(a)(2)(ii) 20-2203(a)(2)(iii)			50.36(c)(1)(i)(A) 50.36(c)(2)			50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A) 50.73(a)(2)(v)(A)			50.73(a)(2)(x) 73.71(a)(4)				
			20.2203(a)(2)(iv)			50.46(a)(3)(ii)			50.73(a)(2)(v)(B)			73.71(a)(5)				
99.8			20.2203(a)(2)(v)			50.73(a)(2)(i)(A)			50.73(a)(2)(v)(C)			ł — "ł				
			20.2203(a)(2)(vi)			50.73(a)(2)(i)(B)			50.73(a)(2)(v)(D)			Specify in Abstract below or in NRC Form 366A				
			<u>الے الا</u>		1	2. LICENS	SEE CO	NTACT FO	R THIS I	LER						
NAME																
Katl	Kathy A. Underwood, Performance Analysis Supervisor(912) 537-5931															
ļ			1	3. COMPLETE	ONE LINE F	OR EAC	I COMP	ONENT F	AILURE	DESCR	BED IN	THIS REPORT			r	
CAUSE SYSTEM		ем с	OMPONENT			RTABLE EPIX			CAUS	CAUSE SYSTEM		COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER		REPOR	
								15. EXPECT		MONTH	DAY	YEAR				
YES (If yes, complete 15. EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE) X NO DATE												SUBMISSIC DATE				

16. ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines)

On October 11, 2006 at approximately 1725 hours (EDST), Southern Nuclear Operating Company (SNC) determined that a contract employee failed to self-disclose material information in applying for unescorted access. The contract employee had been charged with aggravated assault on June 26, 2005 but failed to self-disclose this information prior to being granted unescorted access to Hatch Nuclear Plant (HNP) in February 2006.

The subject contract employee had been badged at another nuclear plant less than 365 days before arriving at HNP and was not due for a background reinvestigation, which would have included a criminal history check. Based on the requisite Reinstatement review and issuance of a Certificate of Reliability, the contract employee was granted unescorted access at HNP from February 02, 2006 to February 25, 2006.

A detailed investigation by SNC Nuclear Fleet Security was performed on October 11, 2006 and determined that the contract employee failed to disclose the assault charge. Had this information been disclosed during the background screening process, unescorted access would not have been granted.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)							
1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	6. LER NUMBER	3. PAGE				
Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant – Unit 1	05000-321	2006 S01 00					
NARRATIVE	<u>ada </u>						
A) REQUIREMENT FOR REPORT							
The report is submitted pursuant to 10 CF	FR 73.71 Section (b)(1	) and Appendix G(I)(b).					
B) UNIT STATUS AT TIME OF EVEN	Т						
At the time of this event, Unit 1 was in M 1 at 99.7 % rated thermal power.	10de 1 at 99.8 % rated	thermal power and Unit 2 was	in Mode				
C) DESCRIPTION OF EVENT							
Nuclear Operating Company (SNC) Nucl of the background investigation, a Person individual applying for an unescorted acc list any criminal charges pending. All ind reporting responsibility through the "Plan A contract employee, charged with aggra information prior to being granted unesco contract employee completed a PHQ and January 25, 2006. Since the subject contr than 365 days before arriving at HNP, he have included a criminal history check. H performed the background screening for the February 02, 2006, certifying the individu by SNC Nuclear Fleet Security, the individu Security granted UA on February 02, 200	anel History Questionn cess authorization (UA dividuals granted UAA at Access Training" pro- twated assault on June 2 orted access (UA) to H signed a SNC backgro tract employee had been was not due for a back Rather, the approved, U reinstatement, and issu ual met the UAA Reins vidual was granted UAA D6 to February 25, 2006	aire (PHQ) must be completed A). The PHQ requires the ind A are also made aware of their of ogram. 26, 2005, failed to self-disclose NP in February 2006. The sub bund investigation consent form n badged at another nuclear pla kground reinvestigation, which JAA self-screening contractor led SNC a Certificate of Reliab statement requirements. Upon A on February 02, 2006, and H 6.	by an ividual to continuing this oject n on ant less would oility on review NP site				
On October 11, 2006, Turkey Point secur had not self-disclosed material information nuclear facilities, two of which were SNO	on regarding his assaul	t charge prior to having UA to					
Nuclear Fleet Security conducted a detail subject contract employee failed, at both information been disclosed during the bac have been granted.	led investigation on Oc SNC nuclear facilities	to disclose the charge. Had t	three rn, SNC I that the his				

NRC FORM 366A (1-2001)		U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION							
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)									
1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	6. LER NUMBER	3. PAGE						
		YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER NUMBER							
Edwin I. Hatch Nuclear Plant – Unit 1	05000-321	2006 S01 00	3 OF 3						

17. NARRATIVE (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A)

# D. CAUSE OF EVENT

The contract employee failed to disclose the criminal charge in order to gain UA. A review of SNC's and its approved contractor's access authorization process concluded that requirements and established processes were followed regarding the granting of authorization for UA in this case. The cause of this event was the omission or falsification of material information by the contract employee.

## E. ANALYSIS OF EVENT

Based on investigation of this event, no plant equipment or systems were harmed due to the failure of the contract employee to self-disclose the charge. During the time the contract employee had UA, no aberrant behavior was observed. There was no safety significance associated with this event, as there were no releases of radioactive materials, no personnel injuries, and no reportable equipment or system failures resulting from this event.

### F. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

The subject individual has been denied UA at all SNC nuclear plants and has been identified in the shared, industry-sponsored Personnel Access Data System (PADS).

### G. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Since this event involved the action of an individual applicant, previous corrective actions involving individual employees would not have prevented this event.

A review of plant documentation revealed no previous similar events occurred in the past 3 years.

This event is not considered reportable under the Equipment Performance and Information Exchange (EPIX) program.